

MINIMAL SPECIAL DEGENERATIONS AND DUALITY

DANIEL JUTEAU, PAUL LEVY AND ERIC SOMMERS

ABSTRACT. This paper includes the classification, in a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , of the singularities of Slodowy slices between special nilpotent orbits that are adjacent in the partial order on nilpotent orbits. The irreducible components of most singularities are (up to normalization) either a simple surface singularity or the closure of a minimal special nilpotent orbit in a smaller rank Lie algebra. Besides those cases, there are some exceptional cases that arise as quotients of the closure of a minimal orbit in types D_n by V_4 , in type A_2 by \mathfrak{S}_2 or in type D_4 by \mathfrak{S}_4 . We also consider the action on the slice of the fundamental group of the smaller orbit. With this action, we observe that under Lusztig-Spaltenstein duality, in most cases, a singularity of simple surface singularity is interchanged with the closure of a minimal special orbit of Langlands dual type (or a cover of it with action). Lusztig's canonical quotient helps explain when this duality fails. This empirical observation generalizes an observation of Kraft and Procesi in type A_n , where all nilpotent orbits are special. We also resolve a conjecture of Lusztig that concerns the intersection cohomology of slices between special nilpotent orbits.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Minimal degenerations. Let G be a simple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Let $\mathcal{N}_o := \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$ be the set of nilpotent orbits in \mathfrak{g} . The partial order on \mathcal{N}_o is defined so that $\mathcal{O}' < \mathcal{O}$ whenever $\mathcal{O}' \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ for $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{N}_o$, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is the closure of \mathcal{O} . A pair $\mathcal{O}' < \mathcal{O}$ is called a *degeneration*. If $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and \mathcal{O}' are adjacent in the partial order (that is, there is no orbit strictly between them), then the pair is called a *minimal degeneration*. There are two minimal degenerations at either extreme of the poset \mathcal{N}_o : the regular and subregular nilpotent orbits give a minimal degeneration, as does the minimal nilpotent orbit and the zero orbit.

Given $e \in \mathcal{N}_o$, let $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ through e . Then $\mathcal{S}_e := e + \mathfrak{g}^f$, where \mathfrak{g}^f is the centralizer of f in \mathfrak{g} , is called a Slodowy slice. Associated to any degeneration $\mathcal{O}' < \mathcal{O}$ is a smooth equivalence class of singularities $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ [KP82], which can be represented by the intersection $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} := \mathcal{S}_e \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}'}$, where $e \in \mathcal{O}'$. We call $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ a *Slodowy slice singularity*.

The singularities $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ of minimal degenerations are known in the classical types by [KP81] and [KP82] and in the exceptional types by [FJLS23] and [FJLS17], up to normalization for a few cases in E_7 and E_8 . These results can be summarized as:

- the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ are pairwise isomorphic;
- if $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$, then the normalization of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2/Γ where $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite subgroup, possibly trivial. Such a variety is called a *simple surface singularity* when Γ is non-trivial.
- if $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$, then an irreducible component of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is isomorphic to the closure of a minimal nilpotent orbit in some simple Lie algebra, or else is one of four exceptional cases, denoted m' , τ , χ , or a_2/\mathfrak{S}_2 in [FJLS17] and each appearing exactly one time.

1.2. Action on slices. A simple surface singularity $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of a simply-laced Lie algebra (e.g., A_n, D_n, E_n) either by using the irreducible representations of Γ as done by McKay, or by looking at the exceptional fiber of the minimal resolution of X , which is union of projective lines, whose arrangement yields the Dynkin diagram. Slodowy defined an action on X by using a normalizing subgroup Γ' of Γ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ [Slo80, III.6]. Looking at the image of the action of Γ' on the Dynkin diagram, he introduced the notation B_n (resp. C_n, F_4, G_2) to denote a simple surface singularity A_{2n-1} (resp. D_{n+1}, E_6, D_4) singularity with an “outer” action of \mathfrak{S}_2 (resp. $\mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{S}_3$). Here, “outer” refers to the fact that on the corresponding Lie algebra these come from outer automorphisms. It is also possible to do the same thing for the simple surface singularity A_{2n} , where we used the notation A_{2n}^+ in [FJLS17], when the outer action is included. Note, however, that this arises from a cyclic group of order four acting on X .

The centralizer G^e of e in G has a reductive part $C(\mathfrak{s})$, given by the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} in G . Then $C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ and we are interested in the image of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$. Slodowy [Slo80, IV.8] showed for the regular/subregular minimal degeneration, that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ with the action induced from $C(\mathfrak{s})$ is exactly the simple surface singularity denoted by the type of \mathfrak{g} . This explains his choice of notation.

Let a_n, b_n, \dots, g_2 denote the closure of the minimal nilpotent orbit according to the type of \mathfrak{g} . In [FJLS17], we introduced the notation $a_n^+, d_n^+, e_6^+, d_4^{++}$ to denote these varieties with the outer action of $\mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{S}_3$, respectively, coming from the outer automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} . In *op. cit.*, using these two notions of action, we studied the action of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ for all minimal degenerations, where we found that $C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts transitively on the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ and in some sense acts as non-trivially as possible on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ given the size of the component group $A(e) := C(\mathfrak{s})/C^{\circ}(\mathfrak{s})$. In this paper one of our results is to repeat this calculation for the classical groups (see §5).

1.3. Minimal Special Degenerations. Lusztig defined the notion of special representations of the Weyl group W of G [Lus79], which led him to define the special nilpotent orbits, denoted \mathcal{N}_o^{sp} , via the Springer correspondence. The regular, subregular, and zero nilpotent orbits are always special, but the minimal nilpotent orbit is only special when \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced (types A_n, D_n , or E_n). In the other types, there is always a unique minimal (nonzero) special nilpotent orbit. We denote the closure of the minimal special nilpotent orbits (which are not minimal nilpotent) by $b_n^{sp}, c_n^{sp}, f_4^{sp}$, and g_2^{sp} , according to the type of \mathfrak{g} .

In this paper, we classify the Slodowy slice singularities $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ when \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' are adjacent special orbits, i.e., there is no special orbit strictly between them. We call these *minimal special degenerations*. Since $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$ implies the degeneration is already a minimal degeneration, we are left only to classify the cases where $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$. Our main result on the classification of **minimal special degenerations** is summarized as:

- the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ are pairwise isomorphic;
- if $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$, then the normalization of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2/Γ where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a finite, non-trivial subgroup.
- if $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$, then an irreducible component of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is isomorphic to the closure of a minimal special nilpotent orbit in some simple Lie algebra, or else is isomorphic to one of the following quotients of the closure of a minimal (special) nilpotent orbit: $a_2/\mathfrak{S}_2, d_{n+1}/V_4$ or d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 .

The singularities a_2/\mathfrak{S}_2 and d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 arose in [FJLS23] and along with d_{n+1}/V_4 , they also appear in the physics literature [HKK23].

In the case where $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$, the singularities of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ are mostly controlled by the simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ (see Corollary 4.12 and the remarks after it), just as occurs for most of the minimal degenerations of dimension four or more.

For dimension two, there is a single slice where one of its irreducible components is known not to be normal, namely the μ singularity from [FJLS23], which occurs once in E_8 (it is irreducible). We expect the other components of slices of dimension two all to be normal in the case of minimal special degenerations, unlike the case of minimal degenerations. The components of slices of dimension at least four are all known to be normal.

The irreducible minimal special degenerations in the classical types B , C , D , are listed in Tables 1 and 2, in analogy with the classification of Kraft and Procesi for minimal degenerations [KP82, Table 1]. The minimal special degenerations of codimension two are already minimal degenerations and so are contained in [KP82], except for the action of $A(e)$. The notation of $[2B_n]^+$, means that the image of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts by a Klein 4-group V_4 on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$, where one generator switches the two components of the exceptional fiber and a second generator preserves both components of type A_{2n-1} , but acts by outer automorphism on each one. The table assumes that G is the orthogonal group $O(2n)$ for type D_n , hence making use of the outer \mathfrak{S}_2 -action of D_n .

In type D_n without this outer action, we would get these same singularities but without some or all of the action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$. Specifically, D_k and d_k arise, without the \mathfrak{S}_2 -action. The singularity $[2B_k]^+$ will become B_k for the minimal degenerations where \mathcal{O} is a very even orbit. We discuss this further in §8.

TABLE 1. Minimal special degenerations of codimension two

| Name of singularity | a | b | c | d | e |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Lie algebra | \mathfrak{sp}_2 | \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} | \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} | \mathfrak{sp}_{4n+2} | \mathfrak{so}_{4n} |
| | | $n \geq 2$ | $n \geq 1$ | $n \geq 1$ | $n \geq 1$ |
| l rows removed | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ | any | $l \not\equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ |
| s columns removed | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ | $s \equiv \epsilon$ | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ | $s \equiv \epsilon$ |
| λ | [2] | [2n] | [2n+1] | [2n+1, 2n+1] | [2n, 2n] |
| μ | [1, 1] | [2n-2, 2] | [2n-1, 1, 1] | [2n, 2n, 2] | [2n-1, 2n-1, 1, 1] |
| Singularity | C_1 | C_n | B_n | B_n | $[2B_n]^+$ |

TABLE 2. Minimal Special Degenerations of codimension 4 or more

| Name of singularity | g_{sp} | h | f_{sp}^1 | f_{sp}^2 | h_{sp} |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Lie algebra | \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} | \mathfrak{so}_{2n} | \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} | \mathfrak{sp}_{4n+2} | \mathfrak{sp}_{4n} |
| | $n \geq 2$ | $n \geq 3$ | $n \geq 2$ | $n \geq 2$ | $n \geq 2$ |
| l rows removed | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \not\equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \equiv \epsilon'$ | $l \not\equiv \epsilon'$ |
| s columns removed | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ | $s \equiv \epsilon$ | $s \equiv \epsilon$ | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ | $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ |
| λ | $[2^2, 1^{2n-4}]$ | $[2^2, 1^{2n-4}]$ | $[3, 1^{2n-2}]$ | $[3^2, 2^{2n-2}]$ | $[4, 2^{2n-2}]$ |
| μ | $[1^{2n}]$ | $[1^{2n}]$ | $[1^{2n+1}]$ | $[2^{2n+1}]$ | $[2^{2n}]$ |
| codimension | $4n-2$ | $4n-6$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-2$ | $4n-2$ |
| Singularity | c_n^{sp} | d_n^+ | b_n^{sp} | b_n^{sp} | d_{n+1}/V_4 |

Remark 1.1. The h singularity for $n = 2$ is d_2^+ , which coincides with the e singularity for $n = 1$. We use d_2^+ in the graphs for the classical groups since the action of $A(e)$ for the e -singularity with $n = 1$ is actually only by \mathfrak{S}_2 .

The proof that these tables give the classification of minimal special degenerations is given in §3. In §4, we establish that the singularities in classical types are as given in Table 2, and in §4.4 we complete the story in the exceptional groups. In §5.1 and §5.7, we establish the $A(e)$ -action both for minimal special degenerations and minimal degenerations. The graphs at the end of the paper give the results for the exceptional groups and several examples in the classical groups §11.

1.4. Duality. Using the Springer correspondence, Lusztig defined two maps, which are order-reversing involutions: $d : \mathcal{N}_o^{sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_o^{sp}$ and $d_{LS} : \mathcal{N}_o^{sp} \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{N}_o^{sp}$ (see [Car93]).

For $G = GL_n$ all nilpotent orbits are special and Kraft and Procesi [KP81] computed the singularity type of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ for minimal degenerations (hence, minimal special degenerations). The singularity is either of type A_k or a_k for some k . Kraft and Procesi observed that if the singularity of $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ is of type A_k then the singularity of $(d(\mathcal{O}'), d(\mathcal{O}))$ is of type a_k . In the case of GL_n , each orbit is given by a partition and the dualities $d = d_{LS}$ are given by taking the transpose partition.

Our duality is a generalization of the Kraft-Procesi observation, but with some wrinkles. It says that typically an irreducible component of a simple surface singularity (with $A(e)$ -action) is interchanged with the minimal special orbit of Langlands dual type (after taking the quotient of the $A(e)$ -action). More explicitly, d_{LS} exchanges the following singularities.

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &\leftrightarrow a_n \\ B_n &\leftrightarrow a_{2n-1}^+ \text{ or } c_n^{sp} \\ C_n &\leftrightarrow d_{n+1}^+ \text{ or } b_n^{sp} \\ D_n &\leftrightarrow d_n \\ G_2 &\leftrightarrow d_4^{++} \text{ or } g_2^{sp} \\ F_4 &\leftrightarrow e_6^+ \text{ or } f_4^{sp} \\ E_n &\leftrightarrow e_n \end{aligned}$$

The only interchange of dimension two with dimension two is when both slices have irreducible components of type A_1 . The fact that for each dual pair of orbits, one of the pairs yields a slice of dimension two was observed by Lusztig [Lus22]. For the cases with two options on the right, notice that the first option arises as cover of the second (see e.g. [FJLS23]). Indeed we expect this cover to occur intrinsically since in all these cases \mathcal{O} itself admits such a cover. We could also alternatively say that the second option is a quotient of the first by the $A(e)$ -action.

There are three families of situations that do not obey this relationship.

- (1) Sometimes

$$C_{n+1} \leftrightarrow c_n^{sp} \text{ or } a_{2n-1}^+$$

- (2) When d_{n+1}/V_4 or d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 occurs in a dual pair of orbits, we always have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &\leftrightarrow d_{n+1}/V_4 \\ G_2 &\leftrightarrow d_4/S_4 \end{aligned}$$

(3) For the three exceptional special orbits in E_7 and E_8 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^+ &\leftrightarrow a_2^+ \text{ or } a_2/S_2 \\ A_4^+ &\leftrightarrow a_4^+ \end{aligned}$$

In the first case, Lusztig's canonical quotient of $A(e)$ is playing a role. Namely, the kernel of the map from $A(e)$ to the canonical quotient $\bar{A}(e)$ is acting by outer action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$. We denote this property by adding a $*$ to the singularity, C_{n+1}^* . This phenomenon is described in §6. In the second case, there is an impact of the canonical quotient, see again §6. In the third case, these cases arise because the only representative of an order two element in $A(e)$ is an order 4 element in $C(\mathfrak{s})$ (see [FJLS17]). We gather the duality results into one theorem in §10.

1.5. Full automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} . We also consider, building on work of Slodowy, the case where $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. For A_n, E_6 , and D_4 , we leave this for §8. We find that in type A_n , all singularities acquire the expected outer action and thus, for example, $A_k^+ \leftrightarrow a_k^+$ for the full automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} .

To get more uniform statements for type D_n , we use $G = \text{O}(2n)$ at the beginning and then explain what changes when $G = \text{SO}(2n)$ in §5.6 and §5.8.



1.6. Three quartets of singularities in classical types B, C, D . The duality of the last section has a richer structure in types B, C, D . The internal duality d for B_n and C_n , together with d_{LS} and the composition $f := d \circ d_{LS}$, yield 4 related special orbits (see Figure 1). Applying these 3 maps to a minimal special degeneration, we find there are only three possible outputs for the four singularities that arise (see Figure 2).

There is also a story that involves D_n . As mentioned above, we work with $G = \text{O}(2n)$. Then there is a subset of the nilpotent orbits in type C_n that is a slight modification of the special orbits, by changing the parity condition in the definition. We call these the alternative special nilpotent orbits in type C and denote them by $\mathcal{N}_o^{C,asp}$ in §2.2. Its minimal element is the minimal orbit in type C_n of dimension $2n$. There is a bijection between $\mathcal{N}_o^{D,sp}$ and $\mathcal{N}_o^{C,asp}$, also denoted f , that preserves the partial order and codimensions (more precisely, it sends an orbit of dimension N to one of dimension $N + 2n$). This bijection, together with d_{LS} and $d = f \circ d_{LS}$, also gives rise to the same three quartets of singularities as in Figure 2. An example is given in Figure 3. This is also the first case where all three quartets arise.

FIGURE 1. Dualities



FIGURE 2. The three quartets of possible singularities in classical groups

FIGURE 3. Duality between $\mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(10)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(10)$  D_4 Minimal Special Degenerations C_4 **Alternative** Minimal Special Degenerations

1.7. Lusztig's Weyl group conjecture. In [Lus22, §0.4], Lusztig attached a Weyl group W' to each minimal special degeneration. He then made a conjecture relating the exponents of W' to what amounts to the $C(\mathfrak{s})$ -invariant part of the intersection homology $\mathrm{IH}^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$ when $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$. In §9 we prove his conjecture, which is open in types B , C , D , although we have to modify slightly the W' that he attaches to those minimal degenerations (λ, μ) in type D_n where there is a single odd part in μ .

1.8. Acknowledgments. This work, major parts of which were sketched in 2012, is a continuation of the papers [FJLSa], [FJLSb] that were jointly authored with Baohua Fu. We thank him for his vital contribution to the project from its inception.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL IN THE CLASSICAL GROUP CASE

2.1. Notation on partitions. In the classical groups it will be helpful to have a description of the elements of \mathcal{N}_o and the map d in terms of partitions. We introduce that notation following the references [CM93], [Car93], [Spa82].

Let $\mathcal{P}(N)$ denote the set of partitions of N . For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$, we write $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$ and $|\lambda| := \sum \lambda_j$ is equal to N . Define

$$m_\lambda(s) = \#\{j \mid \lambda_j = s\},$$

the multiplicity of the part s in λ . We use $m(s)$ if the partition is clear. Sometimes we write $[\dots, s^{m(s)}, \dots]$ instead of

$$[\dots, \overbrace{s, s, \dots, s}^{m(s)}, \dots]$$

for a part s in λ . The set of nilpotent orbits \mathcal{N}_o in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ under the adjoint action of $G = SL_n$ is in bijection with $\mathcal{P}(n)$.

For $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, let $V = V_\epsilon$ be a vector space, of dimension N , with a nondegenerate bilinear form satisfying $\langle v, v' \rangle = (-1)^\epsilon \langle v', v \rangle$ for $v, v' \in V$. Let $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ be the Lie algebra associated to the form on V , so that $\mathfrak{g}(V) = \mathfrak{so}_N$ when $\epsilon = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}(V) = \mathfrak{sp}_N$ when $\epsilon = 1$ and N is even.

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N) \mid m(s) \equiv 0 \text{ whenever } s \equiv \epsilon\},$$

where all congruences are modulo 2. Then the set of nilpotent orbits \mathcal{N}_o in $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ under the group $G = G(V)$ preserving the form is given by $\mathcal{P}_1(2n)$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type C_n ; by $\mathcal{P}_0(2n+1)$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n ; and by $\mathcal{P}_0(2n)$ when \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , except that those partitions with all even parts correspond to two orbits in \mathcal{N}_o (called the very even orbits, where there are two orbits interchanged by the orthogonal group). We will also refer to $\mathcal{P}_1(2n)$ as $\mathcal{P}_C(2n)$; to $\mathcal{P}_0(2n+1)$ as $\mathcal{P}_B(2n+1)$; and to $\mathcal{P}_0(2n)$ as $\mathcal{P}_D(2n)$. We sometimes call a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N)$ an ϵ -partition. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ or $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N)$, we denote by \mathcal{O}_λ the corresponding nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} .

Define the height of a part s in λ to be the number

$$h_\lambda(s) := \#\{\lambda_j \mid \lambda_j \geq s\}.$$

We write $h(s)$ if the partition is clear. In terms of Young diagrams, the position $(s, h(s))$ is a corner of the diagram, writing each part λ_i as the boxes with upper right corner $(1, i), \dots, (\lambda_i, i)$. In other words, we have $\lambda_{h(s)} = s$ and $\lambda_{h(s)+1} < \lambda_{h(s)}$.

The dual or transpose partition of λ , denoted λ^* , is defined by

$$(\lambda^*)_i = \#\{j \mid \lambda_j \geq i\}.$$

If we set $j = h(s)$, then λ^* is the partition with part $h(s)$ occurring $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} = s - \lambda_{j+1}$ times.

The set $\mathcal{P}(N)$ is partially ordered by the dominance order on partitions, where $\mu \preceq \lambda$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ for all k . This induces a partial ordering on the sets $\mathcal{P}_C(2n)$, $\mathcal{P}_B(2n+1)$, and $\mathcal{P}_D(2n)$ and these partial orderings coincide with the partial ordering on nilpotent orbits given by the closure ordering. We will refer to nilpotent orbits and partitions interchangeably in the classical groups (with the caveat mentioned earlier for the very even orbits in type D).

Let $X = B, C$, or D . Let N be even (resp. odd) if X is of type C or D (resp. B). The X -collapse of $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ is the partition $\lambda_X \in \mathcal{P}_X(N)$ satisfying $\lambda_X \preceq \lambda$ and such that if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_X(N)$ and $\mu \preceq \lambda$, then $\mu \preceq \lambda_X$. The X -collapse always exists and is unique.

2.2. Special partitions and the duality maps. The special nilpotent orbits were defined by Lusztig [Lus79]. Denote by \mathcal{N}_o^{sp} the special nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V)$. All nilpotent orbits are special in type A . Here we describe the special nilpotent orbits in types B, C , and D , as well as introduce a second subset of \mathcal{N}_o , which behaves like special orbits. We define four sets of partitions, with $\epsilon' \in \{0, 1\}$, as follows

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon, \epsilon'}(N) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N) \mid h(s) \equiv \epsilon' \text{ whenever } s \equiv \epsilon\}.$$

Because of the $s = 0$ case, for N odd, the set is nonempty only when $(\epsilon, \epsilon') = (0, 1)$. For N even, the set is nonempty for $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \in \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. Then the partitions for the special orbits in type B_n, C_n, D_n are given by $\mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1) := \mathcal{P}_{0,1}(2n+1)$, $\mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n) := \mathcal{P}_{1,0}(2n)$, and $\mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n) := \mathcal{P}_{0,0}(2n)$. The fourth case leads to a second subset of $\mathcal{P}_C(2n)$, which is $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n) := \mathcal{P}_{1,1}(2n)$. We refer to these nilpotent orbits in type C as the alternative special nilpotent orbits.

Each of $\mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1)$, $\mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n)$, $\mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n)$, and $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n)$ inherits the partial order from the set of all partitions and this agrees with the one coming from inclusion of closures of the corresponding nilpotent orbits.

The sets $\mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n)$ are in bijection (see [Spa82], [KP89]), and also the sets $\mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n)$ are in bijection, as we now describe.

Given $\lambda = [\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq \lambda_k > 0]$, let

$$\lambda^- = [\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq \lambda_k - 1]$$

and

$$\lambda^+ = [\lambda_1 + 1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{k-1} \geq \lambda_k].$$

Then the bijections are given as follows, using f for each map:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{BC} &: \mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n) \text{ given by } f(\lambda) = (\lambda^-)_C \\ f_{CB} &: \mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1) \text{ given by } f(\lambda) = (\lambda^+)_B \\ f_{DC} &: \mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n) \text{ given by } f(\lambda) = ((\lambda^+)^-)_C \\ f_{CD} &: \mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n) \text{ given by } f(\lambda) = \lambda_D \end{aligned}$$

Note that in general f maps $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon, \epsilon'}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{1-\epsilon, 1-\epsilon'}$.

Each of these maps respects the partial order. The first two maps are dimension preserving (and codimension preserving). The second two maps are codimension preserving (as we shall see). More precisely, the f_{DC} map sends an orbit of dimension N to one of dimension $N+2n$. The shift is because the minimal orbit in C_n is the minimal element in $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n)$.

Write $d(\lambda)$ for λ^* . It is known (or easy to show) that d determines bijections between the following sets:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{P}_B^{sp}(2n+1) \\ \mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{P}_C^{sp}(2n) \\ \mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(2n) &\xleftarrow{d} \mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(2n) \end{aligned}$$

It is order-reversing since this holds for all partitions. We refer to d as the *internal duality* or just *transpose*.

It is known that $d \circ f = f \circ d$ and the duality d_{LS} of Lusztig-Spaltenstein is given by $d_{LS} = d \circ f = f \circ d$. We have squares relating the three kinds of maps between the orbits (or their corresponding partitions) as shown in Figure 1.

2.3. Explicit description of f maps. We now describe more specifically how the f maps work.

Let X be one of the types B, C, D . Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ with N even for type C and D and odd for type B . We want to find λ_X . Set $\epsilon = \epsilon_X$. List the parts s in λ with $s \equiv \epsilon$ and $m(s)$ odd as $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{2n} \geq 0$. For $X = C$, these are the odd parts, so there is an even number of them. But for $X = B$ or $X = D$, since $\epsilon = 0$, we will add a single part equal to 0, if necessary, so that there is an even number of even parts with odd multiplicity. Next,

between $a := a_{2i-1}$ and $c := a_{2i}$, list the parts $s \equiv \epsilon$ as $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_j$, which necessarily have $m(b_i)$ even. Ignoring the parts not congruent to ϵ , then λ will look locally like

$$a^{m(a)}, b_1^{m(b_1)}, \dots, b_j^{m(b_j)}, c^{m(c)}.$$

Then under the collapse of λ to λ_X , these values will change to

$$a^{m(a)-1}, a-1, b_1+1, b_1^{m(b_1)-2}, b_1-1, \dots, b_j+1, b_j^{m(b_j)-2}, b_j-1, c+1, c^{m(c)-1}$$

so that the multiplicities of the parts congruent to ϵ are now even, as required to be in $\mathcal{P}_X(N)$. The other parts of λ are unaffected under the collapse. As a result of this rule, there is a formula for the collapse for a part s based on its height $h(s)$ and its multiplicity $m(s)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be B, C , or D and $\epsilon = \epsilon_X$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ with N as above. Assume $m(s)$ is even if $s \not\equiv \epsilon$. Let $s \equiv \epsilon$ be a part in λ . Then $[s^{m(s)}]$ in λ changes to the following in λ_X :*

$$\begin{aligned} [s^{m(s)-1}, s-1] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv 1, m(s) \equiv 1 \\ [s+1, s^{m(s)-1}] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv 0, m(s) \equiv 1 \\ [s+1, s^{m(s)-2}, s-1] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv 1, m(s) \equiv 0 \\ [s^{m(s)}] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv 0, m(s) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $h(s) = \sum_{j \geq s} m(j)$, it is clear that $h(s) \equiv \#\{m(j) \mid m(j) \text{ is odd and } j \geq s\}$. Since any part s with $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ has $m(s)$ even, it follows that

$$h(s) \equiv \#\{m(j) \mid m(j) \equiv 1, j \geq s, \text{ and } j \equiv \epsilon\}.$$

So the four conditions in the lemma specify whether the part s plays the role of some a_{2i-1} , a_{2i} , b_k , or a part between some a_{2i} and a_{2i+1} and hence unaffected by the collapse. \square

Let X be one of the types B, C, D or C' . Here C' , refers to the alternative special setting. Now we can say what happens under the f maps passing from X to type $f(X)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X^{sp}(N)$. Let $s \not\equiv \epsilon_X$ be a part in λ . Then $f(\lambda)$ is computed by replacing each occurrence of $[s^{m(s)}]$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad [s^{m(s)-1}, s-1] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv \epsilon'_X, m(s) \equiv 1 \\ (5) \quad [s+1, s^{m(s)-1}] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X, m(s) \equiv 1 \\ (6) \quad [s+1, s^{m(s)-2}, s-1] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \equiv \epsilon'_X, m(s) \equiv 0 \\ (7) \quad [s^{m(s)}] & \quad \text{if } h(s) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X, m(s) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, if $s \not\equiv \epsilon_X$, then $s \equiv \epsilon_{f(X)}$. For f_{BC} and f_{CD} the map is just the ordinary collapse (except for the smallest two parts in type B). In these cases, $\epsilon'_X = 1$ and we are in the situation of the previous lemma when performing the collapse in type $f(X)$. In type B , there are a couple of cases to check that the effect of λ_k being replaced by $\lambda_k - 1$ is consistent with the above cases.

On the other hand, for f_{DC} and f_{CB} we have $\epsilon'_X = 0$. For the f map, we first increase λ_1 by 1 and then perform the collapse for type $f(X)$. This 1, under the collapse, moves down to the first part x with $x \not\equiv \epsilon_X$. By the assumption that the parts congruent to ϵ_X have even multiplicity, we have that $h(s)$ odd. So the rule is correct for the part x . Call this new partition, where x changes to $x+1$, λ' . Then

$$h_{\lambda'}(s) \not\equiv \#\{m_{\lambda'}(j) \mid m_{\lambda'}(j) \equiv 1, j \geq s, \text{ and } j \equiv \epsilon_{f(X)}\}.$$

Since $\epsilon'_X = 0$, the previous lemma gives the result again for the collapse of λ' , which is $f(\lambda)$. For f_{DC} , there are a couple of cases to check that the effect of λ_k being replaced by $\lambda_k - 1$ is consistent with the above cases. \square

2.4. Special pieces result. Spaltenstein [Spa82] showed that each non-special orbit \mathcal{O}' belongs to the closure of a unique special orbit \mathcal{O} , which is minimal among all special orbits whose closure contains \mathcal{O}' . That is, if a special orbit contains \mathcal{O}' in its closure, then it contains \mathcal{O} in its closure.

We now describe the process for finding the partition λ for \mathcal{O} given the partition ν for \mathcal{O}' . Let X be one of the four types B, C, D, C' . Let $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_X(N)$ be non-special. Let S be the collection of parts s in ν such that $s \equiv \epsilon_X$ and $h(s) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$. These are the parts that fail the condition for ν to be in $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon, \epsilon'}$ as required by (1). Note that $s \equiv \epsilon_X$ means that $m(s)$ is even, so $m(s) \geq 2$. Let λ be obtained from ν by replacing the subpartition $[s^{m(s)}]$ in ν by $[s+1, s^{m(s)-2}, s-1]$, for each $s \in S$. It is clear that $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_X(N)$ and that it satisfies the special condition in (1), so lies in $\mathcal{P}_X^{sp}(N)$. In fact, λ is the partition for \mathcal{O} by [KP89] for the cases of B, C, D (the case of C' is similar).

2.5. Removing rows and columns from a partition. In [KP81] and [KP82], Kraft and Procesi defined two operations that take a pair of partitions $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ to another pair of partitions. Viewing the partitions as Young diagrams, the first operation is removing common initial rows of λ and μ and the second operation is removing common initial columns.

2.5.1. Type A. More precisely, we say (λ, μ) is *leading-row-equivalent (after removing r rows)* to (λ', μ') if $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for $i \leq r$, while $\lambda_i = \lambda'_{i-r}$ and $\mu_i = \mu'_{i-r}$ for $i > r$. We say (λ, μ) is *column-equivalent (after removing s columns)* to (λ', μ') if $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for $i > \ell$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i + s$ and $\mu_i = \mu'_i + s$ for $i \leq \ell$, where $\ell = \max\{i \mid \lambda_i > s\}$. In both cases, $|\lambda'| = |\mu'|$, so λ' and μ' are partitions of the same integer. We say (λ, μ) is *equivalent* to (λ', μ') if they are related by a sequence of these two equivalences, and it follows in that case when $\lambda \preceq \mu$ that

- (1) $\lambda' \preceq \mu'$
- (2) $\text{codim}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda} \mathcal{O}_\mu = \text{codim}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda'}} \mathcal{O}_{\mu'}$
- (3) The singularity of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ at \mathcal{O}_μ is smoothly equivalent to the singularity of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda'}$ at $\mathcal{O}_{\mu'}$.

for the corresponding nilpotent orbits in \mathfrak{sl}_n [KP81].

2.5.2. Other classical types. For $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V_\epsilon)$ as in §2.1, similar results hold as above hold when we cancel r leading rows and s columns, with an additional condition. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N)$ and assume when we cancel r leading rows that

$$(8) \quad [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r] \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-partition.}$$

This condition always holds if we choose the maximal possible number of rows to cancel between λ and μ . If (8) holds, then λ' and μ' are $\tilde{\epsilon}$ -partitions, with $\tilde{\epsilon} \equiv \epsilon + s$, where s is the number of columns canceled. Then the above three results hold when the nilpotent orbits are considered in \mathfrak{g} [KP82, §13]. A pair of partitions (λ, μ) is *irreducible* if no common rows or columns can be canceled.

Next, we say $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(N)$ is ϵ -*row-equivalent* to $(\lambda', \mu') \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(m)$, if the latter is obtained from the former by canceling some leading and some trailing rows of the Young diagram. Namely, there exist $r, r' \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for $i \leq r$ and $i \geq r'$, while $\lambda_i = \lambda'_{i-r}$ and $\mu_i = \mu'_{i-r}$ for $r < i < r'$. We pad the partitions by adding zeros so that both partitions have the same number of parts. If we set $\nu = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, \lambda_{r'}, \lambda_{r'+1}, \dots]$, then ν is also an ϵ -partition. We also say (λ, μ) is *locally of the form* (λ', μ') .

Now suppose that (λ, μ) is ϵ -row-equivalent to (λ', μ') . Let $V = V_\epsilon$. Then, as in [KP82, §13.4], there is an orthogonal decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, with $\dim V_1 = |\lambda'| = |\mu'|$ and $\dim V_2 = |\nu|$ and the V_i carry a nondegenerate ϵ -form by restriction from V . Moreover, $\lambda', \mu' \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\dim V_1)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_\epsilon(\dim V_2)$, so we can pick nilpotent elements $x_1, e_1 \in \mathfrak{g}(V_1)$ with partitions λ', μ' , respectively, and $e_2 \in \mathfrak{g}(V_2)$ with partition ν . Then $x = x_1 + e_2$ has partition λ and $e = e_1 + e_2$ has partition μ . The arguments in §13 in [KP82] give

Proposition 2.3. *Choose an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple for x_1 in $\mathfrak{g}(V_1)$. Then the natural map of $\mathfrak{g}(V_1)$ to $\mathfrak{g}(V_1) + e_2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ gives an isomorphism of the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda', e_1}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}(V_1)$ to the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, e}}$ in \mathfrak{g} .*

The key ideas in the proof are that both slices have the same dimension (by the codimension result) and the fact that the closure of any nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{gl}_N is normal.

We note that if (λ', μ') are obtained from (λ, μ) by removing r leading rows and s columns and if condition (8) holds, then (λ, μ) is ϵ -row-equivalent to :

$$(9) \quad (\lambda'', \mu'') := ([\lambda'_1 + s, \lambda'_2 + s, \dots], [\mu'_1 + s, \mu'_2 + s, \dots])$$

Finally, we call (λ, μ) and (λ'', μ'') locally equivalent, or say locally (λ, μ) is equal to (λ'', μ'') .

In the next section §3, we show that each pair of partitions corresponding to a minimal special degeneration in orthogonal and symplectic type is equivalent to a unique pair of partitions (λ, μ) of N for a unique smallest N . These pairs are irreducible in the sense of Kraft and Procesi: the maximal possible number of common rows and columns has been removed from the original pair of partitions to obtain (λ, μ) .

3. COMBINATORIAL CLASSIFICATION OF MINIMAL SPECIAL DEGENERATIONS IN B, C, D

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon, \epsilon'}$ be partitions corresponding to a minimal special degeneration in the corresponding classical Lie algebra. Then (λ, μ) is equivalent to a unique entry in Table 1 or Table 2.*

Proof. If a minimal special degeneration (λ, μ) is not already minimal, then there exists a non-special orbit \mathcal{O}_ν such that $\mathcal{O}_\mu \leq \mathcal{O}_\nu \leq \mathcal{O}_\lambda$, and such that (ν, μ) is a minimal degeneration. Hence, the latter would be one of the entries in the Kraft-Procesi list [KP82, §3.4]. We need to show that (λ, μ) must be equivalent to one of the five cases in Table 2.

First, since \mathcal{O}_ν is not special, there is a unique special orbit whose closure contains \mathcal{O}_ν and which is contained in the closure of all other special orbits whose closure contains \mathcal{O}_ν (see §2.4). Consequently, \mathcal{O}_λ must be this orbit, as we are assuming the degeneration (λ, μ) is minimal among special degenerations.

Next, we will show that (ν, μ) cannot be one of the cases in Table 1. Let X be the type of the Lie algebra and $\epsilon = \epsilon_X$, $\epsilon' = \epsilon'_X$.

If (ν, μ) is type a , then locally it is $([s+2, s], [(s+1)^2])$ where $s \not\equiv \epsilon$. Since $s+1 \equiv \epsilon$ and $s+1$ appears exactly twice, the heights satisfy $h_\nu(x) \equiv h_\mu(x)$ for all $x \equiv \epsilon$. This means that ν must be special since μ is special. Therefore the type a minimal degeneration cannot occur between a larger orbit that is not special and a smaller orbit that is special.

If (ν, μ) is type b , then locally it is $([s+2n, s], [s+2n-2, s+2])$ where $s \not\equiv \epsilon$. Hence, all four of $s+2n, s, s+2n-2$, and $s+2$ are not congruent to ϵ . As in the previous case, $h_\nu(x) \equiv h_\mu(x)$ for all $x \equiv \epsilon$, and again this forces ν to be special too, a contradiction.

If (ν, μ) is of type c, d or e , it will be possible for ν to be non-special, but we will show that then the degeneration (λ, μ) is not minimal among degenerations between special orbits.

For type c , the pair (ν, μ) is locally $([s+2n+1, s^2], [s+2n-1, (s+1)^2])$ where $s \equiv \epsilon$. In this case ν will be non-special, as noted in the Table 1, exactly when the number of rows l

removed is congruent to ϵ' . This means $h_\nu(s) = l + 3 \not\equiv \epsilon'$ (and necessarily $s \geq 1$). If that is the case, then by §2.4, λ must locally be $[s+2n+1, s+1, s-1]$. But then λ degenerates to the partition ν' that is locally $[s+2n-1, s+3, s-1]$, which is also special and degenerates to μ . Hence the degeneration (λ, μ) is not a minimal special degeneration, which is what we wanted to show.

For type d , the pair (ν, μ) is locally

$$([s+2n+1, s+2n+1, s], [s+2n, s+2n, s+2])$$

where $s \not\equiv \epsilon$. In this case ν will be non-special exactly when $h_\nu(s+2n+1) \not\equiv \epsilon'$. If that is the case, then λ must locally be $[s+2n+2, s+2n, s]$ from §2.4. But λ also degenerates to the partition ν' that is locally $[s+2n+2, s+2n-2, s+2]$, which is also special and degenerates to μ . Hence the degeneration (λ, μ) is not a minimal special degeneration.

For type e , the pair (ν, μ) is locally

$$([s+2n, s+2n, s, s], [s+2n-1, s+2n-1, (s+1)^2])$$

where $s \equiv \epsilon$. In this case ν will be non-special exactly when $h_\nu(s+2n) \not\equiv \epsilon'$ (and $s \geq 1$ is forced). Then λ must locally be $[s+2n+1, s+2n-1, s+1, s-1]$ by §2.4. But λ degenerates to the partition ν' that is locally $[s+2n-1, s+2n-1, s+3, s-1]$, whenever $n \geq 2$. This orbit is special since μ is. Moreover, ν' degenerates to μ , so (λ, μ) is not a minimal special degeneration.

This shows, for any minimal special degeneration (λ, μ) , which is not already a minimal degeneration, that there exists an intermediate orbit ν such that (ν, μ) is a minimal degeneration of codimension at least 4, **unless** ν is of type e with $n = 1$. In Kraft-Procesi's classification [KP82, Table I], the minimal degenerations of dimension at least 4 are labeled f, g and h , and are given by the minimal nilpotent orbit closures in types B, C and D , respectively.

Starting with type g , where $n \geq 2$, the pair (ν, μ) is locally

$$([s+2, (s+1)^{2n-2}, s], [(s+1)^{2n}])$$

with $s \not\equiv \epsilon$. Then ν is never special since μ , being special, forces $h_\nu(s+1) = h_\mu(s+1)+1$ to fail the special condition. Then λ is forced, locally, to equal $[(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-4}, s^2]$ by §2.4. Because μ is special, the number of rows l removed is congruent to ϵ' . After removing s columns, we see that (λ, μ) has the type of g_{sp} , and the latter is indeed a minimal special degeneration, containing only the (non-special) orbit between λ and μ .

For type f , the pair (ν, μ) is locally

$$([(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-3}, s^2], [(s+1)^{2n+1}])$$

with $s \equiv \epsilon$ and $n \geq 2$. This is never special since $h_\nu(s+2)$ and $h_\nu(s)$ have different parities, so exactly one of them fails the special condition. In the former case, λ is locally equal to $[s+3, (s+1)^{2n-2}, s^2]$ and the degeneration is given by f_{sp}^1 in the Table 2. That this is a minimal such degeneration follows since ν is the only (non-special) orbit between λ and μ . In the latter case, which forces $s \geq 1$, then λ is locally equal to $[(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-2}, s-1]$ and this is the minimal special degeneration f_{sp}^2 . Again, ν is the only (non-special) orbit between λ and μ .

Finally, assume the pair (ν, μ) is locally

$$([(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-4}, s^2], [(s+1)^{2n}])$$

with $s \equiv \epsilon$ for $n \geq 2$. This is type e if $n = 2$ (for $n = 1$ in the table for e) and type h for $n \geq 3$. Observe that the special condition $h_\nu(s+2) \equiv \epsilon'$ is satisfied if and only if $h_\nu(s) \equiv \epsilon'$, since these heights differ by the even number $2n - 4$. If both conditions are met, then ν will be special since μ is special and this is handled by the minimal degeneration cases.

Otherwise, both the pairs $(s+2, s+2)$ and (s, s) in ν cause ν to fail to be special, which implies $s \geq 1$. Then λ takes the form locally $[s+3, (s+1)^{2n-2}, s-1]$ by §2.4. This is the form of h_{sp} in Table 2 after removing $s-1$ columns. This is a minimal special degeneration containing 3 (non-special) orbits between λ and μ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & [s+3, (s+1)^{2n-2}, s-1] & \\
 \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 [(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-3}, s-1] & & [s+3, (s+1)^{2n-3}, s^2] \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & [(s+2)^2, (s+1)^{2n-4}, s^2] & \\
 & \downarrow h & \\
 & [(s+1)^{2n}] &
 \end{array}$$

The four unlabeled edges all have an $A_1 = C_1$ singularity (type a).

We have therefore shown that every minimal special degeneration is either minimal or takes the form in Table 2. \square

Next we will show that each degeneration in Table 2 has the given singularity type.

4. DETERMINING THE SINGULARITIES IN TABLE 2

For each type in Table 2, we need to show that the degeneration is as promised. The case of type h was done in [KP82]. We begin with the g_{sp} case.

4.1. Type g_{sp} case. As discussed in §2, for the classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , and \mathfrak{so}_{2n} , the nilpotent orbits under the groups $O(2n+1)$, $Sp(2n)$, and $O(2n)$ are parametrized by partitions in $\mathcal{P}_B(2n+1)$, $\mathcal{P}_C(2n)$, and $\mathcal{P}_D(2n)$. This occurs via the Jordan-canonical form of the matrix in the ambient general linear Lie algebra.

Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent. Fix an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra \mathfrak{s} through e and let $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} in \mathfrak{g} , which is a maximal reductive subalgebra of the centralizer of e in \mathfrak{g} . Let $C(\mathfrak{s})$ be the centralizer in G . Then $C(\mathfrak{s})$ is a product of orthogonal and symplectic groups, with each part s of λ contributing a factor G^s , which is isomorphic to $O(m(s))$ when $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ and isomorphic to $Sp(m(s))$ when $s \equiv \epsilon$. Denote by \mathfrak{g}^s the Lie algebra of G^s . See [CM93] for this background material.

Let V denote the defining representation of \mathfrak{g} via the ambient general linear Lie algebra. If λ is the partition corresponding to e , then under \mathfrak{s} , the representation V decomposes as a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_s V(s-1)^{\oplus m(s)}$$

over the distinct parts s of λ . Here $V(m)$ is the irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation of highest weight m .

Now let $e_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ be nilpotent. Then $e_0 = \sum_s e_0^{(s)}$ for some nilpotent $e_0^{(s)} \in \mathfrak{g}^s$. Choose an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra through $e_0^{(s)}$ in \mathfrak{g}^s and let \mathfrak{s}_0 be the diagonal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra for

$$e_0 = \sum_s e_0^{(s)}.$$

Each $e_0^{(s)}$ corresponds to a partition $\mu^{(s)}$ of $m(s)$, using the defining representation of \mathfrak{g}^s .

Under the sum $\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_0$, V decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{s,j} V(s-1) \otimes V(\mu_j^{(s)}-1)$$

where s runs over the distinct parts of λ and j indexes the parts of μ_s .

Now consider the diagonal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra for $e + e_0$ in $\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}_0$. An application of the Clebsch-Gordan formula immediately gives

Lemma 4.1. *The nilpotent element $e + e_0$ in \mathfrak{g} has partition equal to the union of the partitions*

$$[s + \mu_j^{(s)} - 1, s + \mu_j^{(s)} - 3, \dots, |s - \mu_j^{(s)}| + 1]$$

for each distinct part s in λ and each part $\mu_j^{(s)}$ of $\mu^{(s)}$.

Suppose that $e_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ is a nilpotent element such that each $e_0^{(s)} \in \mathfrak{g}^s$ has partition of the form

$$(10) \quad \mu^{(s)} = [2^{a_s}, 1^{b_s}]$$

for some positive integers a_s and b_s with $2a_s + b_s = m(s)$. Then the partition ν of $e + e_0$ equals the union of the partitions

$$[(s+1)^{a_i}, s^{b_i}, (s-1)^{a_i}]$$

for each part s in λ . This follows immediately from the previous lemma since the part s contributes $[s+1, s-1]$ to ν when $\mu_j^{(s)} = 2$ and it contributes $[s]$ when $\mu_j^{(s)} = 1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $e_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ be a nilpotent element satisfying (10). Let \mathcal{O} be the orbit through $e + e_0$. Then the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}, e}$ is isomorphic to*

$$(11) \quad \prod_s \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu^{(s)}}$$

where the product is over the distinct parts s of λ . Here, $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{(s)}}$ is the orbit with partition $\mu^{(s)}$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(m(s))$ if $s \equiv \epsilon$ and in $\mathfrak{so}(m(s))$ if $s \not\equiv \epsilon$.

Proof. The partition of $e_0^{(s)}$ in \mathfrak{g} (rather than \mathfrak{g}^i) is equal to

$$[2^{s \cdot a_s}, 1^{N - 2s \cdot a_s}]$$

where N is the dimension of V . Setting $a = \sum_s a_s$, the partition of e_0 is equal to

$$[2^a, 1^{N - 2a}],$$

which is of height 2 in \mathfrak{g} . Then Corollary 4.9 in [FJLS17] implies that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}, e}$ and $\prod_s \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu^s}$ have the same dimension. The latter is isomorphic to

$$f + \overline{C(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot (e + e_0)} = f + e + \overline{C(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot e_0},$$

which is a subvariety of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}, e}$. The result follows from [KP82, Cor 13.3] if we can show that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is normal at e .

Since the only minimal degeneration of $[2^{a_s}, 1^{b_s}]$ in \mathfrak{g}^s is $[2^{a_s-1}, 1^{b_s+2}]$ when $a_s > 1$ is of minimal type (that is, types a , f , g , or h in [KP82, Table 1]), the only minimal degenerations of \mathcal{O} that contain e are also of minimal type. The argument in [KP82, Thm 16.2] then shows that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is normal at e . \square

We can now prove the g_{sp} case.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and $e \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$, where (λ, μ) are of type g_{sp} in Table 2. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}, e}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{2n-4}]}$, the closure of the minimal special orbit in \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} .*

Proof. If k is the number of columns removed, then $s = k + 1$ is the relevant part of μ and $m(s) = 2n$. We take e_0 to be exactly equal to $e_0^{(s)} \in \mathfrak{g}^s$ with partition $\mu^s = [2^2, 1^{2n-4}]$. Then the result follows from the proposition. Since $k \not\equiv \epsilon$ for the g_{sp} case, we have $s \equiv \epsilon$ and $\mathfrak{g}^s = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. \square

Remark 4.4. The h case in the table, already done in [KP82], corresponds to the situation where $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ and $m(s)$ is even, as well as having \mathcal{O}_λ is special (so the condition on l holds). Of course, the slice is still of type h even if the degeneration is not a minimal special one.

4.2. Type f_{sp}^1 and f_{sp}^2 . These cases occur when (λ, μ) is ϵ -row equivalent to $(\lambda^{(i)}, [a^N])$ where N is odd and $a \not\equiv \epsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{(1)} &= [a+2, a^{N-3}, (a-1)^2] \quad (\text{type } f_{sp}^1) \\ \lambda^{(2)} &= [(a+1)^2, a^{N-3}, a-2] \quad (\text{type } f_{sp}^2)\end{aligned}$$

with $a \geq 1$ when $i = 1$ and $a \geq 2$ when $i = 2$. By Proposition 2.3, it is enough to assume that $\mu = [a^N]$ and λ equals $\lambda^{(1)}$ or $\lambda^{(2)}$. Since we will need it for the next section, we consider the more general case N is any integer with $N \geq 3$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{aN}$ if a is odd and \mathfrak{sp}_{aN} if a is even. Let $e \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}, e} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3, 1^{N-3}]}$$

where the orbit closure is in \mathfrak{so}_N .

Proof. If $a = 1$, the $\lambda^{(1)}$ case is clear since there is equality between the slice and the orbit closure, so assume $a \geq 2$. The situation is very similar to §11.2 in [FJLS17]. Let I_N be the $N \times N$ identity matrix. Let us define the form on V explicitly to be the one defined by the $a \times a$ block anti-diagonal matrix J with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & I_N \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -I_N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I_N & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & & & & & \\ (-1)^{a-1} I_N & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The bilinear form defined by J is nondegenerate and is symmetric if a is odd and symplectic if a is even. Since $a \not\equiv \epsilon$, this is the correct form for defining $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V_e)$.

The $a \times a$ -block-matrices e and f given by

$$(12) \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 I_N & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 I_N & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{N-1} I_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ I_N & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_N & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

with $c_j = j(N - j)$ lie in \mathfrak{g} and e and f are both nilpotent with partition μ . They complete to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple as in [FJLS17, §11.2]. The centralizer \mathfrak{g}^f is the set of block upper triangular

matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 & \dots & Y_{a-2} & Y_{a-1} & Y_a \\ 0 & Y_1 & Y_2 & \dots & Y_{a-2} & Y_{a-1} & \\ 0 & 0 & Y_1 & \dots & Y_{a-3} & Y_{a-2} & \\ & & & \dots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Y_2 & Y_3 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Y_1 & Y_2 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & Y_1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then X lies in \mathfrak{g} if and only if $Y_i = (-1)^i Y_i^T$. Let Σ_N denote the set of $N \times N$ symmetric matrices and set

$$\phi : \mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N \times \mathfrak{so}_N \times \dots \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^f$$

denote the map where $\phi(Y_1, Y_2, \dots)$ is given by the matrix X above. The reductive centralizer $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq \mathfrak{so}_N$ is given by $Y_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$; similarly $C(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq O(N)$. An element $g \in C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts on $e + X \in \mathcal{S}_e$ by sending Y_i to $gY_i g^T$. The \mathbb{C}^* -action on \mathcal{S}_e is given by $t.Y_i = t^{2i} Y_i$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Let $e_0 \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ be a nilpotent element with partition $[3, 1^{N-3}]$. Pick an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple \mathfrak{s}_0 through e_0 in $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ and assume that the semisimple element h_0 is a diagonal matrix. By Lemma 4.1 or computing $h + h_0$ directly, we see that $e + e_0$ has partition $\nu := [a+2, a^{N-2}, a-2]$ since $a \geq 2$.

Let $N = 3$. By [KP82] we have $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}, e}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3]}$ since for $i=1$ the degeneration is type c and for $i=2$ it is type d in Table 1. Set $A = e_0$, then $A^2 \in \Sigma_N$. Then $\phi(0, A^2, 0, \dots)$ is an eigenvector for both $\text{ad}(h)$ and $\text{ad}(h_0)$, with eigenvalue -2 and 4 , respectively. Since the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}(h_0)$ on $\mathfrak{z}(e)$ are at most 4 and the eigenvalue 4 only occurs once in Σ_N , there are no other exceptional pairs in the sense of [FJLS17, §4]. It follows that $e + \phi(A, z_i A^2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$ for a unique $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}, e}}$ has dimension two and is irreducible, this means it is exactly the set of elements $e + \phi(A, z_i A^2, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $A \in \mathfrak{so}_3$ is nilpotent, giving the isomorphism to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3]}$ explicitly.

Now consider the general N case. We can embed \mathfrak{so}_3 into $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq \mathfrak{so}_N$ via the first 3 coordinates and similarly for the rest of the centralizer of e in the $N = 3$ case. Clearly, for $A \in \mathcal{O}_{[3]}$, then $\phi(A, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{[3, 1^{N-3}]}$, but also $e + \phi(A, z_i A^2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{S}_e$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$, by observing the action of this element on the standard basis of V . It follows, using the action of $C(\mathfrak{s})$, that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}, e}}$ contains $e + A + z_i A^2$ for $A \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3, 1^{N-3}]}$.

Next, $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}$ since both orbits are minimal degenerations from \mathcal{O}_ν of type a , hence they are codimension two in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\nu}$. The pair (λ_1, μ) is equivalent to $([3, 1^{N-3}], [1^N])$ after canceling $a-1$ columns, thus the codimension of \mathcal{O}_μ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$ equals the dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3, 1^{N-3}]}$ for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. The only minimal degeneration from $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$ that contains \mathcal{O}_μ is to the partition $[(a+1)^2, a^{N-4}, (a-1)^2]$, which an A_1 singularity for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. Hence, as in §4.1, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}}$ is unibranch at e . Thus $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}, e}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3, 1^{N-3}]}$. \square

4.3. Type h_{sp} . As in the previous subsection, we are reduced by Proposition 9 to the case where $\lambda = [a+2, a^{N-2}, a-2]$ and $\mu = [a^N]$. We have the same description for $e \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$, \mathfrak{s} , etc. as above. In the previous subsection, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda^{(i)}, e}}$ was the closure of a $C(\mathfrak{s})$ -orbit. We will first show that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, e}}$ is the closure of a $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit for $N \geq 2$, where the \mathbb{C}^* -action is as above.

The arguments from [FJLS17, §11.2.2] apply. First, we use them to show that for $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, e}}$, the matrices Y_3, Y_4, \dots are equal to sums of products of Y_1 and Y_2 . This follows since $\text{rank}(M^i) \leq N(a-i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, a-2$ as in loc. cit. However, $\text{rank}(M^{a-1}) \leq N+1$ unlike in loc. cit. and this implies that

$$(13) \quad \text{rank}(Y_2 - dY_1^2) \leq 1$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The condition $M^{a+2} = 0$ yields the equation, in the block lower left corner,

$$(14) \quad d_1 Y_3 + d_2(Y_1 Y_2 + Y_2 Y_1) + d_3 Y_1^3 = 0$$

where $d_1 = \frac{(a+2)!(a-1)!}{6}$ and $d_3 = \frac{(a-1)(a-2)}{5} \cdot d_1$. It follows that the $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant map from $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}}$ to the space $e + X$ where $Y_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ is an isomorphism.

Now for $N \geq 3$, there are actually two equations involving a linear term for Y_3 . The one from the lower left corner of $M^{a+2} = 0$ and the one from the $\text{rank}(M^{a-2}) = N$ condition:

$$(15) \quad c_1 Y_3 + c_2(Y_1 Y_2 + Y_2 Y_1) + c_3 Y_1^3 = 0.$$

where $c_1 = \frac{a!(a-1)!^2(a-2)!^2(a-3)!}{24}$ and $c_3 = \frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{5} \cdot c_1$. The equations (14) and (15) are not multiples of each other since $\frac{d_3}{d_1} \neq \frac{c_3}{c_1}$ for $a > 0$. It follows, by canceling the Y_3 term, that

$$(16) \quad t Y_1^3 = Y_1 Y_2 + Y_2 Y_1$$

for some nonzero t .

Consider the $N = 2$ case. Conjugating in GL_2 so that $C(\mathfrak{s})$ becomes the diagonal torus in SL_2 , we can represent $Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y & z \\ w & y \end{pmatrix}$ for $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then (16) implies that either x is identically 0, i.e., $Y_1 \equiv 0$ or $y = \frac{t}{2}x^2$, i.e., $\text{tr}(Y_2 - tY_1^2) = 0$. By (13), $\det(Y_2 - tY_1^2) = 0$. If $Y_1 \equiv 0$, then $\det(Y_2) = y^2 - zw = 0$ and $x = 0$ are the conditions defining the slice. If $t = d$, the condition is $zw = 0$, with x arbitrary. Since $([a+2, a-2], [a^2])$ is a minimal degeneration of type b , the slice is isomorphic to the A_3 -simple surface singularity, so neither of these cases hold. Instead, $d \neq t$ and the defining equations are $y = \frac{t}{2}x^2$ and $t^2x^4 = 4wz$, which is indeed an A_3 -singularity. Moreover, the points with $x \neq 0$ form a single $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit, each of which has finite stabilizer, so this orbit is dense in the slice. Let $v = e + \phi(v_1, v_2, \dots)$ be such a point in the slice.

Next, as in §4.5, we bootstrap up to the general case by embedding the slice for the $N = 2$ case into the general slice by using the first two coordinates in each block. Since the coefficients in the equations given above continue to hold for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}}$ in \mathcal{S}_e , independent of N , we do indeed have a $\text{SO}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant embedding of the $N = 2$ case. Note that $v_1 \in \mathfrak{so}_N$ is a multiple of a $C(\mathfrak{s})$ -conjugate of h_0 from §4.5. Its stabilizer in $C(\mathfrak{s})$ is $\text{SO}_2 \times \text{SO}_{N-2} \subset C(\mathfrak{s})$. From the $N = 2$ case it follows that the connected stabilizer in $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ of v is SO_{N-2} . Hence, the $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit through v has dimension $(N(N-1)/2 + 1) - (N-2)(N-3)/2 = 2N - 2$. This is also the dimension $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ is unibranch at e , we conclude

Proposition 4.6. *The slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}}$ is isomorphic to the closure of a $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit through $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N$ where $A = h_0 \in \mathfrak{so}_N$, and $B \in \Sigma_N$ satisfies $\text{rank}(B - dA^2) = 1$ and $\text{tr}(B - tA^2) = 0$ for some nonzero d, t with $d \neq t$.*

Our goal now is to identify the subvariety of $\mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N$ in the proposition with the quotient of closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{[3,1^{N-2}]}$ in \mathfrak{so}_{N+1} . First, by employing the $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N$ to itself sending $(A, B) \rightarrow (A, B - dA^2)$, it follows that the slice is isomorphic to the closure of the $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit through $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N$ where B is now a matrix of rank 1 and $\text{tr}(B - tA^2) = 0$ for some nonzero t .

Next, we recall material from [FJLS23, §2]. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}_{N+1}$ be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & u \\ -u^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $M \in \mathfrak{so}_N$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is a column vector. Let θ be the involution of \mathfrak{so}_{N+1} given by conjugation of $\text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1, -1) \in \text{O}_{N+1}$. Identifying \mathfrak{so}_{N+1} with the set of pairs (M, u) , we see that θ maps $(M, u) \mapsto (M, -u)$. Then the map $\varphi : \mathfrak{so}_{N+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}_N \times \Sigma_N$ sending X to

(M, uu^T) induces an $O_n \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant isomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}_{n+1}/\langle\theta\rangle$ with $\mathfrak{so}_n \times \Xi$ where Ξ is the cone of elements of Σ_N of rank at most 1.

We can now state

Proposition 4.7. *The slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}}$ is isomorphic to*

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,1^{N-2}]} / \langle\theta\rangle.$$

Proof. By [FJLS23, Corollary 2,.2], if $Y = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,1^{N-2}]}$, then $Y/\langle\theta\rangle \simeq \varphi(Y)$. As before we can

use the $N = 2$ case. In that case, $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\varphi(X) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b^2 & bc \\ bc & c^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

The condition for X to be nilpotent is $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 0$ and so the image is exactly the matrices (A, B) where $\det(B) = 0$ and $\text{tr}(B + A^2) = 0$. In the general case, we embed \mathfrak{so}_3 into the lower right corner. It follows from the discussion above and the proof of Proposition 4.6 that $\varphi(Y)$ is isomorphic to the closure of the $C(\mathfrak{s}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit through (A, B) with $A \neq 0$, and hence isomorphic to the slice by Proposition 4.6. \square

Let the Klein four-group V_4 act on \mathfrak{so}_{N+2} via the pair of commuting involutions θ_1, θ_2 given by conjugation by $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1)$ and $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, 1)$, respectively. Let $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{N-2}]}$ be the minimal orbit in \mathfrak{so}_{N+2} . Then by [FJLS23, Corollary 2.5], for example, it follows that

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[3,1^{N-2}]} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{N-2}]} / \langle\theta_1\rangle.$$

Corollary 4.8. *We have the isomorphism*


$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,e}} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{N-2}]} / \langle\theta_1, \theta_2\rangle.$$

and hence the minimal special degeneration h_{sp} in Table 2 is d_{n+1}/V_4 .

Proof. The h_{sp} degeneration is covered by the case when N is even with $n = N/2$. \square

Remark 4.9. a) The special case $n = 3$ was already observed in [Fu07]. In that case we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}(\mathfrak{so}_5) \cong \mathbb{C}^4/\{\pm 1\}$ and so we obtain isomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} \cap \mathcal{O}'$ with (i) $\mathbb{C}^4/W(B_2)$; (ii) $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{so}_4)/\mathfrak{S}_2 = (\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \times \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2))/\langle\theta\rangle$ where θ swaps the two copies of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

b) The orbits which intersect non-trivially with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ are the nilpotent orbits lying between \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' in the partial order. If $N \geq 4$ then there are five of these, as indicated by the following diagram:



where $\mathfrak{so}_{N+1}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{N+1}^{(2)}$ are the two fixed point subalgebras for the two proper parabolic subgroups of $\mathfrak{S}_2 \mathfrak{S}_2$. For $N = 3$ there is no orbit with partition $[3^2, 2^{N-4}, 1^2]$, equivalently, \mathfrak{so}_3 contains no elements of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}(\mathfrak{so}_5)$.

a) For N odd, the singularity $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{[2^2, 1^{N-2}]} / \langle\theta_1, \theta_2\rangle$ arises as a slice, but never for a minimal special degeneration. This is because the f_{sp} singularities arise in this case as the minimal special degenerations.

4.4. Minimal special degenerations in the exceptional Lie algebras. There are three unexpected singularities that arise in the exceptional Lie algebra: (i) μ (with normalization A_3); (ii) a_2/\mathfrak{S}_2 ; (iii) d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 , which are dealt with in [FJLS17], [FJLS23]. They appear once, once, and twice, respectively. We will show in this subsection that all remaining singularities associated to minimal special degenerations in exceptional types are unions of simple surface singularities or minimal special singularities.

The case of G_2 is clear. Most of the minimal special degenerations are minimal degenerations and hence were dealt with in [FJLS17] or [FJLS23]. There are three (resp. three, eight, ten) minimal special degenerations which are not minimal degenerations in type F_4 (resp. E_6, E_7, E_8). These cases, with two exceptions, are covered by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.10. *Let \mathcal{O}' be a special nilpotent orbit in an exceptional Lie algebra such that the reductive centralizer $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ contains a non-simply-laced simple component $\mathfrak{c}_0 = \text{Lie}(C_0)$.*

(a) *There is a unique special orbit $\mathcal{O} > \mathcal{O}'$ such that $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}} \mathcal{O}'$ is equal to the dimension of the minimal special nilpotent C_0 -orbit \mathcal{O}_0 in \mathfrak{c}_0 .*

(b) *If $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{2A_2}$ in type E_8 then there are two such simple components \mathfrak{c}_0 , both of type G_2 , and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is a union of two copies of $\overline{\mathcal{O}_0}$. The two copies are interchanged by $C(\mathfrak{s})$. Other than this case, there is exactly one such \mathfrak{c}_0 and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}_0}$.*

Proof. Statement (a) is a straightforward check using the tables of nilpotent orbits and Hasse diagrams in [Car93].

The singularities in (b) can be classified using the arguments in [FJLS17, §4.3]. Indeed, several of these are discussed there, see [FJLS17, §11, Table 13]. Let $e_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0$. We claim that, with the sole exception of $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{A_2+3A_1}$ in type E_7 , $e + e_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. By unibranchness and dimensions, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} = \mathcal{f} + \overline{C_0 \cdot e_0} \cong \overline{\mathcal{O}_0}$. By [FJLS17, Prop. 4.8], it suffices to verify the following condition: let $\langle h_0, e_0, f_0 \rangle = \mathfrak{s}_0 \subset \mathfrak{c}_0$ be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra, then all irreducible \mathfrak{s}_0 -summands in $\mathfrak{g}^e(i)$ have dimension $\leq (i+1)$. This can be checked by inspecting the tables in [LT11]. If \mathfrak{c}_0 is of type B , then all non-trivial simple summands for the action on the centralizer of e are natural modules or spin modules; a short root element acts with Jordan blocks of size 2 on the spin module and of size ≤ 3 on the natural module, so we only need to check that no natural modules occur in $\mathfrak{g}^f(1)$. When \mathfrak{c}_0 is of type G_2 (excluding $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{A_2+3A_1}$ in type E_7), all non-trivial summands are isomorphic to the minimal faithful representation for \mathfrak{c}_0 ; e_0 acts on the minimal faithful representation with Jordan blocks of size ≤ 3 , so we only need to check that the minimal representation doesn't appear in $\mathfrak{g}^e(1)$. Finally, \mathfrak{c}_0 of type C occurs once, when $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{D_4}$ in type E_7 and $\mathfrak{c}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}_6$; here one has to check that e_0 has no Jordan blocks of size > 7 on $V(\varpi_2)$, hence on the alternating square of the natural module, which is straightforward.

This only leaves the case $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{A_2+3A_1}$ in E_7 . Here $\mathfrak{c}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}^h$ is simple of type G_2 and the positive graded parts of \mathfrak{g}^f are:

$$\mathfrak{g}^f(2) = V(2\varpi_1) \oplus \mathbb{C}e, \quad \mathfrak{g}^f(4) = V_{\min},$$

where $V_{\min} = V(\varpi_1)$ is the minimal faithful representation for \mathfrak{c}_0 . Note that the action of \mathfrak{c}_0 on V_{\min} induces an embedding in \mathfrak{so}_7 , and \mathfrak{sl}_7 decomposes over $\mathfrak{c}_0 \subset \mathfrak{so}_7$ as $\mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus V(2\varpi_1)$. (In the notation of §4.5, $V(2\varpi_1) = \Sigma_7$.) Furthermore, the matrix square operation on \mathfrak{gl}_7 determines a quadratic map $\mathfrak{so}_7 \rightarrow V(2\varpi_1)$ which restricts to \mathfrak{c}_0 to give a C_0 -equivariant map $\psi : \mathfrak{c}_0 \rightarrow V(2\varpi_1) \subset \mathfrak{g}^e(2)$. In particular, if $x = e_{\beta_2} + e_{3\beta_1+\beta_2}$ then $\psi(x)$ is non-zero of weight $3\beta_1 + 2\beta_2$. We checked using GAP that (with this notation) there exists an element of $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{D_4(a_1)}$ of the form: $e + x + \psi(x)$, where x is in the subregular nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{c}_0 .

It follows that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} = e + \overline{C_0 \cdot (x + \psi(x))}$, hence is isomorphic to the closure of the C_0 -orbit through x , which completes our proof. \square

Proposition 4.11. *All minimal special degenerations in exceptional Lie algebras are either: (1) minimal degenerations, (2) covered by the above proposition, or (3) isomorphic to d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 , which occurs for the two cases of $\mathcal{O}_{F_4(a_3)} > \mathcal{O}_{A_2}$ in F_4 and $\mathcal{O}_{E_8(a_7)} > \mathcal{O}_{D_4+A_2}$ in E_8 .*

Proof. We checked that all the minimal special degenerations, which are not minimal degenerations, are covered by the proposition, except for the two cases listed. The exceptional special degeneration $\mathcal{O}_{F_4(a_3)} > \mathcal{O}_{A_2}$ in type F_4 was dealt with in [FJLS23, Theorem 4.11]. Hence it remains to show that the Slodowy slice singularity from $D_4 + A_2$ to $E_8(a_7)$ in E_8 is also isomorphic to d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 . To do this, we first consider $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{D_4}$. Let \mathcal{S}_{D_4} be the Slodowy slice at $f \in \mathcal{O}'$. Repeating the calculation in the proof of Proposition 4.10, we see that the condition of [FJLS17, Prop. 4.8] holds for an element of \mathfrak{c} of type $F_4(a_3)$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}_{D_4} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_{E_8(a_7)}} = f + \overline{C_0 \cdot e_2}$ where e_2 belongs to the $F_4(a_3)$ orbit in \mathfrak{c}_0 . By the same calculation (or by direct observation), $\mathcal{S}_{D_4} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_{D_4+A_2}} = f + \overline{C_0 \cdot e_1}$ where e_1 is in the A_2 orbit in \mathfrak{c}_0 . Now we use the following fact (which follows from equality of dimensions): if $\{e_1, h_1, f_1\}$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in \mathfrak{c}_0 such that $\dim C_0 \cdot e_1$ equals the codimension of \mathcal{O}' in $G \cdot (f + f_1)$, then the centralizer of $e + e_1$ equals $\mathfrak{g}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}^{e_1}$. Hence the Slodowy slice at $f + f_1$ is contained in the Slodowy slice at f . It follows that $\mathcal{S}_{D_4+A_2} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_{E_8(a_7)}}$ is isomorphic to the Slodowy slice singularity in F_4 from \mathcal{O}_{A_2} to $\mathcal{O}_{F_4(a_3)}$, hence is isomorphic to d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 . \square

The following is true in both the classical and exceptional types.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}'_e$ be special. The action of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ on $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ induces an action of $A(e)$ on the set of simple components of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. Each $A(e)$ -orbit of simple components \mathfrak{c}_0 corresponds to a unique special nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O} in \mathfrak{g} such that $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ is a minimal special degeneration. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ contains a subvariety isomorphic to the minimal special nilpotent orbit closure in \mathfrak{c}_0 . All minimal special degenerations of codimension at least 4 arise in this way*

Proof. We just showed this in the exceptional types when \mathfrak{c}_0 is not simply-laced, but it also holds when \mathfrak{c}_0 is simply-laced where it gives a minimal degeneration. It also holds in the cases of d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 and a_2/\mathfrak{S}_2 from [FJLS17]. In the classical types, we showed that each simple factor of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ leads to a unique minimal special degeneration. The $A(e)$ -orbits on the simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ are singletons except for the case where $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ contains a copy of \mathfrak{so}_4 . This corresponds to the case of $[2A_1]^+ = d_2^+$. \square

5. $A(e)$ -ACTION ON SLICES

In this section we compute the action of $A(e)$ on the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ for both minimal degenerations and minimal special degenerations in the classical types, and determine when the action is outer. This was done in the exceptional groups in [FJLS17] for minimal degenerations. There is only a single case of a minimal special degeneration not covered by those results: the case of $e \in A_2$ from Proposition 4.10, which we now denote as $[2g_2^{sp}]^+$.

5.1. Union of simple surface singularities. Recall that $C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$. In the case of a simple surface singularity, as discussed in the introduction, we use Slodowy's notion of action, which amounts to the action on the projective lines in the exceptional fiber. Even when $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is not irreducible, we want to describe how $C(\mathfrak{s})$ permutes the projective lines in the fiber, something we did in the exceptional groups. Since $C^\circ(\mathfrak{s})$ acts trivially, we get a permutation action of $A(e) \simeq C(\mathfrak{s})/C^\circ(\mathfrak{s})$ on the \mathbb{P}^1 's. We call this the outer action of $A(e)$ on the slice.

To compute the action for $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$, we use [FJLS17, Lemma 5.8]. We do not assume that the orbits are special, so the set-up is a minimal degeneration $(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_\mu)$ in the classical groups where $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$ for $e \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$, and where λ, μ are the appropriate partitions indexing the nilpotent orbits. Let \mathfrak{n}_P denote the nilradical of the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup P of G such that \mathcal{O}_λ is Richardson for \mathfrak{n}_P . Then we have the proper, surjective map $\pi : G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_P \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$, which is generically finite. Below, we will always choose \mathfrak{n}_P so that π is birational.

Next, assume that the reductive centralizer for an element in \mathcal{O}_λ is semisimple. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_t$ be the maximal orbits in the complement of \mathcal{O}_λ in its closure. Assume that all \mathcal{O}_i are codimension two in \mathcal{O}_λ . Let $e_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$. Let r_i equal the number of $A(e_i)$ -orbits on $\pi^{-1}(e_i)$. Then as in [FJLS17, Lemma 5.8], if G is connected, we have $\sum_i r_i$ is equal to rank of \mathfrak{g} minus the rank of the Levi subgroup of P . The quantities r_i will be enough to determine the outer action.

Remarkably, in types B and C , the actions are large as possible as they were in the exceptional types (at least given the size of $A(e)$).

Proposition 5.1. *In the classical groups B, C, D (working in the full orthogonal group for D),*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is a simple surface singularity of type D_{k+1} or A_{2k-1} , then the $A(e)$ -action upgrades these singularities to C_k and B_k , respectively.*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is a union of two branches of type A_{2k-1} , the $A(e)$ -action is $[2B_k]^+$ as described in §1.3.*

The proof will occupy the remainder of this section. For the moment let $G = \mathrm{O}(V)$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$, so that, as noted in §4, a reductive subgroup of the centralizer G^e of e in G is $C(\mathfrak{s})$, which is a product of orthogonal and symplectic groups.

Then the component group $A(e) := G^e / (G^e)^\circ$ of e with partition μ is generated by the corners of Young diagram corresponding to parts s with $s \neq \epsilon$. Each such part s determines a copy of an orthogonal group in $C(\mathfrak{s})$ and we denote by x_s an element of determinant -1 in each orthogonal group. Then $A(e)$ is elementary abelian \mathbf{Z}_2^r where r is the number of parts s with $s \neq \epsilon$.

5.2. Type b degeneration. This is the case of a simple surface singularity of type D_{k+1} and it arises whenever (λ, μ) is locally $(\lambda', \mu') := ([a+2k, a], [a+2k-2, a+2])$, by [KP82]. Here $k \geq 2$. This is a valid pair of partitions when a is even if \mathfrak{g} is of type C and odd if \mathfrak{g} is of types B or D . By Proposition 2.3, we can replace (λ, μ) by (λ', μ') . We note that the centralizer of e_1 in $G(V_1)$ is a subgroup of the centralizer of e in G . This gives an embedding of the component group for e_1 of $G(V_1)$, which is the Klein 4-group V_4 , into $A(e)$, given by sending $A(e_1)$ to the subgroup of $A(e)$ generated by x_{a+2k-2} and x_{a+2} . The other parts contributing to $A(e)$ act trivially on $\mathfrak{g}(V_1)$ and hence trivially on the slice.

5.2.1. G is of type C , a even. The weighted Dynkin diagram for \mathcal{O}_λ is

$$\overbrace{2 \dots 2}^k \overbrace{0202 \dots 02}^{a/2}$$

where the final node corresponds to the long simple root. Taking the associated parabolic subgroup P , the map π above is birational.

If $a = 0$, we are in type C_k and \mathcal{O}_λ is regular. There is a unique minimal degeneration to \mathcal{O}_μ , the subregular orbit. Hence, using [FJLS17, Lemma 5.8], there are exactly k orbits for $A(e)$ on the \mathbb{P}^1 's in the fiber, which implies the action on D_{k+1} must be C_k . Indeed, the sole

$A(e)$ -orbit of size two is coming from the orbital variety corresponding to the long root. (We could use knowledge of the Springer fiber in this case too).

Next if $a > 0$, which means $a \geq 2$ since a is even, there is the degeneration of λ to μ but also to $\mu' = [a+2k, a-2, 2]$. The latter minimal degeneration is equivalent to $([a], [a-2, 2])$, which is a simple surface singularity of type $D_{\frac{a}{2}+1}$ with action of $A(e_{\mu'})$ having $\frac{a}{2}$ orbits, by induction. Since the total number of component group orbits on the fiber is $k + \frac{a}{2}$, that leaves k orbits corresponding to the degeneration to $e = e_{\mu}$. This forces the action on D_{k+1} to be non-trivial and must be C_k , as desired. Indeed, we could explicitly see by using instead the parabolic P for the diagram

$$\overbrace{0202 \dots 02}^{a/2} \overbrace{2 \dots 2}^k,$$

which is also birational to \mathcal{O}_{λ} . Then the orbital varieties for \mathcal{O}_{μ} correspond to the last k two's. The last node gives the $A(e)$ -orbit with two elements.

Finally, the element $x_{a+2k}x_a$ acts trivially on the fibers, since it belongs to the center of G . So both x_{a+2k} and x_a will yield the outer action on the slice.

5.2.2. *G is of type D, a odd.* The weighted Dynkin diagram for \mathcal{O}_{λ} is

$$\overbrace{2 \dots 2}^{k-1} \overbrace{0202 \dots 02}^{(a-1)/2} 2$$

where the two final nodes correspond to orthogonal simple roots and the first $k-1$ nodes form a subsystem of type A_{k-1} . Taking associated parabolic subgroup P , the map π above is birational. This is similar to the type C case. If we work in the full orthogonal group then $A(e)$ permutes the two \mathbf{P}^1 's corresponding to the tails of the Dynkin diagram. Finally, the element $x_{a+2k}x_a$ acts trivially on the fiber, since it belongs to the center of G . So both x_{a+2k} and x_a will yield the outer action on the slice.

5.3. **Type c singularity.** This is a simple surface singularity of type A_{2k-1} and it arises whenever (λ, μ) is equivalent to

$$([a+2k+1, a, a], [a+2k-1, a+1, a+1]).$$

Here, a is even for types B, D and odd for type C . As in §5.2 using Proposition 2.3, we can first reduce to the case of $([a+2k+1, a, a], [a+2k-1, a+1, a+1])$ where G is type B for a even and type C for a odd.

The A_{2k-1} simple surface singularity arises from the diagonal cyclic group Γ of order $2k$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The centralizer of Γ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the diagonal one-dimensional torus, leading to an invariant of degree two for the action of Γ on \mathbb{C}^2 . Since the isomorphism to the slice is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant, we see that the slice, upon projection to $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ must be isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the torus for $\mathrm{O}(2)$ corresponding to the part $a+1$ in μ . The outer automorphism on \mathbb{C}^2/Γ acts non-trivially on the diagonal torus, we see that x_{a+1} gives rise to the action, while x_{a+2k-1} acts trivially.

5.4. **Type d degeneration.** This is again a simple surface singularity of type A_{2k-1} and it arises whenever (λ, μ) is equivalent to

$$([a+2k+1, a+2k+1, a], [a+2k, a+2k, a+2]).$$

This is a valid pair of partitions when a is even in type C and odd in types B or D .

As in the previous case, it is enough to work it out for the case $\lambda = [a+2k+1, a+2k+1, a]$ and $\mu = [a+2k, a+2k, a+2]$. when G of type C for a even and type B when a is odd. As

before, we can detect the action by looking at the action of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. Thus x_{a+2k} acts by outer action and x_{a+2} acts trivially.

5.5. Type e degeneration. This is a union of simple surface singularities $A_{2k-1} \cup A_{2k-1}$ and it arises whenever (λ, μ) is equivalent to

$$([a+2k, a+2k, a, a], [a+2k-1, a+2k-1, a+1, a+1]).$$

Here, a is odd in type C and even in types B or D . As before, we are reduced to the case of $\lambda = [a+2k, a+2k, a, a]$ and $\mu = [a+2k-1, a+2k-1, a+1, a+1]$ in type D for a even and type C for a odd. Here $C(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq O(2) \times O(2)$.

The full automorphism group of the singularity is dihedral of order eight. We want to show $A(e)$ embeds as the Klein 4-group generated by the reflections through the midpoints of edges of the square. This will follow if we show that there is at least one orbit of size 4 of $A(e)$ on the fiber over e . This will force there to be $k-1$ orbits of size 4 on the $4k-2$ projective lines and one orbit of size 2,

By the method of the previous two sections, the element $x_{a+2k-1}x_{a+1}$ must fix each irreducible component and act by outer automorphism on each one individually. This is because it is acting by -1 on the two-dimensional space $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. The action x_{a+2k-1} and x_{a+1} can be determined in each case separately. Both of them will interchange the two irreducible components.

5.5.1. C case. The Dynkin diagram of \mathcal{O}_λ is

$$\overbrace{0202 \dots 02}^k \overbrace{00020002 \dots 0002}^{(a-1)/2} 00.$$

Using the method of §5.2, if $a = 0$, we find there k orbits for the unique minimal degeneration to \mathcal{O}_μ . At the same time, there are $4k-2$ projective lines in the fiber over e . Since $A(e)$ is isomorphic to V_4 , the possible orbit sizes are 1, 2, and 4. The only way for this to work is for there to be $k-1$ orbits of size 4 and one orbit of size 2. Therefore the action is as desired.

When $a > 0$, there is another minimal degeneration to $\mathcal{O}'_\mu = [(a+2k)^2, (a-1)^2, 2]$. Then (λ, μ') is equivalent to $([a, a], [(a-1)^2, 2])$, which is of a type d generation and has the form $B_{\frac{a-1}{2}}$. This degeneration therefore accounts for $\frac{a-1}{2}$ of the $k + \frac{a-1}{2}$ orbits, leaving k for the studied minimal degeneration and the result follows as in the $a = 0$ case.

5.5.2. D case. If G is the full orthogonal group, there is a single orbit \mathcal{O}_λ with the given singularity. Working in the special orthogonal group, there are two very even orbits with the given partition, interchanged by the action of any element of $O(N)$ not in $SO(N)$. This is where the two irreducible components are coming from, as they both degenerate to μ , which has an element fixed by action. Hence, the result follows.

5.6. G is special orthogonal. When G is special orthogonal, there are two situations where the component group action changes.

For the type b singularity when μ has exactly two odd parts (e.g., $\mu = [8, 8, 5, 3]$ or $\mu = [8, 8, 5, 5]$). In this case the component group is trivial. If there were more than two odd parts for this degeneration, there would have to be at least 3 distinct odd parts, which would guarantee the non-trivial action of $A(e)$.

For the type e singularity when μ again has only the odd parts that appear in the local version of μ in Table 2. Otherwise, μ would have at least two additional odd parts (possibly equal), which would ensure the same action by V_4 . Now if μ has only the odd parts, say $[(a+2k-1)^2, (a+1)^2]$, then since its other parts are even, the partition λ must be very even.

Then there are two orbits corresponding to λ and $A(e) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2$ acts by outer automorphism on each degeneration to μ , so both are of type B_k .

5.7. Dimension four or greater. In [FJLS17], we studied the image of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s}))$ via the adjoint action in the exceptional groups, and then restricted the action to orbits of simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. We observed using [Som98] (also computable using [Ale79]) that $C(\mathfrak{s})$ tends to act by outer automorphisms of simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ that admit outer automorphisms. As in Corollary 4.12, the minimal (and minimal special degenerations) are controlled by $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ for most cases when $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$. We then recorded this outer action on minimal singularities a_n, d_n, d_4 , and e_6 , when they arose.

A more intrinsic framework is to use the intersection homology $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ under the induced action of $A(e)$. Let $p(X) = \sum_i \dim(\text{IH}^{2i}(X))q^i$. When $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}}$ for the minimal special orbit in the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{c}_0 , then we have

$$p(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = q^{e_1-1} + q^{e_2-1} + \cdots + q^{e_k}$$

where e_i are the exponents of \mathfrak{c}_0 (see [Lus22]).

Let \mathfrak{c}_0 be of type A_k, D_k , or E_6 and θ be an outer involution and denote by $\mathfrak{c}'_0 := \mathfrak{c}_0^{(\theta)}$ the fixed subalgebra. Then $\langle \theta \rangle$ acts trivially on the part of $IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}})$ corresponding to exponents of \mathfrak{c}'_0 and by the sign representation on the remaining part. In other words,

$$IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}})^{(\theta)} = IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}}/\langle \theta \rangle).$$

In the case of \mathfrak{S}_3 acting by outer automorphisms when \mathfrak{c}_0 is of type D_4 , the \mathfrak{S}_3 -invariants on $IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}})$ correspond to the exponents of G_2 (namely, 1 and 5) and \mathfrak{S}_3 acts by the reflection representation on the two-dimensional space $IH^4(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}})$ for the two exponents of D_4 equal to 3 and again

$$IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}})^K = IH^*(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{min.sp}}/K).$$

Since $C^\circ(\mathfrak{s})$ acts trivially on $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$, there is an action of $A(e)$ on $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$ and this gives an intrinsic way to see the outer action when the slice is isomorphic to the closure of a minimal special orbit, rather than appealing to the action on \mathfrak{c}_0 itself, when \mathfrak{c}_0 is the relevant factor of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ as in Corollary 4.12.

5.8. Type h singularity. This corresponds to the closure of the minimal nilpotent orbit in type D_k . The local action of the reductive centralizer coincides with the orthogonal group $O(2k)$, which contains an outer involution of \mathfrak{so}_{2k} and so the $A(e)$ acts by outer action and coincides with the d_k^+ .

In the case of $G = \text{SO}(2N)$, the component group $A(e)$ will still act by outer involution in this way, except for those cases where the partition μ contains exactly one odd part (of even multiplicity $2k$).

5.9. Exceptional degenerations.

5.9.1. The case of d_{n+1}/V_4 . From §4.3, the V_4 is acting on d_{n+1} with θ_1 outer and θ_2 inner. Hence,

$$IH^*(d_{n+1}/V_4) \simeq IH^*(d_{n+1}/\theta_1) \simeq IH^*(b_n^{sp}).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ be a slice of type h_{sp} . Recall that there is a natural $O(2n)$ action on d_{n+1}/V_4 which is the fixed points of the V_4 -action on $O(2n)$. Under the isomorphism to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$, the $O(2n)$ -action becomes the action of $C(\mathfrak{s})$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$.

Since the action of $O(2n)$ on d_{n+1} is also inner, we find that $O(2n)$ acts trivially on $IH^*(d_{n+1}/V_4)$, and hence $C(\mathfrak{s})$ acts trivially on d_{n+1}/V_4 .

On the other hand, it seems relevant that if we take the minimal degeneration to μ in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$, which is of type h , then indeed $A(e)$ acts by outer action on this d_n .

5.9.2. *The case of d_4/S_4 .* From the proof of [FJLS23, Theorem 4.11], S_4 acts on d_4 by the semi-direct product of an inner V_4 group and an outer S_3 group. Hence,

$$IH^*(d_4/S_4) \simeq IH^*(d_4/S_3) \simeq IH^*(g_2^{sp}).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ be one of the two slices of type d_4/S_4 . There is a natural action of $SL_3 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_2$ on d_4/S_4 , which is the fixed points of S_4 on the adjoint group of type D_4 . This action, as in the previous section, corresponds to $C(\mathfrak{s})$ on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ under the equivariant isomorphism. The action of the \mathfrak{S}_2 is inner, so we again find that $A(e)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$.

The minimal degeneration in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ corresponds to an a_2 singularity coming from $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ and we note again that the action on this singularity is outer, a_2^+ .

6. ACTION OF THE CANONICAL QUOTIENT

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ be the slice for a minimal special degeneration. In this section we explain how the kernel H of the homomorphism from $A(e)$ to Lusztig's canonical quotient $\bar{A}(e)$ acts on the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$. When H acts by outer action, the exchange of singularities under the duality is not as expected.

6.1. Exceptional groups.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume G is connected of exceptional type and H is nontrivial for $A(e)$. Then there exists a unique minimal special degeneration to e and the degeneration is C_k for $k \geq 2$, μ , or d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 .*

In the C_k cases, $H = A(e) = \mathfrak{S}_2$ acts by outer automorphism on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$.

In the one case $(D_7(a_1), E_8(b_6))$, where the singularity is of type μ (which is C_2 upon normalization), H acts trivially on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ and the induced action of $\bar{A}(e)$ is by outer automorphism.

In the two cases where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 , the action of H is trivial on $IH^(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$, however the action of H on the minimal degeneration to e is outer.*

Proof. The cases in the exceptional groups where H is nontrivial for $A(e)$ can be read off from [Som01]. They are A_2 and $F_4(a_2)$ in type F_4 ; $A_3 + A_2$ and $E_7(a_4)$ in type E_7 ; and $A_3 + A_2$, $D_4 + A_2$, $E_7(a_4)$, $D_5 + A_2$, $E_8(b_6)$, $D_7(a_1)$, and $E_8(b_4)$ in type E_8 . In all these cases, there is a unique \mathcal{O} such that $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'_e)$ is a minimal (special) degeneration.

If e does not belong to the $E_8(b_6)$ orbit and is not of type A_2 in F_4 or $D_4 + A_2$ in E_8 , then $A(e) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2$ and $H = A(e)$, so that $\bar{A}(e)$ is trivial. Since we already know from [FJLS17] that $A(e)$ is acting by outer action, we see that H does too.

If e is not of type A_2 in F_4 or $D_4 + A_2$ in E_8 , then there exists a unique \mathcal{O} where \mathcal{O} is a minimal (special) degeneration to e and $A(e)$ acts non-trivially on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$. The singularity of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is a simple surface singularity D_{k+1} , yielding a C_k singularity. It follows that H itself acts non-trivially on $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$.

For the $E_8(b_6)$ orbit, we have $A(e) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$ and H is the cyclic group of order 3. The slice of $D_7(a_1)$ at e is of type μ , which is not normal, but has normalization of type $A_3 = D_3$ and we previously computed that $A(e)$ acts by outer action upon the normalization, so that the normalization is C_2 . Since the elements of H cannot give an outer action, the outer action descends to $\bar{A}(e)$.

If e is of type A_2 in F_4 or $D_4 + A_2$ in E_8 , then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is isomorphic to d_4/S_4 . This occurs for $(F_4(a_3), A_2)$ and $(E_8(a_7), D_4 + A_2)$. By §5.7, the action of $A(e)$ on $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$ is trivial.

On the other hand, the action of $A(e)$ is non-trivial on $IH^*(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}'',e})$, where \mathcal{O}'' is the minimal non-special orbit between \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}_e . \square

6.2. Classical types. Let X be of type B, C, D , or C' . Let ϵ and ϵ' be defined for the given type. For a partition μ , define

$$R := \{s \mid s \not\equiv \epsilon, m_\mu(s) \neq 0, h_\mu(s) \not\equiv \epsilon'\}.$$

For $s \in R$, define s' to satisfy $s' \not\equiv \epsilon$, $m(s') \neq 0$ and maximal for this property with $s' < s$. Set $s' = 0$ if no such s' exists and set $x_0 = 1$ in $A(e)$. Define H to be the subgroup of $A(e)$ generated by the following elements of $A(e)$:

$$(17) \quad H := \langle x_s x_{s'} \mid s \in R \rangle.$$

By [Som01], the quotient of $A(e)$ by H gives Lusztig's canonical quotient in B, C . In D we get an extra factor of \mathbf{Z}_2 , as opposed to working in the special orthogonal group. In type C' , we get something new and we take this as the definition of the canonical quotient (we can give a definition that is similar to the characterization in *op. cit.*) Let $r = \#R$. Then the canonical quotient $\bar{A}(e)$ is elementary abelian with r generators in types C, D, C' and $r - 1$ in type B .

Let G be classical group.

Proposition 6.2. *If the type of the minimal special degeneration is type C_n for $n \geq 2$ and $l \equiv \epsilon'$ in Table 1, then H acts non-trivially on slice. Otherwise, H acts trivially on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$.*

When the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e} \simeq d_n/V_4$, H acts by outer automorphism on the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}'',e}$, where \mathcal{O}'' is the minimal non-special orbit between \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}_e .

Proof. In Table 1, the element $e \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$. For the type B_n singularities:

- Type c . The elements acting non-trivially on the slice involve x_{a+1} . But the part $a+2n-1$ has height $l+1$ and the part $a+1$ has height $l+3$, both of which are congruent to ϵ' . Hence, all the elements in H do not involve x_{a+1} and H acts trivially.
- Type d . The elements acting non-trivially on the slice involve x_{a+2n} . But the part $a+2n$ has height $l+2$, which is congruent to ϵ' . For s minimal for $s > a+2n$ and $s \not\equiv \epsilon$, we must have $h(s)$ even since the parts between s and $a+2n$ are congruent to ϵ and so come with even multiplicity. Hence none of the elements generating H involve x_{a+2n} and H acts trivially.
- Type e . The elements acting non-trivially on the slice involve x_{a+2n-1} or x_{a+1} . Both of these parts have height congruent to ϵ' , so as in the type d , none of the elements generating H involve either part and H acts trivially.

Next, we treat the case of type b . Here, H acts non-trivially if either x_{a+2n-2} or x_{a+2} are involved in a generator of H , but not both. The height of $a+2n-2$ is $l+1$ and $a+2$ is $l+2$. If $l \equiv \epsilon'$, then $x_{a+2n-2}x_{a+2}$ is in H , but no other generator involves $a+2n-2$ since s minimal for $s > a+2n-2$ and $s \not\equiv \epsilon$ must have $s \equiv \epsilon'$. So H acts trivially. But if $l \not\equiv \epsilon'$, then some element of the form $x_{a+2}x_{s'}$ is in H and H does not act trivially on the slice. This happens exactly when the second diagram in Table 2 occurs, for the upper right C_{n+1} singularity. Hence it is denoted C_{n+1}^* .

For exceptional type g_{sp} there is no $A(e)$ outer action. However, H acts non-trivially on the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}'',e}$. The proof is similar to the above cases, as is the proof that H acts trivially for type h . \square

7. COMBINATORIAL STATEMENT OF DUALITY, CLASSICAL GROUPS

Let (λ, μ) be a minimal special degeneration in types B, C, D or C' . Then all three of $(f(\lambda), f(\mu))$, $(d(\mu), d(\lambda))$, and $(d_{LS}(\mu), d_{LS}(\lambda))$ are minimal special degenerations. We now prove that the four types of singularities are given by the Figure 2.

There is a bit more going on in the first quartet where the partition pattern of type c will get interchanged under internal duality with that of type f_{sp}^1 and that of type d is interchanged under internal duality with type f_{sp}^2 . Let internal duality map from type X to $d(X)$.

Lemma 7.1. *The vertical arrows in Figure 2 are correct.*

In particular, if $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$, then the singularity of type b is interchanged with the minimal special g_{sp} .

If $l \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$, then the singularity of type b is interchanged with the minimal special h .

Each of the two types of B_n singularities switches with a corresponding type of b_n^{sp} singularity: type c with f_{sp}^1 and type d with f_{sp}^2 . The type e singularity switches with type h_{sp} and type a goes to type a .

Proof. If (λ, μ) becomes (λ', μ') after removing l rows and s columns, then clearly $(d(\mu), d(\lambda))$ becomes $(d(\mu'), d(\lambda'))$ after removing s rows and l columns. So it is sufficient to work with the irreducible forms in Tables 1 and 2 to understand how a pair of partitions behaves under d .

A quick check shows that, under the transpose operation on partitions, the partition in the table of type c is interchanged with the one of type f_{sp}^1 ; the type d partition is interchanged with the one of type f_{sp}^2 ; the one of type e is interchanged with the one of type h_{sp} ; the one of type b is interchanged with the partition found in either g_{sp} and h ; and type a is self-dual.

The behavior of ϵ and ϵ' under d is also follows:

$$(\epsilon, \epsilon')_X + (\epsilon', \epsilon)_{d(X)} \equiv (1, 1).$$

As a result, for the interchange of the first three partition types described above, the switching of l and s upon going from (λ, μ) to $(d(\mu), d(\lambda))$ agrees with the restriction on l and s in the dual type $d(X)$ given in the Tables. However, for type b , when $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$, the interchange is with type g_{sp} and when $l \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$, the interchange is with type h . The self-dual type a is clear. \square

Next we want to write down the rules for the horizontal arrows in Figure 2. First, we start with the case of type b , the C_n singularity from [KP82]. In that case, we can write (λ, μ) locally as $([(2n+s)^t, s^u], (2n+s)^{t-1}, 2n-2+s, s+2, s^{u-1})$ for positive integers t and u , where $s \not\equiv \epsilon_X$ where f maps X to $f(X)$.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume the degeneration is of type b for a given n . When $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$, under the f map, the degeneration (λ, μ) is carried to a singularity of type*

$$\begin{aligned} e & \text{ if } t \geq 2, u \geq 2 \\ d & \text{ if } t \geq 2, u = 1 \\ c & \text{ if } t = 1, u \geq 2 \\ C_{n+1} & \text{ if } t = u = 1 \end{aligned}$$

When $l \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$, then type b is exchanged with type b with n replaced by $n-1$, that is C_{n-1} .

Proof. Since l rows are removed, $h_\lambda(2n+s) = l+1$ and $h_\lambda(s) = l+1+m_\lambda(s)$. Also, $h_\mu(2n-2+s) = l+1$ and $h_\mu(s+2) = l+2$ and $m_\mu(2n-2+s) = m_\mu(s+2) = 1$ if $n > 2$; and $h_\mu(2n-2+s) = h_\mu(s+2) = l+2$ and $m_\mu(s+2) = 2$ if $n = 2$. All these parts are not congruent to ϵ_X since $s \not\equiv \epsilon$.

If $l \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$, then $h_\lambda(2n+s) \equiv \epsilon'_X$ and $h_\lambda(s) + m_\lambda(s) \equiv \epsilon'_X$. In particular, in Lemma 2.2, $2n+s$ obeys line 1 or 3, and s obeys lines 2 or 3. Hence the partition $[2n+s, s]$ in λ gets replaced by $[2n+s-1, s+1]$ in $f(\lambda)$ regardless of the parities of $m_\lambda(2n+s)$ and $m_\lambda(s)$. Moreover, $[2n-2+s, s+2]$ in μ goes to $[2n-3+s, s+3]$ since $s+2$ obeys line 2 if $n > 2$ and line 3 if $n = 2$. Hence we end of with $f(\lambda), f(\mu)$ locally equal to

$$([2n-1+s, s+1], [2n-3+s, s+3]),$$

which is of type C_{n-1} with $s+1$ rows removed. Note that $s+1 \not\equiv \epsilon_{f(X)}$ since ϵ_X and $\epsilon_{f(X)}$ have different parities.

Now if $l \equiv \epsilon'$, there are four cases to consider depending on $t = m_\lambda(2n+s)$ and $u = m_\lambda(s)$: if $t = u = 1$, λ is locally $[2n+s, s]$

Now if $l \equiv \epsilon'$, then $h_\lambda(2n+s) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$ so if $m(2n+s) \geq 2$, the last two values of $2n+s$ in λ are unchanged in $f(\lambda)$ since lines two or four apply in Lemma 2.2. But if $m(2n+s) = 1$, then line two applies and $2n+s$ becomes $2n+s+1$. Now we have $h_\lambda(s) + m_\lambda(s) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$, so we are in the setting of lines one and four of the lemma. Thus the first two values of s are unchanged if $m(s) \geq 2$ and the solve values of s changes to $s-1$ if $m(s) = 1$. Thus $f(\lambda)$ is locally $[2n+s, 2n+s, s, s]$, $[2n+s, 2n+s, s-1]$, $[2n+s+1, s, s]$, or $[2n+s+1, s-1]$ when (t, u) is $(\geq 2, \geq 2)$, $(\geq 2, 1)$, $(1, \geq 2)$, $(1, 1)$, respectively, after removing $l-1, l-1, l$ or l rows, respectively.

In the four cases, μ looks locally like

$$[2n+s, 2n+s-2, s+2, s], [2n+s, 2n+s-2, s+2], [2n+s-2, s+2, s], \text{ and } [2n+s-2, s+2],$$

respectively. Using that $h_\mu(2n+s-2) \not\equiv \epsilon'_X$ and $m_\mu(2n+s-2) = 1$ and $h_\mu(s) + m_\mu(s) \equiv \epsilon'$ we get using Lemma 2.2, that $f(\mu)$ is locally $[2n+s-1, 2n+s-1, s+1, s+1]$ $[2n+s-1, 2n+s-1, s+1]$ $[2n+s-1, s+1, s+1]$ $[2n+s-1, s+1]$, after removing $l-1, l-1, l$ or l rows, respectively.

Hence, after removing $s, s-1, s$, or $s-1$ rows, respectively, we find that $(f(\lambda), f(\mu))$ is of type e, d, c , for the same value of n or type b with C_{n+1} . \square

Proposition 7.3. *The four singularities behave as in the three quartets.*

Proof. The same ideas in the previous lemma, or the fact that $f \circ f = \text{id}$, shows that the type c, d, e partitions for rank n are mapped to the C_n singularity.

Now given any of the singularities in Table 1 or 2, either the singularity is codimension two or the degeneration obtained using d is. Either this singularity is type C_n or applying f to the degeneration gives a type C_n singularity with $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$. Putting this singularity in the upper left corner of the square of singularities, the two lemmas show that the three corners are as shown in Figure 2 after we note that if C_n is carried to C_{n+1} under f , the value of l stays the same and satisfies $l \not\equiv \epsilon'_{f(X)}$. This means that applying d leads to the h singularity according to Lemma 7.1. In effect, the three quartets (or four if we include the two different ways to obtain B_n and b_n^{sp}) are controlled by the four possibilities for t and u in the $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$ case in Lemma 7.2. \square

Remark 7.4. We can also write the specific conditions that describe the action under f where the singularity starts as c_n^{sp} . Writing μ locally as $[(s+2)^t, (s+1)^n, s^u]$ where $t = m(s+2)$ and $u = m(s)$, then c_n^{sp} maps to h, f_{sp}^1, f_{sp}^2 , or h_{sp} when (t, u) is $(\geq 1, \geq 1)$, $(0, \geq 1)$, $(\geq 1, 0)$, $(0, 0)$. respectively. Here, $s = 0$ is considered a part in type C . These conditions are exactly the conditions from the four cases in Lemma 7.2 for C_n , under d when $l \equiv \epsilon'_X$.

8. OUTER AUTOMORPHISMS OF \mathfrak{g}

8.1. **Outer automorphisms for A_n and E_6 .** Besides considering the automorphism group for D_n , we can do the same for A_n and E_6 (and the full automorphism group for D_4). The ideas follow [Slo80, §7.5] where the case of the regular and subregular orbits were handled. Let $CA(\mathfrak{s})$, called the *outer reductive centralizer* in *loc. cit.*, denote the centralizer of \mathfrak{s} in $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. There is a surjective map $\pi : \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Delta)$ where Δ is the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . Let $e \in \mathcal{N}_o$ and \mathfrak{s} be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ for e . Assume that the weighted Dynkin diagram for e is invariant under $\text{Aut}(\Delta)$. Then $\sigma(h)$ is conjugate to $g.h$ for some $g \in G$. This assumption holds as long as e is not very even in D_{2n} and is not $[5, 1^3]$ or $[3, 1^5]$ in D_4 . Then the proof of Lemma 2 in *loc. cit.* still applies.

Lemma 8.1. *For all \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples \mathfrak{s} as above, the map π restricted to $CA(\mathfrak{s})$ is surjective onto $\text{Aut}(\Delta)$. In particular, $CA(\mathfrak{s})/C(\mathfrak{s}) \simeq \text{Aut}(\Delta)$.*

As before, notice that $CA(\mathfrak{s})$ acts on $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ and we are interested in this action. Let $\mathfrak{c}_0 \subset \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ be a simple factor or the central toral subalgebra of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. The rest of Lemma 2 in *loc. cit.* generalizes as follows:

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that \mathfrak{g} has type A_n, D_{2n+1} , or E_6 . Then there is an element $\phi \in CA(\mathfrak{s})$ that stabilizes \mathfrak{c}_0 and acts by -1 on some maximal toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{c}_0 . In particular, if \mathfrak{c}_0 is simple of type A_k, D_{2k+1} , or E_6 , then the image of $CA(\mathfrak{s})$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{c}_0)$ is an outer automorphism of order two.*

Proof. Fix a toral subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ be an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} that acts by -1 on \mathfrak{h} . Choose \mathfrak{m} to be a standard Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} relative to \mathfrak{h} so that e is distinguished in \mathfrak{m} [Car93]. Pick \mathfrak{s} so that $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Then $\sigma(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$ and since $e \in \mathfrak{m}$ is distinguished (and so satisfies the assumption above), there exists $g \in G$ (specifically in the subgroup M with Lie algebra \mathfrak{m}) such that $\text{Int}(g) \circ \sigma$ is the identity on \mathfrak{s} . That is, $\phi := \text{Int}(g) \circ \sigma \in CA(\mathfrak{s})$.

Next, by [Car93] the center \mathfrak{t} of \mathfrak{m} , which lies in \mathfrak{h} , is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. Since M acts trivially on \mathfrak{t} , it follows that ϕ acts by -1 on \mathfrak{t} . In particular, $\phi(\mathfrak{c}_0) = \mathfrak{c}_0$ and ϕ acts by -1 on its maximal toral subalgebra $\mathfrak{c}_0 \cap \mathfrak{t}$. Since -1 is not in the Weyl groups of A_k, D_{2k+1} , or E_6 , the induced automorphism of \mathfrak{c}_0 must be outer (since $-w_0$ is then clearly outer). \square

Remark 8.3. We want to apply this when -1 is not in the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , but it is also applies when -1 is in the Weyl group and $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ has simple factors of type a_k , where it forces $C(\mathfrak{s})$ to contain an element of order two. So in F_4, E_7 and E_8 , this explains why the action is upgraded to a_k^+ always. There are no type D_k simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ for $k > 4$ in the exceptional groups, except for the sole case of d_6 the minimal orbit in E_7 . It remains (along with its dual), the only case where a natural outer action exists on the slice, but the induced action of $CA(\mathfrak{s})$ does not realize it.

Corollary 8.4. *Let $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

In type A_n , any singularity for a minimal degeneration, which are not type A_1 , acquires an outer action (that is, becomes A_k^+ or a_k^+ , when $k \geq 2$).

In type E_6 , the singularities with no outer action using $C(\mathfrak{s})$, all acquire the natural outer action.

Proof. For the minimal orbit types, we can use the previous lemma since the simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ are all of type A or E_6 .

For the simple surface singularities, we can use the action on the center of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ as in §5 (the subregular case already follows from Slodowy), since they are simple surface singularities of type A_k .

Finally, the case of $[2a_2]^+$ becomes $[2a_2^+]^+$ since the outer automorphism preserves each simple factor of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$. \square

In §11 we include the diagram for the D_4 case with the \mathfrak{S}_3 -action.

9. A CONJECTURE OF LUSZTIG

In [Lus22, §0.4], Lusztig associated to each minimal special degeneration in \mathfrak{g} a certain Weyl group W' . We describe it in the classical groups.

Let $h := \dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})/2 + 1$ for the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ associated to the degeneration. In types B, C, D , the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is either dimension two or the dimension of the minimal special orbit for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{c}_0 of type B, C, D . The dimension of the latter is $2h' - 2$ where h' is the Coxeter number of \mathfrak{c}_0 . Since h' is even for types B_k, C_k, D_k , respectively equal to $2k, 2k, 2k - 2$, the number h is even when \mathfrak{g} has type B, C, D .

Here is the assignment of W' to the degeneration, which varies in type D from Lusztig's assignment. For \mathfrak{g} of type A , the Weyl group W' associated to the degeneration is the Weyl group of type A_{h-1} ; for \mathfrak{g} of types B/C , it is of type $B_{h/2}$; and for \mathfrak{g} of type D , it is of type $D_{h/2} + 1$ when $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ is of type d_k when $G = \mathrm{SO}(2N)$, and it is of type $B_{h/2}$, otherwise.

Lusztig worked with the associated special representations of W , the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . Our definition of W' is slightly different in type D . It is necessary to include more special representations than were given in [Lus22] for the conjecture to hold for the case of associating $W' = W(D_{h/2} + 1)$, as the following lemma shows. In op. cit., only the case of $s = 0$ and ν with parts all equal to 1 was considered for associating $W' = W(D_{h/2} + 1)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let (λ, μ) be a minimal special degeneration of type d_k in \mathfrak{g} for $G = \mathrm{SO}(2N)$. By §5.8, this occurs precisely when μ has a single odd part equal to $2s + 1$ with even multiplicity $2j$.*

Then the Springer representations of $W(D_N)$ attached to \mathcal{O}_λ and \mathcal{O}_μ with the trivial local systems on \mathcal{O}_λ and \mathcal{O}_μ are given respectively by the bipartitions

$$([\nu, s+1, s^j, \nu'], [\nu, (s+1)^{j-1}, \nu'])$$

and

$$([\nu, s^j, \nu'], [\nu, (s+1)^j, \nu']),$$

where ν is a partition with smallest part at least $s + 1$ and ν' is a partition with largest part at most s , and such that $2|\nu| + 2|\nu'| + j(2s + 1) = N$.

Moreover, any such bipartition corresponds to a minimal special degeneration with one odd part in μ (necessarily of even multiplicity).

Proof. We carried out the algorithm in [Car93]. \square

Let $p'(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = \sum_i \dim(\mathrm{IH}^{2i}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})^{A(e)})q^i$. In classical types, [Lus22, Conjecture 1.4] can be interpreted as saying:

Theorem 9.2. *Let G be classical and assume $h > 1$. Then*

$$p'(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = q^{e_1-1} + q^{e_2-1} + \dots + q^{e_k-1}$$

where e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k are the exponents of W' .

Proof. Since $h > 1$, then $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) \geq 4$ and so by Table 2, the singularity of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ in types B and C is either $c_{h/2}^{sp}$, $b_{h/2}^{sp}$, $d_{h/2+1}^+$, or $d_{h/2+1}/V_4$. Each of these satisfies

$$p'(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 1 + q^3 + \cdots + q^{h-1},$$

by §5.7, which are the exponents of $B_{h/2}$.

This also holds for $\mathrm{SO}(2N)$, except for the case where the singularity is $d_{h/2+1}$, in which case $p'(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 1 + q^3 + \cdots + q^{h-1} + q^{h/2}$, coming from the exponents will be those of $D_{h/2+1}$. \square

In the exceptional groups a similar interpretation exists (except that a variation is needed for the 3 exceptional orbits in E_7 and E_8). That is to say, the simple factors of $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{s})$ under the action of $A(e)$ explain why $p'(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e})$ sees the exponents that Lusztig observes in [Lus22, §4]. We also point out a typo in *loc. cit.*: the label of $567_{46} - 1400_{37}$ should be B_5 .

10. DUALITY

We can now gather up our results to state the duality result for a minimal special degeneration $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$ in \mathfrak{g} and its dual minimal special degeneration $(d_{LS}(\mathcal{O}'), d_{LS}(\mathcal{O}))$ in ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$, the Langlands dual Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Let X be the normalization of an irreducible component of the slice $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ for $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}')$, where $e \in \mathcal{O}'$. Let Y be an irreducible component of the slice \mathcal{S} for $(d_{LS}(\mathcal{O}'), d_{LS}(\mathcal{O}))$. Let $e' \in d_{LS}(\mathcal{O})$.

By [Lus22], we can assume that $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}) = 2$. This also follows from Proposition 7.3 and inspection of the graphs in §11. Hence, X is simple surface singularity. Denote by $\mathrm{Out}(X)$ its group of outer automorphisms, which are the graph automorphisms of the ADE diagram corresponding to X as in §5. From [FJLS17] and §5, we know that $A(e)$ acts transitively on the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ and of \mathcal{S} . Let $J(e) \subset A(e)$ be the stabilizer of X . Let $K(e)$ be the image of $J(e)$ in $\mathrm{Out}(X)$.

On the dual side, let $\mathrm{Out}(Y)$ be the outer automorphisms of the minimal symplectic leaf in Y , as discussed in §5.7. Let $J(e') \subset A(e')$ be the stabilizer of Y and let $K(e')$ be the image of $J(e')$ in the $\mathrm{Out}(Y)$.

The pair of minimal degenerations falls into one of three mutually exclusive cases:

- (1) The map from $K(e)$ to its image in $\bar{A}(e)$ is bijective and the map from $K(e')$ to its image in $\bar{A}(e')$ is bijective.
- (2) The map from $K(e)$ to its image in $\bar{A}(e)$ is not bijective.
- (3) The map from $K(e')$ to its image in $\bar{A}(e')$ is not bijective.

That these are mutually exclusive follows from §6.

Theorem 10.1. *Let G be of adjoint type or $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ or $G = \mathrm{O}(8)$. We have the following duality of singularities under the Lusztig-Spaltenstein involution:*

- In case (1):
 - (a) If $(X, K(e))$ corresponds to a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} , in the sense of Slodowy, then $Y/K(e')$ is isomorphic to the closure of the minimal special nilpotent orbit in the fixed subalgebra $({}^L\mathfrak{m})^{K(e')}$, where ${}^L\mathfrak{m}$ is a simple component of the reductive centralizer of e' in ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$.
 - (b) If the pair $(X, K(e))$ is of type A_k^+ , then $Y/K(e')$ is isomorphic to a_k/\mathfrak{S}_2 .
- In case (2): The pair $(X, K(e))$ is of type C_{n+1} , and $Y/K(e')$ is isomorphic to c_n^{sp} .
- In case (3): The pair $(X, K(e))$ is of type C_n or G_2 , and Y is isomorphic to d_{n+1}/V_4 or d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 , respectively.


Proof. This amounts to gathering up our results. For the classical groups, the duality statements follow from §7. For the exceptional groups, it is by inspection of the graphs in §11. When $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, we make use of §8. \square

Remark 10.2. We noticed in case (2) that the simple surface singularity B_n is a two-fold cover of the simple surface singularity C_{n+1} . In case (3) we observe that b_n^{sp} is a two-fold cover of d_{n+1}/V_4 (as in §4.3) and that g_2^{sp} is a four-fold cover of d_4/\mathfrak{S}_4 . Accessing these covers would allow cases (2) and (3) to behave like the more well-behaved duality in case (1).

As we were finishing this paper, the preprint [MBMY23] appeared. We expect there is some overlap with our results, but we have not had a chance yet to understand the connection.

11. GRAPHS

We include here the Hasse diagrams of the minimal special degenerations for the exceptional Lie algebras, except for the straightforward G_2 , as well as several examples in the classical types. We write (Y) when we only know that the normalization of the Slodowy slice singularity is isomorphic to Y . See [FJLS17, §6.2] for a discussion of the component group action and branching in the exceptional types for the more complicated cases in the graphs. We write C_{n+1}^* to indicate when the kernel of the map to Lusztig’s canonical quotient acts by outer action on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O},e}$ as in §6. We use the notation A_1 in the exceptional groups, but in the classical groups we use B_1 or C_1 to be consistent with Table 1; these are all the same singularity.








FIGURE 4. Duality between $\mathcal{P}_D^{sp}(10)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^{asp}(10)$




D_5 Minimal Special Degenerations

C_5 **Alternative** Minimal Special Degenerations

FIGURE 5. F_4 and inner E_6









FIGURE 6. Minimal Special Degenerations in D_4 with S_3 outer action

REFERENCES

- [Ale79] A. V. Alekseevskii. Component groups of centralizers of unipotent elements in semisimple algebraic groups. *Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR Trudy Tbiliss. Mat. Inst. Razmadze*, 62:5–27, 1979. Collection of articles on algebra, 2. [24](#)
- [Car93] R. W. Carter. *Finite groups of Lie type*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993. Conjugacy classes and complex characters, Reprint of the 1985 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. [4](#), [6](#), [19](#), [29](#), [30](#)
- [CM93] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern. *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co, New York, 1993. [6](#), [13](#)
- [FJLS17] B. Fu, D. Juteau, P. Levy, and E. Sommers. Generic singularities of nilpotent orbit closures. *Adv. Math.*, 305:1–77, 2017. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#), [24](#), [25](#), [31](#), [33](#)
- [FJLS23] B. Fu, D. Juteau, P. Levy, and E. Sommers. Local geometry of special pieces of nilpotent orbits. arXiv:2308.07398, 2023. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [25](#)
- [Fu07] B. Fu. Wreath products, nilpotent orbits and symplectic deformations. *Internat. J. Math.*, 18(5):473–481, 2007. [18](#)
- [HKK23] A. Hanany, R. Kalveks, and G. Kumaran. $SU(n)$ hyper-Kähler quotients of $3d \mathcal{N} = 4$ Coulomb branches and quiver subtraction. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.05853>. [2](#)
- [KP81] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. Minimal singularities in GL_n . *Invent. Math.*, 62(3):503–515, 1981. [1](#), [4](#), [10](#)
- [KP82] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. On the geometry of conjugacy classes in classical groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 57(4):539–602, 1982. [1](#), [3](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [21](#), [27](#)
- [KP89] H. Kraft and C. Procesi. A special decomposition of the nilpotent cone of a classical lie algebra. *Astérisque*, 173-174:271–279, 1989. [8](#), [10](#)
- [LT11] R. Lawther and D. M. Testerman. Centres of centralizers of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 210(988):188, 2011. [19](#)
- [Lus79] G. Lusztig. A class of irreducible representations of a Weyl group. *Indag. Math.*, 41:323–335, 1979. [2](#), [7](#)
- [Lus22] G. Lusztig. Adjacency for special representations of a Weyl group. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.)*, 17(2):125–141, 2022. [4](#), [6](#), [24](#), [30](#), [31](#)
- [MBMY23] L. Mason-Brown, D. Matvieievskyi, and S. Yu. Unipotent representations of complex groups and extended sommers duality. arXiv:2309.14853, 2023. [32](#)
- [Slo80] P. Slodowy. *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, volume 815 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980. [2](#), [29](#)
- [Som98] E. Sommers. A generalization of the Bala-Carter theorem for nilpotent orbits. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 1998(11):539–562, 1998. [24](#)

- [Som01] E. Sommers. Lusztig's canonical quotient and generalized duality. *J. Algebra*, 243:790–812, 2001. 25, 26
- [Spa82] N. Spaltenstein. *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, volume 946 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. 6, 8, 10