

Numerical modeling of hydrogel scaffold anisotropy during extrusion-based 3D printing for tissue engineering

V.T. MAI^{a,b}, R. CHATELIN^c, E.-J. COURTIAL^d, C. BOULOCHER^e, R. RIEGER^{a,b}

a. Université de Lyon, VetAgro Sup, UPSP ICE 2021.A104, France

b. Université de Lyon, École Centrale de Lyon, France

c. Université de Lyon, École Centrale de Lyon–ENISE, LTDS UMR CNRS 5513, France

d. 3d.FAB, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, INSA, CPE-Lyon, ICBMS, Villeurbanne Cedex, France

e. UniLaSalle Polytechnic Institute, Veterinary College, Campus of Rouen, France

Corresponding author: romain.rieger@ec-lyon.fr (R. Rieger)

Authors:

van.mai@vetagro-sup.fr (V. T. Mai)

robin.chatelin@enise.fr (R. Chatelin)

edwin.courtial@univ-lyon1.fr (E.-J. Courtial)

caroline.boulocher@unilasalle.fr (C. Boulocher)

romain.rieger@ec-lyon.fr (R. Rieger)

Abstract

Extrusion-based 3D printing is a recent and widely used tissue engineering tool that provides precise 3D control of bioinks to create organ-size biomaterial-based objects composed of hierarchically organized cellularized scaffolds. The internal organization of scaffold constituents should mimic the structural anisotropy of targeted tissue to stimulate proliferative cellular behavior during 3D cell culture. Both the choice of polymers constituting the bioink and the topological properties during the extrusion process greatly influence the structural anisotropy and cellular response of tissue engineering constructs. The bioink used in our study was a hydrogel made of three constituents: fibrinogen, alginate and gelatin. These components provide biocompatibility,

printability and conservation of 3D shape after printing. The topological properties in flowing polymers are dictated by macromolecule conformation *i.e.* their orientation and degree of stretch. In this study, we used the micro-macro approach to describe the orientation state of hydrogel macromolecules during the extrusion process, offering a two-scale description of fluid behavior. The goal of our study was to use the Fokker-Planck equation, which describes the evolution of probability distribution function (PDF) over time, to represent the real state of the constituent population (polymer chain) in the representative elementary volume (REV) within a hydrogel during extrusion-based 3D printing. Our data suggest that for a tubular nozzle syringe, constituent orientation is driven by a high shear rate, which overcomes the fluid rheological behavior. Also, the interaction coefficient C_i , which represents the microscopic interaction between fluid particles overcomes hydrogel behavior for constituent orientation in the prediction model. These results should be confirmed by experimental data from X-ray synchrotron analysis to quantify the constituent orientation by Herman's factor calculation and validate the numerical model prediction.

Keywords: Fokker-Planck equation; micro-macro approach; interaction coefficient; Hershel-Bulkley behavior; 3D printing

1. Introduction

Tissue engineering (TE) is a set of techniques inspired by the principles of engineering and life sciences, which is used to develop biological substitutes capable of restoring or maintaining the function of specific tissues [1]. The objective of TE is to recreate patient-specific organs or tissues on demand to restore physiological functions. Engineered tissue can also be used to test new drugs or cosmetics without resorting to animal testing. Extrusion-based 3D printing is a recent and widely used TE tool that provides precise 3D control of bioinks in order to create organ-size biomaterial-based objects [2,3]. With this technology, bioinks such as hydrogels can be used to create hierarchically organized cellularized scaffolds *in vitro*. Driving forces such as compressed air or a mechanical screw extrude cellularized or acellularized hydrogel filaments, layer-by-layer, from a cartridge through a nozzle or needle [4]. Extrusion-based 3D printing is the most commonly

employed technique in bioprinting due to its great potential and compatibility with different cell types and densities, and large range of viscosity, from 3×10^{-2} to 6×10^4 Pa.s [5–7].

The rheological behavior of hydrogels prior to extrusion and the printing conditions (extrusion parameters, nozzle dimensions) have a significant impact on printability *i.e.* extrudability and shape fidelity of the scaffold [8,9]. Once the hydrogel has been printed, crosslinking mechanisms are activated to fix the shape of the scaffold [4,5], for example, via pH, photochemical reactions, enzymatic cross-linking or temperature. Cellularized scaffolds are then grown in a bioreactor to achieve TE construct maturation by promoting cell-extracellular matrix interaction and activation of mechano-sensitive molecular pathways in cells. During this phase, scaffold mechanical properties and topological features, especially the orientation of scaffold constituents, play a crucial role in the stimulation of different cellular behaviors, such as migration, proliferation, differentiation and extracellular matrix synthesis [10–12]. Furthermore, the orientation of scaffold constituents affects cellular morphology [13–15]. Therefore, internal organization of scaffold constituents to mimic the structural anisotropy of targeted tissue and stimulate proliferative cellular behavior is of primary concern during 3D cell culture for TE [16,17]. Both the choice of polymers constituting the hydrogel and the topological properties during the extrusion process greatly influence the cellular response of TE constructs [8,18]. The hydrogel used in our study was made of three constituents: fibrinogen, alginate and gelatin (FAG). These components provide biocompatibility (an environment favorable to cell survival), printability (appropriate extrusion quality) and conservation of the 3D shape after printing [19–21].) The topological properties in flowing polymers are dictated by macromolecule conformation *i.e.* their orientation and degree of stretch.

In this study, we used the micro-macro approach to describe the orientation state of hydrogel macromolecules during the extrusion process, offering a two-scale description of fluid behavior. The goal of our study was to use the Fokker-Planck equation, which describes the evolution of probability distribution function (PDF) over time, to represent the real state of the constituent population (polymer chain) in the representative elementary volume (REV) within a hydrogel during extrusion-based 3D printing. This is similar to previous work modeling the fiber-reinforced composite injection molding process [22,23].

To the authors' knowledge, this is the first published report of micro-macro modeling using the PDF approach to describe the orientation state of hydrogel constituents during extrusion-based 3D printing. This method enables one-way coupled analysis of fluid flow and constituent orientation. Prediction of the orientation of hydrogel constituents during the extrusion process could give new insights into the structural anisotropy of scaffolds, allowing tissue engineers to achieve convenient cellular behavior and specific mechanical properties.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Rheological characterization of the hydrogel formulation

The bioink used in this study was a hydrogel made of three main components: 2% (w/v) fibrinogen, 4% (w/v) alginate and 2% (w/v) gelatin, as previously described [19]. Before rheological measurement, the hydrogel was stored for 30 min at 21°C to meet printing conditions and stabilize the rheological properties [3]. A DHR2 rotational rheometer (TA instruments, Texas, USA) with a concentric cylinder geometry was used to characterize the rheological behavior of the hydrogel. The analysis was carried out in triplicate based on the shear rate scale $[0.01, 100] \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 21°C. Finally, the curves of shear stress versus shear rate were plotted showing the flow diagrams of the hydrogel. From the basic rheological data curves, a mathematical model describing hydrogel behavior and rheological parameters was determined by a numerical least square minimization using a Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear regression algorithm. It is important to note that the hydrogel used for these experimental tests did not contain any cells to allow an initial investigation of fiber orientation during extrusion.

2.2 Flow modeling

Flow modeling was performed using Comsol Multiphysics (version 5.6, COMSOL, Inc.). The finite element method (FEM) was used to solve the fluid flow problem, using a classical second order finite element for the velocity and a first order element for the pressure to ensure computational compatibility. For the hydrogel used in this study, the fluid flow governing equations are given by Navier-Stokes equations [24]. As our work focused on a stationary solution, these equations are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \vec{u} &= 0 \\ \rho \nabla \vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} &= -\nabla q + \nabla \cdot [\mu(\nabla \vec{u} + \nabla \vec{u}^T)]\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

The first equation ensures the incompressibility of the fluid and the second equation guarantees momentum conservation. Hydrogel density is assumed to be constant, so the mass conservation equation is not solved. In the equations (1), \vec{u} denotes the fluid velocity, q the pressure of the fluid [Pa], and ρ and μ are the density [kg/m^3] and dynamic viscosity [Pa.s] of the hydrogel, respectively.

The associated Reynolds number (Re) was calculated to characterize the flow regime as follows:

$$Re = \frac{\rho U l}{\mu}\tag{2}$$

where U is the average velocity [m/s] and l is the characteristic length [m].

2.3 Orientation of hydrogel constituents

The numerical simulation presented in this article investigates constituent orientation in a hydrogel (a polymeric fluid) during bioprinting. Therefore, the modeling includes both fluid and constituents. The rigid dumbbell model is the simplest model for representing the configuration of a polymer chain. Using this model, the orientation of a constituent can be represented by a unit vector \vec{p} aligned along the principal particle axis. The direction and coordinates of the vector \vec{p} with respect to the vertical axis (y-axis) in a 2D Cartesian system are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Definition of the orientation angle vector \vec{p} in a 2D Cartesian system

In industrial applications, it is difficult to follow the movement of each individual particle in a suspension due to the computational cost. Therefore, REV can be used to derive the averaged macroscopic quantities of many constituents. The approach used in this study employs a statistical point of view to describe constituent orientation. Accordingly, we used a PDF to develop a numerical model to predict the flow-induced constituent orientation in a REV. The PDF $\psi(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)$ defines the probability of finding at time t a constituent \vec{p} oriented according to an angle θ with respect to the vertical axis (y-axis) at a point $\vec{x}(x, y)$ defined by Eulerian coordinates in the 2D Cartesian system (see Fig. 1). Thus, the function ψ must satisfy certain physical conditions [37]. Firstly, a constituent oriented at any angle θ is indistinguishable from a constituent oriented at angle $\pi - \theta$, so ψ must be periodic leading to:

$$\psi(\vec{p}) = \psi(-\vec{p}) \quad (3)$$

Secondly, as ψ is a PDF, it must satisfy the normalization condition:

$$\int \psi(\vec{p}) d(\vec{p}) = 1 \quad (4)$$

The final condition, related to the continuity condition, describes the change of ψ with respect to time when the constituents are changing and can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x \psi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} \cdot (\psi \dot{\vec{p}}) \quad (5)$$

where \vec{u} is the fluid velocity. The constituent rate of change $\dot{\vec{p}}$ is given by the Jeffery equation [25]:

$$\dot{\vec{p}} = \mathbf{W} \cdot \vec{p} + \lambda [\mathbf{D} \cdot \vec{p} - \mathbf{D} : (\vec{p} \otimes \vec{p}) \vec{p}] \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \vec{u}) - (\nabla \vec{u})^T]$ is the vorticity tensor and $\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla \vec{u}) + (\nabla \vec{u})^T]$ is the deformation rate tensor. The coefficient $\lambda = (L^2 - D^2)/(L^2 + D^2)$ is a scalar particle shape depending on the aspect ratio of the constituent with length L and diameter D . The Folgar and Tucker diffusion term $D_r \nabla_p^2 \psi$ is then introduced into the Jeffery equation (6) in order to simulate particle interactions in the semi-concentrated or concentrated regime [26]. The continuity equation (5) finally becomes:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x \psi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} \cdot (\psi \dot{\vec{p}}) + D_r \nabla_p^2 \psi \quad (7)$$

where ∇_p^2 refers to $\partial^2 / \partial \vec{p}^2$, $D_r = C_I |\dot{\gamma}|$, with $|\dot{\gamma}| = \sqrt{2\mathbf{D} : \mathbf{D}}$ denoting the scalar magnitude of the deformation rate tensor and C_I the interaction coefficient. Equation (7), well known as the Fokker-Planck equation, is the most general, complete and unambiguous description of the constituent orientation evolution. The numerical strategy to solve the Fokker-Planck equation is detailed in the next section to estimate a numerical prediction of flow-induced constituent orientation.

2.4 Numerical strategy of the micro-macro approach

In this section, a numerical approach is generalized to compute the evolution of constituent orientation governed by the Fokker-Planck equation. Our study was restricted to a steady flow in 2D, therefore the equation (7) can be rewritten as:

$$\vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x \psi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} \cdot (\psi \dot{\vec{p}}) + D_r \nabla_p^2 \psi \quad (8)$$




Figure 2. Schematic diagram representing the procedure for the numerical resolution of the Fokker-Planck equation. (A) The 2D fluid flow governed by the Navier-Stokes equations is solved using a finite element discretization in the spatial domain. It is coupled to (B), a finite volume discretization in the configuration domain. P , E and W represent the central, east and west nodes of the finite volume system, respectively. e and w indicate east and west edges of the control volume, respectively.

Figure 2 depicts a schematic diagram in which the Fokker-Planck equation will be solved in order to determine the PDF (ψ) at each point on the flow grid considered as the constituent position $\vec{x}(x, y)$ in Cartesian coordinates defining the spatial domain, and the angle θ between the constituent axis and the y -axis defining the configuration domain. Consequently, the difficulty in solving the Fokker-Planck equation lies in the fact that it must be concurrently solved in the spatial domain for the fluid flow and the configuration domain for the orientation. Although there are only two space variables, it is still a three-dimensional problem because there is an extra angle variable as presented in Figure 2.

Therefore, we used Comsol Multiphysics (v5.6) to implement a dedicated numerical strategy with two discretization steps [27–29]. The first discretization uses FEM as depicted in Figure 2A to solve the fluid problem. FEM results then provide the velocity gradient at each point of the grid, which is used in the Fokker-Planck equation to evaluate the constituent orientation. (ii) The second

discretization uses the Finite Volume Method (FVM) as shown in Figure 2B to solve the Fokker-Planck equation (8) for PDF. In 2D, all possible orientations defined by the angle θ describe a half circle of unit radius, as shown in Figure 2B. At this point, the general central node is identified by \vec{p} in the control volume and its neighbors W and E (west and east, respectively) in a one-dimensional geometry. The key step of this Finite Volume discretization is integration of the Fokker-Planck equation over this control volume to establish a system of linear algebraic equation, which yields the following form:

$$\delta\theta \cdot \vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_P + a_P \psi_P - a_W \psi_W - a_E \psi_E = 0 \quad (9)$$

To evaluate the Fokker-Planck equation at the control volume edges, an upwind differencing and power law scheme was employed to ensure the transportiveness and conservation volume of the PDF for the whole solution domain [30]. Therefore, the coefficients a_P, a_W, a_E were determined from these approaches and are presented in Appendix A. $\delta\theta$ represents the control volume width. In this study, half of a circle $[0, \pi]$ was divided into 30 nodes corresponding to 30 variables for the PDF, thus $\delta\theta = \pi/30$. At this stage, a system of 30 analytic equations of equation (9) was implemented into the partial differential equations interface of Comsol Multiphysics as a convection-diffusion problem. This strategy enabled the resolution of the configuration domain as a FVM discretized system of equations coupled to the resolution of the spatial domain as a FEM discretized fluid problem.

In this study, the following assumptions were made. First, constituents were considered to be rigid particles, uniform in length and diameter. Second, the constituent aspect ratio was set to $\lambda = 1$ to avoid numerical difficulties associated with the tumbling effect in a flow. Also, setting $\lambda = 1$ allowed us to obtain an equation for the motion of small, rigid dumbbell-like particles, such as hydrogel constituents [31–33]. The interaction coefficient C_i in Folgar and Tucker’s term was first fixed to $C_i = 0.01$ to validate the model, then a parametric study on C_i was performed in the range of $C_i \in [0.0033; 0.1]$ to study its effect on the constituent orientation prediction model [26].

The PDF results indicate the probability of constituent orientation at every grid point of the flow. Thus, constituent orientation can be represented by orientation ellipses calculated directly from the PDF functions obtained after simulation through the so-called second order orientation tensor \mathbf{A} as:

$$\mathbf{A} = \int_p \vec{p} \vec{p} \psi d\vec{p} \quad (10)$$

The eigenvectors calculated from the second order orientation tensor \mathbf{A} give the length and direction of the two major axes of the ellipse, and the eigenvalues give the alignment magnitude of constituent orientation along these directions. Therefore, an orientation ellipse can be generated as a graphical representation of the average constituent orientation at each point in the grid. All the post-processing steps were performed using Comsol LiveLink for MATLAB (v5.6 and R2018a).

2.5 Computational geometries and boundary conditions

This work focused on the constituent orientation in a 2D flow. The first geometry considered was a planar channel as an academic test-case to investigate both simulation performances and the qualitative effect of the parameters. The study of constituents in a channel domain was used to validate the model. Then, as a practical application, we performed an extension through more complex geometry as a 2D model of a syringe with a tubular nozzle dedicated to 3D bioprinting.

The geometries and boundary conditions used are shown in Figure 3A for a test case planar channel and Figure 3B for a tubular nozzle syringe. The planar channel consisted of a 4-mm-wide and 2-mm-high rectangle. The boundary conditions (BC) were as follows: the inlet **BC1** at $x = 0$ mm was set as $380Pa$ pressure imposed, the outlet **BC2** at $x = 4$ mm was set to $0Pa$. The syringe was a tubular nozzle type, 30 mm in length, with an inner diameter of $200 \mu\text{m}$ (Optimum®, Nordson EFD, USA). The boundary conditions were as follows: a constant flow rate of $5 \mu\text{l/s}$ was applied at the inlet **BC1'** in order to generate the extrusion process, as this value is compatible with bioprinting conditions in many applications [3]. At the nozzle exit **BC2'**, a standard atmospheric pressure was imposed as a real extrusion condition set to $1 \text{ atm} = 1.01325 \text{ bar}$. For both geometries, a no-slip wall condition **BC3** and **BC3'** was applied on the top meaning $\vec{u} = 0$ along the wall.

Axial symmetry can be used to reduce computational cost and keep physical accuracy so that only half of the domain is considered. Therefore, **BC4** and **BC4'** are symmetry conditions defined as $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ where \vec{n} is the surface normal vector. Both geometries are discretized by using the default mesh which consists of 3323 triangles for the channel and 19989 triangles for the syringe. Finally,

for the Fokker-Planck equation, the constituents are assumed to be isotropic leading boundary conditions **BC1** and **BC1'** for the channel and the syringe set to $\psi = 1/\pi$, respectively.




Figure 3. 2D geometries and boundary conditions: (A) Test case planar channel - **BC1**: $P_{in} = 380 \text{ Pa}$ and $\psi = 1/\pi$; **BC2**: $P_{out} = 1.01325 \text{ Pa}$; **BC3**: no-slip; **BC4**: symmetry condition. (B) Tubular nozzle syringe - **BC1'**: $V_{in} = 5 \mu\text{l/s}$ and $\psi = 1/\pi$; **BC2'**: $P_{out} = 1.01325 \text{ Pa}$; **BC3'**: zero-slip; **BC4'**: symmetry condition.

We considered both Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley hydrogel rheological behaviors. We used the Herschel-Bulkley fluid values of a typical hydrogel with a density $\rho = 1035 [\text{kg}/\text{m}^3]$ and determined the other parameters of the model from the rheological measurement presented in the next section. The incompressible Newtonian fluid was employed with a density of $850 [\text{kg}/\text{m}^3]$ and a dynamic viscosity of $0.8 [\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}]$ for the channel and $10 [\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}]$ for the syringe. These parameters were adjusted for the Herschel-Bulkley fluid behavior for the constituent orientation analysis within a similar field velocity in order to get fair comparisons between Newtonian and non-Newtonian computations.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Rheological characterization

The rheological diagram of the FAG ink is shown in Figure 4.

The shape of the experimental data indicates that the rheological behavior of the FAG can be modeled by the Herschel Bulkley law, which considers both the yield stress and shear thinning. The rheological equation of this model is given by the following relation:

$$\tau = \tau_0 + k\dot{\gamma}^n \quad (11)$$

where τ is the shear stress [Pa], τ_0 the static yield stress [Pa] k the consistency index [Pa.s], $\dot{\gamma}$ the shear rate [s] and n the flow behavior index [-]. A home-made MATLAB program using the Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear regression algorithm enabled us to obtain the Herschel-Bulkley model parameters: $\tau_0 = 113.96$ [Pa], $k = 195.36$ [Pa.s] and $n = 0.43$.




Figure 4. Rheological diagram of the FAG hydrogel. Experimental data are represented by open circles and numerical fitting with the Herschel Bulkley model is represented by the solid blue line.

Figure 4 illustrates the good numerical fit of the Herschel Bulkley model with experimental data. The results show a constant non-zero shear function $\tau(\dot{\gamma})$ at the origin ($\dot{\gamma} = 0$), indicating the static yield stress τ_0 as the limit of the linear viscoelastic range at which the hydrogel starts to flow. Below this value, the material retains a solid-like behavior that opposes the flow. The model allows prediction of the shear stress required to initiate extrusion of the hydrogel. When the shear stress increases above the yield stress, the material rapidly breaks down and the hydrogel behaves as a liquid. Also, with its shear thinning behavior, the material is liquefied due to the increasing shear

rate when passing through a smaller section. Therefore, the Herschel-Bulkley behavior, which takes into account the yield stress and shear thinning phenomenon, is the most used rheological behavior to assess printability in 3D printing processes [4,34].

3.2 Numerical strategy of the micro-macro approach

Several approaches have been used to model the orientation state of macromolecules [35]. The first uses atomistic flow simulations, which is currently restricted to very coarse models of polymers and molecular size flow geometries. The second uses the kinetic theory to describe the evolution of a coarse-grained model of the polymer macromolecule on a microscopic scale [36–38]. In combination with macroscopic conservation laws, this computational rheology procedure constitutes the so-called micro-macro approach. The third approach is the macroscopic approach using continuum mechanics [39,40].

When dealing with anisotropic flowing polymers, the micro-macro approach involves an averaging procedure because it is not possible to follow every macromolecule orientation. There are two different methods of micro-macro description [22]. The first is spatial averaging or homogenization, enabling the derivation of macroscopic quantities as soon as the microscopic orientation is specified. The second is statistical averaging as the orientation cannot be precisely described. This method enables researchers to extract averaged macroscopic quantities from a statistical description of the orientation. The simplest description of macromolecule orientation using kinetic theory is the dumbbell model, which considers two beads connected by a rod (bead-rod model) or a spring (bead-spring model), and can behave as linear (Hookean model) or non-linear (Finitely Extensible Non-linear Elastic (FENE) model) [36,38,41]. Bead-rod models can accurately describe the rheological behavior of dilute polymer solutions [42,43]. Macromolecules comprising biological structures, such as polypeptides, fibrous proteins (*e.g.* keratin, fibrinogen, collagen and actin), DNA and some viruses (such as tobacco mosaic virus), can be considered to be rigid rods [44,45].

3.2.1 Flow modeling

For targeted bioprinting applications, the Reynolds number computation leads to: $Re = 0.51$ for Newtonian fluid and $Re = 2.54 \times 10^{-3}$ for Herschel-Bulkley fluid in a test case channel geometry; $Re = 4.08 \times 10^{-3}$ for Newtonian fluid and $Re = 2.12 \times 10^{-4}$ for Herschel-Bulkley

fluid in a tubular nozzle syringe geometry (see section 2.5 for a description of geometries). These results show that the Re numbers are compatible with a viscous fluid with a laminar flow.

3.2.2 Orientation of hydrogel constituents

The motion of a single particle in a dilute solution was first described by Jeffery *et al.* [25], who assumed the particle to be a rigid ellipsoid immersed in a viscous Newtonian fluid. However, this prediction model is valid only for dilute suspensions and does not consider the interaction between particles, which has a significant impact on their orientation within hydrogels. Orientation tensors are another approach widely used in the literature to describe the orientation state in mechanical behavior modeling [31] because the tensor description simplifies the computation. A major drawback of orientation tensors is the requirement of the so-called closure equation to approximate the higher order tensors that occur in the orientation evolution equations. This may lead to the loss of physical description and accuracy. The Hermans coefficient can be used to calculate the average orientation of macromolecule chains in polymer solution [46]. This approach requires the choice of a reference axis, and the orientation of the macromolecule is then determined by its angle to this axis. Another method to predict the orientation of the constituents in a REV is to use the PDF as a statistical approach. One big advantage of the PDF approach is the computational accuracy and the possibility to define the relationship between initial and final orientations. Moreover, the PDF can represent polymer chain conformations at specific points [47–49].

3.3 Hydrogel constituents orientation during extrusion-based 3D printing for TE scaffolds

3.3.1 Poiseuille flow through a test case planar channel

We used a classical benchmark test—a suspension hydrogel flow passing through a planar channel [28,50,51]—as a test case to investigate the validity of the constituent orientation prediction model. The background color in Figure 5A and 5B shows the velocity profile in the xy-plane domain for both Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behaviors. For the Newtonian behavior, a fully developed Poiseuille flow was observed for which the parabolic velocity gradient increased linearly from the

axisymmetric axis ($y = 0$) to the wall ($y = 2$) where a no-slip boundary condition (BC2) was defined. For the Herschel-Bulkley behavior, the classical plug velocity profile was obtained.




Figure 5. Velocity magnitude and shear rate distribution in the computational domain for the test case with interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$. The velocity profile is shown by white arrows, the average constituent orientations are represented by the black and magenta ellipses. (A) and (C) Newtonian behavior; (B) and (D) Hershel-Bulkley behavior.

The shear rate distribution is shown in Figure 5C and 5D, with the ellipses in the foreground representing the average constituent orientations. The numerical results shown in Figure 5C and 5D highlight the preferred orientation of constituents at the center of each ellipse in the computational domain. Circle-like ellipses illustrate isotropic constituent orientation, which corresponds to the BC1 on the left-hand side of the model applied to the Fokker-Planck equation such that $\psi = 1/\pi$. Ellipses are then flattened and elongated, showing a preferential alignment indicated by their major axis orientation. They gradually align along the channel exit (x-axis direction) toward the flow direction but not completely, as illustrated in Figure 6 by the peak at $\theta \approx 1.7$. The anisotropy is such that $\theta \neq \pi/2$ due to the interaction between the constituents modeled by the interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$, which is non zero. Furthermore, along the y-axis, the constituents reach a steady state orientation more quickly along the x-axis direction near

the wall ($y = 2$) than near the axisymmetric axis ($y = 0$), where the steady state is reached further downstream.




Figure 6. PDF curves for the test case with interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$ showing constituent orientation at three points (blue rhombus, black circle and red star) in Figure 5. (A) Newtonian behavior; (B) Herschel-Bulkley behavior; (C) and (D) PDF curves compared between Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behavior at blue rhombus and red star points, respectively.

We then analyzed the influence of the shear rate on the PDF distribution. Figure 6A and 6B illustrate the PDF distribution from higher to lower shear rate (the blue rhombus and black circle points on Figure 5C and 5D show higher shear rates and the red star points indicate a lower shear rate) for the Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behavior, respectively.

For the Newtonian behavior (Figure 6A), the three PDF curves coincide, showing that $\dot{\gamma}$ has no effect on the final orientation. For the Herschel-Bulkley behavior (Figure 6B), the curves coincide for the two points of higher shear rate (rhombus and circle). However, at the point of lower shear rate (red star), the degree of orientation reduces slightly for $\theta \approx 1.7$, while the general trend is conserved, showing that only shear rates below $10^{-4} s^{-1}$ induce a slight decrease in anisotropy.

Figure 6C and 6D show a direct comparison between the two behaviors, displaying the PDF distribution at the blue rhombus and red star points, respectively, where the shear rate gradient is highest. Figure 6C shows that the constituent orientation is not affected by the fluid properties at a high shear rate. Furthermore, a privileged orientation along the flow direction $\theta \approx 1.7$ was found for both Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behavior, with the same PDF mean value $\bar{\psi} = 1.66 \pm 1.31$ rad. In comparison, Figure 6D shows a slightly better alignment along the flow direction $\theta \approx 1.7$ for the Newtonian behavior at a low shear rate $\dot{\gamma}_{Ntn} = 25.90 s^{-1}$, with a PDF mean value of $\bar{\psi}_{Ntn} = 1.66 \pm 1.30$ rad, compared with the Herschel-Bulkley behavior at a higher shear rate $\dot{\gamma}_{HB} = 10^{-4} s^{-1}$ with $\bar{\psi}_{HB} = 1.67 \pm 1.45$ rad. Again, those results show that only shear rates below $10^{-4} s^{-1}$ induce a slight decrease in anisotropy.




Figure 7. Parametric study of the interaction coefficient C_i for the test case at the red star point. The study was performed for both rheologies. This figure shows the constituent PDF distribution at the red star point displayed in Figure 5. The PDF is computed with $C_i \in [0.001; 0.1]$ for the (A) Newtonian fluid and (B) Herschel Bulkley fluid. Comparison of PDF curves between Newtonian and Herschel Bulkley fluids with (C): $C_i = 0.0033$ and (D): $C_i = 0.0063$. These results are consistent with Folgar and Tucker's work [26].

In our model, the interaction between constituents can be expressed by the interaction coefficient C_i in the Folgar and Tucker diffusion term equation (5), allowing us to take into account the interaction between the constituents in a non-dilute regime, such as in the viscous hydrogels used in this study. Folgar and Tucker [26] showed that the constituent orientation tends to be randomized with an increased C_i value. Our results are consistent with those of Folgar and Tucker,

as illustrated by the orientation of the ellipses plotted in Figure 5, which do not align perfectly along streamlines for a fixed value of $C_i = 0.01$. Therefore, we performed a parametric study of C_i to assess its influence on the PDF distribution. Figure 7A and Figure 7B show that, with an increasing interaction coefficient C_i , the PDF distribution curve becomes wider and more dispersed, leading to a less pronounced anisotropy of constituent orientation for both rheological behaviors (Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley). The constituent orientation θ goes from $\approx \pi/2$ to $3\pi/4$ when C_i increases from 0.001 to 0.1. Notably, for small C_i values, the constituents do not perfectly align with the flow direction ($\theta \neq \pi/2$) due to interaction between the constituents that cannot be totally canceled in the model due to the absence of convergence for a zero coefficient value.

To investigate the influence of the hydrogel behavior, a comparison was performed at the star point with the same given coefficient $C_i = 0.0033$ and $C_i = 0.0063$ as shown in Figure 5C and 5D, respectively. The results show that the Newtonian behavior induces a better alignment of constituents than the Herschel-Bulkley behavior. Indeed the Newtonian PDF is higher so there is less dispersion around the mean value. Specifically, as illustrated in Figure 7C with $C_i = 0.0033$, the mean PDF value is $\bar{\psi}_{Ntn} = 1.64 \pm 1.09$ and $\bar{\psi}_{HB} = 1.65 \pm 1.27$; and in Figure 7D, with $C_i = 0.0063$, the mean PDF value is $\bar{\psi}_{Ntn} = 1.65 \pm 1.21$ and $\bar{\psi}_{HB} = 1.66 \pm 1.37$ for the Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behaviors, respectively.

3.3.2 Ink flow through a tubular nozzle syringe




Figure 8. Shear rate distribution of fluid in a tubular nozzle syringe with interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$. The average constituent orientation is represented by the ellipses. (A) Newtonian behavior; (B) Herschel-Bulkley behavior. (A3) and (B3) show, in higher magnification, the rate at the constriction of the syringe. The red arrow lines indicate the streamlines of velocity.

To predict the constituent orientation in a printed scaffold, we needed to simulate an experimental-like extrusion-based 3D bioprinting process. Therefore, we tested the numerical model on a tubular nozzle syringe geometry for the two hydrogel behaviors with the extrusion parameters used in 3D bioprinting processes [3] to prevent cell death, as detailed in section 2.5.

The numerical results are shown in Figure 8, with the background color corresponding to the shear rate distribution and the ellipses in the foreground representing average constituent orientations for both Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley rheological behaviors. Once again, the circle-like ellipses at the inlet illustrate the isotropic constituent orientation applied to the Fokker-Planck equation which corresponds to the BC1' on the left-hand side of the model applied to the Fokker-Planck equation such that $\psi = 1/\pi$. As shown in Figure 8A2 and Figure 8B2, the ellipses are then flattened and elongated, showing a preferential alignment indicated by their major axis orientation. On the left-hand side, constituents incompletely align toward the flow direction. The anisotropy is such that $\theta \neq \pi/2$ due to the interaction between the constituents modeled by the interaction

coefficient $C_i = 0.01$, which is non-null for the test case, as discussed in the previous section. This experimental-like simulation appeared to reach steady state orientation in the A2 zone more quickly than in the test case, but this only due to the length of the model. The planar channel was 4 mm long, compared with the A2 zone of the syringe, which was 100 mm long.

Figure 8A3 and 8B3 show that in the vicinity of the syringe constriction, constituents are further deviated from the streamlines depicted by the red arrow lines, compared with the left-hand side. The venturi phenomenon in this area causes a small vortex, which affects the constituent orientation leading to a deviation from the streamlines [52].

Near the convergence zone (right-hand side of A2 and B2 zones), constituents are oriented along the direction of stretch because they are close to the centerline and are subjected to elongational flow. Constituent orientation near the syringe exit is shown in the A1 and B1 zones. We observed a privileged orientation for both rheological behaviors, with constituents aligning at $\theta \approx 1.7$, as illustrated in Figure 9 by the peak at $\theta \approx 1.7$. The anisotropy is such that $\theta \neq \pi/2$ due to the interaction between the constituents modeled by the interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$, which is non-zero, as discussed in the previous section, and will be presented in the parametric study paragraph.

PDF curves at syringe exit




Figure 9. PDF curves for the tubular nozzle syringe case with interaction coefficient $C_i = 0.01$. Constituent orientation at three points: blue rhombus, black circle and red star in the syringe as displayed in Figure 8. (A) Newtonian fluid; (B) Herschel-Bulkley fluid. (C) and (D) PDF curves compared between Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behavior at blue rhombus and red star points, respectively.

PDF comparison curves at syringe exits are plotted in Figure 9. In contrast with the test case (Figure 6), we observed identical constituent orientation between Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behaviors (Figure 9A and 9B). Also, at the blue rhombus point where the shear rate is the highest, the constituents were slightly better aligned toward the flow direction, in comparison with the constituents submitted to a lower shear rate (blue rhombus vs. black circle vs. red star), due to $C_i = 0.01$ leading $\theta \neq \pi/2$. The differences between the PDF values were negligible but it is

worth noting that they were in the same order of magnitude as those observed in Figure 6. However, regarding the shear rate values, there was a six order of magnitude difference in the test case ($\dot{\gamma}_{rhombus} = 744.28s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{star} = 10^{-4}s^{-1}$ as displayed in Figure 6B), whereas only a one order of magnitude difference in the syringe case ($\dot{\gamma}_{rhombus} = 2516.3s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{star} = 179.93s^{-1}$ as displayed in Figure 9B) for the Herschel Bulkley fluid. For the Newtonian fluid, one order of magnitude on the shear rate induced no difference in the test case ($\dot{\gamma}_{rhombus} = 225.47s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{star} = 25.90s^{-1}$ as displayed in Figure 6A), compared with less than a threefold difference in the syringe case ($\dot{\gamma}_{rhombus} = 1938.70s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{star} = 639.40s^{-1}$ as displayed in Figure 9A). The comparison between the Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley fluids displayed in Figure 9C and 9D shows that the hydrogel behavior has no effect on the orientation distribution when the shear rate is in the same order of magnitude ($\dot{\gamma}_{Ntn} = 1938.7s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{HB} = 2516.30s^{-1}$ and $\dot{\gamma}_{Ntn} = 639.40s^{-1}$ vs. $\dot{\gamma}_{HB} = 179.93s^{-1}$ at the blue rhombus and the red star, respectively). There is a slight difference in the maximum PDF value at the blue rhombus (Figure 9C), compared with that at the red star (Figure 9D). This can be explained by a shear rate that is lower by one order of magnitude at the red star point, compared with the blue rhombus. Nevertheless, a privileged orientation was found at the syringe exit. Most constituents aligned toward the extrusion direction at $\theta \approx 1.7$ with a mean PDF value $\bar{\psi} = 1.65 \pm 1.34$ at the blue rhombus point and $\bar{\psi} = 1.65 \pm 1.36$ at the red star point. As previously mentioned, shear rate levels were restricted to experimental-like extrusion-based 3D bioprinting process to prevent cell death.




Figure 10. Parametric study of the interaction coefficient C_i for the syringe case at the red star point following Folgar and Tucker's work displayed in Figure 8. PDF is computed with $C_i \in [0.001; 0.1]$ for the (A) Newtonian fluid; (B) Herschel Bulkley fluid. Comparison of PDF curves between Newtonian and Herschel Bulkley fluids with (C): $C_i = 0.0033$ and (D): $C_i = 0.0063$.

A parametric study of the interaction coefficient C_i was performed at the red star point (Figure 10). As expected, constituents were more likely to be aligned with small C_i values as observed in the test case. When C_i increased, the PDF distribution curves became wider and more dispersed, leading to a less pronounced anisotropy of constituent orientation for both hydrogel behaviors (Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley, shown in Figure 10A and 10B), similar to the test case results. Furthermore, with a small given coefficient $C_i = 0.0033$, although the Newtonian and Herschel-Bulkley behaviors produced the same maximum value for PDF ($\psi \approx 2.18$), there was a slight

difference in mean values $\bar{\psi}_{Ntn} = 1.62 \pm 1.15$ and $\bar{\psi}_{HB} = 1.63 \pm 1.14$, respectively (Figure 10C). In contrast, when C_i increased ($C_i = 0.0063$), the fluid properties no longer affected the final constituent orientation at the syringe exit and most of constituents aligned toward the extrusion direction with the same PDF mean value of $\bar{\psi} = 1.64 \pm 1.27$ (Figure 10D). Due to the empirical nature of the parameter C_i , there is no method to predict the C_i value. Characterization of C_i has been the subject of numerous investigations, which seek to establish its connection with physical properties of constituents. Notably, models such as Phan-Thien and Bay have been employed to relate C_i to the aspect ratio (length and diameter) of constituents [53,54]. Adjusting this factor can achieve a targeted C_i value, consequently creating the desired direction of constituents. These data show that constituent orientation is not affected by fluid properties when shear rate reaches approximately $100s^{-1}$ (Figure 9C and 9D). The interaction coefficient C_i has a strong impact on constituent orientation, which overcomes the rheological behavior as illustrated in Figure 10C and Figure 10D.

Our data show that the interaction coefficient parameter C_i plays a key role in constituent orientation and with higher C_i coefficients, more constituents are dispersed from the extrusion direction. Moreover, a non-zero interaction coefficient C_i prevents constituents from orienting along the fluid flow, meaning θ cannot be equal to $\pi/2$ since $C_i > 0$ for numerical convergence issues.

3.4 Limitations and future directions

In this study, we developed the first numerical model able to compute and predict flow-induced orientation within a hydrogel in the field of bioprinting. Therefore, the computational model was restricted to steady flow and orientation in 2D to reduce complexity. Additionally, while the interaction coefficient C_i has been demonstrated to significantly impact constituent orientation, this study focused on a fixed C_i value as a parameter in the model.

The outcomes from this study will allow us to explore three main perspectives in future work. First, an experimental analysis of X-ray synchrotron data is underway to quantify the constituent orientation by Herman's factor calculation [55,56] and validate the numerical model prediction.

Second, as an important factor influencing the orientation model, many investigations have been carried out to express the physical meaning of the interaction coefficient C_i from experimental observations. Therefore, it will be necessary to run the simulation with C_i computed from a different model to discriminate the physical properties of the hydrogel that best describe the interaction between constituents. Last, the numerical model should be extended in 3D to examine the accuracy in respect to X-ray synchrotron results.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we developed a numerical strategy to predict constituent orientation in a hydrogel during an extrusion process by directly solving coupled problems of fluid flow and constituent motion. Our benchmark results were consistent with previously published numerical observations, validating our model. Our data suggest that for the tubular nozzle syringe, constituent orientation is driven by the high shear rate, which overcomes the fluid rheological behavior. However, the interaction coefficient C_i , which represents the microscopic interaction between fluid particles [26], plays an important role in the distribution curves becoming wider and more dispersed, leading to a less pronounced anisotropy of constituent orientation. Therefore, the interaction coefficient C_i overcomes hydrogel behavior for constituent orientation in the prediction model. Moreover, our data suggests that, at the low shear rates typically found during extrusion-based 3D bioprinting, the shear rate distribution and hydrogel behavior have almost no influence on the final constituent orientation at the syringe exit. Most of the constituents were aligned toward the direction of extrusion.

Appendix: Upwind differencing and Power law schemes

The upwind differencing and power law schemes allowed us to calculate the coefficients of the discretization form of Fokker-Planck equation 9 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_p &= a_E + a_W + F_e - F_w \\
 a_E &= D_e \max \left[0, \left(1 - 0.1 \left| \frac{F_e}{D_e} \right| \right)^5 \right] + \max[-F_e, 0] \\
 a_W &= D_w \max \left[0, \left(1 - 0.1 \left| \frac{F_w}{D_w} \right| \right)^5 \right] + \max[F_w, 0]
 \end{aligned}$$

Where F_e and F_w represent the motion of a constituent at the east and west side control volume face, respectively. The F_e and F_w are determined from the Jeffery equation 6 following as:

$$F_e = (\cos\theta \quad -\sin\theta)_e \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W_{12} \\ -W_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_e \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}_e + \lambda(\cos\theta \quad -\sin\theta)_e \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{12} & -D_{11} \end{pmatrix}_e \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}_e$$

$$F_w = (\cos\theta \quad -\sin\theta)_w \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W_{12} \\ -W_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_w \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}_w + \lambda(\cos\theta \quad -\sin\theta)_w \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{12} & -D_{11} \end{pmatrix}_w \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}_w$$

and $D_e = D_w = D_r/\delta\theta$

Glossary

BC: boundary conditions

E: east

FAG: fibrinogen alginate gelatin

FEM: finite element method

FENE: finitely extensible non-linear elastic

FVM: finite volume method

HB: Hershel-Bulkley

Ntn: Newtonian

PMMA: poly(methyl methacrylate)

PLLA: poly(L-lactic acid)

PDF: probability distribution function

Re: Reynolds number

REV: representative elementary volume

TE: tissue engineering

W: west

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Van Than Mai: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Software; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

Robin Chatelin: Methodology; Software; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing,

Edwin-Joffrey Courtial: Conceptualization; Resources; Writing - review & editing.

Caroline Boulocher: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, writing - review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Romain Rieger: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project, supervision, Administration; Software; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Leah Cannon for the English language editing of this manuscript.

Funding

This research is part of a 2019 research ambition pack project of the Auvergne Rhône-Alpes Region, STIMMECAIR, led by VetAgro Sup.

ORCID

Van Than MAI: 0000-0001-8579-1670

Robin Chatelin: 0000-0001-6504-941X

Edwin-Joffrey Courtial: 0000-0002-1406-5871

Caroline Boulocher: 0000-0002-7202-420X

Romain Rieger: 0000-0003-2792-1451

References

[1] R. Langer, J. Vacanti, Tissue engineering, Science. 260 (1993) 920–926.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8493529>.

- [2] Y.E. Choonara, L.C. du Toit, P. Kumar, P.P. Kondiah, V. Pillay, 3D-printing and the effect on medical costs: a new era?, *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research*. 16 (2016) 23–32.
- [3] L. Lemarié, A. Anandan, E. Petiot, C. Marquette, E.-J. Courtial, Rheology, simulation and data analysis toward bioprinting cell viability awareness, *Bioprinting*. 21 (2021) e00119.
- [4] A. Schwab, R. Levato, M. D’Este, S. Piluso, D. Eglin, J. Malda, Printability and shape fidelity of bioinks in 3D bioprinting, *Chemical Reviews*. 120 (2020) 11028–11055.
- [5] I.T. Ozbolat, M. Hospodiuk, Current advances and future perspectives in extrusion-based bioprinting, *Biomaterials*. 76 (2016) 321–343.
- [6] C. Colosi, S.R. Shin, V. Manoharan, S. Massa, M. Costantini, A. Barbetta, M.R. Dokmeci, M. Dentini, A. Khademhosseini, Microfluidic bioprinting of heterogeneous 3D tissue constructs using low-viscosity bioink, *Advanced Materials*. 28 (2016) 677–684.
- [7] A. Faulkner-Jones, C. Fyfe, D.-J. Cornelissen, J. Gardner, J. King, A. Courtney, W. Shu, Bioprinting of human pluripotent stem cells and their directed differentiation into hepatocyte-like cells for the generation of mini-livers in 3D, *Biofabrication*. 7 (2015) 044102.
- [8] S. Bom, R. Ribeiro, H.M. Ribeiro, C. Santos, J. Marto, On the progress of hydrogel-based 3D printing: correlating rheological properties with printing behaviour, *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. (2022) 121506.
- [9] Y. He, F. Yang, H. Zhao, Q. Gao, B. Xia, J. Fu, Research on the printability of hydrogels in 3D bioprinting, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29977>.
- [10] Y. Zhang, P. Habibovic, Delivering mechanical stimulation to cells: state of the art in materials and devices design, *Advanced Materials*. (2022) 2110267.
- [11] K.M. Yamada, A.D. Doyle, J. Lu, Cell–3D matrix interactions: recent advances and opportunities, *Trends Cell Biol.* 32 (2022) 883–895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2022.03.002>.
- [12] O. Chaudhuri, J. Cooper-White, P.A. Janmey, D.J. Mooney, V.B. Shenoy, Effects of extracellular matrix viscoelasticity on cellular behaviour, *Nature*. 584 (2020) 535–546. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2612-2>.
- [13] C.A. Bashur, L.A. Dahlgren, A.S. Goldstein, Effect of fiber diameter and orientation on fibroblast morphology and proliferation on electrospun poly(d,l-lactic-co-glycolic acid) meshes, *Biomaterials*. 27 (2006) 5681–5688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.07.005>.
- [14] C.Y. Xu, R. Inai, M. Kotaki, S. Ramakrishna, Aligned biodegradable nanofibrous structure: a potential scaffold for blood vessel engineering, *Biomaterials*. 25 (2004) 877–886. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612\(03\)00593-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612(03)00593-3).

- [15] X. Li, Z. Chen, H. Zhang, Y. Zhuang, H. Shen, Y. Chen, Y. Zhao, B. Chen, Z. Xiao, J. Dai, Aligned Scaffolds with Biomolecular Gradients for Regenerative Medicine, *Polymers*. 11 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11020341>.
- [16] K. Sano, Y. Ishida, T. Aida, Synthesis of Anisotropic Hydrogels and Their Applications, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57 (2018) 2532–2543. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201708196>.
- [17] E. Prince, E. Kumacheva, Design and applications of man-made biomimetic fibrillar hydrogels, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 4 (2019) 99–115. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-018-0077-9>.
- [18] C.D. Spicer, Hydrogel scaffolds for tissue engineering: the importance of polymer choice, *Polym. Chem.* 11 (2020) 184–219. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9py01021a>.
- [19] L.J. Pourchet, A. Thepot, M. Albouy, E.J. Courtial, A. Boher, L.J. Blum, C.A. Marquette, Human Skin 3D Bioprinting Using Scaffold-Free Approach, *Advanced Healthcare Materials*. 6 (2017) 1601101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201601101>.
- [20] J. Sun, H. Tan, Alginate-based biomaterials for regenerative medicine applications, *Materials*. 6 (2013) 1285–1309.
- [21] S. Bupphathong, C. Quiroz, W. Huang, P.-F. Chung, H.-Y. Tao, C.-H. Lin, Gelatin Methacrylate Hydrogel for Tissue Engineering Applications—A Review on Material Modifications, *Pharmaceuticals*. 15 (2022) 171.
- [22] J. Azaiez, K. Chiba, F. Chinesta, A. Poitou, State-of-the-Art on numerical simulation of fiber-reinforced thermoplastic forming processes, *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.* 9 (2002) 141–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02736650>.
- [23] J. Proy, F. Massa, D. Notta-Cuvier, F. Lauro, T. Tison, G. Spingler, Integrating fibers and injection molding process variability in short-natural-fiber-reinforced thermoplastics behavior: A review, *Mater. Today Commun.* 29 (2021) 102785. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2021.102785>.
- [24] A. Zaeri, R. Zgeib, K. Cao, F. Zhang, R.C. Chang, Numerical analysis on the effects of microfluidic-based bioprinting parameters on the microfiber geometrical outcomes, *Scientific Reports*. 12 (2022) 3364.
- [25] G.B. Jeffery, The motion of ellipsoidal particles immersed in a viscous fluid, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*. 102 (1922) 161–179.
- [26] F. Folgar, C.L. Tucker III, Orientation behavior of fibers in concentrated suspensions, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*. 3 (1984) 98–119.
- [27] J. Férec, M. Heniche, M. Heuzey, G. Ausias, P. Carreau, Numerical solution of the Fokker–Planck equation for fiber suspensions: application to the Folgar–Tucker–Lipscomb model, *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. 155 (2008) 20–29.

- [28] D. Mezi, G. Ausias, S.G. Advani, J. Férec, Fiber suspension in 2D nonhomogeneous flow: The effects of flow/fiber coupling for Newtonian and power-law suspending fluids, *Journal of Rheology*. 63 (2019) 405–418.
- [29] Q. Zhang, X. Gao, W. Shi, Numerical research on the three-dimensional fiber orientation distribution in planar suspension flows, *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 34 (2017) 307–316.
- [30] H.K. Versteeg, W. Malalasekera, *An introduction to computational fluid dynamics: the finite volume method*, Pearson education, 2007.
- [31] S.G. Advani, C.L. Tucker III, The use of tensors to describe and predict fiber orientation in short fiber composites, *Journal of Rheology*. 31 (1987) 751–784.
- [32] G. Batchelor, Slender-body theory for particles of arbitrary cross-section in Stokes flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 44 (1970) 419–440.
- [33] B.E. VerWeyst, C.L. Tucker III, Fiber suspensions in complex geometries: Flow/orientation coupling, *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 80 (2002) 1093–1106.
- [34] N. Sigaux, L. Pourchet, P. Breton, S. Brosset, A. Louvrier, C. Marquette, 3D Bioprinting: principles, fantasies and prospects, *Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 120 (2019) 128–132.
- [35] C. Binetruy, F. Chinesta, R. Keunings, *Flows in Polymers, Reinforced Polymers and Composites*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, n.d. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-16757-2>.
- [36] R.B. Bird, C.F. Curtiss, R.C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, *Dynamics of polymeric liquids, volume 2: Kinetic theory*, Wiley, 1987.
- [37] M. Doi, S.F. Edwards, S.F. Edwards, *The theory of polymer dynamics*, oxford university press, 1988.
- [38] R. Keunings, Micro-macro methods for the multiscale simulation of viscoelastic flow using molecular models of kinetic theory, *Rheology Reviews*. (2004) 67–98.
- [39] R.B. Bird, R.C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Volume 1: Fluid Mechanics*, 2nd Edition, Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1987. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Dynamics+of+Polymeric+Liquids%2C+Volume+1%3A+Fluid+Mechanics%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780471802457>.
- [40] R.G. Owens, T.N. Phillips, *Computational Rheology*, Imperial College Press, London, England, UK, 2002. https://books.google.fr/books/about/Computational_Rheology.html?id=C17lHR4x7nC&redir_esc=y.

- [41] R.G. Larson, The rheology of dilute solutions of flexible polymers: Progress and problems, *J. Rheol.* 49 (2005) 1–70. <https://doi.org/10.1122/1.1835336>.
- [42] I. Pincus, A. Rodger, J.R. Prakash, Viscometric functions and rheo-optical properties of dilute polymer solutions: Comparison of FENE-Fraenkel dumbbells with rodlike models, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 285 (2020) 104395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnnfm.2020.104395>.
- [43] R.B. Bird, A.J. Giacomin, A.M. Schmalzer, C. Aumate, Dilute rigid dumbbell suspensions in large-amplitude oscillatory shear flow: Shear stress response, *J. Chem. Phys.* 140 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4862899>.
- [44] M. Keentok, On rod-like polymers, *Rheol Acta.* 23 (1984) 661–663. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01438807>.
- [45] C. Tanford, *Physical Chemistry of Macromolecules*, Volume 164, Page 1961, Wiley, Chichester, England, UK, 1961. https://books.google.nl/books/about/Physical_Chemistry_of_Macromolecules.html?id=gjRAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y.
- [46] P. Hermans, J. Hermans, D. Vermaas, A. Weidinger, Deformation mechanism of cellulose gels. IV. General relationship between orientation of the crystalline and that of the amorphous portion, *Journal of Polymer Science.* 3 (1948) 1–9.
- [47] J. Férec, D. Mezi, S.G. Advani, G. Ausias, Axisymmetric flow simulations of fiber suspensions as described by 3D probability distribution function, *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics.* 284 (2020) 104367.
- [48] A. Lozinski, C. Chauviere, A fast solver for Fokker–Planck equation applied to viscoelastic flows calculations: 2D FENE model, *Journal of Computational Physics.* 189 (2003) 607–625.
- [49] A. Moosaie, M. Manhart, A direct numerical simulation method for flow of Brownian fiber suspensions in complex geometries, *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology.* 34 (2013) 427–440.
- [50] M.C. Altan, S. Subbiah, S.I. Güçeri, R.B. Pipes, Numerical prediction of three-dimensional fiber orientation in Hele-Shaw flows, *Polymer Engineering & Science.* 30 (1990) 848–859.
- [51] A. Redjeb, L. Silva, P. Laure, Numerical Simulation of Fiber Orientation in Injection Molding Process, in: *Proceeding of PPS-21, Leipzig, Germany, 2005.* https://math.unice.fr/~laure/articles/ARedjeb_PLaure_LSilva_MVincent_TCoupez_PPS2005.pdf.
- [52] J. Azaiez, R. Guenette, A. Ait-Kadi, Investigation of the abrupt contraction flow of fiber suspensions in polymeric fluids, *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics.* 73 (1997) 289–316.

- [53] N. Phan-Thien, X.-J. Fan, R. Tanner, R. Zheng, Folgar–Tucker constant for a fibre suspension in a Newtonian fluid, *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*. 103 (2002) 251–260.
- [54] R.S. Bay, *Fiber orientation in injection-molded composites: A comparison of theory and experiment*, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1991.
- [55] A. Kamdem Tamo, I. Doench, L. Walter, A. Montembault, G. Sudre, L. David, A. Morales-Helguera, M. Selig, B. Rolauuffs, A. Bernstein, D. Hoenders, A. Walther, A. Osorio-Madrazo, Development of Bioinspired Functional Chitosan/Cellulose Nanofiber 3D Hydrogel Constructs by 3D Printing for Application in the Engineering of Mechanically Demanding Tissues, *Polymers*. 13 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13101663>.
- [56] A. MORCOS, L. DAVID, G. SUDRE, Van MAI, T.A. TRAN, R. RIEGER, Structural anisotropy characterization of fiber-reinforced hydrogels scaffolds during extrusion-based 3D printing for soft tissue repair., (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1515/ESRF-ES-932918813>.