

Scalar-Graviton Amplitudes and Celestial Holography

Adam Ball,^{a,b} Shounak De,^a Akshay Yellespur Srikant^{a,c} and Anastasia Volovich^{a,d}

^a*Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA*

^b*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada*

^c*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK*

^d*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

E-mail: aball1@perimeterinstitute.ca, shounak_de@brown.edu,
Akshay.YellespurSrikant@maths.ox.ac.uk,
anastasia.volovich@brown.edu

ABSTRACT: We compute scattering amplitudes involving one massive scalar and two, three, or four gravitons. We show that when the conformal dimension of the massive scalar is set to zero, the resulting celestial correlators depend *only* on the coordinates of the gravitons. Such correlators of gravitons are well-defined and do not suffer from divergences associated with the Mellin transform of usual graviton amplitudes. Moreover, they are non-distributional and take the form of standard CFT correlators. We show that they are consistent with the usual OPEs but the statement of the soft theorem is modified.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
3	Massive scalar-graviton scattering amplitudes	4
3.1	Four-point amplitudes	6
3.2	Five-point amplitudes	8
4	Celestial amplitudes	11
4.1	Two-point function	11
4.2	Three-point functions	12
4.2.1	$\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++} \rangle\rangle$	12
4.2.2	$\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{--} \rangle\rangle$	15
4.3	Four-point function	16
5	Graviton OPEs	20
6	The conformally soft graviton theorem	22
7	Outlook and discussion	24
A	Derivation of the $\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi)$ amplitude	24
B	Expressions for various terms in the five-point amplitude	25
C	Reduction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4}$ to a Mellin-Barnes integral	27

1 Introduction

Understanding how bulk translational symmetry emerges is one of the central problems in the celestial holography program. In addition to being constrained by conformal invariance, correlation functions in celestial conformal field theory (CCFT) [1–3] must also obey translation invariance. This acts as an “external symmetry” and forces low point correlators to be distributional [4]. These effects are not as stark at higher points where the correlators are non-distributional. However, the positions of the operators are still constrained and cannot be chosen to lie arbitrarily on the celestial sphere [5]. Such correlation functions are exotic from the perspective of a 2D conformal field theory and are one of the main roadblocks to finding a top-down description of CCFT. Indeed many of the existing top-down constructions [6–8] correspond to theories in the bulk which differ from

Yang-Mills or GR in significant ways.

One way to circumvent this difficulty has been to introduce nontrivial backgrounds in the bulk [9–20]. The backgrounds must not only be Lorentz invariant — thus preserving the 2D conformal symmetry of the CCFT — but should also maintain the asymptotic flatness of the 4D bulk spacetime. The celestial counterparts of scattering amplitudes on these backgrounds typically have a number of desirable features. Firstly, they are unconstrained by translation invariance: the background acts as a source/sink of momentum. Consequently, they resemble traditional CFT correlators. Secondly, they have better convergence properties and we avoid having to deal with divergent integrals. Finally, for well-chosen backgrounds, the OPEs and symmetry algebras of the resulting theory resemble those of the CCFT without a background [9, 14, 21]. These properties suggest that the CFT from which these correlators arise might be closely related to the background free CCFT. Moreover, the semblance of these correlators to those of a traditional CFT makes it easier to identify an independent definition. We refer the reader to [12, 22] for some progress in this direction in the case of Yang-Mills theory.

In this work, we are concerned with gravity amplitudes in 4D Minkowski and Klein spacetimes [23]. In particular, our interests lie in generalizing the results of [21], where the authors considered gluon amplitudes on a massive scalar background, to gravity amplitudes. The scalar was not colored and interacted with gluons only via a non-minimal coupling which gave rise to particularly simple amplitudes. A straightforward extension of this to gravity is impossible since a non-dynamical scalar background breaks diffeomorphism invariance. Moreover, it is impossible to avoid a minimal coupling of the scalar to gravitons. Thus, amplitudes involving n gravitons and one scalar will necessarily involve a scalar exchange. Nevertheless, we will show that the celestial counterparts of these amplitudes exhibit all of the desirable properties mentioned in the previous paragraph.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe the precise quantity of interest and its relation to scattering amplitudes. In Section 3, we compute scattering amplitudes involving two, three, and four gravitons and a single massive scalar. To our knowledge, these amplitudes have not appeared in the literature before. We then use these to compute the associated celestial correlators in Section 4. The OPE of two positive helicity gravitons extracted from these correlators is consistent with the usual OPE as shown in Section 5. However, the celestial avatar of the leading soft theorem appears to be slightly different, which we expand on in Section 6. Finally, we end with some outlook and discussions in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we will be concerned with scattering amplitudes involving n massless gravitons and a single massive scalar. We will always label the graviton momenta by p_1, \dots, p_n and the scalar momentum will be denoted by p_{n+1} . In order to compute the corresponding

celestial amplitudes, it is convenient to use the following parametrization for the massless momenta:

$$p_i = \epsilon_i \omega_i (1 + z_i \bar{z}_i, z_i + \bar{z}_i, z_i - \bar{z}_i, 1 - z_i \bar{z}_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

This parametrization is written for a spacetime with $(2, 2)$ signature (specifically $(+, -, +, -)$). ω_i is a positive real number while z_i, \bar{z}_i are real and independent. $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ is the direction of the momentum. The parametrization for $(3, 1)$ signature is obtained by Wick rotating the third component. The scattering amplitude will be written in terms of spinor helicity variables, which for the momentum parametrization above, take the form

$$\lambda_i = \sqrt{2\omega_i \epsilon_i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i = \sqrt{2\omega_i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \bar{z}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.2)$$

The analogous parametrization for the massive scalar momentum (also in $(2, 2)$ signature) is

$$p_{n+1} = \frac{m\epsilon_{n+1}}{2y} (1 + y^2 + z_{n+1}\bar{z}_{n+1}, z_{n+1} + \bar{z}_{n+1}, z_{n+1} - \bar{z}_{n+1}, 1 - y^2 - z_{n+1}\bar{z}_{n+1}). \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\epsilon_{n+1} = \pm 1$ is the direction of the momentum, $y, z_{n+1}, \bar{z}_{n+1}$ are real and independent with $y > 0$. The celestial amplitude can be obtained from the momentum space scattering amplitude by changing the basis from plane waves to conformal primary wavefunctions. For the case of n massless and one massive particle, this is implemented by [1, 3]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}^\epsilon (\{\Delta_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^{J_1}, \dots, \{\Delta_n, z_n, \bar{z}_n\}^{J_n}; \{\Delta_{n+1}, w, \bar{w}\}) = \\ \frac{m^2}{4} \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d\omega_i}{\omega_i} \omega_i^{\Delta_i} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz_{n+1} d\bar{z}_{n+1} \\ G_{\Delta_{n+1}}(y, z_{n+1}, \bar{z}_{n+1}; w, \bar{w}) \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(p_1^{J_1}, \dots, p_n^{J_n}, p_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here J_1, \dots, J_n are the helicities of the massless particles and

$$G_\Delta(y, z_{n+1}, \bar{z}_{n+1}; w, \bar{w}) = \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + |z_{n+1} - w|^2} \right)^\Delta \quad (2.5)$$

is the bulk-to-boundary propagator. The superscript ϵ in (2.4) is meant to indicate the dependence of the celestial amplitude on the directions of the momenta. The quantity of interest in this paper is¹

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n &= \langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1, J_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n, J_n}(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \rangle\rangle \\ &\equiv \sum_{\epsilon_i} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}^\epsilon (\{\Delta_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^{J_1}, \dots, \{\Delta_n, z_n, \bar{z}_n\}^{J_n}; \{0, w, \bar{w}\}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

whose features we now explain. But first, we pause to make a comment on notation. We will use $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ interchangeably — the former being used more often for brevity and

¹The double brackets in the LHS of (2.6) is meant to serve as a reminder of the presence of the massive scalar profile and we use $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ for the celestial amplitude with the conformal dimension of the scalar set to 0.

the latter when we wish to emphasize its nature as a CCFT correlator. Implicit in both is the fact that we have set the conformal dimension of the scalar, Δ_{n+1} to 0. The subscript on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ matches the number of operators in the double brackets $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ and is one less than the subscript on the corresponding momentum space scattering amplitude. Returning to the features of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$, firstly note that setting $\Delta_{n+1} = 0$ eliminates all dependence on the scalar coordinates w, \bar{w} . The RHS of (2.6) behaves like an n -point correlator² — a claim that shall be supported by the computations in this paper. When ϕ is a non-dynamical field, this has the interpretation of being the Mellin transform of an amplitude on a scalar background and has been studied for gluons in [9]. These amplitudes take on a particularly simple form [24] due to the absence of scalar exchange contributions to the amplitude. Upon including gravity, we must promote ϕ to a dynamical field and include the resulting scalar exchange terms in the amplitude.

Secondly, despite the absence of manifest Lorentz invariance due to the presence of a three-dimensional integral, (2.6) is indeed Lorentz invariant. The three-dimensional measure corresponds to integration over the Lorentz invariant phase space of one massive particle:

$$\int \frac{d^4 Q}{(2\pi)^4} \delta^+(Q^2 - m^2) = \int \frac{d^3 \vec{Q}}{(2\pi)^3 2Q^0} = \frac{m^2}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz d\bar{z} . \quad (2.7)$$

Here Q is an off-shell momentum which is put on-shell (with positive energy) by the δ function. Once this is on-shell, we can use a parametrization similar to (2.3) and perform a change of variables as shown in the second equality above.

Finally, we sum over the directions ϵ_i purely for practical purposes since this simplifies computations, particularly in Klein space. This simplification has already been exploited before [25–27].³

3 Massive scalar-graviton scattering amplitudes

We must first construct the scattering amplitudes in a theory of scalars and gravitons. We will make a choice for the three-point amplitudes motivated by the results of [9]. In this work, the authors considered gluons coupled to a single massive, uncolored scalar which resulted in the following three-point amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_3(1^+, 2^+, 3^-) = \kappa_{1,1,-1} \frac{[12]^3}{[23][31]}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3(1^+, 2^+, 3^\phi) = \kappa_{1,1,0} [12]^2 . \quad (3.1)$$

Here and in the rest of this paper, we will leave the momentum conserving delta function implicit while writing scattering amplitudes in momentum space. The authors of [9] worked

²In the celestial amplitude wherein the conformal dimension of the scalar has been set to zero, the subscript n of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ counts only the number of gravitons.

³This procedure computes the celestial amplitude for certain “boost + \mathbb{Z}_2 ” eigenstates [28].

in (2, 2) signature and this corresponded to introducing terms proportional to $\phi \text{Tr} (F_+)^2$ in the Lagrangian where

$$F_+^{a\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}^a \right) \quad (3.2)$$

is the self-dual gauge field strength.⁴ $\kappa_{1,1,-1}$ is the Yang-Mills coupling and $\kappa_{1,1,0}$ is related by some numerical factor to the Wilson coefficient of the non-minimal $\phi \text{Tr} (F_+)^2$ interaction. The scalar is uncolored and does not couple minimally to the gluon.

The analogous three-point amplitudes in gravity can be easily written down and take the following form

$$\mathcal{A}_3(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}) = \kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{[12]^6}{[23]^2 [13]^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^\phi) = \kappa_{2,2,0} [12]^4. \quad (3.3)$$

However, it is also necessary to introduce the scalar-graviton minimal coupling [29]

$$\mathcal{A}_3(1^{++}, 2^\phi, 3^\phi) = \kappa_{2,0,0} \frac{\langle \xi_1 | p_2 | 1 \rangle \langle \xi_2 | p_2 | 1 \rangle}{\langle \xi_1 1 \rangle \langle \xi_2 1 \rangle}, \quad (3.4)$$

where ξ_1, ξ_2 indicate arbitrary reference spinors. From the Lagrangian perspective, the minimal coupling is unavoidable in order to maintain diffeomorphism invariance. From a purely amplitudes perspective, as we will show later, any four-point amplitude constructed without the minimal coupling (3.4) is inconsistent with the soft graviton theorem. Finally, we note that the amplitudes in (3.3, 3.4) arise from terms which are schematically of the form $R^2\phi, R\phi^2$ in the Lagrangian.

These three-point amplitudes serve as seeds for the construction of four- and higher-point ones. We utilize recursion relations, in particular those generated by a three-line shift [30] for their construction, which begins with defining

$$\hat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i - z w_i X, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (3.5)$$

such that $w_i \tilde{\lambda}_i = 0$ and X is an arbitrary reference spinor which must drop out at the end. A particularly convenient choice is

$$w_1 = [23], \quad w_2 = [31], \quad w_3 = [12]. \quad (3.6)$$

The three shifted momenta are now complex and functions of z . Locality dictates that poles can occur at

$$z_\star \quad \text{such that} \quad \hat{P}^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{P}^2 = m^2, \quad (3.7)$$

where \hat{P} is a sum of external momenta necessarily involving at most two of $\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \hat{p}_3$. The residues on these poles are governed by unitarity implying that they factorize into a product

⁴This is real in (2,2) signature.

Here $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ is the usual Mandelstam invariant. The remaining terms can be obtained by the replacements $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ and $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. Adding all of these terms and setting the arbitrary reference spinors $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = X$, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_4\left(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^\phi\right) &= -\kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0} \frac{[12]}{\langle 12 \rangle} \frac{[3|p_4|X]^4}{\langle 1X \rangle^2 \langle 2X \rangle^2} + \kappa_{2,0,0}\kappa_{2,2,0} \frac{[12]^4}{s - m^2} \frac{[3|p_4|X]^2}{\langle 3X \rangle^2} \\ &\quad + \qquad\qquad\qquad (2 \leftrightarrow 3) \qquad\qquad\qquad + \qquad\qquad\qquad (1 \leftrightarrow 3) . \end{aligned}$$

The spinor X is arbitrary and the amplitude must be independent of it. It can be checked that the dependence on the arbitrary spinor X drops out *only* if $\kappa_{2,0,0} = \kappa_{2,2,-2}$ and in that case the expression simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_4\left(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^\phi\right) &= \kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0}m^4 \frac{[12]}{\langle 12 \rangle} \frac{[23]}{\langle 23 \rangle} \frac{[31]}{\langle 31 \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s}{s - m^2} + \frac{t}{t - m^2} + \frac{u}{u - m^2} \right), \quad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

with $t = (p_1 + p_4)^2$ and $u = (p_1 + p_3)^2$. We pause here to point out that this expression involves a nontrivial cancellation of the X dependence between the graviton exchange terms (which arise from the non-minimal coupling $\kappa_{2,2,0}$) and the scalar exchange terms (arising from the minimal coupling $\kappa_{2,0,0}$). This affirms the statement made in the beginning of this section that the presence of this minimal coupling is crucial to have a consistent and non-zero four-point amplitude. Furthermore, it is also necessary to have $\kappa_{2,0,0} = \kappa_{2,2,-2}$ — a relation which is to be expected on grounds of the equivalence principle. It is interesting to compare this to the four-point amplitude involving one scalar and three positive helicity gluons originally computed in [24] to be

$$\mathcal{A}_4(1^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^\phi) = \kappa_{1,1,-1}\kappa_{1,1,0} \frac{m^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle} . \quad (3.15)$$

This amplitude is particularly simple since the absence of the gluon-scalar minimal coupling eliminates massive exchange contributions.

Moving on to the other four-point amplitudes in this theory, $\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^\phi, 4^\phi)$ has been computed in [31] via BCFW recursion relations to be

$$\mathcal{A}_4\left(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^\phi, 4^\phi\right) = \kappa_{2,2,-2}^2 m^4 \frac{[12]^4}{s(t - m^2)(u - m^2)} . \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi)$ cannot be computed by a three-line shift (or using BCFW recursion). We provide an independent derivation of this amplitude in Appendix A and show that it is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_4\left(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi\right) = \kappa_{2,2,0}\kappa_{2,-2,-2} \frac{[12]^6 \langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 13 \rangle^2}{(s - m^2)tu} . \quad (3.17)$$

3.2 Five-point amplitudes

The five-point computation proceeds in a similar, albeit more complicated manner to that at four points. The three-line shift in (3.5) and the associated recursion relation imply that the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^{++}, 5^\phi)$ receives contributions from 18 compatible factorization channels.

$$\mathcal{A}_5(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^{++}, 5^\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=i+1}^4 \left(\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,i,j} + \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,i,j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,i,5}. \quad (3.18)$$

They can be divided into five classes with $\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2}, \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4}, \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2}, \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,3,4}, \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,5}$ being their representatives. The rest can be obtained by making appropriate replacements on the external legs. As we will now demonstrate, it will be useful to set $X = \lambda_4$.

Evaluation of $\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4}$ and $\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,3,4}$

These classes of terms arise from graviton and scalar exchanges and correspond to the momentum $(\hat{p}_1 + p_4)^2$ going on-shell.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4} &= \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: } 4^{++} \text{ and } 3^{++} \text{ legs meeting at a vertex, with } I^{--} \text{ leg.} \\ \text{Diagram 2: } -I^{++} \text{ leg meeting a shaded circle, with } \hat{1}^{++} \text{ and } \hat{2}^{++} \text{ legs.} \end{array} \quad (3.19) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_3(\hat{3}^{++}, 4^{++}, I^{--}) \frac{1}{P^2} \mathcal{A}_4(-I^{++}, \hat{2}^{++}, \hat{1}^{++}, 5^\phi) \\ &= \left(\frac{[34]^3}{[4I][I3]} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\langle 34 \rangle [43] \langle \hat{1}\hat{2} \rangle \langle \hat{2}I \rangle \langle I\hat{1} \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{2}\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{2}\hat{3}4} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{3}4} - m^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we've used the notation $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$, $s_{ijk} = (p_i + p_j + p_k)^2$. After some manipulation this term takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4} = -\frac{[34][12]}{\langle 34 \rangle \langle \hat{1}\hat{2} \rangle} \frac{[2|\hat{3} + 4|X\rangle [1|\hat{3} + 4|X\rangle]}{\langle 3X \rangle \langle 4X \rangle \langle \hat{1}\hat{3} \rangle \langle \hat{2}4 \rangle} \left[-2 + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{2}\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{2}\hat{3}4} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{3}4} - m^2} \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

The shifted spinors are determined by first solving $\langle \hat{3}4 \rangle = 0$ for z . This gives

$$z = \frac{\langle 34 \rangle}{\langle X4 \rangle}, \quad \hat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - \frac{[23]\langle 34 \rangle}{[12]\langle X4 \rangle} X, \quad \hat{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 - \frac{[31]\langle 34 \rangle}{[12]\langle X4 \rangle} X, \quad \hat{\lambda}_3 = \frac{\langle X3 \rangle}{\langle X4 \rangle} \lambda_4. \quad (3.21)$$

Plugging all of this into (3.20), we find that

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4} \propto \langle X4 \rangle, \quad (3.22)$$

which vanishes when $X = \lambda_4$. A similar analysis for the scalar exchange diagrams shows that it also vanishes. Thus all diagrams in this class do not contribute to the amplitude and we have

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,4} = \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,2,4} = \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,3,4} = \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,4} = \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,2,4} = \mathcal{A}_5^{sc,3,4} = 0 . \quad (3.23)$$

Evaluation of $\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2}$

This class of terms arise from the momentum $(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2)^2$ going on-shell and corresponds to the exchange of a graviton. This channel cannot be obtained from (3.19) because the shift does not treat p_4 on the same footing as p_1, p_2, p_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2} &= \begin{array}{c} \hat{2}^{++} \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \hat{1}^{++} \end{array} \text{---} I^{--} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \hat{3}^{++} \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{wavy line} \\ 4^{++} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{wavy line} \\ 5^\phi \end{array} \quad (3.24) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_3(\hat{1}^{++}, \hat{2}^{++}, I^{--}) \frac{1}{P^2} \mathcal{A}_4(-I^{++}, \hat{3}^{++}, 4^{++}, 5^\phi) \\ &= \left(\frac{[12]^3}{[2I][I1]} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\langle 12 \rangle [21]} \frac{[34][4I][I3]}{\langle \hat{3}4 \rangle \langle 4I \rangle \langle I\hat{3} \rangle} \\ &\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s_{\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{3}4} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}4}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}4} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}}}{s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}} - m^2} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

The kinematic invariants involving shifted spinors can be evaluated by first determining the value of z for this channel by setting $\langle \hat{1}\hat{2} \rangle = 0$ and plugging in this value of z into (3.5). On doing this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{3}4 \rangle &= \langle 34 \rangle, & \langle 4I \rangle &= -1, & \langle I\hat{3} \rangle &= -\frac{s_{123}}{[3|p_1 + p_2|4]} \\ s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}4} &= s_{124} - s_{12}, & s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}} &= s_{123} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

This brings this term to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2} &= -\frac{[12]}{\langle 12 \rangle} \frac{[34]}{\langle 34 \rangle} \frac{[14]}{\langle 14 \rangle} \frac{[24]}{\langle 24 \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{s_{24}} + \frac{1}{s_{14}} \right) \frac{[3|p_5|4]^2}{s_{123}} \\ &\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s_{34}}{s_{34} - m^2} + \frac{s_{14} + s_{24}}{s_{14} + s_{24} - m^2} + \frac{s_{123}}{s_{123} - m^2} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Evaluation of $\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,5}$

This class of terms arises from the momentum $(\hat{p}_1 + p_5)^2$ going on-shell and corresponds to a scalar exchange.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,5} &= \text{Diagram 1} - \text{Diagram 2} \tag{3.27} \\
&= \mathcal{A}_3(\hat{1}^{++}, 5^\phi, I^\phi) \frac{1}{P^2 - m^2} \mathcal{A}_4(-I^\phi, \hat{3}^{++}, 4^{++}, \hat{2}^{++}) \\
&= \frac{[1|p_5|\xi_1\rangle [1|p_5|\xi_2\rangle}{\langle \hat{1}\xi_1\rangle \langle \hat{2}\xi_2\rangle} \frac{1}{s_{15} - m^2} \frac{[23][34][42]}{\langle \hat{2}\hat{3}\rangle \langle \hat{3}4\rangle \langle 4\hat{2}\rangle} \\
&\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s_{\hat{3}4}}{s_{\hat{3}4} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{3}\hat{2}}}{s_{\hat{3}\hat{2}} - m^2} + \frac{s_{\hat{2}4}}{s_{\hat{2}4} - m^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, the kinematic invariants involving shifted momenta evaluate to

$$\langle \hat{2}\hat{3}\rangle = \frac{s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2}{[23]}, \quad s_{\hat{2}\hat{3}} = s_{23} - s_{15} + m^2, \quad s_{\hat{3}4} = s_{34}, \quad s_{\hat{2}4} = s_{24}. \tag{3.28}$$

Setting $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \lambda_4$ for simplicity, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2} &= \frac{[23][34][24][14]s_{23}}{\langle 23\rangle \langle 34\rangle \langle 24\rangle \langle 14\rangle s_{14} (s_{15} - m^2) (s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2)} \frac{[1|p_5|4\rangle^2}{(s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2)} \\
&\quad \times \left(-2 + \frac{s_{34}}{s_{34} - m^2} + \frac{s_{123} + s_{14}}{s_{123} + s_{14} - m^2} + \frac{s_{24}}{s_{24} - m^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation of $\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2}$

This final class of terms also corresponds to a scalar exchange but arises from the momentum $(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2)^2$ going on-shell.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2} &= \text{Diagram 1} - \text{Diagram 2} \tag{3.29} \\
&= \mathcal{A}_3(\hat{1}^{++}, \hat{2}^{++}, I^\phi) \frac{1}{P^2 - m^2} \mathcal{A}_4(-I^\phi, \hat{3}^{++}, 4^{++}, 5^\phi) \\
&= [12]^4 \frac{1}{s_{12} - m^2} \frac{[34]^4}{s_{\hat{3}4} (s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}4} - m^2) (s_{\hat{1}\hat{2}\hat{3}} - m^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the shifted momenta are determined by $\langle \hat{1}\hat{2} \rangle[12] = m^2$ and this term evaluates to

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2} = \frac{[12]^4[34]^4}{(s_{12} - m^2) s_{34} (s_{123} - m^2) (s_{14} + s_{24})} . \quad (3.30)$$

This completes the computation of $\mathcal{A}_5(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^{++}, 5^\phi)$. In computing this amplitude using the recursion relations, we have implicitly assumed that there is no contribution from a pole at infinity in the complex z plane. In order to verify the validity of this assumption, we have checked that the amplitude we computed has all the correct soft and collinear limits.

4 Celestial amplitudes

We are interested in evaluating the celestial amplitudes corresponding to the bulk scattering amplitudes of the previous section. As explained in Section 2, we are interested in the correlators obtained by setting $\Delta = 0$, where Δ is the conformal dimension of the massive scalar. Since all the dependence on the scalar coordinates drops out of the correlation functions, we will refer to the celestial counterparts of $n+1$ -point bulk scattering amplitudes as n -point correlators. This is further supported, as we will now show, by the structure of these correlators.

4.1 Two-point function

The momentum space three-point amplitude (3.3), written using the parameterization (2.1, 2.3) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_3(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^\phi) = \kappa_{2,2,0} m^4 \frac{\bar{z}_{12}^2}{z_{12}^2} \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 + Q) . \quad (4.1)$$

We have made use of momentum conservation ($\langle [12][12] \rangle = m^2$) in arriving at the above form. The corresponding celestial amplitude integrated over the scalar phase space is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\{\Delta_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^{++}, \{\Delta_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2\}^{++}) \\ &= \kappa_{2,2,0} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3} \int_0^\infty dy dz_3 d\bar{z}_3 \frac{m^2}{4y^3} \times \int_0^\infty d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \omega_1^{\Delta_1-1} \omega_2^{\Delta_2-1} \frac{\bar{z}_{12}^2}{z_{12}^2} \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 + Q) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We can use the δ -function to solve for y, z, \bar{z}, ω_1 to get

$$\delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 + Q) = \frac{1}{|J|} \delta(y - y^*) \delta(\bar{z}_3 - \bar{z}_3^*) \delta(z_3 - z_3^*) \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_1^*) , \quad (4.3)$$

where⁵

$$\omega_1^* = \frac{m^2}{4\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} \omega_2}, \quad y^* = \frac{-2m\epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} \omega_2}{m^2 + 4z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} \omega_2^2}, \quad J = \frac{m^2 z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \omega_2}{(y^*)^3} . \quad (4.4)$$

⁵We have not displayed the solutions for z_3, \bar{z}_3 since they are not required for this computation.

After integrating over $y, z_3, \bar{z}_3, \omega_1$, we get

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_2 = \kappa_{2,2,0} m^2 \left(\frac{\bar{z}_{12}}{z_{12}} \right)^2 \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3} \int_0^\infty d\omega_2 \left(\frac{m^2}{4\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} \omega_2} \right)^{\Delta_1} \omega_2^{\Delta_2-1} \Theta(\omega_1^*) \Theta(y^*) . \quad (4.5)$$

The integral over the δ -function gives rise to the two Θ functions since the y, ω_1 integrals are over \mathbb{R}^+ . The sum over ϵ_3 eliminates $\Theta(y^*)$ while the sum over ϵ_1 eliminates $\Theta(\omega_1^*)$ yielding

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_2 = \kappa_{2,2,0} m^2 \left| \frac{\bar{z}_{12}}{z_{12}} \right|^2 \left| \frac{m^2}{4z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}} \right|^{\Delta_1} \int_0^\infty d\omega_2 \omega_2^{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-1} . \quad (4.6)$$

Performing the ω_2 integral, we obtain the final result for the two-point celestial correlator

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \rangle\rangle \equiv \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_2 = \kappa_{2,2,0} m^2 \left| \frac{\bar{z}_{12}}{z_{12}} \right|^2 \left| \frac{m^2}{4z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}} \right|^{\Delta_1} \times 2\pi \delta(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2) . \quad (4.7)$$

We see that the resulting object indeed resembles a two-point correlation function as in an ordinary CFT.

It is also natural to consider correlation functions like $\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^0(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \mathcal{O}_0^0(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \rangle$. Interpreting it as a two-point function of a graviton and scalar, we expect it to vanish from the 2D CFT perspective. However, it is nonzero and is suggestive of the fact that additional insertions of the scalar cannot be treated on the same footing as gravitons. We leave the exploration of such correlators and their meaning to future work, noting for now that the singular part of the graviton OPE does not involve the scalar, so the set of correlators $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ with only graviton insertions forms a self-consistent sector in some sense, similar in spirit to studying a current algebra independently from any actual CFT.⁶

4.2 Three-point functions

4.2.1 $\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++} \rangle\rangle$

The three-point functions are computed from momentum space four-point scattering amplitudes. We start with (3.14) which we write as

$$\mathcal{A}_4(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^\phi) = \mathcal{A}_4^{gr} + \mathcal{A}_4^{sc,s} + \mathcal{A}_4^{sc,t} + \mathcal{A}_4^{sc,u} , \quad (4.8)$$

with \mathcal{A}_4^{gr} representing the contribution of graviton exchange in all channels. As in the previous section, the δ -function is used to eliminate the scalar momentum variables z_4, \bar{z}_4, y as well as ω_3

$$\delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + Q) = \frac{1}{|J|} \delta(y - y^*) \delta(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_*) \delta(z - z_*) \delta(\omega_3 - \omega_3^*) , \quad (4.9)$$

⁶We thank Sruthi Narayanan for bringing this to our attention.

with

$$\omega_3^* = \frac{\epsilon_3}{4} \frac{m^2 - 4\omega_1\omega_2 z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}{z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\omega_2}, \quad y^* = -\frac{2m\epsilon_4(z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\omega_2)}{m^2 + 4(\epsilon_1\omega_1 z_{13} + \epsilon_2\omega_2 z_{23})(\epsilon_1\omega_1\bar{z}_{13} + \epsilon_2\omega_2\bar{z}_{23})},$$

$$J = \frac{m^2}{y^3} (z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\omega_2). \quad (4.10)$$

The resulting three-point celestial correlator for both the graviton and scalar exchanges is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\epsilon_i} \int_0^\infty d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \omega_1^{\Delta_1-1} \omega_2^{\Delta_2-1} \frac{(\omega_3^*)^{\Delta_3-1}}{|z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\omega_2|} \Theta(y^*) \Theta(\omega_3^*) \mathcal{A}_4. \quad (4.11)$$

We will now compute the above integral for each term in \mathcal{A}_4 (4.8), whose explicit form in the momentum space is given by the relation (3.14).

Mellin transform of \mathcal{A}_4^{gr}

In the parametrization of (2.1), the graviton exchange term of the amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{gr} = -2\kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0}m^4 \frac{\bar{z}_{12}\bar{z}_{23}\bar{z}_{31}}{z_{12}z_{23}z_{31}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Plugging this into (4.11) and performing the sum over ϵ_3, ϵ_4 gives

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{gr} = -2^{1-2\Delta_3}\kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0}m^4 \frac{\bar{z}_{12}\bar{z}_{23}\bar{z}_{31}}{z_{12}z_{23}z_{31}} \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \int_0^\infty d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \omega_1^{\Delta_1-1} \omega_2^{\Delta_2-1} \times |m^2 - 4\omega_1\omega_2 z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2|^{\Delta_3-1} |z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\omega_2|^{-\Delta_3}. \quad (4.13)$$

Changing variables to

$$\omega_1 = \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{|z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}|}{|z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}||z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{Y}{X}}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{|z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}|}{|z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}||z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{XY}, \quad \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 = \epsilon, \quad (4.14)$$

brings the integral to the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{gr} = -\kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0} \mathcal{Z}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \sum_{\epsilon} f_1(\Delta_i, \alpha_1) f_2(\Delta_i, \alpha_2), \quad (4.15)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z} = 2^{-\beta-3} m^{\beta+5} \frac{\bar{z}_{12}\bar{z}_{23}\bar{z}_{13}}{z_{12}z_{23}z_{13}} |z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}|^{\frac{\Delta_3-\Delta_1-\Delta_2}{2}} |z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}|^{\frac{\Delta_1-\Delta_2-\Delta_3}{2}} |z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}|^{\frac{\Delta_2-\Delta_1-\Delta_3}{2}}, \quad (4.16)$$

being a standard conformal factor and $\beta = -3 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \Delta_i$. f_1 and f_2 are integrals over the variables X and Y respectively and depend on the z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij} only through

$$\alpha_1 = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn}(z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}), \quad \alpha_2 = \epsilon \operatorname{sgn}(z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}). \quad (4.17)$$

The f_1, f_2 integrals are now readily performed giving us

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(\Delta_i, \alpha_1) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dX X^{\frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1 + \Delta_3}{2} - 1} |X + \alpha_1|^{-\Delta_3} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\Delta_3)} \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha_1 = 1 \\ \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2)\right)}{\cos\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta_3} & \alpha_1 = -1 \end{cases}, \quad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(\Delta_i, \alpha_2) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dY Y^{\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_3 + \Delta_2}{2} - 1} |1 - \alpha_2 Y|^{\Delta_3 - 1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma(\Delta_3) \\
&\quad \times \begin{cases} 2 \sin\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) \cos\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = 1 \\ \sin\pi\Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = -1 \end{cases}. \quad (4.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting all of this together, we finally get two regions for the graviton exchange term

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{gr} = -\kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0} \mathcal{Z}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \mathcal{G}^{gr}(\Delta_i) \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_+ & (z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}) > 0 \\ \mathcal{S}_- & (z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}) < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{gr}(\Delta_i) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}\right), \quad (4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_+ &= \sin\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3) + \sin\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \\
&\quad + \sin\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3) + \sin\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_2 - \Delta_1 + \Delta_3), \\
\mathcal{S}_- &= \sin\pi\Delta_1 + \sin\pi\Delta_2 + \sin\pi\Delta_3. \quad (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

Mellin transform of $\mathcal{A}_4^{sc,s}$, $\mathcal{A}_4^{sc,t}$ and $\mathcal{A}_4^{sc,u}$

We only need to evaluate the s -channel exchange since the other channels can be simply obtained from this by interchanging $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ and $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. Moreover, since we have the following relation between the graviton and scalar exchange terms

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{sc,s} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_4^{gr} \times \frac{4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\omega_1\omega_2 z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}}{4\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\omega_1\omega_2 z_{12}\bar{z}_{12} - m^2}, \quad (4.23)$$

their Mellin transforms are quite similar. Following the steps that led from (4.12) to (4.15), we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{sc,s} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{2,2,-2}\kappa_{2,2,0} \mathcal{Z}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \sum_\epsilon \epsilon f_1(\Delta_i, \alpha_1) f_3(\Delta_i, \alpha_2), \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_3(\Delta_i, \alpha_2) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dY Y^{\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_3 + \Delta_2}{2}} |1 - \alpha_2 Y|^{\Delta_3 - 2} \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \alpha_2 Y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{2 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma(\Delta_3 - 1) \\
&\quad \times \begin{cases} -2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = 1 \\ \sin \pi \Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = -1 \end{cases} \quad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now put together the celestial amplitude corresponding to the s -channel exchange by summing over ϵ resulting in

$$\tilde{A}_3^{sc,s} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{2,2,-2} \kappa_{2,2,0} \mathcal{Z}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \mathcal{G}^{sc,s}(\Delta_i) \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_+ & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) > 0 \\ \mathcal{S}_- & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) < 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^{sc,s}(\Delta_i) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 + 2}{2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_3 - 1)}{\Gamma(\Delta_3)}, \quad (4.27)
\end{aligned}$$

and \mathcal{S}_\pm were defined in (4.22). The invariance of \mathcal{S}_\pm under cyclic permutations of the conformal dimensions Δ_i allows us to write the all-plus three-point expression in a compact manner by combining (4.20) and (4.26) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++} \rangle\rangle &= \tilde{A}_3^{gr} + \tilde{A}_3^{sc,s} + \tilde{A}_3^{sc,t} + \tilde{A}_3^{sc,u} \quad (4.28) \\
&= -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \kappa_{2,2,0} \mathcal{Z}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \left[\mathcal{G}^{gr} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{G}^{sc,s} + \mathcal{G}^{sc,t} + \mathcal{G}^{sc,u}) \right] \\
&\quad \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_+ & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) > 0 \\ \mathcal{S}_- & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) < 0 \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{G}^{sc,t}(\Delta_i)$ and $\mathcal{G}^{sc,u}(\Delta_i)$ are obtained from $\mathcal{G}^{sc,s}(\Delta_i)$ given in (4.27) by interchanging $\Delta_1 \leftrightarrow \Delta_3$ and $\Delta_2 \leftrightarrow \Delta_3$ respectively.

4.2.2 $\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{--} \rangle\rangle$

We now present the three-point function involving two positive and one negative helicity graviton derived from the momentum-space four-point amplitude given by (3.17), which in the parameterization of (2.1) takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi) = 4^3 \kappa_{2,2,0} \kappa_{2,-2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{12}^6 z_{23} z_{13}}{\bar{z}_{23} \bar{z}_{13}} \frac{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \omega_1^3 \omega_2^3}{4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \omega_1 \omega_2 z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} - m^2}. \quad (4.29)$$

The computation of this Mellin transform is very similar to the previous cases and we omit all details. The final result takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_3(\{\Delta_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^{++}, \{\Delta_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2\}^{++}, \{\Delta_3, z_3, \bar{z}_3\}^{--}) \\
= \kappa_{2,2,0} \kappa_{2,-2,-2} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \sum_\epsilon \epsilon f_1(\Delta_i, \alpha_1) f_4(\Delta_i, \alpha_2), \quad (4.30)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = 2^{-\beta-4} m^{\beta+5} \frac{\bar{z}_{12}^6 z_{23} z_{13}}{\bar{z}_{23} \bar{z}_{13}} |z_{12} \bar{z}_{12}|^{\frac{\Delta_3 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - 6}{2}} |z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}|^{\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}} |z_{13} \bar{z}_{13}|^{\frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_3}{2}}, \quad (4.31)$$

is now the appropriate conformal factor, $f_1(\Delta_i, \alpha_1)$ is the same integral as in (4.18) and

$$\begin{aligned} f_4(\Delta_i, \alpha_2) &\equiv \int_0^\infty dY Y^{\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_3 + \Delta_2}{2} + 4} |1 - \alpha_2 Y|^{\Delta_3 - 2} \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \alpha_2 Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{6 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{-2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma(\Delta_3 - 1) \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} -2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} (\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = 1 \\ \sin \pi \Delta_3 & \alpha_2 = -1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Putting all of this together, the result is

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{--} \rangle\rangle = -\kappa_{2,-2,-2} \kappa_{2,2,0} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(z_{ij}, \bar{z}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\Delta_i) \times \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_+ & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) > 0 \\ \mathcal{S}_- & (z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{13} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\Delta_i) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{-2 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 + 6}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 + \Delta_3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1}{2}\right) \frac{\Gamma(\Delta_3 - 1)}{\Gamma(\Delta_3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

and \mathcal{S}_\pm were defined in (4.22).

4.3 Four-point function

The four-point function can be obtained from the five-point amplitude computed in Section 3 starting from the definition

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4 \equiv \frac{m^2}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz_5 d\bar{z}_5 \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d\omega_i}{\omega_i} \omega_i^{\Delta_i} \delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 p_i\right) \mathcal{A}_5. \quad (4.35)$$

Due to the complexity of this amplitude, we will evaluate the corresponding celestial correlator only in Minkowski space. In this case, the regions on which the constraints imposed by the Θ functions are satisfied can be enumerated. As we will see below, a simplification occurs since \bar{z}_i are the complex conjugates of z_i and we have $\bar{z}_i z_i = |z_i|^2 > 0$.⁷ As before,

⁷Note that in this section the symbols $z_i, \bar{z}_i, z, \bar{z}$ are all complex, and $||$ denotes the modulus of the complex number. In the previous sections, they were real and we used $||$ to denote the absolute value of these real numbers.

we can first eliminate the coordinates of the scalar and one energy using

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 p_i \right) &= \frac{1}{|J|} \delta(\omega_4 - \omega_4^*) \delta(z_5 - z_5^*) \delta(\bar{z}_5 - \bar{z}_5^*) \delta(y - y^*) , \\
\omega_4^* &= \frac{m^2 - 4z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\omega_1\omega_2 - 4z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\epsilon_3\omega_1\omega_3 - 4z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\omega_2\omega_3}{4\epsilon_4(z_{14}\bar{z}_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{24}\bar{z}_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + z_{34}\bar{z}_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)} , \\
J &= -\frac{m^2\epsilon_5(z_{14}\bar{z}_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{24}\bar{z}_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + z_{34}\bar{z}_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)}{y^3} , \\
y^* &= \frac{-2m\epsilon_5(z_{14}\bar{z}_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{24}\bar{z}_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + z_{34}\bar{z}_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)}{m^2 + 4(z_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + z_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)(\bar{z}_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + \bar{z}_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + \bar{z}_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

In arriving at the above result, we have made use of the parametrization

$$p_i = \epsilon_i \omega_i (1 + z_i \bar{z}_i, z_i + \bar{z}_i, i(z_i - \bar{z}_i), 1 - z_i \bar{z}_i) , \tag{4.37}$$

which is appropriate for Minkowski space. Performing the $y, z_5, \bar{z}_5, \omega_4$ integrals, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_4 \equiv \int \prod_{i=1}^3 d\omega_i \omega_i^{\Delta_i-1} \frac{1}{|J|} \delta(\omega_4 - \omega_4^*) \delta(z_5 - z_5^*) \delta(\bar{z}_5 - \bar{z}_5^*) \delta(y - y^*) \\
\times (\omega_4^*)^{\Delta-4-1} \Theta(y^*) \Theta(\omega_1^*) \mathcal{A}_5 .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

We do not sum over the directions as in the previous sections. We find it easier to solve the Θ function constraints and evaluate the amplitude for each case. Once we have these correlators, the summation can be trivially carried out. It is easy to see that the two Θ functions in (4.38) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(y^*) \Theta(\omega_4^*) &= \Theta(-\epsilon_5(z_{14}\bar{z}_{14}\epsilon_1\omega_1 + z_{24}\bar{z}_{24}\epsilon_2\omega_2 + z_{34}\bar{z}_{34}\epsilon_3\omega_3)) \\
&\Theta(\epsilon_4\epsilon_5(-m^2 + 4z_{12}\bar{z}_{12}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\omega_1\omega_2 + 4z_{13}\bar{z}_{13}\epsilon_1\epsilon_3\omega_1\omega_3 + 4z_{23}\bar{z}_{23}\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\omega_2\omega_3)) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

The constraints imposed by these Θ functions on $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ contours are most easily worked out by first changing variables to

$$\omega_1 = \frac{m}{2} \left| \frac{z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{14}} \right| \tilde{\omega}_1, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{m}{2} \left| \frac{z_{14}z_{34}}{z_{13}} \right| \frac{1}{|z_{24}|^2} \tilde{\omega}_2, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{m}{2} \left| \frac{z_{14}}{z_{13}z_{34}} \right| \tilde{\omega}_3 . \tag{4.40}$$

The constraints can now be written purely in terms of the $\tilde{\omega}_i, \epsilon_i$, the conformal cross ratio $z = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}$ and its conjugate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(y^*) \Theta(\omega_4^*) &= \Theta(-\epsilon_5(\epsilon_1\tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2\tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3\tilde{\omega}_3)) \\
&\Theta(\epsilon_4\epsilon_5(-1 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_2|z|\tilde{\omega}_1\tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_3\tilde{\omega}_1\tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_2\epsilon_3|1-z|\tilde{\omega}_2\tilde{\omega}_3)) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Before enumerating all the regions, it helps to note that the Θ functions are invariant under three operations

1. $\epsilon_1\tilde{\omega}_1 \leftrightarrow \epsilon_2\tilde{\omega}_2$
2. $\epsilon_1\tilde{\omega}_1 \leftrightarrow \epsilon_3\tilde{\omega}_3$ and $|z| \leftrightarrow |1-z|$

3. $\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 \leftrightarrow \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3$ and $|z| \leftrightarrow |1-z|$.

Moreover, we regard combinations of ϵ_i differing by an overall sign to be equivalent and set $\epsilon_5 = -1$ in everything that follows. These imply that we can obtain all possible regions from the ones shown in Table 4.3. The combinations $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_4 = 1$ and $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_4 = -\epsilon_3 = -1$ are not listed in this table as they lead to empty regions.

Region	ϵ_1	ϵ_2	ϵ_3	ϵ_4	Constraints
\mathcal{R}_1	1	1	1	1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, \tilde{\omega}_2 < \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, 0 < \tilde{\omega}_3 < \frac{1 - \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 z ^2}{\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2 1-z ^2}$
\mathcal{R}_2	1	1	-1	1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, \tilde{\omega}_2 < \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, 0 < \tilde{\omega}_3 < \tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2$
\mathcal{R}_3	1	1	-1	1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, \tilde{\omega}_2 > \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, \frac{\tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 z ^2 - 1}{\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2 1-z ^2} < \tilde{\omega}_3 < \tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2$
\mathcal{R}_4	1	-1	-1	1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, 0 < \tilde{\omega}_2 < \tilde{\omega}_1, 0 < \tilde{\omega}_3 < \tilde{\omega}_1 - \tilde{\omega}_2$
\mathcal{R}_5	1	1	1	-1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, 0 < \tilde{\omega}_2 < \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, \tilde{\omega}_3 > \frac{1 - z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2}{\tilde{\omega}_1 + \tilde{\omega}_2 1-z ^2}$
\mathcal{R}_6	1	1	1	-1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, \tilde{\omega}_2 > \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, \tilde{\omega}_3 > 0$
\mathcal{R}_7	1	1	-1	-1	$\tilde{\omega}_1 > 0, \tilde{\omega}_2 > \frac{1}{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1}, \tilde{\omega}_3 < \frac{ z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 - 1}{\tilde{\omega}_1 + 1-z ^2 \tilde{\omega}_2}$

Table 1. A list of regions carved out by the Θ function constraints for different values of ϵ_i . The regions for any combinations of ϵ_i not listed here are either empty or are related to the listed ones via symmetries. In all of these cases we have set $\epsilon_5 = -1$.

The variable change (4.40) also vastly simplifies (4.36) to

$$\omega_4^* = -\frac{m\epsilon_4}{2} \left| \frac{z_{13}}{z_{14}z_{34}} \right| \frac{\left(1 - |z|^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_3 - |1-z|^2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3\right)}{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)}, \quad (4.42)$$

$$J = -\frac{m^3 \epsilon_5}{2y^3} \left| \frac{z_{14}z_{34}}{z_{13}} \right| (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3).$$

and the integral for the celestial correlator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4 = \mathcal{Z} \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \omega_1^{\Delta_1-1} \omega_2^{\Delta_2-1} \omega_3^{\Delta_3-1} (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^{-\Delta_4}$$

$$\left(1 - |z|^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 - \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_3 - |1-z|^2 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3\right)^{\Delta_4-1} \mathcal{A}_5^*. \quad (4.43)$$

\mathcal{A}_5^* is meant to represent the five-point amplitude after imposing momentum conservation and the variable change in (4.40) and

$$\mathcal{Z} = |z_{34}|^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 - \Delta_4 - 1)} |z_{13}|^{\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3 + \Delta_4 + 1)}$$

$$|z_{14}|^{\frac{1}{2}(-\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_4 + 1)} |z_{24}|^{1-\Delta_2} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{-5 + \sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i}, \quad (4.44)$$

is the standard conformal factor.

It is prudent to first identify a few classes of integrals which arise in the computation of the correlator above. To this end, it is necessary to rewrite the five-point amplitude (3.18) using the variables (4.40) as in Appendix B. We can check that all the terms $\mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(i)}$ (defined in Appendix B) lead to the following class of integrals

$$I_{\mathcal{R}_i}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho, a, b, c) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\tilde{\omega}_1 d\tilde{\omega}_2 d\tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_1^\alpha \tilde{\omega}_2^\beta \tilde{\omega}_3^\gamma (1 - \hat{s})^\delta \hat{s}^a (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^\rho (\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2)^b (\hat{s} (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2) + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^c, \quad (4.45)$$

$$I_{\mathcal{R}_i}^{(2)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho, a, b, c, d, e) = \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\tilde{\omega}_1 d\tilde{\omega}_2 d\tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_1^\alpha \tilde{\omega}_2^\beta \tilde{\omega}_3^\gamma (1 - \hat{s})^\delta (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^\rho (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^d \times (\hat{s} (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1)^a (\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2)^b \times \left(1 + |z|^2 \tilde{\omega}_2^2 + (z + \bar{z}) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2\right)^c (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 \hat{s} + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^e, \quad (4.46)$$

where $\hat{s} = |z| \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_3 + |1 - z| \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3$. The exponents represented by Greek letters can take complex values while the Latin ones only take on integer values. All of the terms in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4$ can be expressed in terms of these integrals. This decomposition can be performed easily using Mathematica.

The integrals in (4.45, 4.46) must first be regulated with appropriate $i\epsilon$ prescriptions. Here, we must distinguish between the factors with Greek and Latin exponents. The former are positive in all the regions \mathcal{R}_i as they are just the arguments of the Θ functions. Consequently they do not require $i\epsilon$ prescriptions. However, the factors with integer exponents introduce new singularities which sometimes intersect the regions \mathcal{R}_i . The necessary $i\epsilon$ prescription follows from the corresponding Feynman $i\epsilon$ for the propagators in the tree amplitude. We will now tacitly assume such a prescription and outline how we can derive a Mellin-Barnes representation for these integrals. Applying the Mellin-Barnes decomposition formula⁸

$$\frac{1}{(A_1 + \dots + A_n)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-1} \Gamma(\lambda)} \int \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dx_i A_i^{x_i} A_n^{-\lambda - x_1 - \dots - x_{n-1}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(-x_i) \Gamma(\lambda + x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}), \quad (4.47)$$

to each factor with an integer exponent yields an integral of the form

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{R}_i}^{(j)} = \int \prod_{k=1}^r dx_k G(x_1, \dots, x_r, a, b, c, d, e, z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_i}. \quad (4.48)$$

⁸Here and henceforth, we will suppress the contour of integration. It is understood that these contours run upward along the imaginary axis such that the poles separate into left and right ones. For more details, please refer to [32].

This generic form is motivated by the observation that each of the factors A_i in the Mellin-Barnes decomposition is a product of powers of $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_3, z$ and \bar{z} . This modifies the exponents of $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \tilde{\omega}_3$ from α, β, γ in (4.45, 4.46) to A, B and C . The function $G(x_1, \dots, x_r, a, b, c, d, e)$ is a universal (independent of the regions \mathcal{R}_i) factor containing all the Γ functions and powers of z, \bar{z} arising from (4.47). r is an integer which represents the total number of Mellin variables that must be introduced to achieve this form. We have also defined

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_i} = \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} d\tilde{\omega}_1 d\tilde{\omega}_2 d\tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_1^A \tilde{\omega}_2^B \tilde{\omega}_3^C (1 - \hat{s})^\delta (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^\rho . \quad (4.49)$$

We can now complete the reduction to Mellin-Barnes form more efficiently by performing some of the integrals and identifying them with well known hypergeometric functions. The details of this somewhat lengthy procedure are relegated to Appendix C. Here, we merely present the final result:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int \prod_{i=1}^4 dx_{r+i} \mathcal{G}(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+4}) (z-1)^{x_{r+2}} (\bar{z}-1)^{x_{r+3}} \left(1 - |z|^2\right)^{x_{r+4}}, \quad (4.50)$$

where \mathcal{G} is defined in (C.16).

5 Graviton OPEs

We can obtain the graviton OPEs (for positive helicity gravitons) from the three-point correlator (4.20, 4.26). Since the OPE is local and is insensitive to insertions far away from the position of the relevant operators, we expect it to agree with the OPE derived for correlators without a massive scalar. Consider the limit as $z_{23} \rightarrow 0$ in which we have for the conformal factor

$$\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{2||3} 2^{2-2\Delta_1} m^{2\Delta_1+2} \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2}{z_{13}^2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} |z_{13} \bar{z}_{13}|^{-\Delta_1} (-i\pi) \delta(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - \Delta_1) . \quad (5.1)$$

In arriving at this result, we have made use of the identity [33]

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \nu^{z-\Delta} \Gamma(\Delta - z) = -2\pi i \delta(\Delta - z) . \quad (5.2)$$

The terms in the three-point correlator now become

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{gr} &\xrightarrow{2||3} -2i\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \Gamma(\Delta_2) \Gamma(\Delta_3) \\ &\quad \times (\sin \pi \Delta_2 + \sin \pi \Delta_3 + \sin \pi (\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 \\ &= -2\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \left(B(\Delta_2, \Delta_3) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2) \right) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3^{sc,s} &\xrightarrow{2||3} -i\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \Gamma(\Delta_2 + 1) \Gamma(\Delta_3 - 1) \\ &\quad \times (-\sin \pi \Delta_2 - \sin \pi \Delta_3 - \sin \pi (\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 \\ &= -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \left(B(\Delta_2 + 1, \Delta_3 - 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 - 1) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 + 1) \right) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 , \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}_3^{sc,u} \xrightarrow{2\|3} -i\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \Gamma(\Delta_3 + 1) \Gamma(\Delta_2 - 1) \\
& \quad \times (-\sin \pi \Delta_2 - \sin \pi \Delta_3 - \sin \pi (\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)) \tilde{A}_2 \\
& = -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \left(B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 - 1) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 + 1) \right) \tilde{A}_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

while $\tilde{A}_3^{sc,t}$ is subleading in this limit and hence we drop it. Here $B(x, y)$ is the Euler beta function. Now, if we write the OPE as

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \sim \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} C_{\Delta_2, \Delta_3}^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3}^{++}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) + \dots, \tag{5.6}$$

we can read off the OPE coefficient to be

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\Delta_2, \Delta_3}^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3} & = -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \left(2B(\Delta_2, \Delta_3) + 2B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3) + 2B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2) \right. \\
& \quad + B(\Delta_2 + 1, \Delta_3 - 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 - 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 + 1) \\
& \quad \left. + B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 - 1) - B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 + 1) \right) \\
& = -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \left(B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 - 1) - B(\Delta_2 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) - B(\Delta_3 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where we have used the following identities:

- $B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1) + B(\Delta_2 + 1, \Delta_3 - 1) + 2B(\Delta_2, \Delta_3) - B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 - 1) = 0$
- $B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 - 1) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2 + 1) - 2B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_2) - B(\Delta_2 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) = 0$
- $B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 - 1) + B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3 + 1) - 2B(1 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3, \Delta_3) - B(\Delta_3 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) = 0$,

to simplify the OPE coefficients in the final equality above. This OPE coefficient cannot be directly compared with those obtained in [34, 35] as it does not correspond to OPEs of incoming or outgoing operators, but to specific linear combinations of them. We can use the OPEs of [34, 35] to derive the appropriate quantity for comparison. To do this, we start by considering^{9,10}

$$\sum_{\epsilon_3 = \pm 1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++,+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++,\epsilon_3} = \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++,+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++,+1} + \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++,+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++, -1}. \tag{5.8}$$

Applying the usual OPE we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\epsilon_3 = \pm 1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++,+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++,\epsilon_3} & \sim -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \left(B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 - 1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3}^{++,+1} \right. \\
& \quad - B(\Delta_2 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3}^{++,+1} \\
& \quad \left. - B(\Delta_3 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3}^{++, -1} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

⁹We set $\epsilon_2 = 1$ since there are only two inequivalent choices for ϵ_1, ϵ_2 upto an overall sign.

¹⁰We suppress all the coordinate dependence of the operators.

If we now insert this into a three-point function and sum over the directions of the new insertion, we get

$$\sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3} \langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++,\epsilon_1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}^{++,+1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_3}^{++,\epsilon_3} \rangle\rangle \sim -\kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{\bar{z}_{23}}{z_{23}} \left(B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 - 1) - B(\Delta_2 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) - B(\Delta_3 - 1, 3 - \Delta_2 - \Delta_3) \right) \sum_{\epsilon_1} \langle\langle \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}^{++,\epsilon_1} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2+\Delta_3}^{++} \rangle\rangle, \quad (5.10)$$

matching the expression for the OPE coefficient obtained in (5.7). This shows that the OPEs in the presence of a massive scalar are consistent with those in its absence.

6 The conformally soft graviton theorem

The computations of the previous sections have shown that celestial amplitudes involving n gravitons and a massive scalar behave like n -point graviton correlators when the conformal dimension of the scalar is taken to vanish. We will now examine whether this similarly persists for the conformal soft theorems [36]. In this paper, we will only examine the leading soft theorem leaving the others for future work. In momentum space, the leading soft theorem [37] for an amplitude with n positive helicity gravitons and a massive scalar is

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1}(\epsilon p_1^{++}, p_2^{++}, \dots, p_n^{++}, p_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} S[p_1^{++}] \mathcal{A}_n(p_2^{++}, \dots, p_n^{++}, p_{n+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0), \quad (6.1)$$

where $S[p_1^{++}]$ is the relevant soft factor given by

$$S[p_1^{++}] = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(p_1) p_i^\mu p_i^\nu}{p_i \cdot p_1}, \quad (6.2)$$

and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(p_1)$ is the polarization tensor of the soft graviton, which takes the form¹¹

$$e^{\mu\nu}(p_1) = \frac{\langle 2|\gamma^\mu|1\rangle \langle 2|\gamma^\nu|1\rangle}{\langle 21\rangle \langle 21\rangle}, \quad (6.3)$$

for a positive helicity graviton. Note that there is a sum over all the other particles involved in the amplitude. For the four-point amplitude $\mathcal{A}_4(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{++}, 4^\phi)$ in (3.14), this becomes

$$S[p_1^{++}] = \frac{[12][13][23]^2}{\langle 12\rangle \langle 13\rangle t - m^2} = \frac{\bar{z}_{12}\bar{z}_{13}z_{23}^2}{z_{12}z_{13}} \frac{\omega_2\omega_3}{2m\omega_1} \frac{y}{y^2 + z_{14}\bar{z}_{14}}. \quad (6.4)$$

It can be checked that the momentum-space amplitude (3.14) satisfies the soft theorems. It is worth noting that the leading soft behavior arises entirely from the term in the amplitude

¹¹In general, this can be written with two arbitrary spinors. Here, we have made a specific choice. The soft factor is, of course, independent of this choice.

that corresponds to the exchange of the massive scalar. Indeed, without this, the amplitude would fail to satisfy the soft graviton theorem as already stated in Section 3. In order to examine the celestial avatar of this statement, we must compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow 1} (\Delta_1 - 1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}^\epsilon &= \frac{m^2}{4} \oint_{\omega_1=0} d\omega_1 \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{d\omega_i}{\omega_i} \omega_i^{\Delta_i} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y^3} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^\infty dz_{n+1} d\bar{z}_{n+1} G_{\Delta_{n+1}}(z_{n+1}, \bar{z}_{n+1}, w, \bar{w}) \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where we have used the definition (2.4) and the identity

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon |x|^{\epsilon-1} = \delta(x), \quad (6.6)$$

which holds for functions falling off at infinity at least as fast as x^{-b} for some $b > 0$. For the four-point amplitude under consideration, this turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow 1} (\Delta_1 - 1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4 &= \frac{m}{8} \frac{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23}^2}{z_{12} z_{13}} \int \frac{dy}{y^3} dz_4 d\bar{z}_4 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 \omega_2^{\Delta_2} \omega_3^{\Delta_3} \\ &\times \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + z_{45} \bar{z}_{45}} \right)^{\Delta_4} \left(\frac{y}{y^2 + z_{14} \bar{z}_{14}} \right) \mathcal{A}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

We must now set $\Delta_4 = 0$ in order to obtain the conformal soft theorem for the correlators considered in this paper. The presence of the soft factor prevents us from interpreting this quantity as a lower point celestial amplitude with $\Delta_4 = 0$. In fact, this can be interpreted as a new correlator with $\Delta_4 = 1$ and the scalar coordinates being $\{z_1, \bar{z}_1\}$. Thus, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow 1} (\Delta_1 - 1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4(\{\Delta_1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}, \{\Delta_2, z_2, \bar{z}_2\}, \{\Delta_3, z_3, \bar{z}_3\}, \{\Delta_4, w, \bar{w}\}) \\ = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{13} z_{23}^2}{z_{12} z_{13}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3(\{\Delta_2 + 1, z_2, \bar{z}_2\}, \{\Delta_3 + 1, z_3, \bar{z}_3\}, \{1, z_1, \bar{z}_1\}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

This is also supported by the following direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta_1 \rightarrow 1} (\Delta_1 - 1) \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3 &= \frac{m^2}{2} \frac{\bar{z}_{12}^3 z_{23}^2}{z_{12}^3 z_{13}^2} \left| \frac{m^2}{4z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}} \right|^{\Delta_3} \left| \frac{m^2 z_{13} \bar{z}_{13}}{4z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}} \right|^{\frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_3 + 1}{2}} \\ &\times \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3))} & ; \text{sgn}(z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) > 0 \\ -\pi \tan(\frac{\pi}{2}(\Delta_2 - \Delta_3)) & ; \text{sgn}(z_{12} \bar{z}_{12} z_{23} \bar{z}_{23}) < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

This suggests that the leading soft theorem relates the set of correlators with vanishing scalar conformal dimension to those with unit conformal dimension. It would be intriguing to investigate if this has an interpretation along the lines of [38, 39]. The connection between soft theorems and Ward identities of asymptotic symmetries in the presence of massive particles has been studied in [40, 41] in general. It would also be interesting to see if any lessons could be extracted from this analysis.

7 Outlook and discussion

The correlators computed in this paper are well-defined and non-distributional, resembling ordinary CFT correlators. This computation opens up several lines of investigation. The first of these is understanding whether the remaining soft theorems are modified, along with their associated symmetries. Next is understanding the singularity structure of these correlators either using the Mellin Barnes representations (4.50) and generalizing the analysis in [42] or using the Euler integral representation (4.45, 4.46) and methods in [43, 44]. A particularly interesting question is understanding how the massive exchange is manifest in the singularity structure. Another question which would benefit from further investigation is if an on-shell version of the correlators in [45] agree with those in this paper. This would provide a connection between the two different definitions. The final and perhaps most interesting and challenging question is whether we can identify a 2D CFT that reproduces the correlation functions in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Shamik Banerjee, Eduardo Casali, Walker Melton, Sruthi Narayanan, Andrzej Pokraka, Andrea Puhm, Marcus Spradlin and Andy Strominger for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under contract DE-SC0010010 Task F, by Simons Investigator Award #376208, and by a Bershadsky Distinguished Visiting Fellowship at Harvard (AV). AB was also supported by the Celestial Holography Initiative at the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics and the Simons Collaboration on Celestial Holography. AYS was also supported by the STFC grant DRR00590.

A Derivation of the $\mathcal{A}(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi)$ amplitude

This amplitude is not constructible via BCFW or three line shift recursions. The simplest way to derive this amplitude is by appealing to consistent factorization and locality along the lines of [29]. The basic procedure is to compute the residues on all factorization channels by multiplying the appropriate three-point amplitudes and then guessing an expression that correctly reproduces all them. For the case at hand, we begin by computing the residue in the u -channel corresponding to a graviton exchange. This is

$$\text{Res}_{[13] \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}_4(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi) = \frac{1}{\langle 13 \rangle} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 3^{--} \\ \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ 1^{++} \end{array} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ 2^{++} \\ \diagup \\ 4^\phi \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\langle 13 \rangle} \kappa_{2,2,-2} \left(\frac{\langle 3I \rangle^3}{\langle I1 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle} \right)^2 \kappa_{2,2,0} [2I]^4 \\ &= \kappa_{2,2,0} \kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{[12]^6 \langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 13 \rangle^2}{\langle 13 \rangle t^2} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The expression above has a double pole in t which would be unphysical in an amplitude. However, on the support of $u = 0$, we have $s - m^2 = -t$. An ansatz for the amplitude is thus

$$\mathcal{A}_4 \left(1^{++}, 2^{++}, 3^{--}, 4^\phi \right) = \kappa_{2,2,0} \kappa_{2,2,-2} \frac{[12]^6 \langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 13 \rangle^2}{t u (s - m^2)}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

It can be checked that this correctly reproduces the t - and u -channel residues and has the correct soft limits.

B Expressions for various terms in the five-point amplitude

This appendix contains expressions for all the terms in (3.18) written in terms of the variables introduced in (4.40).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(1)} &\equiv \frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{2}{s_{24} s_{123}} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{2 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s}} \\ \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(2)} &\equiv \frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{2}{s_{14} s_{123}} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_1} \frac{2 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(3)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{s_{34}}{s_{24}} \frac{1}{s_{34} - m^2} \frac{1}{s_{123}} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3^2}{\tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{\hat{s} - 1}{\hat{s}} \\ \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(4)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{s_{34}}{s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{34} - m^2} \frac{1}{s_{123}} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3^2}{\tilde{\omega}_1} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{\hat{s} - 1}{\hat{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(5)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{s_{14} + s_{24}}{s_{24}} \frac{1}{s_{14} + s_{24} - m^2} \frac{1}{s_{123}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s} (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2) + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3} \frac{1 - \hat{s}}{\hat{s}} \\ \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(6)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{s_{14} + s_{24}}{s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{14} + s_{24} - m^2} \frac{1}{s_{123}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_1} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{\hat{s} (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2) + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3} \frac{1 - \hat{s}}{\hat{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(7)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{24}} \frac{1}{\hat{s} - 1} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{1 - \hat{s}} \\ \mathcal{A}_5^{gr,1,2,(8)} &\equiv -\frac{[12] [34] [14] [24] [3|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{14}} \frac{1}{\hat{s} - 1} = \frac{\bar{z} z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2}{z z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{\tilde{\omega}_3}{\tilde{\omega}_2} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \bar{z}))^2}{1 - \hat{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(1)} &\equiv -\frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{2}{s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2} \\
&= 2 \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} (\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \frac{\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3}{\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \hat{s} (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)} \\
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(2)} &\equiv \frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{2}{s_{15} - m^2} \\
&= -2 \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} (\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 \frac{\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3}{1 + |z| \tilde{\omega}_2^2 + (z + \bar{z}) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2} \quad (\text{B.5})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(3)} &\equiv \frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2} \frac{s_{34}}{s_{34} - m^2} \\
&= \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} \frac{\epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 (\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (1 - \hat{s})}{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \hat{s} (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)) (\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2)} \\
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(4)} &\equiv -\frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - m^2} \frac{s_{34}}{s_{34} - m^2} \\
&= -\frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} \frac{(\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_3 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (1 - \hat{s})}{\left(1 + |z|^2 \tilde{\omega}_2^2 + (z + \bar{z}) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2\right) (\hat{s} \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2)} \quad (\text{B.6})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(5)} &\equiv \frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2} \frac{s_{123} + s_{14}}{s_{123} + s_{14} - m^2} \\
&= -\frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} (\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \frac{\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3}{(\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (\hat{s} - 1)} \\
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(6)} &\equiv -\frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - m^2} \frac{s_{123} + s_{14}}{s_{123} + s_{14} - m^2} \\
&= \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} \frac{(\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \hat{s} (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3))}{\left(1 + |z|^2 \tilde{\omega}_2^2 + (z + \bar{z}) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2\right) (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (\hat{s} - 1)} \quad (\text{B.7})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(7)} &\equiv \frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - s_{23} - m^2} \frac{s_{24}}{s_{24} - m^2} \\
&= \frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} \frac{(\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 (1 - \hat{s})}{\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \hat{s} (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 \hat{s} + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)} \\
\mathcal{A}_5^{sc,1,2(8)} &\equiv -\frac{[23][34][24][14][1|p_5|4]^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \langle 14 \rangle s_{14}} \frac{1}{s_{15} - m^2} \frac{s_{24}}{s_{24} - m^2} \\
&= -\frac{\bar{z}_{13}^2 \bar{z}_{24}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - z} \frac{(\bar{z} \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)^2 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 (\epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3) (1 - \hat{s})}{1 + |z|^2 \tilde{\omega}_2^2 + (z + \bar{z}) \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_3 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2 (\epsilon_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 \hat{s} + \epsilon_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 + \epsilon_3 \tilde{\omega}_3)} \quad (\text{B.8})
\end{aligned}$$

C Reduction of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4}$ to a Mellin-Barnes integral

Start by changing variables to $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \tilde{\omega}_3 X, \tilde{\omega}_2 = \tilde{\omega}_3 Y$. This transforms (4.49) to

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int_0^\infty dY \int_{1+Y}^\infty dX X^A Y^B (X - Y - 1)^\rho \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_3^{A+B+C+2+\rho} \left[1 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2 \left(|z|^2 XY + X - Y |1 - z|^2 \right) \right]^\delta. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

We pause here to draw attention to the fact that the integral is well-defined as the integrand is strictly positive in the integration domain. The $\tilde{\omega}_3$ integral evaluates to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty d\tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_3^{A+B+C+2+\rho} \left[1 + \tilde{\omega}_3^2 \left(|z|^2 XY + X - Y |1 - z|^2 \right) \right]^\delta \\ = \mathcal{G}_1 \left(|z|^2 XY + X - Y |1 - z|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(3+A+B+C+\rho)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-\delta)} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}(3+A+B+C+\rho+2\delta)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(3+A+B+C+\rho)\right). \quad (\text{C.3})$$

After this, (4.49) reads,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \mathcal{G}_1 \int_0^\infty dY \int_{1+Y}^\infty dX X^A Y^B (X - Y - 1)^\rho \left(|z|^2 XY + X - Y |1 - z|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(3+A+B+C+\rho)}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The evaluation of the integral over X is expedited by the further change of variables, $t = \frac{-1+X-Y}{X-Y}$ as seen below:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \mathcal{G}_1 \int_0^\infty dY Y^B (1+Y)^A w_2^{-\frac{3+A+B+C+\rho}{2}} \\ \times \int_0^1 dt t^\rho (1-t)^{-\frac{1+A+\rho-B-C}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{Y}{Y+1}t \right)^A \left(1 + \frac{w_1}{w_2}t \right)^{-\frac{3+A+B+C+\rho}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Here, $w_1 = Y(1-Y)|z|^2 - 2Y \operatorname{Re}(z)$, $w_2 = 1 + Y^2|z|^2 + 2Y \operatorname{Re}(z)$. We can recognize the integral over t as a representation of the Appell F_1 function and write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dt t^\rho (1-t)^{-\frac{1+A+\rho-B-C}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{Y}{Y+1}t \right)^A \left(1 + \frac{w_1}{w_2}t \right)^{-\frac{3+A+B+C+\rho}{2}} \\ = \mathcal{G}_2 F_1 \left(\rho + 1; -A, \frac{3+A+B+C+\rho}{2}; \frac{3-A+B+C+\rho}{2}; \frac{Y}{Y+1}, -\frac{w_1}{w_2} \right) \\ = \mathcal{G}_2 \left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{w_2} \right)^{-1-\rho} {}_2F_1 \left(-A, 1 + \rho, \frac{1}{2}(3-A+B+C+\rho), \frac{w_1 + Y(w_1 + w_2)}{(w_1 + w_2)(1+Y)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

with $\mathcal{G}_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)\Gamma(\frac{1-A+B+C-\rho}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-A+B+C+\rho}{2})}$ and we have used an identity of the Appell F_1 in arriving at the final equality. Plugging in the values of w_1, w_2 , we see that the argument of the hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$

$$0 < \frac{w_1 + Y(w_1 + w_2)}{(w_1 + w_2)(1 + Y)} = \frac{Y|1 - z|^2}{(1 + y)(1 + y|z|^2)} < 1. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

This allows us to use the relevant Mellin-Barnes representation of the Gauss hypergeometric function,

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{2\pi i \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \times \int ds \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(c-a-b-s)\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(b+s)(1-z)^s. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

In applying this formula, we introduce a new Mellin variable x_{r+1} in addition to the x_1, \dots, x_r introduced in (4.48). Thus,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int dx_{r+1} \mathcal{G}_3(x_{r+1}) \int_0^\infty dY Y^B (1+Y)^{A-x_{r+1}} (1+y|z|^2)^{-1-\rho-x_{r+1}} \times (1+yz)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+A+B+C-\rho-x_{r+1})} (1+y\bar{z})^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+A+B+C-\rho-x_{r+1})}, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_3(x_{r+1}) = \Gamma(-x_{r+1}) \Gamma\left(-x_{r+1} + \frac{1}{2}(A+B+C-\rho)\right) \Gamma(-A+x_{r+1}) \times \Gamma(\rho+1+x_{r+1}) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(3+A+B+C+\rho+2\delta)\right)}{2\Gamma(-A)\Gamma(-\delta)}. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

A final change of variables $Y = \frac{\eta}{1-\eta}$ turns the integral into

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int dx_{r+1} \mathcal{G}_3(x_{r+1}) \int_0^1 d\eta \eta^B (1-\eta)^{x_{r+1}+C} \left(1 - (1-|z|^2)\eta\right)^{-1-\rho-x_{r+1}} \times (1-\eta(1-z))^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+A+B+C-\rho-x_{r+1})} (1-\eta(1-\bar{z}))^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+A+B+C-\rho-x_{r+1})}, \quad (\text{C.11})$$

which can immediately be recognized as the integral representation of a type D Lauricella function,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int dx_{r+1} \mathcal{G}_4(x_{r+1}) F_D^{(3)}\left(a_1; b_1, b_2, b_3, c_1; 1-z, 1-\bar{z}, 1-|z|^2\right), \quad (\text{C.12})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_4(x_{r+1}) &= \mathcal{G}_3(x_{r+1}) \frac{\Gamma(B+1)\Gamma(-x_{r+1}+C+1)}{\Gamma(-x_{r+1}+B+C+2)}, \\ a_1 &= B+1, \quad b_1 = b_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+A+B+C-\rho-x_{r+1}), \\ b_3 &= 1+\rho-x_{r+1}, \quad c_1 = -x_{r+1}+B+C+2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.13})$$

To complete the reduction, we now use the Mellin-Barnes representation of the Lauricella function [46]

$$F_D^{(m)}(a_1; b_m, \dots, b_m; c_1, x_1, \dots, x_m) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^m \int \prod_{i=1}^m dt_i \Gamma(-t_i) (-x_i)^{t_i} \frac{\Gamma(c_1) \Gamma(a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m t_i) \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i + t_i)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m t_i) \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma(b_i)}, \quad (\text{C.14})$$

to get the final Mellin-Barnes form

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}_4} = \int \prod_{i=1}^4 dx_{r+i} \mathcal{G}(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+4}) (z-1)^{x_{r+2}} (\bar{z}-1)^{x_{r+3}} (1-|z|^2)^{x_{r+4}}, \quad (\text{C.15})$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{r+4}) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma(-x_{r+i}) \right] \frac{\Gamma\left(a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^4 x_{r+i}\right)}{\Gamma\left(c_1 + \sum_{i=2}^4 x_{r+i}\right)} \left[\prod_{i=2}^4 \frac{\Gamma(b_1 + x_{r+i})}{\Gamma(b_i)} \right] \Gamma(c_1 - a_1) \mathcal{G}_3. \quad (\text{C.16})$$

References

- [1] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, *Flat Space Amplitudes and Conformal Symmetry of the Celestial Sphere*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), no. 6 065026, [[arXiv:1701.00049](#)].
- [2] S. Pasterski and S.-H. Shao, *Conformal basis for flat space amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), no. 6 065022, [[arXiv:1705.01027](#)].
- [3] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, *Gluon Amplitudes as 2d Conformal Correlators*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), no. 8 085006, [[arXiv:1706.03917](#)].
- [4] Y. T. A. Law and M. Zlotnikov, *Poincaré constraints on celestial amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 085, [[arXiv:1910.04356](#)]. [Erratum: *JHEP* 04, 202 (2020)].
- [5] S. Mizera and S. Pasterski, *Celestial geometry*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 045, [[arXiv:2204.02505](#)].
- [6] K. Costello, N. M. Paquette, and A. Sharma, *Top-Down Holography in an Asymptotically Flat Spacetime*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023), no. 6 061602, [[arXiv:2208.14233](#)].
- [7] K. Costello, N. M. Paquette, and A. Sharma, *Burns space and holography*, [[arXiv:2306.00940](#)].
- [8] R. Bittleston, S. Heuveline, and D. Skinner, *The Celestial Chiral Algebra of Self-Dual Gravity on Eguchi-Hanson Space*, [[arXiv:2305.09451](#)].
- [9] E. Casali, W. Melton, and A. Strominger, *Celestial amplitudes as AdS-Witten diagrams*, *JHEP* **11** (2022) 140, [[arXiv:2204.10249](#)].
- [10] W. Fan, A. Fotopoulos, S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Celestial Yang-Mills amplitudes and $D = 4$ conformal blocks*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 182, [[arXiv:2206.08979](#)].
- [11] W. Fan, A. Fotopoulos, S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Elements of celestial conformal field theory*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 213, [[arXiv:2202.08288](#)].
- [12] S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Celestial Liouville theory for Yang-Mills amplitudes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **836** (2023) 137588, [[arXiv:2209.02724](#)].

- [13] R. Gonzo, T. McLoughlin, and A. Puhm, *Celestial holography on Kerr-Schild backgrounds*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 073, [[arXiv:2207.13719](#)].
- [14] S. Banerjee, R. Mandal, A. Manu, and P. Paul, *MHV Gluon Scattering in the Massive Scalar Background and Celestial OPE*, [arXiv:2302.10245](#).
- [15] T. Adamo, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, *MHV scattering of gluons and gravitons in chiral strong fields*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020), no. 4 041602, [[arXiv:2003.13501](#)].
- [16] T. Adamo, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, *Gluon Scattering on Self-Dual Radiative Gauge Fields*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **399** (2023) 1731–1771, [[arXiv:2010.14996](#)].
- [17] T. Adamo, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, *Graviton scattering in self-dual radiative space-times*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40** (2023), no. 9 095002, [[arXiv:2203.02238](#)].
- [18] T. Adamo, G. Bogna, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, *Scattering on self-dual Taub-NUT*, [arXiv:2309.03834](#).
- [19] T. Adamo, W. Bu, and B. Zhu, *Infrared structures of scattering on self-dual radiative backgrounds*, [arXiv:2309.01810](#).
- [20] G. Bogna and L. Mason, *Yang-Mills form factors on self-dual backgrounds*, *JHEP* **08** (2023) 165, [[arXiv:2305.07542](#)].
- [21] W. Melton, S. A. Narayanan, and A. Strominger, *Deforming soft algebras for gauge theory*, *JHEP* **03** (2023) 233, [[arXiv:2212.08643](#)].
- [22] S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Yang-Mills as a Liouville Theory*, [arXiv:2308.09741](#).
- [23] A. Atanasov, A. Ball, W. Melton, A.-M. Raclariu, and A. Strominger, *(2, 2) Scattering and the celestial torus*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 083, [[arXiv:2101.09591](#)].
- [24] L. J. Dixon, E. W. N. Glover, and V. V. Khoze, *MHV rules for Higgs plus multi-gluon amplitudes*, *JHEP* **12** (2004) 015, [[hep-th/0411092](#)].
- [25] W. Fan, A. Fotopoulos, S. Stieberger, T. R. Taylor, and B. Zhu, *Conformal blocks from celestial gluon amplitudes*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 170, [[arXiv:2103.04420](#)].
- [26] Y. Hu, L. Lippstreu, M. Spradlin, A. Y. Srikant, and A. Volovich, *Four-point correlators of light-ray operators in CCFT*, *JHEP* **07** (2022) 104, [[arXiv:2203.04255](#)].
- [27] S. De, Y. Hu, A. Yellespur Srikant, and A. Volovich, *Correlators of four light-ray operators in CCFT*, *JHEP* **10** (2022) 170, [[arXiv:2206.08875](#)].
- [28] C. Jorge-Diaz, S. Pasterski, and A. Sharma, *Celestial amplitudes in an ambidextrous basis*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 155, [[arXiv:2212.00962](#)].
- [29] N. Arkani-Hamed, T.-C. Huang, and Y.-t. Huang, *Scattering amplitudes for all masses and spins*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 070, [[arXiv:1709.04891](#)].
- [30] K. Risager, *A Direct proof of the CSW rules*, *JHEP* **12** (2005) 003, [[hep-th/0508206](#)].
- [31] R. Britto, R. Gonzo, and G. R. Jehu, *Graviton particle statistics and coherent states from classical scattering amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2022) 214, [[arXiv:2112.07036](#)].
- [32] I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, and G. Somogyi, *Mellin-Barnes Integrals: A Primer on Particle Physics Applications*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **1008** (2022) pp., [[arXiv:2211.13733](#)].
- [33] L. Donnay, S. Pasterski, and A. Puhm, *Asymptotic Symmetries and Celestial CFT*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 176, [[arXiv:2005.08990](#)].

- [34] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, *Symmetries of Celestial Amplitudes*, *Phys. Lett. B* **793** (2019) 141–143, [[arXiv:1812.01080](#)].
- [35] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, A. Strominger, and E. Y. Yuan, *Celestial operator products of gluons and gravitons*, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **33** (2021), no. 09 2140003, [[arXiv:1910.07424](#)].
- [36] A. Puhm, *Conformally Soft Theorem in Gravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 130, [[arXiv:1905.09799](#)].
- [37] S. Weinberg, *Infrared photons and gravitons*, *Phys. Rev.* **140** (Oct, 1965) B516–B524.
- [38] D. Kapec, Y. T. A. Law, and S. A. Narayanan, *Soft scalars and the geometry of the space of celestial conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023), no. 4 046024, [[arXiv:2205.10935](#)].
- [39] D. Kapec, *Soft Particles and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*, [arXiv:2210.00606](#).
- [40] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries of gravity and soft theorems for massive particles*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 094, [[arXiv:1509.01406](#)].
- [41] M. Campiglia and A. Laddha, *Asymptotic symmetries of QED and Weinberg’s soft photon theorem*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 115, [[arXiv:1505.05346](#)].
- [42] E. Y. Yuan, *Simplicity in AdS Perturbative Dynamics*, [arXiv:1801.07283](#).
- [43] S. Mizera and S. Telen, *Landau discriminants*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 200, [[arXiv:2109.08036](#)].
- [44] S. Caron-Huot and A. Pokraka, *Duals of Feynman integrals. Part I. Differential equations*, *JHEP* **12** (2021) 045, [[arXiv:2104.06898](#)].
- [45] C. Sleight and M. Taronna, *Celestial Holography Revisited*, [arXiv:2301.01810](#).
- [46] J. V. S. e. Tom H. Koornwinder (editor), *Encyclopedia of Special Functions: The Askey-Bateman Project - Volume 2. Multivariable Special Functions*. Encyclopedia of Special Functions: The Askey-Bateman Project 3 Hardback Books. Cambridge University Press, 1 ed., 2020.