# Asymptotic behavior of Wasserstein distance for weighted empirical measures of diffusion processes on compact Riemannian manifolds

Jie-Xiang Zhu\*

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

jiexiangzhu7@gmail.com

October 4, 2023

#### Abstract

Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a diffusion process defined on a compact Riemannian manifold, and for  $\alpha > 0$ , let

$$\mu_t^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{t^{\alpha}} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} \, s^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s$$

be the associated weighted empirical measure. We investigate asymptotic behavior of  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)},\mu)]$  for sufficient large t, where  $W_2$  is the quadratic Wasserstein distance and  $\mu$  is the invariant measure of the process. In the particular case  $\alpha = 1$ , our result sharpens the limit theorem achieved in [26]. The proof is based on the PDE and mass transportation approach developed by L. Ambrosio, F. Stra and D. Trevisan.

**Key words and phrases:** Empirical measure, diffusion process, Riemannian manifold, Wasserstein distance

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 60D05, 58J65

## **1** Introduction and main results

The long-time asymptotic behavior of empirical measures is a classical topic in the fields of probability theory and statistics, and has been widely investigated in various settings. Let M be a *d*-dimensional smooth complete connected compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let  $V \in C^2(M)$  such that the measure  $d\mu = e^V dx$  is a probability measure, where dx is

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported partially by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFA1006000, 2020YFA0712900) and NNSFC (11921001).

the Riemannian measure on M. Let  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  denote the diffusion process on M generated by the second order differential operator  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta + \nabla V \cdot \nabla$ , where  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  are the Laplace-Beltrami operator and the gradient operator on M, respectively. Denote the associated diffusion semigroup by  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ , which is symmetric with respect to the invariant measure  $\mu$ . The empirical measure on  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ 

$$\mu_t := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} \mathrm{d}s, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

characterizes the sample path of  $X_t$ . Under our assumptions,  $\mu_t$  converges to  $\mu$  as  $t \to \infty$  in the weak topology. In this work, we will consider a family of weighted empirical measures on  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ , denoted by  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ), which is defined by

$$\mu_t^{(\alpha)} := \frac{\alpha}{t^{\alpha}} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} s^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s, \quad t > 0.$$
(1.2)

The weighted empirical measure  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$  is equivalent to the usual empirical measure on the timechanged process  $(X_{t^{\alpha-1}})_{t\geq 0}$  at time  $t^{\alpha}$ . This family of weighted empirical measures can be used to approximate other kinds of empirical measures. For instance, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that for every  $f \in C_b([0, 1])$ ,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 f(y) Q_k(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \, = \, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(i/N).$$

And as a consequence of ergodicity, the law of  $(X_{t/N}, X_{2t/N}, \ldots, X_t)$  converges to  $\mu^{\otimes N}$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Therefore the weighted empirical measures  $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{X_s} Q_k(s/t) ds$ , each of which is a finite linear combinations of  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$ , simulate the empirical measure generated by N independent samples with common distribution  $\mu$  as  $k, t \to \infty$ .

It is natural to explore the convergence rate of  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$  to  $\mu$ . We formulate this problem in the framework of Wasserstein (Kantorovich) distances. Let  $\rho$  be the geodesic distance on M, and let  $\mathscr{P}(M)$  be the set of all Borel probability measure on M. Given  $p \geq 1$ , the *p*-th Wasserstein distance (see e.g. [18]) between  $\nu_0, \nu_1 \in \mathscr{P}(M)$  is defined by

$$W_p(\nu_0,\nu_1) = \inf_{\pi} \left( \int_{M \times M} \rho(x,y)^p \mathrm{d}\pi(x,y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all couplings  $\pi$  on  $M \times M$  with respective marginals  $\nu_0$  and  $\nu_1$ . The aim of this paper is to explore the order of decay in t, and furthermore, the renormalized limit of the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ \mathbf{W}_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \big]$$

as  $t \to \infty$ , where  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}$  denotes the expectation taken for the diffusion process with initial distribution  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ . Note that the corresponding results for  $\mu_t$  (namely the case  $\alpha = 1$ ) could be found in [26].

A major progress in recent years is the work of L. Ambrosio, F. Stra and D. Trevisan [2], which studies the asymptotic behavior of  $\mathbb{E}[W_2^2(\mu_n, \mu)]$ , where

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

is the empirical measure of independent random variables  $(X_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$  with common distribution  $\mu$ , a discrete analogue of (1.1). More precisely, they proved that if  $\mu$  is the Riemannian measure on a compact 2-dimensional compact manifold  $(M, \rho)$  without boundary, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} \mathbb{E} \big[ W_2^2(\mu_n, \mu) \big] = \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

Their proof is based on a PDE and mass transportation approach. The key idea involves the comparison between the 2-Wasserstein distance and the  $H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm of the density function (see Section 2.2 below). This methodology has already been applied to a number of settings. Here we only cite a few advances on the continuous time cases. The long-time behavior of  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[W_2^2(\mu_t,\mu)]$  as well as its renormalized limit in lower-dimensional case has been studied in [20–23, 26] for empirical measures of symmetric diffusion processes. The extension of these results could be found in [14–16, 25] for symmetric subordinated processes, [9] for fractional Brownian motion on torus and [24] for non-symmetric subordinated processes. See also [6] for developments in the context of McKean-Vlasov SDEs.

Denote by  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  the sequence of non-trivial eigenvalues of (-L) listed in the increasing order with multiplicity, and by  $\{\phi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  the sequence of the corresponding eigenfunctions, which is orthonormal in  $L^{2}(\mu)$ . For the weighted empirical measure (1.2), our first result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a connected complete compact weighted Riemannian manifold without boundary, equipped with a weighted probability measure  $d\mu = e^{V} dx$ . Assume M has the dimension  $d \leq 3$ . Then

(i) If  $\alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{1}{2}),$  $\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \right] = \nu \left( H^{(\alpha)} \right),$ where  $H^{(\alpha)} \in C_b(M)$  is defined by

$$H^{(\alpha)}(x) = 2\alpha^2 \iint_{\{0 \le u \le v < \infty\}} P_u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_i(v-u)} \phi_i^2\right)(x) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v, \quad x \in M.$$

(*ii*) If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{\ln t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$$

(iii) If  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \right] = \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$$

Moreover, all the above limits converge uniformly in  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ .

In order to unify notations, we introduce a function  $R_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  to describe the decay rate as follows

$$R_{\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \ln t, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

and we denote by  $L_{\alpha}(\nu)$  the value of the limit presented in Theorem 1 (depending on  $\alpha$  and the initial distribution  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ ). As expected, Theorem 1 quantifies the fact that the convergence of  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$  to  $\mu$  accelerates as  $\alpha$  increases. Theorem 1 also indicates that the case  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  is critical in two aspects. First, the decay rate function  $R_{\alpha}$  (as a function of  $\alpha$ ) has a change at the value  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ , the value of the renormalized limit  $L_{\alpha}(\nu)$  depends on the initial distribution  $\nu$ , while if  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ ,  $L_{\alpha}(\nu)$  no longer depends on  $\nu$ , which is a phenomenon of interest. For more quantitative information of  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu)]$ , our argument can indeed tighten Theorem 1 in the following sense.

**Theorem 2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1. Then

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left( R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] - L_{\alpha}(\nu) \right) \cdot K(t)^{-1} < \infty,$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left( R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] - L_{\alpha}(\nu) \right) \cdot \widetilde{K}(t)^{-1} > -\infty,$$

where K(t) and  $\widetilde{K}(t)$  are positive functions depending on d and  $\alpha$ , and satisfy  $\lim_{t\to\infty} K(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \widetilde{K}(t) = 0$ .

The functions K(t) and  $\tilde{K}(t)$  are explicitly given at the end of Section 5.3 and 5.4 respectively, which might not be optimal. In the particular case  $\alpha = 1$ , Theorem 2 implies that as  $t \to \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| t \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_i^2} \right| = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log(t)^{-1}), & \text{when } d = 1\\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}), & \text{when } d = 2\\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{12}+\epsilon}), & \text{when } d = 3 \end{cases}$$

This bound sharpens Theorem 1.1.(1) of [26]. Throughout this work, c denotes a positive absolute constant that may only depend on the underlying manifold M and the parameter  $\alpha$ , whose value could change from line to line. We use the notation f = O(g) if there exists c > 0such that  $f \leq cg$ . We write  $f \sim g$  if both f = O(g) and g = O(f) hold. We also write  $f = O_{\epsilon}(g(\epsilon))$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $c_{\epsilon} > 0$ , depending on  $\epsilon$ , such that  $f \leq c_{\epsilon}g(\epsilon)$ .

For the remaining possible values of d and  $\alpha$ , by the PDE and mass transportation approach, we have the following upper bounds for  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \right]$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a connected complete compact weighted Riemannian manifold without boundary, equipped with a weighted probability measure  $d\mu = e^{V} dx$ . Assume M has the dimension  $d \ge 3$ . Then for all sufficiently large t,

(i) When d = 3,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{4\alpha}{3-4\alpha}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) When d = 4,

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ O(t^{-1} \log(t)^2), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ O(t^{-1} \log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(iii) When  $d \geq 5$ ,

 $\nu$ 

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{4\alpha}{d-2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ O(t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}} \log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ O(t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3 shows that the case d = 4 is critical, which has already been noticed in [26]. In analogy of Theorem 3, the two-sided estimates of  $\mathbb{E}^{\nu} [W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu)]$  in higher dimensions have been achieved in [26], which asserts that when  $d \geq 5$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \right] \sim t^{-\frac{2}{d-2}},$$
 (1.3)

and when d = 4, there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ , depending on M, such that

$$c_1 t^{-1} \leq \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \right] \leq c_2 t^{-1} \log(t)$$
 (1.4)

holds for sufficiently large t.

However, there is a gap between the lower and upper bounds of (1.4). In the work [24], the sharp convergence rate is explored in a more general setting, and reads as follows

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \right] \sim t^{-1} \log(t).$$
(1.5)

In this paper, we investigate the lower bound estimate in the 4-dimensional case and obtain the following more precise result.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(M, \rho)$  be a connected complete compact weighted Riemannian manifold without boundary, equipped with a weighted probability measure  $d\mu = e^V dx$ . Assume M has the dimension d = 4. Then for any  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{\ln t} \inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2 \left( \mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu \right) \right] \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \frac{1}{32\pi^2}$$

For the special case  $\alpha = 1$ , Theorem 4 reads

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{t}{\ln t} \inf_{\nu\in\mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \big] \geq \frac{1}{32\pi^2},$$

which in particular sharpens the lower bound of (1.5). We further conjecture that there exists a universal constant c > 0, which does not depend on specific 4-dimensional compact manifolds and is a rational multiple of  $\pi^{-2}$ , such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{\ln t} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ W_2^2 \big( \mu_t, \mu \big) \big] = c.$$

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides several properties of the heat semigroup and Wasserstein distance. Sections 3 and 4 develop two types of estimates: Section 3 uses  $L^2$ -structure, while Section 4 relies on concentration inequalities. We prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 5 by dealing with upper and lower bounds separately. The proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 are presented in Section 6.

# 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some basic properties of the heat semigroup and Wasserstein distance, which will be used throughout this work.

#### **2.1** Heat semigroup on M

The materials presented in this sub-section can be found in [3,19]. Let  $\nabla$  denote the gradient operator on M. Since M is a d-dimensional compact manifold, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for any smooth function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L}|\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla \mathbf{L}f, \nabla f \rangle \ge K|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{d}(\mathbf{L}f)^2.$$
(2.1)

This inequality is known as curvature-dimension condition CD(K, d). Throughout this work, we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_p$  the norm of  $L^p(\mu)$ . And for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{p\to q}$  the operator norm from  $L^p(\mu)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$ . Under the condition CD(K, d),  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is ultracontractive. More precisely, we have

$$||P_t||_{1\to\infty} \le c(1\wedge t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \quad t>0.$$
 (2.2)

Let  $p_t$  be the heat kernel of  $P_t$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ , i.e. for any  $f \in C_b(M)$ ,

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \big[ f(X_t) \big] = \int_M f(y) p_t(x, y) \,\mathrm{d}\mu(y), \quad t > 0, \ x \in M.$$

Then (2.2) is equivalent to the upper bound on  $p_t$  as follows:

$$\sup_{x,y \in M} p_t(x,y) \le c(1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$
(2.3)

Since  $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is contractive on  $L^p(\mu)$  for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , by interpolation, there exists c > 0 such that for any  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ ,

$$||P_t||_{p \to q} \le c(1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{d}{2}(p^{-1} - q^{-1})}, \quad t > 0.$$
(2.4)

As another corollary of CD(K, d), the spectrum of L is discrete. Denote by  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  the sequence of non-trivial eigenvalues of (-L) listed in the increasing order counting multiplicity, and by  $\{\phi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  the sequence of the associated eigenfunctions, which is orthonormal in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Then  $p_t$  has the spectral representation as follows:

$$p_t(x,y) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i t} \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in M.$$
(2.5)

Given  $\theta \ge 0$ , for r > 0, we introduce the function  $h_r^{(\theta)} : M \to \mathbb{R}_+$  as follows

$$h_r^{(\theta)}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\theta} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_i r} \phi_i^2(x), \quad x \in M.$$
(2.6)

For later purposes, we also set

$$\overline{h}_{r}^{(\theta)} := \mu(h_{r}^{(\theta)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{-\theta} e^{-\lambda_{i}r}, \quad g_{r}^{(\theta)} := -\partial_{\theta} \overline{h}_{r}^{(\theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ln(\lambda_{i}) \lambda_{i}^{-\theta} e^{-\lambda_{i}r}.$$
(2.7)

Then (2.3) together with (2.5) implies the following upper bounds.

**Lemma 5.** Given  $\theta \ge 0$ , there exists  $c = c(\theta) > 0$  such that for any  $x \in M$  and r > 0,

$$h_r^{(\theta)}(x) \le c e^{-\lambda_1 r} \cdot \begin{cases} (1 \wedge r)^{-(\frac{d}{2} - \theta)}, & \text{if } 0 \le \theta < \frac{d}{2}; \\ \log((1 \wedge r)^{-1} + 1), & \text{if } \theta = \frac{d}{2}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \theta > \frac{d}{2}. \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

Since  $\overline{h}_r^{(\theta)} = \mu(h_r^{(\theta)})$ , it shares the same upper bound. Furthermore, if  $\theta > 0$ , there exists  $c = c(\theta) > 0$  such that for  $r \in (0, 2]$ ,

$$|g_r^{(\theta)}| \le c \begin{cases} r^{-(\frac{d}{2}-\theta)}\log(r^{-1}+1), & \text{if } 0 < \theta < \frac{d}{2};\\ \log^2(r^{-1}+1), & \text{if } \theta = \frac{d}{2};\\ 1, & \text{if } \theta > \frac{d}{2}. \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

*Proof.* We first prove (2.8). By the definition of  $h_r^{(\theta)}$ , it suffices to consider the case  $r \in (0, 1]$ . The case  $\theta = 0$  is exactly the heat kernel bound (2.3). As for the case  $\theta > 0$ , (2.8) is a consequence of (2.3) together with the following identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\theta} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_i r} \phi_i^2(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^\infty \left( p_{t+r}(x,x) - 1 \right) t^{\theta-1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Notice that

$$g_r^{(\theta)} = \frac{\Gamma'(\theta)}{\Gamma(\theta)^2} \int_0^\infty \mu \left( p_{t+r}(\cdot, \cdot) - 1 \right) t^{\theta-1} \mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^\infty \mu \left( p_{t+r}(\cdot, \cdot) - 1 \right) \ln t \cdot t^{\theta-1} \mathrm{d}t.$$

(2.9) follows by using (2.3).

We shall also use the Poincaré inequality: For any  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  with  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$||P_t f||_2 \le e^{-\lambda_1 t} ||f||_2, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (2.10)

Combining this with (2.4), we have the  $L^p$ -Poincaré inequality: For any  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  with  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$||P_t f||_p \le c e^{-\lambda_1 t} ||f||_p, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (2.11)

In what follows, to investigate  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$ , we will concern with the following regularized weighted empirical measure

$$\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} := P_r^* \mu_t^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{t^{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_r^* \delta_{X_s} s^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s, \quad r > 0.$$

The density function of  $\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ , denote by  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$ , is

$$f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) = \mu_t^{(\alpha)}(p_r(\cdot, y)) = \frac{\alpha}{t^{\alpha}} \int_0^t p_r(X_s, y) s^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_i r} \mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i) \phi(y), \qquad y \in M.$$
(2.12)

#### 2.2 Wasserstein distance

In this sub-section, we list some lemmas aiming to control the Wasserstein distance. The following result, taken from [2, 11], is essential to the upper bound estimate. For a proof based on the Benamou-Brenier formula, see [1].

**Lemma 6.** Let  $f_0, f_1 \in L^2(\mu)$  be two probability density functions w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Then

$$W_2^2(f_0\mu, f_1\mu) \le 4 \int_M \frac{|\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_0 - f_1)|^2}{f_0} d\mu,$$

and

$$W_2^2(f_0\mu, f_1\mu) \le \int_M \frac{|\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_0 - f_1)|^2}{\mathscr{M}(f_0, f_1)} d\mu$$

where  $\mathscr{M}(a,b) := \frac{a-b}{\ln a - \ln b}$  for a, b > 0, and  $\mathscr{M}(a,b) = 0$  if a or b is zero.

The following lemma is needed when we deal with the quantity  $\mathscr{M}(\cdot, 1)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 7.** Given  $q \ge 1$ , there exists c = c(q) > 0 such that for any  $\varrho \in (0, 1/2)$  and nonnegative random variable  $Y \in L^2(\Omega)$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)Y+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|^q\big]^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c\log(\varrho^{-1})\mathbb{E}\big[|Y-1|^2\big]^{\frac{1}{q\vee 2}}.$$

*Proof.* We start with the case  $q \ge 2$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{M}(y, 1)$ ,

$$\left|\mathscr{M}(y,1)^{-1} - 1\right| = \left|\frac{\ln y}{y-1} - 1\right| = \left|\int_0^1 \frac{u}{1+(y-1)u} \mathrm{d}u\right| \cdot |y-1|, \quad y > 0$$

Then let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . If  $|y - 1| \leq \eta$ , since  $1 + (y - 1)u \geq 1 - \eta$ , we have

$$|\mathscr{M}(y,1)^{-1} - 1| \le \frac{1}{2(1-\eta)} \cdot |y-1|;$$
 (2.13)

on the other hand, if  $|y - 1| > \eta$ , we have

$$|\mathscr{M}(y,1)^{-1} - 1| \le 1 + \eta^{-1} \cdot \begin{cases} \ln(y^{-1}), & \text{if } y \in [0,1-\eta);\\ \ln(1+\eta), & \text{if } y \in (1+\eta,\infty). \end{cases}$$
(2.14)

Now we consider the event

$$A = \{ \omega \in \Omega : |Y(\omega) - 1| \le 1/2 \}.$$

Since  $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$ , it is easily seen that on A,  $|(1 - \rho)Y + \rho - 1| \le 1/2$ ; while on  $A^c$ ,  $|(1 - \rho)Y + \rho - 1| > 1/4$ . Then applying (2.13) for  $\eta = 1/2$  and (2.14) for  $\eta = 1/4$  repectively, we know that there exists c > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{1}_{A}|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)Y+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|^{q} \leq c\mathbb{1}_{A}|Y-1|^{q};$$

and

$$\mathbb{1}_{A^c}|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)Y+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|^q \leq c\mathbb{1}_{A^c}\log^q(\varrho^{-1}),$$

where we used  $[(1 - \varrho)Y + \varrho]^{-1} \le \varrho^{-1}$  since  $Y \ge 0$ .

Consequently, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{1}_{A}|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)Y+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|^{q}\big] \le c\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{1}_{A}|Y-1|^{q}\big] \le c2^{-(q-2)}\mathbb{E}\big[|Y-1|^{2}\big],$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{1}_{A^c}|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)Y+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|^q\big] \le c\log^q(\varrho^{-1})\mathbb{P}(A^c) \le 4c\log^q(\varrho^{-1})\mathbb{E}\big[|Y-1|^2\big],$$

where in the last line we used Chebyshev's inequality. Adding the above two inequalities we conclude the proof of the case  $q \ge 2$ . The case  $1 \le q < 2$  is a direct consequence of Hölder's inequality together with the case q = 2.

The following well-known lemma addresses contraction properties in Wasserstein distance along the heat flow (see [7, Theorem 3]).

**Lemma 8.** (i) There exists c > 0 such that for any  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$  and t > 0,

$$W_2^2(P_t^*\nu,\nu) \le ct.$$

(ii) For any  $\nu_0, \nu_1 \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ ,

$$W_2(P_t^*\nu_0, P_t^*\nu_1) \le e^{-Kt}W_2(\nu_0, \nu_1)$$

where the constant  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  comes from the curvature-dimension condition  $CD(K, \infty)$ .

### 3 Energy estimates

Before going further, we need the following elementary result, which plays a role in our proofs. For  $\alpha > 0$ , the incomplete Gamma function with parameter  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$G^{(\alpha)}(u) := \int_{u}^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha-1} dy, \quad u > 0.$$
 (3.1)

Notice that  $G^{(\alpha)}(u) = e^{-u}u^{\alpha-1}(1+O(u^{-1}))$  as  $u \to \infty$ , then a simple computation gives:

**Lemma 9.** There exists c > 0 such that for  $y \ge 2$ ,

$$\iint_{\{0 \le u \le v \le y\}} e^{-(v-u)} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} du dv = \int_0^y e^u u^{\alpha-1} \left( G^{(\alpha)}(u) - G^{(\alpha)}(y) \right) du$$
$$= \begin{cases} O(1), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ \ln y + O(1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{y^{2\alpha-1}}{2\alpha-1} + O(1), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1); \\ \frac{y^{2\alpha-1}}{2\alpha-1} + O(y^{2\alpha-2}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

and

$$\int_0^y \mathrm{e}^u u^{\alpha - 1} G^{(\alpha)}(u) \mathrm{d}u \leq c \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2});\\ \ln y, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2};\\ y^{2\alpha - 1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Thought this paper, we set  $t_M = 2(1 \vee \lambda_1^{-1})$ , which is a sufficiently large time for our arguments. The following lemma evaluates the  $L^2$ -norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$ , which will be used to govern error terms appearing in our proofs.

**Lemma 10** ( $L^2$ -norm estimate). Assume that M is compact with dimension  $d \leq 3$ . Then there exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \geq t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

(i) When d = 1,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq c \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} r^{-(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ t^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ t^{-2\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \log(t), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(ii) When d = 2,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_2^2 \right] \le c \log(r^{-1} + 1) \cdot \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} r^{-(1-2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \log(\frac{t}{r}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(iii) When d = 3,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq cr^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} r^{-(1-2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \log(\frac{t}{r}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recalling that

$$f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_i r} \mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i) \phi_i(y)$$

and  $\{\phi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  is orthonormal, we have

$$||f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda_i r} |\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i)|^2.$$

The Markov property together with  $P_s \phi_i = e^{-s\lambda_i} \phi_i$  implies that for any  $v \ge u \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[\phi_i(X_v)|X_t, t \le u] = P_{v-u}\phi_i(X_u) = e^{-(v-u)\lambda_i}\phi_i(X_u).$$

Then using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-2r\lambda_{i}} |\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}(\phi_{i})|^{2} \right] \\
= \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \iint_{\{0 \le u \le v \le t\}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \phi_{i}^{2}(X_{u}) \right] e^{-(v-u+2r)\lambda_{i}} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} du dv \\
= \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \nu \left( P_{u} h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} dv du,$$
(3.2)

where  $h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)}$  is defined by (2.6). Then we can write

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_2^2 \right] = \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2(\nu), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$I_{1} := \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} dv du;$$
  

$$I_{2}(\nu) := \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \nu \left( P_{u} h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} dv du.$$

For I<sub>1</sub>, we make use of Lemma 9 to assert that for  $t \ge t_M$  and r > 0,

$$I_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha^{2} e^{-2r\lambda_{i}}}{t^{2\alpha}\lambda_{i}^{2\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t\lambda_{i}} e^{s} s^{\alpha-1} \left( G^{(\alpha)}(s) - G^{(\alpha)}(t\lambda_{i}) \right) ds$$

$$\leq c \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \ln t \cdot \overline{h}_{2r}^{(1)} + t^{-1} |g_{2r}^{(1)}|, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(1)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

where  $\overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha)}$ ,  $\overline{h}_{2r}^{(1)}$  and  $g_{2r}^{(1)}$  are defined by (2.7), whose upper bounds have been established in Lemma 5.

Now we turn to the analysis of  $I_2(\nu)$ . Since  $h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \in C_b(M)$ , we have

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| \nu \left( P_u h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) \right| = \left\| P_u \left( h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) \right\|_{\infty}$$

The  $L^{\infty}$ -Poincaré inequality (2.11) implies

$$\left\| P_u \left( h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) \right\|_{\infty} \le c e^{-\lambda_1 u} \| h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \|_{\infty}.$$

Combined with Lemma 5, it follows that

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| \nu \left( P_u h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \right) \right| \leq c \left( 1 \wedge (v-u+2r) \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\lambda_1 (v+2r)}.$$

Thus for  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |\mathbf{I}_{2}(\nu)| \leq ct^{-2\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \int_{0}^{v} \left( 1 + (v - u + 2r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right) u^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}u \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} v} v^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}v,$$

Consequently, for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ , when d = 1,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |\mathbf{I}_{2}(\nu)| \leq ct^{-2\alpha} \left( 1 + r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{r} v^{2\alpha - 1} dv + \int_{r}^{t} e^{-\lambda_{1} v} v^{2\alpha - \frac{3}{2}} dv \right)$$
$$\leq ct^{-2\alpha} \cdot \begin{cases} r^{-(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ \log(r^{-1} + 1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Similarly, when d = 2,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |\mathbf{I}_{2}(\nu)| \leq ct^{-2\alpha} \left( 1 + r^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} v^{2\alpha - 1} dv + \int_{r}^{t} \log(r^{-1}v) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}v} v^{2\alpha - 2} dv \right)$$
  
$$\leq ct^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1) \cdot \begin{cases} r^{-(1 - 2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ \log(r^{-1} + 1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

And when d = 3,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |\mathbf{I}_{2}(\nu)| \leq ct^{-2\alpha} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \begin{cases} r^{-(1-2\alpha)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ \log(r^{-1}+1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.7)

Combining (3.3)-(3.7) completes the proof.

For later developments, we set

$$F_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_2^2 \right], \quad Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} = R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \int_0^r F_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.8)

Then Lemma 10 implies the following statement.

**Corollary 11.** If we choose  $r = r(t) = t^{-\beta}$  for some  $\beta > 0$ , then there exists  $c = c(\beta) > 0$  such that for any  $t \ge t_M$ ,

(i) When d = 1,

$$F_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq cR_{\alpha}(t) \cdot \begin{cases} t^{(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha)\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ \log(t), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \infty). \end{cases} \leq c \begin{cases} t^{-(2\alpha + \frac{1}{2})\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ t^{-\beta}\log(t), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ t^{-\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(ii) When d = 2,

$$F_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq cR_{\alpha}(t)\log(t) \cdot \begin{cases} t^{(1-2\alpha)\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases} Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq c\log(t) \cdot \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

(iii) When d = 3,

$$F_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq cR_{\alpha}(t) \cdot \begin{cases} t^{(\frac{3}{2}-2\alpha)\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2},\infty), \end{cases} Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq c \begin{cases} t^{-(2\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\beta}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2},\infty) \end{cases}$$

The following propositions are devoted to asymptotic estimates of the  $H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$ , which contributes the main term of our results.

**Proposition 12**  $(H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm estimate for  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ). Assume that M is compact with dimension  $d \leq 3$ . For  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \geq t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] - c(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \right|$$
  
$$\leq e(r) + c \begin{cases} \log(t)^{-1}, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)+1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where

$$c(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases} \quad e(r) = c \begin{cases} r, & \text{when } d = 1; \\ r \log(r^{-1} + 1), & \text{when } d = 2; \\ r^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{when } d = 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We only deal with the case  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , the other case is similar. Recalling that  $(-L)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty P_s ds$ , then integration by parts gives

$$\int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{0}^{\infty} ||P_{\frac{s}{2}} f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1||_{2}^{2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Thus by the definition of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  and the fact that  $\{\phi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  is orthonormal,

$$\int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i)|^2}{\lambda_i \mathrm{e}^{2r\lambda_i}}.$$

By the same calculation as in Lemma 10, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}(\phi_{i})|^{2}}{\lambda_{i} \mathrm{e}^{2r\lambda_{i}}} \right] \\
= \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \iint_{\{0 \le u \le v \le t\}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \phi_{i}^{2}(X_{u}) \right] \mathrm{e}^{-(v-u+2r)\lambda_{i}} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\
= \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \nu \left( P_{u} h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} \right) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}u,$$
(3.9)

where  $h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}$  is defined by (2.6). In analogy with (3.3), we write

$$t \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] = \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2(\nu), \qquad (3.10)$$

where

$$J_{1} := \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} dv du;$$
  
$$J_{2}(\nu) := \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{t^{2\alpha-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \nu \left( P_{u} h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} \right) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} dv du$$

By Lemma 9, for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$J_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha^{2} e^{-2r\lambda_{i}}}{t^{2\alpha-1}\lambda_{i}^{2\alpha+1}} \int_{0}^{t\lambda_{i}} e^{u} s^{\alpha-1} \left( G^{(\alpha)}(s) - G^{(\alpha)}(t\lambda_{i}) \right) ds$$
  
$$= \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{2\alpha-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{-2} e^{-2r\lambda_{i}} + \begin{cases} t^{-(2\alpha-1)} \cdot O(\overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha+1)}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1); \\ t^{-1} \cdot O(\overline{h}_{2r}^{(3)}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

On the other hand, by a similar argument in Lemma 10,

$$\left|\nu\left(P_{u}h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\right)\right| \leq \left\|P_{u}\left(h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} - \overline{h}_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c e^{-\lambda_{1} u} \|h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\|_{\infty}$$

Therefore, for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |\mathbf{J}_{2}(\nu)| \leq ct^{-(2\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{u}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}u} \|h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\|_{\infty} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}u$$
  
$$\leq ct^{-(2\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{t} \left( \int_{0}^{v} \left( 1 + (v-u+2r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) u^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}v} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}v \leq ct^{-(2\alpha-1)},$$
(3.12)

where in the last line we used Lemma 5 and the fact that  $d \leq 3$ .

Notice that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} = \int_0^{2r} \overline{h}_s^{(1)} \mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.13)

Combining (3.10)-(3.13), the proof is then complete after the use of Lemma 5.

Remark 1. The proof of Proposition 12 indeed gives the following upper bound for the  $H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$ , which keeps valid for any dimension d and  $\alpha > 0$ . By combining (3.10)-(3.12) and Lemma 9, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right] &\leq ct^{-2\alpha} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}u} \|h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\|_{\infty} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\ &+ c \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha+1)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \left(\ln t \cdot \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2)} + |g_{2r}^{(2)}|\right), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where  $t \geq t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ .

Now we turn to the case  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ . We shall make use of the connection between  $L^2$ -norm and  $H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$ . To this end, we first define the following functions: Given  $\alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ , for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(x) := 2\alpha^{2} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} \left( P_{u}h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)} \right)(x) u^{\alpha-1}v^{\alpha-1} du dv;$$
  

$$H_{t}^{(\alpha)}(x) := 2\alpha^{2} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} \left( P_{u}h_{v-u}^{(1)} \right)(x) u^{\alpha-1}v^{\alpha-1} du dv;$$
  

$$H^{(\alpha)}(x) := 2\alpha^{2} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v < \infty\}} \left( P_{u}h_{v-u}^{(1)} \right)(x) u^{\alpha-1}v^{\alpha-1} du dv, \quad x \in M.$$
(3.14)

It can be observed that these functions have the following properties:

(i)  $H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $H_t^{(\alpha)}$  and  $H^{(\alpha)}$  are all bounded continuous functions on M;

(ii) 
$$\inf_{x \in M} H^{(\alpha)}(x) > 0.$$

Indeed, by the estimates (3.17) and (3.18) given in Proposition 13 below, (i) is a consequence of the continuity of  $H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  (note that M is compact). To control  $\nabla H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$ , we need the following upper bound on the gradient of  $p_t$ : There exists c > 0 such that for all t > 0 and  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$\left|\nabla_x p_t(x,y)\right| \le c \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_1 t} (1 \wedge t)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

This bound is a consequence of the local Poincaré inequality and the heat kernel bound (2.3). Then recalling the definition of  $h_s^{(1)}$  and using the chain rule, for s > 0, we have

$$\|\nabla h_s^{(1)}\|_{\infty} \le 2 \int_s^\infty \sup_{x,y \in M} |\nabla_x p_u(x,y)| du \le c e^{-\lambda_1 s} \cdot \begin{cases} \log((1 \wedge s)^{-1} + 1), & \text{when } d = 1; \\ (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}, & \text{when } d \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Combining this with the gradient bound (see e.g. [3, Theorem 3.2.4])

$$|\nabla P_u h_s^{(1)}| \le e^{-Ku} P_u(|\nabla h_s^{(1)}|), \quad u > 0$$

it follows that  $|\nabla P_u h_s^{(1)}|$  is bounded from above uniformly in  $(u, s) \in [0, t] \times [2r, 2r + t]$ , which implies that  $\|\nabla H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}\|_{\infty} < \infty$ . Since *M* is connected, the continuity of  $H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  is obtained. As for the property (ii), by (2.11) and Lemma 5, there exists C > 0 such that for any u > 0 and  $s \in [1, 2]$ ,

$$\left\|P_u h_s^{(1)} - \overline{h}_s^{(1)}\right\|_{\infty} \le C e^{-\lambda_1 u}.$$

In particular, there exists T > 0 such that for any  $u \ge T$  and  $s \in [1, 2]$ ,

$$P_u h_s^{(1)} \ge \frac{1}{2} \overline{h}_s^{(1)}$$

so that

$$\inf_{x \in M} H^{(\alpha)}(x) \ge \alpha^2 \int_T^\infty u^{\alpha - 1} (u + 2)^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}u \cdot \int_1^2 \overline{h}_s^{(1)} \mathrm{d}s > 0.$$

In analogy with Proposition 12, the following statement holds.

**Proposition 13**  $(H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm estimate for  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ). Assume that M is compact with dimension  $d \leq 3$ . For  $\alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \geq t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] - \nu(H^{(\alpha)}) \right| \leq c \left( t^{-(1-2\alpha)} + Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \right),$$

where  $Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  is defined by (3.8) and satisfies

(i) when d = 1,

$$Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \leq c \begin{cases} r^{2\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ r \log(r^{-1} + 1), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ r, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}); \end{cases}$$

(ii) when d = 2,

$$Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \le cr^{2\alpha}\log(r^{-1}+1);$$

(iii) when d = 3,

$$Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \le cr^{2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* By (3.9) and Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\nu(H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}) = t^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right].$$
(3.15)

Next we will evaluate  $\|H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - H_t^{(\alpha)}\|_{\infty}$  and  $\|H_t^{(\alpha)} - H^{(\alpha)}\|_{\infty}$ . Combining (3.2), (3.9) and (3.15), for fixed  $t \ge t_M$  and  $x \in M$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \left( H_{t,s}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \left\{ t^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ \int_M |\nabla (-\mathrm{L})^{-1} (f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] \right\} = -2t^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ \int_M |f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right].$$
(3.16)

By the dominated convergence Theorem,  $\lim_{r\downarrow 0} H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} = H_t^{(\alpha)}$ . Therefore,

$$\left\| H_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - H_t^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{\infty} \le \int_0^r \sup_{x \in M} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} H_{t,s}^{(\alpha)}(x) \right| \mathrm{d}s \le 2Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \tag{3.17}$$

where  $Q_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  is defined by (3.8).

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| H^{(\alpha)}(x) - H^{(\alpha)}_t(x) \right| &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{t \lor u}^\infty \left( P_u h^{(1)}_{v-u} \right)(x) v^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}v \right) u^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}u \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{t \lor u}^\infty \|h^{(1)}_{v-u}\|_\infty v^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}v \right) u^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d \leq 3$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , by Lemma 5,

$$\left\| H^{(\alpha)} - H^{(\alpha)}_t \right\|_{\infty} \le c \int_0^\infty (t \lor u)^{\alpha - 1} u^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}u \le c t^{-(1 - 2\alpha)}.$$
(3.18)

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), the proof of this proposition is complete after the use of Lemma 10.

Remark 2. Similar to (3.16), it is worth making the following observation. For any  $0 < r_* < r \le 1$ ,

$$\int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - f_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)})|^2 d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i)|^2}{\lambda_i} (e^{-r_*\lambda_i} - e^{-r\lambda_i})^2$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(\phi_i)|^2}{\lambda_i} (e^{-2r_*\lambda_i} - e^{-2r\lambda_i}) = 2 \int_{r_*}^r ||f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1||_2^2 ds.$$

By Proposition 12 and 13, we yield the following conclusion.

Corollary 14. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1. Then

$$\lim_{(r,t)\to(0,\infty)} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] = \begin{cases} \nu(H^{(\alpha)}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{1}{2}); \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2}, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where  $H^{(\alpha)}$  is defined by (3.14). Moreover, above limits converge uniformly in  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ .

# 4 Concentration and density fluctuation bounds

In this section, we prove a weak form of Bernstein-type inequality for  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$  and apply it to establish the fluctuation bounds. We begin with the following lemma, which refines [26, Lemma 2.5].

**Lemma 15.** For  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $t \ge t_M$  and bounded measurable function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ |\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(f)|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \sqrt{p} \cdot R_{\alpha}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||f||_{\infty}.$$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality, we only need to consider the case  $p = 2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we set

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) := t^{2\alpha k} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ |\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(f)|^{2k} \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \left| \int_0^t f(X_s) s^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s \right|^{2k} \right].$$

Then it is easily seen that

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) = (2k)! \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{\Delta_k(t)} f(X_{s_1}) \cdots f(X_{s_{2k}}) s_1^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}s_1 \cdots s_{2k}^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}s_{2k} \right],$$
(4.1)

where  $\Delta_k(t)$  denotes the simplex  $\{(s_1, \ldots, s_{2k}) \in [0, t]^{2k}; 0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_{2k} \leq t\}$ . By the Markov property,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[f(X_{s_{2k}})|X_t, t \le s_{2k-1}] = (P_{s_{2k}-s_{2k-1}}f)(X_{s_{2k-1}}).$$

For any  $v \ge u \ge 0$ , we set  $g(u, v) = (fP_{v-u}f)(X_u)$ . We therefore obtain

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) = (2k)! \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \bigg[ \int_{0}^{t} f(X_{s_{1}}) s_{1}^{\alpha-1} ds_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{t} f(X_{s_{2}}) s_{2}^{\alpha-1} ds_{2} \cdots \int_{s_{2k-3}}^{t} f(X_{s_{2k-2}}) s_{2k-2}^{\alpha-1} ds_{2k-2} ds_{2k-2}$$

By Fubini's theorem,  $I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t)$  may be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) &= (2k)! \,\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \bigg[ \int_{\Delta_{1}(t)} \left( \int_{\Delta_{k-1}(u)} f(X_{s_{1}}) \cdots f(X_{s_{2k-2}}) s_{1}^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}s_{1} \cdots s_{2k-2}^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}s_{2k-2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot g(u,v) u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \bigg] \\ &= \frac{(2k)!}{(2k-2)!} \int_{\Delta_{1}(t)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \bigg[ g(u,v) \left| \int_{0}^{u} f(X_{s}) s^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}s \right|^{2k-2} \bigg] u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v. \end{split}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq 2k(2k-1) \int_{\Delta_{1}(t)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ |g(u,v)|^{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \left| \int_{0}^{u} f(X_{s}) \mathrm{d}s \right|^{2k} \right]^{\frac{k-1}{k}} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\ = 2k(2k-1) \int_{\Delta_{1}(t)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ |g(u,v)|^{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}} I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(u)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v.$$
(4.2)

Notice that  $\mu(f) = 0$ , by the  $L^{\infty}$ -Poincaré inequality (2.11), we have

 $|g(u,v)| \le ||f||_{\infty} \cdot ||P_{v-u}f||_{\infty} \le c e^{-\lambda_1(v-u)} ||f||_{\infty}^2.$ 

Combined with (4.2), it follows that

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) \le ck^2 \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{\infty}^2 \int_0^t I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(u)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \cdot e^{\lambda_1 u} u^{\alpha-1} G^{(\alpha)}(\lambda_1 u) du,$$

where  $G^{(\alpha)}$  is defined by (3.1). Then by the generalized Gronwall's inequality (see [4]),

$$I_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(t) \leq \left(ck\lambda_1^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{\infty}^2 \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1 u} u^{\alpha-1} G^{(\alpha)}(\lambda_1 u) du\right)^k$$
$$\leq \left(ck\lambda_1^{-2\alpha} \|f\|_{\infty}^2 \int_0^{t\lambda_1} e^s s^{\alpha-1} G^{(\alpha)}(s) ds\right)^k.$$

Using Lemma 9, we conclude the proof of Lemma 15.

Remark 3. (1) In the case  $\alpha = 1$ , the central limit theorem for Markov processes tells us that (see e.g. [10])

$$\sqrt{t}\mu_t(f) \to \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2(f))$$
 in law as  $t \to \infty$ ,

where

$$\sigma^{2}(f) := 4 \int_{0}^{\infty} \|P_{s}f\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \leq 2\lambda_{1}^{-1} \|f\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\lambda_{1}^{-1} \|f\|_{\infty}^{2},$$

and  $N(0, \sigma^2(f))$  is the centered Gaussian distribution with variance  $\sigma^2(f)$ . By the feature of Gaussian distribution, this lemma is sharp in respect to the powers of both p and t.

(2) For more on Bernstein-type inequalities for symmetric Markov processes, we refer the reader to [8, 13].

It is well-known that the moment estimates in Lemma 15 imply a probabilistic tail estimate of exponential decay (see e.g. [17, Exercise 2.3.8]). Then the following concentration inequality is achieved.

**Corollary 16** (Concentration inequality for  $\mu_t^{(\alpha)}$ ). For  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $\eta > 0$ ,  $t \ge t_M$  and bounded measurable function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{P}^{\nu} \left( |\mu_t^{(\alpha)}(f)| > \eta \right) \le c \exp \left( -\frac{\eta^2}{cR_{\alpha}(t)} ||f||_{\infty}^2 \right)$$

If we take  $f(x) = p_r(x, y) - 1$ , recalling (2.12), Corollary 16 quantifies the size of the event  $\{|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1| > \eta\}$ . Since M is connected, for  $y \neq z$ , the events  $\{|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1| > \eta\}$  and  $\{|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(z) - 1| > \eta\}$  are not independent. More precisely, if we take  $f(x) = p_r(x, y) - p_r(x, z)$  in Corollary 16, then for  $\eta > 0$  and  $t \geq t_M$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{P}^{\nu} \left( |f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(z)| > \eta \right) \le c \exp \left( -\frac{\eta^2}{cR_{\alpha}(t) \|p_r(\cdot, y) - p_r(\cdot, z)\|_{\infty}^2} \right).$$
(4.3)

By the local Poincaré inequality, there exists c > 0 such that for any  $x \in M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\operatorname{Lip}(p_r(x,\cdot)) \leq cr^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}.$$

which implies that

$$\|p_r(\cdot, y) - p_r(\cdot, z)\|_{\infty} \le cr^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\rho(y, z).$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, by the heat kernel bound (2.3),

$$||p_r(\cdot, y) - p_r(\cdot, z)||_{\infty} \le cr^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$
 (4.5)

Now, for each u > 0, we define the truncated geodesic distance on M at the level u, denoted by  $\rho_u$ , as follows:

$$\rho_u(x,y) = \rho(x,y) \wedge u, \quad x,y \in M.$$
(4.6)

Then (4.4) together with (4.5) yields that, for  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$||p_r(\cdot, y) - p_r(\cdot, z)||_{\infty} \le cr^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\rho_{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}(y, z).$$

Combining this bound with (4.3), we obtain

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{P}^{\nu} \left( |f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(z)| > \eta \right) \le c \exp \left( -\frac{r^{d+1} \eta^2}{cR_{\alpha}(t)\rho_{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}(y,z)^2} \right).$$
(4.7)

Therefore, for fixed  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ , we can view  $(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y))_{y \in M}$  as a sub-Gaussian process indexed by M and then apply the Dudley's entropy integral bound to control its size. The proof of the following lemma could be found in [12, Chapter 11] or [17, Chapter 2].

**Lemma 17** (Dudley's entropy integral bound). Let  $(T, \delta)$  be a metric space. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $N(T, \delta, \epsilon)$  be the smallest number of  $\epsilon$ -closed balls needed to cover T. Let  $(Z_t)_{t \in T}$  be a stochastic process indexed by T. Assume that  $(Z_t)_{t \in T}$  satisfies the sub-Gaussian inequality: There exist A, B > 0 such that for any  $s, t \in T$  and  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_s - Z_t| > \eta) \le A \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{B\delta(s,t)^2}\right).$$
(4.8)

Then there exists C = C(A) > 0 such that for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{s,t\in T} |Z_s - Z_t| > \eta) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{CBE(T,\delta)^2}\right),$$

and for any  $q \ge 1$ , there exists  $C_q = C_q(A) > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{s,t\in T} |Z_s - Z_t|^q\Big]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_q \sqrt{B} \mathbb{E}(T,\delta),$$

where  $E(T, \delta)$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(T,\delta) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\log N(T,\delta,\epsilon)} \,\mathrm{d}\epsilon.$$

It is worth noting that if T is not countable, the following condition is required:

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{s,t\in T} |Z_s - Z_t|\Big] = \sup\left\{\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{s,t\in F} |Z_s - Z_t|\Big] : F \subset T, \ F \ is \ finite\right\}.$$
(4.9)

Let  $||f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1||_{\infty} = \sup_{y \in M} |f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1|$ . Then the uniform fluctuation bound is achieved.

**Proposition 18** (Uniform fluctuation bound). There exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \ge t_M$ ,  $r \in (0, 1]$  and  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{P}^{\nu} \left( \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} > \eta \right) \leq c \exp \left( -\frac{r^d \eta^2}{cR_{\alpha}(t)\log(r^{-1} + 1)} \right), \tag{4.10}$$

and for any  $q \ge 1$ , there exists  $c_q > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{\infty}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c_q R_{\alpha}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{d}{2}} \log(r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.11)

*Proof.* For any sample path  $(X_u)_{u \in [0,t]}$ ,  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}$  is Lipschitz (therefore continuous) and satisfies  $\mu(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}) = 1$ . Since M is connected, by the mean value theorem we can always find  $w \in M$  such that  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(w) = 1$ . Consequently,

$$\|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} \leq \sup_{y,z \in M} |f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(z)| \leq 2\|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}.$$
(4.12)

Notice that M is separable, the condition (4.9) is clearly valid for  $(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y))_{y\in M}$ . Concerning (4.7), this proposition is an adaptation of Lemma 17 after we use the fact that for each u > 0,

$$\mathcal{E}(M,\rho_u) \le cu\sqrt{\log(u^{-1}+1)}.$$
(4.13)

Indeed, in the setting of the compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, \rho)$ , by a standard volume argument, it is known that

$$N(M, \rho, \epsilon) \leq \max\{c\epsilon^{-d}, 1\},\$$

where d is the dimension of M. Using the definition of  $\rho_u$ ,

$$N(M, \rho_u, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} N(M, \rho, \epsilon), & \text{if } \epsilon \in (0, u); \\ 1, & \text{if } \epsilon \in [u, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then for  $0 < u < \operatorname{diam} M$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(M,\rho_u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{\log N(M,\rho,\epsilon)} \,\mathrm{d}\epsilon \le cu\sqrt{\log(u^{-1}+1)},$$

and for  $u \ge \operatorname{diam} M$ ,  $\operatorname{E}(M, \rho_u) = \operatorname{E}(M, \rho)$ , which proves (4.13).

Remark 4. An alternative approach to the bound (4.11) is based on the hypercontractivity property of  $(P_t)_{t>0}$ . Indeed, by (2.4), for  $p \ge 1$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} = \|P_{\frac{r}{2}}(f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1)\|_{\infty} \le c(r/2)^{-\frac{d}{2p}} \|f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{p}$$

Then given  $q \ge 1$ , for  $q \le p < \infty$ , by Hölder's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{\infty}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c(r/2)^{-\frac{d}{2p}} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{p}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Using Fubini's theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ \|f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{p}^{p} \Big] = \int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ |f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1|^{p} \Big] \mathrm{d}\mu(y) = \int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ |\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}(p_{\frac{r}{2}}(\cdot, y) - 1)|^{p} \Big] \mathrm{d}\mu(y).$$

Combined with the heat kernel bound (2.3) and Lemma 15, for any  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}^{q} \Big]^{\frac{1}{q}} \le cR_{\alpha}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{d}{2}} \cdot \inf_{p \ge q} \big\{ \sqrt{p} \cdot (r/2)^{-\frac{d}{2p}} \big\}.$$

Optimizing in  $p \ge q$  depending on  $r \in (0, 1]$  yields that

$$\inf_{p \ge q} \left\{ \sqrt{p} \cdot (r/2)^{-\frac{d}{2p}} \right\} \le c \left( q \vee \log(r^{-1} + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which establishes (4.11).

We conclude this section with another application of Lemma 15, which will be used in the next section.

**Corollary 19.** For any  $q \ge 1$ , there exists  $c_q > 0$  such that for any  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_2^{2q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_q R_{\alpha}(t) r^{-d}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(y) - 1 = \mu_t^{(\alpha)}(p_r(\cdot, y) - 1)$ . By Minkowski's integral inequality, for any  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{2}^{2q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \left( \int_{M} |\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}(p_{r}(\cdot, y) - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu(y) \right)^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \leq \int_{M} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ |\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}(p_{r}(\cdot, y) - 1)|^{2q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \mathrm{d}\mu(y).$$

The proof is complete after applying Lemma 15 and (2.3).

5 Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

#### 5.1 More about Sobolev norm estimates

In this sub-section, we shall develop upper bound estimates of  $H^{-1,2p}$ -Sobolev norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$ , which can be viewed as a generalization of of Corollary 14. To begin with, we can establish the following lemma, which is an interpolation between Lemma 10 and Corollary 19.

**Lemma 20.** Assume that M is compact with  $d \leq 3$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $t \geq t_M$  and s > 0,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda_{1}s} \cdot \begin{cases} (1 \wedge s)^{-d + \frac{d+4\alpha}{2p} - \epsilon}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{d\wedge 2}{4}); \\ (1 \wedge s)^{-d + \frac{d+d\wedge 2}{2p} - \epsilon}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{d\wedge 2}{4}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Poincaré inequality (2.10), for  $s \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq e^{-2\lambda_{1}(s-1)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,1}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It thus suffices to prove the case 0 < s < 1. For simplicity, we set  $N_q = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_2^{2q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$  where  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . By Hölder's inequality, for any  $\theta \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$N_{p} \leq N_{1}^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \cdot N_{1-\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}}^{1-\frac{\theta}{p}} = \left(N_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot N_{\frac{p-\theta}{1-\theta}}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \cdot \left(N_{1}^{-1} N_{\frac{p-\theta}{1-\theta}}\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}}.$$

Notice that the upper bound on  $N_1$  (respectively  $N_{\frac{p-\theta}{1-\theta}}$ ) has been established in Lemma 10 (respectively Corollary 19). This lemma follows after choosing a  $\theta$  sufficiently close to 1. Note that in some cases we also use the fact that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c_{\epsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\log(s^{-1}+1) \le c_{\epsilon}s^{-\epsilon}, \quad s \in (0,1].$$

**Lemma 21** ( $H^{-1,2p}$ -Sobolev norm estimate). Assume that M is compact with  $d \leq 3$ . If  $d \leq 2$  and  $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$ , or d = 3 and  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{4},\infty)$ , there exists a critical exponent  $p_0 = p_0(\alpha, d) \in (1,\infty)$  such that for any  $1 \leq p < p_0$ ,

$$\sup_{\substack{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M) \\ t \ge t_M, r > 0}} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_M |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$
(5.1)

*Proof.* By the local Poincaré inequality, for any s > 0 and  $f \in C_b(M)$ ,

$$|\nabla P_s f| \le \sqrt{\frac{K}{\mathrm{e}^{2Ks} - 1}} P_s(f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_s(f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} d\mu \leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} |\nabla P_{s}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)| ds \right)^{2p} d\mu \\
\leq c \int_{M} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} P_{\frac{s}{2}} \left( |P_{\frac{s}{2}}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \right)^{2p} d\mu \\
\leq c \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| P_{\frac{s}{2}} \left( |P_{\frac{s}{2}}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \right) \right\|_{p}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \right)^{2p}, \tag{5.2}$$

where in the last line we used Minkowski's integral inequality. Combining (2.4), (2.10) and the semigroup property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_{\frac{s}{2}} \left( |P_{\frac{s}{2}}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \right) \right\|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left\| P_{\frac{s}{2}} \right\|_{1 \to p}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\| P_{\frac{s}{2}}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1) \right\|_2 \leq c(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{4p}(p-1)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_1 s}{4}} \left\| f_{t,r+\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \right\|_2 \\ &\leq c(1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{4p}(p-1)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_1 s}{4}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_1 r} \left\| f_{t,\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$
(5.3)

Combining (5.2) and (5.3), by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &\leq c \bigg( \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{4p}(p-1) - \frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{1}s}{4}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}r} \big\| f_{t,\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \big\|_{2} \mathrm{d}s \bigg)^{2p} \\ &\leq c \bigg( \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\lambda_{1}s}{2}} \mathrm{d}s \bigg)^{p} \cdot \bigg( \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{2p}(p-1) + \kappa - 1} \big\| f_{t,\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \big\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \bigg)^{p}, \end{split}$$

where  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$  will be determined later. Then Minkowski's integral inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c_{\kappa} \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{d}{2p}(p-1) + \kappa - 1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \left\| f_{t,\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \right\|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \mathrm{d}s.$$
(5.4)

Using Lemma 20, if we set

$$p_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{2d+4\alpha}{3d-2}, & \text{if } \alpha \in \left(\frac{(d-2)^+}{4}, \frac{d\wedge 2}{4}\right);\\ \frac{2d+d\wedge 2}{3d-2}, & \text{if } \alpha \in \left[\frac{d\wedge 2}{4}, \infty\right), \end{cases}$$
(5.5)

then for  $1 \le p < p_0$  we can always find  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$  and sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  to guarantee the right-hand side of (5.4) converges, which ends the proof.

#### 5.2 Regularization estimates

In this sub-section, we develop a stronger error bounds on the Wasserstein distance along the heat flow in the context of diffusion processes. The same idea has been used in [1, 27] to deal with the optimal matching problem.

**Theorem 22.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1. For  $\alpha > 0$ , if we choose  $r = r(t) = t^{-\beta}$ , where  $\beta \in (0, \frac{(2\alpha) \wedge 1}{d})$ , then for  $t \ge t_M$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ W_{2}^{2} \big( \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_{t}^{(\alpha)} \big) \Big] \leq 16 R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \Big] \mathrm{d}s + \Phi(t),$$

where the function  $\Phi : [t_M, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  decays rapidly to 0 as  $t \to \infty$  in the sense that for any c > 0,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} t^c \Phi(t) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $r = r(t) = t^{-\beta}$  with  $\beta \in (0, \frac{(2\alpha) \wedge 1}{d})$ , we consider the event

$$A_t = \left\{ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

On this event, using Lemma 6, for any  $0 < r_* < r$ ,

$$W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)}) \le 4 \int_M \frac{|\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - f_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)})|^2}{f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}} d\mu \le 8 \int_M |\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - f_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)})|^2 d\mu.$$

Combining with Remark 2, we obtain

$$W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)}) \le 16 \int_{r_*}^r \|f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|^2 ds.$$

By Lemma 8 (i) and the dominated convergence Theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ W_2^2 \big( \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_t^{(\alpha)} \big) \mathbb{1}_{A_t} \big] = \lim_{r_* \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ W_2^2 \big( \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_{t,r_*}^{(\alpha)} \big) \mathbb{1}_{A_t} \big].$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ W_{2}^{\alpha} \big( \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_{t}^{(\alpha)} \big) \mathbb{1}_{A_{t}} \Big] \leq 16 R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \Big] \mathrm{d}s.$$

or

On the other hand, since  $W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_t^{(\alpha)}) \leq (\operatorname{diam} M)^2$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\mathrm{W}_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}\right)\mathbb{1}_{A_{t}^{c}}\right] \leq c\mathbb{P}^{\nu}(A_{t}^{c}).$$

Then we set

$$\Phi(t) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{P}^{\nu}(A_t^c).$$

Let  $v = (2\alpha) \wedge 1 - d\beta > 0$ . By Proposition 18, there exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \ge t_M$ ,

$$\Phi(t) \leq cR_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{t^{\nu}}{c\log(t)^2}\right),\,$$

which completes the proof.

### 5.3 Upper bound

Throughout this sub-section, for initial distribution  $\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)$ , we set

$$L_{\alpha}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \nu(H^{(\alpha)}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{(d-2)^{+}}{4}, \frac{1}{2});\\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{-2}, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2};\\ \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{2\alpha - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{-2}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} L_{\alpha}(\nu) > 0$ . And for  $t \geq t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ , we abbreviate

$$E_{t,r} = R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} d\mu \right] - L_{\alpha}(\nu),$$
  
$$F_{t,r} = \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{2}^{2} \right], \qquad Q_{t,r} = \int_{0}^{r} F_{t,s} ds.$$

For  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , we define  $\mu_{t,r,\rho}^{(\alpha)}$  as follows

$$\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)} := (1-\varrho)\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} + \varrho\mu.$$

				-	

The density function of  $\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)}$  with respect to  $\mu$  is  $(1-\varrho)f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} + \varrho$ . By the convexity of  $W_2^2$  (see [18]) and the fact diam  $M < \infty$ , we get

$$W_2^2\left(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)}, \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}\right) \leq \varrho W_2^2\left(\mu, \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}\right) \leq c\varrho.$$
(5.6)

By the triangle inequality of  $W_2$ , for  $\varepsilon, \varrho \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \leq (1+\varepsilon)W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu) + (1+\varepsilon^{-1})W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}) \\ \leq (1+\varepsilon)W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu) + 4\varepsilon^{-1}(W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}) + W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)})).$$
(5.7)

We start with the analysis of  $W_2^2(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)}, \mu)$ . By Lemma 6, we yield

$$W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \leq (1-\varrho)^{2} \int_{M} \frac{|\nabla(-\mathrm{L})^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2}}{\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}+\varrho,1)} \mathrm{d}\mu \leq \int_{M} \frac{|\nabla(-\mathrm{L})^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2}}{\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}+\varrho,1)} \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$\leq \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathrm{L})^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2} \cdot \left(1+|\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}+\varrho,1)^{-1}-1|\right) \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Then using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 21, for  $\frac{p_0}{p_0-1} < q < \infty$  and  $t \ge t_M$ ,

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \right] \leq R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right] + c_{q} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\mathscr{M}((1-\varrho)f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} + \varrho, 1)^{-1} - 1|^{q} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where

$$c_{q} = \sup_{\substack{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M) \\ t \ge t_{M}, r > 0}} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{\frac{2q}{q-1}} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{1 - \frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

If we choose  $\varrho = \varrho(t) = t^{-\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ , then using Lemma 7 for  $Y = f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} \in L^2(\mathbb{P}^{\nu} \otimes \mu)$ , we obtain

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r,\varrho}^{(\alpha)},\mu)\right] \leq L_{\alpha}(\nu) + E_{t,r} + c_{q,\gamma}\log(t)F_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{q\vee2}}.$$
(5.8)

On the other hand, if we choose  $r = r(t) = t^{-\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in (0, \frac{(2\alpha) \wedge 1}{d})$ , then Theorem 22 tells us that

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)}\right)\right] \leq 16Q_{t,r} + \Phi(t).$$
(5.9)

Combining (5.6)-(5.9),

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \right] \leq L_{\alpha}(\nu) + c \left( E_{t,r} + c_{q,\gamma} \log(t) F_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{q\vee 2}} \right) + c(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1}Q_{t,r}) + c\varepsilon^{-1} (R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}t^{-\gamma} + \Phi(t)).$$

By Corollary 11, for the preceding choice of r = r(t), one has  $\lim_{t\to\infty} Q_{t,r} = 0$ . We thus choose  $\varepsilon = Q_{t,r}^{1/2}$  and yield

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu)\right] - L_{\alpha}(\nu) \leq c\left(E_{t,r} + c_{q,\gamma}\log(t)F_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{q\vee2}} + Q_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ + cQ_{t,r}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}t^{-\gamma} + \Phi(t)).$$

With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  fixed, if  $\gamma > 0$  is chosen large enough, the term  $Q_{t,r}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}t^{-\gamma} + \Phi(t))$  makes no contribution to the magnitude of the above inequality's right-hand side as  $t \to \infty$ . It therefore suffices to control

$$K(t) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |E_{t,r}| + \log(t) F_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{q\vee 2}} + Q_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $q > \frac{p_0}{p_0-1}$  and  $r = r(t) = t^{-\beta}$  for  $\beta \in (0, \frac{(2\alpha)\wedge 1}{d})$ . Next we take the case  $d = 1, \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  as an example. The upper bounds of  $\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |E_{t,r}|$ (respectively,  $F_{t,r}$  and  $Q_{t,r}$ ) have been obtained in Proposition 12 and 13 (respectively, Corollary 11). And by (5.5), in this case, the critical exponent  $p_0 = 3 > 2$ . Then it is immediate that for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $\frac{3}{2} < q \le 2$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |E_{t,r}| \le c(t^{-\beta} + t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)+1}), \quad \log(t) F_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{q \vee 2}} \le c \log(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Q_{t,r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le c t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}, \quad \beta \in (0,1).$$

Optimizing in  $\beta$  implies that

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} O(t^{-2\alpha+1}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}); \\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{3}{4}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Dealing the other cases in a similar way, it finally follows that

(i) when d = 1,  $K(t) = \begin{cases} O_{\epsilon}(t^{-2\alpha^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}]; \\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\alpha+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}]; \\ O(t^{-|2\alpha-1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{4}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}; \\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{3}{4}, \infty); \end{cases}$ 

(ii) when 
$$d = 2$$
,

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\alpha^{2}+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,\sqrt{2}-1]; \\ O(t^{-|2\alpha-1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\sqrt{2}-1,\frac{5}{8}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}; \\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{5}{8},\infty); \end{cases}$$

(iii) when d = 3,

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{2\alpha^2}{3} + \frac{\alpha}{6} + \epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{217} - 11}{8}];\\ O(t^{-|2\alpha - 1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{\sqrt{217} - 11}{8}, \frac{13}{24}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\};\\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2};\\ O_{\epsilon}(t^{-\frac{1}{12} + \epsilon}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{13}{24}, \infty), \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof for the upper bound part.

### 5.4 Lower bound

By Lemma 8 (ii), we have

$$W_2^2(\mu_t^{(\alpha)}, \mu) \ge e^{2Kr} W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu).$$
(5.10)

It is therefore sufficient to evaluate  $W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu)$ .

By the Kantorovich duality (cf. [18]),

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \ge \sup_{(\varphi_0,\varphi_1)\in\mathscr{C}} \big\{ \mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}(\varphi_0) + \mu(\varphi_1) \big\},$$

where

$$\mathscr{C} = \left\{ (\varphi_0, \varphi_1) : \varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in C_b(M), \, \varphi_0(x) + \varphi_1(y) \le \frac{\rho(x, y)^2}{2} \text{ for any } x, y \in M \right\}.$$

Since  $d\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} = f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} d\mu$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \ge \sup_{(\varphi_0,\varphi_1)\in\mathscr{C}} \bigg\{ \int_M \varphi_0(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1) \mathrm{d}\mu + \int_M (\varphi_0+\varphi_1) \mathrm{d}\mu \bigg\}.$$

For  $\varphi_0 = (-L)^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)$ , we take

$$\varphi_1(x) := \inf_{y \in M} \left\{ -\varphi_0(y) + \frac{\rho(x,y)^2}{2} \right\}, \quad x \in M.$$

Then applying Corollary 3.3 together with (3.3) of [2], there exists  $c_* > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \ge \left(1 - \frac{\exp\left(c_*\left(\|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} + \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}^2\right)\right)}{2}\right) \int_M |\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 d\mu.$$
(5.11)

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $c_* \ge 1$ . Now we reformulate (5.11) in the form of the expectation. Consider the following event

$$F = \left\{ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} \le c_*^{-1} 2^{-1} \right\}.$$

On F, by (5.11) and a Taylor expansion, there exists c > 0 such that

$$W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \geq \int_{M} |\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2} d\mu - c ||f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1||_{\infty} \int_{M} |\nabla(-L)^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2} d\mu.$$
(5.12)

We abbreviate

$$U = \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}, \qquad V = \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \mathbb{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \right] \geq \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \mathbb{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu)\mathbb{1}_{F} \right] \geq \mathbb{E}^{\nu} [V\mathbb{1}_{F}] - c\mathbb{E}^{\nu} [UV\mathbb{1}_{F}] \\
= \mathbb{E}^{\nu} [V] - \mathbb{E}^{\nu} [V\mathbb{1}_{F^{c}}] - c\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ UV\mathbb{1}_{F} \right] \geq \mathbb{E}^{\nu} [V] - c\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ (U \wedge 1)V \right] \\
\geq \mathbb{E}^{\nu} [V] - c\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ UV \right].$$
(5.13)

Combined with Hölder's inequality and Lemma 21, it follows that for 1 ,

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu)\right] \geq R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}[V] - c_{p}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[U^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ \geq L_{\alpha}(\nu) + E_{t,r} - c_{p}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[U^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]^{1-\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$c_{p} = \sup_{\substack{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M) \\ t \ge t_{M}, r > 0}} R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-L)^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Combining this estimate with (5.10), since  $e^{Kr} = 1 + Kr + o(r)$  as  $r \to 0$ , we obtain

$$R_{\alpha}(t)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu)\right] \geq L_{\alpha}(\nu) - c\left(r + \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |E_{t,r}| + \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu}\left[\|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}\right), \quad (5.14)$$

where  $\frac{p_0}{p_0-1} < q < \infty$  with  $p_0$  given by (5.5). If we choose  $r = r(t) = t^{-\zeta}$  for some  $\zeta > 0$ , it is therefore sufficient to control

$$\widetilde{K}(t) := r + \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} |E_{t,r}| + \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$
(5.15)

where  $q > \frac{p_0}{p_0-1}$ . Combining with Proposition 12, 13, 18 and then optimizing in  $\zeta$ , we conclude that

(i) when d = 1,

$$\widetilde{K}(t) \ = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{4\alpha^2 + \alpha}{4\alpha + 2}} \log(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{4}); \\ O(t^{-\frac{1}{6}} \log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{4}; \\ O(t^{-\frac{2\alpha}{3}} \log(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}]; \\ O(t^{-|2\alpha - 1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{3}{8}, \frac{2}{3}) \backslash \{\frac{1}{2}\}; \\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ O(t^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{2}{3}, \infty); \end{cases}$$

(ii) when d = 2,

$$\widetilde{K}(t) = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha+1}}\log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}];\\ O(t^{-|2\alpha-1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}, \frac{5}{8}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\};\\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2};\\ O(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}\log(t)), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{5}{8}, \infty); \end{cases}$$

(iii) when d = 3,

$$\widetilde{K}(t) = \begin{cases} O(t^{-\frac{4\alpha^2 - \alpha}{4\alpha + 2}} \log(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{97}}{24}];\\ O(t^{-|2\alpha - 1|}), & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1 + \sqrt{97}}{24}, \frac{9}{16}) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\};\\ O(\log(t)^{-1}), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2};\\ O(t^{-\frac{1}{8}} \log(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}), & \text{if } \alpha \in [\frac{9}{16}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

# 6 Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4

### 6.1 Proof of Theorem 3

By the triangle inequality, Lemma 8 (i) and Lemma 6, for any  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ \mathbf{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \big] \leq 2\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ \mathbf{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}) \big] + 2\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ \mathbf{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \big]$$
  
 
$$\leq cr + 4\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1}(f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}-1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right].$$

Combined this inequality with Remark 1, it is known that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{2}^{2}(\mu_{t}^{(\alpha)},\mu) \right] &\leq cr + ct^{-2\alpha} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}u} \|h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\|_{\infty} u^{\alpha-1} v^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v \\ &+ c \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha+1)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{2}); \\ t^{-1} \left( \ln t \cdot \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2)} + |g_{2r}^{(2)}| \right), & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}; \\ t^{-1} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2},\infty). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then applying Lemma 5 and optimizing in  $r \in (0, 1]$ , we conclude the proof.

### 6.2 Proof of Theorem 4

Before we give the proof of Theorem 4, we state some useful lemmas, whose proofs are almost the same as those presented in the proceeding sections. To avoid repetition, we only sketch them. In the following up, we always assume  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ .

By the method in Lemma 10 and Proposition 12, the estimates of  $L^2$ -norm and  $H^{-1,2}$ -Sobolev norm of  $f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1$  are achieved as follows.

**Lemma 23.** Assume that M is compact with dimension d = 4. Then there exists c > 0 such that for any  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

(i)

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_2^2 \right] \leq c t^{-1} r^{-1}.$$

(ii)

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] - \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \cdot t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} \right| \\ \leq c \left( t^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1) + t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)} \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the notations of Lemma 10 and Proposition 12, we have

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq c \left( t^{-1} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(1)} + t^{-2\alpha} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} e^{-\lambda_{1} u} \| h_{v-u+2r}^{(0)} \|_{\infty} \, \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v \right),$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \left| \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] &- \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \cdot t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} \right| \\ &\leq c t^{-2\alpha} \iint_{\{0 \leq u \leq v \leq t\}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_1 u} \|h_{v-u+2r}^{(1)}\|_{\infty} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v + c \begin{cases} t^{-2\alpha} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(2\alpha+1)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1); \\ t^{-2} \overline{h}_{2r}^{(3)}, & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, \infty). \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Combining this with Lemma 5, we complete the proof.

Another ingredient we shall use is the fluctuation bounds proved in Section 4. Note that Proposition 18 and Corollary 19 work for any dimension d. As a result we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 24.** Assume that M is compact with dimension d = 4. Then for any  $q \ge 1$ , there exists  $c_q > 0$  such that for any  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_{\infty}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c_{q} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} r^{-2} \log(r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(ii)

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \| f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \|_2^{2q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \le c_q t^{-1} r^{-4}.$$

Interpolating between Lemma 23 (ii) and Lemma 24 (ii), by the argument in Lemma 20, the following lemma is established.

**Lemma 25.** Assume that M is compact with d = 4. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $t \ge t_M$  and s > 0,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,s}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \mathrm{e}^{-2\lambda_{1}s} t^{-1} (1 \wedge s)^{-4 + \frac{3}{p} - \epsilon}.$$

The following proposition is obtained by a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 21.

**Proposition 26.** Assume that M is compact with d = 4. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ , there exists c > 0 such that for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c t^{-1} r^{-5 + \frac{5}{p} - \epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that for  $1 \le p < \infty$ , combining (5.2) and the semigroup property,

$$\int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \leq \int_{M} \left( \int_{r/2}^{\infty} |\nabla P_s(f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1)| \mathrm{d}s \right)^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$\leq c \left( \int_{r/2}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| P_{\frac{s}{2}} \left( |P_{\frac{s}{2}}(f_{t,\frac{r}{2}}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \right) \right\|_{p}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{2p}.$$

Along the same lines as the argument in Lemma 21, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c_{\kappa} \int_{r/2}^{\infty} (1 \wedge s)^{-3 + \frac{2}{p} + \kappa} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \left\| f_{t,\frac{s}{4}}^{(\alpha)} - 1 \right\|_{2}^{2p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \mathrm{d}s,$$

where  $\kappa < 1$  to be specified later. Then this proposition follows by applying Lemma 25 for  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and choosing  $\kappa = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 4 by using the argument given in Section 5.4. We start with (5.13).

$$\mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}^{(\alpha)}, \mu^{(\alpha)}) \right] \ge \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_M |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right] - c \,\Theta^{\nu}(t,r),$$

where

$$\Theta^{\nu}(t,r) := \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} \cdot \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right].$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of this inequality, by Lemma 23, there exists c > 0 such that for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_{M} |\nabla (-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right] \\
\geq \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{2\alpha - 1} \cdot t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_{i}} - c \left( t^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1) + t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)} \right).$$
(6.1)

By the small time asymptotics of the trace (see [5, Theorem 1.5]),

$$\lim_{s \to 0} (4\pi s)^{d/2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s\lambda_i} = 1,$$

and setting d = 4, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} = \int_{2r}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s\lambda_i} \right) s \mathrm{d}s = \frac{\ln(r^{-1})}{16\pi^2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } r \to 0.$$
(6.2)

Then it remains to bound  $\Theta^{\nu}(t, r)$  from above. To reach this goal, by Hölder's inequality and Proposition 26, for any  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,

$$\Theta^{\nu}(t,r) = \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty} \cdot \int_{M} |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu \right] \leq \Theta_{p}^{\nu}(t,r),$$

where

$$\Theta_p^{\nu}(t,r) := \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ \|f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1\|_{\infty}^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \Big]^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ \int_M |\nabla(-\mathbf{L})^{-1} (f_{t,r}^{(\alpha)} - 1)|^{2p} \mathrm{d}\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By Lemma 24 (i) and Proposition 26, it follows that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c_{p,\epsilon} > 0$  such that for  $t \ge t_M$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \Theta_p^{\nu}(t,r) \leq c_{p,\epsilon} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} r^{-7+\frac{5}{p}-\epsilon}.$$

Combined with (6.1), it follows that

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \Big[ W_2^2(\mu_{t,r},\mu) \Big] \\
\geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \cdot t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} - c \Big( t^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1) + t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)} \Big) - c_{p,\epsilon} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} r^{-7 + \frac{5}{p} - \epsilon}.$$

For any  $\varsigma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , we can always find  $1 < p' < \infty$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  such that

$$\vartheta := \frac{1}{2} - \varsigma \left( 7 - \frac{5}{p'} + \epsilon' \right) > 0.$$

Now we choose  $r = r(t) = t^{-\varsigma}$ . Then

$$\inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \left[ W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}, \mu) \right] \\
\geq \frac{2\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \cdot t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-2} \mathrm{e}^{-2r\lambda_i} - c_{\varsigma} \left( t^{-2\alpha} \log(r^{-1} + 1) + t^{-2(\alpha \wedge 1)} + t^{-1-\vartheta} \right).$$
(6.3)

Finally, combining (6.2), (6.3) and the fact that  $W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \ge e^{2Kr} W_2^2(\mu_{t,r}, \mu)$ , it follows that

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{t}{\ln t} \inf_{\nu \in \mathscr{P}(M)} \mathbb{E}^{\nu} \big[ W_2^2(\mu_t, \mu) \big] \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha - 1} \frac{\varsigma}{8\pi^2}.$$

Since  $\varsigma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  is arbitrary, Theorem 4 is established.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Prof. Feng-Yu Wang for helpful discussions and Prof. Michel Ledoux for instructive comments during the preparation of this work.

# References

- L. Ambrosio, F. Glaudo. Finer estimates on the 2-dimensional matching problem. J. Éc. polytech. Math. 6, 737–765 (2019).
- [2] L. Ambrosio, F. Stra, D. Trevisan. A PDE approach to a 2-dimensional matching problem. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 173, 433–477 (2019).
- [3] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux. Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 348. Springer (2014).
- [4] I. Bihari. A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equations. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 7, 81–94 (1966).
- [5] N. Charalambous, J. Rowlett. The heat trace for the drifting Laplacian and Schrödinger operators on manifolds. Asian J. Math. 23, 539–559 (2019).

- [6] K. Du, Y. Jiang, J. Li. Empirical approximation to invariant measures for McKean-Vlasov processes: mean-field interaction vs self-interaction. *Bernoulli*. 29, 2492–2518 (2023).
- [7] M. Erbar, K. Kuwada, K.-T. Sturm. On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces. *Invent. Math.* 201, 993–1071 (2015).
- [8] F. Gao, A. Guillin, L. Wu. Bernstein-type concentration inequalities for symmetric Markov processes. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 58, 358–382 (2014).
- [9] M. Huesmann, F. Mattesini, D. Trevisan. Wasserstein asymptotics for the empirical measure of fractional Brownian motion on a flat torus. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 155, 1–26 (2023).
- [10] C. Kipnis, S. R. S. Varadhan. Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple exclusions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 104, 1–19 (1986).
- [11] M. Ledoux. On optimal matching of Gaussian samples. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 457, Veroyatnost' i Statistika. 25, 226–264 (2017).
- [12] M. Ledoux, M. Talagrand. Probability in Banach spaces: Isoperimetry and processes. Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [13] P. Lezaud. Chernoff-type bound for finite Markov chains. Ann. Appl. Probab. 8, 849–867 (1998).
- [14] H. Li, B. Wu. Wasserstein convergence for empirical measures of subordinated Dirichlet diffusions on Riemannian manifolds. arXiv:2206.03901.
- [15] H. Li, B. Wu. Wasserstein convergence for conditional empirical measures of subordinated Dirichlet diffusions on Riemannian manifolds. arXiv:2204.13559.
- [16] H. Li, B. Wu. Wasserstein convergence rates for empirical measures of subordinated processes on noncompact manifolds. J. Theoret. Probab. 36, 1243–1268 (2023).
- [17] M. Talagrand. Upper and lower bounds for stochastic processes: decomposition theorems. Modern Surveys in Mathematics 60. Springer-Verlag (2021).
- [18] C. Villani. *Optimal transport. Old and new.* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 338. Springer (2009).
- [19] F.-Y. Wang. Analysis for diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. World Scientific (2014).
- [20] F.-Y. Wang. Precise limit in Wasserstein distance for conditional empirical measures of Dirichlet diffusion processes. J. Funct. Anal. 280, No. 108998 (2021).
- [21] F.-Y. Wang. Convergence in Wasserstein distance for empirical measures of Dirichlet diffusion processes on manifolds. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 25, 3695–3725 (2023).

- [22] F.-Y. Wang. Wasserstein convergence rate for empirical measures on noncompact manifolds. Stochastic Process. Appl. 144, 271–287 (2022).
- [23] F.-Y. Wang. Convergence in Wasserstein distance for empirical measures of semilinear SPDEs. Ann. Appl. Probab. 33, 70–84 (2023).
- [24] F.-Y. Wang. Convergence in Wasserstein distance for empirical measures of non-symmetric subordinated diffusion processes. arXiv:2301.08420.
- [25] F.-Y. Wang, B. Wu. Wasserstein convergence for empirical measures of subordinated diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. To appear in *Potential Anal.*
- [26] F.-Y. Wang, J.-X. Zhu. Limit theorems in Wasserstein distance for empirical measures of diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 59, 437–475 (2023).
- [27] J.-X. Zhu. Some results on the optimal matching problem for the Jacobi model. *Potential Anal.* 55, 167–188 (2021).