

Phase Transition of 3D Heisenberg Magnet in Presence of Random-Bond Disorder

Nepal Banerjee

Department of Physics, University of Seoul, South Korea

Email: nb.uos1989@gmail.com

Abstract

Here we have simulated the random-bond type quenched disorder in 3D Heisenberg magnet. Here we have used classical Monte-Carlo simulation with Heisenberg spin and use 3D simple cubic lattice for this simulation. Here we use Metropolis single spin flipping algorithm.

1 Introduction

In last few decades a tremendous progress has been made in the direction of low dimensional van der Waals magnet [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Several exotic phase has emerged during the search of new material and their intrinsic properties [6, 7, 8]. However the disorder induced van der waals magnet remain still very less explore area of research [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. It is expected that in presence of different type of disorder this facinating magnetic material will show more exotic correlated phase. In this brief report we will summarize different type of quenched disorder and after that we will briefly present our study on phase transition of 3D Heisenberg magnet in presence of random-bond disorder. We know that there are mostly two type of disorder and they are annealed and quenched type disorder. Quenched disorder is a static disorder and it never changes with time and annealed disorder is time dependent disorder which changes with time. Here we are mostly concerned about quenched type of disorder. This quenched disorder is a most difficult type of disorder to deal with using conventional statistical mechanics. The replica trick method made few success and able to explain the several complex phase of matter in presence of quenched type of disorder. But in several cases it also fail because of its drastic approximation. For spin system two types of quenched disorder we mostly talk about and they are random bond or random-mass disorder and another is random-field disorder. In case of random-bond disorder the static spin moments are interacting with its neighbour spin with a random exchange interaction. In a seminal work Harris pointed out the effect of this type disorder in the phase transition. He proposed a wonderful idea that if the exponent of correlation length and dimension of the system follow the criterion like $d\nu > 2$ then this type of random-bond disorder is average out and phase transition is not going to affect in presence of disorder but if the $d\nu < 2$ then small disorder is going to affect the phase transition and clean critical point become unstable and sharp phase transition is impossible for that case. This is known as Harris criterion [14]. Similarly there are another huristic argument by Imry-Ma for random-field disorder where they have shown that when a weak random field can effect the phase transition and that postulate known as Imry-Ma argument in the case

of random-field disorder[15, 16].we can understand this Imry-Ma argument with a simple 3D Ising model with random field like

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i S_j - \sum_i h_i S_i \quad (1)$$

Here h_i are the independent random variables with zero mean and variance $[h_i h_j]_{dis} = W \delta_{i,j}$. If $W \gg J^2$ then all spin gain more energy after alligning with the local field and long range feromagnetic order is impossible. But when the random filed is weak $W \ll J^2$ then all spin will prefer to allign with their neighbour rather than external field. Imry and Ma has given a beautiful argument to analysis the stability of this ferromagnetic order against the domain wall formation. We can eastimate this domain wall energy as $\Delta E_{DW} = JL^{d-1}$. The energy gain because of aligning the uniform spin-up domain with the random filed is simply the sum over the random field values in the domains $\Delta E_{RF} = -\sum_i h_i$. Using the central limit theorem we can say that this energy is propotional to $|E_{RF}| \sim W^{1/2} L^{d/2}$. Imry and Ma observe that the uniform ferromagnetic state will be stable against the domain formation if $|\Delta E_{RF}| < \Delta E_{DW}$. This condition is transform into $W^{1/2} L^{d/2} < JL^{d-1}$. So in case of weak random field $W \ll J^2$ we can say that domain formation is unfavourable and ferromagnetic state is most stable phase for $L > 2$. Here we have introduced a new type of random-bond disorder for 3D Heisenberg spin system. In this spin model each spin is interacting with its neighbour spin with a random exchange interaction which lies between a particular range between r to 1, where the value of r is 0.0-0.8. Here we have increased the notion of disorder after introducing the $J(A \rightarrow B) \neq J(B \rightarrow A)$. Here A and B are two nearest-neighbour lattice site. Here we have considered isotropic Heisenberg type of spin. Here we have presented our all studies in a following way. First we have discussed about the model Hamiltonian and then we have discussed the simulation methodology and results and after that we have summarize our all results as a discussion and conclusion.

2 Model Hamiltonian

Here we are describing our model Hamiltonian, which is a random-bond disorder induced Heisenberg model and this 3D lattice is a simple cubic lattice. Here we consider that the $J(A \rightarrow B) \neq J(B \rightarrow A)$, where A and B indicating two different nearest-neighbour lattice site. Here we consider the isotropic spin model. Here we are saying this model isotropic because the random value taken by J_x, J_y, J_z are lies between same range between 0.0 to 1.0. So the mean value of J_x, J_y and J_z are same.

$$H = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \quad (2)$$

Here $\vec{S}_i = (S_i^x, S_i^y, S_i^z)$ represent classical three component spin with unit magnitude sitting at each lattice point i and $J_{i,j}$ is random exchange interaction through which two nearest-neighbour spin interacting. Here we have introduced a spin model where each spin interacting with its neighbour spin with a specific random exchange interaction which lies between a particular range of value from 0 to 1.

3 Simulation Methodology and Results

Here we have used the classical Monte-Carlo simulation technique for simulation of disorder induced 3D heisenberg magnet. Here we have simulated the Heisenberg type of spin at each site of 3D lattice grid which have three component and we have used the following formula for this simulation[17, 18, 19, 20, 21].

$$S_x = |S| \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \quad (3)$$

$$S_y = |S| \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) \quad (4)$$

$$S_z = |S| \cos(\theta) \quad (5)$$

Here we have chosen the magnitude of spin $|S| = 1$ and we have simulated the different component of spin after choosing the θ and ϕ randomly where ϕ vary from 0 to 2π and θ vary from 0 to π respectively. Here we have introduced the random-bond type disorder and




Figure 1: Here we have presented the M, χ, E with T . Here we have presented the results for $4 \times 4 \times 4$ lattice size. Here we have consider the value of $|S|=1$ and J vary randomly from 0.0-1.0, 0.2-1.0, 0.4-1.0, 0.6-1.0, 0.8-1.0.

simultaneously simulating the exchange-interaction through which simulated Heisenberg spin will interact with its nearest-neighbour spin. In our simulation $J(A \rightarrow B) \neq J(B \rightarrow A)$. Here A and B are two nearest neighbour. In this simulation we have used single spin flipping Metropolis algorithm and we have used 10^5 MC steps for creating equivalent ensembles and among them we only consider 5×10^4 ensembles for taking the average of different thermodynamic quantity. Here we have calculated different thermodynamic quantity like spontaneous magnetization (M), Susceptibility (χ) and energy (E) at different T . We have used the following formula for the calculation of those thermodynamic quantity.

$$M = \sqrt{m_x^2 + m_y^2 + m_z^2} \quad (6)$$

$$\chi = L^3 (\langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2) \quad (7)$$

Here $m_x = \sum S_x / L^3$, $m_y = \sum S_y / L^3$ and $m_z = \sum S_z / L^3$. Here we have used $4 \times 4 \times 4$ lattice grid for this simulation. Here we have noticed interesting behaviour of M at different range of exchange interaction strength which is lies between 0.0-1.0, 0.2-1.0, 0.4-1.0, 0.6-1.0, 0.8-1.0. Here

we have notice a significant change of scaling behaviour of M at different range of exchange interaction. Here we are noticing that the transition temperature is decreasing because of bond dilution and that is revealing at the behaviour of M and χ with T . Here we also notice the significant change of susceptibility (χ) with T and we notice that the scaling behaviour of χ is changing with T . We have noticed that the peak of χ is showing more sharp peak when we increasing the range of random-bond disorder and T_c is shifting towards lower temperature. Here we have observed a ferromagnetic ground state for all the realization of random-bond disorder. We also check after introducing anti-ferromagnetic type random-bond disorder but small anti-ferromagnetic exchange destroy the order state of that system.

4 Discussion and conclusion

Here we have discussed about different type of quenched disorder and we have simulated a new type of random-bond disorder. Here we have used only one lattice size ($4 \times 4 \times 4$) just to show the basic simulation results and it can be extended easily for bigger lattice size. Here we have use classical Monte-Carlo simulation for simulating the phase transition and use Heisenberg type of spin. Here we have used a simple cubic lattice for that simulation. We observe a significant effect of random-bond disorder during the phase transition. This random-bond disorder and its dilution effect not only reduced the T_c but also change scaling behaviour of the phase transition and we can clearly see that result from our simulation.

5 Acknowledgement

N.B is greatly acknowledging University of Seoul (UOS) for the funding from SAMSUNG and NRF project at initial stage of this work and we are greatly acknowledging IIT Kanpur for giving visiting scholar position and providing generous research facility during the visit. Author is acknowledging Prof. P.K. Mukherjee, Prof. M. Acharyya, Prof. T. Das, Prof. J. Jung for several discussion about different aspect of the phase transition and critical phenomena over the years. Author is thankful to Prof. Chandan Dasgupta and Prof. Sumilan Banerjee for several lecture on disorder system during the course at IISc, Bangalore. Also author is thankful to Prof. Vojta, Prof. Altland for several interesting lecture during the conference at IISc, Chennai. Author is greatly thankful to Prof. Allan H MacDonald for his fruitful collaboration with our group and several help during the progress.

References

- [1] Kenneth S Burch, David Mandrus, and Je-Geun Park. Magnetism in two-dimensional van der waals materials. *Nature*, 563(7729):47-52, 2018.
- [2] Cheng Gong, Lin Li, Zhenglu Li, Huiwen Ji, Alex Stern, Yang Xia, Ting Cao, Wei Bao, Chenzhe Wang, Yuan Wang, et al. Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional van der waals crystals. *Nature*, 546(7657):265-269, 2017.

- [3] Kurebayashi, H., Garcia, J. H., Khan, S., Sinova, J., Roche, S. Magnetism, symmetry and spin transport in van der Waals layered systems. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 4(3), 150-166,2022
- [4] Je-Geun Park. Opportunities and challenges of two-dimensional magnetic van der waals materials:magnetic graphene. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.08833, 2016
- [5] Xue Jiang, Qinxi Liu, Jianpei Xing, Nanshu Liu, Yu Guo, Zhifeng Liu, and Jijun Zhao. Recent progress on 2d magnets: Fundamental mechanism, structural design and modification. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 8(3):031305, 2021.
- [6] Bheema Lingam Chittari,Dongkyu Lee,Nepal Banerjee, Allan H MacDonald, Euyheon Hwang, and Jeil Jung. Carrier-and strain-tunable intrinsic magnetism in two-dimensional MAX_3 transition metal chalcogenides. *Physical Review B*, 101(8):085415,2020.
- [7] Chao Lei, Bheema L Chittari, Kentaro Nomura, Nepal Banerjee, Jeil Jung, and Allan H MacDonald. Magnetoelectric response of antiferromagnetic CrI_3 bilayers.*Nano Letters*,21(5):1948-1954, 2021.
- [8] KB Yogendra, Tanmoy Das, and G Baskaran. Emergent glassiness in disorder-free kitaev model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14328, 2023.
- [9] Fishman,S.,Aharony,A. Phase diagrams and multicritical points in randomly mixed magnets. II. Ferromagnet-antiferromagnet alloys. *Physical Review B*, 19(7), 3776,1979.
- [10] Dasgupta,C.,Ma,S.K.,Hu,C.K.Dynamic properties of a spin-glass model at low temperatures.*Physical Review B*,20(9),3837,1979.
- [11] Dominic H Ryan. Recent progress in random magnets. 1992.
- [12] Bhatt,R.N.,Young,A.P.Search for a transition in the three-dimensional j ising spin-glass. *Physical review letters*, 54(9), 924,19885.
- [13] Daniel Rhodes, Sang Hoon Chae, Rebeca Ribeiro-Palau, and James Hone. Disorder in van der waals heterostructures of 2d materials. *Nature materials*, 18(6):541-549, 2019.
- [14] Harris, A. B.Effect of random defects on the critical behaviour of Ising models. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 7(9), 1671,1974
- [15] Imry, Y., Ma, S. K. Random-field instability of the ordered state of continuous symmetry. *Physical Review Letters*, 35(21), 1399, 1975
- [16] Thomas Vojta. Disorder in quantum many-body systems. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, 10:233-252, 2019.
- [17] Nepal Banerjee.Critical phenomena study of 3d heisenberg magnet. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02566, 2023.
- [18] Mallick, O.,Acharyya,M. Monte Carlo study of the phase transitions in the classical XY ferromagnets with random anisotropy. *Phase Transitions*, 1-19,2023.

- [19] Banerjee, N. (2023). Mixed phase and Tetra-critical Behaviour of Dilute 3D Heisenberg Magnet. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12611.
- [20] S Bekhechi and BW Southern. Chiral mixed phase in disordered 3 d heisenberg models. Physical Review B, 70(2):020405, 2004.
- [21] Beath, A.D., Ryan, D.H. Universal scaling functions and multi-critical points in the site frustrated Heisenberg model. Journal of applied physics, 97(10), 2005.