

A MIRROR THEOREM FOR NON-SPLIT TORIC BUNDLES

YUKI KOTO

ABSTRACT. We construct an I -function for toric bundles obtained as a fiberwise GIT quotient of a (not necessarily split) vector bundle. This is a generalization of Brown's I -function for split toric bundles [5] and the I -function for non-split projective bundles [21]. In order to prove the mirror theorem, we establish a characterization of points on the Givental Lagrangian cones of toric bundles and prove a mirror theorem for the twisted Gromov-Witten theory of a fiber product of projective bundles. The former result generalizes Brown's characterization for split toric bundles [5] to the non-split case.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Genus-zero Gromov-Witten theory	5
2.1. Gromov-Witten invariant and its variants	5
2.2. Givental Lagrangian cone	7
2.3. Quantum Riemann-Roch theorem and twisted theory	10
3. Toric bundles	14
3.1. Construction	14
3.2. Cohomology ring	15
3.3. Effective curve classes	16
3.4. Fixed loci and one-dimensional orbits	18
4. Lagrangian cones of toric bundles	22
4.1. Characterization theorem	22
4.2. Decorated graphs and fixed stable maps	23
4.3. Contribution of the $(\alpha, 1)$ -type graphs	28
4.4. Contribution of the $(\alpha, 2)$ -type graphs	33
4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.2	34
5. Mirror theorem for a product of projective bundles	35
5.1. Statement	35
5.2. Big J -function	36
5.3. Quantum Riemann-Roch operator	37
5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.1	38
6. Mirror theorem for toric bundles	40
6.1. Main theorem	40
6.2. Restrictions of $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$	41
6.3. Poles of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$	41
6.4. Recursion formula of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$	42
Appendix A. Fourier transformation	44
References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus-zero Gromov-Witten theory of a smooth projective variety X plays a significant role in symplectic geometry, algebraic geometry and mirror symmetry. It can be studied by a *mirror theorem* [13], that is, by finding a convenient point (called an *I-function*) on the Givental Lagrangian cone \mathcal{L}_X [14]. The cone \mathcal{L}_X is a Lagrangian submanifold of an infinite-dimensional symplectic vector space \mathcal{H}_X , called the Givental space, and is defined by genus-zero gravitational Gromov-Witten invariants. A mirror theorem for X enables us to compute genus-zero Gromov-Witten invariants of X and study quantum cohomology.

The *I-function* for a smooth (semi-)projective toric variety X [13, 19, 8] can be explicitly described as a hypergeometric series associated with the fan defining X . A relative version of the toric mirror theorem has also been extensively studied. Let \mathbb{L} be a free abelian group, D_1, \dots, D_N be elements of $\mathbb{L}^\vee = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\omega \in \mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If the triple $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D, \omega)$ is smooth (in the sense of Definition 3.1), \mathbf{L} determines an embedding of tori $\mathbb{K} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ and a smooth semi-projective toric variety $X_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbb{C}^N //_{\omega} \mathbb{K}$ with a \mathbb{T} -action. For vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N over a smooth projective variety B , we write $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ for the toric bundle constructed as a fiberwise GIT quotient $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i) //_{\omega} \mathbb{K}$. Note that $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ is endowed with the \mathbb{T} -action induced by the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$. When V_1, \dots, V_N are all line bundles, we call $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ a *split* toric bundle.

Brown [5] proved the mirror theorem for split toric bundles, which had been conjectured by Elezi [10] for the projective bundle case. Iritani and the author [21] constructed an *I-function* for non-split projective bundles. In this paper, we generalize these works to a (possibly non-split) toric bundle $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.1). *Let $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D, \omega)$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ be as above. We assume that the dual of the bundle $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \rightarrow B$ is generated by global sections. Let \mathbb{T} act on V diagonally, and let $-zI_V^\lambda(-z)$ be a point on the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Givental cone of V such that I_V^λ depends polynomially on the equivariant parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ of \mathbb{T} . Define the $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}))$ -valued function $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$ by*

$$(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \cdot I_V^{u+D(\ell)z}$$

where \tilde{q} denotes the (extended) Novikov variable for the fiber, u_i denotes the i -th \mathbb{T} -equivariant relative toric divisor and $I_V^{u+D(\ell)z}$ denotes the function I_V^λ with replaced μ_i with $u_i + D_i(\ell)z$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then, $-z(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ represents a point on the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Givental Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$.

Remark 1.2. The assumption that V^\vee is globally generated guarantees the semi-projectivity of the total space $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ (Proposition 3.3). If the fiber toric variety is proper, this assumption is not a restriction on the toric bundle $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$. It is because for any set of vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N , by tensoring V_i 's with suitable line bundles we can make V_i 's satisfy the above condition without changing $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$.

Remark 1.3. Since the \mathbb{K} -action on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ is trivial, we can also consider the \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -equivariant theory for $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$. In fact, if we replace u_i in $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z)$ with the i -th \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -equivariant relative toric divisor for each i , $-z(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ lies in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K}}$. To

avoid complicated notation, we will not deal with the \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -equivariant setting in this paper except in Appendix A.

Remark 1.4. The symbol $(I_{\vec{V}}^\lambda)^\wedge$ represents a (\mathbb{T} -equivariant version of) discrete Fourier transformation of $I_{\vec{V}}^\lambda$ in the sense of [21, 20]. From this point of view, Theorem 1.1 can be considered as stating that the \mathbb{T} -equivariant version of [20, Conjecture 1.7] holds true for $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$.

We briefly explain a background of a Fourier transform of Givental cones. Motivated by Teleman's paper [27], Iritani [20] proposes a conjecture that the quantum D -module of a symplectic reduction $X//\mathbb{K}$ can be related to the \mathbb{K} -equivariant quantum D -module of X via a Fourier transform, which intertwines an equivariant parameter with a quantum connection and a shift operator with an additional Novikov variable. From this conjecture, we can derive a Fourier transform of Givental cones [21, 20]. In Appendix A, we will introduce its \mathbb{T} -equivariant counterpart and check that the I -function $(I_{\vec{V}}^\lambda)^\wedge$ coincides with the Fourier transformation of $I_{\vec{V}}^\lambda$.

Thanks to quantum Riemann-Roch theorem [9], our I -function $(I_{\vec{V}}^\lambda)^\wedge$ can be described using only "genus-zero Gromov-Witten invariants of B " and "the total Chern class $c^\mathbb{T}(V)$ ". Therefore, in particular, the following holds.

Corollary 1.5. *All genus-zero descendant Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ can be computed from those of the base B and the total Chern class $c^\mathbb{T}(V)$.*

Using Theorem 1.1, we can derive I -functions that are already known: Brown's I -function for split toric bundles [5], the extended I -function [8] (for toric varieties), and the I -function for projective bundles [21].

To prove Theorem 1.1, we establish a Givental and Brown style characterization of points on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$, that is, we characterize them in terms of the restrictions to the fixed loci. We write $F_{\mathbb{L}}$ for the set of \mathbb{T} -fixed points on $X_{\mathbb{L}}$. Since the torus \mathbb{T} acts on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ fiberwise, for any $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ we can construct the \mathbb{T} -fixed locus $\iota_\alpha: \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ by gathering the points corresponding to α in each fiber, and hence the \mathbb{T} -fixed loci of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ can be indexed by $F_{\mathbb{L}}$. It can be easily seen that $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_\alpha$ is a fiber product of projective bundles over B , and $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_\alpha \cong B$ for any $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ if $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ is a split toric bundle. We can recover a point \mathbf{f} on the Givental space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ from its restrictions $\{\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}\}_{\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}}$ by the (classical) localization formula [2, 4]. The following theorem provides an equivalent condition for \mathbf{f} to be a point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ in terms of $\{\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}\}_{\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}}$.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 4.2). *Let \mathbf{f} be a point on the Givental space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ for the total space $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$. The point $\mathbf{f}(z)$ lies in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ if and only if $\{\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}\}_{\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}}$ satisfies the three conditions:*

- (C1) *for each $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, the set of poles of $\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}(z)$ as a function in z is contained in a specific subset of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Q})$ determined by the triple $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D, \omega)$;*
- (C2) *the principle parts of the functions $\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}(z)$ ($\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$) satisfy certain recursion formulas;*
- (C3) *$\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}(z)$ represents a point on the Givental cone of the fixed locus $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_\alpha$ twisted by the normal bundle and the inverse Euler class.*

This is a generalization of Brown's result [5, Theorem 2], which gives the same characterization for split toric bundles. There are also similar characterization results for other varieties/stacks; see [8, 23, 11].

Thanks to this characterization theorem, we can prove Theorem 1.1 by checking that the function $-z(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ satisfies the three conditions. Note that we can confirm that the function fulfills **(C1)** and **(C2)** through a direct calculation. The verification of **(C3)** requires another mirror theorem for the twisted Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha$, a fiber product of projective bundles over B . This is a new issue in the non-split case since $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha \cong B$ if $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ is a split bundle.

Let $V_1, \dots, V_K, V_{K+1}, \dots, V_N$ be vector bundles over a smooth projective variety B , and let E be the fiber product of the projective bundles $\mathbb{P}(V_1), \dots, \mathbb{P}(V_K)$ over B . Let $\mathbb{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}^K$ and let $D_1, \dots, D_N \in \mathbb{L}^\vee$ be such that $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^K$ forms a basis of \mathbb{L}^\vee . We set \mathcal{W} to be the vector bundle $\bigoplus_{i=K+1}^N V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(D_i)$ over E with $\mathcal{O}_E(D_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_1)}(a_{i,1}) \boxtimes_B \cdots \boxtimes_B \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_K)}(a_{i,K})$ where $D_i = \sum_{j=1}^K a_{i,j} D_j$. Note that \mathcal{W} is a fiberwise GIT quotient of $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$, and is endowed with the fiberwise \mathbb{T} -action induced from that on V . The bundle \mathcal{W} arises as a normal bundle to the fixed loci of toric bundles. The following theorem implies that $-z(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ satisfies **(C3)**.

Theorem 1.7 (Theorem 5.1). *Assume that the dual of the bundle $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ is generated by global sections. Let I_V^λ be as in Theorem 1.1. Define the $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(E)$ -valued function $(I_V^\lambda)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge$ by*

$$(I_V^\lambda)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \cdot I_V^{u+D(\ell)z}$$

where \tilde{q} denotes the (extended) Novikov variable for the fiber, and $u_i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(E)$ is defined as

$$u_i = \begin{cases} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_i)}(1)) & 1 \leq i \leq K, \\ -\lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^K a_{i,j}(u_j + \lambda_j) & K+1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Then, $-z(I_V^\lambda)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(-z)$ represents a point on the $(\mathcal{W}, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})$ -twisted Givental Lagrangian cone of E .

Remark 1.8. In Theorem 5.1 (and Section 5), we will use different notation from here. There, we write W_i for V_i ($K+1 \leq i \leq N$) here in order to distinguish between the vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_K giving a variety E and those V_{K+1}, \dots, V_N providing the twist data $(\mathcal{W}, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})$. Additionally, we will denote the torus acting on V as \mathbb{T}' to distinguish it from the torus \mathbb{T} acting on $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$; see Remark 5.3.

This result is a straightforward generalization of the mirror theorem for non-split projective bundles [21, Theorem 3.3]. The key ingredient of the proof is the quantum Riemann-Roch theorem [9, Corollary 4] and the well-known fact [24] that Gromov-Witten invariants of the zero locus of a regular section of a convex vector bundle over a variety X are given by twisted Gromov-Witten invariants of X .

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of Gromov-Witten invariants, and introduce the non-equivariant/equivariant/twisted Givental cones and quantum Riemann-Roch theorem. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of split/non-split toric bundles, and summarize the structure of cohomology and the semigroups generated by effective curve classes, which will be needed in the

subsequent sections. In Section 4, we establish a characterization theorem (Theorem 4.2) for points on the Lagrangian cone of a toric bundle. In Section 5, we prove a mirror theorem for twisted Gromov-Witten theory of a fiber product of projective bundles over B . In Section 6, we prove the main result (Theorem 6.1) of this paper, that is, a mirror theorem for (possibly non-split) toric bundles.

Acknowledgements. The author is deeply grateful to Hiroshi Iritani for his guidance and enthusiastic support during the writing of this paper. He also would like to thank Yuan-Pin Lee and Fumihiko Sanda for very helpful discussions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22KJ1717.

2. GENUS-ZERO GROMOV-WITTEN THEORY

In this section, we briefly recall the (torus-equivariant/twisted) genus-zero Gromov-Witten theory. We will introduce Gromov-Witten invariants, Givental Lagrangian cones and the quantum Riemann-Roch theorem.

2.1. Gromov-Witten invariant and its variants. We recall the definition of Gromov-Witten invariant. We also introduce a torus-equivariant version and a twisted version of it.

2.1.1. Gromov-Witten invariants. Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} . For $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a non-negative integer n , let $X_{0,n,d}$ be the moduli space of degree- d stable maps to X from genus-zero curves with n marked points. It is endowed with the evaluation maps $\text{ev}_i: X_{0,n,d} \rightarrow X$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H^*(X)$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define *genus-zero descendant Gromov-Witten invariants* by

$$\langle \alpha_1 \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_n \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{0,n,d}^X := \int_{[X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i) \psi_i^{k_i},$$

where $[X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}} \in H_*(X_{0,n,d}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the virtual fundamental class [3] and ψ_i is the ψ -class, which is the first Chern class of the i -th universal cotangent line bundle over $X_{0,n,d}$.

2.1.2. Virtual localization. Let \mathbb{T} be an algebraic torus and X be a smooth projective variety endowed with a \mathbb{T} -action. Let F_1, \dots, F_m be the connected components of $X_{0,n,d}^{\mathbb{T}}$, and let ι_{F_i} be the embedding $F_i \hookrightarrow X_{0,n,d}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. For $\xi \in F$, let T^1 be a tangent space and T^2 be an obstruction space at ξ . These spaces are naturally equipped with a \mathbb{T} -action, and the action induces the decomposition of them into the fixed parts $T^{j,\text{fix}}$ and the moving parts $T^{j,\text{mov}}$. By collecting the space $T^{j,\text{mov}}$ we have the bundle $\mathcal{T}_{F_i}^{j,\text{mov}}$ over F_i . We define the *virtual normal bundle of F_i* as $N_{F_i}^{\text{vir}} = \mathcal{T}_{F_i}^{1,\text{mov}} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{F_i}^{2,\text{mov}}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([15]). *In the above setting, we have*

$$[X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \iota_{F_i*} \left(\frac{[F_i]^{\text{vir}}}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{F_i}^{\text{vir}})} \right).$$

In particular, for any $\phi \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^(X_{0,n,d}, \mathbb{C})$, we have*

$$\int_{[X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{[F_i]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{\iota_{F_i}^* \phi}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{F_i}^{\text{vir}})}.$$

2.1.3. *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants.* We discuss Gromov-Witten invariants in the equivariant setting. Let X be a smooth variety, and assume that X is semi-projective, that is, X is projective over an affine variety. Let \mathbb{T} be an algebraic torus and consider a \mathbb{T} -action on X whose fixed point set is projective. This action naturally induces an \mathbb{T} -action on the moduli space $X_{0,n,d}$.

For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define \mathbb{T} -equivariant genus-zero descendant Gromov-Witten invariants by

$$\langle \alpha_1 \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_n \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{0,n,d}^{X,\mathbb{T}} := \int_{[X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i) \psi_i^{k_i}.$$

Here we define the right-hand side via the virtual localization formula (Corollary 2.1), and hence it belongs to the fraction field $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))$. When X is projective, it can be computed without the localization formula and belongs to $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})$.

2.1.4. *Twisted Gromov-Witten invariants.* Let \mathbb{T} be an algebraic torus. For any $\chi \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus 0$, we introduce the following four characteristic classes $e_{\chi}, e_{\chi}^{-1}, \tilde{e}_{\chi}, \tilde{e}_{\chi}^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\chi}(V) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{rank}(V)} \chi^{\text{rank}(V)-i} c_i(V), \\ e_{\chi}^{-1}(V) &= (e_{\chi}(V))^{-1}, \\ \tilde{e}_{\chi}(V) &= \chi^{-\text{rank}(V)} e_{\chi}(V) = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{rank}(V)} \chi^{-i} c_i(V), \\ \tilde{e}_{\chi}^{-1}(V) &= (\tilde{e}_{\chi}(V))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where V is a vector bundle over any topological space. We note that, if \mathbb{T} acts on V fiberwise via the character $\chi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, the class $e_{\chi}(V)$ coincides with the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Euler class $e_{\mathbb{T}}(V)$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety which is endowed with a trivial \mathbb{T} -action. Let $W_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be vector bundles over X and let $\mathbf{c}^i, i = 1, \dots, N$, be one of the characteristic classes $e_{\chi_i}, e_{\chi_i}^{-1}, \tilde{e}_{\chi_i}, \tilde{e}_{\chi_i}^{-1}$ associated with a character $\chi_i: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. The collection

$$(W_1, \mathbf{c}^1), \dots, (W_N, \mathbf{c}^N)$$

is referred to as *twist data*. We sometimes use the vector symbol $(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})$ as an abbreviation for the twist data above.

Let $\pi: X_{0,n+1,d} \rightarrow X_{0,n,d}$ be the map forgetting the $(n+1)$ -st point and $\text{ev}_{n+1}: X_{0,n+1,d} \rightarrow X$ be the $(n+1)$ -st evaluation map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{0,n+1,d} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n+1}} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ X_{0,n,d} & & \end{array}$$

Note that these maps give the universal family of stable maps. For a vector bundle W over X , we define

$$W_{0,n,d} := \mathbb{R}\pi_* \text{ev}_{n+1}^* W \in K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X_{0,n,d})$$

where $\mathbb{R}\pi_*$ denotes the K -theoretic pushforward. For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define *genus-zero descendant Gromov-Witten invariants twisted by* $(W_1, \mathbf{c}^1), \dots, (W_N, \mathbf{c}^N)$ [9] by

$$\langle \alpha_1 \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_n \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{0,n,d}^{X,(\bar{W},\bar{\mathbf{c}})} := \int_{[\mathcal{X}_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i) \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \mathbf{c}^i((W_i)_{0,n,d}) \right),$$

which take values in $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))$. We will discuss in Subsection 2.3 the relationship between the twisted theory and the untwisted theory in terms of their Lagrangian cones, which are introduced in the next subsection.

Finally, we introduce the notation $(W, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{\pm 1})$. This is useful when we treat the twist data coming from a \mathbb{T} -vector bundle W .

Definition 2.2. Let W be a vector bundle over X with a fiberwise \mathbb{T} -action such that there are no \mathbb{T} -fixed non-zero vectors. We write $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N W_i$ for the weight decomposition, and let $\chi_i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}) \setminus 0$ be the character associated with \mathbb{T} -action on W_i . We define $(W, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{\pm 1})$ to be the twist data $(W_1, e_{\chi_1}^{\pm 1}), \dots, (W_N, e_{\chi_N}^{\pm 1})$.

2.2. Givental Lagrangian cone. Following [14] [9], we introduce a symplecto-geometric formulation of genus-zero Gromov-Witten theory. In this formulation, many properties of Gromov-Witten invariants are translated into geometric properties of a certain Lagrangian cone in an infinite dimensional symplectic vector space. We will introduce three variations of Lagrangian cones.

2.2.1. Non-equivariant case. Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let (\mathcal{H}_X, Ω) be a symplectic vector space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_X &:= H^*(X)[z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(X)], \\ \Omega(f, g) &:= -\text{Res}_{z=\infty} \left(\int_X f(-z) \cup g(z) \right) dz \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{H}_X \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Eff}(X) \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{R})^1$ denotes the intersection of the cone generated by effective curve classes and the image of $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$, and $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(X)]$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(X)]$ with respect to the additive valuation v induced by the semigroup homomorphism $\omega: \text{Eff}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by a Kähler form $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega: \text{Eff}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad d \mapsto \omega(d) := \int_d \omega, \\ v \left(\sum_{d \in \text{Eff}(X)} c_d Q^d \right) &= \min_{d: c_d \neq 0} \omega(d) \end{aligned}$$

where Q denotes a formal variable for the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(X)]$ called the *Novikov variable*. There is a standard polarization $\mathcal{H}_X = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_+ &:= H^*(X)[z][\text{Eff}(X)], \\ \mathcal{H}_- &:= z^{-1} H^*(X)[z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(X)]. \end{aligned}$$

These are Ω -isotropic subspaces and \mathcal{H} can be identified with the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$.

¹ $\text{Eff}(X)$ contains the image of the semigroup in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the effective curve classes under the map $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$. In general, $\text{Eff}(X)$ does not equal the image.

Let $\mathbf{t}(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t_i z^i \in H^*(X)[z]$. We define the *genus-zero descendant potential* \mathcal{F}_X^0 as

$$\mathcal{F}_X^0(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0), (2,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \langle \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \rangle_{0,n,d}^X.$$

Under a shift $\mathbf{q}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \mathbf{1}z$, which is called the *dilaton shift*, we consider \mathcal{F}_X^0 as a formal function on \mathcal{H}_+ in a formal neighborhood of $-\mathbf{1}z \in \mathcal{H}_+$. The Givental Lagrangian cone \mathcal{L}_X [14] is a formal germ of a Lagrangian submanifold of \mathcal{H}_X defined as the graph of the differential of \mathcal{F}_X^0 .

We can give an explicit description for points on \mathcal{L}_X . Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a \mathbb{C} -basis of $H^*(X)$, $\{\phi^i\}_{i \in I}$ be the dual basis with respect to the Poincaré pairing. We let S be a semigroup such that there exists a semigroup homomorphism $i: \text{Eff}(X) \hookrightarrow S$ and a valuation $v_S: \mathbb{C}[S] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which extends the valuation v on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(X)]$ via the inclusion i . In this situation, for any ring R we can define the completion $R[[S]]$ of $R[S]$ by using the valuation v_S . A $\mathbb{C}[[S]]$ -valued point on \mathcal{L}_X is of the form

$$-\mathbf{1}z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{-z - \psi}, \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0,n+1,d}^X \phi^i$$

with $\mathbf{t}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z][\text{Eff}(X)]} \mathbb{C}[z][[S]]$ satisfying $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{Q=0} = 0$. Here we expand $1/(-z - \psi)$ at $z = \infty$:

$$\frac{1}{-z - \psi} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-z)^{-k-1} \psi^k.$$

Since ψ_1 is nilpotent, this form indeed belongs to $\mathcal{H}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z][\text{Eff}(X)]} \mathbb{C}[z][[S]]$.

Example 2.3. We give a typical example of S . Set $S = \text{Eff}(X) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\mathbb{N}}$ and write $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the standard basis of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Define $\omega_S: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as a semigroup homomorphism satisfying that $\omega_S|_{\text{Eff}(X)}$ coincides with the homomorphism $\omega: \text{Eff}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\omega_S(e_i) = i$. In this case, we have

$$\mathbb{C}[S] = \mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(X)][[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$$

where t_i denotes a formal variable for $\mathbb{C}[S]$ associated with $e_i \in S$.

Remark 2.4. The Lagrangian cone \mathcal{L}_X (and its variants introduced in the following subsections) can be formulated as a formal scheme [7]. However, in this thesis, it is sufficient to consider a set of valued points on \mathcal{L}_X .

2.2.2. \mathbb{T} -equivariant case. We let \mathbb{T} be an algebraic torus, and let X be a smooth semi-projective variety endowed with a \mathbb{T} -action whose fixed point set is projective. We will define the Lagrangian cone in a similar way. We let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ denote the equivariant parameters and write $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ and $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ for $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})$ and $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))$ respectively. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}} &:= H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}((z^{-1}))[[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \mathcal{H}_+ &:= H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[z][[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \mathcal{H}_- &:= z^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[[z^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \Omega(f, g) &:= -\text{Res}_{z=\infty} \left(\int_X^{\mathbb{T}} f(-z) \cup g(z) \right) dz \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}} \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}} := H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\lambda]} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$. There is a standard polarization $\mathcal{H}_{X,\mathbb{T}} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, and we identify $\mathcal{H}_{X,\mathbb{T}}$ with $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$.

Let $\mathbf{t}(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} t_i z^i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)[z]$. We define the \mathbb{T} -equivariant genus-zero descendant potential $\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathbb{T}}^0$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathbb{T}}^0(-\mathbf{1}z + \mathbf{t}(z)) := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0), (2,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \langle \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \rangle_{0,n,d}^{X,\mathbb{T}},$$

which is defined over a formal neighborhood of $-\mathbf{1}z \in \mathcal{H}_+$. The equivariant Givental Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_{X,\mathbb{T}}$ is defined as the graph of the differential of $\mathcal{F}_{X,\mathbb{T}}^0$. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}$ over $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))$, $\{\phi^i\}$ be the dual basis with respect to the Poincaré pairing, and let S and $\mathbb{C}[[S]]$ be as in the previous subsection. A $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[S]]$ -valued point on \mathcal{L}_X is of the form

$$(2.1) \quad -\mathbf{1}z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{-z - \psi}, \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0,n+1,d}^{X,\mathbb{T}} \phi^i$$

with $\mathbf{t}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[z][[\text{Eff}(X)]]} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)[z][[S]]$ satisfying $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{Q=0} = 0$. We note that since ψ_1 is not nilpotent in general, this form may not be an element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[z, z^{-1}][[S]]$. The semi-projectivity of X implies that ev_1 is proper, and it holds that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{-z - \psi}, \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0,n+1,d}^{X,\mathbb{T}} \phi^i = \text{ev}_{1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right].$$

From this equation we can see that the form (2.1) lies on $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)((z^{-1}))[[S]]$ if $\mathbf{t}(z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)[z][[S]]$.

Remark 2.5. By interpreting z as an equivariant parameter of \mathbb{C}^\times and considering $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of X (where the second factor \mathbb{C}^\times acts trivially on X), we can interpret the form (2.1) as an element of $H_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[[S]]$. If we take the Laurent expansion at $z = \infty$, we can recover (2.1). In Section 4, we will consider the Laurent expansion of the form at $z = 0$.

2.2.3. *Twisted case.* We take X, \mathbb{T} and the data $(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathfrak{c}})$ as in Subsection 2.1.4, and consider the $(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathfrak{c}})$ -twisted theory. The construction is almost the same as the previous ones. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{X,(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathfrak{c}})} &:= H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}((z))[[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \mathcal{H}_+ &:= H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[[z]][[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \mathcal{H}_- &:= z^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}[[z^{-1}]][[\text{Eff}(X)]], \\ \Omega(f, g) &:= -\text{Res}_{z=\infty} \left(\int_X f(-z) \cup g(z) \cup \prod_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{c}^i(W_i) \right) dz, \\ \mathcal{F}_{X,(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathfrak{c}})}^0(-\mathbf{1}z + \mathbf{t}(z)) &:= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0), (2,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \langle \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \rangle_{0,n,d}^{X,(\vec{W}, \vec{\mathfrak{c}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is a canonical polarization $\mathcal{H}_{X,(\vec{W},\vec{c})} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, and we can obtain the Lagrangian cone $\mathcal{L}_{X,(\vec{W},\vec{c})}$ whose $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[S]]$ -valued point is of the form

$$-1z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{-z - \psi}, \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0,n+1,d}^{X,(\vec{W},\vec{c})} \cdot \frac{\phi^i}{\prod_{i=1}^N \mathbf{c}^i(W_i)}$$

with $\mathbf{t}(z) \in \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[z]][[\text{Eff}(X)]]} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[z]][[S]]$ satisfying $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{Q=0} = 0$. Here we use the notations in the previous subsection. Since \mathbb{T} acts trivially on X , ψ_1 is nilpotent and hence this function belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{X,(\vec{W},\vec{c})} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)((z))[[\text{Eff}(X)]]} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)((z))[[S]]$. As in the untwisted case, the above function equals

$$(2.2) \quad -1z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbf{c}^i(W_i)^{-1} \\ \cdot \text{ev}_{1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbf{c}^i((W_i)_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right].$$

2.3. Quantum Riemann-Roch theorem and twisted theory. We introduce quantum Riemann-Roch theorem [9, Corollary 4], which relates twisted Givental cones via some transcendental operators. We also explain relationships between the Gromov-Witten theory of a vector bundle (resp. a subvariety) and that of a base space (resp. an ambient space) in terms of twisted theories. Note that we will use the material in this subsection only in Section 5.

2.3.1. Quantum Riemann-Roch operator. We let X be a smooth projective variety and \mathbb{T} be a complex torus acting on X trivially. For $\chi \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}) \setminus 0$, we set

$$s_k^{\pm}(\chi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \pm(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)! \chi^{-k} & \text{for } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tilde{e}_{\chi}^{\pm}(\cdot) = \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k^{\pm}(\chi) \cdot \text{ch}_k(\cdot) \right).$$

We take a vector bundle V over X and let χ be a non-zero element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$. For the case $\mathbf{c} = \tilde{e}_{\chi}$ or $\mathbf{c} = \tilde{e}_{\chi}^{-1}$, we define the *quantum Riemann-Roch operator* $\Delta_{(V,\mathbf{c})}(-z)$ as follows:

$$\Delta_{(V,\mathbf{c})}(-z) := \exp \left[\sum_{l,m \geq 0} s_{l+m-1}^{\pm}(\chi) \cdot \frac{B_m}{m!} \cdot \text{ch}_l(V) \cdot z^{m-1} \right]$$

where we set $s_{-1} = 0$ and B_m is the Bernoulli number defined by $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (B_m/m!) x^m = x/(e^x - 1)$. Since $\text{ch}_l(V)$ is nilpotent for $l > 0$ and $\text{ch}_l(V) = 0$ for $l > \dim X$, the operator $\Delta_{(V,\mathbf{c})}(\lambda, z)$ is well-defined and belongs to $H^*(X)[\chi^{-1}][[z]] \cap H^*(X)[z, z^{-1}][[\chi^{-1}]]$.² For vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N and characteristic classes $\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^N$ with each class

²For any \mathbb{C} -algebra R and a specific non-zero element $\chi \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$, we set $R[\chi^{-1}]$ to be a subring of $R(\lambda)$ consisting of elements of finite sums $\sum_{i=0}^k r_i \chi^{-i}$ where k is a non-negative integer and $r_i \in R$ for any i , and $R[[\chi^{-1}]]$ to be the canonical completion of $R[\chi^{-1}]$.

\mathbf{c}^i being \tilde{e}_{χ_i} or $\tilde{e}_{\chi_i}^{-1}$ for some $\chi_i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}) \setminus 0$, we define the operator $\Delta_{(\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}(z)$ as a product $\prod_{i=1}^N \Delta_{(V_i, \mathbf{c}^i)}(z)$.

Theorem 2.6 ([9, Corollary 4], [28, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $(\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})$ be twist data with the characteristic class \mathbf{c}^i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) being of the form \tilde{e}_{χ_i} or $\tilde{e}_{\chi_i}^{-1}$ for some non-zero element $\chi_i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$. Then we have*

$$\Delta_{(\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}(-z) \mathcal{L}_{X, (\mathcal{O}_X, 1)} = \mathcal{L}_{X, (\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}.$$

In particular, for any $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point \mathbf{f} on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\mathcal{O}_X, 1)}$, the function $\Delta_{(\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}(-z) \cdot \mathbf{f}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}$.

Remark 2.7. The twist data $(\mathcal{O}_X, 1)$ is trivial, that is, the $(\mathcal{O}_X, 1)$ -twisted Gromov-Witten invariants are by definition the untwisted ones. However, there is a subtle difference between $\mathcal{L}_{X, \mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\mathcal{O}_X, 1)}$. In fact, $\mathcal{L}_{X, \mathbb{T}}$ is defined in $\mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}} = H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}((z^{-1}))[\text{Eff}(X)]$, while $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\mathcal{O}_X, 1)}$ is defined in $\mathcal{H}_{X, (\mathcal{O}_X, 1)} = H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}((z))[\text{Eff}(X)]$.

We now consider the inverse Euler twist. For any $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point \mathbf{f} on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (W, \tilde{e}_X^{-1})}$, $\mathbf{f}|_{Q^d \rightarrow Q^d \chi^{-d \cdot c_1(W)}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (W, e_X^{-1})}$: this follows from the definition of twisted cones and the fact that $\text{rank}((W)_{0, n, d}) = \text{rank}(W) + \int_d c_1(W)$. We define the operator Δ_W^X on $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)_{\text{loc}}((z))[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_W^X \mathbf{f} := \left(\Delta_{(W, \tilde{e}_X^{-1})}(z) \mathbf{f} \right) \Big|_{Q^d \rightarrow Q^d \chi^{-d \cdot c_1(W)}}.$$

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})$ be any twist data. For any $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point $\mathbf{f}(-z)$ on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}})}$, the form $(\Delta_W^X \mathbf{f})(-z)$ gives a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[t]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (\vec{V}, \vec{\mathbf{c}}), (W, e_X^{-1})}$.*

2.3.2. Gromov-Witten theory of vector bundles. We let B be a smooth projective variety and $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ be a direct sum of vector bundles over B . By considering the diagonal action of $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ on V , we can study \mathbb{T} -equivariant Gromov-Witten theory of V .

We assume that its dual V^\vee is globally generated, which implies that V is semi-projective ([21, Lemma 2.1]). In this case, a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[\text{Eff}(B)][[t]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \mathbb{T}}$ is a point on

$$\mathcal{H}_{V, \mathbb{T}}^{\text{pol}} := H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(V)[z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(B)]$$

if its non-negative part (as a z -series) belongs to $-z + H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(V)[z][\text{Eff}(B)][[t]]$, i.e., it is polynomial in the equivariant parameters λ . This is because ψ_1 is nilpotent which follows from the fact that an image of each \mathbb{T} -fixed stable map $C \rightarrow V$ is in $V^{\mathbb{T}} = B$.

Applying the virtual localization formula (Corollary 2.1), we have

$$\langle \alpha_1 \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_n \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{0, n, d}^{V, \mathbb{T}} = \langle \alpha_1 \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \alpha_n \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{0, n, d}^{B, (V, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})}.$$

Hence Gromov-Witten theory of V is equivalent to $(V, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})$ -twisted Gromov-Witten theory of B :

$$\mathcal{L}_{V, \mathbb{T}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{V, \mathbb{T}}^{\text{pol}} = \mathcal{L}_{B, (V, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})} \cap \mathcal{H}_{V, \mathbb{T}}^{\text{pol}}.$$

For any ring R , we define $R[\lambda^{-1}]$ (resp. $R[[\lambda^{-1}]]$) to be a ring $R[\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_N^{-1}]$ (resp. $R[[\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_N^{-1}]]$) where λ_i is a \mathbb{T} -equivariant parameter corresponding to the i -th projection $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. In Section 5, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Let \mathbf{f} be a $\mathbb{C}[\lambda][[\text{Eff}(B)]][x]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{V,\mathbb{T}}$ whose non-negative part (as a z -series) lies in $H^*(B)[z][[\text{Eff}(B)]][x]$, i.e., it contains no equivariant parameters. Then $(\Delta_V^\lambda|_{z \rightarrow -z})\mathbf{f}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}(B)]][x, \lambda^{-1}]$ -valued point on \mathcal{L}_B .*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\mathbf{f}|_{Q^d \rightarrow Q^d \lambda^{d \cdot c_1(V)}}$ belongs to $H^*(B)[\lambda^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(B)]][x]$ since $\Delta_{(V, \tilde{e}_\lambda^{-1})} \in H^*(B)[\lambda^{-1}](z)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{V,\mathbb{T}}$, the function $\mathbf{f}|_{Q^d \rightarrow Q^d \lambda^{d \cdot c_1(V)}}$ can be written as

$$-1z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(B) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{-z - \psi}, \mathbf{t}(\psi), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0, n+1, d}^{B, (V, \tilde{e}_\lambda^{-1})} \phi^i \tilde{e}_\lambda(V)$$

where $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of $H^*(B)$, $\{\phi^i\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual basis and $\mathbf{t}(z) \in H^*(B)[z][[\text{Eff}(B)]][x]$ with $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{(Q,x)=0} = 0$. Since $\tilde{e}_\lambda(V)$ and $\tilde{e}_\lambda^{-1}(V_{0, n+1, d})$ are power series in $\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_N^{-1}$, this form indeed belongs to $H^*(B)[\lambda^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(B)]][x]$. \square

2.3.3. Gromov-Witten theory of subvarieties. Let X be a smooth projective variety, and let V be a vector bundle over X . We assume that V is globally generated,³ which implies that $V_{0, n, d}$ is a vector bundle for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $d \in \text{Eff}(X)$ with $(n, d) \neq (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0)$.

We take a regular section of V and write its zero-scheme as $\iota: Z \rightarrow X$. We let $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ act on X trivially, and write equivariant parameters for \mathbb{T} (resp. the second factor) as $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ (resp. μ). Let W_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, be a vector bundle over X and let \mathbf{c}^i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, be the characteristic class $e_{\chi_i}^{-1}$ associated with a character $\chi_i: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ which is non-trivial on $\mathbb{T} \times \{1\}$. In this situation, we can relate $\mathcal{L}_{X, (V, e_\mu), (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{Z, (\iota^* \tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}|_{\mu=0})}$.

Theorem 2.10 (see also [26, Section 2.1], [18, Proposition 2.4]). *For any $\mathbb{C}(\lambda, \mu)[[\text{Eff}(X)]][x]$ -valued point \mathbf{f} on $\mathcal{L}_{X, (V, e_\mu), (\tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}})}$ whose limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{f}$ exists, $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \iota^* \mathbf{f}$ gives a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[\text{Eff}(X)]][x]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{Z, (\iota^* \tilde{W}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}|_{\mu=0})}$.*

Proof. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_{0, n+2, d} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{Z, n+2}} & Z & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \pi_Z \downarrow & \searrow \iota_{n+2} & & \searrow \iota & \\ Z_{0, n+1, d} & & X_{0, n+2, d} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{X, n+2}} & X \\ & \searrow \iota_{n+1} & \downarrow \pi_X & & \\ & & X_{0, n+1, d} & & \end{array}$$

where $Z_{0, n, d} := \coprod_{d': \iota_* d' = d} Z_{0, n, d'}$, π_X and π_Z denote the forgetful map for the last marking, $\text{ev}_{X, i}$ (resp. $\text{ev}_{Z, i}$) denotes the i -th evaluation map for $X_{0, n+2, d}$ (resp. $Z_{0, n+2, d}$), and $\iota_n: Z_{0, n, d} \rightarrow X_{0, n, d}$ denotes the map induced by ι . We note that this diagram is commutative, and in particular the square located in the lower left is a fiber diagram. Therefore, we have

$$(2.4) \quad (\iota^* W)_{0, n+1, d} := \pi_{Z*} \text{ev}_{Z, n+2}^* \iota^* W = \iota_{n+1}^* W_{0, n+1, d}$$

for any vector bundle W over X .

³This assumption implies that V is convex, i.e., for any genus zero stable map $f: C \rightarrow X$, the first cohomology $H^1(C, f^* V)$ vanishes..

Let $s_0^!$ be a refined Gysin map [12, 29] associated to the fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{0,n+1,d} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} & X_{0,n+1,d} \\ \iota_{n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ X_{0,n+1,d} & \xrightarrow{s_0} & V_{0,n+1,d} \end{array}$$

where s_0 is a map induced by the zero section $X \rightarrow V$, and s is a map induced by a regular section of V defining the subvariety $Z \hookrightarrow X$. From the functoriality of virtual fundamental classes [24]

$$s_0^![X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{d': \iota_* d' = d} [Z_{0,n,d'}]^{\text{vir}}$$

and (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad s_0^! \left(\prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j((W_j)_{0,n,d}) \cap [X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j((\iota^* W_j)_{0,n,d}) \cap \left(\sum_{d': \iota_* d' = d} [Z_{0,n,d'}]^{\text{vir}} \right).$$

Let \mathbf{f} be a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda, \mu)[\text{Eff}(X)][[x]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{X,(V,e_\mu),(\vec{W},\vec{c})}$ with well-defined limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{f}$. From (2.2), \mathbf{f} can be written in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mathbf{1}z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \cdot e_\mu(V)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j(W_j)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \text{ev}_{X,1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_{X,i}^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cdot e_\mu(V_{0,n+1,d}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j((W_j)_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some $\mathbf{t}(z) \in H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\lambda, \mu)[[z]][\text{Eff}(X)][[x]]$. Arguing as the proof of [18, Proposition 2.4], for any $\alpha \in A_*(X_{0,n+1,d})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \iota^* \left(e_\mu(V)^{-1} \cdot \text{ev}_{X,1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_{X,i}^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cdot e_\mu((V_{0,n+1,d})) \cap \alpha \right] \right) \\ = \text{ev}_{Z,1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_{Z,i}^* \iota^* \mathbf{t}_0(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cap s_0^! \alpha \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{t}_0(z) := \mathbf{t}(z)|_{\mu=0}$. Note that $\mathbf{t}_0(z)$ exists as an element of $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\lambda)[[z]][\text{Eff}(X)][[x]]$ since $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{f}$ exists. Applying this for $\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j((W_j)_{0,n,d}) \cap [X_{0,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \iota^* \mathbf{f} &= -\mathbf{1}z + \iota^* \mathbf{t}_0(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(X) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbf{c}^i(\iota^* W_i)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \text{ev}_{Z,1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_{Z,i}^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}^j((\iota^* W_j)_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [Z_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which is a $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)[\text{Eff}(X)][[x]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{Z,(\iota^* \vec{W}, \vec{c}|_{\mu=0})}$. Here we use (2.5). \square

3. TORIC BUNDLES

In this section, we introduce toric bundles. We first review toric varieties, and then define toric bundles by doing the construction of toric varieties in a relative setting. Note that they include toric bundles appearing in [5] [21]. We then investigate geometric structures of toric bundles: \mathbb{T} -equivariant cohomology ring (3.2), effective curves (3.3), \mathbb{T} -fixed loci and one-dimensional orbits (3.4).

3.1. Construction. We start with the triple $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D, \omega)$ where

- \mathbb{L}^\vee is a free abelian group of rank K ;
- $D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$ is a map;
- ω is a vector in $\mathbb{L}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

We denote as D_i the image of the i -th standard basis vector of \mathbb{Z}^N under the map D . We identify the datum $D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$ with the subset $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$ of \mathbb{L}^\vee . We set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}} := \left\{ I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} : \omega \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot D_i \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}} := \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \bigcup_{I \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbb{C}^I, \quad \mathbb{K} := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times), \quad \mathbb{T} := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{C}^\times)$$

where $\mathbb{C}^I := \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N : z_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I\}$. We call elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}$ *anti-cones*. By applying the functor $\text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ to the map $D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee$, we get an embedding $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and the torus \mathbb{K} acts on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}$ via this embedding. We note that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is naturally endowed with \mathbb{T} -action.

Definition 3.1. We call the triple $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D, \omega)$ a *smooth toric data* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) The vector ω belongs to $\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot D_i$.
- (2) For any $I \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}$, $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$ generates \mathbb{L}^\vee .

The condition (1) ensures that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is nonempty and (2) ensures that the action of \mathbb{K} on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is free. Under these hypothesis, the quotient space $X_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}/\mathbb{K}$ becomes a smooth semi-projective toric variety.

We consider a relative version of this construction. We fix a smooth toric data $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee, \omega)$. Let V_1, \dots, V_N be non-zero vector bundles over a smooth projective variety B and set $r_i := \text{rank } V_i$. We let \mathbb{K} act on V_i fiberwise by the character $D_i: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ and define a toric bundle $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ over B as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}) := \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \setminus \bigcup_{I \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i \right), \quad \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}) := \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V}) / \mathbb{K}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{L}}(V)$ is endowed with \mathbb{T} -action coming from the \mathbb{T} -actions on V_1, \dots, V_N , and it induces a \mathbb{T} -action on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$. When $B = \text{pt}$, then $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ is a smooth semi-projective toric variety, which we denote by $\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$. For a general base, $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{V})$ is an $\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$ -bundle over B .

Definition 3.2. Let $E \rightarrow B$ be a toric bundle obtained by the above procedure. We say that E is of *split type*, or E is a *split toric bundle* if there is a smooth toric data \mathbf{L} and line bundles $\{L_i\}$ over B such that $E \rightarrow B$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{L}}(\vec{L}) \rightarrow B$.

We say that E is of *non-split type*, or E is a *non-split toric bundle* if E is not of split type.

As explained in Section 1, mirror theorems for split toric bundles [5] and (non-split) projective bundles [21] are already known. We will prove a mirror theorem for (non-split) toric bundles (Theorem 6.1).

Proposition 3.3.

- (1) *The bundle $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ is projective if and only if the toric variety $X_{\mathbb{L}}$ is projective.*
- (2) *The bundle $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ is semi-projective if the vector bundles $V_1^{\vee}, \dots, V_N^{\vee}$ are generated by global sections.*

Proof. We only prove (2). From the assumption, we have the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus s_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i \rightarrow 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. By taking the direct sum of these sequences, we have the inclusion $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}^{s_i}$. This induces a closed embedding $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}$. Since $B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}$ is semi-projective, $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ is also semi-projective. \square

3.2. Cohomology ring. We want to describe the ordinary \mathbb{T} -equivariant cohomology of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$. We write the element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$ corresponding to the i -th projection $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ as $-\lambda_i$.

For $1 \leq i \leq N$, let L_i be a \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundle over $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad L_i = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{K}$$

where \mathbb{K} acts on the second factor \mathbb{C} by the character $D_i: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We let \mathbb{T} act on L_i as $t \cdot [v, w] = [t \cdot v, t_i w]$. We write the \mathbb{T} -equivariant first Chern class of L_i as u_i .

Proposition 3.4. *We have the isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z})[u_1, \dots, u_N] / (\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}), \\ H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H^*(B, \mathbb{Z})[u_1, \dots, u_N] / (\mathcal{I}|_{\lambda=0} + \mathcal{J}|_{\lambda=0}) \end{aligned}$$

where the ideal \mathcal{I} is generated by $\{\prod_{i \notin I} e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_i \otimes L_i)\}_{I \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{L}}}$, and the ideal \mathcal{J} is generated by $\{\sum_{i=1}^N a_i(u_i + \lambda_i)\}_{a \in \text{Ker}(D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\vee})}$. Here \mathbb{T} acts on B trivially and $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(B, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$.

Proof. We first note that this proposition is known to be true when B is a point; see for example [16, Proposition 2.11]. Moreover, it is known that $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Z})$ -module of finite rank. We can choose a $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})$ -basis $\{\phi_b(u)\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$ of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z})$ where $\phi_b(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_N]$.

There is a natural ring homomorphism $f: H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z})[u_1, \dots, u_N] \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z})$. For any $I \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the vector bundle

$$\bigoplus_{i \notin I} (V_i \otimes L_i) = \left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times_B \bigoplus_{i \notin I} V_i \right) / \mathbb{K}$$

has the nowhere vanishing \mathbb{T} -equivariant section $[v_1, \dots, v_N] \mapsto [v_1, \dots, v_N, \{v_i\}_{i \notin I}]$, which implies $\mathcal{I} \subset \ker(f)$. In a similar way, we can see that $\mathcal{J} \subset \ker(f)$. Therefore, we have a ring homomorphism

$$\tilde{f}: H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z})[u_1, \dots, u_N] / (\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is easy to show that the domain of \tilde{f} is a free $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z})$ -module with basis $\{\phi_b(u)\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$.

We consider the following fiber bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times E\mathbb{T} \right) / \mathbb{T} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & B \times B\mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

where $E\mathbb{T}$ is a contractible space on which \mathbb{T} acts freely, $B\mathbb{T} = E\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}$ is a classifying space of \mathbb{T} , and the vertical map is induced by the projections $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \rightarrow B$ and $E\mathbb{T} \rightarrow B\mathbb{T}$. By the Leray-Hirsch theorem,

$$H^*((\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times E\mathbb{T})/\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z})$$

is a free $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B, \mathbb{Z})$ -module with basis $\{\tilde{f}(\phi_b(u))\}_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$. This implies that \tilde{f} is an isomorphism. \square

This proposition provides an explicit description of the second cohomology of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$. For $\vec{r} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^N$, we set

$$I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}} := \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : r_i = 1 \text{ and } \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus \{i\} \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{L}}\}.$$

It follows easily from Proposition 3.4 that there exists a natural exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}} \rightarrow H^2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L}^{\vee} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

where the second arrow sends a standard generator e_i ($i \in I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}$) to $(c_1(V_i), D_i)$. Hence we have the isomorphism

$$H^2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L}^{\vee} / \langle (c_1(V_i), D_i) : i \in I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}} \rangle.$$

In particular, we have

$$H^2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{L}^{\vee} / \langle D_i : i \in I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}} \rangle.$$

3.3. Effective curve classes. In this subsection, we will study the effective curve classes $\text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$ and introduce *extended effective classes* $\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$.

Taking the dual of the sequence (3.2) we can see that

$$H_2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{(D, \ell) \in H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L} : c_1(V_i) \cdot D + D_i(\ell) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq N\}.$$

We construct a canonical splitting of the second homology of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ into those of B and $\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$ (which depends on the choice of \vec{V}). There exists a canonical splitting [17, Section 3.1.2]:

$$(3.3) \quad H_2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}} \cong \mathbb{L},$$

$$(3.4) \quad H^2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}} \cong \mathbb{L}^{\vee}.$$

We define $\phi: H^2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$ as follows. For $\bar{\rho} \in H^2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}})$, we take its lift $\rho \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$ corresponding to $(\bar{\rho}, 0)$ under the splitting (3.4). We define $\phi(\bar{\rho})$ to be the first Chern class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{O}(\rho) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{K}$$

where \mathbb{K} acts on the second factor via the character $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ obtained by ρ . This map is well-defined and gives the splitting $H^2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \cong H^2(B) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}})$. By dualizing, we obtain the splitting

$$(3.5) \quad H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We define $\mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}} \subset \mathbb{L}$ to be a cone which coincides with $\text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus I^{\vec{r}}}$ via the above splitting. Note that \mathbb{L}_{eff} is independent of \vec{r} and can be written as

$$\mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}_L} \{\ell \in \mathbb{L} : D_i(\ell) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

See [17, Section 3.1.2] for details.

Combining the two splittings (3.3) and (3.5), we obtain the isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{I^{\vec{r}}} \cong H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L}.$$

We henceforth assume that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ is globally generated. We let $\mathcal{D}: H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L} \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z})$ be the isomorphism (3.6) composed with the projection to $H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z})$, and define a *semigroup of extended effective curve classes* as follows:

$$\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) = \mathcal{D}(\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}).$$

As we can see from the following lemma, the cone $\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))$ indeed extends $\text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))$.

Lemma 3.5. $\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \supset \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))$.

Proof. From the assumption that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ is globally generated, we can construct the embedding $\iota: \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}) \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}$ for some $\vec{s} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2})^N$; see the proof of Proposition 3.3. We note that $H_2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{L}$ since $I^{\vec{s}} = \emptyset$. For any $\rho \in \mathbb{L}^\vee$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ comes from $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ over $B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}$ via the embedding ι . Therefore, the map

$$\iota_*: H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}, \mathbb{Z})$$

coincides with the inclusion $H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_2(B, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{L}$ induced by the splitting (3.6). This gives the inclusion

$$\text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} \text{Eff}(B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}) = \text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \hookrightarrow H_2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{Z}).$$

□

Remark 3.6. The splittings (3.5) and (3.6) depend on the choice of vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N . When replacing \vec{V} by $\vec{V}' = (V_1 \otimes M^{\otimes D_1(\ell)}, \dots, V_N \otimes M^{\otimes D_N(\ell)})$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{L}$ and line bundle $M \rightarrow B$, the total space $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ does not change. However, for $\rho \in \mathbb{L}^\vee$, the associated line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})}(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}')}(\rho)$ may not coincide. In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}')}(\rho) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})}(\rho) \otimes M^{\otimes -\rho(\ell)}$$

via the canonical identification of $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ and $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}')$. The splittings (3.5), (3.6) and the semigroup $\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))$ change according to this substitution.

Via the splitting (3.6), the cone $\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}$ is identified with $\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus I^{\vec{r}}}$. We choose a Kähler form ω on $B \times \mathbb{X}^{\vec{s}}$. Let v be the additive valuation on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}] = \mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I^{\vec{r}}})]$ given by ω , and consider the

completion with respect to v . Let \mathcal{Q} , Q and q denote the Novikov variables for $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$, B and $\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$ respectively, and \tilde{q} (resp. y) be a formal variable for $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}]]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}}}]]$). Furthermore, we can identify $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}]$ with $\mathbb{C}[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))][[y]]$ in the following way:

$$(3.7) \quad Q^D \tilde{q}^{\ell} = \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{D}(D, \ell)} \prod_{i \in I_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}} y_i^{D_i(\ell)}.$$

We call \tilde{q} the *extended Novikov variable* for $\mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$.

3.4. Fixed loci and one-dimensional orbits. We describe the \mathbb{T} -fixed loci on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ and introduce some varieties related to one-dimensional orbits.

Let \mathbb{L} be a smooth toric data. We denote by $F_{\mathbb{L}}$ the set of minimal anti-cones:

$$F_{\mathbb{L}} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{L}} : |\alpha| = k\}.$$

For $[x] \in (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}})^{\mathbb{T}}$, we take the set $\{i : x_i \neq 0\}$, which belongs to $F_{\mathbb{L}}$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the connected components of $(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}})^{\mathbb{T}}$ and the set $F_{\mathbb{L}}$.

In fact, there is also a one-to-one correspondence between the connected components of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})^{\mathbb{T}}$ and the set $F_{\mathbb{L}}$ obtained in the same manner. More precisely, we assign to $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ a subvariety $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$ which is a bundle over B with fiber being the \mathbb{T} -fixed locus of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}^{\vec{r}}$ corresponding to $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$. Note that $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the fiber product over B of the projective bundles $\{\mathbb{P}(V_j)\}_{j \in \alpha}$. We introduce several definitions and notations.

Definition 3.7. Let $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$.

- (1) We write the inclusion $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ as ι_{α} , and write as N_{α} the normal bundle to $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$:

$$N_{\alpha} = \bigoplus_{i \notin \alpha} (V_i \otimes L_i).$$

- (2) For $\alpha \in 2^{\{1, \dots, N\}}$, we write a fiber product of $\{\mathbb{P}(V_j) \rightarrow B\}_{j \in \beta}$ over B as $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$. (This convention is consistent with the above notation for the fixed loci.) Here we define $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha} = B$ if $\alpha = \emptyset$. If $\alpha \supset \beta$ there is a natural projection $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta}$ which is denoted by $p_{\alpha, \beta}$.
- (3) We denote by $\{D_{\alpha, i}^{\vee}\}_{i \in \alpha} \subset \mathbb{L}$ the dual basis of $\{D_i\}_{i \in \alpha} \subset \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$.
- (4) We say that $\beta \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ is *adjacent* to α if $\#(\beta \setminus \alpha) = 1$. We write the unique element of $\beta \setminus \alpha$ as $i_{\alpha, \beta}$. Define

$$\text{adj}(\alpha) = \{\beta \in F_{\mathbb{L}} : \beta \text{ is adjacent to } \alpha\}.$$

- (5) Let $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$. We denote by $d_{\alpha\beta}$ the homology class of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ given by a one-dimensional orbit joining points on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta}$.
- (6) For $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$, we define

$$(3.8) \quad L_{\alpha, \beta} := \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \alpha \cup \beta} (V_i \setminus B) \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \right) / (\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times})$$

where \mathbb{T} acts trivially on \mathcal{O}_B and the second factor $t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ acts on $(v, s) \in V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_B$ as $t \cdot (v, s) = (tv, t^{-1}s)$ and acts trivially on the other components. (As we will see, $L_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a line bundle over $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta}$.)

- (7) For $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$, we define $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$ to be the image of $c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})$ under the canonical projection $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta}) = H^2(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta}) \otimes H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$.

From the description of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$ in Proposition 3.4, we can describe $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})$ and the map $\iota_{\alpha}^*: H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})$.

Corollary 3.8. *For $\alpha \in 2^{\{1,\dots,N\}}$, we have the isomorphism*

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}) \cong H^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i \in \alpha}] / \langle e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \alpha \rangle.$$

If $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\alpha}^* : H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[u_1, \dots, u_N] / (\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}) \cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i \in \alpha}] / \langle e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is a $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)$ -module homomorphism which sends u_i to

$$\iota_{\alpha}^* u_i = -\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_i(D_{\alpha,j}^{\vee}) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j).$$

In particular, $\iota_{\alpha}^* u_i = u_i$ if $i \in \alpha$.

By abuse of notation, for any $\alpha \in 2^{\{1,\dots,N\}}$ and $j \in \alpha$, we denote the equivalence class of u_j in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})$ by u_j . We remark that for any $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $i \in (\alpha \cap \beta)^c$, two pullbacks $p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha}^* u_i$ and $p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* u_i$ do not coincide; see in Lemma 3.9 (3).

Let $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$. For $\beta \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, the condition that β is adjacent to α is equivalent to the existence of a one-dimensional orbit joining points on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta}$. If $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \alpha \cup \beta} (V_i \setminus B) / (\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}),$$

and a projection $L_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta}$ which makes $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ a line bundle over $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta}$. We give the description of $d_{\alpha\beta}$ and $c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$.*

- (1) *Under the splitting (3.6), it holds that $d_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha, i_{\beta, \alpha}}^{\vee} = D_{\beta, i_{\alpha, \beta}}^{\vee}$.*
- (2) *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) &= -u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - \lambda_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}(D_{\alpha,j}^{\vee}) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) \\ &= -u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) *For $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have*

$$p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* u_i = p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha}^* u_i - D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}).$$

Proof. We first prove (1). Since $d_{\alpha\beta}$ is represented by a curve $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the fiber, we can assume that $B = \text{pt}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) = \mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$ is a toric variety. Without loss of

generality, we can assume that $r_i = 1$ for any i . In this case, we can write $X := \mathbb{X}^{\vec{r}}$ and $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ as follows:

$$X = \left\{ [v_1, \dots, v_N] \in \mathbb{C}^N / \mathbb{K} : (v_1, \dots, v_N) \notin \bigcup_{I \notin \mathcal{A}_L} \mathbb{C}^I \right\},$$

$$C_{\alpha,\beta} = \{ [v_1, \dots, v_N] \in X : v_i = 1 \text{ for } i \in \alpha \cap \beta, v_j = 0 \text{ for } i \notin \alpha \cup \beta \}.$$

By definition, u_i is the i -th toric divisor for X . Hence its Poincaré dual $[Z_i]$ can be taken as

$$Z_i = \{ [v_1, \dots, v_N] \in X : v_i = 0 \}.$$

Using these descriptions, we can show that

$$u_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) = D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in \alpha \cap \beta, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = i_{\alpha,\beta}, i_{\beta,\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

for $i \in \alpha \cup \beta$. This implies that $d_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha, i_{\beta,\alpha}}^\vee = D_{\beta, i_{\alpha,\beta}}^\vee$.

By (3.8), it follows that

$$L_{\alpha,\beta} = L_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}^\vee \otimes \bigotimes_{j \in \alpha} L_j^{\otimes D_{\alpha,j}^\vee(D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})}$$

as \mathbb{T} -equivariant line bundles where $L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ is the line bundle (3.1). By taking the first Chern class, we obtain the desired formula.

For $1 \leq i \leq N$, we calculate $p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* l_\alpha^* u_i$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* l_\alpha^* u_i &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \left(-\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_i(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) \right) \\ &= -\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_i(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* l_\beta^* u_i &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \left(-\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \beta} D_i(D_{\beta,j}^\vee) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) \right) \\ &= -\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \beta} D_i(D_{\beta,j}^\vee) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_{i_{\beta,\alpha}} = D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - \sum_{j \in \alpha \cap \beta} D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot D_j$, we can see that

$$D_{\beta,j}^\vee = \begin{cases} D_{\alpha, i_{\beta,\alpha}}^\vee & \text{if } j = i_{\alpha,\beta}, \\ D_{\alpha,j}^\vee - D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot D_{\alpha, i_{\beta,\alpha}}^\vee & \text{if } j \in \alpha \cap \beta. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* l_\beta^* u_i$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda_i + D_i(D_{\alpha, i_{\beta,\alpha}}^\vee) \cdot (u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \lambda_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}) + \sum_{j \in \alpha \cap \beta} \left(D_i(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) - D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot D_i(D_{\alpha, i_{\beta,\alpha}}^\vee) \right) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) \\ &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* l_\alpha^* u_i + D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot \left(u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \lambda_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - u_{i_{\beta,\alpha}} - \lambda_{i_{\beta,\alpha}} - \sum_{j \in \alpha \cap \beta} D_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}(D_{\alpha,j}^\vee) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) \right) \\ &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* l_\alpha^* u_i - D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use (1) and (2). \square

For later use, we study moduli spaces parametrizing multiple one-dimensional orbits.

Definition 3.10. Let $\alpha \in F_L$, $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ to be the closed substack of $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{0,2,k,d_{\alpha\beta}}$ consisting of the stable maps which satisfy the following conditions:

- its domain is non-singular and surjects onto a one-dimensional orbit joining points on $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha$ and $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta$;
- the map is \mathbb{T} -invariant;
- the image of the first marking belongs to $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha$ and that of the second marking belongs to $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta$.

We can interpret $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$, $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ as follows. Firstly, since there is a canonical bijection

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{one-dimensional orbits joining points on } \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha \text{ and } \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta\},$$

we can understand $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ as the moduli space of one-dimensional orbits in the variety $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta}$ which is the subvariety of $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ defined as

$$\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ [v_1, \dots, v_N] \in \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}) : v_i = 0 \text{ for any } i \notin \alpha \cup \beta \right\}.$$

In other words, we have $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1^{\alpha,\beta} \cong \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$. Its universal curve $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is obtained by the blow up of $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta}$ along $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha \amalg \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta$ with the canonical maps $\pi: \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta}$. There is a natural embedding of $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ into $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$:

$$L_{\alpha,\beta} \cong \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \setminus \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta},$$

which gives the projection $L_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ by restricting π to $L_{\alpha,\beta}$. Due to this isomorphism, $L_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ can be seen as the universal tangent line bundle associated to the section $s_\alpha: \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ defined as follows: for any one-dimensional orbit C passing through $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha$ and $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta$ (which represents a point $[C] \in \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ via (3.9)), set $s_\alpha([C]) \in \pi^{-1}([C]) \cong C$ to be the intersection of $C \subset \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha$.

Moreover, we can see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ is isomorphic to the k -th root stack [6, Section 2] [1, Appendix B] of the line bundle $L_{\alpha,\beta}$, which can be given as the quotient stack $[L_{\alpha,\beta}^0/\mathbb{C}^\times]$ where $L_{\alpha,\beta}^0 = L_{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ and \mathbb{C}^\times acts on $L_{\alpha,\beta}^0$ by the formula $t \cdot x = t^k x$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The universal map for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ is given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{\alpha,\beta}^0 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha,\beta} \\ \downarrow & & \\ L_{\alpha,\beta}^0 & & \end{array}$$

where F is the morphism sending $[(v_i)_{i \in \alpha \cup \beta}, s], [x, y]$ to $[(F_i(v, s, x, y))_{i \in \alpha \cup \beta}]$:

$$F_i(v, s, x, y) = \begin{cases} v_i & i \in \alpha \cap \beta, \\ x^k v_{i_{\beta, \alpha}} & i = i_{\beta, \alpha}, \\ sy^k v_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} & i = i_{\alpha, \beta}. \end{cases}$$

Here we use the identification (3.8) and endow \mathbb{P}^1 with the \mathbb{T} -action such that $t \cdot [x, y] = [tx, y]$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

4. LAGRANGIAN CONES OF TORIC BUNDLES

Throughout this section, we fix a smooth toric data \mathbb{L} , a smooth projective variety B and a collection of vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N whose duals are globally generated. In this section, we study the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Lagrangian cone of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ (Theorem 4.2) and establish a characterization of points on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}$ in a similar manner to [5, 8, 23, 11].

4.1. Characterization theorem. In this subsection, we state a characterization theorem for points on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ (Theorem 4.2). The proof will be given in Section 4.5. We first introduce some notions.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety with trivial \mathbb{T} -action and let (S, v_S) be a semigroup with a discrete grading structure $v_S: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which extends that on $\text{Eff}(X)$. We choose a \mathbb{C} -basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $H^*(X)$ and let $\chi \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})$. We say that a function

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i \in I} Q^s \phi_i \cdot \mathbf{f}_{s,i} \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X) \otimes_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})} \text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})[z][S]) = H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\lambda, z)[S],$$

where $\mathbf{f}_{s,i} \in \mathbb{C}(\lambda, z)$ for any $(s, i) \in S \times I$, has a *pole at* $z = \chi$ if there exists $(s, i) \in S \times I$ such that $\mathbf{f}_{s,i}$ has a pole along $z - \chi = 0$. Define a *principle part of* \mathbf{f} at $z = \chi$ as

$$\text{Prin}_{z=\chi} \mathbf{f} = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{i \in I} Q^s \phi_i \text{Prin}_{z=\chi} \mathbf{f}_{s,i}.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee, \omega)$ be a smooth toric data, B be a smooth projective variety and V_1, \dots, V_N be vector bundles over B whose duals are generated by global sections. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be formal variables and let \mathbf{f} be an element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))((z^{-1}))[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))][[x]]$ such that $\mathbf{f}|_{(\mathcal{Q}, x)=0} = -1z$. In this situation, \mathbf{f} is a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))][[x]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$ if and only if the following three conditions hold:

(C1) For each $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, the restriction $\iota_\alpha^* \mathbf{f}$ belongs to

$$\left(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_\alpha) \otimes_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})} \text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{pt})) \right) [\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))][[x]],$$

and is regular as a function in z except possibly for poles at

$$\{0, \infty\} \cup \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{\alpha, \beta}}{k} : \beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha), k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(C2) *The principal parts of the restrictions of \mathbf{f} satisfy the following recursion relations: for any $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$, $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\text{Prin}_{z=\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}{k}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f} = p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha} \left[q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* \mathbf{f} \left(z = \frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}{k} \right) \right]$$

where $C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$ is an element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta})_{\text{loc}}$ defined as

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)^{-1} = \prod_{c=1}^{k-1} \prod_{\substack{\delta: \text{Chern roots} \\ \text{of } V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}}} \left(\delta + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right) \\ \cdot \prod_{i \notin \beta} \prod_{c=1}^{k \cdot u_i(d_{\alpha\beta})} \prod_{\substack{\delta: \text{Chern roots} \\ \text{of } V_i}} \left(\delta + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha}^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right).$$

(C3) *The Laurent expansion of $\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f}$ at $z = 0$ is a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))]][[x]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}, (N_{\alpha}, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})}$ for any $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$.*

The key tool for the proof is the virtual localization (Section 2.1.2). The \mathbb{T} -action on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ induces a \mathbb{T} -action on the moduli stack $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}$. We will study the \mathbb{T} -fixed locus $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}$ and its virtual normal bundle in order to compute the virtual localization formula. In the rest of this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 4.2.

Remark 4.3. This theorem can be directly generalized to general points on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$. However, since it is enough to characterize $\mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))]][[x]]$ -valued points for our purpose, we will not deal with the general case.

4.2. Decorated graphs and fixed stable maps. We introduce the notion of an \mathbb{L} -decorated graph and describe the stack of \mathbb{T} -fixed stable maps. We first define a graph $\Gamma_{\mathbb{L}}$ associated to \mathbb{L} . We let the set of vertices $V(\Gamma_{\mathbb{L}})$ equal $F_{\mathbb{L}}$, and the edge joining $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\beta \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$ exists if and only if they are adjacent (in the sense of Definition 3.7).

Definition 4.4 ([5, 25, 8, 23, 11]).

- (1) An $(n$ -pointed) (\mathbb{L}, \vec{V}) -decorated tree $\vec{\Gamma} = (\Gamma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{k}, \vec{\mathcal{D}}, \vec{s})$ consists of the following data:
 - a connected acyclic undirected graph Γ ;
 - a graph homomorphism $\vec{\alpha}: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{L}}$, called a *label map*, which sends $v \in V(\Gamma)$ to $\alpha_v \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$;
 - an *edge-degree map* $\vec{k}: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ which sends $e \in E(\Gamma)$ to a positive integer k_e ;
 - a *vertex-degree map* $\vec{\mathcal{D}}: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$ which sends $v \in V(\Gamma)$ to an effective curve class $\mathcal{D}_v \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v})$;
 - a *marking map* $\vec{s}: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ which sends i to $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$ (this datum is trivial if $n = 0$).
- (2) Let $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We call the pair (e, v) a *flag* if v incident to e . The set of flags for Γ is denoted by $F(\Gamma)$.

- (3) For any $v \in V(\Gamma)$, we define $\text{adj}(v)$ to be the set of adjacent vertices of v , and $\text{mark}(v)$ to be the set of markings on v :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{adj}(v) &= \{v' \in V(\Gamma) : \text{there exists an edge between } v \text{ and } v'\}, \\ \text{mark}(v) &= \vec{s}^{-1}(v).\end{aligned}$$

We write the valency of v as $\text{val}(v) := |\text{adj}(v)| + |\text{mark}(v)|$.

- (4) For $e \in E(\Gamma)$, define $d_e := d_{\alpha_v \alpha_{v'}} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$ where $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ denote the endpoints of e . Define

$$\text{deg}(\vec{\Gamma}) = \sum_{e \in E(\Gamma)} k_e d_e + \sum_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \mathcal{D}_v,$$

which we call a *degree of $\vec{\Gamma}$* .

- (5) We define $\text{DG}_{0,n,\mathcal{D}} = \text{DG}_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{L}, \vec{V})$ to be a set of all n -pointed (\mathbb{L}, \vec{V}) -decorated trees of degree \mathcal{D} .

We can naturally associate an n -pointed decorated graph $(\Gamma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{k}, \vec{\mathcal{D}}, \vec{s})$ of degree \mathcal{D} to a \mathbb{T} -fixed stable map $[f: (C, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})] \in \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}$ as follows. Let $E(\Gamma)$ be a set of irreducible components of C sent by f dominantly to a one-dimensional orbit in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$, and let $V(\Gamma)$ be a set of connected components of the set $f^{-1}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})^{\mathbb{T}})$. These sets with topological data of C give a tree Γ . We write for $e \in E(\Gamma)$ (resp. $v \in V(\Gamma)$) the corresponding subvariety of C as C_e (resp. C_v). We remark that C_v might be just one point. The other data of $\vec{\Gamma}$ are determined by the following conditions:

- for $v \in V(\Gamma)$, the image of C_v via f is in $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}$;
- for $e \in E(\Gamma)$, we have $\text{deg}(f|_{C_e}) = k_e d_e$;
- for $v \in V(\Gamma)$, we have $\text{deg}(f|_{C_v}) = \mathcal{D}_v$;
- for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the i -th marking point is on the component C_{s_i} or equal to C_{s_i} if C_{s_i} is just a one point.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation.

Notation 4.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety. We set $X_{0,1,0} = X$ and $X_{0,2,0} = X$. When we regard $x \in X$ as a point on $X_{0,1,0}$ or $X_{0,2,0}$, we sometimes denote it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}[f: (C = \text{pt}, p_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})] &\in X_{0,1,0} \\ [f: (C = \text{pt}, p_1, p_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})] &\in X_{0,2,0}\end{aligned}$$

where in each case the image of f is x . We also define evaluation maps:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ev}_1 &= \text{id}_X: X_{0,1,0} \rightarrow X, \\ \text{ev}_1 &= \text{ev}_2 = \text{id}_X: X_{0,2,0} \rightarrow X.\end{aligned}$$

We decompose the stack of fixed stable maps $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n,\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}}$ with respect to (\mathbb{L}, \vec{V}) -decorated graphs. For a decorated tree $\vec{\Gamma}$ we define a stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ as follows. First we take and fix a total order $\{1, \dots, A = \#V(\Gamma)\} \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$, of which the image of i is denoted by v_i , that satisfies the following; for any $1 \leq i \leq A$, the full subgraph Γ_i of Γ formed by $\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ is connected. This is possible since Γ is connected. Then we recursively define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq A$. We set $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1 = (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_{v_1}})_{0,\text{val}(v_1),\mathcal{D}_{v_1}}$. Assume that we know $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_i$ for some $i < A$. There is a unique vertex $v \in V(\Gamma_i)$ which is

adjacent to v_{i+1} in Γ . We write $e \in E(\Gamma)$ for the edge joining v and v_{i+1} . We first take the fiber product with respect to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}$ and $\text{ev}_1: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k_e}^{\alpha_v, \alpha_{v_{i+1}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}$. Here the first morphism is the composition

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_i \rightarrow (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v})_{0, \text{val}(v), \mathcal{D}_v} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{v_{i+1}}} \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}$$

where the first map is a canonical projection. We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i+1}$ as the fiber product with respect to

$$\text{ev}_v: (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_{v_{i+1}}})_{0, \text{val}(v_{i+1}), \mathcal{D}_{v_{i+1}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_{v_{i+1}}}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_i \times_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k_e}^{\alpha_v, \alpha_{v_{i+1}}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k_e}^{\alpha_v, \alpha_{v_{i+1}}} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_2} \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_{v_{i+1}}}.$$

Finally, we set $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_A$. Note that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ is determined independently of the choice of the total order.

There exists a natural morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}}$. For each point

$$\left(\left([f_e: (C_e = \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{p}_e) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})] \right)_{e \in E(\Gamma)}, \right. \\ \left. \left([f_v: (C_v, \mathbf{p}_v = \{p_{v, v'}\}_{v' \in \text{adj}(v)} \amalg \{p_i\}_{i \in \text{mark}(v)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v}] \right)_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \right)$$

on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$, we assign a \mathbb{T} -fixed stable map $[f: (C, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})]$ as follows. For $e \in E(\Gamma)$, we denote its endpoints as $\{v_e, v'_e\}$, and set $\mathbf{p}_e = \{p_{e, v_e}, p_{e, v'_e}\}$ which satisfies $f_e(p_{e, v_e}) = f_{v_e}(p_{v_e, v'_e})$ and $f_e(p_{e, v'_e}) = f_{v'_e}(p_{v'_e, v_e})$. We set

$$C = \left(\prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} C_v \amalg \prod_{e \in E(\vec{\Gamma})} C_e \right) / \sim$$

where the equivalence relation \sim is generated by $p_{v_e, v'_e} \sim p_{e, v_e}$ and $p_{v'_e, v_e} \sim p_{e, v'_e}$ for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, there are points $[p_i] \in C$, which will be denoted by p_i . The maps f_v and f_e induce a morphism $f: (C, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$, which is stable and fixed by \mathbb{T} . This gives rise to the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

In order to obtain a substack of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}}$ from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$, we need to take a quotient by $\text{Aut}(\vec{\Gamma})$, the automorphism group of the decorated graph $\vec{\Gamma}$.

Proposition 4.6. *We have an isomorphism of Deligne-Mumford stacks :*

$$\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}} \cong \prod_{\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}} \left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} / \text{Aut}(\vec{\Gamma}) \right].$$

Finally, we introduce some morphisms related to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$.

Definition 4.7. Let $\vec{\Gamma}$ be an n -pointed (\mathbb{L}, \vec{V}) -decorated tree.

- (1) Define $i_{\vec{\Gamma}}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}$ to be a composition of the canonical morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}}$ and the embedding $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}$.
- (2) For $v \in V(\Gamma)$, pr_v denotes a projection to the component corresponding to $v \in V(\Gamma)$:

$$\text{pr}_v: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v})_{0, \text{val}(v), \mathcal{D}_v}.$$

For $e \in E(\Gamma)$, pr_e denotes a canonical projection

$$\text{pr}_e: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k_e}^{\alpha, \beta}$$

where α and β are labels of endpoints of e .

(3) For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$\text{ev}_{\overline{\Gamma}, i}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha_{s_i}}$$

to be a composition $\text{ev}_i \circ \text{pr}_{s_i}$.

Let $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}$ be an (\mathbb{L}, \vec{V}) -decorated graph. We write the pull-back to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ of the virtual normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ as $N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}}$. For any point $[f: (C, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})]$ on the moduli space $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0, n, \mathcal{D}}$, we have the *tangent-obstruction exact sequence*:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\Omega_C(\mathbf{p}), \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^0(C, f^*T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}) \longrightarrow T_{\vec{\Gamma}}^1 \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\Omega_C(\mathbf{p}), \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C, f^*T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}) \longrightarrow T_{\vec{\Gamma}}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{\vec{\Gamma}}^1$ is the tangent space and $T_{\vec{\Gamma}}^2$ is the obstruction space at $[f]$. Collecting on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ the spaces appearing in the above sequence gives rise to \mathbb{T} -equivariant sheaves $\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}$, $\text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^1$, $\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}$, $\text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^2$ respectively, and we have the exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^1 \rightarrow \text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

These sheaves are endowed with \mathbb{T} -actions, and all arrows are \mathbb{T} -equivariant. By taking the moving parts we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \longrightarrow \text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{1, \text{mov}} \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \longrightarrow \text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{2, \text{mov}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}} = \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{1, \text{mov}} \ominus \mathcal{T}_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{2, \text{mov}}$, it follows that

$$(4.1) \quad e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}}) = \frac{e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}}) \cdot e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}}) \cdot e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}})}.$$

The vector bundles $\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\bar{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}}$, $\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\bar{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}}$ and $\text{Def}(f)_{\bar{\Gamma}} \oplus \text{Ob}(f)_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\bar{\Gamma}}$ can be described as follows [25]:

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\bar{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{(e,v) \in F(\Gamma); \\ |\text{adj}(v)|=1, |\text{mark}(v)|=0, \mathcal{D}_v=0}} \text{pr}_e^* \mathcal{L}_{e,v}^{\vee}, \\
 \text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\bar{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in V(\Gamma) \\ \text{adj}(v)=\{e,e'\}, |\text{mark}(v)|=0, \mathcal{D}_v=0}} \text{pr}_e^* (\mathcal{L}_{e,v}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{e',v}^{\vee}) \\
 &\oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{(e,v) \in F(\Gamma); \\ \text{val}(v) \geq 3 \text{ or } \mathcal{D}_v \neq 0}} \text{pr}_v^* \mathcal{L}_{v,e}^{\vee} \otimes \text{pr}_e^* \mathcal{L}_{e,v}^{\vee}, \\
 \text{Def}(f)_{\bar{\Gamma}} \oplus \text{Ob}(f)_{\bar{\Gamma}} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in V(\Gamma); \\ \text{val}(v) \geq 3 \text{ or } \mathcal{D}_v \neq 0}} \text{pr}_v^* \mathbb{R}g_{v*} F_v^* \iota_{\alpha_v}^* T_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})} \oplus \bigoplus_{e \in E(\Gamma)} \text{pr}_e^* \mathbb{R}g_{e*} F_e^* T_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})} \\
 &\ominus \bigoplus_{\substack{v \in V(\Gamma); \\ |\text{adj}(v)|=2, |\text{mark}(v)|=0, \mathcal{D}_v=0}} \text{pr}_v^* \iota_{\alpha_v}^* T_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})} \\
 &\ominus \bigoplus_{\substack{(e,v) \in F(\Gamma); \\ \text{val}(v) \geq 3 \text{ or } \mathcal{D}_v \neq 0}} \text{pr}_e^* \text{ev}_{e,v}^* T_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{ev}_{e,v}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}^a b_{k_e} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$ is the evaluation map associated to $(e, v) \in F(\Gamma)$ where α, β are the labels of the endpoints of e , and g_v and F_v (resp. g_e and F_e) fit into the following diagram for the universal map over $(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha_v})_{0, \text{val}(v), \mathcal{D}_v}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k_e}^{\alpha, \beta}$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}_v & \xrightarrow{F_v} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha} & & \mathcal{C}_e & \xrightarrow{F_e} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}) \\
 g_v \downarrow & & & & g_e \downarrow & & \\
 (\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha})_{0, \text{val}(v), \mathcal{D}_v} & & & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha, \beta} & &
 \end{array}$$

Let \mathbf{f} be a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))]][[x]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$. By definition, \mathbf{f} is an element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))_{\text{loc}}((z^{-1}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))]][[x]]$ and can be written as

$$\mathbf{f} = -1 \cdot z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{Q^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \cdot \text{ev}_{1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cap [\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}]^{\text{vir}} \right]$$

where $\mathbf{t}(z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))_{\text{loc}}[z][[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))]][[x]]$ with $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{(Q,x)=0}$. Using the virtual localization formula (Theorem 2.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f} = -1 \cdot z + \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{Q^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \sum_{\bar{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}(\bar{\Gamma})$$

where

$$\text{Cont}(\vec{\Gamma}) := \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\vec{\Gamma})|} \cdot \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}*} \left[i_{\vec{\Gamma}}^* \left(\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \right) e_{\mathbb{T}} (N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}})^{-1} \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}]^{\text{vir}} \right].$$

In order to compute the right-hand side, $\text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}$ is divided into three parts.

Definition 4.8. Let $\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,0} &:= \left\{ \vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} : \alpha_{s_1} \neq \alpha \right\}, \\ \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1} &:= \left\{ \vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} : \alpha_{s_1} = \alpha, \text{val}(s_1) = 2, \mathcal{D}_{s_1} = 0 \right\}, \\ \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,2} &:= \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} \setminus (\text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,0} \cup \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1}), \\ \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha} &:= \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1} \amalg \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,2}. \end{aligned}$$

These sets give decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} &= \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,0} \amalg \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1} \amalg \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,2}, \\ \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} &= \coprod_{\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}} \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We call an element of $\text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,i}$ a *graph of type (α, i)* or an *(α, i) -type graph*.

Proposition 4.9. *If $\vec{\Gamma}$ is an $(\alpha, 0)$ -type graph, its contribution $\iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}(\vec{\Gamma})$ equals zero.*

Proof. Let $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,0}$ and set $\beta = \alpha_{s_1}$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{i_{\vec{\Gamma}}} & \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} & \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \\ & \searrow \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma},1} & & \nearrow \iota_{\beta} & \uparrow \iota_{\alpha} \\ & & \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta} & & \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha} \end{array}$$

From the diagram, it follows that $\iota_{\alpha}^* \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}*} = \iota_{\alpha}^* \iota_{\beta*} \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma},1*}$. Since $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha} \cap \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta} = \emptyset$, we have $\iota_{\alpha}^* \iota_{\beta*} = 0$. \square

In the following two subsections, we will compute the contributions of the graphs of type $(\alpha, 1)$ and type $(\alpha, 2)$ separately.

4.3. Contribution of the $(\alpha, 1)$ -type graphs. Let $\vec{\Gamma} = (\Gamma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{k}, \vec{\mathcal{D}}, \vec{s})$ be a decorated graph of type $(\alpha, 1)$ satisfying $|\text{adj}(s_1)| = |\text{mark}(s_1)| = 1$. The graph $\vec{\Gamma}$ is decomposed into two trees, $\vec{\Gamma}_1$ and $\vec{\Gamma}_2 = \vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Here β denotes the label of the vertex adjacent to m_1 , k denotes the degree of the edge attached to m_1 , and $\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}$ denotes the decorated tree determined by the following data:

- a 2-marked tree consisting of two vertices v_1 and v_2 , and one edge;
- $\alpha_{v_1} = \alpha$ and $\alpha_{v_2} = \beta$;
- a degree of the edge equals k ;
- $\mathcal{D}_{v_i} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- $s_i = v_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The graph $\vec{\Gamma}_1 \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}-k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}^\beta$ is obtained by removing from $\vec{\Gamma}$ the vertex m_1 and the edge attached to m_1 , and adding the first marking on the vertex which is linked to m_1 in $\vec{\Gamma}$. Conversely, if we are given $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\vec{\Gamma}_1 \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^\beta$, we can recover $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}^{\alpha,1}$ by connecting $\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\vec{\Gamma}_1$ by clutching the second marking of $\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}$ with the first marking of $\vec{\Gamma}_1$. Summarizing, we have the following bijections.

Lemma 4.10. *There is a bijection:*

$$\Phi_1: \prod_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))} \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^\beta \times \{\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n,\mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1}.$$

We let $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1}$ and write as $\Phi_1(\vec{\Gamma}) = (\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_2 = \vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta})$. By definition we have $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_2} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ for some $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote the canonical morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ by π . There exist two natural morphisms

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_2}$$

which are denoted by pr_1 and pr_2 respectively. By definition, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ fits into the following diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta} \\ \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_2,2} & \swarrow p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta} & \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1,1}} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\beta & & \end{array}$$

where the square in the diagram is Cartesian.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1}$ and let $(\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_2 = \vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta})$ be as above.*

- (1) $|\text{Aut}(\vec{\Gamma})| = |\text{Aut}(\vec{\Gamma}_1)|$.
- (2) $i_{\vec{\Gamma}}^* \psi_1 = -\text{pr}_2^* \pi^* c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})/k$.
- (3) $i_{\vec{\Gamma}}^* \psi_j = \text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \psi_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq n+1$.

Proof. The first equality is obvious. For $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, let \mathcal{L}_i (resp. $\mathcal{L}'_i, \mathcal{L}''_i$) denote the i -th universal cotangent line bundle over $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}-k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}$, $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{0,2,k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}$). It can be seen that there exist the following fiber diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_{\vec{\Gamma}}^* \mathcal{L}_1 & \longrightarrow & i_{\vec{\Gamma}_2}^* \mathcal{L}''_1 & & (i_{\vec{\Gamma}_2}^* \mathcal{L}''_1)^{\otimes (-k)} & \longrightarrow & L_{\alpha,\beta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta} & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta} \end{array}$$

These give the second formula. The third one follows from the fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_{\vec{\Gamma}}^* \mathcal{L}_i & \longrightarrow & i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \mathcal{L}'_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} \end{array}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n + 1$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\vec{\Gamma}$ and $(\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_2)$ be as in Lemma 4.11. For $\omega \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1})$ and $\eta \in H^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_{\alpha \cup \beta})$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1*} \left[(\text{pr}_1^* \omega \cdot \text{pr}_2^* \pi^* \eta) \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}]^{\text{vir}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \cdot e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha}) \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha*} \left[\eta \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \left(e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta})^{-1} \cdot \iota_{\beta}^* \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1*} \left(\omega \cap [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}]^{\text{vir}} \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\text{ev}_1 \circ i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} = \iota_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha} \circ \pi \circ \text{pr}_2$ and $\iota_{\alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha*} = e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1*} &= \iota_{\alpha}^* \iota_{\alpha*} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha*} \pi_* \text{pr}_{2*} \\ &= e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha}) \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha*} \pi_* \text{pr}_{2*}. \end{aligned}$$

From the diagram (4.4) it follows that

$$\text{pr}_{2*} \text{pr}_1^* = \pi^* p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1, 1*}.$$

Since $\text{ev}_1 \circ i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} = \iota_{\beta} \circ \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1, 1}$ and $\iota_{\beta}^* \iota_{\beta*} = e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1, 1*} &= e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta})^{-1} \cdot \iota_{\beta}^* \iota_{\beta*} \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1, 1*} \\ &= e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta})^{-1} \cdot \iota_{\beta}^* \text{ev}_{1*} i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1*}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from the construction we have

$$\text{pr}_1^* [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}]^{\text{vir}} = k \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}]^{\text{vir}}.$$

The desired equality follows from these equations and the projection formula. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}^{\alpha, 1}$ and let $(\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_2)$ be as in Lemma 4.11. Assume that $\vec{\Gamma} \neq \vec{\Gamma}_{1, k}^{\alpha, \beta}, \vec{\Gamma}_{2, k}^{\alpha, \beta}$. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\frac{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{vir}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}})} = \frac{k}{-\text{pr}_2^* \pi^* c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta}) - k \text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \psi_1} \cdot \text{pr}_2^* \pi^* \left[\frac{p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta})}{p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha})} \cdot C_{\alpha, \beta}(k) \right].$$

Here we use the notation $C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)$ introduced in Theorem 4.2.

Proof. Thanks to the formula (4.1), we can divide the left-hand side into three parts:

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{vir}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}})} = \frac{e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}})}{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}})} \cdot \frac{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}})} \cdot \frac{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}} \ominus \text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Def}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \ominus \text{Ob}(f)_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}})}.$$

We will compute them separately.

From (4.2), we can see that $\text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \cong \text{pr}_1^* \text{Aut}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}}$ and

$$\text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{mov}} \ominus \text{pr}_1^* \text{Def}(C, \mathbf{p})_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^{\text{mov}} = \text{pr}_2^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_2}^* \mathcal{L}_2^{\vee} \otimes \text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \mathcal{L}'_1{}^{\vee}.$$

(We use the notation in the proof of Lemma 4.11.) Hence the first part of (4.5) equals 1, and the second one is equal to

$$\frac{1}{-\text{pr}_2^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_2}^* \psi_1 - \text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \psi_1} = \frac{k}{-\text{pr}_2^* \pi^* c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta}) - k \text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \psi_1}.$$

Here we use Lemma 4.11 (2).

Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ be the vertex adjacent to m_1 , and write the unique edge joining m_1 and v as $e \in E(\Gamma)$. We write the universal curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{\Gamma}_2} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} & \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \\ s_1, s_2 \curvearrowright & \downarrow g & \\ & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta} & \end{array}$$

Again from (4.2), we have

$$(\text{Def}(f)_{\overline{\Gamma}} \ominus \text{Ob}(f)_{\overline{\Gamma}}) \ominus \text{pr}_1^* (\text{Def}(f)_{\overline{\Gamma}_1} \ominus \text{Ob}(f)_{\overline{\Gamma}_1}) = \text{pr}_2^* \mathbb{R}g_* F^* T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})} \ominus \text{pr}_2^* s_2^* F^* T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}$$

where $\mathbb{R}g_*$ denotes the K -theoretic pushforward. Since we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})/B} & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})} & \longrightarrow & p^* T_B \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{\oplus K} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^N (V_i \otimes L_i) & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})/B} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

and \mathbb{T} acts trivially on $p^* T_B$ and \mathcal{O} , we have

$$\left(\mathbb{R}g_* F^* T_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})} \right)^{\text{mov}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \left(\mathbb{R}g_* F^* (V_i \otimes L_i) \right)^{\text{mov}}.$$

We set

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{U}_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \text{Im}(s_2), & g_\alpha: \mathcal{U}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}, \\ \mathcal{U}_\beta = \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \setminus \text{Im}(s_1), & g_\beta: \mathcal{U}_\beta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}, \\ \mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{U}_\alpha \times_{\mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta}} \mathcal{U}_\beta, & g_{\alpha\beta}: \mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{g} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{L}_1^\vee|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_\beta \cong \mathcal{L}_2^\vee|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}}$, and $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \mathcal{U}_\beta\}$ is an open covering of $\mathcal{C}_k^{\alpha,\beta}$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha})^*(V_i \otimes L_i) &= \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta}^* V_i \otimes \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* L_i \otimes \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{L}_1^\vee|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}} \right)^{\otimes c}, \\ g_{\beta*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_\beta})^*(V_i \otimes L_i) &= \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta}^* V_i \otimes \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* L_i \otimes \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{L}_2^\vee|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}} \right)^{\otimes c}, \\ g_{\alpha\beta*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}})^*(V_i \otimes L_i) &= \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta}^* V_i \otimes \pi^* p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* L_i \otimes \bigoplus_{c=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{L}_1^\vee|_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k^{\alpha,\beta}} \right)^{\otimes c}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}g_* F^*(V_i \otimes L_i)) \\ &= e_{\mathbb{T}}(g_{\alpha*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha})^*(V_i \otimes L_i) \oplus g_{\beta*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_\beta})^*(V_i \otimes L_i) \ominus g_{\alpha\beta*}(F|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}})^*(V_i \otimes L_i)) \\ &= \pi^* \prod_{\substack{\delta: \text{Chern roots} \\ \text{of } V_i}} \frac{\prod_{c=-\infty}^{k \cdot u_i(d_{\alpha\beta})}}{\prod_{c=-\infty}^{-1}} \left(\delta + p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* L_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The moving part can be described as

$$e_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N (\mathbb{R}g_* F^*(V_i \otimes L_i))^{\text{mov}} \right) = \pi^* \left[\frac{p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha} e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha})}{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)} \right].$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\left(s_2^* F^* T_{\mathbb{X}_L}(\vec{v}) \right)^{\text{mov}} \right) &= e_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N s_2^* F^*(V_i \otimes L_i)^{\text{mov}} \right) \\ &= \pi^* \prod_{i \notin \beta} (\delta + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* u_i) \\ &= \pi^* p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

These computations give the desired formula. \square

By performing calculations similar to those in the previous proof, we can establish the following formulas.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}_{1,k}^{\alpha, \beta}}(z) &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})} \cdot \left(-\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})}{k} \right) \right], \\ \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha, \beta}}(z) &= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* \mathbf{t} \left(\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})}{k} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above lemmas, we can compute the contributions of the graphs of type $(\alpha, 1)$.

Proposition 4.15.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0, 0), (1, 0)}} \frac{Q^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}^{\alpha, 1}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}(\vec{\Gamma}) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* \mathbf{f} \left(z = \frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})}{k} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To begin with, we rewrite the left-hand side using the bijection Φ_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0, 0), (1, 0)}} \frac{Q^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}^{\alpha, 1}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}}(z) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}} \left(\iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}_{1,k}^{\alpha, \beta}}(z) + \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha, \beta}}(z) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0, 0), (1, 0)}} \frac{Q^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma}_1 \in \text{DG}_{0, n+1, \mathcal{D}}^{\beta}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\Phi_1(\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha, \beta})}(z). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.11, Lemma 4.12 and Lemma 4.13, we have

$$\iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}}(z) = p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* \text{Cont}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} \left(z = \frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})}{k} \right) \right]$$

where $\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,1}$ and $\Phi_1(\vec{\Gamma}_1, \vec{\Gamma}_{2,k}^{\alpha,\beta}) = \vec{\Gamma}$. By combining the above equations with Lemma 4.14, we obtain the desired equality. \square

4.4. Contribution of the $(\alpha, 2)$ -type graphs. The contribution of the $(\alpha, 2)$ -type graphs can be computed as follows.

Proposition 4.16 ([11]). *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \sum_{\vec{\Gamma} \in \text{DG}_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}^{\alpha,2}} \iota_{\alpha}^* \text{Cont}(\vec{\Gamma}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}^{\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathcal{D}}}{n!} \cdot e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha}) \cdot \text{ev}_{1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}^{\alpha}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cdot e_{\mathbb{T}}((N_{\alpha})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}})^{-1} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \cap \left[(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}} \right]^{\text{vir}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{\alpha}(z) &= \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{t}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)}{-kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_{\beta}^* \mathbf{f} \left(\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}{k} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the argument in [11, Section 3.2]. We only give a sketch of the proof.

Let $\vec{\Gamma} = (\Gamma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{k}, \vec{\mathcal{D}}, \vec{s})$ be a decorated graph of type $(\alpha, 2)$, and set $m = \text{val}(s_1) - 1$. Then $\vec{\Gamma}$ can be decomposed into the graph $\vec{\Gamma}_1$ and m $(\alpha, 1)$ -type graphs $\vec{\Gamma}_2, \dots, \vec{\Gamma}_{m+1}$. Here $\vec{\Gamma}_1$ is the decorated graph given by the following data:

- a tree Γ_1 consisting of one vertex v and $m + 1$ markings;
- $\alpha_v = \alpha$ and \mathcal{D}_v equals the degree of the vertex $s_1 \in \Gamma$.

Let $\vec{\Gamma}_j \in \text{DG}_{0,n_j+1,\mathcal{D}_j}^{\alpha,1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq m + 1$.

By construction, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ fits into the following fiber diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j=2}^{m+1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_j} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})^m \end{array}$$

where the morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})^m$ is given by the evaluation maps $\text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1,2}, \dots, \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1,m+1}$ and the morphism $\prod_{j=2}^{m+1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_j} \rightarrow (\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha})^m$ is given by the evaluation maps $\text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_2,1}, \dots, \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_{m+1},1}$. Hence the integral over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ can be computed by the integral over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}$ with inputs given by the integrals over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{m+1}$. By taking the sum over all $(\alpha, 1)$ -type decorated graphs, each input becomes the summation of the contribution of the $(\alpha, 1)$ -type graphs, which equals $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha}(\psi_j)$; see Proposition 4.15.

The term $e_{\mathbb{T}}((N_{\alpha})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}})^{-1}$ comes from the comparison of the Euler classes of the virtual normal bundles:

$$\frac{\prod_{j=2}^{n_1+1} \text{pr}_j^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}_j}^{\text{vir}})}{e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\vec{\Gamma}}^{\text{vir}})} = \left[\prod_j' \frac{\text{pr}_j^* \text{ev}_{\vec{\Gamma}_j,1}^* e_{\mathbb{T}}(N_{\alpha})}{-\text{pr}_1^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_1}^* \psi_{1,j} - \text{pr}_j^* i_{\vec{\Gamma}_j}^* \psi_{j,1}} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\text{pr}_1^* e_{\mathbb{T}}((N_{\alpha})_{0,n_1+1,\mathcal{D}_1})}$$

where the symbol \prod_j' means taking the product over all $2 \leq j \leq n_1 + 1$ such that $(n_j, \mathcal{D}_j) \neq (1, 0)$, $\text{pr}_j: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\Gamma}_j}$ denotes the canonical projection, and $\psi_{j,i}$, $2 \leq j \leq m$, (resp. $\psi_{1,i}$) denotes the \mathbb{T} -equivariant first Chern class of the i -th universal cotangent line bundle for $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n_j+1,\mathcal{D}_j}$ (resp. $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,m+1,\mathcal{D}_0}$). \square

4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.2. We now prove Theorem 4.2. We write $S = \text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$. We first assume that \mathbf{f} be a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[S]][[x]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}^{\mathbb{T}}$ and write

$$\mathbf{f} = -1 \cdot z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})) \\ (n, \mathcal{D}) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{D}}}{n!} \cdot \text{ev}_{1*} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \text{ev}_i^* \mathbf{t}(\psi_i)}{-z - \psi_1} \cap [\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{0,n+1,\mathcal{D}}]^{\text{vir}} \right]$$

where $\mathbf{t}(z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))_{\text{loc}}[z][[S]][[x]]$ with $\mathbf{t}(z)|_{(\mathcal{Q},x)=0}$. By combining the equation (4.3), Proposition 4.9, Proposition 4.15 and Proposition 4.16, we can see that, via Laurent expansion at $z = 0$, $\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f}$ can be interpreted as a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[S]][[x]]$ -valued point of the twisted cone $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}, (N_{\alpha}, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})}$ whose non-negative part as a z -series equals

$$(4.6) \quad \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f} - \text{Prin}_{z=0}(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f}) = -1 \cdot z + \mathbf{t}^{\alpha}(z),$$

which implies **(C3)**. Since the coefficients of $\text{Prin}_{z=0}(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})$ (as a formal power series in (\mathcal{Q}, x)) are all polynomials in z^{-1} , the poles of $\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f}$ except for the pole at $z = 0$ come from (4.6). This observation with the explicit formula for $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha}(z)$ in Proposition 4.16 implies **(C1)** and **(C2)**.

Conversely, we assume that $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})}^{\mathbb{T}}[[S]][[x]]$ satisfies $\mathbf{f}|_{(\mathcal{Q},x)=0} = -1 \cdot z$, **(C1)**, **(C2)** and **(C3)**. It is enough to show that, under these assumptions, \mathbf{f} (or equivalently $\{\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f}\}_{\alpha \in F_{\mathbb{L}}}$) is uniquely determined by its non-negative part $z^{-1} \text{Prin}_{z=\infty}(z\mathbf{f})$. For $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))$, we define a degree of $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{D}}$ by using the valuation introduced in Section 3.3, which can be assumed to be an integer, and define $\deg(x_i) = i$ for $i \geq 1$. For any (\mathcal{Q}, x) -series \mathbf{g} , we write the homogeneous part of \mathbf{g} of degree n as $(\mathbf{g})_n$.

We proceed by induction on the degree of (\mathcal{Q}, x) . We assume that we know $\mathbf{f}_{\leq n} := \sum_{m=0}^n \mathbf{f}_m$ for some integer n . From **(C1)**, we can write

$$(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{n+1} = z^{-1} \text{Prin}_{z=\infty}(z \cdot \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{n+1} + \text{Prin}_{z=0}(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{n+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Prin}_{z=\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}{k}}(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{n+1}.$$

In the right-hand side, the third term can be computed from $\mathbf{f}_{\leq n}$ thanks to **(C2)**, while the second term is determined from

$$z^{-1} \text{Prin}_{z=\infty}(z \cdot \iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{\leq n+1} + \sum_{\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Prin}_{z=\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}{k}}(\iota_{\alpha}^* \mathbf{f})_{\leq n+1}$$

by **(C3)**. By repeating this procedure, we can completely determine \mathbf{f} from $z^{-1} \text{Prin}_{z=\infty}(z\mathbf{f})$ by using **(C1)**, **(C2)** and **(C3)**. This proves Theorem 4.2.

5. MIRROR THEOREM FOR A PRODUCT OF PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

In this section, we construct a twisted I -function for a product of projective bundles each coming from a vector bundle. The proof is based on the proof of the mirror theorem for a projective bundle [21, Theorem 1.1]. This section is independent of the previous section. By combining Theorem 4.2 with the mirror theorem (Theorem 5.1), we will establish the main result in the next section.

5.1. Statement. We begin with the following data:

- a smooth toric data $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D: \mathbb{Z}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee, \omega)$ with $\text{rank}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) = K$;
- a complex smooth projective variety B ;
- N vector bundles $V_1, \dots, V_K, W_{K+1}, \dots, W_N$ over B whose duals are globally generated;
- $D_{K+1}, \dots, D_N \in \mathbb{L}^\vee$.

In this case $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ is a fiber product of projective bundles $\mathbb{P}(V_1), \dots, \mathbb{P}(V_K)$ over B . Due to Proposition 3.4, its cohomology can be written as

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})) \cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[u_1, \dots, u_K] / (e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_1 \otimes L_1), \dots, e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_K \otimes L_K)).$$

For $K+1 \leq i \leq N$, we define

$$u_i = -\lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^K D_j^\vee(D_i) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j)$$

where $\{D_i^\vee\}_{i=1}^K \subset \mathbb{L}$ denotes a dual basis of $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^K$. We construct the \mathbb{T} -equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{W} := \left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}) \times_B \bigoplus_{i=K+1}^N W_i \right) / \mathbb{K}$$

where $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^N$ acts on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^K V_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=K+1}^N W_i$ diagonally, and $\mathbb{K} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}^\vee, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ acts on W_i via the character given by D_i for $K+1 \leq i \leq N$. Using the notation in Section 3.3, we can write $\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{i=K+1}^N W_i(D_i)$.

We set $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^K V_i$, $W = \bigoplus_{i=K+1}^N W_i$ and \mathbb{T}' to be the copy of \mathbb{T} . We consider the diagonal action of \mathbb{T}' on $V \oplus W$, and write μ_1, \dots, μ_N for the equivariant parameters for \mathbb{T}' . We take a function $I_{V \oplus W}^\mu(x, z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(V \oplus W)[z, z^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(B)]][[x]]$ such that $(z I_{V \oplus W}^\mu)|_{z \rightarrow -z}$ is a $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(\text{pt})[[\text{Eff}(B)]][[x]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{V \oplus W, \mathbb{T}'}$ where x be a set of formal parameters. We will prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathbb{L} , \vec{V} , \vec{W} , \mathcal{W} and $I_{V \oplus W}^\mu$ be as above. Define the function $(I_{V \oplus W}^\mu)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(t, x, y, z)$ to be*

$$(I_{V \oplus W}^\mu)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(t, x, y, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \cdot \frac{I_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}(x, z)}{\prod_{i=K+1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)}$$

where \tilde{q} is a formal variable for $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}]]$, and $I_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}$ denotes the function $I_{V \oplus W}^\mu$ with replaced μ_i with $u_i + D_i(\ell)z$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then $-z(I_{V \oplus W}^\mu)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(t, x, y, -z)$

is a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))]]$ -valued point of the twisted Givental cone $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), (\mathcal{W}, e_{\lambda}^{-1})}$.

Remark 5.2. A priori the function $(I_{V \oplus W}^{\mu})_{\text{tw}}^{\wedge}$ belongs to

$$H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))(\lambda)((z))[[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}]][t, x].$$

We implicitly use the identification in (3.7) and interpret this function as an element of

$$H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))(\lambda)((z))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))]][t, x, y].$$

Remark 5.3. For the convenience of the proof, we wish to distinguish between the torus \mathbb{T} acting on $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ and the torus acting on $V \oplus W$, so we denote the latter one as \mathbb{T}' . While this is a different setup from Theorem 1.7, the assertion remains the same.

5.2. Big J -function. Before proceeding to the proof, we introduce a specific point on $\mathcal{L}_{V \oplus W, \mathbb{T}'}$. We take any \mathbb{C} -basis of $H^*(B)$ and write as $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$. Since $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(V \oplus W) \cong H^*(B)[\mu]$, we can take a \mathbb{C} -basis of $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(V \oplus W)[z]$ as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad \{\phi_i z^n \mu^a : i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\}.$$

We write the coordinate on $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(V \oplus W)[z]$ associated to this basis as $\tilde{\tau} = \{\tau_{n,a}^i\}$. We also obtain the coordinate system $\tau = \{\tau_n^i = \tau_{n,0}^i\}$ on $H^*(V \oplus W)[z]$.

Definition 5.4. We define $\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tilde{\tau}, z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(B)[z, z^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(B)]]$ as follows:

$$z \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tilde{\tau}, z) = z + \tilde{\tau}(z) + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, d \in \text{Eff}(B) \\ (n,d) \neq (0,0), (1,0)}} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle \frac{\phi_i}{z - \psi}, \tilde{\tau}(\psi), \dots, \tilde{\tau}(\psi) \right\rangle_{0, n+1, d}^{V \oplus W, \mathbb{T}'} \phi^i,$$

where $\{\phi^i\}$ is a dual basis of $\{\phi_i\}$ with respect to the Poincaré pairing on $V \oplus W$, and

$$\tilde{\tau}(z) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N} \tau_{n,a}^i \phi_i z^n \mu^a.$$

We write $\tau(z) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n \geq 0} \tau_n^i \phi_i z^n$ and identify τ_n^i with $\tau_{n,0}^i$.

From the definition of the Lagrangian cone, it is clear that $-z \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tilde{\tau}, -z)$ is a $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(\text{pt})[[\text{Eff}(B)]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{V \oplus W, \mathbb{T}'}$. Note that from $\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}$ we can obtain any $H_{\mathbb{T}'}^*(\text{pt})[[\text{Eff}(B)]]$ -valued point $I_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{V \oplus W, \mathbb{T}'}$ by substituting the non-negative part of $z I_{V \oplus W}^{\mu} - z$ with respect to z into $\tilde{\tau}$. This observation and the following lemma imply that it is enough to prove Theorem 5.1 for $\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tau, z)$.

Lemma 5.5. *It holds that*

$$(\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tilde{\tau}, z))_{\text{tw}}^{\wedge} = \Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, z \partial_t, z \partial_{\tau}, z) (\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu}(\tau, z))_{\text{tw}}^{\wedge}$$

where $\Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, z \partial_t, z \partial_{\tau}, z)$ is the differential operator:

$$\Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, z \partial_t, z \partial_{\tau}, z) = \exp \left(z^{-1} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{n \geq 0} z \partial_{\tau_{n,0}^i} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \setminus \{0\}} \tau_{n,a}^i \prod_{j=1}^N (z \partial_{t_j})^{a_j} \right).$$

Proof. The right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, z\partial_t, z\partial_{\tau}, z) \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \\ & \cdot \frac{\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}(\tau, z)}{\prod_{i=K+1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \\ = & \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})} \Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, u + D(\ell)z, z\partial_{\tau}, z) \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}(\tau, z)}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz) \prod_{i=K+1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, \mu, z\partial_{\tau}, z)$ is the operator that shifts τ to τ , we have

$$\Delta(t, \tilde{\tau}, u + D(\ell)z, z\partial_{\tau}, z) \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}(\tau, z) = \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{u+D(\ell)z}(\tilde{\tau}, z).$$

These computations imply the desired formula. \square

5.3. Quantum Riemann-Roch operator. In this subsection, we use the notations introduced in Section 2.3.1. Let W be a vector bundle over $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{\mathcal{O}})$. We introduce the following functions:

$$z^{-1}G(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda \log \lambda - \lambda}{z} + \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda + \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{B_m}{m(m-1)} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^{m-1},$$

$$G_W^{\lambda} = \sum_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W} G(\lambda + \delta),$$

$$H_W^{\lambda} = \text{rank}(W) \cdot \left(\lambda \log \lambda - \lambda + \frac{z}{2} \log \lambda \right) + (\log \lambda) z \partial_{c_1(W)},$$

$$S_W^{\lambda} = (\log \lambda) (c_1(W) - z \partial_{c_1(W)})$$

where $\partial_{c_1(W)}$ denotes the unique vector field on the (τ, t_1, \dots, t_K) -space such that

$$\partial_{c_1(W)} \left(\tau + \sum_{i=1}^K t_i u_i \right) = c_1(W).$$

Since $H_W^{\lambda}, S_W^{\lambda} \in H^*(B \times \prod_{i=1}^K \mathbb{P}^{s_i})[\lambda, \log \lambda, z, z\partial_{\tau}, z\partial_t]$, the exponents $e^{H_W^{\lambda}/z}$ and $e^{S_W^{\lambda}/z}$ are ill-defined as operators on $\mathcal{H}_{B \times \prod_{i=1}^K \mathbb{P}^{s_i}}$. However, it follows from the divisor equation that the operator $e^{S_W^{\lambda}/z}$ restricted to Lagrangian cones is well-defined, which replaces \mathcal{Q}^D by $\mathcal{Q}^D \lambda^{-\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_1(W)}$. Hence the operator Δ_W^{λ} (2.3) can be written as

$$\Delta_W^{\lambda} = e^{S_W^{\lambda}/z} \Delta_{(W, \vec{e}^{-1})}(\lambda, z).$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let W be a vector bundle over $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{\mathcal{O}})$, $D \in \mathbb{L}^{\vee}$, $u = c_1(\mathcal{O}(D))$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(1) *The operator*

$$\exp \left(\frac{H_W^{\lambda+z\partial_u} - H_{W(D)}^{\lambda}}{z} \right)$$

is well-defined and belongs to $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z\partial_t, z\partial_{\tau}][[\lambda^{-1}]]$. Moreover, this operator preserves (twisted) Lagrangian cones.

(2) It holds that

$$(5.2) \quad e^{(H_W^{\lambda+u+kz} - H_{W(D)}^\lambda)/z} \cdot \frac{\Delta_W^{\lambda+u+kz}}{\Delta_{W(D)}^\lambda} = \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W} \frac{\prod_{c=-\infty}^k (\lambda + u + \delta + cz)}{\prod_{c=-\infty}^0 (\lambda + u + \delta + cz)}.$$

Here the right-hand side is interpreted as an element of $\lambda^k \cdot H^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}}))[\lambda^{-1}](z)$.

Proof. A direct computation shows that $z^{-1}(H_W^{\lambda+z\partial_u} - H_{W(D)}^\lambda)$ is equal to

$$\frac{\text{rank}(W)}{z} \cdot \left(\left(\lambda + z\partial_u + \frac{z}{2} \right) \log \left(1 + \frac{z\partial_u}{\lambda} \right) - z\partial_u \right) + \frac{z\partial_{c_1(W)}}{z} \log \left(1 + \frac{z\partial_u}{\lambda} \right).$$

This belongs to $\lambda^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z\partial_\tau, z\partial_t][[\lambda^{-1}]]$, and hence its exponent is a well-defined operator which preserves Lagrangian cones; see Lemma [21, Lemma 2.7].

We have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(\lambda + kz) - G(\lambda)}{z} &= \sum_{c=-\infty}^k \log(\lambda + cz) - \sum_{c=-\infty}^0 \log(\lambda + cz), \\ G_{W \otimes L}^\lambda &= G_W^{\lambda+c_1(L)}, \quad z \log \left(\Delta_{(W, \vec{e}-1)}^\lambda \right) = G_W^\lambda - H_W^\lambda - S_W^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Using these identities, the logarithm of the left-hand side of (5.2) is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & z^{-1} \left(H_W^{\lambda+u+kz} + S_W^{\lambda+u+kz} + z \log \left(\Delta_{(W, \vec{e}-1)}^{\lambda+u+kz} \right) - H_{W(D)}^\lambda - S_{W(D)}^\lambda - z \log \left(\Delta_{(W(D), \vec{e}-1)}^\lambda \right) \right) \\ &= z^{-1} \left(G_W^{\lambda+u+kz} - G_{W(D)}^\lambda \right) \\ &= \sum_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W} \left(\sum_{c=-\infty}^k \log(\lambda + u + \delta + cz) - \sum_{c=-\infty}^0 \log(\lambda + u + \delta + cz) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By taking exponentials, we obtain (5.2). \square

5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.1. As discussed at the end of Section 5.2, we prove Theorem 5.1 only for $\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^\mu(\boldsymbol{\tau}, z)$. The proof is based on that of [21, Theorem 1.1].

Since V_1, \dots, V_K are assumed to be generated by global sections, there exist vector bundles $\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_K$ and non-negative integers s_1, \dots, s_K which fit into the following short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus s_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i \rightarrow 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq K$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $s_i \geq 2$ for any i . We set $\vec{\mathcal{O}} = (\mathcal{O}^{\oplus s_1}, \dots, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus s_K})$. By definition, we have $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}}) \cong B \times \prod_{i=1}^K \mathbb{P}^{s_i-1}$.

From Lemma 2.9, we can see that the function

$$(5.3) \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^K \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=K+1}^N (\Delta_{W_i}^{\mu_i})^{-1} \right) \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^\mu(\boldsymbol{\tau}, z) \Big|_{z \rightarrow -z} \cdot (-z)$$

is a $\mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}(B)]]$ -valued point of \mathcal{L}_B . We apply the following lemma to this function.

Lemma 5.7 ([21, Lemma 2.8]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $\{\phi_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq s}$ be a basis of $H^*(X)$ and $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^s \tau^i \phi_i \in H^*(X)$. Let $-zI(\tau, x, -z)$ be a $\mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}(X)]][[\tau, x]]$ -valued point of \mathcal{L}_X such that*

$$I(\tau, 0, z)|_{Q=0} = 1 + \frac{\tau}{z} + O(z^{-2}).$$

Then there exists a differential operator $F \in \sum_{i=0}^s \mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}(X)]][[\tau, x]]z\partial_{\tau^i}$ satisfying $e^{F/z}J_X(\tau, z) = I(\tau, x, z)$.

We can write (5.3) as $\exp(F(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu, z\partial_{\boldsymbol{\tau}})/z)J_B(\tau, z)$ for some $F(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu, z\partial_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}) \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{C}[[\text{Eff}(B)]][[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu^{-1}]]z\partial_{\tau^i}$. We introduce the following function:

$$I^\mu := \left(\prod_{i=1}^K \left(\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i} \right)^{-1} \cdot e^{(H_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i + z\partial_{t_i}} - H_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i})/z} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=K+1}^N \Delta_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0} \cdot e^{(H_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0} - H_{W_i}^{\mu_i + z\partial_{t_i}})/z} \right) \cdot e^{F(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu + z\partial_{t_i}, z\partial_{\boldsymbol{\tau}})/z} J_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}(t, \tau, z)$$

where $u_i^0 := -\lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^K D_j^\vee(D_i)\lambda_j$ is the image of u_i under the projection $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$, and $J_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}(t, \tau, z)$ is the J -function at the parameter $\tau + \sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i$:

$$J_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}(t, \tau, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{q^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} (u_i + cz)^{s_i}} J_B(\tau, z).$$

From the mirror theorem for split toric bundles [5], it can be seen that the function $-zJ_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}(t, \tau, -z)$ is a point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}$. For any $f \in H^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}}))[z\partial_t][[\text{Eff}(B)]][[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu^{-1}]]$, we have

$$f(z\partial_t)J_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{\mathcal{O}})}(t, \tau, z) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{q^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} (u_i + cz)^{s_i}} f(u + D(\ell)z) J_B.$$

Therefore, I^μ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} I^\mu &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{q^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} (u_i + cz)^{s_i}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^K \left(\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i} \right)^{-1} \cdot e^{(H_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z} - H_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i})/z} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=K+1}^N \Delta_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0} \cdot e^{(H_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0} - H_{W_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z})/z} \right) \cdot e^{F(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mu + u + D(\ell)z, z\partial_{\boldsymbol{\tau}})} J_B(\tau, z) \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{q^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})}}{\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} (u_i + cz)^{s_i}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^K \frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z}}{\Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i}} \cdot e^{(H_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z} - H_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i})/z} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{i=K+1}^N \frac{\Delta_{W_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z}}{\Delta_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0}} \cdot e^{(H_{W_i}^{\mu_i + u_i + D_i(\ell)z} - H_{W_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i + u_i^0})/z} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu + u + D(\ell)z} \\ &= \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} q^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N (D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i + \frac{t_i u_i}{z})} \left(\prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \frac{\prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } \mathcal{Q}_i} (\mu_i + u_i + \delta + cz)}{(u_i + cz)^{s_i}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=K+1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } W_i} (\mu_i + u_i + \delta + cz)} \cdot \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^{\mu + u + D(\ell)z}. \end{aligned}$$

Here Lemma 5.6 (2) is used for the last equality. Since $\exp((H_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i+z\partial_{t_i}} - H_{\mathcal{Q}_i(D_i)}^{\mu_i})/z)$ and $\exp((H_{W_i(D_i)}^{u_i^0} - H_{W_i}^{u_i^0+z\partial_{t_i}})/z)$ preserve Lagrangian cones (Lemma 5.6 (1)), $-z \cdot I^\mu|_{z \rightarrow -z}$ lies in the Givental cone for (\vec{E}, \vec{c}) -twisted Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{\mathcal{O}})$ where (\vec{E}, \vec{c}) denotes the following collection:

$$(E_i, \mathbf{c}^i) = \begin{cases} (Q_i(D_i), e_{\mu_i}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq K, \\ (W_i(D_i), e_{\mu_i+u_i^0}^{-1}) & \text{for } K+1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Since the non-equivariant limit $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} I^\mu$ with respect to \mathbb{T}' exists, we can apply Theorem 2.10 to $-zI^\mu(-z)$ and obtain a point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}), (\mathcal{W}, e_{\lambda}^{-1})}$, which coincides with $-z(I_{V \oplus W}^\mu)_{\text{tw}}^\wedge(-z)$. This proves Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.8. For convenience, we list the rings to which the functions introduced in this section belong.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^\mu(\boldsymbol{\tau}, z) &\in H^*(B)[\mu, z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(B)][\boldsymbol{\tau}], \\ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^K \Delta_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^{\mu_i}}{\prod_{i=K+1}^N (\Delta_{W_i}^{\mu_i})^{-1}} \mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^\mu(\boldsymbol{\tau}, z) &\in H^*(B)[\mu^{-1}, z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(B)][\boldsymbol{\tau}], \\ I^\mu(t, \boldsymbol{\tau}, z) &\in H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{\mathcal{O}}))[\mu](\lambda)((z))[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}][t, \boldsymbol{\tau}] \\ &(\subset H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{\mathcal{O}}))(\mu, \lambda)((z))[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}][t, \boldsymbol{\tau}], \\ (\mathbb{J}_{V \oplus W}^\mu(\boldsymbol{\tau}, z))_{\text{tw}}^\wedge &\in H^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))(\lambda)((z))[\text{Eff}(B) \oplus \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}][t, \boldsymbol{\tau}]. \end{aligned}$$

6. MIRROR THEOREM FOR TORIC BUNDLES

In this section, we will prove the mirror theorem (Theorem 6.1) for (possibly non-split) toric bundles. Throughout this section, we fix the following data:

- a smooth toric data $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}^\vee, D: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee, \omega)$;
- a smooth projective variety B ;
- vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_N over B whose duals are generated by global sections.

We let $-\lambda_i \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$ be the equivariant parameter corresponding to the i -th projection $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

6.1. Main theorem. We consider the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action on V . Let $I_V^\lambda(x, z)$ be a function lying in

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(V)[z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(B)][x] = H^*(B)[\mu, z, z^{-1}][\text{Eff}(B)][x]$$

such that $-zI_V^\lambda(x, -z)$ is a $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})[\text{Eff}(B)][x]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \mathbb{T}}$. We define

$$(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(t, x, y, z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}} \frac{\tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)} \cdot I_V^{u+D(\ell)z}$$

where $I_V^{u+D(\ell)z} := (I_V^\lambda)|_{\lambda_i \rightarrow u_i + D_i(\ell)z}$ and \tilde{q} denotes a formal variable for $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}]]$. Note that $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$ can be interpreted as an element of

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))_{\text{loc}}((z^{-1}))[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V}))][t, x, y]$$

via the identification (3.7). We can now state our main result.

Theorem 6.1. *The function $-z \cdot (I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ is a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))]]$ -valued point on $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}), \mathbb{T}}$.*

Thanks to Theorem 4.2, it is enough to confirm that $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$ satisfies the three conditions appearing there. In the following three subsections, we will sequentially verify the conditions **(C3)**, **(C1)** and **(C2)**.

6.2. Restrictions of $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$. In this subsection, we describe the restriction $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$ for $\alpha \in F_L$. Recall from Section 3.2 and 3.4 that we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[u_1, \dots, u_N]/(\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}), \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i \in \alpha}]/\langle e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \alpha \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the map

$$\iota_\alpha^* : H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[u_1, \dots, u_N]/(\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i \in \alpha}]/\langle e_{\mathbb{T}}(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \alpha \rangle$$

is a $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)$ -module morphism with

$$\iota_\alpha^* u_i = \begin{cases} u_i & \text{if } i \in \alpha, \\ -\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_{\alpha, j}^\vee(D_i) \cdot (u_j + \lambda_j) & \text{if } i \notin \alpha \end{cases}$$

where $\{D_{\alpha, i}^\vee\}_{i \in \alpha} \subset \mathbb{L}$ is a dual basis of $\{D_i\}_{i \in \alpha}$. Hence the function $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(t, x, y, z)$ can be written as

$$(6.1) \quad \iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot \iota_\alpha^* u_i / z} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i \in \alpha} \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} R_{V_i}(u_i + cz)} \cdot \frac{I_V^{\iota_\alpha^* u + D(\ell)z}}{\prod_{i \notin \alpha} \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} R_{V_i}(\iota_\alpha^* u_i + cz)}$$

where, for a vector bundle $V \rightarrow B$, the form $R_V(w) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)[w]$ is defined as

$$R_V(w) := \prod_{\substack{\delta: \text{Chern roots} \\ \text{of } V}} (w + \delta) = w^{\text{rank}(V)} + c_1(V) \cdot w^{\text{rank}(V)-1} + \dots + c_{\text{rank}(V)}(V).$$

This coincides with $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge_{\text{tw}}$, which is introduced in Section 5.1, associated to the following data:

- a smooth toric data $(\mathbb{L}^\vee, D_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^\vee, \omega)$;
- vector bundles $\{V_i\}_{i \in \alpha}$ and $\{V_i\}_{i \notin \alpha}$;
- $\{D_i\}_{i \notin \alpha} \subset \mathbb{L}^\vee$.

Considering that $N_\alpha = \bigoplus_{i \notin \alpha} (V_i \otimes L_i)$, Theorem 5.1 shows that $-z \iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ is a $\text{Frac}(H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt}))[[\text{Eff}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))]]$ -valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha, (N_\alpha, e_{\mathbb{T}}^{-1})}$, which implies that **f** satisfies **(C3)**.

6.3. Poles of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$. In order to verify **(C1)**, we examine the set of poles of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z)$. Since the function $I_V^{\iota_\alpha^* u + D(\ell)z}$ belongs to

$$H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)[z, z^{-1}][[\text{Eff}(B)]][[x]],$$

all poles except for those at $z = 0, \infty$ arise from the denominators in (6.1):

$$\{\iota_\alpha^* u_i + \delta + cz : 1 \leq i \leq N, c \geq 1, \delta : \text{Chern roots of } V_i\}.$$

Note that the image of $\iota_\alpha^* u_i + \delta$ under the projection $H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt})$ equals

$$-\lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \alpha} D_{\alpha, j}^\vee(D_i) \cdot \lambda_j,$$

which coincides with $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}$ if there exists $\beta \in F_L$ such that $i_{\alpha,\beta} = i$. The condition **(C1)** for \mathbf{f} follows from the fact that, if $D_i(\ell) > 0$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}$ and $i \notin \alpha$, there exists $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ such that $i_{\alpha,\beta} = i$.

6.4. Recursion formula of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$. In this subsection, we establish the recursion formula (6.2) for principal parts of $\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$, which implies that $-z\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ satisfies **(C2)**. Note that since we already know that $-z\iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(-z)$ satisfies **(C1)** and **(C3)**, the following proposition finish the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Proposition 6.2. *For any $\alpha \in F_L$, $\beta \in \text{adj}(\alpha)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that*

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Prin}_{z=-\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}{k}} \iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z) = p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha^*} \left[q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)}{kz + c_1^\mathbb{T}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* \iota_\beta^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge \left(z = -\frac{c_1^\mathbb{T}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}{k} \right) \right]$$

where $C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$ is the element of $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}$ introduced in Theorem 4.2.

Proof. Since the function $R_{V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}}(\iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + kz) \cdot \iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z)$ is regular at $z = -\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}/k$, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \text{Prin}_{z=-\frac{\lambda_{\alpha,\beta}}{k}} \iota_\alpha^*(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge(z) = \frac{q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}}{R_{V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}}(\iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + kz)} \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \tilde{q}^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} \cdot \bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(\iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + kz)$$

where $\bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(w) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}[[\text{Eff}(B)]][[t, x, y]][w]$ is the unique element satisfying

- the function lying in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}[w][[\text{Eff}(B)]][[t, x, y]$

$$A_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(w) := \exp \left(\frac{k \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot \iota_\alpha^* u_i}{w - \iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}} \right) \cdot \frac{e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}) \cdot t_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})} R_{V_i}(\iota_\alpha^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} \iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \frac{c}{k} w)} \cdot R_{V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}}(w) \cdot I_V^{\iota_\alpha^* u - \frac{D(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} \iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \frac{D(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} w}$$

where

$$I_V^{\iota_\alpha^* u - \frac{D(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} \iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \frac{D(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} w}$$

denotes the function I_V^λ with λ_i replaced with $\iota_\alpha^* u_i - \frac{D_i(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} \iota_\alpha^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} + \frac{D_i(\ell+k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta})}{k} w$, coincides with the function $\bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(w)$ in the quotient ring $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}[w][[\text{Eff}(B)]][[t, x, y]] / (R_{V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}}(w))$;

- as a (Q, t, x, y) -series, all coefficients of $\bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(w)$ are polynomials in w of degree less than $\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})$ with coefficients in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}$.

By definition, there exist functions $\bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}^n \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})_\alpha)_{\text{loc}}[[\text{Eff}(B)]][[t, x, y]]$ ($0 \leq n \leq \text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}) - 1$) such that

$$\bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})-1} \bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}^n \cdot w^n.$$

We now proceed with the computation of the right-hand side. From Corollary 3.8, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i\in\alpha\cup\beta}]/\langle e(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \alpha \cup \beta \rangle, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta}) &\cong H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)[\{u_i\}_{i\in\beta}]/\langle e(V_i \otimes L_i) : i \in \beta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and the map $p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* : H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\beta}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta})$ is the $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(B)$ -module morphism sending u_i to u_i for $i \in \beta$. By direct calculation, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^*(I_V^{\lambda})^{\wedge} \left(z = -\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}{k} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{k \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^* u_i}{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \right) \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} I_V^{l_{\beta}^* u - \frac{D(\ell)}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} R_{V_i}(p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}))}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.9 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(-\frac{k \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^* u_i}{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \right) &= \exp \left(-k \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot \left(\frac{p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_i}{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} - D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) \right) \right), \\ \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell)} R_{V_i} \left(p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right) &= \prod_{c=k \cdot D_i(d_{\alpha\beta})+1}^{k \cdot D_i(\ell+d_{\alpha\beta})} R_{V_i} \left(p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}(k)}{kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\beta}^* l_{\beta}^*(I_V^{\lambda})^{\wedge} \left(z = -\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}{k} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}}{kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} \cdot \exp \left(-k \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \cdot \left(\frac{p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_i}{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})} - D_i(d_{\alpha\beta}) \right) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot R_{V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}} \left(p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}) \right) \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} I_V^{l_{\beta}^* u + \frac{D(\ell)}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta})}}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{D_i(\ell+d_{\alpha\beta})} R_{V_i}(p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_i - \frac{c}{k} c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha,\beta}))} \\ &= \frac{q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}}}{kz + p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* l_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} - u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}} \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} \cdot p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, we use Lemma 3.9 (2) and the equality

$$p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* A_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}) = p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha,\beta,k;\ell}(u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})$$

in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta})_{\text{loc}}[\text{Eff}(B)][[t, x, y]]$.

Since $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha\cup\beta}$ is isomorphic to the projectivization of the vector bundle $V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})_{\alpha}$, it holds that

$$p_{\alpha\cup\beta,\alpha}^* \left[u_{i_{\alpha,\beta}}^n \right] = s_{n-\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})+1}(V_{i_{\alpha,\beta}})$$

where s_i denotes the i -th Segre class [12]. Here we define $s_i(V) = 0$ if $i < 0$. Note that the Segre classes satisfy the following formula

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} x^{-i-\text{rank}(V)} s_i(V) = R_V(x)^{-1}$$

for any vector bundle V . Using these formulas and the projection formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}(u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})}{kz + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} - u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} (t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)^{-m-1} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}^m \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})-1} p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}^n \cdot u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}^n \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})-1} \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}^n \cdot \sum_{m \geq 0} (t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)^{-m-1} \cdot s_{m+n-\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})+1}(V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\text{rank}(V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})-1} \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}^n \cdot (t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)^n \cdot R_{V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}} (t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}(t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)}{R_{V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}}(t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the calculations above, the right-hand side of (6.2) can be computed as follows.:

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}} \cdot \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}(k)}{kz + c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \beta}^* l_{\beta}^*(I_V^{\lambda})^{\wedge} \left(z = -\frac{c_1^{\mathbb{T}}(L_{\alpha, \beta})}{k} \right) \right] \\
&= p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}}}{kz + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} - u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}} \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}(u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}) \right] \\
&= q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}} \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} \cdot p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha_*} \left[\frac{p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}(u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}})}{kz + p_{\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha}^* t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} - u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}} \right] \\
&= \frac{q^{k \cdot d_{\alpha \beta}}}{R_{V_{i_{\alpha, \beta}}}(t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz)} \cdot \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{eff}}} \tilde{q}^{\ell} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N D_i(\ell) \cdot t_i} \cdot \bar{A}_{\alpha, \beta, k; \ell}(t_{\alpha}^* u_{i_{\alpha, \beta}} + kz),
\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with (6.3). \square

APPENDIX A. FOURIER TRANSFORMATION

We introduce a Fourier transform of Givental cones, which we learned from [22], and check that the function $(I_V^{\lambda})^{\wedge}$ coincides with a Fourier transform of I_V^{λ} . See Remark 1.4 for the background. In this appendix, we interpret $(I_V^{\lambda})^{\wedge}$ as a \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -equivariant I -function for $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$; see Remark 1.3.

Let $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^N$ be an algebraic torus, and let X be a smooth semi-projective variety with a \mathbb{T} -action whose fixed point set is projective. For such a \mathbb{T} -variety, we can consider the *extended shift operator* [20] $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}$ for each $\beta \in H_2^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$. Via the fundamental solution $M_X(\tau)$ for the \mathbb{T} -equivariant theory, the operators are related in the following way [20, Proposition 2.7]:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta} \circ M_X(\tau) = M_X(\tau) \circ \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}.$$

The extended shift operator $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}$ satisfies that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}(f(\lambda, z)\alpha) = f(\lambda - \bar{\beta}z, z)\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{\beta}\alpha$$

for any $f(\lambda, z) \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\text{pt})[z]$ and any $\alpha \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X)$, and is defined by using Gromov-Witten invariants of the Seidel space $E_{-\bar{\beta}}$, which is defined as the quotient

$$E_{-\bar{\beta}} = X \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0) / \mathbb{C}^\times$$

where \mathbb{C}^\times acts on $X \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus 0)$ by the formula $t \cdot (x, (v_1, v_2)) = (t^{-\bar{\beta}}x, (tv_1, tv_2))$. We omit the precise definition here; see [19, 20] for details.

Let F be a connected component of the \mathbb{T} -fixed point set $X^{\mathbb{T}}$. Let N_F be the normal bundle to F in X , and consider its \mathbb{T} -weight decomposition $N_F = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^\times)} N_{F, \alpha}$. Let $\{\rho_{F, \alpha, j}\}_{j=1}^{\text{rank } N_{F, \alpha}}$ be the Chern roots of $N_{F, \alpha}$. For $\beta \in H_2^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$, the shift operator $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta$ on the Givental space $\mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}}$ satisfies that

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta \mathbf{f} \right) \Big|_F = \frac{Q^{\beta + \sigma_F(-\bar{\beta})} e^{-z\bar{\beta}\partial_\lambda} (\mathbf{f}|_F)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^\times)} \prod_{j=1}^{\text{rank } N_{F, \alpha}} \prod_{c=1}^{-\alpha \cdot \bar{\beta}} (\rho_{F, \alpha, j} + \alpha + cz)}$$

for any point \mathbf{f} on $\mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}}$ and any connected component F of $X^{\mathbb{T}}$. Here $\sigma_F(-\bar{\beta}) \in H_2^{\mathbb{T}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the image of the section class of $E_{-\bar{\beta}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ associated with $F \subset X$ under the natural map $H_2(E_{-\bar{\beta}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2^{\mathbb{T}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and $e^{-z\bar{\beta}\partial_\lambda}$ denotes the operator sending $f(\lambda, z)$ to $f(\lambda - (\lambda \cdot \bar{\beta})z, z)$. One can see that this formula uniquely determines $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ by using the localization formula.

Now, we assume that there is a smooth GIT quotient $Y = X // \mathbb{K}$ for a subtorus \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{T} , that is, $X^s = X^{ss}$ and \mathbb{K} acts freely on X^s . We denote the equivariant Kirwan map by $\kappa_Y: H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K}}^*(Y)$. We define the *Fourier transform* of $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}_{X, \mathbb{T}}^{\text{pol}}$ to be

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \sum_{[\beta] \in H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(X) / H_2(X)} \kappa_Y \left(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^{-\beta} e^{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i \lambda_i / z} \mathbf{f} \right) \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta$ denotes a formal variable associated to $\beta \in H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. It is easy to see that, for any $\lambda \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\text{pt}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta \in H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(X)$,

$$(\lambda \mathbf{f})^\wedge = \left(\kappa_Y(\lambda) + z \cdot \lambda \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{S}}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \quad \left(\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta \mathbf{f} \right)^\wedge = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta \hat{\mathbf{f}}$$

where $\lambda \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{S}}}$ is the derivation sending $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta$ to $(\lambda \cdot \beta) \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\beta$. Moreover, it is expected the following [22]:

- (1) The summation $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is, as a power series in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$, supported on a strictly convex cone in $H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ (described by the GIT data).
- (2) The transform $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ gives a map from the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Givental cone of X to the \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -equivariant Givental cone of Y .

Note that this is a straightforward generalization of [20, Conjecture 1.7].

We now consider the case that $X = V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ with the \mathbb{T} -action described in Section 3. Using a very ample line bundle over B , one can easily check that $Y = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{L}}(\vec{V})$ can be realized as a smooth GIT quotient of V by \mathbb{K} . Via the canonical splitting $H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(V) = H_2(B) \oplus H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(\text{pt})$, $H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{L}$ can be interpreted as the subgroup of $H_2^{\mathbb{K}}(V)$. We can explicitly compute the shift operator and the equivariant Kirwan map. For any character $\ell \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{L}$ and any point $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, z)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{V, \mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^\ell \mathbf{f}(\lambda, z) = \frac{\mathbf{f}(\lambda - D(\ell)z, z)}{\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{c=1}^{-D_i(\ell)} \prod_{\delta: \text{Chern roots of } V_i} (u_i + \delta + cz)}.$$

The equivariant Kirwan map $\kappa_{\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})}: H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(V) = H^*(B)[\lambda] \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K}}^*(\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}))$ sends $\phi \in H^*(B)$ to its pull-back along the projection $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V}) \rightarrow B$, and sends λ_i to $c_1^{\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K}}(L_i)$, where L_i is the \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{K} -line bundle (3.1) over $\mathbb{X}_L(\vec{V})$. By a direct computation, we can see that, for any point I_V^λ on $\mathcal{L}_{V,\mathbb{T}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{V,\mathbb{T}}^{\text{pol}}$, the Fourier transform of I_V^λ actually coincides with the function $(I_V^\lambda)^\wedge$ introduced in Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

1. Dan Abramovich, Tom Graber, and Angelo Vistoli, *Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Amer. J. Math. **130** (2008), no. 5, 1337–1398.
2. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 1–28.
3. K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 3, 601–617.
4. Nicole Berline and Michèle Vergne, *Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **295** (1982), no. 9, 539–541.
5. Jeff Brown, *Gromov-Witten invariants of toric fibrations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 19, 5437–5482.
6. Charles Cadman, *Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 2, 405–427.
7. Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng, *Computing genus-zero twisted Gromov-Witten invariants*, Duke Math. J. **147** (2009), no. 3, 377–438.
8. ———, *A mirror theorem for toric stacks*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 10, 1878–1912.
9. Tom Coates and Alexander Givental, *Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 1, 15–53.
10. Artur Elezi, *A mirror conjecture for projective bundles*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 55, 3445–3458.
11. Honglu Fan and Yuan-Pin Lee, *On Gromov-Witten theory of projective bundles*, Michigan Math. J. **69** (2020), no. 1, 153–178.
12. William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
13. Alexander Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996), Progr. Math., vol. 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998, pp. 141–175.
14. Alexander B. Givental, *Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures*, Frobenius manifolds, Aspects Math., vol. E36, Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004, pp. 91–112.
15. T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 2, 487–518.
16. Tamás Hausel and Bernd Sturmfels, *Toric hyperKähler varieties*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 495–534.
17. Hiroshi Iritani, *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), no. 3, 1016–1079.
18. ———, *Quantum cohomology and periods*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **61** (2011), no. 7, 2909–2958.
19. ———, *Shift operators and toric mirror theorem*, Geom. Topol. **21** (2017), no. 1, 315–343.
20. Hiroshi Iritani, *Quantum cohomology of blowups*, 2023, arXiv:2307.13555 [math.AG].
21. Hiroshi Iritani and Yuki Koto, *Quantum cohomology of projective bundles*, 2023, arXiv:2307.03696 [math.AG].
22. Hiroshi Iritani and Fumihiko Sanda, private communication.
23. Yunfeng Jiang, Hsian-Hua Tseng, and Fenglong You, *The quantum orbifold cohomology of toric stack bundles*, Lett. Math. Phys. **107** (2017), no. 3, 439–465.

24. Bumsig Kim, Andrew Kresch, and Tony Pantev, *Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **179** (2003), no. 1-2, 127–136.
25. Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, *Localization in Gromov-Witten theory and orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, Handbook of moduli. Vol. II, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 25, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 353–425.
26. Rahul Pandharipande, *Rational curves on hypersurfaces (after A. Givental)*, no. 252, 1998, Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98, pp. Exp. No. 848, 5, 307–340.
27. Constantin Teleman, *Gauge theory and mirror symmetry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014, pp. 1309–1332.
28. Valentin Tonita, *Twisted orbifold Gromov-Witten invariants*, Nagoya Math. J. **213** (2014), 141–187.
29. Angelo Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 3, 613–670.

Email address: `ykoto@gate.sinica.edu.tw`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, ASTRONOMY-MATHEMATICS BUILDING,
NO. 1, SEC. 4, ROOSEVELT ROAD, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN.