

Synthesizing Missing MRI Sequences from Available Modalities using Generative Adversarial Networks in BraTS Dataset

Ibrahim Ethem Hamamci^{1,✉}

Department of Quantitative Biomedicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract. Glioblastoma is a highly aggressive and lethal form of brain cancer. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a significant role in the diagnosis, treatment planning, and follow-up of glioblastoma patients due to its non-invasive and radiation-free nature. The International Brain Tumor Segmentation (BraTS) challenge has contributed to generating numerous AI algorithms to accurately and efficiently segment glioblastoma sub-compartments using four structural (T1, T1Gd, T2, T2-FLAIR) MRI scans. However, these four MRI sequences may not always be available. To address this issue, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can be used to synthesize the missing MRI sequences. In this paper, we implement and utilize an open-source GAN approach that takes any three MRI sequences as input to generate the missing fourth structural sequence. Our proposed approach is contributed to the community-driven generally nuanced deep learning framework (GaN-DLF) and demonstrates promising results in synthesizing high-quality and realistic MRI sequences, enabling clinicians to improve their diagnostic capabilities and support the application of AI methods to brain tumor MRI quantification.

Keywords: Glioblastoma, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Machine Learning, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Missing Modalities

1 Introduction

Glioblastoma is one of the most aggressive and lethal types of brain cancer, and despite advancements in cancer treatment, the prognosis for patients diagnosed with glioblastoma remains poor. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a crucial role in the diagnosis, treatment planning, and follow-up of patients with glioblastoma. MRI is a widely used medical imaging technology due to its non-invasive and radiation-free nature, and it offers multiple sequences or modalities that provide distinct insights into different patient problems. For instance, T1-weighted sequences differentiate between gray and white matter tissues, while T2-weighted sequences highlight fluid from cortical tissue. The four structural modalities of brain MRI (T1, T1Gd, T2, T2-FLAIR) are essential for clinicians to diagnose different abnormalities.

In recent years, large-scale datasets such as the International Brain Tumor Segmentation (BraTS) dataset [1–5] have enabled the development of advanced artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms that can accurately and efficiently segment glioblastomas from the four structural MRI sequences. Manual segmentation of brain tumors is a tedious and time-consuming task with low reproducibility between operators, making the use of AI methods particularly important [6]. AI methods have been shown to save time, reduce human errors, and improve the accuracy and speed of the diagnostic process [7–12].

However, acquiring all four necessary structural MRI sequences, comprising T1-weighted pre- (T1) and post-contrast (T1-Gd) images, native T2-weighted (T2), and T2 fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T2-FLAIR) sequences, may not always be practical for each patient due to factors such as cost, data corruption, and patient movement or allergies to contrast dye. To address this issue, generating any one of the missing modalities using AI from the three available ones is a challenging problem with significant potential benefits. It can facilitate more effective diagnosis by doctors and support the application of AI methods to MRI. A promising approach to solving this problem is through the use of various synthesis methods, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), which have shown remarkable performance in synthesizing missing modalities [13].

By leveraging synthesis methods to replace missing brain MRI sequences, clinicians can improve their diagnostic capabilities while also enabling use of a large existing body of work from BraTS that leverages 4 structural MRI sequences to segment brain lesions [14–18], and for radiogenomic prediction [19–21]. This is achieved by synthesizing one of the necessary structural sequences (T1, T1-Gd, T2, T2-FLAIR) that may be missing from a given subject.

The literature has explored various approaches and datasets to address the issue of missing modalities. For example, one study [13] used a one-input GAN to synthesize a missing modality based on an available one, while another study [22] employed a multi-input GAN that used three other modalities to synthesize the missing one (which is very similar to our approach). Another study uses only a single score-based network to learn various cross-modal conditional distributions in the complete modality space, and can effectively and robustly infer any missing modality based on the learned conditional distribution by leveraging all remaining modalities for accurate conditioning [23].

In this work, we implement and utilize a GAN approach that takes three MRI modalities as inputs to generate the missing modality, incorporating all available information. Our approach yields promising results, demonstrating that the developed models are capable of producing high-quality and realistic images.

2 Methods

2.1 Data

For our study, we used the BraTS 2021 dataset [1–5], which is publicly available at <https://www.med.upenn.edu/sbia/brats2017/data.html>. The dataset includes images of 1,250 glioblastoma patients, acquired from multiple institutions.




Fig. 1: The training process of the generative adversarial network framework consists of several steps. First, the T1-Gd model is trained, where the generator learns to produce post-contrast T1-weighted images from the other three modalities, section by section. The discriminator is trained to distinguish between the generated T1-Gd images and the original ones. At each iteration, the generator uses the discriminator’s output to synthesize images that closely resemble real data. Second, the T1 model is trained, with the generator learning to produce pre-contrast T1-weighted images from the other three modalities, section by section. The third involves training the T2 model, where the generator learns to produce T2-weighted images. Fourth, the FLAIR model is trained using the same steps, except that the generator is trained to produce FLAIR images from the other three modalities, and the discriminator is trained to distinguish between the generated and original FLAIR images (gFLAIR).

Each patient had precontrast T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and FLAIR images, as well as postcontrast T1-weighted images.

All modalities were resampled to $128 \times 128 \times 128$ pixels and filtered by eliminating pixels that have less than 3000 pixel values for preprocessing. All samples in the BraTS dataset were included. We removed each modality, including T1-weighted, T2-weighted, T1-Gd, and FLAIR, for each training, and the removed modality is used as ground truth.

2.2 Data partition

To quantify our model’s performance, we randomly split the data into training and validation sets. The training set consisted of 1,237 subjects, while the validation set had 13 subjects. As we resampled each modality to $128 \times 128 \times 128$ voxels and used 2D layers for training, we had a total of 158,336 images for the training set and 1,664 images for the validation set for each modality.

2.3 Experimental Design

This is a retrospective study using data from the BraTS 2021 challenge [1–5]. We trained 4 different generators for 4 different missing MRI sequence syntheses using GANs. The goal of the study was to create a model that could generate missing modalities from other available modalities. Our proposed role of the AI algorithm was as an add-on approach to provide additional information about missing modalities. The type of predictive modeling performed was image-to-image translation, and the target of predictions was missing modalities in the input data.

2.4 Model

We adapted Pix2pixHD [24], which is an advanced image-to-image translation model that takes single input images and generates a single output image. Pix2pixHD is an advanced deep learning model for image-to-image translation, which is capable of generating high-resolution and photorealistic images from low-resolution inputs, such as sketches or semantic maps. The model employs a multi-scale generator architecture to generate images at different scales, and a multi-scale discriminator to evaluate the generated images at multiple resolutions. The adversarial losses with feature matching enable the model to capture high-frequency details and texture information in the generated images. Additionally, the model uses a hierarchical semantic segmentation strategy to enhance the quality of the generated images. We adapted the model as it takes 3 inputs and outputs 1 to leverage all the available information to generate missing one modality.

2.5 Training

We performed all training procedures and hyperparameters for the Pix2pixHD model, including augmentation and pre-processing, before the data entered the compute graph. Hyperparameter tuning was performed to optimize the model performance. We evaluated model performance as a function of the data partitions. The training was conducted on a NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti GPU, using the PyTorch deep learning framework.

2.6 Data Availability

The BraTS dataset is publicly available on the Synapse platform and contains multi-parametric MRI sequences of 1,250 patients with gliomas, each including four modalities: T1, T1-contrast enhanced, T2, and T2-FLAIR.

2.7 Code Availability

The source code and related configurations related to this study are available through the Generally Nuanced Deep Learning Framework (GaNDLF) [25, 26].

3 Results

We quantitatively evaluated the performance of our proposed approach on the BraTS 2021 dataset, which consists of 1250 patients with glioblastoma. We randomly split the dataset into training (?), validation (?), and testing (?) sets. The training and validation sets were used for model training and hyperparameter tuning, while the testing set was used to evaluate the performance of our method. We used three MRI sequences out of four as inputs to generate the missing modality. We used the same network architecture and hyperparameter configuration to train models to generate each missing modality.

To assess the effectiveness of our approach, we employed four different evaluation metrics. The first metric is the Learned Perceptual Image Patch Similarity (LPIPS) metric, which measures the distance between the generated and ground truth images in terms of visual similarity. The Normalized Mutual Information (NMI) metric is used to evaluate the overlap between the generated and ground truth images. The Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) metric is a measure of how similar the distributions of the generated and ground truth images are in terms of their feature representations. Finally, we used the Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) to evaluate the structural similarity between the generated and ground truth images.

In addition to these quantitative metrics, we also performed a visual inspection of the generated images to ensure that they were of high quality and resembled the ground truth images. This qualitative assessment is important because it provides additional insights into the performance of the method and can reveal any shortcomings of the quantitative metrics. By combining both quantitative and qualitative evaluations, we can obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the performance of our method.

Overall, our proposed method demonstrated promising results for generating missing modalities. The results suggest that our method could be a valuable tool for improving the diagnosis and treatment of patients with glioblastoma.

4 Discussion

In this work, we demonstrated the effectiveness of our approach to generating missing brain tumor MRI sequences by utilizing a GAN approach that takes three MRI modalities as inputs to generate the missing modality. Our approach showed promising results in generating high-quality and realistic images that closely resemble ground truth images.

One possible explanation for the performance of our method is that it utilizes all available information from the three input modalities to synthesize the missing modality. This approach allows our method to incorporate complementary information from different modalities, resulting in a more accurate and complete representation of the image. In addition, our method can be easily extended to incorporate more than three input modalities, allowing us to generate missing modalities even when more than one modality is unavailable. This capability




Fig. 2: Radar graph showing the evaluation results of the generative adversarial network (GAN) framework, trained in four steps to generate T1-Gd, T1, T2, and FLAIR images from three other modalities. The graph shows the performance of the GAN in terms of LPIPS, NMI, FID, and SSIM metrics for each modality.

makes our method a powerful tool for clinicians and researchers who need to analyze brain MRI data with missing modalities.

Furthermore, our method has several potential clinical applications. For instance, it can be used to generate missing modalities to support the diagnosis of brain tumors, enabling clinicians to make more accurate and informed decisions. Our method can also be used to facilitate the application of AI methods to MRI by providing complete data for training and testing.

However, there are several limitations and possible future directions that can be pursued based on the findings of this study. Firstly, we have used 2D slices for training, and the use of 3D data and models to generate more realistic and dynamic scenes is essential to further improve the performance of the proposed method. Second, investigating the generalizability of the method on different datasets and pathological conditions is essential to ensure its broader applicability. Third, integrating the generated images into downstream analysis, such as segmentation and diagnosis, could be examined. Fourth, exploring the potential of the proposed method in clinical settings with real patient data and assessing its usefulness for clinical decision-making could be investigated. Fifth, the proposed method could be applied to generate other types of medical images, such as CT or ultrasound, to address missing modalities. Overall, these future directions can expand the impact and potential applications of the proposed method in the field of medical imaging.

In conclusion, our approach demonstrates the potential of utilizing GANs to generate missing modalities in brain MRI. Our method achieves good performance and has several potential clinical applications. Future work could investigate the application of our method to other imaging modalities and evaluate its performance on larger datasets.

References

1. B. H. Menze, A. Jakab, S. Bauer, J. Kalpathy-Cramer, K. Farahani, J. Kirby, Y. Burren, N. Porz, J. Slotboom, R. Wiest, *et al.*, “The multimodal brain tumor image segmentation benchmark (brats),” *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1993–2024, 2014.
2. S. Bakas, M. Reyes, A. Jakab, S. Bauer, M. Rempfler, A. Crimi, R. T. Shinohara, C. Berger, S. M. Ha, M. Rozycki, *et al.*, “Identifying the best machine learning algorithms for brain tumor segmentation, progression assessment, and overall survival prediction in the brats challenge,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.02629*, 2018.
3. U. Baid, S. Ghodasara, S. Mohan, M. Bilello, E. Calabrese, E. Colak, K. Farahani, J. Kalpathy-Cramer, F. C. Kitamura, S. Pati, *et al.*, “The rsna-asnr-miccai brats 2021 benchmark on brain tumor segmentation and radiogenomic classification,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.02314*, 2021.
4. S. Bakas, H. Akbari, A. Sotiras, M. Bilello, M. Rozycki, J. Kirby, J. Freymann, K. Farahani, and C. Davatzikos, “Segmentation labels and radiomic features for the pre-operative scans of the tcga-lgg collection,” *The cancer imaging archive*, vol. 286, 2017.




Fig. 3: Validations

5. S. Bakas, H. Akbari, A. Sotiras, M. Bilello, M. Rozycki, J. Kirby, J. Freymann, K. Farahani, and C. Davatzikos, "Segmentation labels for the pre-operative scans of the tcga-gbm collection," *The cancer imaging archive*, vol. 286, 2017.
6. I. E. Hamamci, S. Er, E. Simsar, A. Sekuboyina, M. Gundogar, B. Stadlinger, A. Mehl, and B. Menze, "Diffusion-based hierarchical multi-label object detection to analyze panoramic dental x-rays," in *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2023* (H. Greenspan, A. Madabhushi, P. Mousavi, S. Salcudean, J. Duncan, T. Syeda-Mahmood, and R. Taylor, eds.), (Cham), pp. 389–399, Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023.
7. K.-H. Yu, A. L. Beam, and I. S. Kohane, "Artificial intelligence in healthcare," *Nature biomedical engineering*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 719–731, 2018.
8. D. D. Miller and E. W. Brown, "Artificial intelligence in medical practice: the question to the answer?," *The American journal of medicine*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 129–133, 2018.
9. T. Davenport and R. Kalakota, "The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare," *Future healthcare journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 94, 2019.
10. A. F. Leite, K. d. F. Vasconcelos, H. Willems, and R. Jacobs, "Radiomics and machine learning in oral healthcare," *PROTEOMICS–Clinical Applications*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 1900040, 2020.
11. N. Schwalbe and B. Wahl, "Artificial intelligence and the future of global health," *The Lancet*, vol. 395, no. 10236, pp. 1579–1586, 2020.
12. K. B. Johnson, W.-Q. Wei, D. Weeraratne, M. E. Frisse, K. Misulis, K. Rhee, J. Zhao, and J. L. Snowdon, "Precision medicine, ai, and the future of personalized health care," *Clinical and translational science*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 86–93, 2021.
13. G. M. Conte, A. D. Weston, D. C. Vogelsang, K. A. Philbrick, J. C. Cai, M. Barbera, F. Sanvito, D. H. Lachance, R. B. Jenkins, W. O. Tobin, *et al.*, "Generative adversarial networks to synthesize missing t1 and flair mri sequences for use in a multisequence brain tumor segmentation model," *Radiology*, vol. 299, no. 2, pp. 313–323, 2021.
14. K. Kamnitsas, C. Ledig, V. F. Newcombe, J. P. Simpson, A. D. Kane, D. K. Menon, D. Rueckert, and B. Glocker, "Efficient multi-scale 3d cnn with fully connected crf for accurate brain lesion segmentation," *Medical image analysis*, vol. 36, pp. 61–78, 2017.
15. R. McKinley, R. Meier, and R. Wiest, "Ensembles of densely-connected cnns with label-uncertainty for brain tumor segmentation," in *International MICCAI Brain-lesion Workshop*, pp. 456–465, Springer, 2018.
16. F. Isensee, P. F. Jaeger, S. A. Kohl, J. Petersen, and K. H. Maier-Hein, "nnu-net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation," *Nature Methods*, pp. 1–9, 2020.
17. S. Pati, U. Baid, B. Edwards, M. J. Sheller, P. Foley, G. A. Reina, S. Thakur, C. Sako, M. Bilello, C. Davatzikos, *et al.*, "The federated tumor segmentation (fets) tool: an open-source solution to further solid tumor research," *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 67, no. 20, p. 204002, 2022.
18. S. Pati, U. Baid, B. Edwards, M. Sheller, S.-H. Wang, G. A. Reina, P. Foley, A. Gruzdev, D. Karkada, C. Davatzikos, *et al.*, "Federated learning enables big data for rare cancer boundary detection," *Nature Communications*, vol. 13, no. 7346, 2022.
19. S. Bakas, H. Akbari, J. Pisapia, M. Martinez-Lage, M. Rozycki, S. Rathore, N. Dahmane, D. M. O'Rourke, and C. Davatzikos, "In vivo detection of egrfviii in glioblastoma via perfusion magnetic resonance imaging signature consistent with deep

- peritumoral infiltration: the φ -index,” *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 23, no. 16, pp. 4724–4734, 2017.
20. H. Akbari, S. Bakas, J. M. Pisapia, M. P. Nasrallah, M. Rozycki, M. Martinez-Lage, J. J. Morrisette, N. Dahmane, D. M. O’Rourke, and C. Davatzikos, “In vivo evaluation of egfrviii mutation in primary glioblastoma patients via complex multiparametric mri signature,” *Neuro-oncology*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1068–1079, 2018.
 21. S. Rathore, S. Mohan, S. Bakas, C. Sako, C. Badve, S. Pati, A. Singh, D. Bounias, P. Ngo, H. Akbari, *et al.*, “Multi-institutional noninvasive in vivo characterization of idh, 1p/19q, and egfrviii in glioma using neuro-cancer imaging phenomics toolkit (neuro-captk),” *Neuro-oncology advances*, vol. 2, no. Supplement_4, pp. iv22–iv34, 2020.
 22. E. Alogna, E. Giacomello, and D. Loiacono, “Brain magnetic resonance imaging generation using generative adversarial networks,” in *2020 IEEE symposium series on computational intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 2528–2535, IEEE, 2020.
 23. X. Meng, Y. Gu, Y. Pan, N. Wang, P. Xue, M. Lu, X. He, Y. Zhan, and D. Shen, “A novel unified conditional score-based generative framework for multi-modal medical image completion,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03430*, 2022.
 24. T.-C. Wang, M.-Y. Liu, J.-Y. Zhu, A. Tao, J. Kautz, and B. Catanzaro, “High-resolution image synthesis and semantic manipulation with conditional gans,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 8798–8807, 2018.
 25. S. Pati, S. P. Thakur, M. Bhalerao, S. Thermos, U. Baid, K. Gotkowski, C. Gonzalez, O. Guley, I. E. Hamamci, S. Er, *et al.*, “Gandlf: A generally nuanced deep learning framework for scalable end-to-end clinical workflows in medical imaging,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.01006*, 2021.
 26. S. Pati, S. P. Thakur, İ. E. Hamamcı, U. Baid, B. Baheti, M. Bhalerao, O. Güley, S. Mouchtaris, D. Lang, S. Thermos, K. Gotkowski, C. González, C. Grenko, A. Getka, B. Edwards, M. Sheller, J. Wu, D. Karkada, R. Panchumarthy, V. Ahluwalia, C. Zou, V. Bashyam, Y. Li, B. Haghghi, R. Chitalia, S. Abousamra, T. M. Kurc, A. Gastounioti, S. Er, M. Bergman, J. H. Saltz, Y. Fan, P. Shah, A. Mukhopadhyay, S. A. Tsiftaris, B. Menze, C. Davatzikos, D. Kontos, A. Karargyris, R. Umeton, P. Mattson, and S. Bakas, “Gandlf: the generally nuanced deep learning framework for scalable end-to-end clinical workflows,” *Communications Engineering*, vol. 2, p. 23, May 2023.