

Synchrotron radiation from cosmic string wakes.

Dilip Kumar, Soumen Nayak and Soma Sanyal

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad,

Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India 500046

Abstract

Magnetic fields can be generated in cosmic string wakes due to the Biermann mechanism in the presence of neutrino inhomogeneities. As the cosmic string moves through the plasma the small magnetic field is amplified by the turbulence in the plasma. Relativistic charged particles which cross the magnetized wake of a cosmic string will therefore emit synchrotron radiation. The opening angle of the cosmic string is very small and so the wake appears like a relativistic jet. Assuming a homogeneous magnetic field in the wake of the string, we obtain the synchrotron emission from non thermal relativistic electrons in the wake of the string. The emitted radiation has a broad peak and is over a wide range of frequency. We show that the spectrum can be mapped to some of the unknown sources in different ranges of the current available catalogues.

Keywords: cosmic string, wakes, synchrotron radiation

I. INTRODUCTION

Synchrotron radiation has been studied for a very long time to identify and locate various non - luminous astrophysical objects [1] in our universe. It is the radiation emitted from relativistic electrons moving in the magnetic field close to an astrophysical object. One of the most elusive objects which are currently being sought using various methods are the cosmic strings. These strings are produced during symmetry breaking phase transitions in the early universe [2]. They are essentially defects in one dimension with a conical spacetime. These strings can give rise to interesting phenomenon in the early universe. The conical space around the string leads to the formation of wakes behind them [3]. In relativistic fluid flows, both strong shocks as well as weak shocks are generated [4–6] in these wakes. There are many kinds of cosmic strings depending on the nature of the phase transition. Out of all these, the superconducting cosmic string carry electric current and can generate magnetic fields in their wake [7]. So, the spectrum of synchrotron radiation from electrons moving close to a superconducting string has been studied in detail [8]. Recently, it has been shown that magnetic fields can be formed in shocks of wakes of non-superconducting strings by the Biermann battery mechanism [9]. The Biermann battery mechanism generates a magnetic field whenever the temperature gradient and the density gradient in an inhomogeneous plasma are not aligned to each other [10]. Once, the magnetic field is generated, it gets enhanced by the turbulence in the wake region. As the cosmic string moves in the plasma, electrons crossing these magnetized wakes will emit synchrotron radiation. The energy spectrum of these electrons along with other signatures may help in identifying these cosmic strings in the current universe. Currently, there are various attempts to predict the signature of these cosmic string from the 21 cm observational data [11]. Other methods of identifying cosmic strings are also being pursued. In this article, we are proposing yet another method of identifying cosmic string wakes by using electromagnetic radiation.

The study of diffusive synchrotron radiation has already yielded several interesting results. The spectrum of the radiation depends on the correlation length of the magnetic field through which the electrons travel. For a uniform large scale magnetic field the spectrum is referred to as the synchrotron spectrum while for small scale random magnetic field the radiation is often referred to as the "jitter" radiation [12, 13]. A theory has also been developed for the small scale jitter radiation. The theory gives rise to several power law asymptotes

which smoothly change as the frequency changes. This leads to the fact that a wide range of frequencies are now covered by the synchrotron radiation.

In recent times, numerical simulations have been developed to study the microphysics of non-relativistic as well as relativistic shocks. For cosmic strings too, there have been several studies of unmagnetized shocks [14–16]. In a previous work, we have studied the evolution of a magnetic field in the wake of a cosmic string [17]. Cosmic strings can have magnetic fields in their wakes due to various mechanisms. One mechanism that has been mentioned before is the Biermann battery mechanism. There are alternative mechanisms which involve the generation of vorticity in the plasma. Two cosmic strings moving past one another can generate vortices between them [18]. Since ions and electrons do not have the same mass, a magnetic field can be generated by the differential angular momentum between the ions and electrons via the Harrison mechanism [19]. Other ways of generating vorticity have also been explored. Once the field is generated in the wake, it may decay but it will not dissipate completely, so the electrons moving across these wakes will be moving through a magnetic field. This will lead to the emission of synchrotron radiation. However, only the synchrotron radiation coming from wakes of superconducting strings have been studied previously in the literature.

In this article we will focus on Abelian Higgs strings. Unlike superconducting strings these do not have a current flowing through the length of the string. The magnetic field in these wakes are generated by the Biermann mechanism [9]. The Biermann mechanism generates oppositely directed magnetic fields on the different sides of the wake. The wake has a triangular structure, being narrow close to the string and becoming wider as the distance from the string increases. Multiple shocks are generated in the magnetized wakes [17] of cosmic strings. Electrons will move across the wake as the string moves through the plasma and will be accelerated by the shocks in the magnetized plasma. We obtain the spectrum of synchrotron radiation emitted by these electrons in the cosmic string wake. We find that, the overall spectrum has a broad peak. Due to the large range of frequencies that it spans, the radiation should be detected by the current all sky surveys. We discuss how this can be another signature for cosmic string wakes in addition to the ones that are already being pursued.

In section 2, we distinguish between superconducting cosmic strings and the Abelian Higgs cosmic strings and their wakes in the intergalactic medium. In section 3, we discuss

the synchrotron radiation emitted by the electrons moving in the magnetized wake of these cosmic strings. In section 4, we discuss the spectrum obtained and in section 5, we present a comparison between the synchrotron radiation from superconducting strings and the spectrum that we have obtained. Finally in Section 6, we present the summary and conclusions of the paper.

II. COSMIC STRING WAKE IN THE INTERGALACTIC MEDIUM

Cosmic string wake forms as a long cosmic string moves through the intergalactic medium. The Abelian Higgs string which is formed due to the breaking of the Abelian Higgs symmetry is one of the simplest cosmic string to be studied, having a minimal number of degrees of freedom. The major parameter defining these strings is the deficit angle of the conical space time around them. This is related to the symmetry breaking scale and the ratio of the scalar and the vector couplings of the Lagrangian. The ratio of the couplings is denoted by the quantity $\xi = \lambda/e^2$, where λ is the scalar self coupling and e is the gauge coupling present in the Lagrangian for the Abelian Higgs theory.

As mentioned before, specific Lagrangians can also give rise to a superconducting string. The major distinguishing factors of these superconducting strings is that they are current carrying strings. These currents can be due to localized charged condensates or fermionic zero modes which carry electric charge. Lagrangian models, including the electroweak model, may give rise to such current carrying cosmic strings [20, 21]. This current (i) is the primary reason for the generation of magnetic fields in the wake of a superconducting string and it has been shown that the generation of synchrotron radiation in the wake of these strings will also depend on the current carried by the superconducting cosmic string [8]. In our case however, the Abelian Higgs string is not a current carrying cosmic string, hence the generated magnetic field and the subsequent calculation of synchrotron radiation do not depend on any string parameter except the deficit angle which is related to the symmetry breaking scale of the cosmic string. We will briefly discuss the wakes generated by Abelian Higgs strings due to the presence of the deficit angle.

Detailed numerical studies have been carried out for wakes generated by long strings which do not have any current flowing through them. A string moving at a time t_i will generate a wake whose dimensions are given by $c_1 t_i \times t_i v_s \gamma_s \times \delta \theta t_i v_s \gamma_s$, where v_s is the

velocity of the string and γ_s is the relativistic factor and c_1 is a constant of order one [22]. It has been shown that the wake is very wide for a hot gas tightly coupled to radiation but becomes quite narrow for the case of a hydrogen gas [16]. Since the intergalactic medium consists of mostly baryonic and leptonic matter, hence the wake caused by a moving cosmic string would be closer to the case of the hydrogen gas. Thus, the wake would be more like a narrow jet with a large number of streaming relativistic particles. As the velocity of the string reaches supersonic velocities, shock waves are generated behind the cosmic string.

Cosmic string shock waves have been discussed for the high temperature plasma of the very early universe. The relativistic motion of the string as well as the finite temperature of the medium has been taken into account in these studies [3, 14, 23]. These shocks occur before the start of recombination. Realistic studies of shocks also include the possibility of ionization and interaction with the background radiation [16]. The velocity of the shock would depend on the nature of the plasma and its Equation of State (EoS). In the post recombination era, the universe is matter dominated. Assuming that the interaction between the matter and radiation is minimal, the sound speed at those temperatures is given by,

$$c_s^2 = \frac{\Gamma_m p_1}{\rho_1 + p_1} \quad (1)$$

Here, Γ_m is the ratio of the specific heats of a radiation gas and can be considered to be equal to $5/3$, while p_1 and ρ_1 are the pressure and density in the pre-shock region respectively. Detailed studies of shocks in these plasmas have been carried out [16]. The wakes usually have different values of density, pressure and temperature in the pre-shock and the post-shock regions. The shock which is similar to a front moves with a velocity known as the shock velocity. Generally, this shock velocity depends both on the pre-shock and post-shock density and pressure. It has been shown that, in the case, where the specific heat ratio remains approximately the same in the pre-shock and the post-shock regime, one can obtain the velocity of the shock wave as

$$v_{sh} = \frac{1}{4}[(\Gamma_m + 1)^2 u^2 + 16c_s^2]^{1/2} - \frac{(3 - \Gamma_m)}{4} u \quad (2)$$

Here $u = v_s \delta\theta(1 - v_s^2)^{-1/2}$. $\delta\theta$ is the angle of deflection of the particles due to the cosmic string metric and v_s is the velocity of the string. Therefore, the shock velocities can go as high as $0.9c$ [24].

Electron acceleration from various shock waves have been studied in detail [25]. Both sub-relativistic shock waves as well as ultra relativistic shock waves are known to generate

accelerated electrons which subsequently emit synchrotron radiation. Most of these studies are done with hydrodynamic shock waves. In the presence of a magnetic field, the wake region will also have multiple shock waves [17]. The plasma we are considering will have both leptons and baryons. So the electrons moving through these magnetized wakes will emit synchrotron radiation.

The following basic properties of the wake need to be taken into account while studying this synchrotron radiation. The particle number density in the wake is inhomogeneous. Due to the conical metric, all the particles will have a net angular momentum close to the cosmic string wake. This arises due to the velocity perturbation felt by a particle as it moves past the cosmic string. The velocity perturbation is given by $\delta v \sim \delta \theta v_s \gamma_s$. The Fermi distribution of electrons (or neutrinos) about the cosmic string is given by, [9]

$$f(E, l_z, \beta) = \left[\exp\left(\frac{E - l_z \Omega - \mu \chi}{T}\right) + 1 \right]^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where Ω is the angular velocity, μ is the chemical potential of the particles being considered, and l_z is the projection of the particle's total angular momentum on the direction of Ω and E is the energy of the particles. The factor χ takes on the values 1 or -1 depending on whether the particles are more than the antiparticles in the plasma.

In the very early universe, depending on their energy and angular momentum, particles appear to cluster closer to the string due to the presence of bounded orbits [26]. Due to the asymmetry amongst the right and left handed neutrinos, a neutrino current is generated. This neutrino current is oscillating in nature and the number density of the neutrinos depends on their distance from the core of the cosmic string [9]. The detailed calculations have previously been presented in ref.[9]. The neutrinos and electrons interact due to the ponderomotive force. The number density of neutrinos being oscillatory in nature, the electrons on interacting with them will cease to have a uniform distribution. They will be pushed into the regions where there is an under density of neutrinos. So, the wake structure will not have a uniform density of electrons and neutrinos. Their number densities will be inhomogeneous in nature.

These are however small scale inhomogeneities. The wake itself has a large scale overdensity as we have mentioned in the initial part. This is due to the velocity perturbation that is felt by all the matter particles irrespective of whether they are neutrinos or electrons. The overall temperature in the pre-shock and post-shock region is determined by this larger

overdensity. The temperature in the post-shock regime is given by,

$$T_2 = \frac{p_2 n_1}{p_1 n_2} T_1 \quad (4)$$

Here the suffix 1 is used for the pre-shock quantities, while the suffix 2 is used for the post-shock quantities. The overdensity in the cosmic string wake is given by [27]

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} = \frac{16\pi G\mu v_s^2}{3\sqrt{v_s^2 - v_c^2}} \quad (5)$$

where ρ is the average plasma density and $\delta\rho$ is the amount of the overdensity. v_c is the sound speed in the plasma. The opening angle of the wedge is related to the velocities as

$$\sin \theta \approx \frac{v_c}{v_s} \quad (6)$$

The angle $\theta \approx 8\pi G\mu$ is very small, so the velocity of the cosmic string is close to that of light. The string may also move at ultra relativistic velocities, however most simulations consider only relativistic speeds for a cosmic string network at lower values of redshift [28].

In the next section, we present our model for determining the synchrotron radiation from the wakes of these Abelian Higgs cosmic strings. Using the basic properties of inhomogeneities in the wake, we obtain the spectrum of the synchrotron radiation emitted by electrons crossing the magnetized wake of an Abelian Higgs string.

III. SYNCHROTRON RADIATION FROM ELECTRONS IN THE WAKE

The mechanism of emission of synchrotron radiation for the case of the superconducting cosmic string depends on the current flowing along the cosmic string. A magnetic field is generated as the superconducting cosmic string moves through the plasma. This is given by $B(r) = 2i/cr$ where r is the radial distance from the core of the string. In ref [8], it was assumed that the electrons develop a power law energy distribution in the post-shock region in the wake of these moving strings. The synchrotron radiation was then calculated based on the tension of the superconducting string which depended on the current flowing through the string. However, in the case of the Abelian Higgs cosmic string the magnetic field is generated in a completely different way. It is generated due to the misalignment of density inhomogeneities and the temperature gradient of the shock wave by the Biermann mechanism. There are therefore two magnetic fields generated at the two sides of the shock,

each directed opposite to the other. The magnetic field lines are therefore parallel to the direction of motion of the cosmic string. So the synchrotron radiation is generated by the electrons in the overdensity which move across the wake like structure.

As described in the previous section, the electron distribution in the cosmic string wake is inhomogeneous. There are regions which have a higher density of electrons and regions which have a lower density of electrons. Since these electrons are accelerated by the motion of the shock generated in the string's wake, they will lose energy as synchrotron radiation. For electrons, the peak frequency at which this loss happens is given by,

$$\nu_c \sim \frac{eB\gamma^2}{2\pi mc} \quad (7)$$

Here the magnetic field B is considered to be homogeneous over the mean free path of the electrons. The average power that is radiated by the electrons is given by,

$$\langle P^{syn}(\nu) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^3 B}{m_e c^2} \int_1^\infty d\gamma N_e(\gamma) R(\alpha) \quad (8)$$

Here, γ is the relativistic Lorentz factor and $\alpha = \frac{\nu}{\nu_c}$. $N_e(\gamma)$ is the distribution of electrons which are moving across the cosmic string wake, $R(\alpha)$ is a function of a combination of Bessel functions.

One of the simplest models used for obtaining the spectrum is the standard one zone model. Generally, it is used for a spherical geometry but this model has also been adapted to model jets from different sources. It is also referred to in the literature as the Blob model. We will however use the geometry that is used for streaming jets. The geometry of the jet is similar to the narrow wakes in Abelian Higgs strings. The electrons in the jet have a Lorentz factor distribution given by $N_e(\gamma')$, where γ' is the comoving Lorentz factor. For this model, the synchrotron radiation is given by,

$$\nu F_\nu^{syn} = \frac{\delta_D^4 \nu' \langle P^{syn}(\nu') \rangle}{4\pi d_L^2} \quad (9)$$

The Lorentz factor determines the Doppler factor for the relativistic outflow given by δ_D defined by,

$$\delta_D = \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos\theta)} \quad (10)$$

Here $\beta = \frac{v_s}{c}$ and θ is the viewing angle which is very small. The other input, that is important for an observable is the luminosity distance. This distance will depend on the

redshift of the object. The luminosity distance d_L is given by,

$$d_L = d_A(1 + z)^2 \quad (11)$$

where z is the redshift and d_A is the angular size distance. It is therefore the ratio of the transverse extent of the object and the angle it subtends in the sky. The python module AGNPY [29] has been developed based on the one zone model and has been tested for different cases. As is seen the primary inputs come from the particular source being studied and the the final output spectrum would depend upon the electron distribution, the Lorentz γ factor, the Doppler factor etc.

As mentioned before, when studying synchrotron radiation from blackholes and other objects, jets of plasma get ejected. These are modelled using the one zone model. For the jets, the radius is no longer a constant value as they are scaled by the redshift parameter. The region resembles a segment of a circle with a radius that is larger than the arc that is being swept out. Having an idea of the mean magnetic field and the energy density of the electrons gives us the power emitted due to the radiation. The jets from the plasma outflow from the cosmic string wake can be modeled by the one zone model considering that the magnetic energy density in the plasma is equal to the critical magnetic energy density of the plasma [30]. Due to this constraint, we have restricted the magnetic field value to the value equivalent to the critical magnetic energy density of the plasma for obtaining our results. Hence, magnetic field is not a parameter in our simulations, it is a constant.

We have modified the geometry in the AGNPY module to include the scaling due to the redshift parameter to obtain the synchrotron radiation in our case. This geometry is known as the one zone leptonic model and has been used for jets from blazars [31] previously. The radius is the transverse width of the cosmic string wake and is given by,

$$r'_b = \frac{c \delta_D t_{var}}{(1 + z)} \quad (12)$$

Here, r'_b is the radius in the comoving reference frame, c is the velocity of light and t_{var} is the light crossing time.

The electron distribution in a cosmic string wake is a power law distribution. Shocks are generated in the wake of the cosmic strings [14, 17]. Electron distribution in shock accelerated plasma have a power law distribution [32]. The electron distribution is therefore given by,

$$N_e(\gamma) = K_e \frac{1}{4\pi} \gamma^{-p} H(\gamma; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \quad (13)$$

Here, K_e is the normalization constant and p is the power law exponent which is close to 2 but always slightly greater than 2. We have studied both $p = 2.1$ and $p = 2.2$. The overall results are similar and hence we present the results for $p = 2.1$. The normalization constant is calculated from the total energy of the system due to the shock acceleration. It is given by,

$$K_e = \frac{(p-2)u_e}{m_e^2 \left(\gamma_1^{(2-p)} - \gamma_2^{(2-p)} \right)} \quad (14)$$

The function $H(\gamma; \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is the Heaviside function. The quantity γ_1 is the minimum Lorentz factor of the electrons and γ_2 is the maximum possible Lorentz factor that the electrons can achieve, u_e is the total energy density of the non thermal electrons. The synchrotron radiation emitted by the electrons for an isotropic pitch angle distribution is then given by,

$$\nu F_\nu^{syn}(p) = \frac{3^{(p+2)/2}}{2^{(p+1)/2}} a(p) \frac{4}{3} c \sigma_T U_B K_e \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_B} \right)^{(3-p)/2} \quad (15)$$

Here σ_T is the Thomson cross section, $U_B = \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$, $\nu_B = \frac{eB}{2\pi m_e c}$. As mentioned before, for relativistic shocks $p \approx 2$ and $a(p) = 0.1032$. The U_B considered in our final calculations corresponds to the critical magnetic energy. Details of these calculations are available in ref.[30].

We will now proceed to briefly mention our inputs to the module and then present the final spectrum obtained for various different parameters of our model. As mentioned before, we have to give the radius over which we consider the one zone model. We model the source such that the radius of the arc must be greater than the width of the shock. The width of the wake at $z = 30$ is given approximately by $2.3 \times 10^{-4} Mpc$. Since we obtain the synchrotron spectrum for values of $z < 30$, we take the radius to be $R_B \approx 8 \times 10^{20}$ cms and higher. We however find that the spectrum does not depend on the exact value of the radius (as the volume cancels out in the final expression) so we keep it at $R_B \sim 10^{20}$ cms. In the previous section, we have discussed that the cosmic string moves with velocities close to that of light, from reference [28], we see that the velocity of the string is taken to be approximately 0.5 times the speed of light. In that case, the Lorentz factor γ would be approximately one. So, the minimum Lorentz factor is taken to be 1. The cosmic string can also move with ultrarelativistic speed and the electrons are also accelerated by the shock front, this means that the maximum value of the Lorentz factor can be as high as 10^7 . We take these as the two limits but we mention that as long as the cosmic string does not move with ultra




FIG. 1: The synchrotron spectrum for different values of the redshift parameter at $\gamma = 1$ and $p = 2.1$.

relativistic speed we can consider the relativistic γ factor to be of the order of one. The value of δ_D depends on the Lorentz factor. δ_D is an important parameter in the emission spectrum of the synchrotron radiation. It also depends on the redshift z . We have used this as a parameter and used different values of redshift to obtain the final spectrum. Since radiation has been observed from very low redshift objects only, we keep the maximum of z as 30.

IV. RESULTS

We now present the synchrotron spectrum for the different parameters discussed in the previous section. We plot the synchrotron spectrum for the relativistic string ($\gamma \approx 1$) for various redshift values. The redshift values are limited by the observational component and so as mentioned before, the largest redshift we have considered is $z = 30$ while the smallest is $z = 0.069$. The value of $p = 2.1$. The plots are shown in fig 1. We see that the turnover frequency is about $1 - 10$ GHz depending on the redshift value. The spectral break comes after $10^{11} - 10^{13}$ GHz. As expected we find that, the spectral break shifts to low frequencies as we move back in time. The synchrotron spectrum obtained can be fit with three distinct

power laws. The initial low frequency self absorption part has a power law exponent of ~ 0.9 , this is followed by the optically thin emission region which has a very low spectral index (~ 0.42). This is the region of the spectrum where the relativistic electrons are scattered multiple times. Finally it dips very sharply in the high frequency range. This is different from the usual spectrum obtained from the Gamma Ray Bursts where there is a more bell shaped curve.

Galactic radiation has been observed at such frequencies in several cases. Due to the high sensitivity of the all sky surveys there are many sources of radiation which have been detected. Not all these sources can be associated definitely and unambiguously with known sources such as an Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN). There are some unidentified sources which are currently being studied [33]. We proceeded to search the catalogues to determine if there are any unidentified sources in the frequency range of the synchrotron spectrum that we have obtained from cosmic string wakes. In the infra red (IR) region, the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) data has several point sources attributed to Blazars which are also in the range of the spectrum that we have obtained. The similarity to blazars comes from the fact that the the gamma ray emission in blazars has a very similar geometry to the expected gamma ray emission from cosmic string wakes. Also the emission in blazars may be due to shock-shock collision, magnetic reconnection or turbulence, all three of which can occur in the cosmic string wakes too. Thus it would be difficult to distinguish between the two sources; a blazer and a cosmic string wake. One point which distinguishes the two spectra is that the blazer usually has two broadly peaked components whereas the cosmic string wake will have only one broad peak.

We now proceed to plot a few sources from the various observations in fig. 2 to show that the current spectra that we have obtained is within the scope of current observations. We find that the flux between $10^{-13} - 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ has some observed sources of synchrotron radiation in the frequencies we have studied. We used the WISE catalogue to search for sources of infrared radiation in this flux scale. In fig 2, we have plotted a few points from the WISE catalogue [34] on the obtained spectrum for $\gamma = 1$. Though, not a great fit, some of the data points are close to the obtained spectrum. Similarly, we have included observed fluxes from the SWIFT [35] and the GALEX catalogue [36]. We have used the data that is available online and find that different surveys in different frequency bands have observed fluxes in this range. This seems to indicate that a more detailed study of synchrotron




FIG. 2: The synchrotron spectrum with data points from the WISE, SWIFT and GALEX catalogues. The spectrum is obtained for $z = 1$ with other parameters remaining the same.

radiation in cosmic string wakes may lead to results matching with experimental data.

V. COMPARISON WITH THE SYNCHROTRON SPECTRUM FROM SUPERCONDUCTING STRINGS

The synchrotron spectrum of electrons moving close to a superconducting string has been studied in detail previously [8]. There are however quite a lot of differences in the calculation of the spectrum between the case of the superconducting strings and loops and the case of the Abelian Higgs strings that we have presented. It is the current in the superconducting string that generates the magnetic field through which the electrons move in the superconducting string case hence the current i is an important parameter in the final spectrum for the case of the superconducting string. In the case that has been discussed here, there is no string current involved in the generation of the magnetic field. The only cosmic string parameter that is relevant here is the deficit angle in the space time of the cosmic string. The cosmic string wake has a jet like geometry and the electron density is given by the shocks generated in the cosmic string wake.

The obtained spectrum in both the cases is over a large range of frequencies starting from

10^2 Hz and going all the way upto 10^{23} Hz. We now make a brief comparison of the results obtained from superconducting strings as in ref. [8] and the results we have obtained for the Abelian Higgs strings. In ref. [8] we see that the synchrotron radiation has a range starting from 10^6 Hz and going all the way upto 10^{23} Hz. The flux has a range of 10^{-24} to 10^{-14} for the superconducting string spectrum. For the same range of frequencies, we get the flux between 10^{-21} to 10^{-7} for the redshift parameter $z = 0.069$. The peak in the energy flux is higher in the current case. Even for the other values of z , we have studied, the peak flux is higher. The spectrum where different points have been mapped on the spectrum is for $z = 1$ and there we see that the peak is around 10^{-10} , so there is a greater possibility of detecting synchrotron radiation from electrons moving in the wake of a cosmic string where the magnetic field is formed from the Biermann mechanism.

The nature of the spectrum is also different in the two cases for the lower frequencies depending on the value of the redshift. The low frequency self absorption part is not so prominent in the case of the superconducting strings. However, it is quite significant for the case of the shock accelerated synchrotron radiation emitted from wakes where the magnetic field is generated by the Biermann mechanism. Thus there are several features which distinguish the spectrum of the superconducting string from the spectrum that we have obtained.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have studied the synchrotron radiation emitted by relativistic electrons moving in a cosmic string wake. The narrow width of the wake gives it a jet like structure. The magnetic field is generated by the Biermann mechanism. The string is not a superconducting cosmic string and hence the magnetic field calculation in the wake region is also different. In the case of the superconducting cosmic string, the synchrotron spectrum depends on the current i flowing along the string. Here the shocks generated in the string wakes are the primary factors determining the synchrotron radiation. The spectrum of radiation obtained is also different from the case of the superconducting string. The flux of radiation obtained is higher in this case and hence there is greater chance of detection of this radiation.

We obtain the spectrum for different values of the redshift z using a one zone leptonic

model. Unlike the Gamma Ray Bursts studied previously the γ factor is limited to lower values in this case. A cosmic string wake can generate γ factors ranging from $1 - 10^7$. For the higher limits the electrons are the shock accelerated electrons. We have assumed that the overall magnetic field is homogeneous over the width of the wake. Though it is possible that the magnetic field will have some small scale fluctuations due to turbulence in the plasma, for the current work we have not looked at such details.

We have found that the frequency spectrum obtained from the relativistic non-thermal electrons moving in the wake of a cosmic string will be over a wide range of frequencies. There is a broad region in the spectrum due to the multiple scattering of the electrons. The spectrum can be fitted with three separate power law exponents. There is only one broad peak in the spectrum. Thus the nature of the spectrum differs from the spectrum usually obtained from blazars or AGN's. Since there are still quite a few unidentified sources of radiations in the catalogues for the all sky surveys, we have attempted to find some of the data for the point sources in the given frequency range. We do find that several of the surveys (WISE, SWIFT and GALEX) have unknown sources with similar flux in the range of frequencies that are covered by the cosmic string wake.

We plan to extend this study further to include more details about the cosmic string wake in the modelling of the synchrotron radiation. Currently we have used a rather simple one zone leptonic model which is generally used for jets and simplifies the actual geometry of the wake structure. We have also used a homogeneous magnetic field in our calculation which may not be the case for the magnetic field in the cosmic string wake. It is quite possible that after generation of the seed field in the wake of the cosmic string, the evolution of the field by turbulence leads to a randomized field over short lengthscales. This would change the final spectrum of the synchrotron radiation. We hope to look at all these details in a future work so that we have a more distinct picture of the synchrotron radiation from electrons moving across the cosmic string wakes.

Acknowledgments

This research was carried out on the computational facility set up from funds given by the DST- SERB Power Grant no. SPG/2021/002228 of the Government of India. S.N acknowledges financial support from CSIR fellowship No. 09/414(2001)/2019-EMR-I given by the Human Resource Development, Government of India. D.K acknowledges financial

support from DST- SERB Power Grant no. SPG/2021/002228 of the Government of India. The authors would like to thank Abhisek Saha for help with the numerical codes and Sayantan Bhattacharya and Susmita Barman for suggestions and discussions regarding the experimental results. We also thank the anonymous referees for their comments which has helped to improve this work considerably.

-
- [1] Ramesh Narayan et. al. ApJ 912 35 (2021).
 - [2] Copeland Edmund J. and Kibble T. W. B. Proc. R. Soc. A.466623–657 (2010).
 - [3] N. Deruelle, B. Linet, Class. Quantum Grav. 5, 55 (1988).
 - [4] W.A. Hiscock, J.B. Lail, Phys. Rev. D 37, 869 (1988).
 - [5] D. N. Vollick, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3585, (1993).
 - [6] A. Beresnyak, ApJ 804 121, (2015).
 - [7] K. Dimopoulos, Phys. Rev. D 57, 4629 (1998); E. M. Chudnovsky, G. B. Field, D. N. Spergel and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 34, 944 (1986).
 - [8] D. A. Rogozin and L.V. Zadorozhna, Astron. Nachr., 334: 1051-1054 (2013).
 - [9] S. Sau, S. Sanyal, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 152 (2020).
 - [10] K.M. Schoeffler, N.F. Loureiro, R.A. Fonseca, L.O. Silva. Phys. Plasmas 23(5), 056304 (2016).
 - [11] D. Maibach, R. Brandenberger, D. Crichton, and A. Refregier, Physical Review D, 104(12), 123535 (2021).
 - [12] M. V. Medvedev, ApJ 540 704 (2000).
 - [13] G. D. Fleishman, ApJ 638 348 (2006).
 - [14] A. Stebbins, S. Veeraraghavan, R.H. Brandenberger, J. Silk, N. Turok, Astrophys. J. 322, 1 (1987).
 - [15] Andrew T. Sornborger and Robert H. Brandenberger and Bruce Fryxell and Kevin Olson, The Astrophysical Journal, 482, 22-32 (1996).
 - [16] G. A. Ponce and E. T. Vishniac, The Astrophysical Journal, 332:57-66, (1988).
 - [17] S. Nayak, S. Sau, S, Sanyal, Astroparticle Physics, 146,102805, (2023).
 - [18] T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D 45, 3487 (1992).
 - [19] E. R. Harrison, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 188 (1973).
 - [20] C. T. Hills and K. Lee, Nuclear Physics B297 765-786, (1988).

- [21] P. Peter, Phys. Rev. D 49, 5052 (1994).
- [22] R. H. Brandenberger, R. J. Danos, O. F. Hernández and G. P. Holder, JCAP12, 028, (2010).
- [23] M. J. Rees, Mon.Not. R. Astron. Soc.222, 27 (1986); J. Silk and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett.53, 1700 (1984); T. Vachaspati, Phys.Rev. Lett.57, 1655 (1986).
- [24] S. Delaney, P. Dempsey, P. Duffy and T. P. Downes, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 420, 3360–3367 (2012).
- [25] A.A. Galeev, Advances in Space Research, Volume 4, Pages 255-263,(1984).
- [26] A. Saha and S. Sanyal, JCAP03, 022, (2018).
- [27] B. Layek, S. Sanyal and A. M. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. D.63.083512, (2001).
- [28] A. Sornborger, Phys. Rev. D56, 6139, (1997).
- [29] C. Nigro, J. Sitarek, P. Gliwny and D. Sanchez, A. Tramacere and M. Craig, Astronomy and Astrophysics, Volume 660, A18, (2022).
- [30] High Energy Radiation from Black Holes, C. D. Dermer and G. Menon, Princeton University Press (2009).
- [31] T.R. Lewis, J. D. Finke and P. A. Becker ApJ 853, 6 (2018).
- [32] Kirk, J.G., 1994, in: Plasma Astrophysics, Benz, A.O., Courvoisier, T.J.L., Eds., Springer-Verlag (New York).
- [33] Hannes-S. Zechlin and Dieter Horns JCAP11, 050 (2012).
- [34] WISE data, Wright et. al (2010).<https://wise2.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/release/allsky/> (Last accessed 19/01/24).
- [35] A. Falcone et al., Systematic Search for X-ray Counterparts of Fermi-LAT Unassociated Sources Using Swift Observations, volume. 12 of AAS/High Energy Astrophysics Division, (2011).
- [36] Leire Beitia-Antero and Ana I. Gómez de Castro, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 596, A49 (2016) ;<https://galex.stsci.edu/GR6/> (Last accessed 19/01/24).