

# Vectorial ground state solutions for a class of Hartree-Fock type systems with the double coupled feature

Juntao Sun<sup>a</sup>, Tsung-fang Wu<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, 255049, P.R. China*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics, National University of Kaohsiung, Kaohsiung 811, Taiwan*

## Abstract

In this paper we study the Hartree-Fock type system as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \lambda \phi_{u,v} u = |u|^{p-2} u + \beta |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} |u|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta v + v + \lambda \phi_{u,v} v = |v|^{p-2} v + \beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi_{u,v}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)+v^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy$ , the parameters  $\lambda, \beta > 0$  and  $2 < p < 4$ . Such system is viewed as an approximation of the Coulomb system with two particles appeared in quantum mechanics, taking into account the Pauli principle. Its characteristic feature lies on the presence of the double coupled terms. When  $2 < p < 3$ , we establish the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial radial solutions, including vectorial ones, in the radial space  $H_r$  by describing the internal relationship between the coupling constants  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$ . When  $2 < p < 4$ , we study the existence of vectorial solutions in the non-radial space  $H$  by developing a novel constraint method, together with some new analysis techniques. In particular, when  $3 \leq p < 4$ , a vectorial ground state solution is found in  $H$ , which is innovative as it was not discussed at all in any previous results. Our study can be regarded as an entire supplement in d'Avenia et al. [J. Differential Equations 335 (2022) 580–614].

**Keywords:** Hartree-Fock system; Variational methods; Ground state solutions; Vectorial solutions

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J50, 35Q40, 35Q55.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a system of  $N$  coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$-\Delta \psi_i + V_{\text{ext}} \psi_i + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x-y|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N |\psi_j(y)|^2 dy \right) \psi_i + (V_{\text{ex}} \psi)_i = E_i \psi_i, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Corresponding author.

<sup>0</sup> *E-mail addresses* : jtsun@sdut.edu.cn (J. Sun), tfwu@nuk.edu.tw (T.-F. Wu).

where  $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V_{\text{ext}}$  is a given external potential,  $(V_{\text{ex}}\psi)_i$  is the  $i$ 'th component of the *crucial exchange potential* defined by

$$(V_{\text{ex}}\psi)_i = - \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_j(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\psi_i(y)\bar{\psi}_j(y)}{|x-y|} dy,$$

and  $E_i$  is the  $i$ 'th eigenvalue. Such system is called the Hartree-Fock system which can be regarded as an approximation of the complex  $(M+N)$ -body Schrödinger equation originating from the study of a molecular system made of  $M$  nuclei interacting via the Coulomb potential with  $N$  electrons.

Historically, the first effort made in this direction began from Hartree [20] by choosing some particular test functions without considering the antisymmetry (i.e. the Pauli principle). Subsequently, Fock [19] and Slater [32], to take into account the Pauli principle, proposed another class of test functions, i.e. the class of Slater determinants. A further relevant free-electron approximation for the exchange potential  $V_{\text{ex}}\psi$  is given by Slater [33] (see also Dirac [15] in a different context), namely

$$(V_{\text{ex}}\psi)_i = -C \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |\psi_j|^2 \right)^{1/3} \psi_i, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant.

When  $N = 1$ , the exchange potential  $(V_{\text{ex}}\psi)_1 = -C|\psi_1|^{2/3}\psi_1$  in (1.2). If we consider  $\psi_1$  as a real function, renaming it as  $u$ , and take, for simplicity,  $C = 1$ , then System (1.1) becomes Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater equation as follows:

$$-\Delta u + u + \phi_u(x)u = |u|^{2/3}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\phi_u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy.$$

It describes the evolution of an electron ensemble in a semiconductor crystal. Sánchez and Soler [31] used a minimization procedure in an appropriate manifold to find a positive solution of Eq. (1.3). If the term  $|u|^{2/3}u$  is replaced with 0, then Eq. (1.3) becomes the Schrödinger-Poisson equation (also called Schrödinger-Maxwell equation). This type of equation appeared in semiconductor theory and has been studied in [5, 24], and many others. In some recent works [1, 3, 29, 30, 35–37, 41], a local nonlinear term  $|u|^{p-2}u$  (or, more generally,  $f(u)$ ) has been added to the Schrödinger-Poisson equation. Those nonlinear terms have been traditionally used in the Schrödinger equation to model the interaction among particle (possibly nonradial).

In this paper we take  $N = 2$  and we assume that the exchange potential

$$V_{\text{ex}}\psi = -C \left( \begin{aligned} &(|\psi_1|^{p-2} + \beta |\psi_1|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} |\psi_2|^{\frac{p}{2}}) \psi_1 \\ &(|\psi_2|^{p-2} + \beta |\psi_1|^{\frac{p}{2}} |\psi_2|^{\frac{p}{2}-2}) \psi_2 \end{aligned} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $2 < p < 6$ . Note that, for  $p = \frac{8}{3}$ , (1.4) becomes

$$V_{\text{ex}}\psi = -C \left( \begin{aligned} &(|\psi_1|^{\frac{2}{3}} + \beta |\psi_1|^{-\frac{2}{3}} |\psi_2|^{\frac{4}{3}}) \psi_1 \\ &(|\psi_2|^{\frac{2}{3}} + \beta |\psi_1|^{\frac{4}{3}} |\psi_2|^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \psi_2 \end{aligned} \right),$$

which is viewed as an approximation of the exchange potential (1.2) proposed by Slater.

Considering  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  real functions, renaming them as  $u, v$ , and taking, for simplicity,  $C = 1$ , System (1.1) becomes the following

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \lambda \phi_{u,v} u = |u|^{p-2} u + \beta |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} |u|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta v + v + \lambda \phi_{u,v} v = |v|^{p-2} v + \beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (E_{\lambda,\beta})$$

where

$$\phi_{u,v}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y) + v^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy. \quad (1.5)$$

It is easily seen that System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  is variational and its solutions are critical points of the corresponding energy functional  $J_{\lambda,\beta} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( |u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) dx,$$

where  $\|(u, v)\|_H = [\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + v^2) dx]^{1/2}$  is the standard norm in  $H$ . Clearly,  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is a well-defined and  $C^1$  functional on  $H$ . For a solution  $(u, v)$  of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ , we here need to introduce some concepts of its triviality and positiveness.

**Definition 1.1** A vector function  $(u, v)$  is said to be

- (i) nontrivial if either  $u \neq 0$  or  $v \neq 0$ ;
- (ii) semitrivial if it is nontrivial but either  $u = 0$  or  $v = 0$ ;
- (iii) vectorial if both of  $u$  and  $v$  are not zero;
- (iv) nonnegative if  $u \geq 0$  and  $v \geq 0$ ;
- (v) positive if  $u > 0$  and  $v > 0$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  is deduced to the local weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = |u|^{p-2} u + \beta |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} |u|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta v + v = |v|^{p-2} v + \beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}-2} v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

which arises in the theory of Bose-Einstein condensates in two different hyperfine states [39]. The coupling constant  $\beta$  is the interaction between the two components. As  $\beta > 0$ , the interaction is attractive, but the interaction is repulsive if  $\beta < 0$ . The existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for System (1.6) have been the subject of extensive mathematical studies in recent years, for example, [2, 4, 11, 12, 22, 25, 26]. More efforts have been made on finding vectorial solutions of the system by controlling the ranges of the parameter  $\beta$ .

If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then a characteristic feature of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  lies on the presence of the double coupled terms, including a Coulomb interacting term and a cooperative pure power term. Very recently, based on the method of Nehari-Pohozaev manifold developed by Ruiz [29], d'Avenia, Maia and Siciliano [14] firstly studied the existence of radial ground state solutions for System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ , depending on the parameters  $\beta$  and  $p$ . To be precise, for  $\lambda > 0$ , they concluded that (i) a semitrivial radial ground state solution exists for  $\beta = 0$  and  $3 < p < 6$ , or for  $0 < \beta < 2^{2q-1} - 1$  and  $4 \leq p < 6$ ; (ii) a vectorial radial ground state solution exists for  $\beta > 0$  and  $3 < p < 4$ , or for  $\beta \geq 2^{2q-1} - 1$  and  $4 \leq p < 6$ ; (iii) both semitrivial and vectorial radial ground state solutions exist for  $\beta = 2^{2q-1} - 1$  and  $4 \leq p < 6$ . It is pointed out that the definition of ground state solutions involved here is confined to the space of radial functions  $H_r := H_{rad}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H_{rad}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , namely, a radial ground state solution is a radial solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  whose energy is minimal among all radial ones.

As we can see, the previous results leave a gap, say, the case  $2 < p \leq 3$ . We remark that an approximation of the exchange potential (1.2) proposed by Slater, i.e. (1.6), is included in this gap. The first aim of this work is to fill this gap and to study nontrivial radial solutions, including vectorial ones, of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  when  $2 < p < 3$ . On the other hand, we also notice that all nontrivial solutions are obtained in the radial space  $H_r$  in [14]. In view of this, the second aim of this work is to find vectorial solutions of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  when  $2 < p < 4$  in the space  $H := H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . And on this basis we shall further find vectorial ground state solutions in  $H$ , which is totally different from that of [14]. In particular, the existence of vectorial ground state solutions is proved in the case  $p = 3$ , which seems to be an very interesting and novel result, even in the study of Schrödinger–Poisson equations.

Compared with the existing results in [14], there seems to be more challenging in our study. Firstly, the method of Nehari-Pohozaev manifold used in [14] is not a ideal choice when we deal with the case  $2 < p \leq 3$ , whether in  $H_r$  or  $H$ . Secondly, we find the interaction effect between the double coupled terms is significant for the case  $2 < p \leq 3$ . As a result, the analysis of the internal relationship between the coupling constants  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  is a difficult problem. Thirdly, it is complicated to determine the vectorial ground state solutions in  $H$  for the case  $3 \leq p < 4$ . In order to overcome these considerable difficulties, new ideas and techniques have been explored. More details will be discussed in the next subsection.

## 1.1 Main results

First of all, we consider the following maximization problems:

$$\Lambda(\beta) := \sup_{u \in H_r \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v}(u^2 + v^2) dx} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\bar{\Lambda}(\beta) := \sup_{u \in H \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx - \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v}(u^2 + v^2) dx},$$

where  $F_\beta(u, v) := |u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  with  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.2** *Let  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then we have*

(i)  $0 < \Lambda(\beta) < \infty$  and  $0 < \bar{\Lambda}(\beta) < \infty$ ;

(ii)  $\Lambda(\beta)$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}(\beta)$  are both achieved.

About its proof, we refer the reader to Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in Appendix. With the help of Proposition 1.2, we have the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $2 < p < 3$ . Then for every  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\lambda = 4\Lambda(\beta)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits two nontrivial nonnegative radial solutions  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)})$ ,  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  satisfying*

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = 0 < J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}).$$

Furthermore, if  $\beta > 0$ , then  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is vectorial and positive.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the following statements are true.*

(i) *For every  $0 < \lambda < 4\Lambda(\beta)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits two nontrivial nonnegative radial solutions  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)})$ ,  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in H_r$  satisfying*

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) < 0 < J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right).$$

*Furthermore, if  $\beta > 0$ , then  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is vectorial and positive.*

(ii) *For every  $\lambda > \bar{\Lambda}(\beta)$ ,  $(u, v) = (0, 0)$  is the unique solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ .*

In the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the key point is to establish Lions type inequalities in the context of the vector functions (see (2.1) and (2.2) below). By using these, together with Strauss's inequality in  $H_r$ , we can prove that the functional  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $H_r$ .

Next, we focus on vectorial solutions of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  on  $H$ . Define

$$\beta(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \frac{p-2}{2}, \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2pS_p^{2p/(p-2)}}{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} \lambda} \right]^{(p-2)/2} - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } \lambda < \rho_p, \\ \max \left\{ \frac{p-2}{2}, \left[ \frac{2(4-p)S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{p2^{4/(p-2)}}{(4-p)^{(p+2)/(p-2)}} \lambda} \right) \right]^{(p-2)/2} - 1 \right\}, & \text{if } \lambda \geq \rho_p, \end{cases}$$

where  $S_p$  is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\bar{S}$  is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in  $L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\rho_p := \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{2(4-p)S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}$ . Then we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then the following statements are true.*

(i) *If  $2 < p < 3$ , then for every  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits two vectorial positive solutions  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) \in H$  and  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in H_r$  satisfying*

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) < 0 < J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right);$$

(ii) *If  $3 \leq p < 4$ , then for every  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits a vectorial positive solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) \in H$  satisfying  $J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right) > 0$ .*

We note that the arguments in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are inapplicable to Theorem 1.5, since the functional  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is restricted to the space  $H$ . In view of this, we expect to find critical points by applying a novel constraint method introduced by us, together with some new analysis techniques.

Finally, we establish the existence of vectorial ground state solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ .

**Theorem 1.6** *Let  $3 \leq p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for every*

$$0 < \lambda < \lambda_0 := \frac{6p\sqrt{3p}(p-2)\pi}{8\sqrt[3]{2}(4-p)(6-p)^{3/2}S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}$$

*and  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits a vectorial ground state solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}, v_{\lambda,\beta}) \in H$  satisfying  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}, v_{\lambda,\beta}) > 0$ .*

**Theorem 1.7** *Let  $3.18 \approx \frac{1+\sqrt{73}}{3} \leq p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for every  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  admits a vectorial ground state solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}, v_{\lambda,\beta}) \in H$  satisfying  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}, v_{\lambda,\beta}) > 0$ .*

The study of the vectorial ground state solution is considered by us from different perspectives. In Theorem 1.6 we analyze the energy levels of the solutions by controlling the range of  $\lambda$ , and in Theorem 1.7 we locate the solutions by reducing the scope of  $p$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. After introducing some preliminary results in Section 2, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 in Section 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5. Finally, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminary results

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $g_\beta(s) = s^{\frac{p}{2}} + (1-s)^{\frac{p}{2}} + 2\beta s^{\frac{p}{4}}(1-s)^{\frac{p}{4}}$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Then there exists  $s_\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $g_\beta(s_\beta) = \max_{s \in [0,1]} g_\beta(s) > 1$ . In particular, if  $\beta \geq \frac{p-2}{2}$ , then  $s_\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the argument in [14, Lemma 2.4], and we omit it here.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $2 < p < 4, \lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Then for each  $z \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $s_z \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(\sqrt{s_z}z, \sqrt{1-s_z}z) < J_{\lambda,\beta}(z, 0) = J_{\lambda,\beta}(0, z) = I_\lambda(z),$$

where

$$I_\lambda(z) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla z|^2 + z^2) dx + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_z z^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z|^p dx.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(u, v) = (\sqrt{s}z, \sqrt{1-s}z)$  for  $z \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\|(u, v)\|_H^2 = s \|z\|_{H^1}^2 + (1-s) \|z\|_{H^1}^2 = \|z\|_{H^1}^2$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 + v^2) \phi_{u,v} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (s z^2 + (1-s) z^2) \phi_{u,v} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_z z^2 dx.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $s_z \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}}) dx = \left[ s_z^{\frac{p}{2}} + (1-s_z)^{\frac{p}{2}} + 2\beta s_z^{\frac{p}{4}} (1-s_z)^{\frac{p}{4}} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z|^p dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z|^p dx.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\beta}(\sqrt{s_z}z, \sqrt{1-s_z}z) &= \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_z z^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \left[ s_z^{\frac{p}{2}} + (1-s_z)^{\frac{p}{2}} + 2\beta s_z^{\frac{p}{4}} (1-s_z)^{\frac{p}{4}} \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z|^p dx \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \|z\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_z z^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z|^p dx \\ &= J_{\lambda,\beta}(z, 0) = J_{\lambda,\beta}(0, z) = I_\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

By virtue of Lemma 2.2, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $2 < p < 3, \lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_r$  be a minimizer of the minimum problem  $\inf_{(u,v) \in H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v)$  such that  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, v_0) < 0$ . Then we have  $u_0 \neq 0$  and  $v_0 \neq 0$ .*

The function  $\phi_{u,v}$  defined as (1.5) possesses certain properties [3, 29].

**Lemma 2.4** *For each  $(u, v) \in H$ , the following two inequalities are true.*

(i)  $\phi_{u,v} \geq 0$ ;

(ii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \leq \overline{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|(u, v)\|_H^4$ .

Following the idea of Lions [24], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + v^2 |u|) dx &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta \phi_{u,v}) |u| dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla \phi_{u,v}, \nabla |u| \rangle dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 |v| + |v|^3) dx &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta \phi_{u,v}) |v| dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla \phi_{u,v}, \nabla |v| \rangle dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $(u, v) \in H_r$ , which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}}) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 + v^2) dx + \frac{\lambda}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + |v|^3) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{1}{4} u^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} |u|^3 - \frac{1+\beta}{p} |u|^p \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \frac{1}{4} v^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} |v|^3 - \frac{1+\beta}{p} |v|^p \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Then we have the following results.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $2 < p < 3$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $H_r$ .*

**Proof.** By (2.3) and applying the argument in Ruiz [29, Theorem 4.3],  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is coercive on  $H_r$  and there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) \geq -M.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

We are now ready to prove **Theorem 1.3**. By Theorem 6.1, there exists  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in H_r \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  such that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) dx - \frac{1}{2} \left\| (u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \right\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}} \left( \left[ u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 + \left[ v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 \right) dx} = \Lambda(\beta).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\left\langle J'_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right), (\phi, \psi) \right\rangle}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}} \left( \left[ u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 + \left[ v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 \right) dx} = 0 \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in H_r \setminus \{(0,0)\}.$$

Moreover, by Palais criticality principle [27], we have

$$\frac{\left\langle J'_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right), (\phi, \psi) \right\rangle}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}} \left( \left[ u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 + \left[ v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right]^2 \right) dx} = 0 \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in H \setminus \{(0,0)\}.$$

Hence,  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is a critical point of  $J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}$  for  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = 0$ , then so is  $(|u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}|, |v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}|)$ . Thus, we may assume that  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is a nonnegative nontrivial critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$ . Next, we claim that  $u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$  and  $v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$  for  $\beta > 0$ . If not, we may assume that  $v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \equiv 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, there exists  $s_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $(\sqrt{s_0}u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, \sqrt{1-s_0}u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in H_r$  and

$$J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(\sqrt{s_0}u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, \sqrt{1-s_0}u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) < J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, 0) = J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(0, u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = \alpha_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta},$$

which is a contradiction. Moreover, it follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{C_\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{C_\beta}{pS_p^p} \|(u, v)\|_H^p \text{ for all } (u, v) \in H_r, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exist  $\eta, \kappa > 0$  such that  $\|(u, v)\|_H > \eta$  and

$$\max\{J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(0, 0), J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})\} = 0 < \kappa \leq \inf_{\|(u,v)\|_H=\eta} J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u, v).$$



Define

$$\theta_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(\gamma(\tau)),$$

where  $\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_r) : \gamma(0) = (0, 0), \gamma(1) = \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) \right\}$ . Then by the mountain pass theorem [18, 28] and Palais criticality principle, there exists a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r$  such that

$$J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \theta_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} \geq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \|J'_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta}(u_n, v_n)\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and using an argument similar to that in [29, Theorem 4.3], there exist a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  and  $\left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right)$  strongly in  $H_r$  and  $\left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right)$  is a solution of System  $(E_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta})$ . This indicates that

$$J_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right) = \theta_{4\Lambda(\beta),\beta} \geq \kappa > 0.$$

The proof is complete.

**We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4.** (i) By Theorem 6.1, there exists  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx} = \Lambda(\beta).$$

This implies that for each  $\lambda < 4\Lambda(\beta)$ ,

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, v_0) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx < 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Using (3.1), together with Lemma 2.5, we have

$$-\infty < \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} := \inf_{(u,v) \in H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) < 0.$$

Then by the Ekeland variational principle [17] and Palais criticality principle [27], there exists a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r$  such that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}.$$

Again, adopting the argument used in [29, Theorem 4.3], there exist a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  and  $\left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right)$  strongly in  $H_r$  and  $\left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right)$  is a nontrivial critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$ . This indicates that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} = \inf_{(u,v) \in H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) < 0,$$

then so is  $\left( \left| u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right|, \left| v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right| \right)$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right)$  is a nonnegative nontrivial critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$ . Next, we claim that  $u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$  and  $v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$  for  $\beta > 0$ . If not, we may assume that  $v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \equiv 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, there exists  $s_\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\left( \sqrt{s_\lambda} u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, \sqrt{1 - s_\lambda} u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) \in H_r$  and

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( \sqrt{s_\lambda} u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, \sqrt{1 - s_\lambda} u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) < J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, 0 \right) = J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( 0, u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta},$$

which is a contradiction. Moreover, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{C_\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{C_\beta}{pS_p^p} \|(u, v)\|_H^p \text{ for all } (u, v) \in H_r. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exist  $\eta, \kappa > 0$  such that  $\|(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})\|_H > \eta$  and

$$\max \left\{ J_{\lambda,\beta}(0, 0), J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) \right\} = 0 < \kappa \leq \inf_{\|(u,v)\|_H=\eta} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v).$$

Define

$$\theta_{\lambda,\beta} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} J_{\lambda,\beta}(\gamma(\tau)),$$

where  $\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_r) : \gamma(0) = (0, 0), \gamma(1) = \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) \right\}$ . Then by the mountain pass theorem [18, 28] and Palais criticality principle, there exists a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r$  such that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \theta_{\lambda,\beta} \geq \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \|J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n)\|_{H^{-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and using an argument similar to that in [29, Theorem 4.3], there exist a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  and  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)})$  strongly in  $H_r$  and  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)})$  is a solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ . This indicates that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \right) = \theta_{\lambda,\beta} \geq \kappa > 0.$$

(ii) Suppose on the contrary. Let  $(u_0, v_0)$  be a nontrivial solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ . Then according to the definition of  $\bar{\Lambda}(\beta)$ , for  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\lambda > \bar{\Lambda}(\beta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx \\ &> \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \bar{\Lambda}(\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Define the Nehari manifold

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} := \{(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \langle J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then  $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  if and only if

$$\|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( |u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) dx = 0.$$

It follows the Sobolev and Young inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u, v)\|_H^2 &\leq \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( |u|^p + |v|^p + 2\beta |u|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) dx \\
&\leq C_\beta \|(u, v)\|_H^p \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it leads to

$$\|(u, v)\|_H \geq C_\beta^{-1/(p-2)} \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}. \quad (4.1)$$

The Nehari manifold  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  is closely linked to the behavior of the function of the form  $h_{\lambda,(u,v)} : t \rightarrow J_{\lambda,\beta}(tu, tv)$  for  $t > 0$ . Such maps are known as fibering maps introduced by Drábek-Pohozaev [16], and were further discussed by Brown-Zhang [10] and Brown-Wu [8, 9]. For  $(u, v) \in H$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\lambda,(u,v)}(t) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx, \\
h'_{\lambda,(u,v)}(t) &= t \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \lambda t^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - t^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx, \\
h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(t) &= \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + 3\lambda t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - (p-1) t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$th'_{\lambda,(u,v)}(t) = \|(tu, tv)\|_H^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{tu,tv} (t^2 u^2 + t^2 v^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(tu, tv) dx$$

and so, for  $(u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $t > 0$ ,  $h'_{\lambda,(u,v)}(t) = 0$  holds if and only if  $(tu, tv) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$ . In particular,  $h'_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) = 0$  holds if and only if  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$ . It becomes natural to split  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  into three parts corresponding to the local minima, local maxima and points of inflection. Following [38], we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^+ &= \{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} : h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) > 0\}, \\
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^0 &= \{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} : h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) = 0\}, \\
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^- &= \{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} : h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) < 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose that  $(u_0, v_0)$  is a local minimizer for  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \notin \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^0$ . Then  $J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, v_0) = 0$  in  $H^{-1}$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is essentially same as that in Brown-Zhang [10, Theorem 2.3], so we omit it here.  $\square$

For each  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) &= \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx. \\
&= -(p-2) \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -2 \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx. \quad (4.3)$$

For each  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ , using (4.1) and (4.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\beta}(u, v) dx > \frac{p-2}{4p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 \\ &\geq \frac{p-2}{4p} C_{\beta}^{-1/(p-2)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^+$ , by (4.2) one has

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) &= \frac{p-2}{2p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u, v}(u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &< \frac{p-2}{4p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.2** *The energy functional  $J_{\lambda, \beta}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ . Furthermore, for all  $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ , there holds*

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) > \frac{p-2}{4p} C_{\beta}^{-1/(p-2)} > 0.$$

Let  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}$  with  $J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) < \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)}$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} &> J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u, v}(u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \|(u, v)\|_H^4. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$f(x) := \frac{p-2}{2p} x^2 - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} x^4$$

have the maximum at  $x_0 = \left( \frac{(p-2) \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2}$ , we have

$$\max_{x \geq 0} f(x) = f(x_0) = \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} \right] = \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} \right] \cup \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(2)} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}[D] &:= \{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta} : J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) < D\}, \\ \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}[D] &:= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}[D] : \|(u, v)\|_H < \left( \frac{(p-2) \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}[D] := \left\{ u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}[D] : \|(u, v)\|_H > \left( \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2} \right\}$$

for  $D > 0$ . For convenience, we always set

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} := \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} \right] \text{ and } \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} := \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4\lambda p(4-p)} \right].$$

By (4.2), the Sobolev inequality and Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) &= -(p-2)\|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v}(u^2 + v^2) dx \\ &\leq \|(u, v)\|_H^2 \left[ \lambda \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} (4-p) \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - (p-2) \right] \\ &< 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3) we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx &= J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v) < \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)\lambda} \\ &< \frac{p-2}{4p} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 \text{ for all } u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that if  $u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}$ , then we have

$$h''_{\lambda,(u,v)}(1) = -2\|(u, v)\|_H^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx > 0.$$

Hence, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.3** *If  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , then  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^-$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^+$  are  $C^1$  sub-manifolds. Furthermore, each local minimizer of the functional  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  in the sub-manifolds  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}$  is a critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  in  $H$ .*

Let  $w_\beta$  be the unique positive radial solution of the following Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + u = g_\beta(s_\beta) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (E_\beta^\infty)$$

where  $g_\beta(s_\beta) = \max_{s \in [0,1]} g_\beta(s) > 1$  as in Lemma 2.1. Note that  $s_\beta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $g_\beta(\frac{1}{2}) = (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + (\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \beta$  for all  $\beta \geq \frac{p-2}{2}$ . From [21], we see that

$$w_\beta(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} w_\beta(x), \quad \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx = \left( \frac{S_p^p}{g_\beta(s_\beta)} \right)^{2/(p-2)}$$

and

$$\alpha_\beta^\infty := \inf_{u \in \mathbf{M}_\beta^\infty} J_\beta^\infty(u) = J_\beta^\infty(w_\beta) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \left( \frac{S_p^p}{g_\beta(s_\beta)} \right)^{2/(p-2)}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $J_\beta^\infty$  is the energy functional of Eq.  $(E_\beta^\infty)$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in the form

$$J_\beta^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx - \frac{g_\beta(s_\beta)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx$$

with

$$\mathbf{M}_\beta^\infty := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : \langle (J_\beta^\infty)'(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Define

$$k(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \rho_p, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < \rho_p, \\ \lambda, & \text{if } \lambda \geq \rho_p, \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho_p := \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{2(4-p)S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}$ . Then  $k(\lambda) \geq \lambda$  and  $k^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \rho_p^{-1}$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , which implies that

$$\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)} \right] \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} \left[ \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)} \right]$$

and

$$\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(i)} := \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(i)} \left[ \frac{(p-2)^2 \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)} \right] \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(i)} \left[ \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)} \right] \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore, we have the following results.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $(u_0, v_0)$  be a critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^-$ . Then we have  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, v_0) > \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)}$  if either  $u_0 = 0$  or  $v_0 = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $v_0 = 0$ . Then we have

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0} u_0^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_0|^p dx$$

and

$$-2 \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p dx < 0.$$

Note that

$$\|t_0(u_0) u_0\|_{H^1}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |t_0(u_0) u_0|^p dx = 0,$$

where

$$\left( \frac{4-p}{2} \right)^{1/(p-2)} < t_0(u_0) := \left( \frac{\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_0|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)} < 1. \quad (4.6)$$

By a similar argument in Sun-Wu-Feng [36, Lemma 2.6], one has

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, 0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^+} J_{\lambda,\beta}(tu_0, 0),$$

where  $t_\lambda^+ > \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{1/(p-2)} t_0(u_0) > 1$  by (4.6). Using this, together with (4.6) again, one has

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, 0) > J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_0(u_0) u_0, 0).$$

Thus, by [40], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_0, 0) &> J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_0(u_0)u_0, 0) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|t_0(u_0)u_0\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |t_0(u_0)u_0|^p dx + \frac{\lambda [t_0(u_0)]^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0} u_0^2 dx \\
&> \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $w_\beta(x)$  be a unique positive radial solution of Eq.  $(E_\beta^\infty)$ . Then for each*

$$\beta > \beta_0(\lambda) := \max \left\{ \frac{p-2}{2}, \left[ \frac{\lambda p S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}{(p-2) \bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \right]^{(p-2)/2} \left( \frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{(4-p)/2} - 1 \right\},$$

there exists two constants  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$  and  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^-$  satisfying

$$1 < t_{\lambda,\beta}^- < \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$$

such that

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \cap H_r$$

and

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\beta}(t \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) < 0.$$

In particular,  $(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r$ .

**Proof.** Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(t) &= t^{-2} \|(\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta)\|_H^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) dx \\
&= t^{-2} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx \text{ for } t > 0 \text{ and } \beta \geq \frac{p-2}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $tu \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(t) &= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 \right) dx \\
&= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward evaluation shows that

$$\eta(1) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \eta(t) = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(t) = 0.$$

Since  $2 < p < 4$  and

$$\eta'(t) = t^{-3} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 [-2 + (4-p)t^{p-2}],$$

we find that  $\eta(t)$  is decreasing when  $0 < t < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}$  and is increasing when  $t > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}$ . This implies that

$$\inf_{t>0} \eta(t) = \eta\left(\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}\right).$$

Moreover, for each  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > \beta_0(\lambda)$ , we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta\left(\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}\right) &= \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{2/(p-2)} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{(p-4)/(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx \\ &= -\left(\frac{p-2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{(4-p)/(p-2)} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &< -\lambda \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^4 \\ &\leq -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx \\ &= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 + (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exist two positive constants  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$  and  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^-$  satisfying

$$1 < t_{\lambda,\beta}^- < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)} < t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$$

such that

$$\eta(t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 + (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 \right) dx = 0.$$

That is,

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} \cap H_r.$$

By a calculation on the second order derivatives, we find

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, (t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta)}(1) &= -2 \|t_{\lambda,\beta}^- w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |t_{\lambda,\beta}^- w_\beta|^p dx \\ &= (t_{\lambda,\beta}^-)^5 \eta'(t_{\lambda,\beta}^-) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta)}(1) &= -2 \|t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ w_\beta|^p dx \\ &= (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+)^5 \eta'(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^\pm \cap H_r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &h'_{\lambda, (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta)}(t) \\ &= t^3 \left( \eta(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 + (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta)^2 \right) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$



One can see that

$$h'_{\lambda,(\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta,\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta)}(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, t_{\lambda,\beta}^-) \cup (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \infty)$$

and

$$h'_{\lambda,(\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta,\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta)}(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in (t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+),$$

implying that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, \sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, \sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_{\lambda,\beta}^+} J_{\lambda,\beta}(t\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta)$$

and

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta) = \inf_{t \geq t_{\lambda,\beta}^-} J_{\lambda,\beta}(t\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta),$$

and so

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+, \sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta) < J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, \sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^-, \sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\beta}(t\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\lambda t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx \\ &= t^4 \left[ \xi(t) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi(t) := \frac{t^{-2}}{2} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{t^{p-4}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx.$$

Clearly,  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(t\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, t\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta) = 0$  if and only if

$$\xi(t) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx = 0.$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$\xi(\hat{t}_a) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \xi(t) = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t) = 0,$$

where  $\hat{t}_0 = \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1/(p-2)}$ . By calculating the derivative of  $\xi(t)$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'(t) &= -t^{-3} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{(4-p)}{p} t^{p-5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx \\ &= t^{-3} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 \left[ \frac{(4-p)t^{p-2}}{p} - 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\xi(t)$  is decreasing when  $0 < t < \left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}$  and is increasing when  $t > \left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)}$ . Then for each  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > \beta_0(\lambda)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} \xi(t) &= \xi \left[ \left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{1/(p-2)} \right] = -\frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{(4-p)/(p-2)} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &< -\frac{\lambda}{4} \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^4 < -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta, \sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta}w_\beta)^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta}w_\beta)^2 \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\beta} (t \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) < 0.$$

This implies that  $(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Note that  $\beta(\lambda) > \beta_0(\lambda)$ , where we have used the inequality

$$\frac{(4-p)^2}{4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{p}{4-p} \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}}} \right)^{p-2} > 1 \text{ for } 2 < p < 4.$$

Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.6** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $w_\beta(x)$  be a unique positive radial solution of Eq.  $(E_\beta^\infty)$ . Then for each  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , there exists two positive constants  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$  and  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^-$  satisfying*

$$1 < t_{\lambda,\beta}^- < \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$$

such that

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \cap H_r \text{ and } (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r,$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.5, for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , we have

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r.$$

Next, we show that for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ ,

$$(t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \cap H_r.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{\lambda,\beta} (t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \\ &= \frac{(t_{\lambda,\beta}^-)^2}{2} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{\lambda (t_{\lambda,\beta}^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx - \frac{(t_{\lambda,\beta}^-)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) w_\beta^p dx \\ &< \alpha_\beta^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} \overline{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^4 \\ &= \frac{p-2}{p} \left( \frac{S_p^p}{1+\beta} \right)^{2/(p-2)} + \frac{\lambda}{\overline{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} \left( \frac{S_p^p}{1+\beta} \right)^{4/(p-2)} \\ &< \frac{(p-2)^2 \overline{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $(t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^- \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)} \cap H_r$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Define

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^- := \inf_{(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) \text{ for } 2 < p < 4,$$

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^+ := \inf_{(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) \text{ for } 2 < p < 4$$

and

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r} := \inf_{(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) \text{ for } 2 < p < 3.$$

Clearly,  $\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^- = \inf_{u \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^-} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v)$ ,  $\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^+ = \inf_{(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^+} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v)$  and  $\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r} = \inf_{(u,v) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \cap H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v)$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.5, 4.2 and 4.6 that

$$\frac{p-2}{4p} C_\beta^{-1/(p-2)} < \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^- < \frac{(p-2)^2 \overline{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)} \text{ for } 2 < p < 4,$$

and

$$-\infty < \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r} < 0 \text{ for } 2 < p < 3. \quad (4.7)$$

Furthermore, we have the following results.

**Theorem 4.7** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for each  $\beta > \beta_0(\lambda)$ , we have*

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^+ = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^+} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) = -\infty.$$

**Proof.** Since  $w_\beta$  is the unique positive radial solution of Eq.  $(E_\beta^\infty)$  with  $w_\beta(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} w_0(x)$ , we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \overline{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 < \frac{p-2}{2p} \left( \frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{(4-p)/(p-2)} \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\|w_\beta\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_\beta|^p dx = \left( \frac{S_p^p}{g_\beta(s_\beta)} \right)^{2/(p-2)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Then by Lemma 4.5, there exists a positive constant  $t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$  satisfying

$$1 < \left( \frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_{\lambda,\beta}^+$$

such that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\beta}(t \sqrt{s_\beta} w_\beta, t \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_\beta) < 0.$$

For  $R > 1$ , we define a function  $\psi_R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$  as

$$\psi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < \frac{R}{2}, \\ 0 & |x| > R, \end{cases}$$

and  $|\nabla \psi_R| \leq 1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $u_R(x) = w_\beta(x) \psi_R(x)$ . Then there hold

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_R|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_\beta|^p dx \text{ and } \|u_R\|_{H^1} \rightarrow \|w_\beta\|_{H^1} \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_R} u_R^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_R^2(x) u_R^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\beta} w_\beta^2 dx \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $J_{\lambda,\beta} \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ , by (4.8)–(4.10) there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2 < \frac{p-2}{2p} \left( \frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{(4-p)/(p-2)} \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx}{\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2} \right)^{2/(p-2)} \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} u_{R_0}, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} u_{R_0}) < 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Let

$$u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) = w_\beta(x + iN^3 e) \psi_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e)$$

for  $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where  $N^3 > 2R_0$ . Then we deduce that

$$\|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}\|_{H^1}^2 = \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0}|^p dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}} [u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}]^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}]^2(x) [u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}]^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}^2(x) u_{R_0}^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $N$ . Moreover, by (4.11) and (4.12), there exists  $N_0 > 0$  with  $N_0^3 > 2R_0$  such that for every  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}\|_{H^1}^2 < \frac{p-2}{2p} \left( \frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{(4-p)/(p-2)} \left( \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^p dx}{\|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}\|_{H^1}^2} \right)^{2/(p-2)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda,\beta} (t \sqrt{s_\beta} u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}, t \sqrt{1-s_\beta} u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}) &\leq J_{\lambda,\beta} (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}) \\ &= J_{\lambda,\beta} (t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} u_{R_0}, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} u_{R_0}) \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let

$$w_{R_0,N}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}.$$

Observe that  $w_{R_0,N}$  is a sum of translation of  $u_{R_0}$ . When  $N^3 \geq N_0^3 > 2R_0$ , the summands have disjoint support. In such a case we have

$$\|w_{R_0,N}\|_{H^1}^2 = N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{R_0, N}|^p dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}|^p dx = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0}|^p dx, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N})^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N})^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{w_{R_0, N}^2(x) w_{R_0, N}^2(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} dx dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}]^2(x) [u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}]^2(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} dx dy \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}]^2(x) [u_{R_0, N}^{(j)}]^2(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}]^2(x) [u_{R_0, N}^{(j)}]^2(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} dx dy \leq \frac{(N^2 - N)}{N^3 - 2R_0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_\beta^2(x) dx \right)^2,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}]^2(x) [u_{R_0, N}^{(j)}]^2(y)}{4\pi |x-y|} dx dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.16)$$

Next, we define

$$\eta_N(t) = t^{-2} \left\| (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}) \right\|_H^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}) dx$$

and

$$\eta_{R_0}(t) = t^{-2} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx$$

for  $t > 0$ . Then by (4.13) and (4.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N(t) &= t^{-2} \|w_{R_0, N}\|_H^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |w_{R_0, N}|^p dx \\ &= t^{-2} N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2 - t^{p-4} N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx \\ &= N \eta_{R_0}(t) \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

So one can see that  $(t\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}, t\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}$  if and only if

$$\eta_N(t) = -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N}} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0, N})^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0, N})^2 \right) dx.$$

We observe that

$$\eta_{R_0}(T_\beta(u_{R_0})) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \eta_{R_0}(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{R_0}(t) = 0,$$

where

$$T_\beta(u_{R_0}) := \left( \frac{\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)}.$$

Moreover, the first derivative of  $\eta_{R_0}(t)$  is the following

$$\eta'_{R_0}(t) = -2t^{-3} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p)t^{p-5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx.$$

Then we obtain that  $\eta_{R_0}$  is decreasing on  $0 < t < \left( \frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)}$  and is increasing on  $t > \left( \frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)}$ . Moreover, by (4.11) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} \eta_{R_0}(t) &= \eta_{R_0} \left( \left( \frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{2(p-2)}{4-p} \left( \frac{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx}{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2} \right)^{2/(p-2)} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &< -\lambda \bar{S}^{-2} S_{12/5}^{-4} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^4 \\ &= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{R_0}} u_{R_0}^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Then it follows from (4.17) and (4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} \eta_N(t) &\leq \eta_N \left( \left( \frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)} \right) \\ &= N \eta_{R_0} \left( \left( \frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)} \right) \\ &< -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{R_0}} u_{R_0}^2 dx \\ &= -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}^2(x) u_{R_0}^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

and together with (4.16), we further have

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{t>0} \eta_N(t) \\ &< -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}^2(x) u_{R_0}^2(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy - \lambda \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(y)}{4\pi|x-y|} dx dy \\ &= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})^2 \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

for  $N$  sufficiently large. Thus, for  $N$  sufficiently large, there exist two positive constants  $t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)}$  and  $t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)}$  satisfying

$$1 < t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} < \left( \frac{2 \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^1}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{1/(p-2)} < t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)}$$

such that

$$\eta_N \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)} \right) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}} \left( (\sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})^2 + (\sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})^2 \right) dx = 0$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . That is,  $(t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . A direct calculation on the second order derivatives gives

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, (t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})} (1) &= -2 \left\| t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N} \right\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) \left| t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N} \right|^p dx \\ &= \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \right)^5 \eta'_N \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, (t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N})} (1) &= -2 \left\| t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N} \right\|_{H^1}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\beta(s_\beta) \left| t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N} \right|^p dx \\ &= \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \right)^5 \eta'_N \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

These enable us to conclude that

$$\left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^-$$

and

$$\left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^+.$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.13) – (4.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) &= \inf_{t>0} J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( t \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) \\ &\leq J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) \\ &\leq N J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{s_\beta} u_{R_0}, t_{\lambda,\beta}^+ \sqrt{1-s_\beta} u_{R_0} \right) + C_0 \text{ for some } C_0 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{s_\beta} w_{R_0,N}, t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} \sqrt{1-s_\beta} w_{R_0,N} \right) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that  $\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^+ = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^+} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) = -\infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8** *Let  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for each  $\beta > \beta_0(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  has a vectorial positive radial solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r$  with  $J_{\lambda,\beta} \left( u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \right) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r}$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 2.5 and (4.7) that  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$  is coercive and bounded below on  $H_r$  and

$$-\infty < \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} := \inf_{(u,v) \in H_r} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u,v) < 0.$$

Then by the Ekeland variational principle [17] and Palais criticality principle [27], there exists a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r$  such that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} + o(1) \text{ and } J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-1}.$$

Again, adopting the argument used in [29, Theorem 4.3], there exist a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r$  and  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta} \cap H_r$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  strongly in  $H_r$  and  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is a solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  satisfying

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} < 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.2 it follows that  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \cap H_r$  and further

$$\alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r} \leq J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} \leq \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r},$$

which implies that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta} = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^{+,r},$$

then so is  $(|u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}|, |v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}|)$ . According to Lemma 4.3, we may assume that  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)})$  is a nonnegative nontrivial critical point of  $J_{\lambda,\beta}$ . Furthermore, since  $\alpha_{\lambda,\beta} < 0$ , it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$  and  $v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(2)} \neq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9** *Let  $2 < p < 4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then for each  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ , System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  has a vectorial positive solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}$  with  $J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^-$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemmas 4.2–4.3 and the Ekeland variational principle, there exists a minimizing sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}$  such that

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^- + o(1) \text{ and } J'_{\lambda,\beta}(u_n, v_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-1}.$$

Since  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded, there exists a convergent subsequence of  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  (denoted as  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  for notation convenience) such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n, v_n) &\rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0) \text{ weakly in } H, \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that there exist a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  such that

$$\int_{B^N(x_n, R)} |(u_n, v_n)|^2 dx \geq d_0 > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.19)$$



where  $d_0$  and  $R$  are positive constants, independent of  $n$ . Suppose on the contrary. Then for all  $R > 0$ , there holds

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B^N(x_n, R)} |(u_n, v_n)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying the argument of [23, Lemma I.1] (see also [40]) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^r + |v_n|^r) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all  $2 < r < 2^*$ . Then we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(u_n, v_n) dx \rightarrow 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- + o(1) &= J_{\lambda, \beta} \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx + \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(u_n, v_n) dx \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with  $\alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- > 0$ . So, (4.19) is claimed. Let  $(\bar{u}_n(x), \bar{v}_n(x)) = (u_n(x - x_n), v_n(x - x_n))$ . Clearly,  $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\} \subset \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}$  such that

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) = \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- + o(1) \text{ and } J'_{\lambda, \beta}(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-1}. \quad (4.20)$$

Since  $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}$  also is bounded, there exist a convergent subsequence of  $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\}$  and  $(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) \in H$  such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) &\rightharpoonup \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \text{ weakly in } H, \\ (\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) &\rightarrow \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ (\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) &\rightarrow \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover, by (4.19) and (4.20) – (4.21), we have

$$\int_{B^N(R)} \left| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right|^2 dx \geq d_0 > 0 \text{ and } \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}.$$

Next, we show that

$$(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) \rightarrow \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \text{ in } H.$$

To this end, we suppose the contrary. Then it has

$$\left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\|_H^2, \quad (4.22)$$

which implies that  $\left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H < \left( \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2}$ , since  $\{(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\} \subset \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}$ . From (4.2), the

Sobolev inequality and Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
h''_{\lambda, (u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)})} (1) &= -(p-2) \left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}} \left( \left[ u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right]^2 + \left[ v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right]^2 \right) dx \\
&\leq \left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 \left[ \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4} \left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 - (p-2) \right] \\
&< \left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 \left( \frac{\lambda(4-p)(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4 \lambda(4-p)} - (p-2) \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This indicate that

$$\left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^- \text{ and } J_{\lambda, \beta} \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \geq \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^-. \quad (4.23)$$

Let  $(w_n, z_n) = (\bar{u}_n - u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, \bar{v}_n - v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)})$ . Then by (4.21) and (4.22), there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$c_0 \leq \|(w_n, z_n)\|_H^2 = \|(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n)\|_H^2 - \left\| \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) \right\|_H^2 + o(1),$$

which implies that

$$\|(w_n, z_n)\|_H^2 < \left( \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2} \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \quad (4.24)$$

On the other hand, it follows from the Brezis-Lieb Lemma [7] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(w_n, z_n) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) dx + o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n} (\bar{u}_n^2 + \bar{v}_n^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_n, z_n} (w_n^2 + z_n^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}} \left( \left[ u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right]^2 + \left[ v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right]^2 \right) dx + o(1),$$

which implies that

$$\|(w_n, z_n)\|_H^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_n, z_n} (w_n^2 + z_n^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(w_n, z_n) dx = o(1) \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) = J_{\lambda, \beta}(w_n, z_n) + J_{\lambda, \beta} \left( u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \right) + o(1). \quad (4.26)$$

Moreover, by (4.24) and (4.25), there exists  $s_n = 1 + o(1)$  such that

$$\|(s_n w_n, s_n z_n)\|_H^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{s_n w_n, s_n z_n} (s_n^2 w_n^2 + s_n^2 z_n^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_\beta(s_n w_n, s_n z_n) dx = 0$$

and

$$\|(s_n w_n, s_n z_n)\|_H^2 < \left( \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}^2 S_{12/5}^4}{\lambda(4-p)} \right)^{1/2} \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

Thus, we have

$$h''_{\lambda, (s_n w_n, s_n z_n)}(1) = -(p-2) \|(s_n w_n, s_n z_n)\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{s_n w_n, s_n z_n} (s_n^2 w_n^2 + s_n^2 z_n^2) dx < 0,$$

which implies that

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(s_n w_n, s_n z_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \quad (4.27)$$

Hence, by (4.23), (4.26) and (4.27) one has

$$\alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- + o(1) = J_{\lambda, \beta}(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) \geq \frac{3}{2} \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that  $(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) \rightarrow (u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)})$  strongly in  $H$  and  $J_{\lambda, \beta}(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ , then so is  $(|u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}|, |v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}|)$ . According to Lemma 4.3, we may assume that  $(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)})$  is a nonnegative nontrivial critical point of  $J_{\lambda, \beta}$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- \leq \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)}$  by (4.5), it follows from Lemma 4.4 that  $u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \neq 0$  and  $v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)} \neq 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.5:** The proof directly follows from Theorems 4.8 and 4.9.

## 5 Proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7

Define

$$\mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta} := \left\{ (u, v) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : (u, v) \text{ is a solution of System } (E_{\lambda, \beta}) \text{ with } J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v) < \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)} \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta} \left[ \frac{p-2}{2p} S_p^{2p/(p-2)} \right]$ . Furthermore, we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $3 \leq p < 4$ . Then for every  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ , where*

$$\lambda_0 := \frac{6p\sqrt{3p}(p-2)\pi}{8\sqrt[3]{2}(4-p)(6-p)^{3/2} S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta}$ . Then there holds

$$\|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Following the argument of [13, Lemma 3.1], we have the following Pohozaev type identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx + \frac{5\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx = \frac{3}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx. \quad (5.2)$$

Set

$$\theta := J_{\lambda, \beta}(u_0, v_0) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx. \quad (5.3)$$

Then it follows from (5.1)–(5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \frac{p-2}{6-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx + \frac{\lambda(p-3)}{6-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx \\ &\geq \frac{p-2}{6-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx > 0 \text{ for } 3 \leq p < 4.\end{aligned}\quad (5.4)$$

Moreover, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx &\leq \frac{8\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt[3]{\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2)^{6/5} dx \right)^{5/3} \\ &\leq \frac{8\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt[3]{\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx \right)^{3/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2)^3 dx \right)^{1/6} \\ &\leq \frac{8\sqrt[3]{4}}{3\sqrt[3]{\pi}} \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^6 + v_0^6) dx \right)^{1/6} \\ &\leq \frac{8\sqrt[3]{4}S}{3\sqrt[3]{\pi}} \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^{3/2} \left[ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^3 + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx \right)^3 \right]^{1/6} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{11/3}}{3\sqrt[3]{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{\pi^{2/3}} \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt[3]{3\pi}} \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.5)$$

We now define

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2) dx, & z_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx, \\ z_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx, & z_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta (u_0, v_0) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then from (5.1) – (5.3) it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}z_1 + \frac{1}{2}z_2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}z_3 - \frac{1}{p}z_4 = \theta, \\ z_1 + z_2 + \lambda z_3 - z_4 = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}z_1 + \frac{3}{2}z_2 + \frac{5\lambda}{4}z_3 - \frac{3}{p}z_4 = 0, \\ z_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{cases}\quad (5.6)$$

Moreover, by (5.5) and System (5.6), we have

$$\theta = \frac{p-2}{6-p} z_2 + \frac{\lambda(p-3)}{6-p} z_3 \geq \frac{p-2}{6-p} z_2 > 0$$

and

$$z_3^2 \leq \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt[3]{3\pi}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{6-p}{p-2} \theta \right)^3 z_1.\quad (5.7)$$

Next, we show that there exists a constant

$$\lambda_0 := \frac{6p\sqrt{3p}(p-2)\pi}{8\sqrt[3]{2}(4-p)(6-p)^{3/2}S_p^{2p/(p-2)}} > 0$$

such that

$$-(p-2)(z_1+z_2)+\lambda(4-p)z_3 < 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0). \quad (5.8)$$

Since the general solution of System (5.6) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \\ z_4 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\theta}{p-2} \begin{bmatrix} 3(p-2) \\ 6-p \\ 0 \\ 2p \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} p-2 \\ -2(p-3) \\ \frac{2}{\lambda}(p-2) \\ p \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.9)$$

where  $s, t, w \in \mathbb{R}$ . From (5.9), we know that  $z_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) provided that the parameter  $t$  satisfies

$$2(p-3)t < \frac{6-p}{p-2}\theta \text{ with } t > 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Substituting (5.9) into (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{2t(p-2)}{\lambda} \right)^2 - t(4-p) \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^3 \\ & \leq \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\theta(6-p)}{p-2} \right)^3 [3\theta + 2(p-3)t]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Using the fact that  $t > 0$ , it follows from (5.10) and (5.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{4t^2(p-2)^2}{\lambda^2} - t\theta^3(4-p) \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{6-p}{p-2} \right)^3 \right] \\ & < \theta^4 \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{6-p}{p-2} \right)^3 \left( 3 + \frac{6-p}{p-2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{4t^2(p-2)^2}{\lambda^2} - At\theta^3(4-p) - \frac{2pA\theta^4}{p-2} < 0,$$

where  $A := \left( \frac{16\sqrt[3]{2}}{3\sqrt{3}\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{6-p}{p-2} \right)^3$ . This implies that the parameter  $t$  satisfies

$$0 < t < \frac{\lambda^2 \left( A(4-p)\theta^3 + \sqrt{A^2(4-p)^2\theta^6 + \frac{32p(p-2)A\theta^4}{\lambda^2}} \right)}{8(p-2)^2}. \quad (5.12)$$

Using (5.9) again, we have

$$-(p-2)(z_1+z_2)+\lambda(4-p)z_3 = -2p\theta + t(p-2)(4-p). \quad (5.13)$$

Then, it follows from (5.12) and (5.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-(p-2)(z_1+z_2)+\lambda(4-p)z_3}{\theta} \\ & \leq -2p + (p-2)(4-p) \frac{\lambda^2 \left( A(4-p)\theta^3 + \sqrt{A^2(4-p)^2\theta^6 + \frac{32p(p-2)A\theta^4}{\lambda^2}} \right)}{8(p-2)^2\theta} \\ & = -2p + \frac{\lambda^2(4-p) \left( A(4-p)\theta^2 + \sqrt{A^2(4-p)^2\theta^4 + \frac{32p(p-2)A\theta^2}{\lambda^2}} \right)}{8(p-2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

In addition, a direct calculation shows that

$$A(4-p)\lambda^2\theta^2 + \lambda^2\sqrt{A^2(4-p)^2\theta^4 + \frac{32p(p-2)A\theta^2}{\lambda^2}} < \frac{16p(p-2)}{4-p} \quad (5.15)$$

for all  $0 < \theta < \frac{p-2}{2p}S_p^{2p/(p-2)}$  and  $0 < \lambda < \frac{4p}{(4-p)(p-2)S_p^{2p/(p-2)}}\left(\frac{p(p-2)}{A}\right)^{1/2}$ . Hence, it follows from (5.14) and (5.15) that for each  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ ,

$$-(p-2)(z_1 + z_2) + \lambda(4-p)z_3 < 0,$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is as in (5.15). Namely, (5.8) is proved. This shows that

$$h''_{\lambda, (u_0, v_0)}(1) = -(p-2)\|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_0, v_0}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx < 0,$$

leading to  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.6:** By Theorem 4.9, System  $(E_{\lambda, \beta})$  has a vectorial solution  $(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}$ , which satisfies

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- < \frac{(p-2)^2\overline{S}^2S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)}$$

and

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- = \inf_{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-} J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v).$$

Since  $\frac{(p-2)^2\overline{S}^2S_{12/5}^4}{4p(4-p)k(\lambda)} \leq \frac{p-2}{2p}S_p^{2p/(p-2)}$ , it follows from Proposition 5.1 that

$$J_{\lambda, \beta}(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda, \beta}^- = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{A}_{\lambda, \beta}} J_{\lambda, \beta}(u, v),$$

which implies that  $(u_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda, \beta}^{(1)})$  is a vectorial ground state solution of System  $(E_{\lambda, \beta})$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 5.2** *Let  $\frac{1+\sqrt{73}}{3} \leq p < 6$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $(u_0, v_0)$  be a nontrivial solution of System  $(E_{\lambda, \beta})$ . Then  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda, \beta}^-$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(u_0, v_0)$  is a nontrivial solution of System  $(E_{\lambda, \beta})$ , we have

$$\|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 + \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_0, v_0}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F_\beta(u_0, v_0)dx = 0 \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2)dx + \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx + \frac{5\lambda}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_0, v_0}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx = \frac{3}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F_\beta(u_0, v_0)dx \quad (5.17)$$

Combining (5.16) with (5.17), one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla v_0|^2)dx = \frac{3(p-2)}{6-p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx + \frac{\lambda(5p-12)}{2(6-p)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi_{u_0, v_0}(u_0^2 + v_0^2)dx.$$

Using this, together with (4.2), gives

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda,(u_0,v_0)}(1) &= -(p-2)\|(u_0,v_0)\|_H^2 + \lambda(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0,v_0}(u_0^2+v_0^2) dx \\ &= -\frac{2p(p-2)}{6-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_0^2+v_0^2) dx - \frac{\lambda(3p^2-2p-24)}{2(6-p)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0,v_0}(u_0^2+v_0^2) dx \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the fact of  $3p^2 - 2p - 24 \geq 0$  if  $\frac{1+\sqrt{73}}{3} \leq p < 6$ . Therefore, there holds  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^-$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.7:** For  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta > \beta(\lambda)$ . By Theorem 4.9, System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$  has a vectorial solution  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}$  satisfying

$$J_{\lambda,\beta}(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}) = \alpha_{\lambda,\beta}^- = \inf_{u \in \mathbf{M}_{\lambda,\beta}^-} J_{\lambda,\beta}(u, v),$$

and according to Proposition 5.2, we conclude that  $(u_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)}, v_{\lambda,\beta}^{(1)})$  is a vectorial ground state solution of System  $(E_{\lambda,\beta})$ . This completes the proof.

## 6 Appendix

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the following statements are true.*

- (i)  $0 < \Lambda(\beta) < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\Lambda(\beta)$  is achieved, i.e. there exists  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that

$$\Lambda(\beta) = \frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0,v_0}(u_0^2+v_0^2) dx} > 0.$$

**Proof.** (i) Since  $2 < p < 3$ , by Fatou's lemma, for  $(u, v) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^p} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|(tu, tv)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(tu, tv) dx \right] = -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx < 0,$$

which implies that there exists  $(e_1, e_2) \in H_r$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(e_1, e_2)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(e_1, e_2) dx < 0.$$

Then, for each  $(u, v) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx < 0$ , there exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2 + \frac{c_0}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v}(u^2+v^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx < 0$$

or

$$\frac{c_0}{4} < \frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v}(u^2+v^2) dx}.$$

This indicates that there exists  $\hat{c}_0 > 0$  such that  $\Lambda(\beta) \geq \hat{c}_0 > 0$ .

Next, we show that  $0 < \Lambda(\beta) < \infty$ . By Young's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1+\beta}{p} |w|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} w^2 + C_{p,\beta} |w|^3, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$C_{p,\beta} = (p-2) [2(3-p)]^{\frac{3-p}{p-2}} \left( \frac{1+\beta}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} > 0.$$

Moreover, similar to (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + v^2 |u|) dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{C_{p,\beta}^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 |v| + |v|^3) dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{C_{p,\beta}^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \quad (6.3)$$

for all  $(u, v) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Then it follows from (6.1)–(6.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx} \\ & \leq 2C_{p,\beta}^2 \times \frac{\frac{1+\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^p + |v|^p) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{2C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + |v|^3) dx + 2C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 |v| + v^2 |u|) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx} \\ & \leq 2C_{p,\beta}^2 \times \frac{C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + |v|^3) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx}{2C_{p,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + |v|^3) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx} \\ & = C_{p,\beta}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$0 < \Lambda(\beta) := \sup_{(u,v) \in H_r \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u, v) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx} \leq C_{p,\beta}^2.$$

(ii) Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  be a maximum sequence of (1.7). First of all, we claim that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $H_r$ . Suppose on the contrary. Then  $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_H \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $0 < \Lambda(\beta) < \infty$  and

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_n, v_n) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx} = \Lambda(\beta) + o(1),$$

there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{J}(u_n, v_n) := \frac{1}{2} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_n, v_n) dx \leq 0 \quad (6.4)$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. Similar to (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^3 + v^2 |u|) dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{C_1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^2 |v| + |v|^3) dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{C_1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u,v} (u^2 + v^2) dx \quad (6.6)$$



for all  $(u, v) \in H_r$ . Then it follows from (6.4)–(6.6) that

$$\tilde{J}(u_n, v_n) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + \frac{C_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f_\beta(u_n) + f_\beta(v_n)) dx,$$

where  $f_\beta(s) := \frac{1}{4}s^2 + \frac{\sqrt{C_1}}{2}s^3 - \frac{1+\beta}{p}s^p$  for  $s > 0$ . It is clear that  $f_\beta$  is positive for  $s \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Define

$$m_\beta := \inf_{s>0} f_\beta(s).$$

If  $m_\beta \geq 0$ , then by (6.4) we have

$$0 \geq \tilde{J}(u_n, v_n) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + \frac{C_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. We now assume that  $m_\beta < 0$ . Then the set  $\{s > 0 : f_\beta(s) < 0\}$  is an open interval  $(s_1, s_2)$  with  $s_1 > 0$ . Note that constants  $s_1, s_2, m_\beta$  depend on  $p, \beta$  and  $C_1$ . Thus, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}(u_n, v_n) &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + \frac{C_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f_\beta(u_n) + f_\beta(v_n)) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + \frac{C_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx + \int_{D_n^{(1)}} f_\beta(u_n) dx + \int_{D_n^{(2)}} f_\beta(v_n) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2 + \frac{C_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx - |m_\beta| (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|), \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where the sets  $D_n^{(1)} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : u_n(x) \in (s_1, s_2)\}$  and  $D_n^{(2)} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : v_n(x) \in (s_1, s_2)\}$ . It follows from (6.4) and (6.7) that

$$|m_\beta| (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|) > \frac{1}{4} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2, \quad (6.8)$$

which implies that  $|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_H \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, since  $D_n^{(1)}$  and  $D_n^{(2)}$  are spherically symmetric, we define  $\rho_n^{(i)} := \sup \{|x| : x \in D_n^{(i)}\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then we can take  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $|x^{(i)}| = \rho_n^{(i)}$ . Clearly,  $u_n(x^{(1)}) = v_n(x^{(2)}) = s_1 > 0$ . Recall the following Strauss's inequality by Strauss [34]

$$|z(x)| \leq c_0 |x|^{-1} \|z\|_{H^1} \quad \text{for all } z \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (6.9)$$

for some  $c_0 > 0$ . Thus, by (6.8) and (6.9), we have

$$0 < s_1 = u_n(x^{(1)}) < c_0 (\rho_n^{(1)})^{-1} \|u_n\|_{H^1} \leq 2c_0 |m_\beta|^{1/2} (\rho_n^{(1)})^{-1} (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|)^{1/2}$$

and

$$0 < s_1 = v_n(x^{(2)}) < c_0 (\rho_n^{(2)})^{-1} \|v_n\|_{H^1} \leq 2c_0 |m_\beta|^{1/2} (\rho_n^{(2)})^{-1} (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|)^{1/2}.$$

These imply that

$$c_i \rho_n^{(i)} \leq (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|)^{1/2} \quad \text{for some } c_i > 0 \text{ and } i = 1, 2. \quad (6.10)$$

On the other hand, since  $\tilde{J}(u_n, v_n) \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{C_1} |m_\beta| (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|) \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_n^2(x)u_n^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{v_n^2(x)v_n^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_n^2(x)v_n^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq \int_{D_n^{(1)}} \int_{D_n^{(1)}} \frac{u_n^2(x)u_n^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + \int_{D_n^{(2)}} \int_{D_n^{(2)}} \frac{v_n^2(x)v_n^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + 2 \int_{D_n^{(2)}} v_n^2(y) \left( \int_{D_n^{(1)}} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-y|} dx \right) dy \\
& \geq s_1^4 \left( \frac{|D_n^{(1)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(1)}} + \frac{|D_n^{(2)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(2)}} \right) + 2 \int_{D_n^{(2)}} v_n^2(y) \left( \int_{D_n^{(1)}} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x+y|} dx \right) dy \\
& \geq s_1^4 \left( \frac{|D_n^{(1)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(1)}} + \frac{|D_n^{(2)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(2)}} \right) + \frac{2s_1^4 |D_n^{(1)}| |D_n^{(2)}|}{\rho_n^{(1)} + \rho_n^{(2)}} \\
& \geq s_1^4 \left( \frac{|D_n^{(1)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(1)}} + \frac{|D_n^{(2)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(2)}} + \frac{2|D_n^{(1)}| |D_n^{(2)}|}{\rho_n^{(1)} + \rho_n^{(2)}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and together with (6.10), we further have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{C_1 s_1^4} |m_\beta| (|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}|) & \geq \frac{|D_n^{(1)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(1)}} + \frac{|D_n^{(2)}|^2}{2\rho_n^{(2)}} + 2 \frac{|D_n^{(1)}| |D_n^{(2)}|}{\rho_n^{(1)} + \rho_n^{(2)}} \\
& \geq \frac{c_1 |D_n^{(1)}|^2}{2 \left( |D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \right)^{1/2}} + \frac{c_2 |D_n^{(2)}|^2}{2 \left( |D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \right)^{1/2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{2 |D_n^{(1)}| |D_n^{(2)}|}{(c_1^{-1} + c_2^{-1}) \left( |D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \right)^{1/2}} \\
& \geq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1}{2}, \frac{c_2}{2}, (c_1^{-1} + c_2^{-1})^{-1} \right\} \left( |D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \right)^{3/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that for all  $n$ ,

$$|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \leq M \text{ for some } M > 0.$$

This contradicts with  $|D_n^{(1)}| + |D_n^{(2)}| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $H_r$ .

Assume that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0)$  in  $H_r$ . Next, we prove that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$  strongly in  $H_r$ . Suppose on contrary. Then there holds

$$\|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 < \liminf \|(u_n, v_n)\|_H^2,$$

Since  $H_r \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is compact for  $2 < r < 6$  (see [34]), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_n, v_n) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx.$$

Moreover, it follows from Ruiz [29, Lemma 2.1] that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n, v_n} (u_n^2 + v_n^2) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx.$$

These imply that

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2 > 0$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx - \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx} > \Lambda(\beta),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0)$  strongly in  $H_r$  and  $(u_0, v_0) \in H_r \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda(\beta)$  is achieved. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $2 < p < 3$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ . Then the following statements are true.*

(i)  $0 < \bar{\Lambda}(\beta) < \infty$ ;

(ii)  $\bar{\Lambda}(\beta)$  is achieved, i.e. there exists  $(u_0, v_0) \in H \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  such that

$$\bar{\Lambda}(\beta) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\beta(u_0, v_0) dx - \|(u_0, v_0)\|_H^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_0, v_0} (u_0^2 + v_0^2) dx} > 0.$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the argument in Theorem 6.1, and we omit it here.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

J. Sun was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11671236) and Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. ZR2020JQ01). T.F. Wu was supported by the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan (Grant No. 112-2115-M-390-001-MY3).

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, On the Schrödinger-Poisson systems, Milan J. Math. 76 (2008) 257–274.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. London Math. Soc. 75 (2007) 67–82.
- [3] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345 (2008) 90–108.
- [4] T. Bartsch, N. Dancer, Z.-Q. Wang, A Liouville theorem, a-priori bounds, and bifurcating branches of positive solutions for a nonlinear elliptic system. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 37 (2010) 345–361.

- [5] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 11 (1998) 283–293.
- [6] P.A. Binding, P. Drábek, Y.X. Huang, On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasi-linear elliptic equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 5 (1997) 1–11.
- [7] H. Brézis, E.H. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1983) 486–490.
- [8] K.J. Brown, T.F. Wu, A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 69 (2007) 1–9.
- [9] K.J. Brown, T.F. Wu, A fibering map approach to a potential operator equation and its applications, *Differential Integral Equations* 22 (2009) 1097–1114.
- [10] K.J. Brown, Y. Zhang, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function, *J. Differential Equations* 193 (2003) 481–499.
- [11] Z. Chen, W. Zou, Positive least energy solutions and phase separation for coupled Schrödinger equations with critical exponent. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 205 (2012) 515–551.
- [12] Z. Chen, W. Zou, An optimal constant for the existence of least energy solutions of a coupled Schrödinger system. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 48 (2013) 695–711.
- [13] T. D’Aprile, D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein–Gordon–Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 4 (2004) 307–322.
- [14] P. d’Avenia, L. Maia, G. Siciliano, Hartree-Fock type systems: Existence of ground states and asymptotic behavior, *J. Differential Equations* 335 (2022) 580–614.
- [15] P.A.M. Dirac, Note on exchange phenomena in the Thomas atom, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 26 (1931) 376–385.
- [16] P. Drábek, S. I. Pohozaev, Positive solutions for the  $p$ -Laplacian: application of the fibering method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 127 (1997) 703–726.
- [17] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1974) 324–353.
- [18] I. Ekeland, *Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Springer, 1990.
- [19] V. Fock, Näherungsmethode zur Lösung des quantenmechanischen Mehrkörperproblems, *Z. Phys.* 61 (1930) 126–148.
- [20] D. Hartree, The wave mechanics of an atom with a non-Coulomb central field. Part I. Theory and methods, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 24 (1928) 89–312.
- [21] M.K. Kwong, Uniqueness of positive solution of  $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 105 (1989) 243–266.
- [22] T.C. Lin, J. Wei, Ground state of  $n$  coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \leq 3$ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* 255 (2005) 629–653.

- [23] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case, part I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 1 (1984) 109–145.
- [24] P.L. Lions, Solutions of Hartree–Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 109 (1984) 33–97.
- [25] Z. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, Multiple bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 282 (2008) 721–731.
- [26] L.A. Maia, E. Montefusco, B. Pellacci, Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, *J. Differential Equations* 229 (2006) 743–767.
- [27] R. Palais, The Principle of symmetric criticality, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 69 (1979) 19–30.
- [28] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 1986.
- [29] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger–Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.* 237 (2006) 655–674.
- [30] D. Ruiz, On the Schrödinger–Poisson–Slater system: behavior of minimizers, radial and nonradial cases, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 198 (2010) 349–368.
- [31] O. Sánchez, J. Soler, Long-time dynamics of the Schrödinger–Poisson–Slater system, *J. Stat. Phys.* 114 (2004) 179–204.
- [32] J.C. Slater, A note on Hartree’s method, *Phys. Rev.* 35 (1930) 210–211.
- [33] J.C. Slater, A simplification of the Hartree–Fock method, *Phys. Rev.* 81 (1951) 385–390.
- [34] W.A. Strauss, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 55 (1977) 149–162.
- [35] J. Sun, T.F. Wu, Z. Feng, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger–Poisson system, *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016) 586–627.
- [36] J. Sun, T.F. Wu, Z. Feng, Non-autonomous Schrödinger–Poisson problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 38 (2018) 1889–1933.
- [37] J. Sun, T.F. Wu, Z. Feng, Two positive solutions to non-autonomous Schrödinger–Poisson systems, *Nonlinearity* 32 (2019) 4002–4032.
- [38] G. Tarantello, On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 9 (1992) 281–304.
- [39] E. Timmermans, Phase separation of Bose–Einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (1998) 5718–5721.
- [40] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [41] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger–Poisson equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 346 (2008) 155–169.