

# Derivation of the non-equilibrium generalized Langevin equation from a generic time-dependent Hamiltonian

Roland R. Netz

*Fachbereich Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, 144195 Berlin, Germany and*

*Centre for Condensed Matter Theory, Department of Physics,*

*Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India*

(Dated: October 3, 2023)

It has become standard practice to describe steady-state non-equilibrium phenomena by Langevin equations with colored noise and time-dependent friction kernels that do not obey the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, but since these Langevin equations are typically not derived from first-principle Hamiltonian dynamics it is not clear whether they correspond to physically realizable scenarios. By exact Mori projection in phase space we derive the non-equilibrium generalized Langevin equation (GLE) from a generic many-body Hamiltonian with a time-dependent force  $h(t)$  acting on an arbitrary phase-space dependent observable  $A$ . The GLE is obtained in explicit form to all orders in  $h(t)$ . For non-equilibrium observables that correspond to a Gaussian process, the resultant GLE has the same form as the equilibrium Mori GLE, in particular the memory kernel is proportional to the total force autocorrelation function. This means that the extraction and simulation methods developed for equilibrium GLEs can be used also for non-equilibrium Gaussian variables. This is a non-trivial and very useful result, as many observables that characterize non-equilibrium systems display Gaussian statistics. For non-Gaussian non-equilibrium variables correction terms appear in the GLE and in the relation between the complementary force autocorrelations and the memory kernels, which are explicitly given in terms of cubic correlation functions of  $A$ . Interpreting the time-dependent force  $h(t)$  as a stochastic process, we derive non-equilibrium corrections to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem and methods to extract all GLE parameters from experimental or simulation data, thus making our non-equilibrium GLE a practical tool to study and model general non-equilibrium systems.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical mechanics foundation of non-equilibrium phenomena has occupied physicists for many decades [1–8]. More recently, new experimental techniques, such as single-molecule and optical methods, applied to non-equilibrium biological systems have accentuated the need for theories that are able to deal with non-equilibrium experiments and data [9–15]. At the same time, novel theoretical approaches were developed and applied to non-equilibrium driven lattice models [16–18], interacting non-equilibrium particle systems [19–21], non-equilibrium barrier-crossing phenomena [22, 23] and used to derive non-equilibrium work and entropy relations [24–28], generalized fluctuation-dissipation relations [29–33] and non-equilibrium entropy-production extremal principles [34].

The generalized Langevin equation (GLE) has played a key role in the development of methods to deal with the dynamics of complex systems, as it is an exact equation of motion for an observable derived by projection from the many-body Hamiltonian, the GLE thus constitutes a method for exact coarse-graining of a Hamiltonian system [35–47]. The GLE was applied to protein folding [48–51], barrier crossing dynamics [22, 52–55], motion of living cells [56], spectroscopy [57–59], dynamical networks [60] and data prediction [61]. While the standard GLE formulations describe the motion of an observable in phase space and thus allow to quantify the approach of a non-equilibrium state to equilibrium, they do not apply

to driven non-equilibrium system as described by a time-dependent Hamiltonian. Many works dealt with generalizations of the projection framework to time-dependent and transient scenarios [62–71]. Non of these works dealt with the non-equilibrium Hamiltonian system considered in this paper and derived the non-equilibrium GLE in closed form. Since most of the aforementioned theories concerned with non-equilibrium phenomena break the fluctuation-dissipation theorem more or less by hand, it is instructive to derive non-equilibrium equations of motion for observables from time-dependent Hamiltonians. This enables to check which non-equilibrium effective equations correspond to an underlying Hamiltonian non-equilibrium dynamics and which do not. This is the vantage point of this paper.

In the presence of a time-dependent force  $h(t)$ , a Hamiltonian system is generally out of equilibrium since the force performs work on the system. In fact, even for constant force  $h(t) = h_0 \neq 0$  such a system is out of equilibrium if the observable  $A$  is unconfined and thus driven into a steady-state motion by the constant force, as will be explained below. For an equilibrium system, i.e. for  $h(t) = 0$ , the Mori GLE for the observable  $A(t)$  reads [37]

$$\ddot{A}(t) = -K(A(t) - \langle A \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(t-s) \dot{A}(s) + F(t), \quad (1)$$

where the stiffness of the effective harmonic potential is denoted as  $K$ , the time-dependent friction memory kernel

as  $\Gamma(t)$  and the complementary force as  $F(t)$ . The time at which the projection is done is denoted as  $t_0$  and the friction kernel  $\Gamma(t)$  is related to the complementary force autocorrelation function via [37]

$$\Gamma(t-s) = \frac{\langle F(s)F(t) \rangle}{\langle \dot{A}^2(t) \rangle} \quad (2)$$

(all averages are phase-space averages, as will be detailed below). Note that  $F(t)$  is often denoted and treated as a random force, this is an approximation since  $F(t)$  is in fact a phase-space dependent deterministic function and fulfills well-defined initial conditions at  $t = t_0$ , Eq. (1) is thus deterministic and fully time reversible. The Mori GLE in Eq. (1) is also exact even for non-linear systems, unless the complementary force  $F(t)$  is approximated as Gaussian.

In this paper we derive the non-equilibrium generalized Langevin equation (GLE) for an arbitrary phase-space dependent observable  $A$ , governed by a general many-body Hamiltonian that includes a time-dependent external force  $h(t)$  acting on  $A$ , the derivation is exact to all orders of  $h(t)$ . This specific time-dependent Hamiltonian is of high relevance, as it forms the starting point for the text-book derivation of the standard fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) [8], which is one of the corner stones of statistical mechanics. Thus the standard FDT and our non-equilibrium GLE are intimately connected since they stem from the same Hamiltonian.

A key question we address in this paper is whether in the presence of an external time-dependent force  $h(t)$  acting on  $A$ , the GLE in Eq. (1) and the relation between the friction kernel and the complementary force autocorrelation in Eq. (2) still hold. Indeed, one main result of this paper is that the simple forms of Eqs. (1) and (2) indeed remain valid if the observable  $A$  corresponds to a Gaussian process and if  $F(t)$  is replaced by the combination of  $F(t)$  and  $h(t)$ . This is a non-trivial and relevant finding, since many biological non-equilibrium processes, such as the motion of cells, are Gaussian to high accuracy [56]. Conversely, for a non-Gaussian observable  $A$ , correction terms appear in Eqs. (1) and (2) that are explicitly given in terms of three-point (and higher-order) correlation functions of  $A$ . These explicit results allow for explicit prediction of the non-equilibrium correction terms based on experimental or simulation time-series data. Our derivation of the GLE is non-perturbative and thus exact to all orders in the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ . For the special case of a stochastic non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  that is defined by its second moment, we derive a generalized non-equilibrium FDT which in the limit  $h(t) \rightarrow 0$  simplifies to the standard FDT. Finally, we give explicit formulas for extracting the parameters of our non-equilibrium GLE from simulation or experimental time series data, opening the route to the accurate and data-based modeling of non-equilibrium systems.

Sec. II contains the full derivation of the non-equilibrium GLE, this section can be skipped by a reader not interested in technical details. In Sect. III the

non-equilibrium GLE is discussed and the role of non-Gaussian observable fluctuations is explained. In Sect. IV the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  is treated as a stochastic variable, which restores time-homogeneity and simplifies the analysis of the GLE. Here the non-equilibrium FDT is derived. Sect. V presents a short discussion and an outlook.

## II. DERIVATION OF THE NON-EQUILIBRIUM GENERALIZED LANGEVIN EQUATION

### A. Definition of the time-dependent Hamiltonian and solution of the Liouville equation

We consider a time-dependent Hamiltonian for a system of  $N$  interacting particles or atoms in three-dimensional space with a time-dependent force  $h(t)$  that couples to a generic phase-space dependent observable  $A_S(\omega)$ ,

$$H(\omega, t) = H_0(\omega) - h(t)A_S(\omega), \quad (3)$$

the subscript distinguishes this Schrödinger-like, i.e. time-independent, observable from the time-dependent Heisenberg observable that will be introduced shortly. Although not really needed for our derivation, the time-independent Hamiltonian  $H_0(\omega)$  can be split into kinetic and potential contributions according to

$$H_0(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{3N} \frac{P_j^2}{2m_j} + V(\mathbf{R}) \quad (4)$$

with coordinate-dependent masses  $m_j$  and where the potential  $V(\mathbf{R})$  contains all interactions between the particles and includes possible external potentials. A point in  $6N$ -dimensional phase space is denoted by  $\omega = (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P})$ , which is a  $6N$ -dimensional vector containing the Cartesian particle positions  $\mathbf{R}$  and the conjugate momenta  $\mathbf{P}$  and fully specifies the microstate of the system.

Using the time-dependent Liouville operator

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{3N} \left( \frac{\partial H(\omega, t)}{\partial P_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} - \frac{\partial H(\omega, t)}{\partial R_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j} \right), \quad (5)$$

the  $6N$ -dimensional Hamilton equation of motion can be compactly written as  $\dot{\omega}(t) = \mathcal{L}(\omega, t)\omega(t)$ , where  $\omega(t)$  is the phase-space location of the system at time  $t$  and  $\dot{\omega}(t) = d\omega(t)/dt$  is the corresponding phase-space velocity. Instead of following microstate trajectories in phase space, which is the Lagrangian description of the system dynamics, it is much more convenient to switch to the Eulerian description and consider the time dependent probability density distribution as a function of the time-independent phase-space position,  $\rho(\omega, t)$ , which obeys the Liouville equation

$$\dot{\rho}(\omega, t) = -\mathcal{L}(\omega, t)\rho(\omega, t). \quad (6)$$

In all what follows we suppress the dependence of the Liouville operator on phase space. We observe that a recursive solution of Eq. (6) can be written as

$$\rho(\omega, t) = \rho(\omega, t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{L}(t_1) \rho(\omega, t_1). \quad (7)$$

By iteration the following exact solution is obtained

$$\rho(\omega, t) = \exp_S \left( - \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \rho(\omega, t_0), \quad (8)$$

which depends on the initial density distribution at time  $t_0$  and where the time-ordered operator exponential in the Schrödinger picture has been introduced as [72, 73]

$$\exp_S \left( - \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{L}(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \mathcal{L}(t_2) \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_3 \mathcal{L}(t_3) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \mathcal{L}(t_n). \quad (9)$$

For a time-independent Liouville operator  $\mathcal{L}(t) = \mathcal{L}_0$ , all time integrals can be done and one obtains the solution in the terms of the standard operator exponential

$$\rho(\omega, t) = \exp(- (t - t_0) \mathcal{L}_0) \rho(\omega, t_0), \quad (10)$$

where the exponential of an operator is defined by its ordinary series expansion.

## B. From Schrödinger to Heisenberg observables

A system observable can be generally written as a Schrödinger-type phase-space function  $A_S(\omega)$ , it can for example represent the position of one particle, the center-of-mass position of a group of particles or of a molecule, the reaction coordinate describing a chemical reaction or the folding of a protein. To simplify the notation, we consider a scalar observable but note that the formalism can be straightforwardly extended also to multi-dimensional

observables. Using the probability density  $\rho(\omega, t)$ , the time-dependent expectation value (or mean) of the observable  $A_S(\omega)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &\equiv \int d\omega A_S(\omega) \rho(\omega, t) \\ &= \int d\omega A_S(\omega) \exp_S \left( - \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \rho(\omega, t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since the Liouville operator is anti-self adjoint, it follows that [8]

$$a(t) = \int d\omega \rho(\omega, t_0) A(\omega, t), \quad (12)$$

where we have defined the Heisenberg observable as

$$A(\omega, t) \equiv \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) A_S(\omega) \quad (13)$$

using the time-ordered operator exponential in the Heisenberg picture (or Heisenberg propagator)

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \mathcal{L}(t_n) \cdots \mathcal{L}(t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_1). \quad (14)$$

Obviously, as follows from Eqs. (13) and (14), the Heisenberg observable satisfies the equation of motion

$$\dot{A}(\omega, t) = \frac{dA(\omega, t)}{dt} = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{L}(t) A_S(\omega) \quad (15)$$

with the initial condition  $A(\omega, t_0) = A_S(\omega)$ . As derived in Appendix A, the Heisenberg observable also satisfies

the initial differential boundary condition

$$\frac{dA(\omega, t)}{dt} = -\mathcal{L}(t_0) \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) A_S(\omega), \quad (16)$$

which will be later needed to derive operator expansions.

To understand the meaning of a Heisenberg observable, we for the moment consider the initial density distribution  $\rho(\omega, t_0) = \delta(\omega - \omega_0)$ , which describes a system that at time  $t_0$  is in the microstate  $\omega_0$ . Inserting this into Eq. (12), we obtain  $a(t) = A(\omega_0, t)$ . In other words,

$A(\omega_0, t)$  describes the time-dependent mean of an observable for a system that at time  $t = t_0$  was in the microstate  $\omega_0$ , i.e., it describes the temporal evolution of the conditional mean of the observable  $A_S(\omega)$ . It transpires that if we derive an equation of motion for  $A(\omega, t)$ , we have an equation for how this conditional mean changes in time. This is the central idea of projection and of GLEs [35–37].

Taking another time derivative of Eq. (15), we obtain for the acceleration of the observable

$$\ddot{A}(\omega, t) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \left( \mathcal{L}^2(t) + \dot{\mathcal{L}}(t) \right) A_S(\omega). \quad (17)$$

Up to now the discussion applied to a general time-dependent Hamiltonian; for the specific Hamiltonian Eq. (3), where a time dependent force  $h(t)$  multiplies the observable  $A_S(\omega)$ , the Liouville operator splits into two parts

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \mathcal{L}_0 - h(t)\Delta\mathcal{L}, \quad (18)$$

with the unperturbed Liouville operator given by

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{3N} \left( \frac{\partial H_0(\omega)}{\partial P_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} - \frac{\partial H_0(\omega)}{\partial R_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j} \right), \quad (19)$$

and the perturbation Liouville operator given by

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{3N} \left( \frac{\partial A_S(\omega)}{\partial P_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} - \frac{\partial A_S(\omega)}{\partial R_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_j} \right). \quad (20)$$

These operators have the important properties  $\mathcal{L}_0 H_0(\omega) = 0$ ,  $\Delta\mathcal{L} A_S(\omega) = 0$ , and  $\Delta\mathcal{L} H_0(\omega) = -\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ , from which we derive, using Eqs. (15) and (17), the simplified expressions for the observable velocity and acceleration

$$\dot{A}(\omega, t) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega), \quad (21)$$

$$\ddot{A}(\omega, t) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega). \quad (22)$$

The fact that the velocity Eq. (21) exhibits no time dependence to the right of the operator exponential is crucial, as it will later on allow us to use time-independent projection for the derivation of the non-equilibrium GLE.

### C. Projection

Here we follow standard procedures [8, 35–37]. We introduce a time-independent projection operator  $\mathcal{P}$  that acts on a phase space function and its complementary

operator  $\mathcal{Q}$  via the relation  $1 = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P}$ . Inserting this unit operator into the acceleration Eq. (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) (\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q}) \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \\ &= \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \\ &\quad + \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where we used that the Heisenberg propagator is a linear operator. The projection is performed at time  $t_0$  at which the time propagation starts (the relevance of this will become clear later). By inserting the time-dependent Dyson operator expansion [35–37, 72, 73] for the Heisenberg propagator (see Appendix B for a derivation)

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) &= \exp_H \left( \mathcal{Q} \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) + \\ &\int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{L}(s') \right) \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}(s) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t ds' \mathcal{L}(s') \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

into the second term on the right hand side in Eq. (23), we obtain the GLE in general form

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) + F(\omega, t_0, t) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{L}(s') \right) \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}(s) F(\omega, s, t), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the complementary force is defined as

$$F(\omega, t_0, t) \equiv \exp_H \left( \mathcal{Q} \int_{t_0}^t ds' \mathcal{L}(s') \right) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega). \quad (26)$$

The first term on the right-hand side in Eq. (25) will turn out to represent the conservative force from a potential, the third term represents friction and non-Markovian effects and the force  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  represents all effects that are not included in the other two terms.  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  is a function of phase space and evolves in the complementary space, i.e. it satisfies  $\mathcal{P} F(\omega, t_0, t) = 0$  (as will be explained further below). While we suppress the  $t_0$  dependence of the observable  $A(\omega, t)$  and its derivatives, which can cause no confusion since this argument is invariant throughout most of the calculation, the complementary force  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  needs both time arguments since both arguments are varied in Eq. (25).

Clearly, the explicit form of Eq. (25) depends on the specific projection operator  $\mathcal{P}$ . Here we choose the Mori projection, because it is most straightforward to implement and our main result concerning the effect of non-Gaussian observables on the structure of the non-equilibrium GLE is accurately and transparently produced by Mori projection. We note in passing that the

Mori GLE is exact even for non-Gaussian observables, unless one approximates the complementary force distribution. The Mori projection applied on a general Heisenberg observable  $B(\omega, t)$  using the Schrödinger observable  $A_S(\omega)$  as a projection function is given by [37]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}B(\omega, t) &= \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle + \frac{\langle B(\omega, t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \\ &+ \frac{\langle B(\omega, t)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Here we have defined the expectation value of an arbitrary phase-space function  $X(\omega)$  with respect to a time-independent projection distribution  $\rho_p(\omega)$  as

$$\langle X(\omega) \rangle = \int d\omega X(\omega) \rho_p(\omega), \quad (28)$$

which we here take to be the equilibrium canonical distribution of the time-independent Hamiltonian

$$\rho_p(\omega) = e^{-\beta H_0(\omega) + \beta h_p A_S(\omega)} / Z, \quad (29)$$

where  $Z$  is the partition function. The factor  $\beta$  has units of inverse energy and can be thought of as the inverse thermal energy characterizing the projection distribution. Note that for generality we added a linear force  $h_p$  in the projection Hamiltonian. Time-dependent projection has been used to derive generic non-equilibrium GLEs [62–71], but is not needed here because of the specific form of our time-dependent Hamiltonian. The time-independent Mori projection in Eq. (27) projects onto a constant, the Schrödinger observable  $A(\omega, t_0) = A_S(\omega)$  and its time derivative  $\dot{A}(\omega, t_0) = \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ . Thus the projection in Eq. (27) maps any observable  $B(\omega, t)$  onto the subspace of all functions linear in the observables  $1$ ,  $A_S(\omega)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ , meaning that  $\mathcal{P}1 = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}A_S(\omega) = A_S(\omega)$  and  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) = \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ . From this follows immediately that  $\mathcal{Q}1 = \mathcal{Q}A_S(\omega) = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) = 0$ , which are important properties. In particular, it follows that several expectation values involving the complementary force vanish, namely  $\langle F(\omega, t_0, t) \rangle = \langle F(\omega, t_0, t) A_S(\omega) \rangle = \langle F(\omega, t_0, t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle = 0$ , which will be used to extract GLE parameters from non-equilibrium time-series data,

The Mori projection is linear, i.e., for two arbitrary observables  $B(\omega, t)$  and  $C(\omega, t')$  it satisfies  $\mathcal{P}(c_1 B(\omega, t) + c_2 C(\omega, t')) = c_1 \mathcal{P}B(\omega, t) + c_2 \mathcal{P}C(\omega, t')$ , it is idempotent, i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$ , and it is self-adjoint, i.e. it satisfies the relation

$$\langle C(\omega, t) \mathcal{P}B(\omega, t') \rangle = \langle B(\omega, t') \mathcal{P}C(\omega, t) \rangle. \quad (30)$$

From these properties it follows that the complementary projection operator  $\mathcal{Q} = 1 - \mathcal{P}$  is also linear, idempotent and self-adjoint. Thus,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are orthogonal to each other, i.e.  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} = 0 = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}$ , details are shown in Appendix C.

### III. PROPERTIES OF THE NON-EQUILIBRIUM LANGEVIN EQUATION

#### A. General properties

Using the projection Eq. (27) in the generic GLE Eq. (25), we obtain the explicit GLE

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -K(t)(A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma_A(s, t) (A(\omega, s) - \langle A_S \rangle) \\ &+ F(\omega, t_0, t) + (h(t) - h_p)/M, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

the details of the derivation are shown in Appendix D. Eq. (31) is an exact and explicit equation of motion for the Heisenberg observable  $A(\omega, t)$  and is time-reversible, which is a consequence of the time-reversibility of the underlying Hamilton and Liouville equations. Inspection of the GLE shows that  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  is the only term (except  $h(t)$ ) in the GLE that accounts for possible non-linearities (i.e. non-Gaussian contributions) in  $A(\omega, t)$ . Thus, imposing  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  to be a Gaussian variable corresponds to a severe approximation for non-linear systems. On the other hand, keeping the full non-Gaussian contributions of  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  makes Eq. (31) an exact description of the observable dynamics.

The first term in Eq. (31) is a force due to an effective harmonic potential with a time-dependent potential stiffness  $K(t)$  given by

$$K(t) = K_0 + K_1(t) \quad (32)$$

with

$$K_0 = \frac{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle}, \quad (33)$$

$$K_1(t) = \frac{-\beta(h(t) - h_p) \langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle}. \quad (34)$$

The second term in Eq. (31) accounts for linear friction and depends on the memory kernel given by

$$\Gamma(s, t) = \Gamma_0(s, t) + \Gamma_1(s, t) \quad (35)$$

with

$$\Gamma_0(s, t) = \frac{\langle F(\omega, s, s) F(\omega, s, t) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}, \quad (36)$$

$$\Gamma_1(s, t) = \frac{-\beta(h(s) - h_p) \langle F(\omega, s, t) (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}. \quad (37)$$

There is also a positional memory term which is not present in the equilibrium GLE in Eq. (1) and which

involves the kernel function

$$\Gamma_A(s, t) = \frac{\beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle F(\omega, s, t)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle}. \quad (38)$$

The last two terms in Eq. (31) are the complementary force  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  defined in Eq. (26) and the time-dependent force  $h(t)$ , where the mass is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{\beta\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}. \quad (39)$$

For vanishing force  $h(t) = 0$ , which renders the equilibrium scenario, and choosing  $h_p = 0$ , we see that  $K_1(t) = \Gamma_1(s, t) = \Gamma_A(s, t) = 0$  and we thus recover the standard form of the equilibrium Mori GLE in Eq. (1), where in particular the friction kernel  $\Gamma_0(s, t)$  is via Eq. (2) related to the complementary force autocorrelation function (note that in Eqs. (1) and (2) we have suppressed the phase-space dependence of the observable  $A$  and of the complementary force  $F$ ). In contrast, if  $h(t) - h_p \neq 0$ , we see that additional terms are present in the GLE and that for  $\Gamma_1(s, t) \neq 0$  the friction kernel  $\Gamma(s, t)$  does not equal the complementary force autocorrelation function. We first want to discuss whether a non-zero  $\Gamma_1(s, t)$  necessarily indicates the presence of non-equilibrium effects.

An insightful scenario to address this question is one where the force  $h(t) = h_0$  is constant, in which case the complementary force and all memory kernels become time homogeneous and can be written as  $F(\omega, s, t) = F(\omega, t - s)$ ,  $\Gamma(s, t) = \Gamma(t - s)$ ,  $\Gamma_A(s, t) = \Gamma_A(t - s)$ . Let us first discuss an unconfined system, i.e., a system characterized by a diverging second moment,  $\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle = \infty$ . In this case  $K = 0 = \Gamma_A(t - s)$  and we must take  $h_p = 0$  in order to have a bounded projection distribution  $\rho_p(\omega)$  in Eq. (29). From Eq. (37) we see that  $\Gamma_1(t - s)$  can in general be non zero (as we will discuss in more detail in the next section), in which case the total friction memory kernel  $\Gamma(t - s)$  does not equal the complementary force autocorrelation, reflecting that an unconfined system under the influence of a constant force dissipates energy and thus is a non-equilibrium system. In contrast, a confined system that is characterized by a finite second moment  $\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle$ , is in the presence of a constant force  $h(t) = h_0$  an equilibrium system. But we see that for  $h_0 \neq h_p$  the terms  $K_1(t)$ ,  $\Gamma_1(s, t)$  and  $\Gamma_A(s, t)$  do not necessarily vanish. In other words, unless we choose as the projection distribution in Eq. (29) the equilibrium distribution, the friction kernel in the Mori GLE  $\Gamma(s, t)$  not necessarily equals the complementary force autocorrelation. Thus, a non-zero  $\Gamma_1(s, t)$  not necessarily indicates a driven non-equilibrium system, but can also be produced by choosing a specific projection distribution  $\rho_p(\omega)$  and characterize the approach of the system towards equilibrium. As we will discuss next,  $\Gamma_1(s, t)$  is predicted to vanish for Gaussian

non-equilibrium systems, so the interpretation of non-equilibrium GLEs has to be done with care. Having made this important point, we from now on put  $h_p = 0$ .

Note that for equilibrium systems, the GLE parameters and the complementary force can be extracted from simulation or experimental time series data by various well-established techniques [39, 46, 47, 74–78]. Similar extraction techniques for non-equilibrium time-series data will be discussed in Sec. IV.

## B. Gaussian versus non-Gaussian observables

In order to highlight the role played by non-Gaussian fluctuations of the observable  $A(\omega, t)$ , we slightly rewrite the friction memory kernel in Eq. (35) as

$$\Gamma(s, t) = \Gamma_0(s, t) + \beta h(s)h(t)/M + \Gamma_2(s, t) \quad (40)$$

with

$$\Gamma_2(s, t) = \frac{-\beta h(s)\langle (F(\omega, s, t) + h(t)/M)(\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}. \quad (41)$$

For the potential memory term in Eq. (38) we choose the modified form

$$\Gamma_A(s, t) = \frac{\beta h(s)\langle (F(\omega, s, t) + h(t)/M)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle}. \quad (42)$$

From the GLE (31) we see that  $F(\omega, s, t) + h(t)/M$  is linear in  $A(\omega, \cdot) - \langle A_S \rangle$  with a projection time given by  $t_0 = s$ , we conclude from Eqs. (41) and (42) that  $\Gamma_2(s, t)$  and  $\Gamma_A(s, t)$  are proportional to expectation values that are (at least) cubic in  $A(\omega, \cdot) - \langle A_S \rangle$ . In other words, for an observable  $A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle$  that is Gaussian, the kernel functions  $\Gamma_2(s, t)$  and  $\Gamma_A(s, t)$  (and also the potential stiffness correction  $K_1(t)$ ) vanish. In this case, we are thus led to the simplified GLE, valid for Gaussian observables,

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -K_0(A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma_G(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \\ &+ F(\omega, t_0, t) + h(t)/M \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where the Gaussian friction kernel is given by the autocorrelation of the sum of the complementary and non-equilibrium forces according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_G(s, t) &= \frac{\langle (F(\omega, s, s) + h(s)/M)(F(\omega, s, t) + h(t)/M) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle F(\omega, s, s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle + h(t)h(s)/M^2}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle F(\omega, s, s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} + \beta h(t)h(s)/M. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

In the derivation of Eq. (44) we used that the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  is phase-space independent. Thus, we conclude that a Gaussian non-equilibrium variable is described by a GLE with a friction memory kernel that via Eq. (44) is related to the autocorrelation of the total force acting on the observable. One notes that Eqs. (43) and (44) are equivalent to the standard Mori GLE formulation, Eqs. (1) and (2), provided the force in Eq. (1) is interpreted as the sum of the complementary force and the non-equilibrium force. It should be noted that an observable can be Gaussian while the entire many-body system is non-Gaussian, meaning that other observables and system coordinates may very well exhibit non-Gaussian fluctuations. Thus the class of systems exhibiting Gaussian observables is a rather large one and includes for example moving cells [56].

Another useful equation is derived by averaging the entire GLE Eq. (31) over phase space, resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}(t) &= \int d\omega \rho_p(\omega) \ddot{A}(\omega, t) \\ &= -K(t)(a(t) - \langle A_S \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{a}(s) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma_A(s, t)(a(s) - \langle A_S \rangle) + h(t)/M, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where the phase-space averaged Heisenberg observable is denoted as  $a(t) = \langle A(\omega, t) \rangle$  and  $\langle F(\omega, t_0, t) \rangle = 0$  was used. This equation describes how the mean observable  $a(t)$  evolves in time under the influence of the force  $h(t)$ , it therefore establishes the relation between the mean observable  $a(t)$  and the external force  $h(t)$ . It is exact and valid beyond the linear-response approximation and can therefore be viewed as a generalization of the linear-response FDT, as will be explored in detail in Sect. IV B.

To derive yet another GLE, we define the deviation of the Heisenberg observable  $A(\omega, t)$  around its mean as

$$\Delta A(\omega, t) = A(\omega, t) - a(t), \quad (46)$$

by subtracting Eqs. (31) and (45) the GLE for  $\Delta A(\omega, t)$  follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -K(t) \Delta A(\omega, t) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \Delta \dot{A}(\omega, s) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma_A(s, t) \Delta A(\omega, s) + F(\omega, t_0, t). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

From Eq. (47) we see that the complementary force  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  is linear in  $\Delta A(\omega, \cdot)$ ; this means that if  $\Delta A(\omega, t)$  is a Gaussian variable, the kernel functions  $\Gamma_1(s, t)$  and  $\Gamma_A(s, t)$  in Eqs. (37) and (38) vanish and we are thus led to the GLE for the Gaussian deviatory non-equilibrium variable  $\Delta A(\omega, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -K_0 \Delta A(\omega, t) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma_0(s, t) \Delta \dot{A}(\omega, s) \\ &\quad + F(\omega, t_0, t), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the friction kernel  $\Gamma_0(s, t)$  is given by the complementary force autocorrelation via Eq. (36). Thus, the deviations of a Gaussian non-equilibrium variable from its mean are described by a GLE of the form of Eq. (1) that satisfies the standard FDT relation Eq. (2).

We have demonstrated in this section that a Gaussian observable is described by a GLE that has the same form as the equilibrium GLE Eq. (1), in other words, the stochastic behavior of an observable of a non-equilibrium system differs from an equilibrium system only if the observable is non-Gaussian. This is a very important finding, since many experimental observables are Gaussian to a very good degree, for all such variables the standard equilibrium Mori GLE in the form of Eq. (1), or, more precisely, Eq. (43), is a valid description of the dynamics.

## IV. STOCHASTIC NON-EQUILIBRIUM FORCE

### A. Infinitesimal response

The GLE discussed so far is difficult to deal with in practice since it is inhomogeneous in time; this is utterly expected and reflects the presence of the time-dependent force  $h(t)$  in the Hamiltonian but complicates the further analysis. In many experimental scenarios the time evolution of the force  $h(t)$  is not known or unimportant, it therefore becomes useful to interpret  $h(t)$  as a stochastic variable that is only characterized by its first moments

$$\bar{1} = 1, \quad \overline{h(t)} = 0, \quad \overline{h(t)h(s)} = \sigma(t-s). \quad (49)$$

The assumption of a vanishing first moment does not restrict the generality of the model since (at least for bounded systems) we can subtract a constant from  $h(t)$  and move it into the equilibrium part of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (3). Here  $\sigma(t-s)$  denotes the force autocorrelation function, by only defining the first two moments of  $h(t)$  we are not necessarily implying that the force is a Gaussian stochastic variable, as will become clearer later on. By averaging the non-equilibrium GLE Eq. (31) over the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  we obtain the GLE

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -K_0(A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \bar{\Gamma}(t-s) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t ds \bar{\Gamma}_A(t-s)(A(\omega, s) - \langle A_S \rangle) + \bar{F}(\omega, t-t_0) + F_\epsilon(t), \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where we have added an infinitesimal generating force  $F_\epsilon(t)$  by the substitution  $h(t) \rightarrow h(t) + F_\epsilon(t)$  in Eq. (31) prior to averaging, which later will be used to derive the infinitesimal response of a non-equilibrium system. When performing the force averaging, we use that the observable  $A(\omega, t)$  in Eq. (31) has no explicit dependence on  $h(t)$ , which reflects that its time evolution is completely determined by its initial value, its initial velocity and the GLE parameters, which do explicitly depend on

$h(t)$  (note that, in contrast, the solution  $A(\omega, t)$  of the GLE Eq. (31) does depend on  $h(t)$  and the average of this solution over  $h(t)$  obviously is not the same as the solution of Eq. (50)). The force-averaged memory kernels  $\bar{\Gamma}(t-s)$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_A(t-s)$  and the force-averaged complementary force  $\bar{F}(\omega, t-t_0)$  are homogeneous in time, as is shown by perturbative operator expansion to leading order in powers of  $\sigma$  in Appendix E. The friction kernel follows from Eq. (40) explicitly as

$$\bar{\Gamma}(t-s) = \bar{\Gamma}_0(t-s) + \beta\sigma(t-s)/M + \bar{\Gamma}_2(t-s), \quad (51)$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma}_0(t-s)$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_2(t-s)$  denote the force averages over Eqs. (36) and (41).

By additionally averaging over the phase space variable  $\omega$  we obtain the GLE for the phase-space and force-averaged Heisenberg observable  $a(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}(t) = & -K_0(a(t) - \langle A_S \rangle) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \bar{\Gamma}(t-s) \dot{a}(s) \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t ds \bar{\Gamma}_A(t-s)(a(s) - \langle A_S \rangle) + F_\epsilon(t). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

By Fourier transforming Eq. (52) according to  $\tilde{a}(\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i\nu t} a(t)$ , we obtain the infinitesimal response relation to first order in  $F_\epsilon(t)$  as

$$\tilde{a}(\nu) - 2\pi\delta(\nu)\langle A_S \rangle = \tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{F}_\epsilon(\nu), \quad (53)$$

where the Fourier-transformed response function is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\tilde{\chi}(\nu) = & K_0 - \nu^2 + \nu\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu) - \tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu) \\ = & K_0 - \nu^2 + \nu(\tilde{\Gamma}_0^+(\nu) + \beta\tilde{\sigma}^+(\nu)/M + \tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu)) - \tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

In the last step we have inserted Eq. (51). Note that the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  accounts for the full non-linear dependence of the observable on the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ , so it describes the response to an infinitesimal force  $F_\epsilon(t)$  in the presence of a finite (not necessarily small) back-ground force  $h(t)$ . In deriving Eq. (54) we have shifted the projection time into the far past,  $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , and have introduced causal or single-sided memory kernels and correlations, i.e.  $\bar{\Gamma}^+(t) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}_A^+(t) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}_0^+(t) = 0$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}_2^+(t) = 0$ ,  $\sigma^+(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  can be obtained from the Volterra equation for the correlation function that follows from the GLE, as explained in Sec. IV C. For a Gaussian observable, in which case  $\bar{\Gamma}_A(t) = 0 = \bar{\Gamma}_2(t)$  as discussed before, but in the presence of a non-equilibrium stochastic force,  $h(t) \neq 0$ , we obtain for the response function

$$1/\tilde{\chi}(\nu) = K_0 - \nu^2 + \nu\tilde{\Gamma}_0^+(\nu) + \nu\beta\tilde{\sigma}_+(\nu)/M. \quad (55)$$

In the absence of a non-equilibrium force, i.e. for  $h(t) = 0$ , Eq. (54) reduces to the standard equilibrium response function

$$1/\tilde{\chi}(\nu) = K_0 - \nu^2 + \nu\tilde{\Gamma}_0^+(\nu). \quad (56)$$

By comparison of Eqs. (54), (55) and (56) we see that the presence of a stochastic non-equilibrium force modifies the response function significantly and adds terms that are determined by the force autocorrelation function  $\sigma(t)$ .

## B. Fluctuation-dissipation theorem for finite non-equilibrium force $h(t)$

In order to derive the non-equilibrium version of the FDT, we need to calculate the two point correlation function

$$C(t-t') = \overline{\langle (A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle)(A(\omega, t') - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle} \quad (57)$$

for general times  $t, t' \geq t_0$ , which is obtained by simultaneous averaging over phase space and the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ . In Appendix F we derive Eq. (57) and show that two-point correlation functions are generally given by a phase-space average over products of Heisenberg variables, for this we use the product propagation relation derived in Appendix G. In App. H we show that the Fourier-transformed correlation function is to first order in an expansion in powers of the second-order force moments  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)$  given by

$$\tilde{C}(\nu) = \tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{\chi}(-\nu) \left[ \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) + \tilde{\sigma}(\nu)/M^2 \right], \quad (58)$$

where we note that the force moments  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) = \tilde{\Gamma}_0^+(\nu) + \tilde{\Gamma}_0^+(-\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}(\nu) = \tilde{\sigma}^+(\nu) + \tilde{\sigma}^+(-\nu)$  are Fourier transforms of time-symmetrized functions. Using the expression for the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  in Eq. (54), we can rewrite the correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{C}(\nu)}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} = & \frac{\tilde{\chi}(-\nu)}{\nu} - \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\nu)}{\nu} \\ & - \tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{\chi}(-\nu) \left[ \tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu) + \tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(-\nu) - \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu) - \tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(-\nu)}{\nu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Since all time-domain kernel functions are real, we have  $\Re(\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu)) = \Re(\tilde{\Gamma}^+(-\nu))$  and  $\Im(\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu)) = -\Im(\tilde{\Gamma}^+(-\nu))$ , where  $\Re$  and  $\Im$  denote the real and imaginary parts of a complex number  $X$  according to  $X = \Re X + i\Im X$ . With this, we can rewrite the correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu\tilde{C}(\nu)}{2\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} = & -\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu)) \\ & - \tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{\chi}(-\nu) \left[ \nu\Re(\tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu)) - \Im(\tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

which is the FDT in the presence of a non-equilibrium stochastic force. As discussed in Sec. III B, if the observable  $A(\omega, t)$  is Gaussian, the memory kernel contributions  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_A(\nu)$  vanish and we recover an equation that



resembles the standard FDT [8]

$$\frac{\nu\tilde{C}(\nu)}{2\langle(\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2\rangle} = -\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu)). \quad (61)$$

However, the correlation function  $\tilde{C}(\nu)$  and the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  do depend on the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ , so Eq. (61) is a non-trivial generalization of the standard FDT (which does not depend on the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ ) to non-equilibrium Gaussian systems. In Appendix I we derive the standard FDT by leading-order perturbation analysis of the Heisenberg observable in Eq. (13). The comparison with this derivation is instructive, since it deviates slightly from the text-book derivation of the FDT and highlights the non-perturbative character of our non-equilibrium GLE. Comparison of Eqs. (60) and (61) shows that our generalized FDT for non-Gaussian non-equilibrium systems in Eq. (60) contains additional terms that are essentially proportional to the non-equilibrium force moment  $\sigma$  and which result from a systematic first-order expansion in powers of  $\sigma$ , as put forward in Appendix H.

A particularly transparent formulation of the non-equilibrium fluctuation dissipation theorem Eq. (60) is given by

$$-\frac{\nu\tilde{C}(\nu)/\langle(\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2\rangle}{2\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu))} = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) + \beta\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)/M}{2\left(\Re(\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu)) - \Im(\tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu))/\nu\right)} = 1 - \Xi(\nu), \quad (62)$$

where in the first equation we used Eqs. (58) and (54). Eq. (62) contains two alternative forms of our non-equilibrium FDT, the first in terms of the correlation function and the imaginary part of the response function, which is preferred when dealing with experimental data and when the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  is not known, the second in terms of the force correlations  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)$  and the real part of the memory function, which is useful when the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  is known and which will be further explained in Sec. IV D. In Eq. (62) we defined the frequency-dependent non-equilibrium correction

$$\Xi(\nu) = \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{\chi}(-\nu)}{\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu))} \left[ \Re(\nu\tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu)) - \Im(\tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu)) \right], \quad (63)$$

which has been previously introduced to quantify the departure from equilibrium in biological non-equilibrium data [33]. Obviously, for Gaussian observables, i.e. for  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu) = 0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu)$  we have  $\Xi(\nu) = 0$ . The expression for  $\Xi(\nu)$  is proportional to the factor  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{\chi}(-\nu)/\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu))$ , which depends on the response function  $\chi(\nu)$  and can be described in terms of rather generic models. The non-Gaussian memory contributions  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2^+(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(\nu)$  are conversely rather system-specific and defy a generic approach.

### C. Response function from the Volterra equation

The standard way of extracting the memory kernel from time-series data is by turning the stochastic GLE for the phase-space dependent observable  $A(\omega, t)$  into a non-stochastic integro-differential equation for the two-point correlation function, which can be solved by Fourier transformation or recursively after discretization in the time domain [39]. Here we show that the same recipe also works for our non-equilibrium GLE. To proceed, we multiply the GLE in Eq. (31) by  $\dot{A}(\omega, t_0) = \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$  and average over phase space  $\omega$  and the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ , by which we obtain the equation

$$-\ddot{C}_0(t-t_0) = K_0\dot{C}_0(t-t_0) + \int_0^{t-t_0} ds\bar{\Gamma}(s)\ddot{C}_0(t-t_0-s) - \int_0^{t-t_0} ds\bar{\Gamma}_A(s)\dot{C}_0(t-t_0-s) \quad (64)$$

for the two point correlation function

$$C_0(t-t_0) = \overline{\langle(A(\omega, t_0) - \langle A_S \rangle)(A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle)\rangle}. \quad (65)$$

Note that the two-point correlation function  $C_0(t)$  defined here differs from the one defined in Eq. (57) in that one of the times coincides with the projection time  $t_0$ . This makes a fundamental difference, as will become clear shortly. When deriving Eq. (64), we used that the phase-space average over the product of  $\dot{A}(\omega, t_0)$  and the forces  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  or  $h(t)$  in the GLE Eq. (31) vanishes and that the resulting equation becomes homogeneous in time due to the average over the stochastic force  $h(t)$ , as explained in Appendix E.

By performing a single-sided Fourier transform of Eq. (64) while assuming  $t \geq t_0$  and defining  $\tilde{C}_0^+(\nu) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-i\nu t} C_0(t)$ , we obtain the solution

$$\tilde{C}_0^+(\nu) = \frac{\ddot{C}_0(0)\tilde{\chi}(\nu)}{i\nu} + \frac{C_0(0)}{i\nu} \quad (66)$$

in terms of the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  defined in Eq. (54). This expression for  $\tilde{C}_0^+(\nu)$  is equivalent to the expression Eq. (61) for  $\tilde{C}(\nu)$  in the Gaussian limit but for a non-Gaussian observable differs from the full expression for  $\tilde{C}(\nu)$ , in other words,  $C_0(t)$  and  $C(t)$  are completely different correlation function for non-Gaussian non-equilibrium systems. It transpires that from the time-domain correlation function  $C_0(t)$ , which can be straightforwardly obtained in experiments or simulations by turning on the non-equilibrium force at time  $t_0$  (using that the distribution at time  $t_0$  prior to application of the non-equilibrium force equals the canonical projection distribution  $\rho_p(\omega)$  in Eq. (29)), the single-sided Fourier transform  $\tilde{C}_0^+(\nu)$  and the values  $\ddot{C}_0(0)$  and  $C_0(0)$  follow, from which  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  is determined via Eq. (66) by direct inversion. The zero-frequency part of the response function can be furthermore extracted by noting that  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\nu \tilde{C}_0(\nu) = 2\pi\ddot{C}_0(0)/(K_0 - \tilde{\Gamma}_A^+(0))$  where

$\tilde{C}_0(\nu) = \tilde{C}_0^+(\nu) + \tilde{C}_0^+(-\nu)$ , as follows by residual calculus. Alternatively, instead of Fourier transformation, Eq. (64) can be recursively solved by discretization [39, 46, 74].

#### D. Extracting non-equilibrium GLE parameters from time series data

In the previous section we showed that the correlation function  $C_0(t)$ , defined in Eq. (65), is the solution of the differential equation Eq. (64) and can be used to calculate the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  via inversion of Eq. (66). This is a very practical method to obtain  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  from an experimental or simulated correlation function. In fact, from  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  all parameters of the non-equilibrium-force-averaged GLE in Eq. (50) can be obtained. To see this, we introduce the running integral over the memory function  $\bar{G}_A(s) = \int_s^{t-t_0} ds' \bar{\Gamma}_A(s')$ , with which Eq. (50) can after partial integration be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{A}(\omega, t) &= -(K_0 - \bar{G}_A(0)) (A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t ds (\bar{\Gamma}(t-s) + \bar{G}_A(t-s)) \dot{A}(\omega, s) + \bar{F}(\omega, t-t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The GLE in Eq. (67) now depends on a single combined kernel function  $\bar{\Gamma}(t) + \bar{G}_A(t)$ . Shifting the projection time into the far past,  $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , the single-sided Fourier transform of this kernel function can be related to the Fourier-transformed response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  given in Eq. (54) according to

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu) + \tilde{G}_A^+(\nu) = \frac{1}{i\nu} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(\nu)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(0)} + \nu^2 \right). \quad (68)$$

The stiffness of the effective harmonic potential that appears in Eq. (67) is determined by the zero-frequency limit of the response function according to

$$K_0 - \bar{G}_A(0) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(0)}, \quad (69)$$

as follows from Eq. (54). It transpires that all parameters of the GLE in Eq. (67) can be derived from the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  according to Eqs. (68) and (69). Furthermore, by inverting Eq. (67), the complementary force trajectory  $F(\omega, t_0, t)$  can be calculated from a trajectory of the observable  $A(\omega, t)$  (which becomes the force-averaged complementary force trajectory  $\bar{F}(\omega, t-t_0)$  only after averaging over different realizations of  $h(t)$ ). From that and using the definition Eq. (36), we can by averaging over  $h(t)$  calculate the complementary force correlation  $\bar{\Gamma}_0(t)$ , which allows to check whether time series data is of equilibrium or non-equilibrium nature. For this we rewrite the FDT in Eq. (62) using the definition of  $\bar{G}_A(t)$  as

$$\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) + \beta \tilde{\sigma}(\nu)/M}{2\Re \left( \tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu) + \tilde{G}_A^+(\nu) \right)} = 1 - \Xi(\nu), \quad (70)$$

which for an equilibrium system simplifies to

$$\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)}{2\Re \left( \tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu) \right)} = 1. \quad (71)$$

Knowing  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)$  from the determined complementary force trajectory and  $\tilde{\Gamma}^+(\nu) + \tilde{G}_A^+(\nu)$  from Eq. (68), we can check whether Eq. (71) is violated and in that case infer that the system is of non-equilibrium nature (the inverse conclusion cannot be easily drawn since Eq. (71) could be satisfied even if the system is out of equilibrium due to fortunate cancellation of terms in Eq. (70)). In conclusion, knowledge of the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$ , which can be obtained from the correlation function  $C_0(t)$  (determined by Eq. (64)) via Eq. (66), allows to detect whether a system is in equilibrium or not. In addition, if the non-equilibrium force trajectory  $h(t)$  is known, the correction terms  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_A(\nu)$ , that appear in the non-equilibrium FDT in Eq. (70) using the definition of  $\Xi(\nu)$  in Eq. (63), can, according to Eqs. (41) and (42), be calculated explicitly. This allows for an independent check of the non-equilibrium FDT in Eq. (70).

More suitable for certain experimental systems where the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  can not be turned on and off at will, knowing the correlation function  $C(t)$  defined in Eq. (57) and the response function  $\tilde{\chi}(\nu)$  defined via the infinitesimal response relation in Eq. (53), violation of the equilibrium FDT in Eq. (61) can be checked and the non-Gaussian memory terms in the non-equilibrium FDT in Eq. (60) can be extracted in an alternative fashion.

#### E. Joint observable distribution from path integrals

It remains to elucidate under which conditions the Heisenberg variable  $A(\omega, t)$  is described by a Gaussian process and thus the GLE Eq. (43) is valid. For this we consider the two-point joint probability distribution of  $A(\omega, t)$ , which is defined as

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \overline{\langle \delta(A_2 - A(\omega, t_2)) \delta(A_1 - A(\omega, t_1)) \rangle} \quad (72)$$

and involves averages over phase space  $\omega$  and the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  according to Eqs. (28) and (49). For the delta functions we use the Fourier representation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} \\ &\times e^{iq_1 A_1 + iq_2 A_2} \overline{\langle \exp(-iq_1 A(\omega, t_1) - iq_2 A(\omega, t_2)) \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Using the Fourier-transformed linear response relation in Eq. (53) and the response function defined in Eq. (54), we write the time-domain solution of the GLE Eq. (31)

as

$$A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \chi(s) (F(\omega, t-s) + h(t-s)/M). \quad (74)$$

Note that in deriving Eq. (74) we have only partially averaged Eq. (31) over  $h(t)$  so that the equation becomes time-homogeneous but still has the  $h(t)$  source term. We also neglect the  $h(t)$  dependence of the complementary force so that it becomes homogeneous in time and can be written as  $F(\omega, t-s)$ , the calculation is therefore first order in the force correlation functions. The averages over the forces  $F(\omega, t)$  and  $h(t)$  in Eq. (73) we express by Gaussian path integrals as

$$\langle X(F, h) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}F(\omega, \cdot)}{\mathcal{N}_F} X(F, h) \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds ds' \frac{F(\omega, s) F(\omega, s') \Gamma_0^{-1}(s-s')}{2 \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \right), \quad (75)$$

$$\overline{X(F, h)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}h(\cdot)}{\mathcal{N}_h} X(F, h) \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds ds' \frac{h(s) h(s') \sigma^{-1}(s-s')}{2} \right), \quad (76)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_F$  and  $\mathcal{N}_h$  are normalization constants and  $\Gamma_0^{-1}(s)$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(s)$  are the inverse functions of the Gaussian kernels defined in Eqs. (36) and (49) according to  $\int ds \Gamma_0^{-1}(t-s) \Gamma_0(s-t') = \delta(t'-t)$ . Non-Gaussian fluctuations of  $F(\omega, t)$  and  $h(t)$  need not be explicitly considered here as by construction they do not change their two-point correlations. Performing the Gaussian path integrals, the two-point distribution follows in matrix notation as

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \frac{\exp \left( -(A_j - \langle A_S \rangle) I_{jk}^{-1} (A_k - \langle A_S \rangle) / 2 \right)}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi \bar{I}}}, \quad (77)$$

where the indices  $j, k = 1, 2$  are summed over and the entries of the two-by-two matrix

$$I_{jk} = C(t_j - t_k) \quad (78)$$

are given by the two-point correlation function defined in Eq. (58), details of the derivation are given in Appendix J. From Eq. (77) we see that if the forces  $F(\omega, t)$  and  $h(t)$  are described by general Gaussian processes, as assumed in Eqs. (75) and (76), then also the observable  $A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle$  is a Gaussian process determined by the correlation function  $C(t)$  defined in Eq. (58). In this case, the GLE Eq. (43) is valid, which has the structure of an equilibrium GLE. Conversely, if one of the two forces  $F(\omega, t)$  or  $h(t)$  is non-Gaussian, then also the observable  $A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle$  is non-Gaussian and the GLE in Eq. (31) applies, which does not satisfy the FDT in

Eq. (44). To reiterate this point, if the FDT in Eq. (44) is violated, this can be due to non-Gaussian contributions in the complementary force  $F(\omega, t)$  or in the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ . It will in general be difficult to tell in an experiment which of the forces generates the non-Gaussian behavior of the observable  $A(\omega, t)$ , in particular since  $F(\omega, t)$  depends on  $h(t)$ , unless the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  is generated externally and thus explicitly known.

## V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In the paper we have derived the non-equilibrium GLE from a many-body Hamiltonian which contains a non-equilibrium time-dependent force  $h(t)$  that acts on a general phase-space-dependent observable  $A_S(\omega)$ . This is the same Hamiltonian one uses for deriving the standard FDT, in other words, the GLE we derive is conjugate to the standard FDT with one important distinction: While the standard FDT describes the first-order response of the time-dependent mean of the observable to the external force  $h(t)$  and thus the relation between the response function  $\chi(t)$  and the two-point correlation function  $C(t)$  is independent of the force  $h(t)$ , we derive the non-equilibrium GLE Eq. (31) non-perturbatively, i.e. exactly to all orders in  $h(t)$ . Having pointed out the exact nature of our derived non-equilibrium GLE, one should add that the kernel functions and the complementary force that appear in the GLE Eq. (31) depend implicitly on the force trajectory via the propagators. While linear and non-linear response theory describes how the mean of an observable depends on the external force  $h(t)$ , the GLE is an equation of motion for the fluctuating observable, it therefore opens up a complementary field of applications and is particular relevant for the description of time series data.

From the exact GLE Eq. (31) we infer that Gaussian non-equilibrium observables are described by a GLE that takes the form of the equilibrium GLE in Eq. (1). This is an important and rather non-trivial finding, as key observables of many non-equilibrium systems are in fact Gaussian. For example, the motion of cancer cells and algae [56] has been shown to be described by a Gaussian process provided one looks at single-cell data. The correction terms in the non-equilibrium GLE Eq. (31) that account for non-Gaussian effects turn out to be three-point (and higher-order) correlation functions that involve the complementary force.

The non-equilibrium GLE in Eq. (31) breaks time-homogeneity and thus is difficult to deal with in practice. We therefore derive a GLE assuming that the external force  $h(t)$  is stochastic and defined by its second moment. The preaveraging over  $h(t)$  reinstalls time homogeneity of the GLE and allows us to derive the non-equilibrium FDT to first order in a cumulant expansion in terms of the external force and the complementary force. Similar to the GLE, the non-equilibrium FDT for Gaussian

observable has the same form as the equilibrium FDT, only for non-Gaussian observables correction terms appear in the non-equilibrium FDT that again are related to three-point (and higher-order) correlation functions that involve the complementary force.

We also introduce different methods for extracting the parameters of our non-equilibrium GLE from time series data. Here we distinguish between methods that require knowledge of the external force trajectory  $h(t)$ , as is the case for simulation data and for experiments where a system is perturbed by an externally applied time-dependent force, for example by laser-traps or atomic-force microscopes, and methods where the force trajectory  $h(t)$  is not known, as is the case in most experiments on biological systems.

It is a widespread misconception that deviations from the equality in Eq. (2) would signal a break-down of the standard FDT. The FDT relates the first-order response function of the observable  $A$  to the force  $h(t)$  with the two-point correlation function of  $A$ , thus, by definition, the standard FDT is independent of  $h(t)$ , similar to Eq. (2) for an equilibrium system. For finite force  $h(t) \neq 0$  the relation between the friction kernel  $\Gamma(t-s)$  and the complementary force autocorrelation in Eq. (2) is replaced by a relation that explicitly depends on  $h(t)$ . Likewise, the relation between the non-linear response of  $A$  to an infinitesimal field increase  $h(t) + MF_\epsilon(t)$  and the fluctuations of  $A$  becomes dependent on  $h(t)$  and in fact involves three-point correlation functions of  $A$ , as we show in this paper.

A second widespread misconception is that the Mori GLE Eq. (1) is approximate and only holds on the Gaussian level. The opposite is true, Eq. (1) is exact and any non-linear properties that the observable  $A$  might have are accurately represented by non-Gaussian contributions of  $F$ . This means that the non-equilibrium GLE we derive, Eq. (31), also applies to non-Gaussian non-equilibrium observables, provided the non-Gaussian contributions from the complementary force are correctly

included.

One conclusion from our work is that for Gaussian systems, it does not make sense to consider a GLE that violates the FDT, this nicely explains our previous finding that for Gaussian systems, there is no way of detecting non-equilibrium properties from time-series data [56].

We mention in passing that the external force  $h(t)$  in general performs work on the system, meaning that the total energy of the system will in general increase with time. Since the GLE Eq. (31) is exact, it correctly takes into account these transient energetic effects.

The time-dependent Hamiltonian Eq. (3) includes only the linear coupling between the time-dependent force  $h(t)$  and the observable  $A_S(\omega)$ , more complicated coupling terms are conceivable. The motivation for studying this simple time-dependent Hamiltonian is that it leads to the standard FDT, our non-equilibrium GLE thus is conjugate to the standard FDT. In the future, it will be interesting to derive GLEs from more complex time-dependent Hamiltonians.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant CRC 1449 "Dynamic Hydrogels at Biointerfaces", ID 431232613 Project A03, by the ERC Advanced Grant 835117 NoMaMemo and by the Infosys Foundation.

### Appendix A: Derivative of Heisenberg propagator with respect to initial time

Using the Heavyside function  $\theta(t)$ , defined as  $\theta(t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\theta(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$ , the time-ordered operator exponential in the Heisenberg picture Eq. 14 can be rewritten as

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \theta(t_1 - t_2) \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_3 \theta(t_2 - t_3) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \theta(t_{n-1} - t_n) \mathcal{L}(t_n) \cdots \mathcal{L}(t_3) \mathcal{L}(t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_1). \quad (\text{A1})$$

We now reorder the integration variables to obtain

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^t dt_n \int_{t_0}^{t_n} dt_{n-1} \theta(t_{n-1} - t_n) \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_{n-2} \theta(t_{n-2} - t_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_1 \theta(t_1 - t_2) \mathcal{L}(t_n) \mathcal{L}(t_{n-1}) \mathcal{L}(t_{n-2}) \cdots \mathcal{L}(t_1), \quad (\text{A2})$$

note that the Liouville operators cannot be reordered since  $\mathcal{L}(t_1)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(t_2)$  in general do not commute for  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . We now replace the Heavyside functions by the appropriate integration boundaries and obtain

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^t dt_n \int_{t_n}^t dt_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t dt_{n-2} \cdots \int_{t_2}^t dt_1 \mathcal{L}(t_n) \mathcal{L}(t_{n-1}) \mathcal{L}(t_{n-2}) \cdots \mathcal{L}(t_1). \quad (\text{A3})$$

From this expression Eq. (16) follows directly.

### Appendix B: Derivation of the time-dependent Dyson operator expansion

The operator expansion for two general time-dependent operators  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(t)$  reads

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{V}(s) + \mathcal{W}(s) ds \right) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{V}(s) \right) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{V}(s') \right) \mathcal{W}(s) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t \mathcal{V}(s') + \mathcal{W}(s') ds' \right). \quad (\text{B1})$$

To prove this relation, we use Eqs. (15) and (16) to obtain from Eq. (B1)

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{V}(s) + \mathcal{W}(s) ds \right) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{V}(s) \right) - \int_{t_0}^t ds \frac{d}{ds} \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{V}(s') \right) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t \mathcal{V}(s') + \mathcal{W}(s') ds' \right). \quad (\text{B2})$$

Now the integral can be performed and the equality is obtained. An alternative operator expansion relation reads

$$\exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{V}(s) + \mathcal{W}(s) ds \right) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{V}(s) \right) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s \mathcal{V}(s) + \mathcal{W}(s) ds' \right) \mathcal{W}(s) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t ds' \mathcal{V}(s') \right), \quad (\text{B3})$$

which can be proven analogously. Choosing  $\mathcal{V}(s) = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(s) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}(s)$  in Eq. (B3) we obtain Eq. (24).

with

$$\mathcal{P}_1 B(\omega, t) = \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle, \quad (\text{C2})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 B(\omega, t) = \frac{\langle B(\omega, t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega), \quad (\text{C3})$$

### Appendix C: Derivation of essential Mori projection properties

For the following derivations it is useful to split the Mori projection operator in Eq. (27) into three parts according to

$$\mathcal{P}B(\omega, t) = \mathcal{P}_1 B(\omega, t) + \mathcal{P}_2 B(\omega, t) + \mathcal{P}_3 B(\omega, t) \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_3 B(\omega, t) = \frac{\langle B(\omega, t) (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle). \quad (\text{C4})$$

The linearity of the Mori projection, i.e., the fact that for two arbitrary observables  $B(\omega, t)$  and  $C(\omega, t')$  the property  $\mathcal{P}(c_1 B(\omega, t) + c_2 C(\omega, t')) = c_1 \mathcal{P}B(\omega, t) + c_2 \mathcal{P}C(\omega, t')$  holds, is self-evident,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is also easily seen to be linear.

The idempotency of  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e., the fact that  $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$ , is not self-evident and will be proven. We split the proof in three parts. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}_1 B(\omega, t) &= \langle \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle \rangle + \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle \frac{\langle \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) + \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle \frac{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \\ &= \mathcal{P}_1 B(\omega, t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

For the first and third terms we used that  $\langle\langle B(\omega, t) \rangle\rangle = \langle B(\omega, t) \rangle$ , which holds since the probability distribution in Eq. (29) is normalized. For the second term we assume that  $A_S$  is a function of position only, i.e.  $A_S(\omega) = A_S(\mathbf{R})$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$  is linear in the momenta and the average  $\langle \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle$  vanishes.

Second,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}_2 B(\omega, t) &= \frac{\langle B(\omega, t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \left[ \langle \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle + \frac{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) + \frac{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{P}_2 B(\omega, t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

where again we used that  $A_S(\omega) = A_S(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$  is linear in the momenta and the averages  $\langle \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle$  and  $\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle$  vanish.

Third,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}_3 B(\omega, t) &= \frac{\langle B(\omega, t) (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} \\ &\times \left[ A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle + \frac{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) + \frac{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{P}_3 B(\omega, t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

where again we used that  $A_S(\omega) = A_S(\mathbf{R})$ . Adding Eqs. (C5), (C6), (C7) we see that  $\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_3) = \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_3 = \mathcal{P}$  and thus  $\mathcal{P}$  is idempotent. From the idempotency of  $\mathcal{P}$  it follows that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is also idempotent, to prove this one writes

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 B(\omega, t) = (1 - \mathcal{P})^2 B(\omega, t) = (1 - 2\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}^2) B(\omega, t) = (1 - \mathcal{P}) B(\omega, t) = \mathcal{Q} B(\omega, t). \quad (\text{C8})$$

The self-adjointness of  $\mathcal{P}$ , Eq. (30), is straightforwardly proven by writing

$$\langle C(\omega, t) \mathcal{P} B(\omega, t') \rangle = \quad (\text{C9})$$

$$\langle C(\omega, t) \rangle \langle B(\omega, t') \rangle + \langle C(\omega, t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle \frac{\langle B(\omega, t') \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} + \langle C(\omega, t) (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle \frac{\langle B(\omega, t') (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle}{\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle} \quad (\text{C10})$$

$$= \langle B(\omega, t') \mathcal{P} C(\omega, t) \rangle. \quad (\text{C11})$$

By using  $\mathcal{Q} = 1 - \mathcal{P}$  we see straightforwardly that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is also self-adjoint.

Using similar arguments as above, one can show that  $\mathcal{P}c = c$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) = (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) = \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ , from which follows that also  $\mathcal{P}A_S(\omega) = A_S(\omega)$ . From these relations we can directly conclude that  $\mathcal{Q}c = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) = 0$ , and also  $\mathcal{Q}A_S(\omega) = 0$ .

From the idempotency of  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{Q}$  we follow that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}(1 - \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}^2 = 0$  and, similarly,  $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P} = 0$ , thus, the operators  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are orthogonal to each other.

#### Appendix D: Derivation of non-equilibrium GLE

We consider the first term in Eq. (25), which can be split into two terms and reads, apart from the propagator in front,

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}(t)\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega) - h(t)\mathcal{P}\Delta\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega). \quad (\text{D1})$$

We apply the projection operator Eq. (27) on the first term in Eq. (D1), which generates three contributions. The first contribution is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega) \rangle = -\beta h_p \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle, \quad (\text{D2})$$

where we used that  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is anti-self-adjoint and that  $\mathcal{L}_0 \rho_p(\omega) = \beta h_p \rho_p(\omega) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)$ . Using the same properties of  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , the second contribution can be written as

(apart from the normalization factor)

$$\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega)) \mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega) \rangle = -\beta h_p \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^3 \rangle / 2. \quad (\text{D3})$$

The third contribution (apart from the normalization factor) follows as

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle ((A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega)) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle - \beta h_p \langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D4})$$

We now apply the projection operator Eq. (27) on the second term in Eq. (D1), which again generates three contributions. The first contribution is given by

$$-h(t) \langle \Delta\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \rangle = \beta h(t) \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle, \quad (\text{D5})$$

where we used that  $\Delta\mathcal{L}$  is anti-self-adjoint and that  $\Delta\mathcal{L}\rho_p(\omega) = \beta\rho_p(\omega)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega)$ . Using the same properties of  $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ , the second contribution can be written as (apart from the normalization factor)

$$-h(t)\langle(\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))\Delta\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega)\rangle = \beta h(t)\langle(\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))^3\rangle/2. \quad (\text{D6})$$

The third contribution (apart from the normalization factor) follows as

$$\begin{aligned} & -h(t)\langle((A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\Delta\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))\rangle \\ & = \beta h(t)\langle(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)(\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))^2\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D7})$$

Again assuming that the observable is a function of position only,  $A_S(\omega) = A_S(\mathbf{R})$ , as we did in Appendix C when we derived the idempotency of the projection operator  $\mathcal{P}$ , we see that Eqs. (D3) and (D6) vanish because  $\langle(\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))^3\rangle$  is odd in the momenta.

Combining the results in Eqs. (D2), (D4), (D5), (D7), the first term in Eq. (25) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) \mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}(t)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \\ & = -K(t)(A(\omega, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) + (h(t) - h_p)/M, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D8})$$

where  $K(t)$  and  $M$  are defined in Eqs. (32) and (39).

We now consider the last term in Eq. (25), which reads, without the time integral and the propagator in front,  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}(s)F(\omega, s, t)$ . The projection operator Eq. (27) generates three contributions. The first contribution is

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}(s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle & = \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle F(\omega, s, t)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \rangle \\ & = \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle F(\omega, s, t)\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \rangle \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D9})$$

where in the first line we used that  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  is anti-self-adjoint and that  $\mathcal{L}(s)\rho_p(\omega) = \beta(h_p - h(s))\rho_p(\omega)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega)$ , in the second line that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is idempotent and self-adjoint, and in the third line that  $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) = 0$  (as derived in Appendix C). The second contribution can be written as (apart from the normalization factor)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))\mathcal{L}(s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle \\ & = -\langle F(\omega, s, t) \rangle \mathcal{L}(s)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \\ & + \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle (\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))^2 F(\omega, s, t) \rangle \\ & = -\langle F(\omega, s, s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle \\ & + \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle (\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega))^2 F(\omega, s, t) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

where in the first equation we used the same properties of  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  as before and in the second equation that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is idempotent and self-adjoint and the definition of the complementary force in Eq. (26).

The third contribution can be written as (apart from the normalization factor)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\mathcal{L}(s)F(\omega, s, t) \rangle \\ & = -\langle F(\omega, s, t)\mathcal{L}(s)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \rangle \\ & + \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle F(\omega, s, t)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \rangle \\ & = \beta(h(s) - h_p)\langle F(\omega, s, t)(A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)\mathcal{L}_0A_S(\omega) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D11})$$

where in the first equation we used the same properties of  $\mathcal{L}(s)$  as before and in the second equation that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is idempotent and self-adjoint and that  $\Delta\mathcal{L}A_S(\omega) = 0$ .

Combining the results in Eqs. (D8), (D9), (D10), (D11), the expression for the general GLE in Eq. (25) leads to the explicit GLE in Eq. (31).

---

## Appendix E: Derivation of time-homogeneous memory kernels for stochastic force

In this section we show that the memory kernels of the GLE in Eq. (31) become time-homogeneous for a stochastic non-equilibrium force as defined by Eq. 49. The proof is done to quadratic order in powers of the force  $h(t)$ . We start with the operator expansion for two general time-dependent operators  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(t)$ , Eq. B1, and note that we can construct a systematic perturbative expansion in the operator  $\mathcal{W}(t)$  by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{V}(s) + \mathcal{W}(s) ds \right) & = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{V}(s) \right) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{V}(s') \right) \mathcal{W}(s) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t \mathcal{V}(s') + \mathcal{W}(s') ds' \right) \\ & = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{V}(s) \right) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^s ds' \mathcal{V}(s') \right) \mathcal{W}(s) \exp_H \left( \int_s^t \mathcal{V}(s') ds' \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E1})$$

Expressions valid to higher order in  $\mathcal{W}$  can be constructed by recursively inserting the second line of Eq. (E1) into the last operator exponential in the first line.

Following this method, the complementary force can be expanded in powers of the non-equilibrium force as

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\omega, t_0, t) &\equiv \exp_H \left( \mathcal{Q} \int_{t_0}^t ds' \mathcal{L}(s') \right) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \\
&= \exp_H \left( \mathcal{Q} \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{L}_0 - h(s') \Delta \mathcal{L} ds' \right) \mathcal{Q} (\mathcal{L}_0 - h(t) \Delta \mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \\
&= \left[ e^{(t-t_0) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} - \int_{t_0}^t ds' e^{(s'-t_0) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} h(s') \mathcal{Q} \Delta \mathcal{L} e^{(t-s') \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \right] \mathcal{Q} (\mathcal{L}_0 - h(t) \Delta \mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \quad (\text{E2})
\end{aligned}$$

where higher-order terms can be recursively derived. We calculate here exemplarily the force average over the non-equilibrium friction memory kernel defined in Eq. (37)

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1(s, t) = \frac{-\beta h(s) \langle F(\omega, s, t) (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle} = \frac{-\beta (X_1(s, t) + X_2(s, t))}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}, \quad (\text{E3})$$

which we split into two terms using the expansion in Eq. (E2). The first term reads, after performing the average over  $h(t)$ ,

$$X_1(s, t) = -\sigma(t-s) \left\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 e^{(t-s) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{Q} \Delta \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega) \right\rangle, \quad (\text{E4})$$

which clearly is a function of  $t-s$  only. The second term reads

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2(s, t) &= - \left\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \int_s^t ds' e^{(s'-s) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \sigma(s'-s) \mathcal{Q} \Delta \mathcal{L} e^{(t-s') \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega) \right\rangle \\
&= - \left\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \int_0^{t-s} d\tilde{s}' e^{\tilde{s}' \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \sigma(\tilde{s}') \mathcal{Q} \Delta \mathcal{L} e^{(t-s-\tilde{s}') \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}_0^2 A_S(\omega) \right\rangle, \quad (\text{E5})
\end{aligned}$$

which also clearly is a function of  $t-s$  only and where we have used the change of integration variable according to  $\tilde{s}' = s' - s$ . Thus,  $\bar{\Gamma}_1(t-s)$  defined in Eq. (37) is a function of  $t-s$  only, the same holds for  $\bar{\Gamma}_2(t-s)$  defined in Eq. (41). Using similar techniques, it can be also shown that  $\bar{\Gamma}_0(t-s)$  defined in Eq. (36),  $\bar{\Gamma}_A(t-s)$  defined in Eq. (38) and  $\bar{F}(\omega, t-s)$  are functions of  $t-s$  only, which proves the functional dependencies assumed in Eq. (50).

## Appendix F: Derivation of two-point correlation functions from Heisenberg observables

We derive the two-point correlation function of the Heisenberg observable, to reduce the notational complexity we here use a time-independent Liouville operator  $\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)$ . Splitting the time propagation of the density distribution in Eq. (10) into two steps we obtain

$$\rho(\omega, t) = e^{-(t-t') \mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} e^{-(t'-t_0) \mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} \rho(\omega, t_0). \quad (\text{F1})$$

Introducing delta functions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\omega, t) &= \int d\omega' \int d\omega_0 e^{-(t-t') \mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} \delta(\omega - \omega') e^{-(t'-t_0) \mathcal{L}_0(\omega')} \delta(\omega' - \omega_0) \rho(\omega_0, t_0) \\
&\equiv \int d\omega' \int d\omega_0 \rho(\omega, t; \omega', t'; \omega_0, t_0), \quad (\text{F2})
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we defined the three-point joint distribution function  $\rho(\omega, t; \omega', t'; \omega_0, t_0)$ . With this distribution, the two-point correlation function defined in Eq. (57) can be written as

$$C(t, t') = \int d\omega \int d\omega' \int d\omega_0 (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) (A_S(\omega') - \langle A_S \rangle) \rho(\omega, t; \omega', t'; \omega_0, t_0). \quad (\text{F3})$$

Inserting the definition of  $\rho(\omega, t; \omega', t'; \omega_0, t_0)$  from Eq. (F2) and using that  $\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)$  is anti-self-adjoint, we obtain

$$C(t, t') = \int d\omega \rho(\omega, t_0) e^{(t'-t_0) \mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) e^{(t-t') \mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle). \quad (\text{F4})$$



We now use the product propagation relation proved in Appendix G and obtain

$$C(t, t') = \int d\omega \rho(\omega, t_0) \left( e^{(t'-t_0)\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle) \right) e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)} (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle), \quad (\text{F5})$$

which can, using the definition of the Heisenberg observable, be rewritten as

$$C(t, t') = \int d\omega \rho(\omega, t_0) (A(\omega, t_0, t) - \langle A_S \rangle) (A(\omega, t_0, t') - \langle A_S \rangle). \quad (\text{F6})$$

In this expression  $t_0$  denotes the projection time and  $t \geq t_0$  and  $t' \geq t_0$  denote arbitrary moments in time, note that we suppress the dependence of the Heisenberg observable on the projection time in the rest of the paper.

### Appendix G: Derivation of product propagation relation

To reduce the notational complexity, we here use a time-independent Liouville operator  $\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)$  and suppress the phase-space dependence of  $\mathcal{L}_0(\omega)$  and of the two general phase-space function  $A(\omega)$  and  $B(\omega)$  in the following. The Liouville propagator acting on the product of two phase-space functions follows from the series definition of the exponential as

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} AB = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \mathcal{L}_0^n}{n!} AB. \quad (\text{G1})$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is a linear differential operator the product rule applies, which reads

$$\mathcal{L}_0 AB = A\mathcal{L}_0 B + B\mathcal{L}_0 A. \quad (\text{G2})$$

Applying the product rule recursively, we find

$$\mathcal{L}_0^n AB = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} (\mathcal{L}_0^m A) \mathcal{L}_0^{n-m} B. \quad (\text{G3})$$

Combining Eqs. (G1) and (G3) we find

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} AB &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{t^n}{m!(n-m)!} (\mathcal{L}_0^m A) \mathcal{L}_0^{n-m} B \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{m!(n-m)!} (\mathcal{L}_0^m A) \mathcal{L}_0^{n-m} B \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+m}}{m!n!} (\mathcal{L}_0^m A) \mathcal{L}_0^n B. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G4})$$

Using Eq. (G1) we finally arrive at the product propagation relation

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} AB = (e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} A) e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} B. \quad (\text{G5})$$

### Appendix H: Force averaging of two-point correlation function

In this section we derive the two-point correlation function Eq. (57) that results from an average over phase space and over the stochastic non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ . We start from the non-equilibrium GLE Eq. (31). To reduce the notational burden we consider an unconfined system that is characterized by a diverging second moment,  $\langle (A_S(\omega) - \langle A_S \rangle)^2 \rangle = \infty$ , in which case  $K(t) = 0 = \Gamma_A(s, t)$ . Eq. (31) in this scenario simplifies to

$$F(\omega, t_0, t) + h(t)/M = \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s). \quad (\text{H1})$$

Averaging the product of Eq. (H1) at two different times  $t$  and  $t'$  over phase space and  $h(t)$  we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\left\langle \left( F(\omega, t_0, t) + \frac{h(t)}{M} \right) \left( F(\omega, t_0, t') + \frac{h(t')}{M} \right) \right\rangle} = \overline{\langle F(\omega, t_0, t) F(\omega, t_0, t') \rangle} + \frac{\overline{h(t)h(t')}}{M^2} \\ &= \overline{\left\langle \left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \right) \left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t') + \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \Gamma(s', t') \dot{A}(\omega, s') \right) \right\rangle} \\ &= \overline{\left\langle \left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \right) \left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t') + \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \Gamma(s', t') \dot{A}(\omega, s') \right) \right\rangle} + \Delta X, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{H2})$$

where in the first equation we used that  $h(t)$  is independent of phase space and that  $\langle F(\omega, t_0, t) \rangle = 0$ . We defined a correction term as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X = & \left\langle \left( \overline{\left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \right)} \left( \overline{\ddot{A}(\omega, t') + \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \Gamma(s', t') \dot{A}(\omega, s')} \right)} \right) \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \left( \overline{\left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \Gamma(s, t) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \right)} \right) \left( \overline{\ddot{A}(\omega, t') + \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \Gamma(s', t') \dot{A}(\omega, s')} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{H3})$$

Using that the observable  $A(\omega, t)$  as the function that is determined by the differential equation (H1) does not explicitly depend on the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$ , the correction term can be rewritten as

$$\Delta X = \int_{t_0}^t ds \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \langle \dot{A}(\omega, s) \dot{A}(\omega, s') \rangle \left( \overline{\Gamma(s, t) \Gamma(s', t')} - \overline{\Gamma(s, t)} \overline{\Gamma(s', t')} \right) \quad (\text{H4})$$

in terms of the second non-equilibrium force cumulant of the memory kernel. Performing the average over  $h(t)$  and neglecting the correction term  $\Delta X$  in Eq. (H3) we obtain

$$\overline{\langle F(\omega, t - t_0) F(\omega, t' - t_0) \rangle} + \frac{\sigma(t - t')}{M^2} = \left\langle \left( \overline{\left( \ddot{A}(\omega, t) + \int_{t_0}^t ds \bar{\Gamma}(t - s) \dot{A}(\omega, s) \right)} \left( \overline{\ddot{A}(\omega, t') + \int_{t_0}^{t'} ds' \bar{\Gamma}(t' - s') \dot{A}(\omega, s')} \right)} \right) \right\rangle. \quad (\text{H5})$$

Performing a double Fourier integral over  $t$  and  $t'$  and using Eqs. (36) and (54), we obtain

$$2\pi\delta(\nu - \nu') \left[ \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) + \tilde{\sigma}(\nu)/M^2 \right] = \tilde{\chi}^{-1}(\nu) \tilde{\chi}^{-1}(\nu') \langle \tilde{A}(\omega, \nu) \tilde{A}(\omega, \nu') \rangle. \quad (\text{H6})$$

Realizing that the double Fourier integral over  $t$  and  $t'$  of the two-point correlation function  $C(t - t')$  is given by

$$2\pi\delta(\nu - \nu') \tilde{C}(\nu) = \langle \tilde{A}(\omega, \nu) \tilde{A}(\omega, \nu') \rangle, \quad (\text{H7})$$

Eq. (58) follows. Inspection of Eq. (58) shows that it is linear in the complementary force and non-equilibrium force second moments  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)$ . The correction term  $\Delta X$  contains higher-order powers of these moments and is neglected.

### Appendix I: Derivation of the standard FDT in the Heisenberg picture

Here we derive the standard (i.e. non-equilibrium) FDT by leading-order perturbation analysis of the Heisenberg observable in Eq. (13), which deviates from the text-book derivation of the standard FDT. To proceed, using the expression for the Heisenberg observable Eq. (13) and the expression for the time-dependent Liouville operator Eq. (18), we obtain

$$A(\omega, t) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds \mathcal{L}(s) \right) A_S(\omega) = \exp_H \left( \int_{t_0}^t ds (\mathcal{L}_0 - h(s) \Delta \mathcal{L}) \right) A_S(\omega), \quad (\text{I1})$$

where the Heisenberg propagator is defined in Eq. (14). Expanding the operator exponential to first order in  $h(t)$ , as shown in Eq. (E1), we obtain

$$A(\omega, t) = \left[ e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}_0} - \int_{t_0}^t ds h(s) e^{(s-t)\mathcal{L}_0} \Delta \mathcal{L} e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_0} \right] A_S(\omega). \quad (\text{I2})$$

The mean observable follows using the definition Eq. (28) as

$$a(t) = \langle A(\omega, t) \rangle = \left\langle e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{L}_0} A_S(\omega) \right\rangle - \left\langle \int_{t_0}^t ds h(s) e^{(s-t)\mathcal{L}_0} \Delta \mathcal{L} e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_0} A_S(\omega) \right\rangle, \quad (\text{I3})$$

which can be rewritten, using the anti-self-adjointedness of  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\Delta\mathcal{L}$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= \langle A_S(\omega) \rangle - \int_{t_0}^t ds \beta h(s) \langle A_S(\omega) \mathcal{L}_0 e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_0} A_S(\omega) \rangle \\ &= \langle A_S(\omega) \rangle - \int_{t_0}^t ds \beta h(s) \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_S(\omega) e^{(t-s)\mathcal{L}_0} A_S(\omega) \rangle \\ &= \langle A_S(\omega) \rangle + \int_{t_0}^t ds h(s) \chi(t-s)/M. \end{aligned} \quad (I4)$$

Here we defined the response function as

$$\chi(t) = -\beta M \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_S(\omega) e^{t\mathcal{L}_0} A_S(\omega) \rangle = -\beta M \frac{d}{dt} C(t), \quad (I5)$$

which is the standard FDT as one finds it in text books, where the derivation is typically done in the Schrödinger picture as there is no advantage of the Heisenberg picture for this derivation. We in this paper use the Heisenberg picture since it is needed when deriving the GLE. Note that Eq. (I5) has no dependence on the non-equilibrium force  $h(t)$  whatsoever and thus is equivalent to Eq. (61), which is the Fourier-transformed version of the FDT, in the limit  $h(t) = 0$ .

To make the equivalence between Eqs. (I5) and (61) obvious, we Fourier transform Eqs. (I4) and (I5). For this, we define the response function  $\chi(t)$  as single-sided and choose  $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , after which Eq. (I4) reads

$$a(t) = \langle A_S(\omega) \rangle + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds h(s) \chi(t-s)/M. \quad (I6)$$

Fourier transformation yields

$$\tilde{a}(\nu) = 2\pi\delta(\nu)\langle A_S \rangle + \tilde{\chi}(\nu)\tilde{h}(\nu)/M, \quad (I7)$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (53). Accounting for the single-sidedness of  $\chi(t)$ , Eq. (I5) can be written as

$$\chi(t) = -\beta M \theta(t) \frac{d}{dt} C(t), \quad (I8)$$

where  $\theta(t)$  denotes the Heavyside function. After Fourier transformation Eq.(I8) reads

$$\tilde{\chi}(\nu) = \beta M C(0) - \nu \beta M \tilde{C}^+(\nu). \quad (I9)$$

The odd part of this equation reads

$$\tilde{\chi}(\nu) - \tilde{\chi}(-\nu) = -\nu \beta M \tilde{C}(\nu), \quad (I10)$$

where we used that the Fourier transform of the symmetric correlation function is  $\tilde{C}(\nu) = \tilde{C}^+(\nu) + \tilde{C}^+(-\nu)$ . Since the time-domain response function  $\chi(t)$  is a real function, we finally obtain

$$2\Im(\tilde{\chi}(\nu)) = -\nu \beta M \tilde{C}(\nu) = -\frac{\nu \tilde{C}(\nu)}{\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle}, \quad (I11)$$

where we used the definition of the mass M in Eq. (39) and which is equivalent to Eq. (61), provided we take the equilibrium limit  $h(t) = 0$  in Eq. (61).

## Appendix J: Derivation of joint distribution function via path integrals

Inserting the Fourier-transform of Eq. (74) into Eq. (73) we obtain

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} e^{iq_1(A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle) + iq_2(A_2 - \langle A_S \rangle)} \left\langle \exp \left( -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \left( \tilde{F}(\omega, \nu) + \tilde{h}(\nu)/M \right) \tilde{g}(\nu) \right) \right\rangle \quad (J1)$$

where we have defined

$$\tilde{g}(\nu) \equiv \tilde{\chi}(\nu) (q_1 e^{\nu t_1} + q_2 e^{\nu t_2}). \quad (\text{J2})$$

We diagonalize the path integrals in Eqs. (75) and (76) by Fourier transformation and obtain

$$\langle X(\tilde{F}, \tilde{h}) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}\tilde{F}(\omega, \cdot)}{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_F} X(\tilde{F}, \tilde{h}) \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{F}(\omega, \nu) \tilde{F}(\omega, -\nu)}{2\langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu)} \right), \quad (\text{J3})$$

$$\overline{X(\tilde{F}, \tilde{h})} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}\tilde{h}(\cdot)}{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_h} X(\tilde{F}, \tilde{h}) \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{h}(\nu) \tilde{h}(-\nu)}{2\tilde{\sigma}(\nu)} \right), \quad (\text{J4})$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_F$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_h$  are normalization constants. Now the averages over  $\tilde{F}(\omega, \nu)$  and  $\tilde{h}(\nu)$  in Eq. (J1) can be done by explicitly performing the path integrals, after which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} e^{\imath q_1 (A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle) + \imath q_2 (A_2 - \langle A_S \rangle)} \\ &\quad \times \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{4\pi} \tilde{g}(\nu) \tilde{g}(-\nu) \left( \langle (\mathcal{L}_0 A_S(\omega))^2 \rangle \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\nu) + \tilde{\sigma}(\nu)/M^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{J5})$$

Inserting the definition of  $\tilde{g}(\nu)$  from Eq. (J2) we obtain

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} e^{\imath q_1 (A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle) + \imath q_2 (A_2 - \langle A_S \rangle)} \exp \left( - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{4\pi} \tilde{C}(\nu) \sum_{j,k=1}^2 q_j q_k e^{\nu(t_j - t_k)} \right), \quad (\text{J6})$$

where we used the explicit expression for the Fourier-transformed correlation function  $\tilde{C}(\nu)$  from Eq. (58). Now the Fourier integral in the exponent can be done and we obtain

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} e^{\imath q_1 (A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle) + \imath q_2 (A_2 - \langle A_S \rangle)} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 q_j q_k C(t_k - t_j) \right), \quad (\text{J7})$$

which can be slightly rewritten as

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 q_j q_k C(t_k - t_j) + \imath \sum_{j=1}^2 q_j (A_j - \langle A_S \rangle) \right). \quad (\text{J8})$$

Now we use the definition from the main text Eq. (78),  $I_{jk} = C(t_j - t_k)$ , and obtain

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_2}{2\pi} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 q_j q_k I_{jk} + \imath \sum_{j=1}^2 q_j (A_j - \langle A_S \rangle) \right). \quad (\text{J9})$$

The Gaussian integrals over  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  can be done, after which we obtain the final result reported in Eq. (77),

$$\rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \frac{\exp \left( - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 (A_j - \langle A_S \rangle) I_{jk}^{-1} (A_k - \langle A_S \rangle) / 2 \right)}{\sqrt{\det 2\pi I}}, \quad (\text{J10})$$

which is the two-point distribution of a general Gaussian process. Note that in Eq. (77) the Einstein summation convention is used.

As a final step, we show how to marginalize the two-point distribution. This is most easily done on the level of Eq. (J9) where the positions  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  appear linearly. By integration over one observable value we obtain

$$\rho(A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dA_2 \rho(A_2, t_2; A_1, t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq_1}{2\pi} \exp \left( - \frac{q_1^2 C(0)}{2} + q_1 (A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle) \right) = \frac{\exp \left( - \frac{(A_1 - \langle A_S \rangle)^2}{2C(0)} \right)}{\sqrt{2\pi C(0)}}, \quad (\text{J11})$$

which, expectedly, is a Gaussian distribution with a variance corresponding to the equal-time correlation  $C(0)$ .

- 
- [1] I. Prigogine, Etude thermodynamique des phénomènes irréversibles (Desoer, Liege, 1947).
- [2] I. Prigogine and P. Mazur, Sur l'extension de la thermodynamique aux phénomènes irréversibles liés aux degrés de liberté internes, *Physica* **XIX**, 241 (1953).
- [3] J. L. Lebowitz, Stationary nonequilibrium Gibbsian ensembles, *Phys. Rev.* **114**, 1192 (1959).
- [4] R. Zwanzig, Ensemble method in the theory of irreversibility, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **33**, 1338 (1960).
- [5] S. R. de Groot and P. Mazur, Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics (North-Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1962).
- [6] H. Grabert, P. Hänggi, and P. Talkner, Microdynamics and nonlinear stochastic processes of gross variables, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **22**, 537 (1980).
- [7] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [8] R. Zwanzig, Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics (Oxford UnivPress, Oxford [u.a.], 2001).
- [9] D. Mizuno, C. Tardin, C. F. Schmidt, and F. C. MacKintosh, Nonequilibrium mechanics of active cytoskeletal networks, *Science* **315**, 370 (2007).
- [10] J. R. Gomez-Solano, A. Petrosyan, S. Ciliberto, R. Chetrite, and K. Gawedzki, Experimental verification of a modified fluctuation-dissipation relation for a micron-sized particle in a nonequilibrium steady state, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 040601 (2009).
- [11] J. Mehl, V. Blickle, U. Seifert, and C. Bechinger, Experimental accessibility of generalized fluctuation-dissipation relations for nonequilibrium steady states, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 032401 (2010).
- [12] I. Theurkauff, C. Cottin-Bizonne, J. Palacci, C. Ybert, and L. Bocquet, Dynamic clustering in active colloidal suspensions with chemical signaling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 268303 (2012).
- [13] L. Dinis, P. Martin, J. Barral, J. Prost, and J.-F. Joanny, Fluctuation-response theorem for the active noisy oscillator of the hair-cell bundle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 160602 (2012).
- [14] P. Bohec, F. F. Gallet, C. Maes, S. Safaverdi, P. Visco, and F. van Wijland, Probing active forces via a fluctuation-dissipation relation: Application to living cells, *Europhys. Lett.* **102**, 50005 (2013).
- [15] M. Guo, A. J. Ehrlicher, M. H. Jensen, M. Renz, J. R. Moore, R. D. Goldman, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, F. C. MacKintosh, and D. A. Weitz, *Cell* **158**, 822 (2014).
- [16] J. Krug, Boundary-induced phase transitions in driven diffusive systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 1882 (1991).
- [17] B. Schmittmann and R. K. P. Zia, Driven diffusive systems. an introduction and recent developments, *Phys. Rep.* **301**, 45 (1998).
- [18] B. Derrida, J. L. Lebowitz, and E. R. Speer, Free energy functional for nonequilibrium systems: An exactly solvable case, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 150601 (2001).
- [19] P. Ilg and J. L. Barrat, From single-particle to collective effective temperatures in an active fluid of self-propelled particles, *Europhys. Lett.* **111**, 26001 (2007).
- [20] A. Y. Grosberg and J. F. Joanny, Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics of mixtures of particles in contact with different thermostats, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 032118 (2015).
- [21] E. Fodor, C. Nardini, M. E. Cates, J. Tailleur, P. Visco, and F. van Wijland, How far from equilibrium is active matter?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 038103 (2016).
- [22] E. Carlon, H. Orland, T. Sakaue, and C. Vanderzande, Effect of memory and active forces on transition path time distributions, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **122**, 11186 (2018).
- [23] L. Lavacchi, J. O. Daldrop, and R. R. Netz, Non-arrrhenius barrier crossing dynamics of non-equilibrium non-markovian systems, *Europhys. Lett.* **139**, 51001 (2022).
- [24] C. Jarzynski, Hamiltonian derivation of a detailed fluctuation theorem, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **98**, 77 (2000).
- [25] T. Hatano and S. I. Sasa, Steady-state thermodynamics of langevin systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3463 (2001).
- [26] T. Harada and S. I. Sasa, Equality connecting energy dissipation with a violation of the fluctuation-response relation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 130602 (2005).
- [27] U. Seifert, Entropy production along a stochastic trajectory and an integral fluctuation theorem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 040602 (2005).
- [28] A. Lapolla and A. Godec, Faster uphill relaxation in thermodynamically equidistant temperature quenches, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 110602 (2020).
- [29] J. Prost, J.-F. Joanny, and J. M. R. Parrondo, Generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem for steady-state systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 090601 (2009).
- [30] M. Baiesi, C. Maes, and B. Wynants, Fluctuations and response of nonequilibrium states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 010602 (2009).
- [31] U. Seifert and T. Speck, Fluctuation-dissipation theorem in nonequilibrium steady states, *Europhys. Lett.* **89**, 10007 (2010).
- [32] L. Willareth, I. M. Sokolov, Y. Roichman, and B. Lindner, Generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem as a test of the markovianity of a system, *Europhys. Lett.* **118**, 20001 (2017).
- [33] R. R. Netz, Fluctuation-dissipation relation and stationary distribution of an exactly solvable many-particle model for active biomatter far from equilibrium, *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**, 185101 (2018).
- [34] R. R. Netz, Approach to equilibrium and nonequilibrium stationary distributions of interacting many-particle systems that are coupled to different heat baths, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 022120 (2020).
- [35] S. Nakajima, On Quantum Theory of Transport Phenomena Steady Diffusion, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **20**, 948 (1958).
- [36] R. Zwanzig, Memory Effects in Irreversible Thermodynamics, *Physical Review* **124**, 983 (1961).
- [37] H. Mori, Transport, Collective Motion, and Brownian

- Motion, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **33**, 423 (1965).
- [38] G. Ciccotti and J.-P. Ryckaert, On the derivation of the generalized Langevin equation for interacting Brownian particles, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **26**, 73 (1981).
- [39] J. E. Straub, M. Borkovec, and B. J. Berne, Calculation of dynamic friction on intramolecular degrees of freedom, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **91**, 4995 (1987).
- [40] O. F. Lange and H. Grubmüller, Collective Langevin dynamics of conformational motions in proteins, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **124**, 214903 (2006), publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- [41] T. Kinjo and S. A. Hyodo, Equation of motion for coarse-grained simulation based on microscopic description, *Physical Review E* **75**, 051109 (2007), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [42] E. Darve, J. Solomon, and A. Kia, Computing generalized Langevin equations and generalized Fokker-Planck equations, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 10884 (2009).
- [43] C. Hijón, P. Español, E. Vanden-Eijnden, and R. Delgado-Buscalioni, Mori-Zwanzig formalism as a practical computational tool, *Faraday Discussions* **144**, 301 (2010), publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- [44] S. Izvekov, Microscopic derivation of particle-based coarse-grained dynamics, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 134106 (2013), publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- [45] H. S. Lee, S.-H. Ahn, and E. F. Darve, The multi-dimensional generalized Langevin equation for conformational motion of proteins, *The Journal of chemical physics* **150**, 174113 (2019).
- [46] C. Ayaz, L. Scaffi, B. A. Dalton, and R. R. Netz, Generalized Langevin equation with a nonlinear potential of mean force and nonlinear memory friction from a hybrid projection scheme, *Physical Review E* **105**, 054138 (2022).
- [47] H. Vroylandt, L. Goudenège, P. Monmarché, F. Pietrucci, and B. Rotenberg, Likelihood-based non-markovian models from molecular dynamics, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**, e2117586119 (2022).
- [48] S. S. Plotkin and P. G. Wolynes, Non-Markovian Configurational Diffusion and Reaction Coordinates for Protein Folding, *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 5015 (1998), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [49] R. Satija and D. E. Makarov, Generalized Langevin Equation as a Model for Barrier Crossing Dynamics in Biomolecular Folding, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **123**, 802 (2019), publisher: American Chemical Society.
- [50] C. Ayaz, L. Tepper, F. N. Brünig, J. Kappler, J. O. Daldrop, and R. R. Netz, Non-Markovian modeling of protein folding, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, 10.1073/pnas.2023856118 (2021), publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Physical Sciences.
- [51] B. A. Dalton, C. Ayaz, H. Kiefer, A. Klimek, L. Tepper, and R. R. Netz, Fast protein folding is governed by memory-dependent friction, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2220068120 (2023).
- [52] B. Bagchi and D. W. Oxtoby, The effect of frequency dependent friction on isomerization dynamics in solution, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **78**, 2735 (1983).
- [53] J. E. Straub, M. Borkovec, and B. J. Berne, Non-Markovian activated rate processes: Comparison of current theories with numerical simulation data, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **84**, 1788 (1986).
- [54] E. Pollak, H. Grabert, and P. Hänggi, Theory of activated rate processes for arbitrary frequency dependent friction: Solution of the turnover problem, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **91**, 4073 (1989).
- [55] F. N. Brünig, J. O. Daldrop, and R. R. Netz, Pair-Reaction Dynamics in Water: Competition of Memory, Potential Shape, and Inertial Effects, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **126**, 10295 (2022).
- [56] B. G. Mitterwallner, C. Schreiber, J. O. Daldrop, J. O. Rädler, and R. R. Netz, Non-Markovian data-driven modeling of single-cell motility, *Physical Review E* **101**, 032408 (2020).
- [57] M. Tuckerman and B. Berne, Vibrational relaxation in simple fluids: Comparison of theory and simulation, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **98**, 7301 (1993).
- [58] F. Gottwald, S. D. Ivanov, and O. Kühn, Applicability of the Caldeira-Leggett model to vibrational spectroscopy in solution, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **6**, 2722 (2015).
- [59] F. N. Brünig, O. Geburtig, A. von Canal, J. Kappler, and R. R. Netz, Time-Dependent Friction Effects on Vibrational Infrared Frequencies and Line Shapes of Liquid Water, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **126**, 1579 (2022).
- [60] E. Herrera-Delgado, J. Briscoe, and P. Sollich, Tractable nonlinear memory functions as a tool to capture and explain dynamical behaviors, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 043069 (2020).
- [61] A. J. Chorin, O. H. Hald, and R. Kupferman, Optimal prediction and the Mori-Zwanzig representation of irreversible processes, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **97**, 2968 (2000), publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Physical Sciences.
- [62] B. Robertson, Equations of motion in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, *Physical Review* **144**, 151 (1966).
- [63] S. Nordholm and R. Zwanzig, A systematic derivation of exact generalized brownian motion theory, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **13**, 347 (1975).
- [64] R. H. Picard and C. R. Willis, Time-dependent projection-operator approach to master equations for coupled systems. ii. systems with correlations, *Physical Review A* **16**, 1625 (1977).
- [65] C. Uchiyama and F. Shibata, Unified projection operator formalism in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, *Physical Review E* **60**, 2636 (1999).
- [66] T. Koide, Derivation of transport equations using the time-dependent projection operator method, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **60**, 525 (2002).
- [67] A. Latz, Non-equilibrium projection-operator for a quenched thermostatted system, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **109**, 607 (2002).
- [68] H. Meyer, T. Voigtmann, and T. Schilling, On the non-stationary generalized Langevin equation, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **147**, 214110 (2017), publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- [69] B. Cui and A. Zaccone, Generalized Langevin equation and fluctuation-dissipation theorem for particle-bath systems in external oscillating fields, *Physical Review E* **97**, 060102(R) (2018).

- [70] M. te Vrugt and R. Wittkowski, Mori-zwanzig projection operator formalism for far-from-equilibrium systems with time-dependent hamiltonians, *Physical Review E* **99**, 062118 (2019).
- [71] H. Meyer, P. Pelagejcev, and T. Schilling, Non-Markovian out-of-equilibrium dynamics: A general numerical procedure to construct time-dependent memory kernels for coarse-grained observables, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **128**, 40001 (2020), publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [72] F. J. Dyson, The Radiation Theories of Tomonaga, Schwinger, and Feynman, *Physical Review* **75**, 486 (1949), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [73] R. P. Feynman, An Operator Calculus Having Applications in Quantum Electrodynamics, *Physical Review* **84**, 108 (1951), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [74] A. Carof, R. Vuilleumier, and B. Rotenberg, Two algorithms to compute projected correlation functions in molecular dynamics simulations, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **140**, 124103 (2014), publisher: American Institute of Physics.
- [75] G. Jung, M. Hanke, and F. Schmid, Iterative Reconstruction of Memory Kernels, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **13**, 2481 (2017), publisher: American Chemical Society.
- [76] J. O. Daldrop, B. G. Kowalik, and R. R. Netz, External Potential Modifies Friction of Molecular Solutes in Water, *Physical Review X* **7**, 041065 (2017), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [77] J. O. Daldrop, J. Kappler, F. N. Brünig, and R. R. Netz, Butane dihedral angle dynamics in water is dominated by internal friction, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 5169 (2018), publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.
- [78] V. Klippenstein and N. F. A. van der Vegt, Cross-correlation corrected friction in (generalized) Langevin models, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **154**, 191102 (2021), publisher: American Institute of Physics.