

Additive one-rank hull codes over finite fields

Astha Agrawal¹ and R. K. Sharma¹

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India.

Abstract

This article explores additive codes with one-rank hull, offering key insights and constructions. The article introduces a novel approach to finding one-rank hull codes over finite fields by establishing a connection between self-orthogonal elements and solutions of quadratic forms. It also provides a precise count of self-orthogonal elements for any duality over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , particularly odd primes. Additionally, construction methods for small rank hull codes are introduced. The highest possible minimum distance among additive one-rank hull codes is denoted by $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$. The value of $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 2$ with respect to any duality M over any finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} is determined. Furthermore, the new quaternary one-rank hull codes are identified over non-symmetric dualities with better parameters than symmetric ones.

MSC 2020: Primary 94B60; Secondary 94B99.

Keywords: Additive Code, Duality, ACD Code, One-rank hull code, Quadratic form.

1 Introduction

In 1990, Assmus and Key [4] introduced the concept of the Euclidean hull to study finite projective planes. This concept helps to classify these planes by looking at codes generated from the characteristic functions of their lines. The Euclidean hull of a linear code, denoted as $Hull_E(C)$, is the intersection of the code with its dual, i.e., $Hull_E(C) = C \cap C^\perp$, where C^\perp represents the Euclidean dual of the code C . The hull of a code is essential for understanding the automorphism group of a linear code. Also, it is useful in evaluating the complexity of certain algorithms for checking the permutation equivalence of two linear codes. This is particularly true when the hull's dimension is small. These findings have been widely acknowledged in the literature [17, 18, 24, 25]. If $Hull_E(C) = \{0\}$, then C is known as linear complementary dual code (LCD) and which has the smallest hull dimension. Following LCD codes in terms of hull dimension are one-dimensional codes with the second smallest hull dimension among linear codes. Numerous research papers have focused on linear codes characterized by small hulls, including LCD and one-dimensional hull codes, see [2, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26]. In this work, we are looking at codes over additive abelian groups. We make it more flexible by considering many dualities. As a result, we generalize the permitted inner products as well as the ambient space.

Additive codes are the codes defined over additive groups. Additive codes over finite fields are defined by using the additive group of a finite field. All linear codes over finite fields are additive codes, but the converse is not true. Therefore, the class of additive codes is bigger than the class of linear codes. Additive codes over finite fields have attracted significant attention because of their relevance to quantum error correction and quantum computing [3, 5, 9, 16]. Additive codes allow the range of dualities defined by the character of a finite abelian group

¹*E-mail addresses:* asthaagrawaliitd@gmail.com (A. Agrawal), rksharmaiitd@gmail.com (R. K. Sharma).

[14]. While the usual dualities (Euclidean, Hermitian, Trace) serve as one example of such dualities, it is important to note that various other potential forms of dualities can be used in this context. In essence, additive codes can employ different types of dualities, not limited to the standard ones, to achieve their specific coding objectives. Delsarte [10] introduced additive codes in 1973 using association schemes. For symmetric and non-symmetric dualities, an additive code satisfies the cardinality condition. This means that the product of the number of elements in the additive code and its dual, with respect to any duality, must be equal to the total number of elements in the space [12]. The idea of finding the optimal parameters for additive quaternary codes was initially proposed by Blokhuis and Brouwer [7]. Later, in [6], Bierbrauer et al. successfully identified the optimal parameters for additive quaternary codes for cases where n is less than or equal to 13.

Recently, Dougherty et al. [13, 15] generalized the idea of LCD and one dimensional hull codes to additive complementary dual codes (ACD) and one-rank hull codes, respectively. They looked at quaternary ACD and one-rank hull codes in their study. They determined optimal parameters for these codes over symmetric dualities for length $n \leq 10$. Subsequently, Agrawal and Sharma [1] introduce a specific subset of non-symmetric dualities referred to as skew-symmetric dualities over finite fields, and study ACD codes on these dualities. Since small hull codes have their importance in evaluating the complexity of certain algorithms. Inspired by this fact, we study one rank hull codes with respect to arbitrary dualities over additive group of finite fields.

This article includes several key sections. In section 2, we recall the basic definitions and notations required for this article. In Section 3, we give the characterization of $Hull(C)$ using the generator matrix of C . Moving on to Section 4, we show a relation between self-orthogonal elements and solutions of quadratic form $\mathcal{Q} = 0$ associated with the duality matrix. We establish the existence of a one-rank hull code in relation to any duality over finite fields. We provide a precise count of self-orthogonal elements for any duality over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , particularly for odd primes. Section 5 introduces some construction methods for ACD and one-rank hull codes. In Section 6, we study the highest minimum distance $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$ among all additive $[n, p^k]$ codes with one-rank hull. We determine the value of $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 2$ with respect to any duality M over any finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} . We find some better parameters for quaternary codes over non-symmetric dualities than symmetric ones. Finally, the article concludes by summarizing the findings and outlining potential future directions for research.

2 Preliminaries

We briefly review fundamental definitions and notations necessary for the upcoming sections of this article.

2.1 The Finite Field and Codes over Finite Fields

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order $q = p^e$, where p is a prime and e is a positive integer. It is worth noting that additive group $(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}, +)$ is finitely generated abelian group and it can be expressed as $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e \rangle$, where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e are generators of order p . Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ represents the multiplicative group of finite field \mathbb{F}_q . If we have a positive integer n , then \mathbb{F}_q^n refers to an n dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q and $(\mathbb{F}_q^n, +)$ is an abelian group. The Hamming weight of a vector \mathbf{x} is determined by counting the number of its non-zero components, denoted as $wt(\mathbf{x})$. The Hamming weight of the difference between two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is used to determine the distance between two vectors as $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = wt(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. A code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{F}_q^n . A vector of a code is called a codeword. The minimum distance

of a code C is determined by finding the smallest possible value for the distance between any two distinct codewords within C , represented as $d = \min\{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}\}$.

Definition 1. Let G be a finite abelian group. An additive code C over G of length n is an additive subgroup of G^n .

Definition 2. An $[n, k, d]$ linear code over \mathbb{F}_q is defined as a k -dimensional subspace of the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n with distance d .

It is important to note that additive codes over \mathbb{F}_q are not necessarily subspaces; instead, they are subgroups of the additive group $(\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n, +)$. When we say a code is additive over a field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , it implies that the code is considered linear over \mathbb{F}_p . For example, quaternary additive codes over $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, 1 + \omega\}$ are understood to be \mathbb{F}_2 -linear code. Any linear code over \mathbb{F}_q is additive code, but the converse is not true. For example, the code $\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (\omega, 0), (1 + \omega, 0)\}$ is a linear code as well as additive code over \mathbb{F}_4 , and the code $\{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$ is an additive code but not a linear code over \mathbb{F}_4 . An additive code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} of length n is a \mathbb{F}_p -linear code with size p^k for some $0 \leq k \leq ne$ and it is denoted as $[n, p^k]$ code. If minimum distance of C is d , then C is called an $[n, p^k, d]$ additive code.

Definition 3. A generator matrix \mathcal{G} of an $[n, p^k]$ additive code is a $k \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} such that every codeword of C is \mathbb{F}_p -linear combination of the rows of \mathcal{G} , i.e., $C = \{\mathbf{u}\mathcal{G} | \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_p^k\}$. Note that p -rank of the matrix \mathcal{G} is k , where p -rank means the number of linearly independent rows over \mathbb{F}_p .

Definition 4. The p -rank of the additive code C , denoted by $\text{rank}_p(C)$, is equal to p -rank of its generator matrix \mathcal{G} . In this context, p is typically understood; it is often omitted from the notation for simplicity.

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega + 1\}$. Suppose $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega & 1 & 1 + \omega & \omega \\ \omega & 1 + \omega & \omega & 1 & 1 + \omega \end{pmatrix}$ is a generator matrix of an additive code C over \mathbb{F}_4 . Then $C = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (1, \omega, 1, 1 + \omega, \omega), (\omega, 1 + \omega, \omega, 1, 1 + \omega), (1 + \omega, 1, 1 + \omega, \omega, 1)\}$ is a $[5, 2^2, 5]$ additive code over \mathbb{F}_4 . We observe that 2-rank of the matrix \mathcal{G} is 2 but 4-rank of \mathcal{G} is 1.

2.2 Duality

Let's now discuss the concept of duality as applied to additive codes. It is important to note that there exist various potential forms of duality that can be used in this context. These dualities are defined by the character of a finite abelian group.

Definition 5. A character of a group G is a homomorphism from G to \mathbb{C}^* , the multiplicative group of complex numbers.

Although some sources describe the character as a homomorphism from G to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , we will adopt the complex variant, as was previously employed in [1], [11], [13], and [14]. Let \widehat{G} be the collection of all characters of G , denoted as $\widehat{G} = \{\chi | \chi \text{ is a character of } G\}$. We observe that \widehat{G} forms a group, and this group is isomorphic to G . However, it is worth noting that the isomorphism between \widehat{G} and G is not uniquely determined. In fact, the specific isomorphism, we select between \widehat{G} and G is what allows us to establish the concept of duality.

By establishing an isomorphism between G and its character group \widehat{G} , we proceed to construct a character table or duality M as follows. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e be the elements of the group G , and $\phi : G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ denotes the isomorphism. We define the image of x_i under this isomorphism as χ_{x_i} , which is essentially $\phi(x_i)$. The character table M is structured such that its rows are

labeled by χ_{x_i} , while its columns are labeled by elements of the group. Indeed, the entry in the ij -th position of the character table M is given by $\chi_{x_i}(x_j)$. By utilizing these dualities, we can establish the concept of orthogonality in additive codes.

Definition 6. *The orthogonal code of an additive code C over G with respect to duality M is defined as $C^M = \{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in G^n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(v_i) = 1 \ \forall (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in C\}$, where χ_{u_i} represents the character associated to the element u_i under the duality M .*

It is correct to observe that C^M is always an additive code, regardless of C . Additive codes consistently satisfy the cardinality condition for all types of dualities, i.e., $|C||C^M| = |G^n|$ [11]. It is crucial to recognize that the choice of duality within a group significantly impacts the definition of the orthogonal code. In fact, a code can be identical to its dual in one duality but not in another. If M is a duality then M^T (transpose of the character table) is also a duality, indicating the existence of an isomorphism ϕ' from G to \widehat{G} that corresponds to M^T .

Definition 7. *Let G be a finite abelian group and M be a duality over G . A duality M is said to be a symmetric duality if $\chi_x(y) = \chi_y(x)$, for all $x, y \in G$. This implies $M = M^T$. A duality M is said to be a skew-symmetric duality if $\chi_x(x) = 1$, for all $x \in G$. For a skew-symmetric duality we have $\chi_x(y) = (\chi_y(x))^{-1}$, for all $x, y \in G$. For further details on skew-symmetric dualities, see [1].*

If C is an additive code over G , then it is worth noting that for both symmetric and skew-symmetric dualities, we have $(C^M)^M = C$ (see [1, Theorem 7]). Some dualities are neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric. A character in the context of $G = (\mathbb{F}_{p^e}, +)$ is a mapping $\chi : \mathbb{F}_{p^e} \rightarrow P \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, where $\chi(x)$ yields a value ξ from the set of all p -th root of unity, denoted as P . This set P forms a cyclic group of order p .

Consider vectors, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n) \in G^n$. Then $\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})$ is defined as the product of individual character values, which can be expressed as $\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{a_i}(b_i)$. We say \mathbf{a} is orthogonal to \mathbf{b} with respect to duality M if and only if $\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}) = 1$. A vector \mathbf{a} is said to be self-orthogonal if $\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}) = 1$.

Example 2. *Over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} , there are 48 dualities, of which 18 are symmetric and two are skew-symmetric; the rest are neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric. Let's see one example of each. Let $(\mathbb{F}_{3^2}, +) = \langle 1, \nu \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, \nu, 2\nu, 1 + \nu, 2 + \nu, 1 + 2\nu, 2 + 2\nu\}$.*

1. M_1 is defined as, $\chi_1(1) = \xi$, $\chi_1(\nu) = 1$, $\chi_\nu(1) = 1$ and $\chi_\nu(\nu) = \xi^2$.
2. M_2 is defined as, $\chi_1(1) = 1$, $\chi_1(\nu) = \xi$, $\chi_\nu(1) = \xi^2$ and $\chi_\nu(\nu) = 1$.
3. M_3 is defined as, $\chi_1(1) = 1$, $\chi_1(\nu) = \xi$, $\chi_\nu(1) = \xi^2$ and $\chi_\nu(\nu) = \xi$.

It is clear that M_1 is symmetric, M_2 is skew-symmetric, and M_3 is neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric duality. We will refer to these dualities in subsequent sections to understand some examples.

Definition 8. *For an additive code C , if $C \subseteq C^M$, then we say C is a self-orthogonal additive code with respect to duality M . And if $C = C^M$, then we say C is a self-dual code with respect to duality M .*

It is important to emphasize that an additive code C can exhibit self-orthogonality or self-duality with respect to a particular duality M , but this property doesn't necessarily hold for other duality M' . Each duality structure may lead to different notions of self-orthogonality and self-duality.

Definition 9. The hull of an additive code C over a finite group G , considering any duality M , is the intersection of the code C with its dual under M , i.e., $Hull_M(C) = C \cap C^M$. The duality M is clear from the context; hence, omit it from the notation.

When C is an additive code, $Hull(C)$ is also an additive code. An additive code C is said to be an additive complementary dual (ACD) code if $Hull(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. An additive code C is said to be a one-rank hull code if $rank(Hull(C)) = 1$.

3 Characterization of one-rank hull codes

Let \mathcal{G} be a $k \times n$ matrix then the matrix product $\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T$ is defined as,

$$(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)_{ij} = \chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) = \xi^{k_{ij}},$$

where \mathcal{G}_i and \mathcal{G}_j are i -th and j -th row of the matrix \mathcal{G} and $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}$ represents the character associated to vector \mathcal{G}_i under duality M . Then the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is defined as

$$(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T))_{ij} = \log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)_{ij} = \log_\xi(\xi^{k_{ij}}) \equiv k_{ij} \pmod{p},$$

where \log_ξ represents the principal branch of complex logarithm to the base ξ and ξ is the primitive p -th root of unity with $0 \leq k_{ij} \leq p - 1$. Here, we shall give a characterization of the hull of an additive code C in terms of its generator matrix. The following result has previously been mentioned in [15]; however, we are now presenting a detailed proof of this result in our setting. As, this proof holds significance in establishing the Theorem 2.

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{G} be a $k \times n$ generator matrix of an additive code C over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $rank(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)) = k - rank(Hull(C))$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G}_i be the i -th row of the matrix \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathbf{c} \in Hull(C)$, then $\mathbf{c} = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \mathcal{G}_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $\chi_{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This implies that $\prod_{i=1}^k \chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j)^{n_i} = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) = \xi^{r_{ij}}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, where ξ is the primitive p -th root of unity and $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Now, we have $\xi^{\sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij} n_i} = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. It gives that $\sum_{i=1}^k r_{ij} n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence, we have the following system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{21} & \dots & r_{k1} \\ r_{12} & r_{22} & \dots & r_{k2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{1k} & r_{2k} & \dots & r_{kk} \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_k \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular, we have,

$$(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T))^T \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_k \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

If rank of the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is r then we have $k - r$ independent solutions of the above system of equations over \mathbb{F}_p . This implies that there are $k - r$ independent vectors in $Hull(C)$, i.e., $rank(Hull(C)) = k - r$. Hence, the result follows. \square

The following corollary directly follows from the theorem.

Corollary 1.1. *Let C be an additive code over \mathbb{F}_q with generator matrix \mathcal{G} then C is a one-rank hull code if and only if $\text{rank}(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)) = k - 1$.*

The following theorem gives an interesting non-existence result of additive one-rank hull code with respect to skew-symmetric dualities in terms of the number of generators.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{G} be an $k \times n$ generator matrix of an additive code C over \mathbb{F}_q . If C is a one-rank hull code under skew-symmetric duality M , then k is odd.*

Proof. If C is a one-rank hull code under skew-symmetric duality M , then by the Theorem 1 the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix of rank $k - 1$. We know that the rank of the skew-symmetric matrix is always even; hence, k must be odd. \square

Theorem 3. *If C is a one-rank hull code of length n and D is an ACD code of length m , then $C \times D$ is a one-rank hull code of length $n + m$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Hull}(C) = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$, for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\text{Hull}(D) = \{0\}$ then $\text{Hull}(C \times D) = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle \times \{0\} = \langle (\mathbf{x}, 0) \rangle$. Hence, $C \times D$ is a one-rank hull code of length $n + m$. \square

Lemma 4. *An additive code C is a one-rank hull code with respect to duality M if and only if it is a one-rank hull code with respect to duality M^T .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a generator matrix of a one-rank hull code C . Suppose χ and χ' represents the dualities M and M^T , respectively, then $\chi'_a(b) = \chi_b(a)$. From this fact, we have $\mathcal{G} \odot_{M^T} \mathcal{G}^T = (\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)^T$. It follows that, $\text{rank}(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_{M^T} \mathcal{G}^T)) = \text{rank}(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T))^T = \text{rank}(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T))$. Thus, the result follows from Corollary 1.1. \square

Proposition 1. *If C is a one-rank hull code with respect to duality M , then C^M and C^{M^T} are one-rank hull codes with respect to duality M^T and M , respectively.*

Proof. Let C be a one-rank hull code with respect to duality M , i.e., $C \cap C^M = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$, for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n$. By above lemma, we note that $C \cap C^{M^T} = \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$, for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n$. Then, we have $C^M \cap (C^M)^{M^T} = C^M \cap C = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ and $C^{M^T} \cap (C^{M^T})^M = C^{M^T} \cap C = \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. *For symmetric and skew-symmetric dualities, we have $(C^M)^M = C$, see [1]. From this fact, we conclude that if C is a one-rank hull code with respect to duality M , then C^M and C^{M^T} both are one-rank hull codes under the same duality M .*

4 Existence of one-rank hull codes

Suppose that generators of additive group of finite fields \mathbb{F}_{p^e} are x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e such that $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e \rangle$. Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} with $\chi_{x_i}(x_j) = \xi^{k_{ij}}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq e$, where ξ is the primitive p -th root of unity and $0 \leq k_{ij} \leq p - 1$. Then we can define $e \times e$ matrix, say Duality matrix, $D = [k_{ij}]$ over \mathbb{F}_p for any duality M . For symmetric dualities, the duality matrix D is symmetric.

Define a quadratic form $\mathcal{Q} : \mathbb{F}_p^e \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ for any duality M such that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T$. If $p \neq 2$ then quadratic form \mathcal{Q} can be defined as $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T = \mathbf{a}D'\mathbf{a}^T$, where $D' = \left[\frac{k_{ij} + k_{ji}}{2} \right]$ is a symmetric matrix. The quadratic form is said to be non-degenerate if the associated symmetric matrix D' is non-singular and, in particular, $\det \mathcal{Q} = \det D'$.

The following lemma describes an interesting connection between self-orthogonal elements and solutions of quadratic form $\mathcal{Q} = 0$.

Lemma 5. Let M be any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} with duality matrix D . Then, for any $x = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i x_i$, $\chi_x(x) = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_e)$.

Proof. We have $\chi_x(x) = \chi_{\sum_{i=1}^e a_i x_i}(\sum_{i=1}^e a_i x_i) = \prod_{i,j=1}^e \chi_{x_i}(x_j)^{a_i a_j} = \xi^{\sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} a_i a_j} = 1$ if and only if $\sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} a_i a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quadratic form associated with the duality matrix D then $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} D \mathbf{a}^T = \sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} a_i a_j$. Hence, the result follows. \square

The following theorem shows the existence of self-orthogonal elements for any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$).

Theorem 6. Let \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$), be a finite field, then there exists one-rank hull code of length 1 with respect to any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} .

Proof. Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$). Define a quadratic form, say \mathcal{Q} , corresponding to the duality M . Then \mathcal{Q} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 with e (≥ 3) variables. By Chevalley's theorem (see [20, Corollary 6.6]), \mathcal{Q} has non-trivial solutions over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore, using Lemma 5, we have an element, say $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$, such that $\chi_x(x) = 1$. Let $C = \langle x \rangle$ be an additive code then $Hull(C) = C$. Hence, C is a one-rank hull code of length 1 for the duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$). This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.1. Over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$), there exists one-rank hull code of length n with respect to any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} .

Proof. From Theorem 6, we have $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$, such that $\chi_x(x) = 1$. Let $C = \langle (x, x, \dots, x) \rangle$ be an additive code of length n then $Hull(C) = C$. Hence, C is a one-rank hull code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , ($e \geq 3$). \square

Theorem 7. Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , ($p \neq 2$) with the duality matrix $D = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then a one-rank hull code of length one over M exists if and only if $(b+d)^2 - 4ac$ is a quadratic residue modulo p .

Proof. The quadratic form \mathcal{Q} associated with the duality matrix D is $\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = ax^2 + (b+d)xy + cy^2$. Suppose there exists a one-rank hull code of length one over M . This implies that $\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = 0$ has a non-trivial solution. Let (x_0, y_0) be a non-trivial solution. If $x_0 \neq 0$ and $y_0 = 0$ then $a = 0$. If $x_0 = 0$ and $y_0 \neq 0$ then $c = 0$. In both cases, $(b+d)^2 - 4ac$ is a quadratic residue modulo p . Consider the case when x_0 and y_0 are non-zero. Now, we know that if (x_0, y_0) is a solution of the equation, then $(\lambda x_0, \lambda y_0)$ will be a solution for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Hence, we can scale any solution (x_0, y_0) to $(1, y'_0)$ by multiplying x_0^{-1} . Thus, let $x_0 = 1$ then equation $\mathcal{Q}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ reduces to one variable quadratic equation and the solution is $y'_0 = \frac{-(b+d) \pm \sqrt{(b+d)^2 - 4ac}}{2c}$. It follows that $(b+d)^2 - 4ac$ is a quadratic residue modulo p .

Conversely, suppose that $(b+d)^2 - 4ac$ is a quadratic residue modulo p . If either $a = 0$ or $c = 0$, then $\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = 0$ has a non-trivial solution of the type $(x_0, 0)$ or $(0, y_0)$, respectively, for any $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Assume a and c are non-zero. Then $(x_0, \frac{-(b+d) \pm \sqrt{(b+d)^2 - 4ac}}{2c} x_0)$ will be a solution for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Therefore, from Lemma 5, we have self-orthogonal element $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ such that $C = \langle x \rangle$ is a one-rank hull code of length one with respect to duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . \square

Remark. Over \mathbb{F}_4 , there are two dualities such that $\chi_x(x) = -1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$. Therefore, one-rank hull codes of length one do not exist for these dualities.

Warning's second theorem states that for any non-zero homogeneous polynomial f of degree d in e variables over \mathbb{F}_p , the number of solutions $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_e) \in \mathbb{F}_p^e$ that satisfies $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_e) = 0$ are at least p^{e-d} .

Theorem 8. *There exists at least p^{e-2} self-orthogonal elements for any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} .*

Proof. Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} with duality matrix D , and \mathcal{Q} be a quadratic form of M . Applying Warning's second theorem to the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T$, implies that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ has at least p^{e-2} solutions. Therefore, by Lemma 5, we have at least p^{e-2} self-orthogonal elements with respect to M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} . \square

The next theorem gives the precise count of self-orthogonal elements with respect to all dualities over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} for odd primes.

Theorem 9. *Let M be any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} ($p \neq 2$) with duality matrix $D = [d_{ij}]$. Let D' be a matrix of rank k such that $D' = \left[\frac{d_{ij} + d_{ji}}{2} \right]$. Then, we have the following.*

1. *If k is odd, then there are exactly p^{e-1} self-orthogonal elements corresponding to the duality M .*
2. *If k is even, then there are exactly $p^{e-k} \left(p^{k-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{k/2} \Delta \right) \right)$ self-orthogonal elements corresponding to the duality M , where η is the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_p and $\Delta =$ non-zero minor of order k of the equivalent diagonal form of D' .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quadratic form associated with the matrix D' . Then we have $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D'\mathbf{a}^T = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T$, for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. The duality matrix D is non-singular but not necessarily D' . Let k be the rank of the matrix D' . From [20, Theorem 6.21], we know that \mathcal{Q} is equivalent to some diagonal quadratic form, say \mathcal{Q}' . Now, the rank of the quadratic form \mathcal{Q}' is k . Let D'' be the matrix after deleting $e - k$ zero rows and columns from the coefficient matrix of \mathcal{Q}' . Define a non-degenerate quadratic form \mathcal{Q}'' with the coefficient matrix D'' . We know that the number of solutions of the equation $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$, is equal to p^{e-k} times the number of solutions of the non-degenerate quadratic form \mathcal{Q}'' over \mathbb{F}_p^k .

1. *If k is odd, then by [20, Theorem 6.27], we have exactly $p^{e-k}p^{k-1} = p^{e-1}$ number of solutions of the equation $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p^e .*
2. *If k is even, then by [20, Theorem 6.26], we have exactly $p^{e-k} \left(p^{k-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{k-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{k/2} \Delta \right) \right)$ number of solutions of the equation $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p^e , where η is the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_p and $\Delta = \det D''$.*

It is clear from Lemma 5 that every solution of the equation $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ gives a self-orthogonal element corresponding to the duality M . Hence, the result follows. \square

Remark. *If M is a symmetric duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} ($p \neq 2$) then $D' = D$. The duality matrix D is the non singular matrix of rank e . If e is odd, then there are exactly p^{e-1} self-orthogonal elements corresponding to the duality M . If e is even, then there are exactly $p^{e-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{e-2}{2}} \eta \left((-1)^{e/2} \Delta \right)$ self-orthogonal elements corresponding to the duality M , where η is the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_p and $\Delta = \det D$.*

Example 3. *Let $\mathbb{F}_{3^3} = \langle \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \rangle$ be a finite field and M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{3^3} with duality matrix $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the symmetric matrix $D' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ such that the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D'\mathbf{a}^T = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T$, for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}$. The rank of the matrix D' is 3 (odd). One can observe that there are nine self-orthogonal elements, namely, $0, 2\nu_1 + \nu_2, 2\nu_2 + \nu_3, 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + 2\nu_3, 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_3, \nu_1 + 2\nu_2, \nu_2 + 2\nu_3, \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3, \nu_1 + \nu_3$.*

Example 4. Let M be a duality over $\mathbb{F}_{3^3} = \langle \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \rangle$ with duality matrix $D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then symmetric matrix $D' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ such that the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}D'\mathbf{a}^T = \mathbf{a}D\mathbf{a}^T$, for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_{3^3}$. The rank of the matrix D' is 2. We know that \mathcal{Q} will be equivalent to a diagonal form; therefore, choose $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} C^T D' C &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, $D'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence, there are $3(3 + 2\eta(-1)) = 3$ self-orthogonal elements, namely, 0 , $\nu_2 + \nu_3$ and $2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3$.

5 Constructions of small rank hull codes

The aim of this section is to give some construction techniques to construct small rank hull codes like ACD and one-rank hull codes. We use ACD and self-orthogonal codes to construct one-rank hull codes of different parameters.

Theorem 10. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e \rangle$ be a finite field, and M be any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} . Then the additive code $C = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_e \rangle$ is an ACD code of length n ($\neq mp$), where $\mathbf{v}_i = (x_i, x_i, \dots, x_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} \in C \cap C^M$, then $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^e n_i \mathbf{v}_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $\chi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}_j) = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. It follows that $\prod_{i=1}^e (\chi_{\mathbf{v}_i}(\mathbf{v}_j))^{n_i} = \prod_{i=1}^e (\chi_{x_i}(x_j))^{n_i} = \prod_{i=1}^e \xi^{k_{ij} n_i} = 1$, where $\chi_{x_i}(x_j) = \xi^{k_{ij}}$ for any primitive p -th root of unity ξ and $0 \leq k_{ij} \leq p-1$. This implies that $n \sum_{i=1}^e k_{ij} n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. Since $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^e k_{ij} n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq e$. Hence, we have the following system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{21} & \dots & k_{e1} \\ k_{12} & k_{22} & \dots & k_{e2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{1e} & k_{2e} & \dots & k_{ee} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_e \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

In particular, we have

$$D^T \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_e \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p},$$

where D is a duality matrix for the duality M . Note that the duality matrix is a non-singular matrix over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore, this system of equations has only a trivial solution. Thus, the code C is an ACD code. \square

Remark. In the above theorem, if $n = mp$, then C is self-orthogonal code. Also note that C is optimal ACD or self-orthogonal code with parameter $[n, p^e, n]$.

Example 5. Let $\mathbb{F}_{3^2} = \langle 1, \nu \rangle$. Then $C = \langle (1, 1), (\nu, \nu) \rangle = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (\nu, \nu), (2\nu, 2\nu), (1 + \nu, 1 + \nu), (2 + \nu, 2 + \nu), (1 + 2\nu, 1 + 2\nu), (2 + 2\nu, 2 + 2\nu)\}$ is an ACD code with parameter $[2, 3^2, 2]$ over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} .

Theorem 11. Let \mathcal{G} be a generator matrix of self-orthogonal $[n, p^k, d]$ additive code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} . Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ such that $\chi_x(x) \neq 1$. Then the code generated by the matrix $\mathcal{G}' = [xI|\mathcal{G}]$ is an $[n + k, p^k, d' > d]$ ACD code.

Proof. Let C' be an additive code generated by matrix $\mathcal{G}' = [xI|\mathcal{G}]$. Then the matrix

$$\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_x(x)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_k) \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_x(x)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_x(x)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since C is self-orthogonal code, i.e., $C \subseteq C^M$ then $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) = 1$ for all i and j . Hence, we have

$$\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi_x(x) = \xi^\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$. Hence, by Theorem 1, C' is an $[n + k, p^k, d' > d]$ ACD code. \square

Example 6. Let C be an additive code over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} with generator matrix $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \nu \\ \nu & \nu & 2\nu & 2\nu & 1 \\ 2 & \nu & 1 & \nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Observe that C is a $[5, 3^3, 4]$ self-orthogonal additive code under the symmetric duality M_1 . Choose $x = 1$, since $\chi_1(1) = \xi \neq 1$. By applying Theorem 11, we construct an $[8, 3^3, 5]$ ACD code and generator matrix is

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \nu & \nu & 2\nu & 2\nu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \nu & 1 & \nu & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Theorem 12. Let \mathcal{G} be a generator matrix of self-orthogonal $[n, p^k, d]$ additive code C . Let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ such that $\chi_x(x) \neq 1$ and $\chi_y(y) = 1$. Then the code generated by the matrix $\mathcal{G}' = [\underbrace{\text{diag}(x, x, \dots, x)}_{k-1 \text{ times}}, y|\mathcal{G}]$ is an $[n + k, p^k, d' > d]$ one-rank hull code.

Proof. Let C' be an additive code generated by matrix \mathcal{G}' . Then the matrix

$$\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_x(x)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathcal{G}_k) \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_x(x)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_2}(\mathcal{G}_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_2) & \cdots & \chi_y(y)\chi_{\mathcal{G}_k}(\mathcal{G}_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since C is self-orthogonal code, i.e., $C \subseteq C^M$ then $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) = 1$ for all i and j . Hence we have

$$\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi_x(x) = \xi^\alpha$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\chi_y(y) = 1$. Hence, by Corollary 1.1, C' is a one-rank hull code. \square

Example 7. We start with a $[5, 3^3, 4]$ self-orthogonal additive code under the duality M_1 . Choose $x = 1$ and $y = 1 + \nu$, since $\chi_1(1) = \xi \neq 1$ and $\chi_{1+\nu}(1 + \nu) = 1$. By applying Theorem 12, we construct an $[8, 3^3, 5]$ one-rank hull code and generator matrix is

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \nu \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \nu & \nu & 2\nu & 2\nu & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+\nu & 2 & \nu & 1 & \nu & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Theorem 13. Let C be an additive code over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$ and M be any skew-symmetric duality. Let \mathcal{G} be an $(2s+1) \times n$ generator matrix of C such that $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j) \neq 1$, when i and j are consecutive integers; otherwise 1, where \mathcal{G}_i denotes the i -th row of the matrix \mathcal{G} . Then, C is a one-rank hull code.

Proof. Since M is a skew-symmetric duality then $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_i) = 1$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_j}(\mathcal{G}_i) = (\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_j))^{-1}$ for all i and j . Let $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathcal{G}_{i+1}) = \xi_i$, where $\xi_i = \xi^{\alpha_i}$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq 2s$ and for some ξ primitive p -th root of unity. According to the theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi_1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \xi_1^{-1} & 1 & \xi_2 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \xi_2^{-1} & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & \xi_{2s} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & \xi_{2s}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha_{2s} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\alpha_{2s} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that the $\text{rank}(\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)) = 2s$. Hence, from Corollary 1.1, the additive code generated by \mathcal{G} is a one-rank hull code. \square

Example 8. Let $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \nu & 2\nu & 2+\nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1+2\nu & 2\nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}$ be a generator matrix of an additive code C over

\mathbb{F}_{3^2} . Consider the skew-symmetric duality M_2 over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} . Then one can deduce that $\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T =$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi & 1 \\ \xi^2 & 1 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & \xi & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The rank of the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is 2,

hence from Corollary 1.1, C is a one-rank hull code.

Theorem 14. Let \mathcal{G} be a $2s \times n$ generator matrix of an ACD code C over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$ and M be any skew-symmetric duality. Let $\mathbf{x} \notin C$ then the additive code generated by a matrix $\mathcal{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathcal{G} \end{pmatrix}$ is q one-rank hull code.

Proof. Let C' be an additive code with generator matrix $\mathcal{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathcal{G} \end{pmatrix}$. Since M is a skew-symmetric duality then $\chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$. Let $\chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{G}_i) = \xi^{\alpha_i}$ then $\chi_{\mathcal{G}_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \xi^{-\alpha_i}$ for all i . Hence, we

have

$$\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{G}_{2s}) \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathbf{x}) & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T & \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_{2s}}(\mathbf{x}) & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{2s} \\ -\alpha_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T) & \\ -\alpha_{2s} & & & \end{pmatrix}_{(2s+1) \times (2s+1)}$$

Then the matrix $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is odd order skew-symmetric matrix. Hence the rank of the matrix is less than or equal to $2s$. Since C is an ACD code therefore $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is a non-singular matrix of order $2s$. Thus, the rank of the matrix $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is $2s$. By Corollary 1.1, C' is a one-rank hull code. \square

Example 9. We start with $[4, 3^2, 2]$ ACD code C with respect to skew-symmetric duality M_2 over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} . The generator matrix of C is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & \nu & \nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (\nu, \nu, 1, 1) \notin C$. By Theorem 14, we construct $[4, 3^3, 2]$ one-rank hull code and the generator matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu & \nu & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & \nu & \nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 15. Let \mathcal{G} be a $2s \times n$ generator matrix of an $[n, p^{2s}, d]$ ACD code C over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$ and M be any skew-symmetric duality. Then for any $\mathbf{x} \notin C$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}^*$, the additive code generated

by a matrix $\mathcal{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha & \mathcal{G}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha & \mathcal{G}_{2s} \end{pmatrix}$ is $[n+1, p^{2s+1}]$ one-rank hull code, where \mathcal{G}'_i 's are the i -th row of the matrix \mathcal{G} .

Proof. Let C' be an additive code generated by matrix \mathcal{G}' . Then the matrix

$$\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{G}_1) & \cdots & \chi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{G}_{2s}) \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathbf{x}) & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T & \\ \chi_{\mathcal{G}_{2s}}(\mathbf{x}) & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the matrix $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is odd order skew-symmetric matrix having a non-zero minor of order $2s$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.1, C' is $[n+1, p^{2s+1}]$ one-rank hull code. \square

Example 10. We start with $[4, 3^2, 2]$ ACD code C with respect to skew-symmetric duality M_2 over \mathbb{F}_{3^2} . The generator matrix of C is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \nu & \nu & \nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}$. Choose $\mathbf{x} = (\nu, 1, 1, 1) \notin C$ and $\alpha = 1$. By Theorem 15, we construct $[5, 3^3, 3]$ one-rank hull code and the generator matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \nu & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \nu & \nu & \nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

6 Optimal additive codes with one-rank hull

In this section, we study the highest possible minimum distance among all additive codes with one-rank hull. To pave the way for this, we will first discuss some relevant results that will be helpful in our analysis.

Lemma 16. *Let M be any duality over $\mathbb{F}_{p^e} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e \rangle$ and \mathcal{Q} be a quadratic form associated with duality matrix D . Then for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$ if and only if $\mathcal{Q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_e) = s$, where $u = \sum_{i=1}^e u_i x_i$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Proof. We have $\chi_u(u) = \chi_{\sum_{i=1}^e u_i x_i}(\sum_{i=1}^e u_i x_i) = \prod_{i,j=1}^e \chi_{x_i}(x_j)^{u_i u_j} = \xi^{\sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} u_i u_j} = \xi^s$ if and only if $\sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} u_i u_j \equiv s \pmod{p}$. Also, $\mathcal{Q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_e) = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_e] D [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_e]^T = \sum_{i,j=1}^e k_{ij} u_i u_j$. Hence, the result follows. \square

Theorem 17. *Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} ($p \neq 2$), such that $\chi_u(u) \neq 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. Then for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ there exists $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$. Moreover, there are $(p+1)$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ with $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$ for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.*

Proof. Let $D = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$ be a duality matrix of the duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , ($p \neq 2$). Since there is no non-zero self-orthogonal element, then by Theorem 7, we have $(b+d)^2 - 4ac \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $D' = \begin{pmatrix} a & (b+d)/2 \\ (b+d)/2 & c \end{pmatrix}$ be a matrix, then $\text{rank}(D') = 2$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quadratic form associated with D' . By [20, Theorem 6.21], quadratic form \mathcal{Q} is equivalent to a diagonal quadratic form, say \mathcal{Q}' . Hence, \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}' have equal number of solutions. Let $\mathcal{Q}'(u_1, u_2) = a_1 u_1^2 + a_2 u_2^2$. Then by [20, Lemma 6.24], we have $p + (-1)\eta(-a_1 a_2)$ number of solutions of $a_1 u_1^2 + a_2 u_2^2 = s$ for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Since there does not exist any non-zero solution for $a_1 u_1^2 + a_2 u_2^2 = 0$, therefore $\eta(-a_1 a_2) = -1$. Thus, by Lemma 16, we have $(p+1)$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ with $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$ for each $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. \square

Corollary 17.1. *Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} ($p \neq 2$), such that $\chi_u(u) \neq 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. If $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$ for any $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ then there exists $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_v(v) = \xi^{-s}$.*

Proof. If $s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, then $-s \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. The proof follows directly from the above Theorem 17. \square

Remark. *The above corollary holds for $p = 2$ since we have $s = 1$ only.*

Theorem 18. *For each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, there exists $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ such that $\chi_u(v) = 1$ with respect to any duality M . Moreover, there are p^{e-1} elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^e} such that $\chi_u(v) = 1$ for each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$.*

Proof. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^e n_i x_i$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^e m_i x_i$, where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e are generators of \mathbb{F}_{p^e} and $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e$. For any fixed u , $\chi_u(v) = 1$ if and only if

$$([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e] D) [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_e]^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where D is a duality matrix. The matrix $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e] D$ has rank at most one and nullity at least $e - 1$. Moreover, for non-zero u , rank of the matrix $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_e] D$ is one and nullity $e - 1$. Therefore, there are p^{e-1} elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^e} such that $\chi_u(v) = 1$ for each $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$. \square

Remark. *Note that if $e = 2$ and $\chi_u(u) = 1$, for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$, then $\chi_u(v) \neq 1$ for all $v \neq \alpha u$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

The notation $[n, p^k, d]$ represent an additive code with parameters of length n , cardinality p^k , and distance d over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} . We introduce the concept of one-rank hull codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} in relation to their highest possible distance, denoted as $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$. It is defined as

$$d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M} = \max\{d \mid \exists \text{ an } [n, p^k, d] \text{ one-rank hull code with respect to the duality } M\}.$$

Theorem 19. *For any duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , where $e \geq 3$, we have $d_1[n, 1]_{p^e, M} = n$.*

Proof. From Theorem 8, we have an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = 1$, for any duality M and, for any finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , where $e \geq 3$. Therefore, a code $C = \langle (u, u, \dots, u) \rangle$ is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Hence, the result follows. \square

There are dualities over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that there is no non-zero self-orthogonal element. In this case, there is no one-rank hull code of length 1. However, if $\chi_u(u) = 1$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ then for such dualities $d_1[1, 1] = 1$. In the following theorem, we find $d_1[n, 1]$ over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 20. *For any duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , where $p \neq 2$, we have $d_1[n, 1]_{p^2, M} = n$ ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. Let M be a duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} and $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = 1$. In this case, $C = \langle (u, u, \dots, u) \rangle$ is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Now, consider the duality such that there is no $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ with $\chi_u(u) = 1$. Let $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$.

Case 1: If $n = mp + 1$, for any integer $m > 0$, then there exists a vector

$$\mathbf{y} = (\underbrace{u, u, \dots, u}_{(m-1)p \text{ times}}, \underbrace{u, u, \dots, u}_{(p+1)/2 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{v, v, \dots, v}_{(p+1)/2 \text{ times}}),$$

such that $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}) = (\chi_u(u))^{(m-1)p} (\chi_u(u))^{(p+1)/2} (\chi_v(v))^{(p+1)/2} = 1 \cdot \xi^{s((p+1)/2)} \xi^{-s((p+1)/2)} = 1$. Since $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, by Corollary 17.1, we have an element $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_v(v) = \xi^{-s}$.

Case 2: If $n \neq mp + 1$, for any integer $m \geq 0$ then there exists

$$\mathbf{y} = (\underbrace{u, u, \dots, u}_{n-1}, w),$$

such that $\chi_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}) = (\chi_u(u))^{n-1} (\chi_w(w)) = \xi^{s(n-1)} \xi^{-s(n-1)} = 1$. Since $s(n-1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, by Corollary 17.1, we have an element $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_w(w) = \xi^{-s(n-1)}$.

In each case, $C = \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$ is the one-rank hull code with distance n . Hence, the result follows. \square

Theorem 21. *For symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 , we have $d_1[n, 1]_{4, M} = n$, and for non-symmetric*

$$\text{dualities, } d_1[n, 1]_{4, M} = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Over \mathbb{F}_4 , for symmetric dualities there exists $u \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = 1$. In this case $C = \langle (u, u, \dots, u) \rangle$ is a one-rank hull code with distance n . For non-symmetric dualities, we have $\chi_u(u) = -1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$. If n is even, then any additive code $C = \langle (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \rangle$ with distance n is a one-rank hull code. Since $\prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{v_i}(v_i) = (-1)^n = 1$. If n is odd, then there is no vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ of weight n such that $\chi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) = 1$. Since $\chi_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{v_i}(v_i) = (-1)^n = -1$. Thus, there is one-rank hull code $C = \langle (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, 0) \rangle$ with distance $n-1$. Hence, the result holds. \square

The Singleton bound for an $[n, p^k, d]$ additive code over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} states that the minimum distance d of the code is bounded by $d \leq n - \lceil \frac{k}{e} \rceil + 1$. Additionally, two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{F}_{p^e} are considered p -linearly independent if they are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p .

Theorem 22. *For all dualities M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} for $n \geq 2$, we have $d_1[n, ne-1]_{p^e, M} = 1$.*

Proof. From the Singleton bound, we have $d_1[n, ne - 1]_{p^e, M} \leq 1$. Now, if C is an $[n, p^1]$ one-rank hull code then C^{M^T} is an $[n, p^{ne-1}]$ one-rank hull code with respect to duality M (see Proposition 1). Hence, $d_1[n, ne - 1]_{p^e, M} = 1$, for all dualities M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} for $n \geq 2$. \square

It is worth mentioning that when $k = 2$, there is no one-rank hull code with respect to skew-symmetric dualities (see Theorem 2). As a result, the following theorems will focus on dualities which are not skew-symmetric.

Theorem 23. *For any duality M over \mathbb{F}_{p^e} , where $p \neq 2$ and $e \geq 3$, we have $d_1[n, 2]_{p^e, M} = n$ ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 6 that there exists $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = 1$. Since we are considering dualities that are not skew-symmetric, then we have an element $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ with $\chi_w(w) = \xi^s \neq 1$. Furthermore, according to Theorem 18, there is an element v in $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ for which $\chi_u(v) = 1$ and $v \neq \alpha u$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$. This is significant because there are $p^{e-1} (> p)$ elements such that $\chi_u(v) = 1$.

Case 1: If $\chi_v(v) \neq 1$ and $n \neq mp$ for any positive integer m . Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & \cdots & u \\ v & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 2: If $\chi_v(v) \neq 1$ and $n = mp$ for some positive integer m . Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & \cdots & u & u - v & u + v \\ v & v & \cdots & v & v & v \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{mp-2}$

Case 3: If $\chi_v(v) = 1$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & u & \cdots & u \\ w & -w & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{n-2}$

In each case, an additive code generated by \mathcal{G} is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Since the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is 1 rank matrix of the type $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & a \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & a \end{pmatrix}$, respectively, where $a \neq 0$ and $*$ could be 0 or non-zero entry. \square

Theorem 24. *For any duality M over \mathbb{F}_{2^e} , where $e \geq 3$, we have $d_1[n, 2]_{2^e, M} = n$.*

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 6 that there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e}^*$ such that $\chi_x(x) = 1$. Further, according to Theorem 18, there exists $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{e-2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e}^*$ such that $\chi_x(y_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e-2$ and $\{x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{e-2}\}$ is a set of 2-linear independent elements. We can extend this set to a 2-linear independent set of \mathbb{F}_{2^e} , say $\{x, y_1, \dots, y_{e-2}, z\}$. Thus, $\chi_x(z) = -1$.

To prove $d_1[n, 2]_{2^e, M} = n$, it is enough to give a self-orthogonal code of length 2, a one-rank hull code of length 1 and a one-rank hull code of length 2. It is clear to see that $\begin{pmatrix} x & x \\ z & z \end{pmatrix}$ generates a self-orthogonal code of length 2.

Now, if $\chi_{y_i}(x) = -1$ for some i , then $\mathcal{G}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x \\ y_i & x + y_i \end{pmatrix}$ generates one-rank hull codes of length 1 and 2, respectively. Therefore, we assume $\chi_{y_i}(x) = 1$ for all i .

Case 1: If $\chi_{y_i}(y_i) = -1$ for some i , then $\mathcal{G}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}$ generates a one-rank hull code of length one. Either $\begin{pmatrix} x + y_i & x \\ z & z \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} x & y_i \\ z & z \end{pmatrix}$ generates a one-rank hull code depending on the value of

$\chi_{y_i}(z)$.

Case 2: If $\chi_{y_i}(y_i) = 1$ for all i . In this case, $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}$ generates a self-orthogonal code of length one. Thus, it is enough to construct a one-rank hull code of length one.

1. Let $\chi_z(x) = 1$, then $\mathcal{G}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ generates a one-rank hull code.
2. Let $\chi_z(x) = -1$. If $\chi_{y_i}(z)\chi_z(y_i) = -1$, for some i , then $\mathcal{G}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ x + y_i \end{pmatrix}$ gives the required code. Thus, assume $\chi_{y_i}(z)\chi_z(y_i) = 1$ for all i . We claim that $\chi_z(z)$ must be -1. Let $w = ax + bz + \sum_{i=1}^{e-2} n_i y_i$ and $\chi_z(z) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_w(w) &= (\chi_x(z))^{ab} (\chi_z(x))^{ab} \left(\chi_z \left(\sum_{i=1}^{e-2} n_i y_i \right) \right)^b \left(\chi_{\sum_{i=1}^{e-2} n_i y_i}(z) \right)^b \\ &= (-1)^{ab} (-1)^{ab} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{e-2} (\chi_{y_i}(z)\chi_z(y_i))^{n_i} \right)^b \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that M is a skew-symmetric duality, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\chi_z(z) = -1$. Hence, either $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ x + y_i \end{pmatrix}$ generates a one-rank hull code depending on the value of $\chi_z(y_i)$. □

Note that there is no one-rank hull code of length 1 and $k = 2$ for any duality over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Theorem 25. For all dualities M , where $\chi_u(u) = 1$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ and $p \neq 2$, we have $d_1[n, 2]_{p^2, M} = n$.

Proof. Let $\chi_u(u) = 1$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. We are taking dualities that are not skew-symmetric, therefore, there exists $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_v(v) = \xi^s \neq 1$, for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. Also, from Theorem 18, $\chi_u(v) \neq 1$.

Case 1: If $n = mp$, for some positive integer m . Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u - v & u + v & u & \cdots & u \\ v & v & \underbrace{v \cdots v}_{(n-2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 2: If $n = mp + 1$, for any integer $m > 0$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & \cdots & u & u & \cdots & u & u & \cdots & u \\ -v & \cdots & -v & \underbrace{v \cdots v}_{(p+1)/2} & \underbrace{v \cdots v}_{(p+1)/2} & \underbrace{v \cdots v}_{(m-1)p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 3: If $n = mp + k$, for any integer $m \geq 0$ and $2 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & \cdots & u \\ -(n-1)v & \underbrace{v \cdots v}_{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In each case, an additive code generated by \mathcal{G} is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Since the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is 1 rank matrix of the type $\begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$, respectively, where $a \neq 0$ and $*$ could be 0 or non-zero entry. □

Theorem 26. For all dualities M , where $\chi_u(u) \neq 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ and $p \neq 2, 3$, we have $d_1[n, 2]_{p^2, M} = n$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = \xi^s$, for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. From Corollary 17.1, we have an element $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_w(w) = \xi^{-s}$. Now, from Theorem 18, there exists $v, z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_u(v) = 1$ and $\chi_w(z) = 1$, where $\{u, v\}$ and $\{w, z\}$ are p -linear independent sets. Let $\chi_v(v) = \xi^t$, for some $1 \leq t \leq p-1$.

Case 1: Let $n = mp + 1$, for any integer $m > 0$.

1. If $\chi_z(z) \neq \xi^{-t}$, then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} w & \cdots & w & u & \cdots & u & u & \cdots & u \\ z & \cdots & z & v & \cdots & v & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}. \quad \begin{matrix} (p+1)/2 & (p+1)/2 & (m-1)p \end{matrix}$$

2. If $\chi_z(z) = \xi^{-t}$, then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} w & w & \cdots & w & u & \cdots & u & u & \cdots & u \\ 2z & z & \cdots & z & v & \cdots & v & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}. \quad \begin{matrix} (p+1)/2 & (p+1)/2 & (m-1)p \end{matrix}$$

Case 2: Let $n \neq mp + 1$, for any integer $m \geq 0$. Since $s(n-1) \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, by Corollary 17.1, we have an element $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_y(y) = \xi^{-s(n-1)}$. Also, from Theorem 18, there exists $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ such that $\chi_y(x) = 1$.

1. If $\chi_x(x) \neq \xi^{-t(n-1)}$ then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} y & u & \cdots & u \\ x & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (n-1)$$

2. If $\chi_x(x) = \xi^{-t(n-1)}$ then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} y & u & \cdots & u \\ 2x & v & \cdots & v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (n-1)$$

In each case, an additive code generated by \mathcal{G} is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Since the matrix $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is 1 rank matrix of the type $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $a \neq 0$ and $*$ could be 0 or non-zero entry. \square

Theorem 27. For all dualities M , where $\chi_u(u) \neq 1$, for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_9^*$, we have $d_1[n, 2]_{9, M} = n$ ($n \geq 3$).

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_9^*$ such that $\chi_u(u) = \xi$. From Corollary 17.1, we have an element $w \in \mathbb{F}_9^*$ such that $\chi_w(w) = \xi^2$. Now, from Theorem 18, there exists $z \in \mathbb{F}_9^*$ such that $\chi_w(z) = 1$, where $\{w, z\}$ is 3-linear independent set.

Case 1: Let $n = 3m$ for some integer $m > 0$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u-w & u+w & u & \cdots & u \\ w & w & w & \cdots & w \end{pmatrix}. \quad n-2$$

Case 2: Let $n = 3m + 4$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & w & w & \underbrace{u \cdots u}_{3m} \\ (u-w) & -(u-w) & (u-w) & -(u-w) & \underbrace{w \cdots w}_{3m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 3: Let $n = 3m + 5$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Then choose

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u & u & u & u & w & u & \cdots & u \\ (u-w) & -(u-w) & (u+w) & -(u+w) & z & \underbrace{w \cdots w}_{3m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In each case, an additive code generated by \mathcal{G} is a one-rank hull code with distance n . Since the matrix $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is 1 rank matrix of the type $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & a \end{pmatrix}$, respectively, where $a \neq 0$ and $*$ could be 0 or non-zero entry. \square

Remark. If $n = 2$, then $d_1[2, 2]_{9, M} \geq 1$ for all dualities where $\chi_u(u) \neq 1$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_9^*$.

Theorem 28. For non-symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 , we have $d_1[n, 2]_{4, M} = n - 1$.

Proof. Observe that for non-symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 , we have $\chi_x(x) = -1$, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$ and $\chi_x(y) = -\chi_y(x)$, for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_4^*$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix}$ be a generator matrix of a one-rank hull code C with distance n . This implies $u_i \neq 0$, $v_i \neq 0$ and $u_i \neq v_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(u_i) & \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(v_i) \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{v_i}(u_i) & \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{v_i}(v_i) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n & \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(v_i) \\ (-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(v_i) & (-1)^n \end{pmatrix}$$

If n is even, then $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If n is odd, then $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In all cases, C is a not one-rank hull code, which is a contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & \cdots & x & 0 \\ y & y & \cdots & y & y \end{pmatrix}$ be a generator matrix of an additive code C of length n . Then for any non-symmetric duality, if n is odd, then $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is equal to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, if n is even, then $\log_{\xi}(\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In all cases, C is a one-rank hull code with distance $n - 1$. Hence, $d_1[n, 2]_{4, M} = n - 1$ for non-symmetric dualities. \square

Theorem 29. For symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 , we have $d_1[n, 2]_{4, M} = n - 1$.

Proof. Observe that for symmetric dualities over $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, u, v, u + v\}$, we have $\chi_u(u) = 1$, $\chi_v(v) = -1$, $\chi_u(v) = -1$ (Since we are considering non skew-symmetric dualities). Let $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix}$ be a generator matrix of a one-rank hull code C with distance n . This implies $u_i \neq 0$, $v_i \neq 0$ and $u_i \neq v_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose in the first row u appears m times. If m is even, remove all m columns containing u in the first row from \mathcal{G} , say it is \mathcal{G}' .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G} \odot_M \mathcal{G}^T &= \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(u_i) (\chi_u(u))^m & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(v_i) \prod \chi_u(v_j) \\ \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(u_i) \prod \chi_{v_j}(u) & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(v_i) \prod_{u_i=u} \chi_{v_j}(v_j) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(u_i) (1)^m & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(v_i) (-1)^m \\ \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(u_i) (-1)^m & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(v_i) (-1)^m \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(u_i) & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{u_i}(v_i) \\ \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(u_i) & \prod_{u_i \neq u} \chi_{v_i}(v_i) \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{G}' is a generator matrix of one-rank hull code with distance $n - m$. If m is odd, remove $m - 1$ (even) columns containing u . Then \mathcal{G}' is a generator matrix of one-rank hull code with distance $n - (m - 1)$. Similarly, we do for the second row of \mathcal{G} . Therefore, we have at most one u in both the rows of \mathcal{G}' .

1. There is no u in both rows of \mathcal{G}' then $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, depending on n is even or odd.
2. There is one u in both rows of \mathcal{G}' then $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, depending on n is even or odd.
3. There is one u either in first or second row of \mathcal{G}' then $\log_\xi(\mathcal{G}' \odot_M \mathcal{G}'^T)$ is equal to either $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, depending on n is even or odd.

In all the above cases, \mathcal{G}' can not generate a one-rank hull code, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_1[n, 2]_{4, M} \leq n - 1$ for symmetric dualities.

If n is even then $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u & \cdots & u & u \\ v & v & \cdots & v & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and if n is odd then $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u & \cdots & u & u \\ v & v & \cdots & v & v \end{pmatrix}$ generate an additive code C . It is clear that C is a one-rank hull code of length n and distance $n - 1$. Hence the result follows. \square

Theorem 30. *For all dualities M over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , $p \neq 2, 3$, we have $d_1[n, 2n - 2]_{p^2, M} = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$ be a generator matrix of one-rank hull code C^{M^T} with distance n then the sets $\{x_i, y_i\}$ are 2-linear independent for all i . And,

$$C = \{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(x_i) = 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(y_i) = 1\}.$$

If, $\mathbf{z} = (0, \dots, 0, z_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in C$ is a vector of weight one, then $\chi_{z_i}(x_i) = 1$ and $\chi_{z_i}(y_i) = 1$. Which is not possible over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} since $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is 2-linear independent set. Hence, $d_1[n, 2n - 2]_{p^2, M} \geq 2$. From the Singleton bound for the additive code $[n, p^{(2n-2)}]$, we have $d \leq 2$. Hence, combining the results, we get $d_1[n, 2n - 2]_{p^2, M} = 2$. \square

Theorem 31. *For all dualities M over \mathbb{F}_4 , we have $d_1[n, 2n - 2]_{4, M} = 1$.*

Proof. Let C^{M^T} be an $[n, 2^2]$ one-rank hull code with generator matrix $\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Then,

$$C = \{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_4^n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(x_i) = 1 \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_{u_i}(y_i) = 1\}.$$

Furthermore, according to Theorem 28 and 29, we have $d(C^{M^T}) \leq n - 1$. This implies that for some i , either $x_i = 0$ or $y_i = 0$ or $x_i = y_i$. In each case, by Theorem 18, we have a vector $(0, \dots, 0, z_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in C$. Hence, $d_1[n, 2n - 2]_{4, M} = 1$. \square

During our search in MAGMA [8], we identified improved parameters for quaternary one-rank hull codes over non-symmetric dualities. This indicates the non-symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 hold significant interest. Here, we provide the highest possible minimum distances for quaternary one-rank hull codes under non-symmetric dualities (see Table 1). Over \mathbb{F}_4 , there are two non-symmetric dualities, N_1 and N_2 , such that $N_2^T = N_1$.

| N_1 | 0 | 1 | ω | $\omega + 1$ |
|--------------|---|----|----------|--------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| ω | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\omega + 1$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |

| N_2 | 0 | 1 | ω | $\omega + 1$ |
|--------------|---|----|----------|--------------|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| ω | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| $\omega + 1$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |

According to Lemma 4, if C is a one-rank hull code under N_1 , then so does N_2 . Hence, for both duality, we have the same table. Although MAGMA includes built-in functions for determining the dual space of additive codes, it lacks a built-in function for the dualities that we are using. As a result, we have developed an algorithm over \mathbb{F}_4 to determine if a given additive code is a one-rank hull code. Our search was carried out in the MAGMA software package [8]. Generator matrices for one-rank hull codes for a non-symmetric duality can be found online at <https://drive.google.com/file/d/132KW2UrlpdSEfr2USfqswEAwvSU0QMq/view?usp=sharing>.

The one-rank hull codes presented here are either optimal or near to optimal according to [7]. An $[n, p^k, d]$ code is said to be an optimal one-rank hull code if $d = d_1[n, k]$ for a given n and k . From Theorems 21, 22, 28, and 31, we have $d_1[n, 1] = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$, $d_1[n, 2] = n - 1$, $d_1[n, 2n - 1] = 1$, and $d_1[n, 2n - 2] = 1$ for non-symmetric dualities over \mathbb{F}_4 . In the Table 1, optimal codes are highlighted in bold. Also, we identify some optimal quaternary one-rank hull codes under non-symmetric dualities which were not optimal under all symmetric dualities, see Table 2,3,4,5 in [15]. For example, $[4, 2^4, 3]$, $[5, 2^4, 4]$, $[8, 2^4, 6]$, $[9, 2^4, 7]$, $[10, 2^4, 8]$, $[10, 2^5, 7]$, $[6, 2^6, 3]$, $[8, 2^6, 5]$, $[8, 2^8, 4]$, $[10, 2^9, 5]$ and $[10, 2^{14}, 3]$. In the table, an asterisk (*) represents these codes.

7 Conclusion

In this article, we have discussed additive one-rank hull codes with respect to arbitrary dualities over finite fields. The main contributions of this article are the following:

1. A novel approach has been given to find the one-rank hull codes by establishing a connection between self-orthogonal elements and solutions of quadratic forms.
2. Precise count of self-orthogonal elements with respect to arbitrary dualities over finite fields of odd characteristics has been provided.

In the table, an asterisk (*) represents these codes.

Table 1: Highest minimum distance for quaternary one-rank hull codes with respect to non-symmetric dualities. The * signifies the improvement over the prior works.

| n/k | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | |
|-----|----|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| 1 | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3* | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4* | 3 | 3* | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | |
| 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6* | 5 | 5* | 4 | 4* | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | | | |
| 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7* | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | | |
| 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8* | 7* | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5* | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3* | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

3. Construction methods have been given for small rank hull codes.
4. The value of the highest possible minimum distances $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 2$ has been determined.
5. Optimal quaternary one-rank hull codes $[4, 2^4, 3]$, $[5, 2^4, 4]$, $[8, 2^4, 6]$, $[9, 2^4, 7]$, $[10, 2^4, 8]$, $[10, 2^5, 7]$, $[6, 2^6, 3]$, $[8, 2^6, 5]$, $[8, 2^8, 4]$, $[10, 2^9, 5]$, and $[10, 2^{14}, 3]$ over non-symmetric dualities are identified (see Table 1), which improve the minimal distance of the quaternary one-rank hull codes over all symmetric dualities see Table 2,3,4,5 in [15].

As a future work, a precise count of self-orthogonal elements over fields of even characteristics can be determined. It is known that determining the minimum distance of the codes is very important to estimate the error correcting capability. Hence, the valuable problem could be, to find out a good upper bound and lower bound for $d_1[n, k]_{p^e, M}$ over arbitrary dualities.

Acknowledgments

The first author is supported by UGC, New Delhi, Govt. of India under grant DEC18-417932. The second author is ConsenSys Blockchain chair professor. He thanks ConsenSys AG for that privilege.

Statements and Declarations

Author's Contribution: All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest in this paper.

References

- [1] A. Agrawal and R. K. Sharma. ACD codes over non-symmetric dualities. *Arxiv*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.07529>.
- [2] A. Agrawal, G. K. Verma, and R. K. Sharma. Galois LCD codes over $\mathbb{F}_q + u\mathbb{F}_q + v\mathbb{F}_q + uv\mathbb{F}_q$. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 107:330–341, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972722001344>.

- [3] A. E. Ashikhmin and E. Knill. Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 47:3065–3072, 2000. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15997825>.
- [4] E. Assmus and J. Key. Affine and projective planes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 83(2):161–187, 1990. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0012365X9090003Z>.
- [5] N. Aydin and T. Abualrub. Optimal quantum codes from additive skew cyclic codes. *Discret. Math. Algorithms Appl.*, 8:1650037:1–1650037:9, 2016. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:28068275>.
- [6] J. Bierbrauer, Y. Edel, G. Faina, S. Marcugini, and F. Pambianco. Short additive quaternary codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 55:952–954, 2009. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:9975274>.
- [7] A. Blokhuis and A. Brouwer. Small additive quaternary codes. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 25(2):161–167, 2004. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6698\(03\)00096-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6698(03)00096-9).
- [8] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [9] A. Calderbank, E. Rains, P. Shor, and N. Sloane. Quantum error correction via codes over GF(4). *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1369–1387, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1109/18.681315>.
- [10] P. Delsarte. An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory. *Philips Res. Rep. Suppl.*, 10:vi+97, 1973. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570291225098257152>.
- [11] S. T. Dougherty. *Algebraic coding theory over finite commutative rings*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59806-2>.
- [12] S. T. Dougherty. Dualities for codes over finite abelian groups. *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3934/amc.2023023>.
- [13] S. T. Dougherty, S. Şahinkaya, and D. Ustun. Additive complementary dual codes from group characters. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(7):4444–4452, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2022.3162181>.
- [14] S. T. Dougherty and S. Myers. Orthogonality from group characters. *Involve*, 14(4):555–570, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.2140/involve.2021.14.555>.
- [15] S. T. Dougherty, S. Sahinkaya, and D. Ustun. On additive codes with one rank hulls. *In submission*. <https://drive.google.com/file/d/18QhiyZDeiXRK26wtGbSS1aOj7WNVzMfK/view>.
- [16] H. Islam and O. Prakash. New quantum codes from constacyclic and additive constacyclic codes. *Quantum Inf Process*, 19, 2020. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-020-02825-z>.
- [17] J. Leon. Computing automorphism groups of error-correcting codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(3):496–511, 1982. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1982.1056498>.

- [18] J. S. Leon. Permutation group algorithms based on partitions, I: Theory and algorithms. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 12(4):533–583, 1991. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-7171\(08\)80103-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-7171(08)80103-4).
- [19] C. Li and P. Zeng. Constructions of linear codes with one-dimensional hull. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 65(3):1668–1676, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2018.2863693>.
- [20] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Number v. 20, pt. 1 in EBL-Schweitzer. <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=xqMqxQTFUkMC>.
- [21] T. Mankean and S. Jitman. Optimal binary and ternary linear codes with hull dimension one. *J. Appl. Math. Comput*, 64:137–155, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-020-01348-1>.
- [22] J. L. Massey. Linear codes with complementary duals. *Discrete Mathematics*, 106-107:337–342, 1992. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(92\)90563-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(92)90563-U).
- [23] L. Qian, X. Cao, and S. Mesnager. Linear codes with one-dimensional hull associated with gaussian sums. *Cryptography and Communications*, 13:225 – 243, 2020. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:228939845>.
- [24] N. Sendrier. Finding the permutation between equivalent linear codes: the support splitting algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 46(4):1193–1203, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1109/18.850662>.
- [25] N. Sendrier and G. Skersys. On the computation of the automorphism group of a linear code. In *Proceedings. 2001 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (IEEE Cat. No.01CH37252)*, page 13, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISIT.2001.935876>.
- [26] G. Verma, A. Agrawal, and R. Sharma. Construction methods for Galois LCD codes over finite fields. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 69:4023–4043, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-023-01914-3>.