Non-orthogonal eigenvectors, fluctuation-dissipation relations and entropy production

Yan V. Fyodorov

King's College London, Department of Mathematics, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

Ewa Gudowska-Nowak, Maciej A. Nowak, and Wojciech Tarnowski

Institute of Theoretical Physics and Mark Kac Center for Complex Systems Research, Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Poland

Celebrated fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) linking the response function to time dependent correlations of observables measured in the reference unperturbed state is one of the central results in equilibrium statistical mechanics. In this letter we discuss an extension of the standard FDT to the case when multidimensional matrix representing transition probabilities is strictly non-normal. This feature dramatically modifies the dynamics, by incorporating the effect of eigenvector non-orthogonality via the associated overlap matrix of Chalker-Mehlig type. In particular, the rate of entropy production per unit time is strongly enhanced by that matrix. We suggest, that this mechanism has an impact on the studies of collective phenomena in neural matrix models, leading, via transient behavior, to such phenomena as synchronisation and emergence of the memory. We also expect, that the described mechanism generating the entropy production is generic for wide class of phenomena, where dynamics is driven by non-normal operators. For the case of driving by a large Ginibre matrix the entropy production rate is evaluated analytically, as well as for the Rajan-Abbott model for neural networks.

PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 02.50.Sk, 64.60. Ht

Close to equilibrium the regression hypothesis and linear response theory allow computation of transport coefficients (e.g. diffusion, mobility, electric conductivity) in terms of unperturbed averages of total current correlation functions [1–6]. This relationship between (in general, time-dependent) forcing and time correlation of observables of interest in perturbed systems is a fundamental result of statistical physics known as the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT)[7]. The theorem states that if a system fluctuates in the presence of a restoring linear dissipative force, the variance of fluctuations - which is a stationary property - is linearly related to the dissipation coefficient which features the transient response of the system. Developments of response theory to far-from-equilibrium phenomena - like slow relaxing structural glasses and proteins, mesoscopic radiative heat transfer or driven granular media where the violation of FDT was observed [8-15] initiated interest in various generalizations and interpretations of FDT to systems with non-zero entropy production. In particular, for growth phenomena such as those described by the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [16] different formulations of the FDT have been proposed [17, 18]. New approaches have been brought forward to address fluctuation-dissipation relations in systems exhibiting anomalous transport properties, including sub-and super-diffusion [19-21] and derivation of response relations from a trajectory-based description has been advanced [22, 23] along with investigation of "dynamical activity" as a complement to entropy, with the excess in the latter quantity propounded as a new Lyapunov functional. In recent years functionality and use of FDT and its generalized forms has been assessed in various fields: information theory (multipartite entanglement of quantum systems [24]), quantum chaos [25, 26], climatology [27, 28], inviscid hydrodynamics, active matter [29, 30] and analysis of spontaneous and stimulus-related activity of neuronal networks [31, 32], experimental retrieval of Green's functions

in complex reverberating enclosures [33, 34], to name just a few.

The aim of this work is to shed new light on a generalization of fluctuation-dissipation relation for a multivariate dynamical system whose linearized dynamics close to a stationary state is described by the set of Langevin equations

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} x_j(t) + \xi_j(t),$$
(1)

where the driving matrix A is not symmetric and, in particular, not normal. Here $\xi(t)$ represents a random (Gaussian) driving force with zero mean and the correlation matrix $\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_i(t')\rangle = B_{ij}\delta(t-t')$. This process can be also viewed as a multivariate version of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [1, 35, 36]. The multivariate Langevin dynamics is relevant in many instances, e.g. in modelling Markovian reaction networks, with entries of the matrix A representing transition rates between states derived from the chemical master equation [37] or dynamic response and localization phenomena in population dynamics [38] and biological transport [39]. In the case of neural networks matrix A captures properties of synaptic couplings between the pre-synaptic j-th neurons and the post-synaptic i-th neuron [40, 41]. Not dissimilar equations can be also shown [42] to underly the description of diffusive fields in reverberating cavities in the framework of effective Hamiltonian approach [43].

We start our consideration with recalling a formulation of FDT in the form known as a continuous Lyapunov (Sylvester) equation. Then, we discuss modifications necessary when the asymmetric coupling matrix *A*, despite having a complex spectrum, can be diagonalized by a single unitary transformation. Such a situation of *normal* matrices [44] is however non-generic, which motivates us to consider a generic case of truly non-normal *A*. We then present the generalized FDT,

pointing out the crucial role played for the system's dynamics by the left-right eigenvector non-orthogonality factors of the type introduced by Chalker and Mehlig in [45]. As a next step, building on [36], we link those factors with the entropy production rate, and show that increasing non-normality in the system, while keeping spectrum unaltered enhances entropy production. Finally, we present numerics for three models, which explicitly confirm the importance of eigenvectors non-orthogonality factors and display the associated transient behavior. We conclude by discussing the relevance of the proposed generalization not only for the study of real neuronal systems, but also for much broader class of models with non-normality built in.

In the following we always assume that *A* is a timeindependent matrix whose all eigenvalues have only negative real parts to ensure stability of the quiescent steady state x = 0. The general solution of Eq.(1) with the initial condition $x(0) = x_0$ is $x(t) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t ds e^{A(t-s)}\xi(s)$ and the covariance of instantaneous fluctuations $\delta x(t) = x(t) - e^{At}x_0$ is given by the $N \times N$ matrix

$$C(\tau, t) = \left\langle \delta x(t+\tau) \delta x^{T}(t) \right\rangle = e^{A\tau} C(0, t)$$
(2)

where we assumed $\tau > 0$. The equal-time covariance matrix given by

$$C(0,t) = \int_0^t e^{As} B e^{A^T s} ds.$$
 (3)

In the large time limit it reduces to $C_0 \equiv C(0, \infty)$ that satisfies the Lyapunov (Sylvester) equation [1, 29, 46–49]

$$AC_0 + C_0 A^T = -B \tag{4}$$

and the correlation function defined for arbitrary $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ reads

$$C(\tau) = e^{A\tau} C_0 \theta(\tau) + C_0 e^{-A^T \tau} \theta(-\tau), \qquad (5)$$

which implies $C(-\tau) = C^T(\tau)$.

Eq.(4) expresses a celebrated FDT for a linear dissipative dynamics balancing restoring action of the coupling matrix *A* with strength of random driving encoded in *B*. To demonstrate its physical content, let us recall a relevant example of a Brownian motion in a viscous fluid described by the Langevin equation $m\ddot{x} = -\gamma \dot{x} + \xi(t)$. Solution to this dynamics results in

$$\langle \dot{x}(t)^2 \rangle = e^{-2\gamma t/m} \dot{x}(0)^2 + \frac{B}{\gamma m} (1 - e^{-2\gamma t/m}).$$
 (6)

For $t \to \infty$ this term approaches $B/\gamma m$ and has to be compared with equilibrium equipartition of energy $\langle \dot{x}(t)^2 \rangle_{eq} = \frac{k_B T}{m}$, hence $B = \gamma k_B T$, providing Einstein's form of the FDT relating the temperature, dissipation and diffusion.

We discuss now the generic case when matrix A is nonnormal, i.e. does not commute with its transpose. Then, in general, Eq.(4) can be solved by using the spectral decomposition $A = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |R_k\rangle \lambda_k \langle L_k|$ in terms of right (left) eigenvectors $|R_i\rangle$ and $\langle L_i|$ corresponding to the *same* complex eigenvalue λ_i . For the transposed matrix this implies $A^T = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\overline{L_k}\rangle \lambda_k \overline{\langle R_k|} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |L_k\rangle \overline{\lambda_k} \langle R_k|$, which upon inserting into (4) converts it to the form

$$C_0 = \int_0^\infty e^{As} B e^{A^T s} ds = -\sum_{k,l=1}^N \frac{|R_k\rangle \langle L_k| B |L_l\rangle \langle R_l|}{\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda}_l}.$$
 (7)

Differentiating Eq.(5) and making use of Eq. (4) leads to FDT expressed in two equivalent forms

$$\partial_{\tau}C(\tau) + C(\tau)A^{T} = -\chi(\tau)B, \qquad (8)$$

$$\partial_{\tau}C(\tau) - AC(\tau) = B\chi^{T}(-\tau), \qquad (9)$$

where the response function of the system reads $\chi(t) = e^{At}\theta(t)$. Adding (8) and (9) together yields

$$2\partial_{\tau}C(\tau) = -\chi(\tau)B + B\chi^{T}(-\tau) + \Delta(\tau), \qquad (10)$$

where the "FDT violation" term

$$\Delta(\tau) = AC(\tau) - C(\tau)A^T \tag{11}$$

is induced by the asymmetry of *A*, as we shall discuss in details below. The above analysis can be also performed in Fourier space, see Appendix A.

To further quantify the effects of non-normality, we rewrite $\Delta(\tau)$ using the spectral decomposition of *A*:

$$\Delta(\tau) = -\sum_{k,l} |R_k\rangle \langle L_k| B |L_l\rangle \langle R_l|$$

$$\times \frac{\lambda_k - \bar{\lambda}_l}{\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda}_l} \left(e^{\lambda_k \tau} \theta(\tau) + e^{-\bar{\lambda}_l \tau} \theta(-\tau) \right).$$
(12)

We note here that similar observation to the above equation was made in [36]. In case of real symmetric A and B = 1 the eigenvectors are orthogonal and eigenvalues are real, which with $\langle L_k | B | L_l \rangle = \delta_{kl}$ implies $\Delta(\tau) = 0$. For asymmetric but normal A with B = 1 the eigenvalues are complex, but eigenvectors are still orthogonal so that

$$\Delta(\tau) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} |R_k\rangle \langle R_k| \frac{i \mathrm{Im}\lambda_k}{\mathrm{Re}\lambda_k} \left(e^{\lambda_k \tau} \theta(\tau) + e^{-\bar{\lambda}_k \tau} \theta(-\tau) \right), \quad (13)$$

which means that the eigenmodes of A contribute independently to $\Delta(\tau)$.

We present now the main result of this paper: For a generic non-normal A and uncorrelated noise B = 1 it is convenient to introduce the traced object $\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \Delta$, which simplifies the formulas thanks to $\text{Tr} |R_k\rangle \langle L_k |L_l\rangle \langle R_l| = \langle L_k |L_l\rangle \langle R_l |R_k\rangle = O_{kl}$. The matrix O with entries O_{kl} encodes the left-right eigenvectors overlap and the difference O - 1 is a convenient standard indicator of non-normality. In such a case we arrive at

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{kl} O_{kl} \frac{\lambda_k - \bar{\lambda}_l}{\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda}_l} \left(e^{\lambda_k \tau} \theta(\tau) + e^{-\bar{\lambda}_l \tau} \theta(-\tau) \right)$$
(14)

displaying that the contributions of all eigenvalues are coupled through the eigenvectors. The appearance of the overlap matrix O in the above equation is remarkable. First of all, such a matrix is known to control the spectral stability of linear systems under a small additive perturbation δA of the coupling matrix A. The leading change in matrix spectrum is controlled by the first-order perturbation $\delta \lambda_i$ = $\langle L_i | \delta A | R_i \rangle \leq \sqrt{\langle L_i | L_i \rangle \langle R_i | R_i \rangle} ||\delta A ||_2$, where $||\delta A ||_2$ denotes the Frobenius norm and the last inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwarz triangular condition for norm. The square root of the diagonal entries $O_{ii} \ge 1$ featuring in the above estimate is known in numerical analysis community as the eigenvalue condition number determining the enhanced sensitivity of the perturbation in the case of non-normal operators. By taking the diagonal elements of the sum in Eq. (14) and comparing with Eq. (13) we see that O_{ii} is responsible for amplifying the FDT violation. The matrix O also has an impact on transient behavior and other characteristics of systems with classical dynamics [50-53] like relaxation, scattering and other properties of their quantum counterparts [54-60]. All this has motivated a long-standing interest in statistics of entries O_{ii} of the overlap matrix for random linear operators and matrices [45, 61-68]

Considering the formal solution of Eq. (1) for the initial vector "spike" $x(t) = x(0)\delta(t)$ and decomposing $A = X - \mu \mathbf{1}$ to explicitly include May's stability condition, the spectral decomposition of X in terms of its left and right eigenvectors yields the following squared norm of the solution $D(t) = \langle x(t)|x(t)\rangle$ known as the Euclidean distance from the stationary point:

$$D(t) = e^{-2\mu t} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \langle x(0) | L_i \rangle \langle R_i | R_j \rangle \langle L_j | x(0) \rangle e^{t(\bar{\lambda}_i + \lambda_j)}$$
(15)

Further assuming spikes to be uniformly distributed over N-dimensional hypersphere leads upon averaging to

$$\tilde{D}(t) = e^{-2\mu t} \sum_{k,l} e^{t(\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda_l})} O_{lk}$$
(16)

We again see that different eigenvalues are coupled during the evolution, and the coupling is further strengthened by eigenvectors overlap matrix. As we discuss below, such coupling is known to generate a transient behavior for $\tilde{D}(t)$.

We can strengthen this argument by deriving the explicit formulae for entropy production rate per unit time Φ in terms of the overlap operators. Since Φ can be expressed as [36]

$$\Phi = -\mathrm{Tr} \, B^{-1} A \Delta(0), \tag{17}$$

the explicit calculation of the trace yields

$$\Phi = \sum_{k,l} \langle R_l | B^{-1} | R_k \rangle \langle L_k | B | L_l \rangle \lambda_k \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_l}{\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda}_l}$$
(18)

Note that for the class of linear transformations of initial multivariate variables x_i , similarity transformation allows to choose B = 1, and preserves complex eigenvalues of A. This observation allows us to write the generic property for entropy production rate as

$$\Phi = \sum_{k,l} O_{kl} \Lambda_{kl} \tag{19}$$

where $\Lambda_{kl} = \lambda_k (\lambda_k - \bar{\lambda_l}) / (\lambda_k + \bar{\lambda_l})$. This expression elucidates the main role of non-orthogonality factors O_{kl} in the rate of entropy production. Not only those factors couple different eigenmodes, but also enhance the coupling dramatically, as they usually grow with the dimension of the multivariate vector N. When the value of index N is small a brute force double summation in (19) is possible. On the other hand, when N is large and X is random another approach, relying on the properties of stochastic operators in the leading planar approximation, turns out to be very efficient. Particularly useful is the introduction of large N resolvents of the type $W_1(z, w) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{z-X} \frac{1}{w-X^T} \right\rangle$ and applications of Dunford-Taylor formulae, as discussed in Appendix B. These techniques allow (as far as we are aware of, for the first time), calculation of entropy production rate in a non-normal linear system driven by celebrated Ginibre ensemble, as well as in Rajan-Abbott model for neural networks, discussed beneath.

To elucidate the implications of eigenvector nonorthogonality factors on a concrete example, we focus on the dynamical models of neuron networks. Understanding of dynamics of signal propagation through such networks and the emergence of collective long-time phenomena like synchronisation and/or memory still represents a formidable challenge. In what follows we choose A to be a random matrix and explore spectral properties of the ensuing correlation function C_0 satisfying the equation (4). As a justification of our choice we recall that random matrices appear naturally as couplings in several models or real neural networks or artificial networks in machine learning [69].

Let us first assume that A is normal and modelled by matrices from the (diagonally shifted) Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble, $A = -\mu \mathbf{1} + GOE$, characterized by the probability distribution

$$P(A)dA \sim e^{-N\operatorname{Tr}(A+\mu\mathbf{1})^2} dA.$$
(20)

where we choose $\mu \ge 1$ to ensure stability of the corresponding dynamics. Assuming the simplest unstructured noise $B = \mathbf{1}$ it is straightforward to see that the solution of (4) is given by $C_0 = -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}$. As the spectral density of A in this case is a shifted semicircle $\rho_A(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{(1 - \mu - x)(x + \mu + 1)}$, a simple change of variables $y = -\frac{1}{2x}$ yields the density of eigenvalues for C_0 as:

$$\rho_{C_0}(y) = \frac{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}}{\pi y^3} \sqrt{\left(y - \frac{1}{2(\mu + 1)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2(\mu - 1)} - y\right)}.$$
 (21)

We immediately see that for $\mu \rightarrow 1$ the spectrum of the covariance matrix becomes unbounded with the power-law tail:

$$\lim_{\mu \to 1} \rho_{C_0}(y) = \frac{1}{\pi y^3} \sqrt{y - \frac{1}{4}}$$
(22)

This power-law behavior is related to the criticality of the system [70] and originates from the May's stability-complexity transition [38].

Next we consider A to be normal matrix with complex eigenvalues, which we take from the class of shifted normal

ensembles sharing the joint probability density of eigenvalues with the non-normal Ginibre matrices. Still keeping B = 1, the Eq. (7) reduces now to

$$C_0 = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} |R_k\rangle \frac{1}{2\text{Re}\lambda_k} \langle R_k|, \qquad (23)$$

Observe that the density of complex eigenvalue for the chosen ensemble is uniform inside the unit circle, so that the associated density of real parts is given by the Wigner semicircle. This in turn implies that the spectrum of C_0 is exactly the same as in the formerly considered case of shifted GOE matrices.

Finally we discuss two models with "tunable nonnormality", starting with matrices whose mean spectral density is given by the Ginibre law. In Model 1 we begin with a Ginibre matrix X with the uniform limiting density of eigenvalues inside the unit disk. In the next step a Schur decomposition is performed $X = U(\Lambda + T)U^{\dagger}$. The upper triangular part is rescaled as $T \rightarrow \eta T$ with $\eta \ge 0$ and the matrix A is constructed as $A = U(\Lambda + \eta T)U^{\dagger}$. Finally, the matrix is shifted $A \rightarrow A - \mu \mathbf{1}$ to ensure stability of the dynamical system. In Model 2 the matrix A is composed by commencing with a Ginibre matrix X whose elements within each row are constrained to sum up to 0 by imposing $X_{ij} \to X_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_{ij}$. Then we define $A = -\mu \mathbf{1} + X + \nu M$ such that $M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} um^T$, where $u^T = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $m^T = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_N)$ with elements of *m* satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_j = 0$ (for convenience we assume also $\sum_{j} m_{j}^{2} = 1$). Two parameters η, ν of the models control the degree of non-normality in A, hence the eigenvectors non-orthogonality. At the same time, the limiting mean eigenvalue density is insensitive to the non-normality parameters. Model 2 was originally proposed by Rajan-Abbott [71], however only recently [52, 53] the role of non-orthogonal eigenvectors was discussed.

To further analyze spectrum of the covariance matrix in *Model 1* at the edge of a stability/instability transition, we have investigated tail distributions (exceedance) of the eigenvalues for C_0 for various parameters η . Inspection of Fig.1 (upper panel) indicates that within a certain range eigenvalue density for C_0 is well-described by a power-law. Assuming $\rho_{C_0} \propto \lambda^{-\alpha}$, we get $\lambda \propto CCDF^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, where CCDF stands for the complementary cumulative distribution function: CCDF = $1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{C_0}(y) dy$. The middle panel of Fig. 1 shows the power law exponent as a function of the non-normality parameter η . The exponent decreases, demonstrating that the spectral density displays heavier tails in the critical regime with increase in non-normality of the matrix A. Note that for $\eta = 0$ one gets $\alpha = 2.5$ as expected from Eq. (22), because it corresponds to the normal Ginibre matrices. In Model 2 the non-normality of matrix A affects only the largest eigenvalue of C_0 the magnitude of which grows like v^2 , see bottom panel of Fig. 1.

Finally, we move to the Rajan-Abbott model of neuron networks [71]. In previous works, we have calculated numerically [53] and analytically [72] the averaged squared norm D(t) (cf. Eq.15), called there the "Euclidean distance", both results perfectly agreeing with each other. Here we use identical numerical procedure to study instead the FDT "violation parameter" $\tilde{\Delta}(\tau)$, whose analytic formula at large N is not yet



FIG. 1. The Zipf plot (top panel) for the eigenvalues λ versus the eigenvalue complementary cumulative distribution function $(CCDF = \int_{x}^{+\infty} \rho_{C_0}(y)dy)$ of $N \times N$ correlation matrices (N = 400) close to a critical point (*Model 1*). Middle panel: behavior of the power law exponent α as a function of the non-normality parameter η . Bottom panel: Four largest eigenvalues of C_0 in *Model 2* as a function of the non-normality parameter ψ . Only the largest one is affected, while the density of other eigenvalues remains almost unchanged.

available. The results are presented in Fig. 2. We remind that for a symmetric matrix A this contribution would simply vanish, and the growing non-normality parameter ν is responsible for more pronounced transient behavior. The observed



FIG. 2. Numerical results for $\tilde{\Delta}(\tau)$ for various values of nonnormality parameter ν . The transient behavior is dominant for intermediate time scales and is enhanced by larger non-normalities. The "anomalous" contribution to FDT decays at large times.

non-orthogonality of eigenvectors is responsible for a rather complex transient behavior [50, 52] at intermediate temporal scales. With non-normal *A* in that case played by the associated Jacobian matrix, a perturbation to its entries affects eigenmodes and interferes positively to enhance susceptibility of the system to the perturbation, leading to increase in its capacity to exhibit a transient growth of deviation in linear regime [50]. This enhancement of a system's reactivity has gained recently a broad attention and the effect has been discussed for large complex networks representing ecosystems [73], spatio-temporal formation of Turing patterns [74] and models of neural systems [53, 75–77]. It turns out that the average entropy production rate in *Model 2* can be calculated analytically in the limit of large system size (see Appendix B) and reads

$$\Phi = N \left(1 + v^2 \right) \left(\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1} \right)^{-1}.$$
 (24)

It clearly shows that increasing non-normality in the system (controlled by ν) enhances production of entropy.

In conclusion, in this work we have pointed the crucial role of eigenvector nonorthogonality overlap matrix of the Chalker-Mehlig type for the production of entropy in nonequilibrium states in the case of non-normal non-Hermitian operators governing a multivariable Langevin-type relaxation. We suggested, that this particular mechanism is responsible for the emergence of the transient dynamics in complex systems with classical or quantum dynamics. Finally, we tested these concepts on simple models of neuronal systems, in which it is known that the increasing non-normality of connectivity matrices leads directly to speeding up of system's dynamics [75]. This observation is in agreement with our earlier speculations [53], that the possible synchronisation may come from the transient behavior, driven mainly by the coupled dynamics of complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors and agrees qualitativelly with the full numerical simulations of Rajan-Abbott models [78]. Quantitatively, in this letter

we have also provided an explicit formula for the entropy production in Rajan-Abbott model, demonstrating explicit dependence on non-normality parameter. We hope that relatively simple form of the extended FDT, and the recent outburst of analytic results for eigenvector correlations in random matrix theory will help in better understanding of the main features of real neural networks. This particular fusion of ideas coming from statistical physics, random matrix theory and neuroscience can be also applied to other domain of complex systems, like so-called odd-diffusion, where collisions enhance the dynamics [79, 80].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Claude Godreche and Jean-Marc Luck for bringing their related work to the authors' attention and to Abhinav Sharma for pointing the link to the analysis of odd-diffusive systems. Y.V.F. acknowledges financial support from EPSRC Grant EP/V002473/1 "Random Hessians and Jacobians: theory and applications". This work was supported by the TEAMNET POIR.04.04.00- 00-14DE/18-00 grant of the Foundation for Polish Science and by the Priority Research Area Digiworld under the program Excellence Initiative-Research University at the Jagiellonian University in Krakow.

APPENDIX A ANALYSIS OF LYAPUNOV (SYLVESTER) EQUATION IN FOURIER SPACE

In the Fourier space $C(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega\tau} C(\tau) d\tau$ and the correlation function reads

$$C(\omega) = -(i\omega + A)^{-1}C_0 + C_0(i\omega - A^T)^{-1}.$$
 (25)

Using the explicit form of C_0 and the spectral decomposition of *A* the above can be further rewritten as

$$C(\omega) = -\sum_{kl} \frac{|R_k\rangle \langle L_k| B | L_l \rangle \langle R_l |}{(i\omega + \lambda_k)(i\omega - \bar{\lambda}_l)},$$
(26)

which is equivalent to the product form

$$C(\omega) = (A + i\omega)^{-1} B(A^T - i\omega)^{-1}.$$
 (27)

Following Eq.(1) the response function (or the general susceptibility of the system) with respect to the random field $\xi(t)$ is $\chi(t) = e^{At}\theta(t)$ which in the Fourier space reads $\chi(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{At}e^{i\omega t}dt = -(i\omega + A)^{-1}$. Consequently, $C(\omega) = \chi(\omega)B\chi^T(-\omega)$, and

$$i\omega C(\omega) = -B\chi^{T}(-\omega) - AC(\omega) = \chi(\omega)B + C(\omega)A^{T}.$$
 (28)

The last identity yields

$$2i\omega C(\omega) = \chi(\omega)B - B\chi^{T}(-\omega) + C(\omega)A^{T} - AC(\omega).$$
(29)

First, let us make a simplifying assumption of *A* being normal. Then substituting in the above $B = 2k_BT\mathbf{1}$ brings about another form of the FDT relation:

$$\chi(\omega) - \chi^{T}(-\omega) = \frac{i\omega}{k_{B}T}C(\omega)$$
(30)

Note that $\chi^{\dagger}(\omega) = \chi^{T}(-\omega)$, thus we have the anti-hermitian part (Im(*X*) = $\frac{X-X^{\dagger}}{2i}$) of $\chi(\omega)$ as a generalization of the imaginary part for the scalar case. Since $C(\omega)$ is a hermitian matrix (cf. (27)), we can write

$$\omega C(\omega) = \operatorname{Im}(\chi(\omega)B + C(\omega)A^T). \tag{31}$$

APPENDIX B ENTROPY PRODUCTION RATE IN MODEL 2

Combining (17) with (11) and (7), we can represent entropy production rate as

$$\Phi = \operatorname{Tr} \int_0^\infty A e^{As} e^{A^T s} A^T ds - \operatorname{Tr} \int_0^\infty A^2 e^{As} e^{A^T s} A^T ds, \quad (32)$$

where we already set B = 1. The Dunford-Taylor integral [81, 82], which is a matrix generalization of the Cauchy's integral formula, allows one to represent any analytic function of a matrix as

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)dz}{z - X},$$
(33)

where the integration contour *C* encircles all eigenvalues. Taking into account that in all models $A = -\mu \mathbf{1} + X$, we rewrite the entropy production rate as

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_0^\infty ds \oint_C dz \oint_C dw \, (z-\mu)(w-z) e^{s(z+w-2\mu)} R_1(z,w),$$

where we introduced a traced product of resolvents

$$R_1(z,w) = \text{Tr}\frac{1}{z-X}\frac{1}{w-X^T}.$$
 (34)

Since *X* is random, we consider ensemble average of R_1 as the correlation function $W_1(z, w) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} R_1(z, w) \right\rangle$. The $\frac{1}{N}$ factor is introduced to obtain the finite expression in the large *N* limit, which is a consequence of extensivity of entropy. This correlation function in large *N* has been calculated in [72] and reads

$$W_1(z,w) = \frac{1}{zw - 1} \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{zw} \right).$$
(35)

We calculate the double contour integral first

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_C dz \oint_C dw \, (z-\mu)(w-z) e^{s(z+w-2\mu)} \frac{1}{zw-1} \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{zw}\right). \tag{36}$$

The integral over w can be evaluated by the method of residues. There are two residues $w_1 = 1/z$ and $w_2 = 0$. The second residue, however, yields an integral that is analytic in z, hence integral over z yields 0. The residue at w_1 leads to

$$\frac{1+\nu^2}{2\pi i} \oint_C dz \, \frac{z-\mu}{z} \left(\frac{1}{z}-z\right) e^{s\left(z+\frac{1}{z}-2\mu\right)}.$$
 (37)

If we denote by $\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{N} \langle \Phi \rangle$ the average entropy production rate per component of the system, it is therefore given by

$$\tilde{\Phi} = (1+\nu^2) \int_0^\infty e^{-2\mu s} \left(I_0(2s) - I_2(2s) \right) ds, \qquad (38)$$

where we used the integral representation of modified Bessel function of the first kind

$$I_{a}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} e^{\frac{s}{2}(z+\frac{1}{z})} \frac{dz}{z^{a+1}},$$
(39)

where the integration contour Γ encircles z = 0. The integrals in (38) are calculated using a well known formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\mu s} I_a(s) ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1} \left(\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}\right)^a}, \quad (40)$$

which finally results in

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \left(1 + \nu^2\right) \left(\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}\right)^{-1}.$$
(41)

Note that putting $v^2 = 0$ yields the entropy production rate in the Ginibre ensemble, since for this ensemble $W_1(z, w) = \frac{1}{zw-1}$, as a special case for so-called R-diagonal operators [83].

REFERENCES

- Robert Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics* (Oxford University Press, 2001).
- [2] Roberto Livi and Paolo Politi, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics. A Modern Perspective* (Cambridge University Press,

2017).

[3] Ryogo Kubo, Morikazu Toda, and Natsuki Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics II: Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, Vol. 31 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).

- [4] Umberto Marconi, Andrea Puglisi, Lamberto Rondoni, and Angelo Vulpiani, "Fluctuation–dissipation: response theory in statistical physics," Physics Reports 461, 111 (2008).
- [5] Herbert B Callen and Theodore A Welton, "Irreversibility and generalized noise," Physical Review 83, 34 (1951).
- [6] Lino Reggiani and Eleonora Alfinito, "Beyond the formulations of the fluctuation dissipation theorem given by Callen and Welton (1951) and expanded by Kubo (1966)," Frontiers in Physics 8, 238 (2020).
- [7] Ryogo Kubo, "The fluctuation-dissipation theorem," Reports on Progress in Physics 29, 255 (1966).
- [8] Tomás S Grigera and N E Israeloff, "Observation of fluctuationdissipation-theorem violations in a structural glass," Physical Review Letters 83, 5038 (1999).
- [9] Federico Ricci-Tersenghi, Daniel A Stariolo, and Jeferson J Arenzon, "Two time scales and violation of the fluctuationdissipation theorem in a finite dimensional model for structural glasses," Physical Review Letters 84, 4473 (2000).
- [10] Andrea Crisanti and Felix Ritort, "Violation of the fluctuationdissipation theorem in glassy systems: basic notions and the numerical evidence," Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 36, R181 (2003).
- [11] Alain Barrat, "Monte carlo simulations of the violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in domain growth processes," Physical Review E 57, 3629 (1998).
- [12] Ludovic Bellon and Sergio Ciliberto, "Experimental study of the fluctuation dissipation relation during an aging process," Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 168, 325–335 (2002).
- [13] Kumiko Hayashi and Mitsunori Takano, "Violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in a protein system," Biophysical Journal 93, 895–901 (2007).
- [14] Agustin Pérez-Madrid, Luciano Calheiros Lapas, and J Miguel Rubí, "Heat exchange between two interacting nanoparticles beyond the fluctuation-dissipation regime," Physical Review Letters 103, 048301 (2009).
- [15] Dmitri V Averin and Jukka P Pekola, "Violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in time-dependent mesoscopic heat transport," Physical Review Letters 104, 220601 (2010).
- [16] Mehran Kardar, Giorgio Parisi, and Yi-Cheng Zhang, "Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces," Physical Review Letters 56, 889 (1986).
- [17] Horacio S Wio, Rodríguez, Miguel A, Gallego, Rafael, Jorge A Revelli, Alejandro Alés, and Roberto R Deza, "d-dimensional KPZ equation as a stochastic gradient flow in an evolving landscape: interpretation and time evolution of its generating functional," Frontiers in Physics 4, 52 (2017).
- [18] Miguel A Rodríguez and Horacio S Wio, "Stochastic entropies and fluctuation theorems for a discrete one-dimensional Kardar-Parisi-Zhang system," Physical Review E 100, 032111 (2019).
- [19] Noelle Pottier and Alain Mauger, "Anomalous diffusion of a particle in an aging medium," Physica A 332, 15–28 (2004).
- [20] Łukasz Kuśmierz, Bartłomiej Dybiec, and Ewa Gudowska-Nowak, "Thermodynamics of superdiffusion generated by Lévy–Wiener fluctuating forces," Entropy 20, 658 (2018).
- [21] Bartłomiej Dybiec, Juan M R Parrondo, and Ewa Gudowska-Nowak, "Fluctuation-dissipation relations under Lévy noises," Europhysics Letters 98, 50006 (2012).
- [22] Christian Maes, "Response theory: A trajectory-based approach," Frontiers in Physics 8, 229 (2020).
- [23] Christian Maes, "Frenesy: Time-symmetric dynamical activity in nonequilibria," Physics Reports 850, 1–33 (2020).
- [24] Phillip Hauke, Markus Heyl, Luca Tagliacozzo, and Peter Zoller, "Measuring multipartite entanglement through dynamic susceptibilities," Nature Physics 12, 782 (2016).

- [25] Naoto Tsuji, Tomohiro Shitara, and Masahito Ueda, "Out-oftime-order fluctuation-dissipation theorem," Physical Review E 97, 012101 (2018).
- [26] Silvia Pappalardi, Laura Foini, and Jorge Kurchan, "Quantum bounds and fluctuation-dissipation relations," SciPost Physics 12, 130 (2022).
- [27] Pedram Hassanzadeh and Zhiming Kuang, "The linear response function of an idealized atmosphere. implications for the practical use of fluctuation-dissipation theoremand the role of operator's nonnormality," Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 73, 3441–3452 (2016).
- [28] Catherine Nicolis and Gregoire Nicolis, "The fluctuationdissipation theorem revisited: beyond the Gaussian approximation," Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences **72**, 2642–2656 (2015).
- [29] Luis Dinis, Pascal Martin, Jérémie Barral, Jacques Prost, and Joanny Jean-François, "Fluctuation-response theorem for the active noisy oscillator in the hair-cell bundle," Physical Review Letters 109, 160602 (2012).
- [30] Lorenzo Caprini, Andrea Puglisi, and Alessandro Sarracino, "Fluctuation-dissipation relations in active matter systems," Symmetry 13, 81 (2021).
- [31] Alexander van Meegen, Tobias Kühn, and Moritz Helias, "Large-deviation approach to random recurrent neuronal networks: Parameter inference and fluctuation-induced transitions," Physical Review Letters 127, 158302 (2021).
- [32] Ilya Nemenman, "Fluctuation-dissipation theorem and models of learning," Neural Computation **17**, 2006–2033 (2005).
- [33] Matthieu Davy, Mathias Fink, and Julien de Rosny, "Green's function retrieval and passive imaging from correlations of wideband thermal radiations," Physical Review Letters 110, 203901 (2013).
- [34] Matthieu Davy, Julien de Rosny, and Philippe Besnier, "Green's function retrieval with absorbing probes in reverberating cavities," Physical Review Letters 116, 213902 (2016).
- [35] Ming Chen Wang and G. E. Uhlenbeck, "On the theory of the Brownian motion," Rev. Mod. Phys. 17, 323–342 (1945).
- [36] Claude Godréche and Jean-Marc Luck, "Characterising the nonequilibrium stationary states of ornstein–uhlenbeck processes," Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 52, 035002 (2018).
- [37] Aimin Chen, Huahai Qiu, Tianhai Tian, and Tianshou Zhou, "Generalized fluctuation–dissipation theorem for nonmarkovian reaction networks," Physical Review E 105, 064409 (2022).
- [38] Robert May, *Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems*, Vol. 1 (Princeton University Press, 1974).
- [39] Lorenzo Caprini, Andrea Puglisi, and Alessandro Sarracino, "Fluctuation-dissipation relations in active matter systems," Symmetry 13 (2021).
- [40] Haim Sompolinsky, Andrea Crisanti, and Hans-Jurgen Sommers, "Chaos in random neural networks," Physical Review Letters 61, 259–262 (1988).
- [41] Matthieu Gilson, Enzo Tagliazucchi, and Rodrigo Cofré, "Entropy production of multivariate ornstein-uhlenbeck processes correlates with consciousness levels in the human brain," Physical Review E **107**, 024121 (2023).
- [42] Yan V Fyodorov, unpublished.
- [43] Matthieu Davy, Philippe Besnier, Philipp del Hougne, and et al., "Diffuse field cross-correlations: Scattering theory and electromagnetic experiments," Physical Review E **104**, 044204 (2021).
- [44] Matrix A is called normal if $AA^T = A^T A$.
- [45] John T Chalker and Bernhard Mehlig, "Eigenvector statistics

in non-hermitian random matrix ensembles," Physical Review Letters **81**, 3367 (1998).

- [46] Ronald F Fox, "Irreversible processes at nonequilibrium steady state," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 76 (1979).
- [47] Lars Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes," Physical Review 37, 405 (1931).
- [48] Gabriel T. Landi, Tania Tomé, and Mario J. De Oliveira, "Entropy production in linear langevin systems," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46, 395001 (2013).
- [49] Alessandro Sarracino and Andrea Vulpiani, "Fluctuation–dissipation relation in non-equilibrium and nonhamiltonian systems," Chaos 29, 083132 (2019).
- [50] Jacek Grela, "What drives transient behaviour in complex systems?" Physical Review E 96, 022316 (2017).
- [51] Laszlo Erdos, Torben Kruüger, and David Renfrew, "Power law decay for systems of randomly coupled differential equations," SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 50, 3271–3290 (2018).
- [52] Wojciech Tarnowski, Izaak Neri, and Pierpaolo Vivo, "Universal transient behaviour in large dynamical systems on networks," Physical Review Research 2, 023333 (2020).
- [53] Ewa Gudowska-Nowak, Maciej A Nowak, Dante R Chialvo, Jeremi K Ochab, and Wojciech Tarnowski, "From synaptic interactions to collective dynamics in random neuronal networks models: Critical role of eigenvectors and transient behavior," Neural Computation **32**, 395–423 (2020).
- [54] Dmitry V Savin and Valentin V Sokolov, "Quantum versus classical decay laws in open chaotic systems," Physical Review E 56, R4911 (1997).
- [55] Henning Schomerus, Klaus M Frahm, Michael Patra, and Carlo W J Beenakker, "Quantum limit of the laser line width in chaotic cavities and statistics of residues of scattering matrix poles," Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 278, 469–496 (2000).
- [56] Matthieu Davy and Azriel Z Genack, "Probing nonorthogonality of eigenfunctions and its impact on transport through open systems," Physical Review Research 1, 033026 (2019).
- [57] Yan V Fyodorov and Mohammed Osman, "Eigenfunction nonorthogonality factors and the shape of cpa-like dips in a singlechannel reflection from lossy chaotic cavities," Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 55, 224013 (2022).
- [58] Giorgio Cipolloni and Jonah Kudler-Flam, "Non-hermitian hamiltonians violate the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis," arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03448 (2023).
- [59] Giorgio Cipolloni and Jonah Kudler-Flam, "Entanglement entropy of non-hermitian eigenstates and the Ginibre ensemble," Physical Review Letters 130, 010401 (2023).
- [60] Soumi Ghosh, Manas Kulkarni, and Sthitadhi Roy, "Eigenvector correlations across the localisation transition in non-hermitian power-law banded random matrices," arXiv 2304.09892 (2023).
- [61] Romuald A Janik, Wolfgang Nörenberg, Maciej A Nowak, Gábor Papp, and Ismail Zahed, "Correlations of eigenvectors for non-hermitian random-matrix models," Physical Review E 60, 2699 (1999).
- [62] Zdzisław Burda, Jacek Grela, Maciej A Nowak, Wojciech Tarnowski, and Piotr Warchoł, "Dysonian dynamics of the ginibre ensemble," Physical Review Letters 113, 104102 (2014).
- [63] Yan V Fyodorov and Bernhard Mehlig, "Statistics of resonances and nonorthogonal eigenfunctions in a model for singlechannel chaotic scattering," Physical Review E 66, 045202 (2002).
- [64] Yan V Fyodorov and Dmitry V Savin, "Statistics of resonance

width shifts as a signature of eigenfunction nonorthogonality," Physical Review Letters **108**, 184101 (2012).

- [65] Yan V Fyodorov, "On statistics of bi-orthogonal eigenvectors in real and complex Ginibre ensembles: combining partial schur decomposition with supersymmetry," Communications in Mathematical Physics **363**, 579–603 (2018).
- [66] Paul Bourgade and Guillaume Dubach, "The distribution of overlaps between eigenvectors of Ginibre matrices," Probability Theory and Related Fields 177, 397–464 (2020).
- [67] Guillaume Dubach, "On eigenvector statistics in the spherical and truncated unitary ensembles," Electronic Journal of Probability 26, 1–29 (2021).
- [68] Tim R Würfel, Mark J Crumpton, and Yan V Fyodorov, "Mean left-right eigenvector self-overlap in the real Ginibre ensemble," arXiv 2310.04307 (2023).
- [69] Jeffrey Pennington and Yasaman Bahri, "Geometry of neural network loss surfaces via random matrix theory," Proceedings of the 34th ICML conference 70, 2798 (2017).
- [70] Vinayak, Tamaz Prosen, Berislav Buča, and Thomas H Seligman, "Spectral analysis of finite-time correlation matrices near equilibrium phase transitions," Europhysics Letters **108**, 20006 (2014).
- [71] Kanaka Rajan and Larry F Abbott, "Eigenvalue spectra of random matrices for neural networks," Physical Review Letters 97, 188104 (2006).
- [72] Wojciech Tarnowski, "Transient amplification in balanced neural networks," arXiv **2011.08215** (2020).
- [73] Francesco Caravelli and Phillip P A Staniczenko, "Bounds on transient instability for complex ecosystems," PLoS One 11, 1– 12 (2016).
- [74] Malbor Asllani and Timoteo Carletti, "Topological resilience in non-normal networked systems," Physical Review E 97, 042302 (2018).
- [75] Daniel Martí, Nicolas Brunel, and Srdjan Ostojic, "Correlations between synapses in pairs of neurons slow down dynamics in randomly connected neural networks," Physical Review E 97, 062314 (2018).
- [76] Chao Duan, Takashi Nishikawa, Deniz Eroglu, and Adilson E Motter, "Network structural origin of instabilities in large complex systems," Science Advances 8, eabm8310 (2022).
- [77] Xiaowen Chen and William Bialek, "Searching for long time scales without fine tuning," arXiv 2008.11674 (2020).
- [78] Luis C G Del Molino, Khashayar Pakdaman, Jonathan Touboul, and Gilles Wainrib, "Synchronization in random balanced networks," Physical Review E 88, 042824 (2013).
- [79] Erik Kalz, Hidde Derk Vuijk, Iman Abdoli, Jens-Uwe Sommer, Hartmut Löwen, and Abhinav Sharma, "Collisions enhance self-diffusion in odd-diffusive systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 090601 (2022).
- [80] Erik Kalz, Hidde Derk Vuijk, Jens-Uwe Sommer, Ralf Metzler, and Abhinav Sharma, "Oscillatory force autocorrelations in equilibrium odd-diffusive systems," (2023), arXiv:2302.01263 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [81] Nelson Dunford, "Spectral theory. i. convergence to projections," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 54, 185–217 (1943).
- [82] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T Schwartz, *Linear operators, part 1: general theory*, Vol. 10 (John Wiley & Sons, 1988).
- [83] Maciej A. Nowak and Wojciech Tarnowski, "Probing nonorthogonality of eigenvectors in non-hermitian matrix models: diagrammatic approach," Journal of High Energy Physics 2018 (2018), 10.1007/jhep06(2018)152.