

# Some Remarks on a Non-Local Variable Carrying Capacity Model for Aphid Population Dynamics

Aniket Banerjee<sup>1\*</sup>, Urvashi Verma<sup>1</sup>, Rana D. Parshad<sup>1</sup>

*1) Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University,  
Ames, IA 50011, USA.*

---

## Abstract

Aphids are damaging insect pests on many crops. Their density can rapidly build up on a host plant to several thousand over one growing season. Occasionally, a competition-driven decline in population early in the season, followed by a build-up later, is observed in the field. Such dynamics cannot be captured via standard models, such as introduced in [16]. In [1], a logistic non-local population model with variable carrying capacity is proposed to capture these alternative dynamics. The proposed model has a rich dynamical structure and can predict multiple population peaks, as observed in the field. We show that additionally, this model also possesses solutions that can blow-up/explode in finite time. The blow-up is seen to occur for both large and small initial conditions and can occur both early and late in the season. We propose a model extension that, under certain parametric restrictions, has global time-bounded solutions. We discuss the ecological applications of our findings.

*Keywords:* Non-Local Population Model, Aphid Dynamics, Finite Time Blow-up, Boom-Bust Dynamics, Variable Carrying Capacity

---

## 1. Introduction

Aphids are damaging insect pests on many important crops, causing large-scale losses to crop yield [20, 16]. In order to devise effective resistance management strategies to control their population dynamics have been intensely studied [2, 15]. Several control strategies and tactics have been proposed, including refuge strategy [10], predator based top down control [12, 14], and more recently within plant refuge strategies [4, 3].

The control of aphids is further complicated due to their complex mating strategies. They can reproduce both sexually and parthenogenetically (asexually). They have complex within-year dynamics. Some aphids, such as the soybean aphid [17, 18, 19], will reproduce asexually during the summer months, which is the growing season for soybeans. Their populations can build up to several thousand on a single host plant in a matter of

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* aniketb@iastate.edu (Aniket Banerjee<sup>1</sup>), uverma@iastate.edu (Urvashi Verma<sup>1</sup>), rparshad@iastate.edu (Rana D. Parshad<sup>1</sup>)

about two months, during the May to July period. A sharp decline follows this in August, as the summer turns to Autumn and the soybean plant senesces. During this stage, the aphid will produce winged morphs which will migrate to Buckthorn to overwinter. This boom-bust dynamics is common to many aphid species.

Also, on occasion multiple peaks in a growing season are reported [1, 9]. Various factors are attributed to this. Variability in host quality, weather driven events such as flood or drought, and intense competition at the beginning of the growing season. An approach has been to propose models with variable carrying capacity as an attempt to capture this environmental variability to accurately predict some of these observed dynamics. Variable carrying capacity models have attracted attention in the literature recently [13]. Food quality and quantity can change over time (and within growing seasons) due to a host of intrinsic factors, such as host plant suitability and extrinsic factors such as drought or floods. Thus, studying the dynamics of such models is ecologically relevant. We recap some of these models next.

## 2. Aphid Population Dynamics

### 2.1. Model for Boom-Bust Dynamics

Kindlmann and co-authors [16] introduce the following population model to capture the boom-bust dynamics commonly seen in Aphid populations,

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = ax, \quad h(0) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (r - h)x, \quad x(0) = x_0 \tag{2.2}$$

Here  $h(t)$  is the cumulative population density of a single aphid biotype at time  $t$ ;  $x(t)$  is the population density at time  $t$ ,  $a$  is a scalar constant, and  $r$  is the growth rate of the aphids. The aphid population initially rises driven by the linear growth term - this is the “boom” phase, but as the cumulative density becomes greater than the growth rate  $r$ , the population decreases driven by competition. This results in the “bust” phase [21] and “boom-bust” phases can be seen in fig 1. This is commonly seen in the population dynamics of aphids and has been observed in soybean aphids in North America [20], with colonization in June, then a gradual buildup of population, peaking in August, and declining with aphids dispersing in September to their overwintering host. These dynamics differ from those predicted by the classical logistic growth model, which shows convergence to the carrying capacity state. This also provides a framework to investigate additional situations such as if the carrying capacity was variable in time.




Figure 1: The time series figure shows the boom-bust scenario for the Kindlmann Classical Model. The parameter set used:  $a = 0.000005$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . The initial aphid population density is inset.

## 2.2. Logistic Population Model with Variable Carrying Capacity

It has been observed in the field on occasion that the classical (one-peak) boom-bust dynamics will not occur, rather one may see multiple peaks during the growing season, one initially followed by a downturn and then another peak [9]. This could be for a plethora of reasons. Host plant suitability changes over the growing season, environmental and/or weather-driven changes, an excessive abundance of aphids at the beginning of the season, enhanced competition and reduced fecundity [1, 22]. In order to capture such dynamics, the following population model for aphids [1], with variable carrying capacity is introduced. We abbreviate this as VNLM (variable carrying capacity non-local logistic model) henceforth,

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = ax, \quad h(0) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (r - h)x \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right), \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad (2.4)$$

Here

$$k = k(t) = (k_{max} - k_{min}) f(t) + k_{min}, \quad f(t) = \left(\frac{\cos(d\pi t) + 1}{2}\right) \quad (2.5)$$

$f(t)$  is modeled as a trigonometric function to mimic the environment/resources fluctuating, such that the total carrying capacity fluctuates between  $k_{max}$  and  $k_{min}$  values (as  $f(t)$  fluctuates between 0 and 1).




Figure 2: The time series figure shows the carrying capacity and aphid population density with  $t = 80$  for the Logistic population model with variable carrying capacity. The parameter set used:  $K_{max} = 10000$ ,  $K_{min} = 1$ ,  $d = .033$ ,  $a = 0.000005$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . The initial aphid population density  $x_0 = 10$ .




Figure 3: The time series figure shows the carrying capacity and finite time blow-up of the aphid population density at  $t \approx 85$  for the Logistic population model with variable carrying capacity. The parameter set used:  $K_{max} = 10000$ ,  $K_{min} = 1$ ,  $d = .033$ ,  $a = 0.000005$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . The initial aphid population density  $x_0 = 10$ .

**Remark 1.** We see via Fig. 2 that the VNLM can predict multiple peaks in a single season, one early on around day 20, and one later at around day 75 - however running the simulation a little longer yields an “exploding” solution around day 85, as seen via Fig. 3.

Thus, the simulation results, as seen via Fig. 3, motivate us to rigorously investigate both analytically and numerically the blow-up dynamic present in the VNLM (2.3)-(2.4).

**Remark 2.** *Heuristically, the blow-up in the VNLM (2.3)-(2.4) happens when the cumulative density  $h$  exceeds  $r$ , and the sign of  $(r-h)$  is negative. Thus, the standard logistic equation (or even logistic equation with variable carrying capacity  $k$ ) typically described via  $x - \frac{x^2}{k}$ , flips the sign to have a term like  $\frac{x^2}{k} - x$ , which can blow-up for sufficiently chosen initial conditions. Similar blow-up results due to sign-changing non-linearity can be seen in works [6, 7].*

### 2.2.1. Some Standard and Auxiliary Results

We state the following lemma,

**Lemma 1.** *Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then for positive initial data  $x_0 > 0$ , solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) remain non-negative.*

*Proof.* The result follows by checking the quasi-positivity condition via Lemma 5. □

We present an auxiliary lemma,

**Lemma 2.** *Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta(\epsilon) > 0, x_0^*(\epsilon) > 0$  s.t.*

$$x(t) > k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) + \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in [0, \delta], \quad (2.6)$$

*for all solutions to (2.3)-(2.4), initiating from the initial data  $x_0^*(\epsilon) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows via continuity of solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) via Theorem 4. □

### 2.2.2. Blow-up in finite time in VNLM

We state the following theorem,

**Theorem 1.** *Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then for initial data sufficiently large, solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) blow-up in finite time, that is,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^* < \infty} |x(t)| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* Notice,

$$h(t) = \int_0^t ax(s)ds = a \int_0^t x(s)ds \geq ax(t) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a}h(t) \geq x(t). \quad (2.8)$$

We make the following lower estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dx}{dt} \\
&= (r-h)x \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right) \\
&= rx - \frac{r}{k}x^2 - hx + \frac{1}{k}hx^2 \\
&\geq rx - \frac{r}{k_{min}}x^2 - hx + \frac{1}{k_{max}}hx^2 \\
&\geq rx - \frac{r}{k_{min}a}hx - hx + \frac{1}{k_{max}}hx^2 \\
&= rx - \left(\frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1\right)hx + \frac{1}{k_{max}}hx^2 \\
&= rx - \left(\frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1\right) \left(\int_0^t ax(s)ds\right)x + \frac{1}{k_{max}}hx^2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Now we proceed by contradiction. Assume  $x(t)$  remains bounded on any time interval  $[0, T]$ ,  $T < \infty$ , then via the embedding,  $L^\infty(0, T) \hookrightarrow L^1(0, T)$ , we must have that,

$$\int_0^T ax(s)ds \leq TC \|x(t)\|_\infty. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus inserting this in the above inequality, which must hold for any  $t \in [0, T]$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dx}{dt} \\
&\geq rx - \left(\frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1\right) \left(\int_0^t ax(s)ds\right)x + \frac{1}{k_{max}}hx^2 \\
&\geq rx - \left(\frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1\right) (TC \|x(t)\|_\infty)x + \frac{1}{k_{max}}ax^3 \\
&\geq (r-M)x + \frac{1}{k_{max}}ax^3
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Where  $TC \|x(t)\|_\infty < M$ . However, in this case  $x$  blows up in comparison with the ODE  $y' = C_3y^3 + C_4y$ , with  $C_4 > 0$  or  $C_4 < 0$ . This is a contradiction to  $x$  being bounded at any  $T < \infty$ . Thus  $x$  must blow up at a finite time  $T^* \in [0, T]$ .  $\square$

We next state the following theorem,

**Theorem 2.** Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then for initial data sufficiently large, that is  $x_0 > k_{max} \left(\frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1\right)$ , solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) blow-up in finite time, that is,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^* < \infty} |x(t)| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.12)$$

*Proof.* Using the lower estimate from theorem 1,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \geq rx - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) hx + \frac{1}{k_{max}} hx^2 \geq \left( \left( \frac{x}{k_{max}} \right) - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \right) hx \quad (2.13)$$

Thus  $x$  blows up trivially for sufficiently large data chosen as per the requirement of lemma 2, in comparison with the ODE,  $y' = \epsilon y^2$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The blow-up in  $h(t)$ , follows using (2.8). In the more general case, one can compare to the ODE,  $y' = C_3 y^p - C_4 y^q$ ,  $p > q > 1, C_3 > 0, C_4 > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then for initial data sufficiently large, that is  $x_0 > k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right)$ , the cumulative pest density blow-up in finite time, that is,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^* < \infty} |h(t)| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* We know from the mean value theorem of integrals,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(s) ds = x(T^{**}), \quad T < \infty, \quad T^{**} \in [0, T]. \quad (2.15)$$

Via Theorem 2, we have the blow-up of  $x(t)$  at some  $T^* < \infty$ . Consider,

$$\frac{1}{2(T^* - \Delta t)} x(T^{**}) \leq \frac{1}{(T^* - \Delta t)} \int_0^{T^* - \Delta t} x(s) ds = x(T^{**}), \quad (2.16)$$

Now taking the limit as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  entails,  $T^{**} \rightarrow T^*$ , thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2(T^*)} x(T^*) = \infty \leq \frac{1}{T^*} \int_0^{T^*} x(s) ds = x(T^*) = \infty, \quad (2.17)$$

The result follows via the squeezing theorem.  $\square$

### 2.2.3. Blow-up for other initial conditions

We next explore the case when blow-up is possible for other positive initial data, possibly small.

**Remark 2.** The estimate via Theorem 2, is only sufficient, in that if initial data is large enough,  $x_0 > k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right)$ , then blow-up will occur. This threshold depends strongly on the parameter  $a$ .

The smaller  $a$  is the larger the data required for blow-up. However, this is not seen in simulations. Rather small  $a$  leads to blow-up for essentially any positive initial condition. This motivates proving blow-up for any positive initial data under certain parametric restrictions. One approach is to “construct” a lower solution and derive conditions under which this lower solution blows-up for any initial condition.

An “approximate” ODE for the lower estimate is given by,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{a}{k_{max}}x^3 - \left(\frac{r}{k_{min}} + a\right)x^2 + rx \quad (2.18)$$

We note this is only a crude approximation because (without enforcing any positivity conditions) we require,

$$h(t) = \int_0^t ax(s)ds \approx x(t), \quad (2.19)$$

If one applies this “approximation” and explores the cubic,

$$\left(\frac{a}{k_{max}}\right)x^3 - \left(\frac{r}{k_{min}} + a\right)x^2 + rx = x \left( \left(\frac{a}{k_{max}}\right)x^2 - \left(\frac{r}{k_{min}} + a\right)x + r \right) \quad (2.20)$$

This has one real zero,  $x = 0$ , for others we check discriminant. Then, the other two roots must be complex. Since the coefficient of the leading term in the cubic is positive, it must approach positive infinity as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, standard phase analysis will yield blow-up for any positive initial data. The required condition for this is,

$$\left(\frac{r}{k_{min}} + a\right)^2 - 4\left(\frac{a}{k_{max}}\right)r < 0 \quad (2.21)$$

However, this is never true as  $k_{max} > k_{min}$ . Thus there exist two positive roots, which yield blow-up in finite time for the cubic ODE (2.20)






Figure 4: This figure represents the cubic polynomial in (2.20). The parameter set used:  $K_{max} = 10, K_{min} = 1, a = 0.5, r = 0.3$ .

#### 2.2.4. Blow-up via delay equations

We recap the following result from the literature, [23]

**Proposition 1.** *Consider the following delayed equation*

$$y'(t) = |y(t)|^p - |y(t - \tau)|^q, \quad t > 0,$$

$$y(\tau_1) = \phi(\tau_1), \quad \tau_1 \in [-\tau, 0].$$

(2.22)

Assume that  $p > \max(q, 1)$  and  $\phi$  satisfying  $\phi(0) \geq |\phi(t)|^{\frac{q}{p}}$  for all  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ ,  $\phi(0) \geq 1$ ,  $\phi(0) > |\phi(-\tau)|^{\frac{q}{p}}$ , then the solution (2.22) blows-up in finite time.

In order to proceed, we need to modify the above and state the following Theorem,

**Theorem 2.1.** *Consider the following delayed equation*

$$y'(t) = |y(t)|^p - M|y(t - \tau)|^q, \quad t > 0,$$

$$y(\tau_1) = \phi(\tau_1), \quad \tau_1 \in [-\tau, 0].$$

(2.23)

Assume that  $p > \max(q, 1)$  and  $\phi$  satisfying  $\phi(0) \geq M^{\frac{1}{p}}|\phi(t)|^{\frac{q}{p}}$  for all  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ ,  $\phi(0) \geq M$ ,  $\phi(0) > M^{\frac{1}{p}}|\phi(-\tau)|^{\frac{q}{p}}$ , then the solution (2.23) blows-up in finite time.

The proof follows ideas in [23].

*Proof.* Assume that there is a maximal time of existence  $T^*$  to the solution of (2.23). Via the equation,  $y' > 0$ , thus  $y$  is increasing. Let

$$t_1 = \sup\{t \geq 0, y'(s) > 0, s \in [0, t]\}. \quad (2.24)$$

If  $t_1 < T^*$ , then  $y'(t_1) = 0$ . Now let us consider 2 cases.

**Case I:**  $t_1 < \tau$

Since  $y'(t_1) = 0$ , we have

$$|y(t_1)|^p - M|\phi(t_1 - \tau)|^q = 0. \quad (2.25)$$

This is not possible due to the increasing dynamic of  $y$ ,

$$|y(t_1)|^p > |y(0)|^p = |\phi(0)|^p \geq M|\phi(t_1 - \tau)|^q. \quad (2.26)$$

**Case II:**  $t_1 > \tau$

In this case, again since  $y'(t_1) = 0$ , we have

$$|y(t_1)|^p - M|y(t_1 - \tau)|^q = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

Now  $y(t) > y(0) = \phi(0) \geq M \geq 1$ .

so

$$|y(t_1)| = M^{\frac{1}{p}}|y(t_1 - \tau)|^{\frac{q}{p}} < M^{\frac{1}{p}}|y(t_1 - \tau)|. \quad (2.28)$$

On the other hand

$$|y(t_1)|^p > |y\left(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)|^p. \quad (2.29)$$

Thus we have

$$|y\left(t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)|^p - M|y(t_1 - \tau)|^q < 0, \quad (2.30)$$

but this would imply  $y'(t) = 0$ , at some  $t < t_1 - \frac{\tau}{2}$ , contradicting the fact that  $t_1$  is the supremum of the earlier set constructed in (2.24). This entails that,  $y$  is increasing on  $[0, T^*)$ . Thus  $y(t)^p - My(t - \tau)^q > 0$ , and thus so is  $y(t)^q - My(t - \tau)^q > 0$ . Hence rearranging (2.23), we have,

$$y'(t) = y(t)^p - y(t)^q + (y(t)^q - My(t - \tau)^q) \quad (2.31)$$

Using the positivity results derived earlier, blow-up at a finite time  $T^*$ , for sufficiently large data is immediate in comparison with an ODE of the form  $y'(t) = y(t)^p - y(t)^q$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

Now we can state the following theorem,

**Theorem 3.** *Consider the VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4). Then for initial data sufficiently large, that is  $x_0 > k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{T^2}{a^2}$ , solutions to (2.3)-(2.4) blow-up in finite time, that is,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T^* < \infty} |x(t)| \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2.32)$$

*Proof.* We begin with the following estimate on a time interval  $t \in [0, T], T < \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dx}{dt} \\ & \geq rx - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) hx + \frac{1}{k_{max}} hx^2 \\ & \geq rx - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{a} h^2 + \frac{1}{k_{max}} hx^2 \\ & = rx - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{a} \left( \int_0^t x(s) ds \right)^2 + \frac{1}{k_{max}} hx^2 \\ & = rx - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{T^2}{a} (x(t - \tau))^2 + \frac{1}{k_{max}} ax^3 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{k_{max}} ax^3 - \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{T^2}{a} (x(t - \tau))^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

Now, if we choose initial data s.t.

$$x_0 > k_{max} \left( \frac{r}{ak_{min}} + 1 \right) \frac{T^2}{a^2} \quad (2.34)$$

Then a direct application of Theorem 2.1 yields the finite time blow-up of  $x$ .  $\square$

### 2.3. An Alternate Model

We next propose an alternate model, taking our cue from the model proposed in [1]. Here we suppose that the cumulative density at time level  $t$  depends on the cumulative population at time  $t - \tau_1$ , for suitably chosen  $\tau_1$ . The logistic term is also replaced by the classic delayed logistic term. Delayed differential equations have a

rich variety of applications in population biology models, see [24] for more details. We consider the following model,

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = ax(t - \tau_1), \quad \tau_1 > 0, \quad h(0) = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (r - h)x \left( 1 - \frac{x(t - \tau_2)}{k} \right), \quad \tau_2 > 0. \quad (2.36)$$

subject to initial conditions

$$x(\theta) = \phi_1(\theta) > 0, \theta \in [-\tau, 0); \quad \phi_1(0) > 0, \quad (2.37)$$

## 2.4. Local Boundedness

We state the following Lemma,

**Lemma 2.2.** *Consider the delayed model (2.35)-(2.37). Given an initial condition, arbitrarily large, there exist choices of the delay parameters  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  s.t. the solutions to (2.35)-(2.37) exist for all time.*

*Proof.* Via simple comparison, we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \leq hx \frac{x(t - \tau_2)}{k} \leq Tax(t - \tau_3)x \frac{x(t - \tau_2)}{k} \quad (2.38)$$

This follows via the mean value theorem for integrals,

$$h(t) = \int_0^t ax(s - \tau_1)ds = Tax(t - \tau_3), \quad \tau_3 > \tau_1, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.39)$$

here WLOG we assume  $\tau_2 > \tau_3$ . Now we proceed via contradiction. Let us assume  $x$  is not bounded for all time and in fact, blows up at a finite time  $T^* < \infty$ . Then we can divide the inequality (2.38) by  $x$ , and integrate in the time interval  $[0, T^*]$  to obtain,

$$\ln(x(T^*)) - \ln(x(0)) = \infty \leq \frac{T^*a}{k_{min}} \int_0^{T^*} x(t - \tau_3)x(t - \tau_2)dt \leq \frac{T^*a}{k_{min}} \sup_{t \in [0, T^*]} |x(t - \tau_3)| \int_0^{T^*} x(t - \tau_2)dt < \infty. \quad (2.40)$$

This follows as we assume  $x$  only blows up at time  $t = T^*$ , and so must be bounded at all earlier times, thus

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T^*]} |x(t - \tau_3)| < \infty. \quad (2.41)$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_0^{T^*} x(t - \tau_2) ds \leq \int_0^{T^* - \tau_2} x(s) ds + \phi_1(s) \tau_2 < \infty. \quad (2.42)$$

The result follows via simple comparison.

□

**Remark 3.** *In the proof above, we require  $\tau_2 > \tau_3$  in application of the mean value theorem. If this is not achievable given the initial conditions and other parameters, blow-up may not be preventable. Also, for arbitrary initial functions  $x(\theta) = \phi_1(\theta) > 0, \theta \in [-\tau, 0); \quad \phi_1(0) > 0$ , and fixed delays  $\tau_2, \tau_1$ , blow-up may not be preventable - that is one may have a blow-up solution.*

### 3. Numerical Simulations

#### 3.1. Blow-up Dynamics of VNLM Model




Figure 5: The time series figure shows the carrying capacity and finite time blow-up in aphid population with variation in the initial population. The parameter set:  $K_{max} = 10000$ ,  $K_{min} = 1$ ,  $d = .033$ ,  $a = 0.000005$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . The aphid population blows up at: **5a)**  $x_0 = 40$ ,  $t \approx 85$  with  $x \approx 2000$ , **5b)**  $x_0 = 150$ ,  $t \approx 28$  with  $x \approx 4800$ , **5c)**  $x_0 = 500$ ,  $t \approx 23$  with  $x \approx 6800$  **5d)**  $x_0 = 1000$ ,  $t \approx 21$  with  $x \approx 7800$ .

Blow-up time with variation in “ $a$ ”		
Value of “ $a$ ”	Blow-up for initial data $x_0$	Blow-up time (days)
0.000005	105	$\approx 30$
0.00005	10	$\approx 27$
0.0005	1	$\approx 30$
0.005	9999	$\approx 1$




Figure 6: The time series figure shows the carrying capacity and finite time blow-up with variation in “ $a$ ”. The parameter set:  $K_{max} = 10000$ ,  $K_{min} = 1$ ,  $d = .033$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . For **6a**)  $a = 0.000005$ ,  $x_0 = 105$  and population blows up at  $t \approx 30$ , **6b**)  $a = 0.00005$ ,  $x_0 = 10$  and population blows up at  $t \approx 27$ , **6c**)  $a = 0.0005$ ,  $x_0 = 1$  and population blows up at  $t \approx 30$  **6d**)  $a = 0.005$ ,  $x_0 = 9999$  and population blows up at  $t \approx 1$ .

### 3.2. Dynamics of the delay model




Figure 7: The time series figure shows the carrying capacity and prevention of finite time blow-up with variation in “ $a$ ”. The parameter set:  $K_{max} = 10000$ ,  $K_{min} = 1$ ,  $d = .033$ ,  $r = 0.3$ . The initial population is taken high, i.e.,  $x_0 = 20,000$ . Different delay parameters  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  and parameter  $a$  has been used. The parameters used are **7a**)  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (2, 2)$  and  $a = 0.005$ , **7b**)  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (7, 7)$  and  $a = 0.0005$ , **7c**)  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (60, 60)$  and  $a = 0.00005$  and **7d**)  $(\tau_1, \tau_2) = (100, 100)$  and  $a = 0.000005$ .






Figure 8: The figure shows times series for different initial population  $x_0$  where parameters taken are  $K_{max} = 10000, K_{min} = 1, d = .033, r = 0.3, (\tau_1, \tau_2) = (100, 100)$  and  $a = 0.000005$ .

## 4. Discussion And Conclusion

In the current work, we see that the VNLM proposed in [1] possesses extremely rich dynamics and is capable of predicting multiple population peaks as is observed in the field with many species of aphids (see Fig. 2). However, the model also possesses finite time blow-up solutions (see Fig. 3) that have not been reported earlier in the literature, to the best of our knowledge. We also see introducing delay parameters enables us to mimic many of these multiple peak dynamics while damping the blow-up solutions (see Fig. 8).

In section 3, we analyze the dynamics of blow-up as seen in VNLM given by (2.3)-(2.4) and also analyze the prevention of blow-up by delay model defined by (2.35) - (2.36) using numerical simulations. In figure 5, a fixed parameter set is used to study the time series with different initial aphid populations ( $x_0$ ). The time series analysis shows with the increase in initial conditions for the fixed parameter set, the blow-up time for the population decreases. So, the starting population of the aphids plays an important role in determining when a blow-up will occur. In figure 6, we analyze the effect of different parameters on the blow-up dynamics of the VNLM and conclude that parameter  $a$  plays the most efficient part. The summary of the effect of parameter  $a$  is shown in table 3.1 as well. We have seen a decrease in  $a$  can change the initial population threshold for which

VNLM will have blow-up dynamics. For some values of  $a$ , a blow-up can be caused for any initial population.

The authors propose a delay model that can help prevent the blow-up scenario in the VNLM. The prevention is studied using numerical simulations of the delay model as seen in figure 7. The parameters of the table 3.1 have been kept constant, and different delay parameter values  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  have been used. It can be concluded from the numerical study that for any blow-up scenario in VNLM, there exists a set of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  for which the system remains bounded. In figure 8, it can be analyzed and seen that for different initial values, we can have a bounded solution with multiple peaks, which is the original motivation of the VNLM. Different peaks of an aphid population can be observed over a season, and the proposed delay model can be used to overcome the shortcomings of the VNLM.

As remarked by the authors in [1], the basic construction of the variable carrying capacity function  $k(t)$  is not completely resolved. Furthermore, the “trend” of food quality and quantity during a growing season may be difficult to measure. Mathematically, it remains to be resolved what forms of  $k(t)$ , could possibly prevent “exploding” solutions. One could for example, even consider  $k(t - \tau)$  for reasonable  $\tau > 0$ . Furthermore, from a purely mathematical angle, one can investigate deriving the necessary conditions for finite-time blow-up solutions in the VNLM. We see numerically that the smaller the parameter  $a$  is, the smaller the data required to produce a finite-time blow-up solution is. This is unproven by us presently and warrants further investigation.

An accurate construction of a biologically sound model, taking into account all of the nuances involved in the relevant Aphid biology, remains a primary objective for future work. To this end, we would like to explore various alternate reasons for a variable carrying capacity. These will include varying host plant suitability or weather events such as floods or drought. In particular, those that happen early in the season and so possibly dictate the carrying capacity to some extent during the season will also be explored. These and related questions are the subject of our current ongoing investigations.

## 5. Appendix

We discuss some preliminary well-established results that ensure the non-negativity of solutions and establish both local and global existence, as outlined in [8, 5],

**Lemma 4.** *Let us consider the following  $m \times m$  - ODE system: for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,*

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = f_i(X_1, \dots, X_m) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad X_i(0) = X_{i0}, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is  $C^1(0, T)$  and  $X_{i0} \in L^\infty(0, T), \forall T$ . Then there exists a  $T > 0$  and a unique classical solution of (5.1) on  $[0, T)$ . If  $T^*$  denotes the greatest of these  $T$ 's, then

$$\left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T^*), 1 \leq i \leq m} \|X_i(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} < +\infty \right] \implies [T^* = +\infty].$$

**Lemma 5.** *If the non-linearity in (5.1)  $(f_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  is quasi-positive, that is,*

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_m \geq 0, \quad f_i(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, 0, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_m) \geq 0,$$

then

$$[\forall i = 1, \dots, m, X_{i0} \geq 0] \implies [\forall i = 1, \dots, m, \forall t \in [0, T^*), X_i(t) \geq 0].$$

**Lemma 6.** *Using the same notations and hypotheses as in Lemma 4, suppose moreover that  $f$  has at most polynomial growth and that there exists  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and a lower triangular invertible matrix  $P$  with non negative entries such that*

$$\forall r \in [0, +\infty)^m, \quad Pf(r) \leq \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \right] \mathbf{b}.$$

Then, for  $X_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_+^m)$ , the system (5.1) has a strong global solution.

Under these assumptions, the following local existence result is well known, see [5].

**Theorem 4.** *The system (5.1) admits a unique, classical solution  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)$  on  $[0, T_{\max}] \times \Omega$ . If  $T_{\max} < \infty$  then*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \left\{ \|X_1(t, \cdot)\|_\infty + \|X_2(t, \cdot)\|_\infty \dots + \|X_m(t, \cdot)\|_\infty \right\} = \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $T_{\max}$  denotes the eventual blow-up time in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

## References

- [1] Kindlmann, P., Jarošík, V., & Dixon, A. F. (2007). 12 Population Dynamics. Aphids as crop pests, 311.
- [2] B.E. Tabashnik, T. Brevault, Y. Carriere Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres. Nat Biotechnol, 31 (2013), pp. 510-521
- [3] Banerjee, A., Valmorbidia, I., O’Neal, M. E., & Parshad, R. (2022). Exploring the dynamics of virulent and avirulent aphids: A case for a ‘within plant’ refuge. Journal of economic entomology, 115(1), 279-288.
- [4] O’Neal, M. E., Varenhorst, A. J., & Kaiser, M. C. (2018). Rapid evolution to host plant resistance by an invasive herbivore: soybean aphid (*Aphis glycines*) virulence in North America to aphid resistant cultivars. Current opinion in insect science, 26, 1-7.
- [5] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1984.
- [6] Parshad, R. D., Quansah, E., Black, K., & Beauregard, M. (2016). Biological control via “ecological” damping: an approach that attenuates non-target effects. Mathematical biosciences, 273, 23-44.

- [7] Takyi, E. M., Beaugard, M. A., Griffin, T., Bobo, L., & Parshad, R. D. (2022). On large and small data blow-up solutions in the trojan Y chromosome model. *Axioms*, 11(3), 120.
- [8] Pierre, M. (2010). Global existence in reaction-diffusion systems with control of mass: a survey. *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, 78, 417-455.
- [9] AFG, DIXON. "Population dynamics and abundance of deciduous tree-dwelling aphids." *Population dynamics of forest insects* (1990): 11-23.
- [10] D.W. Crowder, Y. Carrière. Comparing the refuge strategy for managing the evolution of insect resistance under different reproductive strategies. *Theor Biol*, 261 (2009), pp. 423-430
- [11] D. Ragsdale, B.P. McCornack, R.C. Venette, B.D. Potter, I.V. MacRae, E.W. Hodgson, M.E. O'Neal, K.D. Johnson, R.J. O'Neil, C.D. DiFonzo, et al. Economic threshold for soybean aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *J Econ Entomol*, 100 (2007), pp. 1258-1267
- [12] Costamagna, A.C. and Landis, D.A., 2006. Predators exert top-down control of soybean aphid across a gradient of agricultural management systems. *Ecological Applications*, 16(4), pp.1619-1628.
- [13] DeAngelis, D. L., Zhang, B., Ni, W. M., & Wang, Y. (2020). Carrying capacity of a population diffusing in a heterogeneous environment. *Mathematics*, 8(1), 49.
- [14] Costamagna, A.C., Landis, D.A. and Difonzo, C.D., 2007. Suppression of soybean aphid by generalist predators results in a trophic cascade in soybeans. *Ecological Applications*, 17(2), pp.441-451.
- [15] Dean, A.N., Niemi, J.B., Tyndall, J.C., Hodgson, E.W. and O'Neal, M.E., 2020. Developing a decision-making framework for insect pest management: a case study using *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Pest Management Science*.
- [16] Kindlmann P., Dixon A.F. (2010) Modelling Population Dynamics of Aphids and Their Natural Enemies. In: Kindlmann P., Dixon A., Michaud J. (eds) *Aphid Biodiversity under Environmental Change*. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8601-3\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-8601-3_1)

- [17] Ragsdale, D.W., Voegtlin, D.J. and O'Neil, R.J., 2004. Soybean aphid biology in North America. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 97(2), pp.204-208.
- [18] Ragsdale, D.W., Landis, D.A., Brodeur, J., Heimpel, G.E. and Desneux, N., 2011. Ecology and management of the soybean aphid in North America. *Annual review of entomology*, 56, pp.375-399.
- [19] Tilmon, K.J., Hodgson, E.W., O'Neal, M.E. and Ragsdale, D.W., 2011. Biology of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in the United States. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*, 2(2), pp. A1-A7.
- [20] Catangui, M. A., Beckendorf, E. A., & Riedell, W. E. (2009). Soybean aphid population dynamics, soybean yield loss, and development of stage-specific economic injury levels. *Agronomy journal*, 101(5), 1080-1092.
- [21] Kot, M. (2001). *Elements of mathematical ecology*. Cambridge University Press.
- [22] Kennedy, J. S., Ibbotson, A., & Booth, C. O. (1950). The distribution of aphid infestation in relation to leaf age: *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.) and *Aphis fabae* Scop. on spindle trees and sugar-beet plants. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 37(4), 651-679.
- [23] Ezzinbi, K., & Jazar, M. (2006). Blow-up results for some nonlinear delay differential equations. *Positivity*, 10(2), 329-341.
- [24] Kuang, Y. (Ed.). (1993). *Delay differential equations: with applications in population dynamics*. Academic press.