

The Slicer Combined with Array of Lenslets for Exoplanet Spectroscopy (SCALES): driving science cases and expected outcomes

Steph Sallum^a, Andrew Skemer^b, Deno Stelter^c, Ravinder Banyal^d, Natalie Batalha^b, Natasha Batalha^e, Geoff Blake^f, Tim Brandt^g, Zack Briesemeister^h, Katherine de Klerf^f, Imke de Paterⁱ, Aditi Desai^a, Josh Eisner^j, Wen-fai Fong^k, Tom Greene^e, Mitsuhiro Honda^l, Rebecca Jensen-Clem^b, Isabel Kain^b, Charlie Kilpatrick^k, Renate Kupke^b, Mackenzie Lach^a, Michael C. Liu^m, Bruce Macintosh^c, Raquel A. Martinez^a, Dimitri Mawet^{f,n}, Brittany Miles^j, Caroline Morley^o, Diana Powell^p, Ramya Sethuram^d, Patrick Sheehan^q, Justin Spilker^r, Jordan Stone^s, Arun Surya^t, Sivarani Thirupathi^d, Athira Unni^u, Kevin Wagner^j, and Yifan Zhou^v

^aUniversity of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA USA

^bUniversity of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

^cUniversity of California Observatories, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

^dIndian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore, India

^eNASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA

^fCalifornia Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

^gUniversity of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

^hNASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

ⁱUniversity of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA

^jUniversity of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

^kNorthwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

^lOkayama University of Science, Okayama, Japan

^mUniversity of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI, USA

ⁿJet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA

^oThe University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

^pThe University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

^qNational Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA, USA

^rTexas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

^sNaval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC, USA

^tTata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

^uAryabhata Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), Nainital, India

^vThe University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

ABSTRACT

The Slicer Combined with Array of Lenslets for Exoplanet Spectroscopy (SCALES) is a $2 - 5 \mu\text{m}$, high-contrast integral field spectrograph (IFS) currently being built for Keck Observatory. With both low ($R \lesssim 250$) and medium ($R \sim 3500 - 7000$) spectral resolution IFS modes, SCALES will detect and characterize significantly colder exoplanets than those accessible with near-infrared ($\sim 1 - 2 \mu\text{m}$) high-contrast spectrographs. This will lead to new progress in exoplanet atmospheric studies, including detailed characterization of benchmark

*Corresponding author information:

Steph Sallum

E-mail: ssallum@uci.edu

systems that will advance the state of the art of atmospheric modeling. SCALES’ unique modes, while designed specifically for direct exoplanet characterization, will enable a broader range of novel (exo)planetary observations as well as galactic and extragalactic studies. Here we present the science cases that drive the design of SCALES. We describe an end-to-end instrument simulator that we use to track requirements, and show simulations of expected science yields for each driving science case. We conclude with a discussion of preparations for early science when the instrument sees first light in ~ 2025 .

Keywords: SCALES, exoplanets, protoplanets, protoplanetary disks, Solar System, integral field spectroscopy, Keck Observatory

1. INTRODUCTION

The Slicer Combined with Array of Lenslets for Exoplanet Spectroscopy (SCALES)¹ is a thermal-infrared, high-contrast integral field spectrograph (IFS) currently under construction for Keck Observatory. With a spectral range of $2 - 5 \mu\text{m}$, SCALES will be the first facility-class, high-contrast IFS to operate at these wavelengths. While SCALES is designed specifically to detect and characterize colder exoplanets than those accessible with near-infrared IFSs, it will enable a wide range of new science at Keck. Its broader applications will include protoplanet characterization, protoplanetary disk mapping, and Solar System object monitoring, as well as characterization of supernova remnants, active galactic nuclei, and more.

SCALES will consist of a lenslet-based low-spectral-resolution IFS, a novel lenslet-plus-slicer (slenslit) medium-spectral-resolution IFS,² and an imaging channel.³ Figure 1 summarizes the spectral resolutions, fields of view, spatial sampling, and high-contrast / high-resolution capabilities of each of SCALES’ IFS and imaging modes. In addition to the baseline modes listed in Figure 1, the SCALES team is also exploring possible medium-resolution upgrades, with custom gratings and filters to enable higher spectral resolutions tailored for individual science cases (see Martinez *et al.* in these proceedings).⁴

Here we describe the science cases that drive the SCALES design, as well as SCALES’ expected science outcomes. We first present the latest version of *scalessim*, which is an open-source end-to-end simulator that the SCALES team uses to track requirements and generate realistic mock observations. We then discuss SCALES’ science objectives and anticipated impact for exoplanet detection and characterization, protoplanet detection and characterization, protoplanetary disk mapping, Solar System monitoring, and other applications beyond exoplanets and planetary science. We conclude by discussing ongoing preparations for early SCALES science in 2025.

Specification	Low-Res Spectroscopy			Medium-Res Spectroscopy			Imaging Channel
Wavelength and Spectral Resolution	1.95-2.45 μm	R~200	K band	2.0-2.4 μm	R~5000	K band	Up to 16 standard and specialized filters covering 1.0-5.3 μm
	2.0-3.7 μm	R~60	water ice				
	2.0-5.0 μm	R~35	SED	2.9-4.15 μm	R~3500	L band	
	2.9-4.15 μm	R~80	L band				
	3.1-3.5 μm	R~250	CH4, PAH	4.5-5.2 μm	R~7000	M band	
	4.5-5.2 μm	R~140	M band				
Field of View	2.2" x 2.2"			0.36" x 0.34"			12.3" x 12.3"
Spatial Sampling	20 mas x 20 mas (Nyquist at 2 μm)			20 mas x 20 mas (Nyquist at 2 μm)			6 mas x 6 mas
Coronagraphs	Vector-vortex			Vector-vortex			N/A
Aperture Masks	Non-redundant mask(s)			N/A			TBD

Figure 1. Summary of SCALES Top-Level Specifications

For additional information about SCALES, please see the following proceedings from this conference:

- **End-to-end spectrograph modeling:** Paper 12680-6 (Surya et al. 2023)⁵
- **Custom medium-spectral-resolution modes:** Paper 12680-69 (Martinez et al. 2023)⁴
- **Diamond-turned optics performance:** Paper 12680-73 (Kain et al. 2023)⁶
- **Optics testing:** Paper 12680-75 (Gangadharan et al. 2023)⁷
- **Medium-spectral-resolution point-spread function deconvolution:** Paper 12680-76 (Desai et al. 2023)⁸
- **Aperture mask designs:** Paper 12680-77 (Lach et al. 2023)⁹

2. SCALESSIM: AN END-TO-END INSTRUMENT SIMULATOR

SCALES’ design has been informed by a realistic end-to-end simulation tool (`scalessim`).¹⁰ We developed this python-based instrument simulator for use in tracking requirements and performing trade studies. We have used `scalessim` to make design choices such as filter selection, and to set requirements such as the low-resolution prism rotation angle, the pointing stability of the medium-resolution mode piezo mirror,¹¹ the imaging channel plate scale, and more. We have also used it to generate realistic mock observations for representative science scenes, and have developed an information content approach to flowing science requirements down to instrument requirements.¹² The `scalessim` package is publicly available on github* so that potential users can apply it to explore SCALES’ science outcomes for various applications.

The `scalessim` software takes as input either a target spectrum or a scene cube consisting of images at a range of wavelengths. In the former case, `scalessim` scales a set of Keck point-spread functions (PSFs) at a range of wavelengths by the flux values given in the input spectrum to create a scene cube. In the latter, it convolves the 3d scene cube with the same set of PSFs.

Once a PSF-convolved scene has been generated, `scalessim` accounts for instrumental and astrophysical transmission in the following ways:

1. **Sky transmission and emission:** `scalessim` accounts for sky transmission and emission using Mauna Kea sky background files provided by Gemini.¹³ These are selectable by the user according to airmass and precipitable water vapor. Martinez et al. (2023)⁴ in these proceedings describes progress in providing a wider range of sky backgrounds for more realistic observation planning, and this feature will soon be incorporated into the main `scalessim` github repository. The scene flux at each wavelength is decreased by the sky transmission, and a uniform background is added to account for sky emission.
2. **Instrument transmission and emission:** `scalessim` next accounts for instrumental transmission and emission by decreasing the scene flux according to the telescope transmission, and then adding blackbody emission to account for the telescope plus adaptive optics backgrounds. The resulting scene flux is then decreased again to account for SCALES’ instrumental transmission. All of the instrumental transmission and emission parameters are adjustable by the user. The default settings are a temperature of 285 K and emissivity of 0.4 for the telescope and AO system, and a constant SCALES throughput of 0.4.
3. **Filter curves:** `scalessim` includes realistic estimated filter curves for the planned filter set, as well as idealized top-hat filter curves (which assume perfect transmission over a user-specified wavelength range). It uses the expected curves by default, scaling the scene flux accordingly.
4. **Detector quantum efficiency:** `scalessim` decreases the flux in the scene cubes to account for quantum efficiency. This is adjustable by the user. Since both SCALES detectors are NIRSpec flight spares, the default value is taken from Rauscher et al. 2014.¹⁴

*<https://github.com/scalessim/scalessim>




Figure 2. Left: The colorscale shows a $5.0 \mu\text{m}$ square lenslet PSF, with only diffraction by the lenslet taken into account. The purple box shows the the dispersion direction for SCALES’ low-resolution IFS modes. Right: The colorscale shows a $5.0 \mu\text{m}$ square lenslet PSF, with diffraction by the lenslet taken into account and the pinhole placed at the geometric focus of the lens. The pinhole throughput at $5 \mu\text{m}$ is $\sim 83\%$.

After scaling the scene appropriately and adding backgrounds, `scalessim` converts from flux values to counts using a gain of $8 \text{ e}^-/\text{DN}$. It then uses monochromatic lenslet PSFs to create spectral traces on the detector. It convolves the scene flux with a lenslet PSF for each wavelength and spatial location in the scene. These lenslet PSFs (Figure 2) were generated with `poppy`¹⁵ using a physical optics model of the lenslets plus a pinhole mask (which will be installed to suppress lenslet diffraction spikes and minimize optical crosstalk). The monochromatic lenslet PSFs are then placed on the detector according to the expected dispersion curves for each prism / grating.

As the last step in raw image generation, `scalessim` adds random and systematic noise sources. These include the following

1. **Poisson noise:** `scalessim` uses the flux values in the raw, noiseless image to add Poisson noise contributions from the target and thermal background.
2. **H2RG detector noise:** This includes readout, pedestal, correlated and uncorrelated pink noise, alternating column noise, and “picture frame” noise. `scalessim` adds these to the raw images following the prescription in Rauscher et al. 2015.¹⁶
3. **Detector crosstalk:** `scalessim` adds appropriate levels of crosstalk for an H2RG following the implementation in George et al. 2018.¹⁷

With raw images in hand, users can apply quick-look data reduction software to extract a cube of images at a range of wavelengths. We developed this bare-bones pipeline using simulated lenslet PSFs, prism dispersion curves, and `scalessim` data products. We use the lenslet PSFs and dispersion curves to simulate calibration unit data, which for each wavelength consists of a set of monochromatic lenslet spots on the detector. We use these spots to generate a sparse matrix which, when multiplied by a flattened raw image, performs simple aperture photometry at the location of each lenslet spot for each wavelength. For now, this step sums all flux values contained within the region of the lenslet PSF that is within 25% of its peak value, but adjusting this value will be explored more thoroughly in the future. The resulting array is then reshaped into a cube of images as a function of wavelength, with one pixel per lenslet.




Figure 3. Left: Simulated raw SCALES image of an A0 star (assuming 1 second of exposure), showing individual spectral traces (diagonal lines) superimposed on the Keck PSF (outer envelope). Right: Top panels show extracted images from the bare-bones pipeline described in Section 2, and the bottom panel shows the extracted spectrum in arbitrary units (scattered points) plotted over the input (dashed line). Grey shading indicates essentially zero atmospheric transmission.

This rectification process is similar to that for OSIRIS’ quick look tool,[†] which has associated overheads that are limited by file input/output, rather than the rectification step itself. We have confirmed that this is also case for the SCALES quick look pipeline. The matrix multiplication step runs in approximately 30 ms, while the combined file input/output for the raw and cubified images and rectification matrix itself takes approximately 300 ms. The combined speed meets our quick-look requirement of producing a processed image cube in < 1 s, in order to allow for coronagraph alignment during observing. Figure 3 shows an example cropped raw SCALES image of an unresolved star, along with example images and spectra extracted by the quick-look pipeline.

In addition to the quick-look pipeline, `scalessim` can be run to skip the raw frame generation step and instead produce 3D datacubes that assume “perfect” spectral extraction. In this case, all of the incident flux on each lenslet (after adjusting for the Poisson noise sources described above) is enclosed in a single pixel in the 3D datacubes. Currently, when the extraction step is skipped in this mode, H2RG systematics and optical crosstalk are not included in the 3D extracted datacube. We will improve this in future versions of `scalessim`.

3. SCALES SCIENCE OBJECTIVES, TRACEABILITY, AND EXPECTED OUTCOMES

Here we describe the driving science cases that set the technical requirements for the SCALES modes shown in Figure 1. The top-level science objectives for these cases are summarized in Figure 4, along with their corresponding measurement requirements and top-level technical requirements. In Figure 4 and in the subsections that follow we focus primarily on the low- and medium-resolution IFS science requirements, but we note that the SCALES team also tracks science requirements for the imaging channel. In each of the following subsections we highlight some of SCALES’ anticipated science outcomes.

3.1 Exoplanet Detection and Characterization

The incomplete census of directly characterized exoplanets has limited our understanding of atmospheric physics and evolution. SCALES is designed to make progress in this area by detecting colder exoplanets than those accessible with current, near-infrared integral field spectrographs. This will enable a wide range of new science outcomes, which are summarized in Figure 5. SCALES’ low-resolution IFS modes will enable robust measurements of planet luminosities, metallicities, and clouds. The medium-resolution modes will add measurements of atmospheric abundances, surface gravities, and more. Here we describe some of SCALES’ premiere exoplanet science applications, including their top-level requirements and SCALES’ expected yields.

[†]<https://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/osiris/technical/recmat/>

Representative Science Objectives	Measurement Requirements	Top Level Technical Requirements
<p>1. Exoplanet detection <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Detect giant (~1 M_J) planets as cold as 300 K on 5-50 AU orbits around K and M dwarfs out to ~20 pc, and hotter planets on similar orbits around a wider range of host stars out to ~100 pc.</p> <p>Detect > 5 giant planets with temperatures of approximately 300 – 700 K that have astrometric mass constraints from Gaia.</p>	<p>Sensitivity to planet fluxes down to ~0.01 mJy at L and M bands, at angular separations of ~150 mas with <10 hours of integration (10 hours for the coldest planets)</p> <p>L band contrast of 12 mag at ~150 mas</p>	<p>Overall Instrument</p> <p><u>Image quality:</u> residual AO errors (after upgrades) dominate budget (WFE < 50 nm)</p> <p><u>Spatial sampling:</u> Nyquist sampled PSF</p> <p><u>Coronagraphy:</u> attenuation equal to current NIRC2 vortex performance</p> <p><u>Sensitivity:</u> ~18 mag at K, L; ~15 mag at M</p>
<p>2. Exoplanet characterization <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>For the planets described in Objective 1: constrain temperatures to within ~10 K, [M/H] ratios to within ~0.1, and log abundances of H₂O, CH₄, NH₃ to within ~0.1.</p> <p>For a subset of planets (> 500 K and > 5 M_J), measure log abundances of additional molecules (e.g. CO, CO₂, PH₃) to within ~1.</p>	<p>Sensitivity to planet fluxes down to 0.01 mJy, with moderate spectral resolving power (R~3500 across K, L, and M bands), for integration times of 10-20 hours for the coldest planets</p>	<p><u>Contrast & inner working angle:</u> 12 mag at L band at > 150 mas 7 mag at K-M band at ~100 mas (interferometric techniques)</p> <p><u>Pipeline:</u> quick-look in ~1s for coronagraph alignment; science-grade calibrated cubes</p>
<p>3. Protoplanet detection / characterization: <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Detect accreting protoplanets in infrared continuum and Br-γ and Br-α emission lines, for planet masses times accretion rates > 5 x 10⁻⁶ M_J² / yr, on 15-50 AU orbits around stars in nearby star forming regions (at ~150 pc).</p>	<p>Sensitivity to ~1 mJy fluxes from 3-5 μm with low resolving power (R~70)</p> <p>Sensitivity to ~0.1 mJy fluxes with moderate resolving power (R~3000) at 2.16 μm, 4.05 μm</p> <p>K-M contrast of 7 mag at ~100 mas</p>	<p>Low-Resolution IFS</p> <p><u>Dispersion modes:</u> L, M bands with R > 80 (for spectral differential imaging; SDI) K band at R ~ 200 (for SDI & CH₄ sensitivity) Simultaneous 2-5 μm coverage with R ~ 30 3.1 μm H₂O ice feature at R > 60 3.3 μm PAH feature at R ~ 250</p> <p><u>Field of view:</u> 1 arcsec radius</p>
<p>4. Protoplanetary disks <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Map the following in protoplanetary disks out to 150 pc, for orbital distances of ~10-100 AU and total (gas + dust) disk masses down to 10^{-3.5} M_{sun}:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10% variations in dust surface density 10% variations (by mass) in H₂O ice 10% variations (by mass) in PAHs 	<p>Surface brightness sensitivity of 5 mJy/arcsec² at K, 12.5 mJy/arcsec² at 3.1 μm (H₂O ice), and 0.125 Jy/arcsec² at 3.3 μm (PAH) at ~150 mas</p> <p>K contrast of 11 mag (dust), H₂O & PAH contrast of 8.5 mag at ~150 mas</p>	<p><u>Astrometric precision:</u> < 1 milliarcsecond (post distortion correction)</p>
<p>5. Solar System objects: <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Monitor Io volcano temperatures to within ~30 K for 500 K outbursts, with 600 km spatial resolution.</p> <p>Monitor storms on giants and moons, with sensitivity to ~10% variations in surface brightness, CH₄ features.</p>	<p>Sensitivity to fluxes of 0.1-0.3 mJy at K, L, and M bands</p> <p>Diffraction limited angular resolution</p>	<p>Medium-Resolution IFS</p> <p><u>Dispersion modes:</u> K, L, M bands at R > 3500</p> <p><u>Field of view:</u> contain M band PSF (~0.3 arcsec on a side)</p> <p><u>Pointing stability:</u> ~7 mas (prevent flux losses with small FOV)</p>
Traced to low-res IFS modes	Traced to medium-res IFS modes	Traced to both low-res and medium-res IFS modes

Figure 4. SCALES Science Traceability Matrix. These are representative science cases that are part of a larger science traceability matrix maintained by the SCALES team. In addition to the (exo)planetary cases above, we also track requirements for applications such as transients, galaxies, and the galactic center.

Science Objectives	Anticipated SCALES Outcomes ¹		What SCALES Offers Compared to Current: ²
	Low-Res IFS Modes	Medium-Res IFS Modes	Imagers (1-5 μm) Integral field spectrographs (1-2.5 μm) High-resolution spectrographs (1-2.5 μm)
Luminosity 1) Estimate planetary mass if age is known 2) Constrain formation mechanism from luminosity evolution	Luminosity from broad-wavelength spectroscopy; mass if age known	Luminosity from broad-wavelength spectroscopy; mass if age known	No significant improvement Similar measurements for colder planets Tighter constraints and colder planets
Temperature-Pressure Profile 1) Determine how atmospheric properties (clouds, winds, abundances) change with depth. 2) Locate convective vs. radiative zones 3) Identify temperature inversions (indicating high-atmosphere absorbers)	Brightness temperature versus wavelength for intermediate pressure levels (0.1-10 bar)	Brightness temperature versus wavelength for intermediate pressure levels (0.1-10 bar), with better sensitivity at low pressures than low res	Not possible with imaging Similar measurements for colder planets Access to lower altitude variations and colder planets
Molecular Abundances 1) Determine dominant opacity sources 2) Probe formation via atomic/isotope ratios 3) Vertical mixing (via departures from chemical equilibrium) 4) Anchor atmospheric models (Gaia planets)	Broad molecular band-heads from major absorbers (e.g. CH ₄ , CO, H ₂ O, NH ₃ , PH ₃); coarse abundance measurements	Abundances of major absorbers (e.g. CH ₄ , CO, H ₂ O, NH ₃ , PH ₃) even at low levels, and more tenuous species (e.g. CH ₃ D, GeH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄)	Line-by-line abundances instead of broad band-heads New access to molecules that dominate at long wavelengths (e.g. PH ₃), and colder planets Tighter abundances and colder planets
Metallicity 1) Probe formation locations, mechanisms 2) Determine metal enrichment effect on chemical / condensate properties	Metallicity via continuum SED fits and molecular abundances	Metallicity via continuum SED fits and molecular abundances	Breaks degeneracies present in imaging Similar measurements for colder planets Tighter constraints and colder planets
Surface Gravity 1) Estimate planetary mass 2) Determine how atmospheric properties vary with planetary mass 3) Anchor atmospheric models (Gaia planets)	Surface gravity via H ₂ absorption or scale-height changes in T-P profile (subject to degeneracies)	Surface gravity via the shapes of collisional H ₂ absorption	Breaks degeneracies present in imaging Similar measurements for colder planets Tighter constraints and colder planets
Cloud Opacity 1) Infer composition from depths, densities 2) Detect condensation and sedimentation 3) Determine impact on thermal processes 4) Disentangle effects of cloud opacity and gas species removal	Cloud properties via wavelength-dependent SED damping	Cloud properties via wavelength-dependent SED damping	Breaks degeneracies present in imaging Breaks degeneracies present in narrow wavelength range Access to deeper clouds and colder planets
Cloud Patchiness & Variability 1) Study dynamics via surface mapping 2) Determine utility of 1D models and non-simultaneous measurements	Fractional cloud coverage via SED fitting; rotational modulation by mapping variability	Fractional cloud coverage via SED fitting; small field prevents flux calibration for variability monitoring	No significant improvement Similar measurements for colder planets Access to colder planets
Mass Accretion 1) Determine accretion versus age. 2) Determine accretion geometry (e.g. streamers or spherical in-fall).	Mass times accretion rate via IR continuum and Hydrogen emission	Mass times accretion rate via IR continuum and H lines coarse geometry constraints	Tighter accretion constraints; more easily disentangles accretion from scattered light by dust Access to lower-extinction H lines, slower accretion Access to lower-extinction H lines, slower accretion
Spin Rate 1) Determine angular momentum dependence on formation mechanism, mass, and age	Period via rotational modulation (if the planet has spots; very difficult with high contrast)	Period via rotational modulation (if the planet has spots; very difficult with high contrast)	No significant improvement Similar measurements for colder planets Access to colder planets
Orbital Architectures 1) Probe formation and migration via mapping orbit vs age, mass, composition 2) Constrain migration via eccentricity	Orbital constraints from multi-epoch astrometry	Orbital constraints via multi-epoch astrometry and atmospheric line radial velocity	Tighter constraints and colder planets Similar measurements for colder planets Access to colder planets

1. Anticipated quality of each measurement: high quality in the majority of circumstances, high quality in some circumstances, and measurements with caveats.

2. Primary advantages of SCALES compared to state-of-the-art instruments in each of the following categories: imagers (1-5 μm), near-infrared (NIR) integral field spectrographs (1-2.5 μm), and NIR high-resolution spectrographs (1-2.5 μm). For example, “access to colder planets” means that SCALES can observe colder planets than existing instruments.

Figure 5. Summary of exoplanet characterization studies enabled by SCALES’ low-resolution and medium-resolution IFS modes. The first column describes the main science objectives for a variety of exoplanet characterization studies. The second and third columns describe measurements to be made by the low-resolution and medium-resolution IFS modes, respectively. In the second and third columns, the color indicates the anticipated quality of each measurement, with green representing those that will be consistently high-quality, yellow showing those that will be high-quality in some circumstances, and orange indicating measurements with caveats. The fourth column describes how SCALES will improve upon current measurements with imagers (light blue), near-infrared IFSs (medium blue), and high-resolution spectrographs (dark blue).




Figure 6. Expected planet contrasts used to set SCALES’ top-level contrast requirements. In both panels, the colorscale shows predicted planet contrasts for a 1 R_J planet (assuming Sonora¹⁹ atmospheric models) as a function of effective temperature and stellar host temperature (assuming PHOENIX²⁰ models). Left and right panels, respectively, show expectations for optimal bandpasses calculated for the SCALES L and M band low-resolution modes. In both panels the black lines indicate contrast levels of 9-13 magnitudes, which are achievable with current Keck adaptive optics.²¹

3.1.1 Detecting Cold Exoplanets at Low Spectral Resolution

The coldest directly-imaged exoplanet to date (51 Eri b) has an effective temperature of $\sim 650 - 700$ K.¹⁸ SCALES’ top-level exoplanet detection goal is to detect giant ($\sim 1 M_J$) planets with temperatures down to ~ 300 K, on orbits of $\sim 5 - 50$ AU around K and M dwarfs out to $\lesssim 20$ pc (and hotter planets around a wider range of stars; Figure 4). Thanks to the wavelength coverage and spectral resolution of the low-resolution IFS, optimal bandpasses can be constructed to minimize the contrast of these exoplanets at thermal infrared wavelengths where they are already relatively bright (Figure 6). SCALES will also leverage the performance of Keck adaptive optics to accomplish this goal, including a planned ~ 3000 actuator deformable mirror upgrade that is part of the High-Order All Sky Keck Adaptive Optics (HAKA) project.[‡]

Figure 7 shows representative mock observations of cold planets in SCALES’ low-resolution M band mode. These simulations assume HAKA adaptive optics performance, with simulated HAKA point-spread functions being passed to `scalessim`. They also assume a deep observation with 10 hours of total integration and $\sim 80^\circ$ of parallactic angle evolution. They optimistically assume a static precipitable water vapor value and perfect telluric correction, and can thus be thought of as best-case results given the expected adaptive optics performance and thermal emission from the sky and instrument. We will explore the effects of varying conditions more realistically in future work by using the variable atmospheric settings described in Martinez et al. (2023)⁴ in these proceedings. Meeting the contrast and sensitivity requirements for cold planet detection will mean that SCALES will be capable of low-resolution spectroscopy of warmer exoplanets around a wider variety of host stars in a shallower observation (Figure 8).

3.1.2 Gaia-Selected Exoplanet Detections

Approximately when SCALES comes online, the Gaia²² mission is expected to release a catalog of $\sim 70,000$ exoplanets.²³ Some of this planet population will be uniquely accessible with SCALES thanks to its combination of high angular resolution, high contrast, and long wavelength coverage. Each one of these planets will be a valuable benchmark for atmospheric studies, since the dynamical mass measurement will break degeneracies typically present in atmospheric modeling.

[‡]Expected to be online when SCALES sees first light: https://www.keckobservatory.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/Keck2035_StratPlan_web.pdf




Figure 7. Example simulated SCALES low-resolution M band observations of a 300 K, 1 R_J planet (left), and a 500 K, 1 R_J planet (right), orbiting M dwarf host stars located at distances of 10 pc with semimajor axes of 6 AU. Both simulations use PSFs consistent with the predicted performance of Keck’s High-Order All Sky Adaptive Optics (HAKA) upgrade. They also assume 10h of total integration time, 80° of parallactic angle evolution, and perfect telluric correction. In future work we will simulate these science cases with the realistic and variable precipitable water vapor settings described in Martinez et al. (2023) in these proceedings.⁴




Figure 8. Example simulated SCALES L band observations of an HR 8799 analog, with three planets having effective temperatures between 900 K and 1100 K and radii of 1 R_J . Top panels show ADI-processed slices from a low-resolution L band mock observation of a system of exoplanets similar to HR 8799. The bottom panel shows the recovered spectra for the three injected planets (green, purple, blue lines) plotted over the injected spectra (grey lines). The vertical lines in the bottom panel indicate the wavelengths of the three images in the top panels. The simulated observations assumed one hour of total integration time spread over $\sim 80^\circ$ of parallactic angle evolution.

We use `scalessim` to predict the number of astrometrically-detected planets that could be directly imaged with SCALES’ low-resolution L-band IFS mode during a deep observation. We assume the same simulated Gaia and Gaia plus WFIRST astrometrically-detected planet populations as those described in Brandt et al. 2019.²⁴ These use a SAG13 underlying planet population with an exponential drop-off in giant planet occurrence rates.^{24,25} We also assume a uniform distribution of planet ages between 1 Myr and 10 Gyr, and use COND cooling models²⁶ to predict effective temperatures based on planet ages and masses. We then use spectra from Morley et al. 2014²⁷ to determine both the planet photometry and the weighted IFS bandpass that maximizes the planet signal-to-noise ratio.

We compare the planet contrast and photometry to SCALES’ achievable contrast and sensitivity, respectively to determine whether the planet is detectable. For current Keck natural guide star (NGS) adaptive optics performance, we begin with the Keck/NIRC2 contrast curve from Mawet et al. 2019,²¹ scale its separation by 3.8/4.8 to convert from M to L’ angular resolution, and assume a sensitivity floor of 18.8 mag for 10 hours of integration. We assume this performance for bright stars, and a linear contrast roll-off of ~ 1.5 mag between 5th and 12th magnitude guide stars. We also predict planet yields for the planned HAKA upgrade. We generate these contrast curves by using predicted HAKA point-spread functions as inputs for `scalessim`. We then process the simulated SCALES+HAKA L-band images with VIP^{28,29} to produce an angular differential imaging³⁰ contrast curve. For both current NGS and HAKA, we assume a ~ 1 mag contrast gain from additional spectral-differential imaging (SDI)³¹ processing.

Figure 9 shows the resulting planet yields. With current Keck NGS adaptive optics, SCALES would be capable of detecting 9 planets after Gaia’s extended mission concludes in 2025, and 14 planets after an epoch of WFIRST astrometry in 2030. Upgrading to the HAKA deformable mirror increases the expected yields to 17 and 28 planets for Gaia and Gaia + WFIRST, respectively. Figure 10 shows the impact of upgrading the HAKA system to include an H-band infrared pyramid wavefront sensor (IR PyWFS),³² and places these planet yields in the context of *JWST*. Due to the cool temperatures of the Gaia host stars with planets detectable by SCALES, wavefront sensing at H band significantly increases the expected number of planet detections (to 25 and 46 for Gaia and Gaia + WFIRST, respectively).

As shown in Figure 10, many of these planets are uniquely detectable by SCALES, even in the era of *JWST*. The larger Keck diameter and expected adaptive optics performance provide SCALES with a tighter inner working angle than e.g. NIRC2 coronagraphy.³³ *JWST*’s sensitivity will outperform SCALES, meaning it will access cold, wide-separation planets that SCALES cannot (grey points above dashed line in Figure 10). However, the majority of the simulated Gaia planet population lies at tighter angular separations that require the SCALES inner working angle for detection. SCALES and *JWST* will thus probe complementary regions of the Gaia planet parameter space.

3.2 Atmospheric Abundances at Medium Spectral Resolution

SCALES’ medium spectral resolution mode is designed to enable robust (log abundance uncertainties < 0.1) measurements of molecules such as CH₄, NH₃, and H₂O, as well as effective temperature measurements with precision < 10 K (Figure 4). For hotter detectable planets with masses $\gtrsim 5 M_J$, SCALES will also be sensitive to additional molecules such as CO, CO₂, and PH₃, with expected log abundance uncertainties of $\lesssim 1$.

We follow the procedure from Batalha et al. (2017)³⁴ and Batalha et al. (2018),³⁵ to use Jacobians to demonstrate SCALES’ sensitivity to changes in model atmospheric parameters. We generate simple error covariance matrices describing SCALES’ precision at each wavelength channel, and use these along with the Jacobians to calculate the uncertainties of each model parameter after a measurement. We explore error covariance matrices corresponding to signal to noise ratios ranging from 1 - 15 for planets with temperatures of 300 K to 1000 K, and masses of 1 to 5 Jupiter masses. We show the results for a signal to noise ratio of 3 in Figure 11.

Figure 11 shows that a signal to noise ratio of 3 in SCALES’ L and M band medium resolution modes is sufficient to meet the top level science goal in Figure 4. This corresponds to a sensitivity per wavelength bin of ~ 18 mag at L band, and ~ 15 mag at M band. We use `scalessim` to demonstrate that we can achieve this level of sensitivity by generating medium resolution observations of cases shown in Figure 11, observed at a distance of 15 pc. We assume 25 hours of total integration for a T = 300 K planet, and 10 hours of total integration for a T = 500 K planet.




Figure 9. Scattered symbols show planets identified by Gaia (left) and Gaia plus WFIRST astrometry (right) that would be detectable by SCALES using angular differential imaging with 10 hours of total integration and $\sim 80^\circ$ of parallactic angle evolution. The circles show planets within the contrast limits of Keck’s current natural guide star (NGS) adaptive optics system. The stars indicate planets with contrasts that would require the planned High-Order All Sky Keck Adaptive Optics (HAKA) upgrade, which is expected to be operational when SCALES comes online.




Figure 10. Left: Histograms show the boost in detectable Gaia planets and brown dwarfs for HAKA with an H-band Pyramid wavefront sensor (IR PyWFS; yellow), compared to HAKA with an R-band Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor (blue). Right: Scattered grey points show simulated Gaia-detected exoplanets following the methodology described Section 3.1.2. Colored points show planets detectable with 10 hours of SCALES integration and $\sim 80^\circ$ of parallactic angle evolution. The thick and thin black lines show the anticipated HAKA contrast curve with the IR PyWFS for bright and faint guide stars, respectively. The thick dashed line shows the observed *JWST*/NIRCam contrast curve from Carter et al. 2023.³³




Figure 11. Sensitivity analysis for SCALES’ medium resolution K, L, and M band modes, and combined datasets from all three modes, for three solar metallicity planets observed with a signal to noise ratio of ~ 3 . Each panel shows the uncertainty in abundance and temperature measurements as a function of the medium-spectral-resolution observing bandpass. Left: Planet mass = $1 M_J$, radius = $1 R_J$, temperature = 300 K. Middle: Planet mass = $5 M_J$, radius = $1 R_J$, temperature = 500 K. Right: Planet mass = $5 M_J$, radius = $1 R_J$, temperature = 1000 K.

Figures 12 and 13 show the results. We can detect the warmer planet in all three bands, with signal to noise ratios varying from a few to a few tens. We detect the $T = 300$ K planet in M band only, since it is beyond the sensitivity limits of K and L bands. Despite these non-detections, Figure 11 shows that this is sufficient to constrain CH_4 , NH_3 , and H_2O in the cold planet to better than 0.2 dex. We note that perfect telluric correction is again assumed here. In future work we will include the variable precipitable water vapor described in Martinez et al. (2023),⁴ which will be particularly relevant for these atmospheric abundance measurements.




Figure 12. Simulated SCALES medium resolution M band observations of a 300 K, $1 R_J$ planet at a distance of 15 pc. The assumed integration time is 25 hours, and the extracted spectrum is shown in blue with error bars overlaid in grey.

3.3 Protoplanet Detection and Characterization

Thanks to advances in adaptive optics and post-processing techniques, we have begun to directly detect and characterize actively forming planets embedded in protoplanetary disks.^{36,37} Furthermore, broadband direct imaging studies of young star systems have demonstrated the importance of spectrally-dispersed observations for protoplanet confirmation. Circumstellar dust has been shown to complicate forming planet searches, with disk features sometimes masquerading as protoplanet companions^{38,39} or making it difficult to distinguish true companions from the disk surroundings.³⁷

One strategy to overcome these difficulties is to search for protoplanets in hydrogen line emission, since shocked gas would emit strongly in hydrogen lines as it falls into the potential well of the forming planet.⁴⁰ This technique led to the identification of PDS 70 c,³⁷ which, when observed at K and L bands appears to be




Figure 13. Simulated SCALES medium resolution K band (top), L band (middle), and M band (bottom) observations of a 500 K, 1 R_J planet at a distance of 15 pc. The assumed integration time is 10 hours, and the extracted spectrum is shown in blue with error bars overlaid in grey.




Figure 14. Example processed SCALES observations of a PDS 70 analog. Top panels show slices of a simulated SCALES L band observation of a PDS 70-like planet in a gapped protoplanetary disk. Bottom panels show the injected (grey lines) and recovered spectra at the protoplanet position (purple solid line) and in one region of the disk (dashed red line). The vertical lines in the bottom panel indicate the wavelengths of the three images in the top panels. SCALES will distinguish between self-luminous protoplanet signals and forward scattered light by disk material via their differing spectral slopes.

part of a circumstellar disk rim.³⁶ In addition to hydrogen lines, spectral slopes can be used to distinguish self-luminous protoplanet emission from forward scattered light by dust, since protoplanet continua rise as wavelength increases⁴¹ while dust opacities fall off. The SCALES precursor, ALES, recently demonstrated this by identifying a protoplanet driving the spiral arms in MWC 758.⁴² This protoplanet, MWC 758 c, has a significantly different spectral slope from its disk surroundings.

SCALES low-resolution spectroscopy from 2 – 5 μm will be capable of characterizing protoplanet spectral slopes while distinguishing them from surrounding protoplanetary disk material. Figure 14 illustrates this for a PDS 70 analog system observed with SCALES’ L band low-resolution IFS mode. Comparing extracted spectra for the disk rim and embedded planet shows that SCALES will be sensitive to the differences in spectral slope between protoplanets and forward scattered light. The measured protoplanet continua can then be used to constrain planet mass times accretion rate.⁴¹

SCALES’ medium resolution modes will also provide access to hydrogen lines such as $\text{Br}\gamma$ (2.166 μm) and $\text{Br}\alpha$ (4.05 μm), which can also be used to constrain planet mass times accretion rate. These lines will further disentangle self-luminous protoplanets from forward scattered light by dust, and would suffer less from extinction than the more typically used $\text{H}\alpha$ line (0.6563 μm). See Martinez et al. (2023)⁴ in these proceedings for a discussion of SCALES protoplanet characterization and potential specialized medium-resolution upgrades for tracing planetary accretion geometries.⁴

3.4 Protoplanetary Disk Mapping

SCALES will be capable of spatially and spectrally resolving different dust and gas components in protoplanetary disks. As shown in Figure 4, SCALES’ top level science goals for this case are to spatially resolve (1) dust density variations of $\sim 10\%$ on scales of ~ 10 AU around nearby T Tauri and Herbig Ae/Be stars, (2) H_2O ice features, with sensitivity to $\sim 10\%$ abundance by mass on scales of ~ 10 AU around nearby T Tauri stars, and (3) PAH features, with sensitivity to $\sim 10\%$ abundance by mass on scales of ~ 10 AU around nearby Herbig Ae/Be stars.

To track the technical requirements for protoplanetary disk mapping, we simulate radiative transfer images of protoplanetary disks using `pdspy` and `RADC-3D`^{43,44} and use `scalessim` to simulate SCALES datacubes. Figure 15 shows an example for water ice mapping, with absorption features comparable to those seen in previous imaging studies using dedicated filters.⁴⁵ SCALES’ sensitivity is high enough to robustly detect H_2O absorption features both close to the central star where the disk is bright and far from the star where the disk is faint (Figure 15).




Figure 15. Simulated SCALES observations of a protoplanetary disk with 10% water ice by mass. The left panel shows spectra extracted from `scalessim` mock observations of a water-ice-rich protoplanetary disk, measured for a single angular resolution element at two locations in the disk. These locations are indicated by the corresponding circles in the two simulated images (right panels). SCALES’ technical requirements are set so that it will be sensitive to these absorption features at a wide range of protoplanetary disk radii.

3.5 Solar System Monitoring

Infrared temporal monitoring of Solar System objects at Keck has provided a unique view of their surface and atmospheric phenomena.^{46,47} These include, but are not limited to, eruptions on the surface of Jupiter’s moon Io, weather patterns and storms on Uranus, Neptune, and Titan, and seasonal variations in haze levels on planets and giant planet moons. Much of this work has been done with imaging during twilight time,⁴⁷ and SCALES will improve upon it by providing spatially-resolved spectroscopy. We set the top-level SCALES science objectives for Solar System objects in this context, focusing on Io volcanism and weather patterns on Uranus, Neptune, and Titan. These are: (1) to detect and monitor volcanoes on Io with sizes of ~ 300 km and temperatures down to 300 K, and to constrain their temperatures to within ~ 30 K, and (2) to map storms and methane features on Uranus, Neptune, and Titan with similar spatial resolution.

Figure 16 shows an example simulated SCALES observation of Io volcanoes, assuming blackbody volcano spectra with a range of temperatures set by de Kleer et al. (2016) ($\sim 300 - 1200$ K).⁴⁸ The spectral resolution and coverage of SCALES’ low-resolution 2-5 μm SED mode will enable more robust constraints on volcano temperatures than broadband imaging (Figure 16, bottom panel). SCALES’ R \sim 200 K band and R \sim 250 CH₄ low-resolution modes will also provide the required wavelength coverage and spectral resolution to measure methane features at $\sim 2 - 2.25$ μm and $\sim 3 - 3.5$ μm on Uranus, Neptune, and Titan.^{49,50}

3.6 Non-(Exo)planetary Science Applications

While the primary science drivers for the SCALES IFS are the cases outlined above, the SCALES team tracks requirements for non-(exo)planetary science cases as well. The resolving power and wavelength coverage of the medium-resolution M band mode will make it possible to characterize the compositions of knots in supernova remnants.^{51,52} In addition to mapping PAH emission around Herbig Ae/Be stars, the low-resolution PAH mode will enable mapping of these features in nearby active galactic nuclei tori.⁵³ SCALES low-resolution IFS modes will also enable astrometric and spectroscopic characterization of stars and dust-shrouded objects (G sources)⁵⁴ orbiting Sagittarius A*.

4. PREPARATIONS FOR EARLY SCALES SCIENCE

The technical capabilities offered by SCALES will lead to new progress in exoplanet detection and characterization, a wide range of broader (exo)planetary studies, and a number of galactic and extragalactic science cases. Shortly after it sees first light in 2025, the SCALES Science Team will begin a Science Demonstration Survey to produce proof-of-concept observations for SCALES’ representative science applications. The target selection for




Figure 16. Simulated SCALES low-resolution SED mode observations of volcanism on Io. The top panels show images at three wavelengths in SCALES’ SED mode, indicated by the vertical lines in the bottom panel. The bottom panel shows the input spectrum for the volcano circled in the top panels (grey curve), along with the extracted spectrum (purple curve). The grey shaded regions show regions of wavelength space where the sky transmission is very low.

this survey will be driven by community input, and the reduced data products will be made publicly available on the Keck Observatory Archive. Preparations for this program are currently underway, and we encourage interested readers to reach out to S.S. and use `scalessim` to explore potential SCALES outcomes for their science.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the Heising-Simons Foundation, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, and the Mt. Cuba Astronomical Foundation for their generous support of our efforts. This project also benefited from work conducted under the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program. S.S. is supported by the National Science Foundation under MRI Grant No. 2216481. R.A.M is supported by the National Science Foundation MPS-Ascend Postdoctoral Research Fellowship under Grant No. 2213312.

REFERENCES

- [1] Skemer, A. J., Stelter, R. D., Sallum, S., MacDonald, N., Kupke, R., Ratliff, C., Banyal, R., Hasan, A., Varshney, H. M., Surya, A., Prakaesh, A., Thirupathi, S., Sethuram, R., K. V., G., Fitzgerald, M. P., Wang, E., Kassis, M., Absil, O., Alvarez, C., Batalha, N., Boucher, M.-A., Bourgenot, C., Brandt, T., Briesemeister, Z., de Kleer, K., de Pater, I., Deich, W., Divakar, D., Filion, G., Gauvin, É., Gonzales, M., Greene, T., Hinz, P., Jensen-Clem, R., Johnson, C., Kain, I., Kruglikov, G., Lach, M., Landry, J.-T., Li, J., Liu, M. C., Lyke, J., Magnone, K., Marin, E., Martin, E., Martinez, R., Mawet, D., Miles, B., Sandford, D., Sheehan, P., Sohn, J. M., and Stone, J., “Design of SCALES: a 2-5 micron coronagraphic integral field spectrograph for Keck Observatory,” in [*Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy IX*], Evans, C. J., Bryant, J. J., and Motohara, K., eds., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12184**, 121840I (Aug. 2022).
- [2] Stelter, R. D., Skemer, A. J., Kupke, R., Bourgenot, C., Martinez, R. A., and Sallum, S. S., “Weighing exo-atmospheres: a novel mid-resolution spectral mode for SCALES,” in [*Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy IX*], Evans, C. J., Bryant, J. J., and Motohara, K., eds., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12184**, 1218445 (Aug. 2022).
- [3] Banyal, R. K., Hasan, A., Kupke, R., Varshney, H. M., Prakaesh, A., Sivarani, T., Skemer, A. J., MacDonald, N., Sallum, S., Deich, W., Fitzgerald, M. P., Govinda, K. V., Ratliff, C., Sethuram, R., Stelter, D., Surya, A., and Wang, E., “Design of an IR imaging channel for the Keck Observatory SCALES instrument,” in

- [Advances in Optical and Mechanical Technologies for Telescopes and Instrumentation], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12188**, 121881U (Aug. 2022).
- [4] Martinez, R., Sallum, S., and The SCALES Science Team, “Designing custom medium resolution observing modes to trace planet accretion with SCALES,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–69 (Aug. 2023).
- [5] Surya, A. and The SCALES Team, “End to end modeling of SCALES spectrograph for Keck Observatory,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–6 (Aug. 2023).
- [6] Kain, I. and The SCALES Team, “Measured performance of diamond-turned optics for the SCALES high-contrast imager,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–73 (Aug. 2023).
- [7] Gangadharan, A. e. a., “Optics testing for SCALES,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–75 (Aug. 2023).
- [8] Desai, A. and The SCALES Team, “Simulating medium-spectral-resolution exoplanet characterization with SCALES angular/reference differential imaging,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–76 (Aug. 2023).
- [9] Lach, M. and The SCALES Team, “Recovering simulated planet and disk signals using SCALES aperture masking,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–77 (Aug. 2023).
- [10] Briesemeister, Z., Sallum, S., Skemer, A., Stelter, R. D., Hinz, P., and Brandt, T., “End-to-end simulation of the SCALES integral field spectrograph,” in [*Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **11447**, 114474Z (Dec. 2020).
- [11] Stelter, R. D. and The SCALES Team, “The SCALES slenslit: a unique exoplanet spectrograph,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets XI*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **12680**, 12680–5 (Aug. 2023).
- [12] Briesemeister, Z., Sallum, S., Skemer, A., and Batalha, N., “Information content approach to trade studies for SCALES,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets X*], Shaklan, S. B. and Ruane, G. J., eds., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **11823**, 1182308 (Sept. 2021).
- [13] Lord, S. D., “A new software tool for computing Earth’s atmospheric transmission of near- and far-infrared radiation.” NASA Technical Memorandum 103957 (Dec. 1992).
- [14] Rauscher, B. J., Boehm, N., Cagiano, S., Delo, G. S., Foltz, R., Greenhouse, M. A., Hickey, M., Hill, R. J., Kan, E., Lindler, D., Mott, D. B., Waczynski, A., and Wen, Y., “New and Better Detectors for the JWST Near-Infrared Spectrograph,” *PASP* **126**, 739 (Aug. 2014).
- [15] Perrin, M. D., Soummer, R., Elliott, E. M., Lallo, M. D., and Sivaramakrishnan, A., “Simulating point spread functions for the James Webb Space Telescope with WebbPSF,” in [*Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2012: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*], Clampin, M. C., Fazio, G. G., MacEwen, H. A., and Oschmann, Jacobus M., J., eds., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **8442**, 84423D (Sept. 2012).
- [16] Rauscher, B. J., “Teledyne H1RG, H2RG, and H4RG Noise Generator,” *PASP* **127**, 1144 (Nov. 2015).
- [17] George, E. M., Tulloch, S. M., and Ives, D. J., “Fast method of crosstalk characterization for HxRG detectors,” *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1808.00790 (Aug. 2018).
- [18] Macintosh, B., Graham, J. R., Barman, T., De Rosa, R. J., Konopacky, Q., Marley, M. S., Marois, C., Nielsen, E. L., Pueyo, L., Rajan, A., Rameau, J., Saumon, D., Wang, J. J., Patience, J., Ammons, M., Arriaga, P., Artigau, E., Beckwith, S., Brewster, J., Bruzzone, S., Bulger, J., Burningham, B., Burrows, A. S., Chen, C., Chiang, E., Chilcote, J. K., Dawson, R. I., Dong, R., Doyon, R., Draper, Z. H., Duchêne, G., Esposito, T. M., Fabrycky, D., Fitzgerald, M. P., Follette, K. B., Fortney, J. J., Gerard, B., Goodsell,

- S., Greenbaum, A. Z., Hibon, P., Hinkley, S., Cotten, T. H., Hung, L.-W., Ingraham, P., Johnson-Groh, M., Kalas, P., Lafreniere, D., Larkin, J. E., Lee, J., Line, M., Long, D., Maire, J., Marchis, F., Matthews, B. C., Max, C. E., Metchev, S., Millar-Blanchaer, M. A., Mittal, T., Morley, C. V., Morzinski, K. M., Murray-Clay, R., Oppenheimer, R., Palmer, D. W., Patel, R., Perrin, M. D., Poyneer, L. A., Rafikov, R. R., Rantakyro, F. T., Rice, E. L., Rojo, P., Rudy, A. R., Ruffio, J.-B., Ruiz, M. T., Sadakuni, N., Saddlemyer, L., Salama, M., Savransky, D., Schneider, A. C., Sivaramakrishnan, A., Song, I., Soummer, R., Thomas, S., Vasisht, G., Wallace, J. K., Ward-Duong, K., Wiktorowicz, S. J., Wolff, S. G., and Zuckerman, B., “Discovery and spectroscopy of the young jovian planet 51 Eri b with the Gemini Planet Imager,” *Science* **350**, 64–67 (Oct. 2015).
- [19] Marley, M. S., Saumon, D., Visscher, C., Lupu, R., Freedman, R., Morley, C., Fortney, J. J., Seay, C., Smith, A. J. R. W., Teal, D. J., and Wang, R., “The Sonora Brown Dwarf Atmosphere and Evolution Models. I. Model Description and Application to Cloudless Atmospheres in Rainout Chemical Equilibrium,” *ApJ* **920**, 85 (Oct. 2021).
- [20] Baron, E., Chen, B., and Hauschildt, P. H., “PHOENIX: A General-purpose State-of-the-art Stellar and Planetary Atmosphere Code.” Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1010.056 (Oct. 2010).
- [21] Mawet, D., Hirsch, L., Lee, E. J., Ruffio, J.-B., Bottom, M., Fulton, B. J., Absil, O., Beichman, C., Bowler, B., Bryan, M., Choquet, E., Ciardi, D., Christiaens, V., Defrère, D., Gomez Gonzalez, C. A., Howard, A. W., Huby, E., Isaacson, H., Jensen-Clem, R., Kosiarek, M., Marcy, G., Meshkat, T., Petigura, E., Reggiani, M., Ruane, G., Serabyn, E., Sinukoff, E., Wang, J., Weiss, L., and Ygouf, M., “Deep Exploration of eps Eridani with Keck Ms-band Vortex Coronagraphy and Radial Velocities: Mass and Orbital Parameters of the Giant Exoplanet,” *AJ* **157**, 33 (Jan. 2019).
- [22] Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., Babusiaux, C., Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Bastian, U., Biermann, M., Evans, D. W., and et al., “The Gaia mission,” *A&A* **595**, A1 (Nov. 2016).
- [23] Perryman, M., Hartman, J., Bakos, G. Á., and Lindegren, L., “Astrometric Exoplanet Detection with Gaia,” *ApJ* **797**, 14 (Dec. 2014).
- [24] Brandt, T., Briesemeister, Z., Savransky, D., Fitzgerald, M., Mazin, B., Fortney, J., Dupuy, T., Bowler, B., Sallum, S., Mawet, D., Skemer, A., Vasisht, G., Miller-Blanchard, M., Wang, J., Guyon, O., Meshkat, T., Jensen-Clem, R., Serabyn, E., Ruane, G., Liu, M., Jovanovic, N., Morley, C., Perrin, M., McElwain, M., Roberge, A., Girard, J., Close, L., Ngo, H., Marley, M., Bendek, E., Ragland, S., and Pueyo, L., “Realizing the Promise of High-Contrast Imaging: More Than 100 Gas-Giant Planets with Masses, Orbits, and Spectra Enabled by Gaia+WFIRST Astrometry,” *BAAS* **51**, 269 (May 2019).
- [25] McBride, J., Graham, J. R., Macintosh, B., Beckwith, S. V. W., Marois, C., Poyneer, L. A., and Wiktorowicz, S. J., “Experimental Design for the Gemini Planet Imager,” *PASP* **123**, 692 (June 2011).
- [26] Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T. S., Allard, F., and Hauschildt, P. H., “Evolutionary models for cool brown dwarfs and extrasolar giant planets. The case of HD 209458,” *A&A* **402**, 701–712 (May 2003).
- [27] Morley, C. V., Marley, M. S., Fortney, J. J., Lupu, R., Saumon, D., Greene, T., and Lodders, K., “Water Clouds in Y Dwarfs and Exoplanets,” *ApJ* **787**, 78 (May 2014).
- [28] Gomez Gonzalez, C. A., Wertz, O., Absil, O., Christiaens, V., Defrère, D., Mawet, D., Milli, J., Absil, P.-A., Van Droogenbroeck, M., Cantalloube, F., Hinz, P. M., Skemer, A. J., Karlsson, M., and Surdej, J., “VIP: Vortex Image Processing Package for High-contrast Direct Imaging,” *AJ* **154**, 7 (July 2017).
- [29] Christiaens, V., Gonzalez, C., Farkas, R., Dahlgvist, C.-H., Nasedkin, E., Milli, J., Absil, O., Ngo, H., Cantero, C., Rainot, A., Hammond, I., Bonse, M., Cantalloube, F., Vigan, A., Kompella, V., and Hancock, P., “VIP: A Python package for high-contrast imaging,” *The Journal of Open Source Software* **8**, 4774 (Jan. 2023).
- [30] Soummer, R., Pueyo, L., and Larkin, J., “Detection and Characterization of Exoplanets and Disks Using Projections on Karhunen-Loève Eigenimages,” *ApJ* **755**, L28–L32 (Aug. 2012).
- [31] Biller, B. A., Close, L. M., Masciadri, E., Lenzen, R., Brandner, W., McCarthy, D., Henning, T., Nielsen, E. L., Hartung, M., Kellner, S., Geissler, K., and Kasper, M., “Contrast limits with the Simultaneous Differential Extrasolar Planet Imager (SDI) at the VLT and MMT,” in [*Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*], **6272**, 62722D (June 2006).

- [32] Bond, C. Z., Cetre, S., Lilley, S., Wizinowich, P., Mawet, D., Chun, M., Wetherell, E., Jacobson, S., Lockhart, C., Warmbier, E., Ragland, S., Alvarez, C., Guyon, O., Goebel, S., Delorme, J.-R., Jovanovic, N., Hall, D. N., Wallace, J. K., Taheri, M., Plantet, C., and Chambouleyron, V., “Adaptive optics with an infrared pyramid wavefront sensor at Keck,” *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems* **6**, 039003 (July 2020).
- [33] Carter, A. L., Hinkley, S., Kammerer, J., Skemer, A., Biller, B. A., Leisenring, J. M., Millar-Blanchaer, M. A., Petrus, S., Stone, J. M., Ward-Duong, K., Wang, J. J., Girard, J. H., Hines, D. C., Perrin, M. D., Pueyo, L., Balmer, W. O., Bonavita, M., Bonnefoy, M., Chauvin, G., Choquet, E., Christiaens, V., Danielski, C., Kennedy, G. M., Matthews, E. C., Miles, B. E., Patapis, P., Ray, S., Rickman, E., Sallum, S., Stapelfeldt, K. R., Whiteford, N., Zhou, Y., Absil, O., Boccaletti, A., Booth, M., Bowler, B. P., Chen, C. H., Currie, T., Fortney, J. J., Grady, C. A., Greebaum, A. Z., Henning, T., Hoch, K. K. W., Janson, M., Kalas, P., Kenworthy, M. A., Kervella, P., Kraus, A. L., Lagage, P.-O., Liu, M. C., Macintosh, B., Marino, S., Marley, M. S., Marois, C., Matthews, B. C., Mawet, D., McElwain, M. W., Metchev, S., Meyer, M. R., Molliere, P., Moran, S. E., Morley, C. V., Mukherjee, S., Pantin, E., Quirrenbach, A., Rebollido, I., Ren, B. B., Schneider, G., Vasist, M., Worthen, K., Wyatt, M. C., Briesemeister, Z. W., Bryan, M. L., Calissendorff, P., Cantalloube, F., Cugno, G., De Furio, M., Dupuy, T. J., Factor, S. M., Faherty, J. K., Fitzgerald, M. P., Franson, K., Gonzales, E. C., Hood, C. E., Howe, A. R., Kuzuhara, M., Lagrange, A.-M., Lawson, K., Lazzoni, C., Lew, B. W. P., Liu, P., Llop-Sayson, J., Lloyd, J. P., Martinez, R. A., Mazoyer, J., Palma-Bifani, P., Quanz, S. P., Redai, J. A., Samland, M., Schlieder, J. E., Tamura, M., Tan, X., Uyama, T., Vigan, A., Vos, J. M., Wagner, K., Wolff, S. G., Ygouf, M., Zhang, X., Zhang, K., and Zhang, Z., “The JWST Early Release Science Program for Direct Observations of Exoplanetary Systems I: High-contrast Imaging of the Exoplanet HIP 65426 b from 2 to 16 μm ,” *ApJ* **951**, L20 (July 2023).
- [34] Batalha, N. E. and Line, M. R., “Information Content Analysis for Selection of Optimal JWST Observing Modes for Transiting Exoplanet Atmospheres,” *AJ* **153**, 151 (Apr. 2017).
- [35] Batalha, N. E., Lewis, N. K., Line, M. R., Valenti, J., and Stevenson, K., “Strategies for Constraining the Atmospheres of Temperate Terrestrial Planets with JWST,” *ApJ* **856**, L34 (Apr. 2018).
- [36] Keppler, M., Benisty, M., Müller, A., Henning, T., van Boekel, R., Cantalloube, F., Ginski, C., van Holstein, R. G., Maire, A. L., Pohl, A., Samland, M., Avenhaus, H., Baudino, J. L., Boccaletti, A., de Boer, J., Bonnefoy, M., Chauvin, G., Desidera, S., Langlois, M., Lazzoni, C., Marleau, G. D., Mordasini, C., Pawellek, N., Stolker, T., Vigan, A., Zurlo, A., Birnstiel, T., Brandner, W., Feldt, M., Flock, M., Girard, J., Gratton, R., Hagelberg, J., Isella, A., Janson, M., Juhasz, A., Kemmer, J., Kral, Q., Lagrange, A. M., Launhardt, R., Matter, A., Ménard, F., Milli, J., Mollière, P., Olofsson, J., Pérez, L., Pinilla, P., Pinte, C., Quanz, S. P., Schmidt, T., Udry, S., Wahhaj, Z., Williams, J. P., Buenzli, E., Cudel, M., Dominik, C., Galicher, R., Kasper, M., Lannier, J., Mesa, D., Mouillet, D., Peretti, S., Perrot, C., Salter, G., Sissa, E., Wildi, F., Abe, L., Antichi, J., Augereau, J. C., Baruffolo, A., Baudoz, P., Bazzon, A., Beuzit, J. L., Blanchard, P., Brems, S. S., Buey, T., De Caprio, V., Carbillet, M., Carle, M., Cascone, E., Cheetham, A., Claudi, R., Costille, A., Delboulbé, A., Dohlen, K., Fantinel, D., Feautrier, P., Fusco, T., Giro, E., Gluck, L., Gry, C., Hubin, N., Hugot, E., Jaquet, M., Le Mignant, D., Llored, M., Madec, F., Magnard, Y., Martinez, P., Maurel, D., Meyer, M., Möller-Nilsson, O., Moulin, T., Mugnier, L., Origné, A., Pavlov, A., Perret, D., Petit, C., Pragt, J., Puget, P., Rabou, P., Ramos, J., Rigal, F., Rochat, S., Roelfsema, R., Rousset, G., Roux, A., Salasnich, B., Sauvage, J. F., Sevin, A., Soenke, C., Stadler, E., Suarez, M., Turatto, M., and Weber, L., “Discovery of a planetary-mass companion within the gap of the transition disk around PDS 70,” *A&A* **617**, A44 (Sep 2018).
- [37] Haffert, S. Y., Bohn, A. J., de Boer, J., Snellen, I. A. G., Brinchmann, J., Girard, J. H., Keller, C. U., and Bacon, R., “Two accreting protoplanets around the young star PDS 70,” *Nature Astronomy* **3**, 749–754 (Jun 2019).
- [38] Follette, K. B., Rameau, J., Dong, R., Pueyo, L., Close, L. M., Duchêne, G., Fung, J., Leonard, C., Macintosh, B., Males, J. R., Marois, C., Millar-Blanchaer, M. A., Morzinski, K. M., Mullen, W., Perrin, M., Spiro, E., Wang, J., Ammons, S. M., Bailey, V. P., Barman, T., Bulger, J., Chilcote, J., Cotten, T., De Rosa, R. J., Doyon, R., Fitzgerald, M. P., Goodsell, S. J., Graham, J. R., Greenbaum, A. Z., Hibon, P., Hung, L.-W., Ingraham, P., Kalas, P., Konopacky, Q., Larkin, J. E., Maire, J., Marchis, F., Metchev, S., Nielsen, E. L., Oppenheimer, R., Palmer, D., Patience, J., Poyneer, L., Rajan, A., Rantakyö, F. T., Savransky,

- D., Schneider, A. C., Sivaramakrishnan, A., Song, I., Soummer, R., Thomas, S., Vega, D., Wallace, J. K., Ward-Duong, K., Wiktorowicz, S., and Wolff, S., “Complex Spiral Structure in the HD 100546 Transitional Disk as Revealed by GPI and MagAO,” *AJ* **153**, 264 (June 2017).
- [39] Sallum, S., Eisner, J., Skemer, A., and Murray-Clay, R., “Systematic Multiepoch Monitoring of LkCa 15: Dynamic Dust Structures on Solar System Scales,” *ApJ* **953**, 55 (Aug. 2023).
- [40] Aoyama, Y., Marleau, G.-D., Mordasini, C., and Ikoma, M., “Spectral appearance of the planetary-surface accretion shock: Global spectra and hydrogen-line profiles and fluxes,” *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2011.06608 (Nov. 2020).
- [41] Eisner, J. A., “Spectral Energy Distributions of Accreting Protoplanets,” *ApJ* **803**, L4–L8 (Apr. 2015).
- [42] Wagner, K., Stone, J., Skemer, A., Ertel, S., Dong, R., Apai, D., Spalding, E., Leisenring, J., Sitko, M., Kratter, K., Barman, T., Marley, M., Miles, B., Boccaletti, A., Assani, K., Bayyari, A., Uyama, T., Woodward, C. E., Hinz, P., Briesemeister, Z., Lawson, K., Ménard, F., Pantin, E., Russell, R. W., Skrutskie, M., and Wisniewski, J., “Direct images and spectroscopy of a giant protoplanet driving spiral arms in MWC 758,” *Nature Astronomy* (July 2023).
- [43] Sheehan, P., “psheehan/pdspy: pdspy: A MCMC Tool for Continuum and Spectral Line Radiative Transfer Modeling,” (Dec. 2018).
- [44] Dullemond, C. P., “RADMC-3D: A multi-purpose radiative transfer tool.” *Astrophysics Source Code Library* (Feb. 2012).
- [45] Honda, M., Inoue, A. K., Fukagawa, M., Oka, A., Nakamoto, T., Ishii, M., Terada, H., Takato, N., Kawakita, H., Okamoto, Y. K., Shibai, H., Tamura, M., Kudo, T., and Itoh, Y., “Detection of Water Ice Grains on the Surface of the Circumstellar Disk Around HD 142527,” *ApJ* **690**, L110–L113 (Jan. 2009).
- [46] de Kleer, K. and de Pater, I., “Spatial distribution of Io’s volcanic activity from near-IR adaptive optics observations on 100 nights in 2013–2015,” *Icarus* **280**, 405–414 (Dec. 2016).
- [47] Alvarez, C., Molter, E., Kwok, S., de Kleer, K., Campbell, R., and de Pater, I., “The Keck Observatory Twilight Observing Program,” in [*AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts #50*], *AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts* **50**, 114.13 (Oct. 2018).
- [48] de Kleer, K. and de Pater, I., “Time variability of Io’s volcanic activity from near-IR adaptive optics observations on 100 nights in 2013–2015,” *Icarus* **280**, 378–404 (Dec. 2016).
- [49] Bailey, J., Ahlsved, L., and Meadows, V. S., “The near-IR spectrum of Titan modeled with an improved methane line list,” *Icarus* **213**, 218–232 (May 2011).
- [50] Baines, K. H., Drossart, P., Momary, T. W., Formisano, V., Griffith, C., Bellucci, G., Bibring, J. P., Brown, R. H., Buratti, B. J., Capaccioni, F., Cerroni, P., Clark, R. N., Coradini, A., Combes, M., Cruikshank, D. P., Jaumann, R., Langevin, Y., Matson, D. L., McCord, T. B., Mennella, V., Nelson, R. M., Nicholson, P. D., Sicardy, B., and Sotin, C., “The Atmospheres of Saturn and Titan in the Near-Infrared First Results of Cassini/vims,” *Earth Moon and Planets* **96**, 119–147 (June 2005).
- [51] Reed, J. E., Hester, J. J., Fabian, A. C., and Winkler, P. F., “The Three-dimensional Structure of the Cassiopeia A Supernova Remnant. I. The Spherical Shell,” *ApJ* **440**, 706 (Feb. 1995).
- [52] Fesen, R. A., “An Optical Survey of Outlying Ejecta in Cassiopeia A: Evidence for a Turbulent, Asymmetric Explosion,” *ApJS* **133**, 161–186 (Mar. 2001).
- [53] Marco, O. and Brooks, K. J., “VLT 3-5 micron spectroscopy and imaging of NGC 1068: Does the AGN hide nuclear starburst activity?,” *A&A* **398**, 101–106 (Jan. 2003).
- [54] Ciurlo, A., Campbell, R. D., Morris, M. R., Do, T., Ghez, A. M., Hees, A., Sitarski, B. N., Kosmo O’Neil, K., Chu, D. S., Martinez, G. D., Naoz, S., and Stephan, A. P., “A population of dust-enshrouded objects orbiting the Galactic black hole,” *Nature* **577**, 337–340 (Jan. 2020).