

Coupling of different solvable ensembles of random matrices

E. N. Antonov^{*}, A.Yu. Orlov[†], D.V. Vasiliev[‡]

January 30, 2022

Abstract

Explicit expressions for multimatrix models with complex and unitary matrices allows to couple these models with well-known unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ensembles. We consider examples of such mixed ensembles which are solvable in the sense that the partition functions of such ensembles can be considered as tau functions of the classical integrable equations.

1 Introduction

If a matrix integral is a tau function as a function of its coupling constants we call it solvable. As far as we know the first solvable (in this sense) matrix model was presented in the preprint of [7] and other examples in [15] and [16], [13], [12], and see also [21]. Then we should point out the work [18]. It is the direct continuation of [25] and also of [2] where the solvable cases were selected.

In works [27], [2], [28] a number of solvable models were selected from the complex multi-matrix Ginibre ensembles (let us call such ensembles mCE) and from the models of many unitary matrices (mUE) coupled to these multi-matrix Ginibre ensembles (mCUE). Here we explain that one can do one more step and couple the mentioned mCUE solvable models to the known random matrix ensembles known as unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ones. Here we restrict ourselves only with presentation the idea and giving an example: we suggest the solvable model of the interaction of complex matrices with the random Hermitian matrix which was known to be of use in calculations in two-dimensional quantum gravity problems [4].

1.1 Complex and unitary multimatrix models

The common feature of such models of solvable models is their perturbation series in coupling constants which look like as follows

$$Z_N(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*) = \sum_{\lambda} r_{\lambda}(N) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}^*) \quad (1)$$

where N is the size of involved matrices. Apart of new models considered in [27], [2], similar perturbation series was obtained in a number of different models, among them: the 2-matrix model of Hermitian-antiHermitian matrices [9] (and as the result the very well-known one-matrix model introduced by Kazakov, Migdal, Brezin and Gross), the model of two unitary matrices [38].

The series (1) is known to be two-component KP tau function where \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}^* play the role of the higher times [13], [30].

In (1) the sum ranges over the set of all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, r_{λ} is the so-called content product defined by the choice of the function (on \mathbb{Z}) r :

$$r_{\lambda}(N) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} r(N+i-j)$$

^{*}Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, RU-188350 St.Petersburg, Russia, email: antonov@thd.pnpi.spb.ru

[†]Institute of Oceanology, Nahimovskii Prospekt 36, Moscow 117997, Russia; NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, 123182, Russia; Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow 127051 Russia, email: orlovs@ocean.ru

[‡]NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, 123182, Russia; Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow 127051 Russia, email: vasiliev@itep.ru

where the product ranges over all nodes of the Young diagram λ with coordinates i and j ; the “content” of node is $j - i$. The Schur polynomial s_λ as the function of the variables $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$ (here, playing the role of coupling constants of the matrix model) is defined as follows:

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \det[s_{(\lambda_i - i + j)}(\mathbf{p})]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m z^m} = \sum_{m \geq 0} z^m s_{(m)}(\mathbf{p})$$

In case \mathbf{p} is given by

$$p_m = p_m(X) = \text{tr} X^m$$

we write $s_\lambda(X)$ instead of $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(X))$. In this case the definition of the Schur polynomials can be re-written as

$$s_\lambda(X) = \frac{\det [x_j^{\lambda_i - i + N}]_{i,j}}{\det [x_j^{-i + N}]_{i,j}}$$

where x_1, \dots, x_N are the eigenvalues of X , which is the well-known formula for the character of $X \in \mathbb{GL}_N$.

Actually any embedded graph (or, the same, a fat graph, or a ribbon graph) drawn of the sphere and equipped with certain data gives rise to the series (1) which is known to be a tau function.

Embedded graph and the right hand side of (1) Embedded graph has only faces which homeomorphic to a disc. The boundaries of each face are the sides of a ribbon edge. One assigns the positive (counter-clockwise) orientation to the boundaries of each face. It means that each ribbon edge consists of oppositely directed arrows. One can say that each ribbon edge is a pair of glued oppositely directed arrows. Thus, the boundary of the face is arrows successively placed next to each other with a positively chosen orientation. Let us number each edge graph with positive numbers from 1 to n , where n is the total number of edges. Then graph with F faces possesses $V = 2 + n - F$ vertices. To the sides of each edge, say the edge number i ($i > 0$) we assign numbers i and $-i$, thus, all sides of the graph are numbered with numbers from the set $\pm 1, \dots, \pm n$. Let's denote this set \mathfrak{N} and $\mathfrak{N} = 2n$. Let us number the faces of the graph with $1, \dots, F$. By traversing each face, say f_i in the positive direction, we obtain a set of numbers from the list indicated above, and such a set is defined up to a cyclic permutation; denote such set by \mathfrak{f}_i and call it the face cycle related to f_i . Next, we consider the symmetric group S_{2n} which acts on \mathfrak{N} . Consider the element of S_{2n} which is the product of the face cycles:

$$(\mathfrak{f}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{f}_F) \in S_{2n} \tag{2}$$

where the order of the factors is unimportant because by construction these are non-intersecting cycles.

Then, let us numerate the vertices of the graph with $1, \dots, V$. Next, consider the set of numbers around each vertex, say v_i , where we write down of the numbers of the incoming arrows (thus, they belong to \mathfrak{N}) which we consequently write down traversing a vertex in the negative (or, the same, in the clockwise) direction. Such a set defined up to the cyclic permutations we call the i -th vertex cycle and denote \mathfrak{v}_i . The product of the (by definition) non-intersecting vertex cycles gives rise to the other element of S_{2n} :

$$(\mathfrak{v}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{v}_V) \in S_{2n}$$

There is the known relation between these two elements related to any embedded graph:

$$\sigma \circ (\mathfrak{f}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{f}_F) = (\mathfrak{v}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{v}_V) \tag{3}$$

where in the left hand side we have the composition of the involution $\sigma = \sigma^2$ without fixed point and the product of face cycles. In our notations σ acts as the sumultaneous transposition $i \leftrightarrow -i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Evidently it follows also

$$\sigma \circ (\mathfrak{v}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{v}_V) = (\mathfrak{f}_1) \cdots (\mathfrak{f}_F) \tag{4}$$

This is a combinatorial description of the embedded graph¹, drawn on any surface with Euler characteristic $E = F - n + V$. Formulas (3) and (4) are related to dual graphs.

As it was noticed in [24] we have a wondfeull analogue of this relations in terms of integrals over matrices.

¹In [17] it written in a slightly different way in terms of the numbering of the half-edges of the dual graph.

Multi-matrix Ginibre ensemble, ensembles of unitary matrices, mixed ensembles. The complex Ginibre ensemble is defined as the space of complex $N \times N$ matrices and by a measure on the space which is

$$d\mu(Z) = e^{-N\text{Tr}ZZ^\dagger} \prod_{i,j \leq N} d^2 Z_{i,j} \quad (5)$$

The n_1 -matrix complex Ginibre ensemble is defined as the space of $N \times N$ matrices Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1} with the measure $d\mu(Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} d\mu(Z_i)$.

The ensemble of n_2 unitary matrices is defined by the space of $U_1, \dots, U_{n_2} \in \mathbb{U}_N$ with the measure $d\nu(U_1, \dots, U_{n_2})$ equal to the product of the Haar measures $d_*U_1 \cdots d_*U_{n_2}$.

In what follows in this section we consider the mixed ensemble which contains $n = n_1 + n_2$ matrices Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1} and U_1, \dots, U_{n_2} with measure $d\Omega_{n_1, n_2} = d\mu(Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1})d\nu(U_1, \dots, U_{n_2})$. Let us denote the set of these $n_1 + n_2$ matrices by X and denote the measure by dX . The expectation of any function f of the entries of this set of matrices is defined as

$$\langle f \rangle = \int_{\Omega_{n_1, n_2}} f dX$$

where $\Omega_{n_1, n_2} = \text{GL}^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\otimes n_2}$.

If Γ an embedded graph drawn on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 which has n ribbon edges and $2n$ sides of these edges numbered by \mathfrak{N} as it was described in the previous paragraph. Then we consider the equipped graph: to a side of the edge numbered with i (we recall that $i \in \mathfrak{N}$) we match the matrix X_i while to the opposite side of the same edge which is numbered with $-i$ we match the Hermitian conjugate matrix $X_{-i} := X_i$. Here each X_i is one of the matrices $Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1}, U_1, \dots, U_{n_2}$. Thus, the matrices X_1, \dots, X_n belong to our mixed ensemble.

Apart of these $2n$ matrices we introduce different $2n$ matrices $C_{\pm 1}, \dots, C_{\pm n}$ which contribute the equipping of Γ as follows. Let us give numbers to the corners by assigning to it the number of the side which income to this corner.

Consider a cycle $\mathfrak{f}_i = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell_i})$ where ℓ_i is the number of edges of the face f_i . To each face cycle (\mathfrak{f}_i) we relate the cycle product

$$\mathfrak{f}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$$

which is the product $C_{j_1} C_{j_2} \cdots C_{j_{\ell_i}}$. Let us also introduce the product of the pairs of matrices according to

$$\mathcal{F}_i(X) = X_{j_1} C_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_{\ell_i}} C_{j_{\ell_i}} \quad (6)$$

We call the replacements $C_j \rightarrow X_j C_j =: C_j(X)$, where each of source matrices is multiplied by the random matrix with the same number from the left dressing procedure.

We call such product the face monodromy because it is the product of matrices obtained as the product of the matrices that we encounter when traversing the edge boundary in the positive direction.

In a similar way, we obtain the monodromy of vertices - this is the product of matrices corresponding to the cycle of vertices:

$$\mathfrak{v}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$$

Say, the cyclic product related to the vertex cycle $\mathfrak{V}_i = (k_1, \dots, k_{\ell(i)})$ where ℓ_i is the valency of the vertex i is $C_{k_1} \cdots C_{k_{\ell_i}}$.

We have a wonderful relation [24]

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_1, n_2}} \prod_{a=1}^F s_{\lambda^a}(\mathcal{F}_a(X)) dX = \delta_\lambda N^{-n_1 d} (s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty))^{-n_1} (s_\lambda(\mathbb{I}_N))^{-n_2} \prod_{a=1}^V s_{\lambda^a}(\mathcal{V}_a) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{n_1, n_2}} \prod_{a=1}^V s_{\lambda^a}(\mathcal{V}_a(X)) dX = \delta_\lambda N^{-n_1 d} (s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty))^{-n_1} (s_\lambda(\mathbb{I}_N))^{-n_2} \prod_{a=1}^F s_{\lambda^a}(\mathcal{F}_a) \quad (8)$$

where δ_λ is equal to 1 in case $\lambda^1 = \dots = \lambda^F$ and where we denote λ^1 by λ . And $\delta_\lambda = 0$ otherwise. Here

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty) = \frac{d_\lambda}{d!}, \quad d_\lambda = \frac{\prod_{i < j \leq N} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j)}{\prod_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - i + N)!}, \quad d = |\lambda| \quad (9)$$

(where d_λ is the dimension of the representation λ of the symmetric group S_d) and

$$s_\lambda(\mathbb{I}_N) = \frac{s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty)}{(N)_\lambda}, \quad (N)_\lambda = \sum_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (N - i + j) = \prod_i \frac{\Gamma(N + \lambda_i - i)}{\Gamma(N - i)} \quad (10)$$

which is the dimension of the representation λ of the linear group \mathbb{GL}_N . Formulas (7) and (8) can be related respectively to (3) and (4).

Matrix models. Now we consider the matrix model related to an embedded graph Γ as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{t}, C) &= \int_{\Omega_{n_1, n_2}} \prod_{i=1}^F e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m^{(i)} \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_i(X))^m} dX = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} N^{-n_1 |\lambda|} ((N)_\lambda)^{-n_2} (s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty))^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^F s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}^i) \prod_{i=1}^V s_\lambda(\mathcal{V}_i) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where \mathbf{t} denotes the collection of coupling constants \mathbf{p}^i , $i = 1, \dots, F$ and C denotes the collection of the source matrices $C_{\pm i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This model has F sets of coupling constants $\mathbf{p}^i = (p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, \dots)$ and the set of V independent combinations \mathcal{V}_i constructed from the source matrices (or, the same, from the corner matrices of the graph Γ .)

Specifications to the integrable family We obtain an integrable model in case the right hand side of (11) coincides with the right hand side of (1). In this case all Schur polynomials except a chosen pair (as in (1)) should contribute to a content product as we find as the prefactor in (1). This is possible if we put some of \mathbf{p}^i equal to \mathbf{p}_∞ , or if we use the relations

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(a)) = s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty) \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (a + j - i), \quad \mathbf{p}(a) = (a, a, \dots) \quad (12)$$

or/and

$$s_\lambda(X) = s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_\infty) \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (N - m + j - i) \quad (13)$$

The last one is obtained in case the spectrum of $X \in \mathbb{GL}_N$ consists of m unities and $N - m$ zeros. Let us note that in this case $s_\lambda(X)$ is equal to zero in if the length of λ exceeds $N - m$.

Let us note that the usage of (13) means that in fact we deal with the rectangular metrics. The specification of \mathbf{p}^i means that

$$\text{for } \mathbf{p}^i = \mathbf{p}_\infty \text{ we have } e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m^{(i)} \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_i(X))^m} = e^{\text{tr} \mathcal{F}_i(X)} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{for } \mathbf{p}^i = \mathbf{p}(a_i) \text{ we have } e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m^{(i)} \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_i(X))^m} = \det(1 - \mathcal{F}_i)^{-a_i} \quad (15)$$

where a_i is a chosen parameter.

Let us produce such specifications and obtain the $Z(\mathbf{t}, C, n)$ as the tau function which depends either on \mathbf{p} and \mathcal{V} :

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\mathbf{p}, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) s_\lambda(\mathcal{V}) \quad (16)$$

or on a pair of corner matrices, say, \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 :

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) = \sum_{\lambda} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda(\mathcal{V}_1) s_\lambda(\mathcal{V}_2) \quad (17)$$

with certain r obtained after a chosen specification. Here \mathcal{V} and $\mathcal{V}_{1,2}$ are any ones chosen from the set of vertex monodromies $\{\mathcal{V}_i \text{ where } i = 1, \dots, V\}$ (we remind that the rest part should be chosen to be equal matrices whose spectrum consists of 1 and 0); and for \mathbf{p} we choose one in the set of coupling constants $\{\mathbf{p}^i, i = 1, \dots, F\}$, say $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}^{(1)}$ (while the rest coupling constants are chosen according to (14) or (15)).

2 Coupling of the integrable mCUE with well-known solvable matrix models

In series (16),(17) we are going to treat $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}_{1,2}$ as random matrices which belong to ensembles different of the considered CUE ones. The main example is the coupling with integrable ensembles of Hermitian matrices known as one- and two-matrix models.

Modified one-matrix models with $d\mu(H)$ The most known solvable matrix models are the models related to three classical Wigner-Dyson ensembles: unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ones. Each of these matrix models can be treated as tau functions where the coupling constants of these models turn out to be the higher times of these functions [10]. It is a special case of the KP tau function for the unitary ensemble, see [7]. And it is an example of the tau function of the large BKP hierarchy² in case of the orthogonal ensemble [18] and an example of the DKP tau function in case of the symplectic ensemble [18].

Matrix model related to the unitary Wigner-Dyson ensemble is as follows

$$I_1(\mathbf{p}) = \int e^{N \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} \text{tr}(H^m)} d\nu(H) = \sum_{\lambda} \langle s_{\lambda}(H) \rangle s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}) \quad (18)$$

where H is a Hermitian matrix

$$\langle s_{\lambda}(H) \rangle = \int s_{\lambda}(H) d\nu(H) \quad (19)$$

where H is a Hermitian matrix. The measure on the space of Hermitian matrices is the Gaussian one:

$$d\nu(H) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} N \text{tr}(H)^2} dH, \quad dH = \prod_{i \leq j} d\Re M_{i,j} \prod_{i < j} d\Im M_{i,j} \quad (20)$$

As we mentioned it is well-known that $I_1(\mathbf{p})$ is the KP tau function with respect to the coupling constants $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$. As it is also well-known, any KP tau function can be written in Sato form [34] as

$$\tau^{\text{KP}}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda} \pi_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}) \quad (21)$$

where the numbers π_{λ} solve certain bilinear relations called Plucker relations. These numbers are known as the Plucker coordinates. For instance the numbers $r_{\lambda}(N) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}^*)$ solve Plucker relation for any choice of the function r and for any choice of \mathbf{p}^* .

The fact which is of importance is that if the numbers π_{λ} solve Plucker relations then the numbers

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda} r_{\lambda}(N)$$

also solves Plucker relations for any choice of r . The easiest way to prove it is to use free fermions, see Appendix. It results to the fact that one can replace π_{λ} by $\tilde{\pi}_{\lambda}$ in (21) to get new KP tau function $\tilde{\tau}$.

Therefore, since $\langle s_{\lambda}(H) \rangle$ is the example of the Plucker coordinate, we can apply it to the series

$$Z_N(\mathbf{p}, H) = \sum_{\lambda} r_{\lambda}(N) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}) s_{\lambda}(H) \quad (22)$$

and obtain the result that

$$\langle Z_N(\mathbf{p}, H) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{Z}_N(\mathbf{p}) \quad (23)$$

is the example of the KP tau function. We call it the coupling of the ensemble mCUE and the unitary ensemble. And as we see this new ensemble is also solvable.

The similar coupling of mCUE can be done if we take two other different Wigner-Dyson ensembles.

The large BKP tau function can be written in form

$$\tau^{\text{BKP}}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda} K_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}) \quad (24)$$

²The large, or charged BKP tau function was introduced in [11]. The DKP tau function was introduced in [10]. Actually the DKP hierarchy of integrable equations can be incorporated into the large BKP hierarchy.

where K_λ are called the Cartan coordinates, see [11] and [8] which are defined as certain Pfaffians. Examples of such series one can found in [32], [33].

Again, we have the property that if K_λ are the Cartan coordinated then

$$\tilde{K}_\lambda = K_\lambda r_\lambda(N) \quad (25)$$

also are the Cartan coordinates and the related $\tilde{\tau}^{\text{BKP}}$ is the large 2BKPtau function. Thus, the coupling of a solvable ensemble mCUE with orthogonal and with symplectic Wigner-Dyson ensembles:

$$\langle Z_N(\mathbf{p}, O) \rangle_O, \quad \langle Z_N(\mathbf{p}, S) \rangle_S \quad (26)$$

yields BKP tau functions where \mathbf{p} is the set of the large BKP higher times.

In the same way we can couple any solvable ensemble mCUE with real and quaternionic Ginibre ensembles because they are examples of the large BKP tau functions, see [26] and with interpolating ensemble, see [33].

Examples . The simplest example is the following model

$$I_1 = \int e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m \text{tr}(H Z I_n Z^\dagger)} d\nu(H) d\mu(Z) \quad (27)$$

This is the simplest one-face graph related to the interval with vertices, where one corner matrix is the Hermitian matrix H and the other corner matrix is $I_n = \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. We get

$$I_1 = \sum_\lambda (N - n)_\lambda s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \int s_\lambda(H) d\nu(H) \quad (28)$$

Modification of two-matrix models. Measure $d\rho(H_1, H_2)$. Two-matrix models A typical perturbation series for a solvable two-matrix models looks as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}} = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) g_{\lambda, \mu}(N) s_\mu(\mathbf{t}) \quad (29)$$

where $g_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the mean of the pair of the Schur functions in a certain ensemble of two random matrices:

$$g_{\lambda, \mu}(N) = \langle s_\lambda(H_1) s_\mu(H_2) \rangle_{H_1, H_2} = \int s_\lambda(H_1) s_\mu(H_2) d\rho(H_1, H_2) \quad (30)$$

Let us take

$$d\rho(H_1, H_2) = e^{-a \text{tr}(H_1)^2 - a \text{tr}(H_2)^2 + c \text{tr}(H_1 H_2)} dH_1 dH_2 \quad (31)$$

where dH were defined in (20), as the main example.

Remark 1. The examples were written down in [9], [31] as

$$d\rho(H_1, H_2) = \tau_r(H_1 H_2, \mathbb{I}_N) d\mu(H_1) d\mu(H_2)$$

where τ_r is a KP tau function of the hypergeometric type.

For instance, consider the standard model of two interacting Hermitian matrices:

$$J_N(\mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2) = \int e^{V(H_1, \mathbf{t}^1)} e^{V(H_2, \mathbf{t}^2)} d\rho(H_1, H_2) \quad (32)$$

$$V(H_i, \mathbf{t}^i) = \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m^{(i)} \text{tr}(H_i)^m. \quad (33)$$

Here, the prefactor $g_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the determinant of the matrix of moments:

$$g_{\lambda, \mu}(N) = \det \left[\int \int x^{h_i} e^{-ax^2 - ay^2 + cxy} y^{h'_j} \right]_{i,j}, \quad h_i = \lambda_i - i + N, \quad h'_j = \mu_j - j + N \quad (34)$$

with certain reasonable parameters a and c to get finite $g_{\lambda,\mu}$. Such determinantal form of the prefactor provides the series in the left hand side of (29) to be a tau function, where \mathbf{t}^1 and \mathbf{t}^2 each play the role of the set of the KP higher times, see [35].

In case we make the replacement

$$V(H_i, \mathbf{t}^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(H_i, \mathbf{t}^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (35)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}^{(i)}(H_i, \mathbf{t}^{(i)})$ are two different matrix integrals (16):

$$\mathcal{Z}_N^{(i)}(H_i, \mathbf{t}^{(i)}) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} r_\lambda^{(i)} s_\lambda(H_i) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}^{(i)}) \quad (36)$$

we obtain the coupled model whose perturbation series has the form (29) where one should make the replacement

$$g_{\lambda,\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\lambda,\mu}(N) r_\lambda^{(1)}(N) r_\mu^{(2)}(N) \quad (37)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}_N^1(H_1, \mathbf{t}^1) \mathcal{Z}_N^2(H_2, \mathbf{t}^2) \rangle_{H_1, H_2} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}^1) \tilde{g}_{\lambda,\mu} s_\mu(\mathbf{t}^2) \quad (38)$$

It is easy to verify that for any choice of functions $r^{(1)}$ and $r^{(2)}$, the prefactors (37) in the right hand side of (38) has the form of the determinant of a matrix, which is equal to the moment matrix multiplied by diagonal ones from the left and from the right. Then it follows that the right hand side of (38) is also a tau function.

Perhaps, the simplest example (38) is

$$J_N(\mathbf{t}) = \int e^{\sqrt{-1}H_1H_2 + \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} t_m^{(i)} \text{tr}(H_i Z^\dagger I_{n_i} Z)^m} dH_1 dH_2 d^2 Z \quad (39)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq N}} (N)_\lambda (N - n_1)_\lambda (N - n_2)_\lambda s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}^1) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}^2) \quad (40)$$

(we take $a = 0, c = \sqrt{-1}$ in (34)). The perturbation series (40) is the example of (the so-called hypergeometric) KP tau functions with respect to any set: either \mathbf{t}^1 , or \mathbf{t}^2 . Say, if one choose $\mathbf{t}^1 = (1, 1, 1 \dots)$ and $\mathbf{t}^2 = (x, x^2, x^3, \dots)$ he obtains the divergent hypergeometric function ${}_3F_0(N, N - n_1, N - n_2; x)$. If only a finite set among $\{t_m^{(i)}, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is not zero valued, then the series in the right hand side diverges. One can show the series in the left hand side converges in an open set of parameters \mathbf{t}^i . One can notice that if we take $p_m^{(1)} = -\sum_{i=1}^M y_i^m, m = 1, 2, \dots$ the series (40) is a polynomial of at most degree NM if we put $\deg p_m^{(1)} = m$.

Chains of integrals. If one take the following set of integrals (16),(17)

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}, \mathcal{V}_1), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3), \dots, \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathbf{p}^{(2)})$$

he can tie them in a chain matrix model with the help of set of measures $d\rho(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2), d\rho(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2), \dots$:

$$\int \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{p}^{(1)}, \mathcal{V}_1), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3), \dots, \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathbf{p}^{(2)}) d\rho(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2) d\rho(\mathcal{V}_3, \mathcal{V}_4) \cdots d\rho(\mathcal{V}_{2n-1}, \mathcal{V}_{2n})$$

This is also a solvable matrix model with the two sets of higher times $\mathbf{p}^{(1,2)}$. Examples will be considered in the more detailed text.

Acknowledgements. The work of A.Orlov was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No.20-12-00195).

References

- [1] Alexandrov, A.: Matrix models for random partitions. Nucl. Phys. B **851** (2011) 620-650
- [2] N. Amburg, A. Orlov, D. Vasiliev, “On Products of Random Matrices”, Entropy vol 22, ussue 9, <https://doi.org/10.3390/e22090972>
- [3] Brezin Hikami
- [4] E. Brezin and V. Kazakov, *Exactly solvable field theories of closed strings*, Phys Lett **B236**, (1990), 144-150
- [5] E. Cartan, *The Theory of Spinors*, Dover Publications Inc, Mineola N.Y. (1981).
- [6] J. Ambjorn and L. O. Chekhov, “The matrix model for dessins d’enfants”, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare D, 1:3 (2014), 337-361; arXiv:1404.4240
- [7] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Orlov, “Matrix models of two-dimensional gravity and Toda theory”, Nuclear Physics B 357 (2-3), 565-618 (1991)
- [8] J. Harnad and F. Balogh, “Tau functions and their applications”, *Monographs on Mathematical Physics*, Cambridge University Press (in press, 2020).
- [9] J. Harnad and A. Yu. Orlov, “Scalar products of symmetric functions and matrix integrals”, Theoretical and mathematical physics 137 (3), 1676-1690 (2003)
- [10] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, “Solitons and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **19** 943-1001 (1983).
- [11] V. Kac and J. van de Leur, “The geometry of spinors and the multicomponent BKP and DKP hierarchies”, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **14** (1998) 159–202
- [12] S. Kharchev, “Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Hierarchy and Generalized Kontsevich Model”, preprint ITEP/TH-78/97, arXiv:hep-th/9810091
- [13] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, ”Generalized Kazakov-Migdal-Kontsevich Model: group theory aspects”, International Journal of Mod Phys A10 (1995) p.2015
- [14] Koch , Rangloom
- [15] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Orlov, A. Zabrodin, “Matrix models among integrable theories: Forced hierarchies and operator formalism”, Nuclear Physics B 366 (3), 569-601 (1991)
- [16] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Zabrodin, “Unification of all string models with $c < 1$ ”, Phys. Lett. B275 (1992) 311,
- [17] S. K. Lando, A. K. Zvonkin *Graphs on Surfaces and their Applications*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 141, with appendix by D. Zagier, Springer, N.Y. (2004).
- [18] J. W. van de Leur, *Matrix Integrals and Geometry of Spinors*, J. of Nonlinear Math. Phys. **8**, pp. 288-311 (2001)
- [19] Mehta, M. L., *Random Matrices*, 3rd edition (Elsevier, Academic, San Diego CA, 2004).
- [20] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1995).
- [21] A. Mironov, thesis
- [22] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo and E. Date, “Solitons. Differential equations, symmetries and infinite dimensional algebras” *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. (2000).
- [23] Natanzon, S.M.; Orlov, A.Y. Hurwitz numbers from matrix integrals over Gaussian measure. accepted to *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* vol 103,I pp 337-377 (2021); arXiv **2020**, arXiv:2002.00466.
- [24] Natanzon, S.M.; Orlov, A.Y. Hurwitz numbers from Feynman diagrams. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **2020**, *204*, 1172–1199.
- [25] A. Yu. Orlov, “New solvable matrix integrals”, International Journal of Modern Physics A 19 (supp02) (2004) 276-293
- [26] A.Yu. Orlov, “Deformed Ginibre ensembles and integrable systems”, Physics Letters A 378 (4), 319-328 (2014)
- [27] A.Yu. Orlov, “2D Yang-Mills Theory and Tau Functions”, in: *Geometric Methods in Physics XXXVIII: Workshop*, Bialowieza, Poland, 2019, pp. 221-250, Springer International Publishing (2020)
- [28] A.Yu. Orlov, “Integrals of tau functions: A round dance tau function and multimatrix integrals”, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 215 (3), 784-792 (2023)
- [29] A. Yu. Orlov, D. M. Scherbin, “Fermionic representation for basic hypergeometric functions related to Schur polynomials” arXiv:nlin/0001001 (2000)

- [30] A. Orlov, D.M. Shcherbin, *Hypergeometric solutions of soliton equations*, Theor.Math.Phys. **128** (2001) 906-926; for the complete version see: A. Orlov, D.M. Shcherbin arXiv:nlin/0001001
- [31] A. Yu. Orlov, T. Shiota, “Schur function expansion for normal matrix model and associated discrete matrix models”, Physics Letters A 343 (5), 384-396 (2005)
- [32] A. Yu. Orlov, T. Shiota, K. Takasaki, “Pfaffian structures and certain solutions to BKP hierarchies I. Sums over partitions”, arXiv:1201.4518
- [33] A. Yu. Orlov, T. Shiota, K. Takasaki, “Pfaffian structures and certain solutions to BKP hierarchies II. Multiple integrals”, arxiv:arXiv:1611.02244
- [34] M. Sato. “Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold” *Kokyuroku, RIMS* 30-46, (1981).
- [35] K. Takasaki, “Initial value problem for the Toda lattice hierarchy”, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4**, 139-163 (1984).
- [36] T. Takebe, “Representation Theoretical meaning of the Initial Value Problem for the Toda Lattice Hierarchy”, Letters in Math. Phys. **21**: 77-84 (1991)
- [37] K.Ueno and K.Takasaki, *Toda lattice hierarchy*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4**, 1-95 (1984).
- [38] P. Zinn-Justin, J. B. Zuber, “On some integrals over the $U(N)$ unitary group and their large N limit”, J.Phys. A36:3173-3194 (2003)
- [39] J.B.Zuber, “On the large N limit of matrix integrals over the orthogonal group”, arXiv:0805.0315

A Partitions. The Schur polynomials

We recall that a nonincreasing set of nonnegative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$, we call partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, and λ_i are called parts of λ . The sum of parts is called the weight $|\lambda|$ of λ . The number of nonzero parts of λ is called the length of λ , it will be denoted $\ell(\lambda)$. See [20] for details. Partitions will be denoted by Greek letters: λ, μ, \dots . The set of all partitions is denoted by \mathbf{P} . The set of all partitions with odd parts is denoted OP . Partitions with distinct parts are called strict partitions, we prefer letters α, β to denote them. The set of all strict partitions will be denoted by DP . The Frobenius coordinated α, β for partitions $(\alpha|\beta) = \lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ are of usenames (let me recall that the coordinates $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \text{DP}$ consists of the lengths of arms counted from the main diagonal of the Young diagram of λ while $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \text{DP}$ consists of the lengths of legs counted from the main diagonal of the Young diagram of λ , k is the length of the main diagonal of λ , see [20] for details).

To define the Schur function s_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ at the first step we introduce the set of elementary Schur functions $s_{(m)}$ by

$$e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m x^m} = \sum_{m \geq 0} x^m s_{(m)}(\mathbf{p})$$

where the variables $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$ are called power sum variables. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \in \mathbf{P}$ we define

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \det [s_{(\lambda_i - i + j)}(\mathbf{p})]_{i,j>0} \quad (41)$$

If we put $p_m = p_m(X) = \text{tr} X^m$ where X is a matrix we write $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(X)) = s_\lambda(X)$.

Examples of tau functions

Vacuum tau functions Notice that

$$e^{\sum_m t_m \text{tr} X^m} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-\text{tr} XY}$$

can be viewed as simplest (“vacuum”) Toda lattice tau function !

Sato-Takasaki series 2KP (-Toda lattice) tau function, (Sato,Takasaki,Takebe):

$$\tau_N(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}') = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) g_{\lambda, \mu}(N) s_\mu(\mathbf{t}')$$

where

- sum ranges over all possible pairs of partitions λ, μ
- s_λ, s_μ are the Schur function (polynomials in KP higher times)
- $g_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the determinant of a certain matrix (initial data for the TL solution)

Example

$$e^{\sum_m t_m \text{tr} X^m} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) s_{\lambda}(X)$$

$$e^{\text{tr} XY} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(XY) s_{\lambda}(1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

B Matrix ensembles

Unitary matrices. The Haar measure on \mathbb{U}_N in the explicit form is written as

$$d_*U = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq N} |e^{\theta_i} - e^{\theta_k}|^2 \prod_{i=1}^N d\theta_i, \quad -\pi < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_N \leq \pi \quad (42)$$

Hermitian matrices. This case can be considered in the same way as the previous one. It is natural [19] to take the following measure on the space \mathfrak{H}_N of Hermitian $N \times N$ matrices:

$$d\Omega_{N,w}(X) = c_N \prod_{i < j \leq N} e^{-w(\Re X_{i,j})^2} d\Re X_{i,j} \prod_{i < j \leq N} e^{-w(\Im X_{i,j})^2} d\Im X_{i,j}$$

$$= c_N (\Delta(\mathbf{x}))^2 \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-wx_i^2} dx_i d_*U$$

where $w > 0$ is a parameter and where we use $X = U \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_i \\ -x_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}$, $U \in \mathbb{U}_N$.

Remark 2. In many applied problems in which random matrices are used, it is very convenient to assume that the parameter w is proportional to the size of the matrices N .

Orthogonal matrices. The Haar measure on \mathbb{O}_N is

$$d_*O = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{(n-1)^2}}{\pi^n} \prod_{i < j}^n (\cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_j))^2 \prod_{i=1}^n, & N = 2n \\ \frac{2^{n^2}}{\pi^n} \prod_{i < j}^n (\cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_j))^2 \prod_{i=1}^n \sin^2 \frac{\theta_i}{2} d\theta_i, & N = 2n + 1 \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where $d\theta_i$, $0 \leq \theta_i < \dots < \theta_n \leq \pi$. The prefactors are chosen to provide $\int_{\mathbb{O}_N} d_*O = 1$.

By \mathfrak{S}_N we denote the space of $N \times N$ real skew-symmetric matrices. Recall that the eigenvalues of real skew fields are purely imaginary; the eigenvalues occur in pairs $\pm x_i \sqrt{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ in the case $N = 2n$ while in the case $N = 2n + 1$ there is an additional eigenvalue $x_{2n+1} = 0$.

Skew-symmetric matrices. For any $X \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ there exists such $O \in \mathbb{O}_N$ that $X = O \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_i \\ -x_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i=1, \dots, n} \right\} O^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$, where $O \in \mathbb{O}_{2n}$, $X = O \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_i \\ -x_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i=1, \dots, n}, 0 \right\} O^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n+1}$, where $O \in \mathbb{O}_{2n+1}$.

The measure on \mathfrak{S}_N is as follows:

$$d\omega_N(X) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}(n-n^2)} \prod_{i < j}^n e^{-X_{i,j}^2} dX_{i,j}$$

$$= \prod_{i < j \leq n} (x_i^2 - x_j^2)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-x_i^2} dx_i d_*O \begin{cases} c_1, & N = 2n \\ c_2 x_i^2, & N = 2n + 1 \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

where prefactors $c_1 = 2^{-n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Gamma(2+i)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+i))^{-1}$ and $c_2 = 2^{-n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Gamma(2+i)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+i))^{-1}$ provide the normalization $\int d\omega_N(X) = 1$, see (17.6.5) in [19].

It is known [3] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{O}_N} e^{\text{tr}(OXO^{-1}Y)} d_*O = \begin{cases} c_1 \frac{\det[2 \cosh(2x_i y_i)]_{i,j}}{\Delta(\mathbf{x}^2)\Delta(\mathbf{y}^2)}, & N = 2n \\ c_2 \frac{\det[2 \sinh(2x_i y_i)]_{i,j}}{\Delta(\mathbf{x}^2)\Delta(\mathbf{y}^2) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}, & N = 2n + 1 \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ and $\pm x_i \sqrt{-1}$ and $\pm y_i \sqrt{-1}$ are eigenvalues of respectively X and Y .

Complex matrices. The measure on the space of complex matrices is defined as

$$d\Omega(Z) = c_N \prod_{a,b=1}^N d\Re Z_{ab} d\Im Z_{ab} e^{-N|Z_{ab}|^2} \quad (46)$$

We will consider integrals over $N \times N$ complex matrices Z_1, \dots, Z_n where the measure is defined as

$$d\Omega(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = c_N^n \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{a,b=1}^N d\Re(Z_i)_{ab} d\Im(Z_i)_{ab} e^{-N|(Z_i)_{ab}|^2} \quad (47)$$

where the integration domain is $\mathbb{C}^{N^2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^{N^2}$ and where c_N^n is the normalization constant defined via $\int d\Omega(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = 1$.