

GEOMETRIC DESCRIPTION OF SOME LOEWNER CHAINS WITH INFINITELY MANY SLITS

E. K. THEODOSIADIS AND K. ZARVALIS

ABSTRACT. We study the chordal Loewner equation associated with certain driving functions that produce infinitely many slits. Specifically, for a choice of a sequence of positive numbers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and points of the real line $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we explicitly solve the Loewner PDE

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(z, t) = -f'(z, t) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2b_n}{z - k_n \sqrt{1-t}}$$

in $\mathbb{H} \times [0, 1)$. Using techniques involving the harmonic measure, we analyze the geometric behaviour of its solutions, as $t \rightarrow 1^-$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an increasing family of slit domains $(H_t)_{0 \leq t < T}$ of the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} , that is $H_t := \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma([0, t])$ for a continuous curve $\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, with $\gamma(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma((0, T)) \subset \mathbb{H}$, there exists a family of conformal maps $g_t = g(\cdot, t) : H_t \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{H}$, normalized in such a way that the *hydrodynamic condition* $g_t(z) - z \rightarrow 0$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$, is satisfied. Furthermore, an application of the Schwarz reflection principle, shows that g_t has a Laurent expansion at infinity

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{b(t)}{z} + \dots,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that lie outside a disc containing $\gamma([0, t]) \cup \gamma([0, t])^*$, where K^* denotes the reflection of K with respect to the real axis. The coefficient $b(t) > 0$ is called the *half-plane capacity* of $\gamma([0, t])$, denoted by $\text{hcap}(\gamma([0, t]))$. Then, the *chordal* Loewner differential equation reads for the initial value problem

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t(z) = \frac{b'(t)}{g_t(z) - \lambda(t)}, \quad g_0(z) = z,$$

for all $z \in H_t$ and $0 \leq t < T$, where $\lambda(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function of t , given as $\lambda(t) = g_t^{-1}(\gamma(t))$, called the *driving function*. In the literature, the half-plane capacity mostly appears as $b(t) = 2t$, which is possible by means of a time reparameterization. See [10] for a detailed derivation of equation (1.1).

In the opposite direction, if we consider the initial value problem (1.1), we then let T_z be the supremum of all t , such that the solution to the equation is well defined and $g_t(z) \in \mathbb{H}$ for all $t \leq T_z$. Then, the domain $H_t := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : T_z > t\}$ is simply connected, $K_t := \mathbb{H} \setminus H_t$ is compact and $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_t$ is also simply connected. Moreover, g_t maps H_t conformally onto \mathbb{H} , satisfying the hydrodynamic condition. We refer to $(K_t)_t$, as the *compact hulls* generated by (1.1); see [5, Chapter 4] for details. It is not true, in general, that an arbitrary continuous function $\lambda(t)$ produces hulls that are curves. Several authors have studied the relation between a driving function

Date: September 25, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45, 35C05; Secondary 30C85.

Key words and phrases. Loewner equation, spirallike functions, harmonic measure.

and the corresponding hulls, showing that $\text{Lip}-\frac{1}{2}$ driving functions, with sufficiently small $\text{Lip}(\frac{1}{2})$ -norm, produce quasi-slit domains (see e.g. [6], [9] and [13]).

Turning back to equation (1.1), one can consider its multiple, finite or infinite, curve version. For instance, as we see in [14], given n disjoint Jordan curves that emanate from the real line towards the upper half-plane, the corresponding Loewner flow is produced by the driving functions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Furthermore, in [15], the authors show that if the hulls are made up of infinitely many slits Γ_n parameterized in $[0, 1]$ such that each curve $\Gamma_j(t)$ can be separated from the closure of $\bigcup_{n \neq j} \Gamma_j(t)$ at each time t , by open sets, then the Loewner equation is written as

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{b_n(t)}{g(z, t) - \lambda_n(t)},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Gamma_n(t)$ and a.e $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} b_n(t) = \partial_t \text{hcap}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Gamma_n(t))$.

To the authors' best knowledge, explicit solutions to the Loewner equation, which involve infinitely many slits, do not appear in the literature often. In this article, our goal is to present Loewner flows driven by infinitely many driving functions of the form $\lambda_n(t) = k_n \sqrt{1-t}$, by solving the corresponding differential equation. Single-slit versions have been studied in [4] and [7], where the authors begin with the driving function $\lambda(t) = k \sqrt{1-t}$, and show that the geometry of the associated slit varies according to k . In [16], the first author generalizes their result in the multi-slit version, by considering n driving functions of the form $k_j \sqrt{1-t}$, to find four different geometric possibilities for the resulting slits. More specifically, they either *spiral* about some point of the upper half-plane, or intersect the real line *non-tangentially* (each slit by a different angle), *tangentially* (all slits by the same angle, either 0 or π) or *orthogonally* (all slits by angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$). In this article, we shall extend the preceding result in the case of infinitely many slits and shall conclude that the same geometric possibilities occur.

To start with, we set our configuration by choosing a summable sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive numbers and a sequence of distinct real points $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ordered in such a way that \mathbb{R} can be written as a countable union of bounded intervals of the form $[k_m, k_{m'}]$, not containing in their interior any of the k_n 's, and unbounded intervals of the form $(-\infty, k_m]$ or $[k_{m'}, +\infty)$, if such intervals exist, again not containing any other point k_n . We formally write the last condition for the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{R} = \overline{I_-} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} \overline{I_j} \cup \overline{I_+}, \quad \text{where } I_j \text{ are defined for all } j \geq 1, \text{ such that}$$

there exists some $j' \neq j : I_j = (k_j, k_{j'})$, so that $k_n \notin I_j, \forall n \geq 1$,

$$I_- = (-\infty, \min_{n \geq 1} k_n) \text{ and } I_+ = (\max_{n \geq 1} k_n, +\infty), \text{ with } k_n \notin I_{\pm}, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Furthermore, we assume that $d := \inf_{n \geq 1} |I_n| > 0$.

Note that the left endpoint of I_j , determines its enumeration and note, also, that depending on the choice of the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, either one of the two unbounded sets I_- or I_+ might be empty. In particular, if I_+ is not empty, then the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ has a maximum, say k_N . In this case, the interval I_N as described above ceases to exist, but this omission does not impact our study.

Then, we write equation (1.2) as

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2b_n}{g(z, t) - k_n \sqrt{1-t}}$$

with $g(z, 0) = z$. The technique to solve this equation is straightforward and involves the transformation $\hat{g}(z, t) = \frac{g(z, t)}{\sqrt{1-t}}$, which, as we shall see later on, allows us to transform (1.4) into the separable differential equation $\frac{d\hat{g}}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\hat{g})} = \frac{dt}{2(1-t)}$, where

$$P_{\mathbb{H}}(z) := z + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{z - k_n}.$$

It turns out that the geometric properties of g depend on the nature of the roots of the auxiliary function $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. We can already see that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow k_m^+} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow k_m^-} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = -\infty$, which implies that there exists some $\lambda_m \in I_m$, real root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, so that $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_m) < 0$. For the sake of clarity, we proceed to the following definition.

Definition 1. We characterize any root $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, satisfying $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho) < 0$, as a *standard* root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Via the note above, we may see that each bounded interval I_m contains at least one standard root.

A basic part of our analysis is to decipher what other zeroes might exist. In Section 3, we uncover the properties of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, which will allow us to determine exactly the possible additional roots, apart from the standard roots λ_m mentioned above. For example, if we consider the case when only finitely many b_n 's are non-zero, then $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is a rational function and thus its roots are determined by a polynomial of finite degree. Hence, with the use of the fundamental theorem of algebra, we can distinguish between four cases for the roots, namely one complex root (and its conjugate), or a multiple real root of degree 2 or 3, or distinct real roots; see [16] for details. In the case of infinitely many driving functions, the corresponding auxiliary function $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is no longer rational and hence the aforementioned analysis no longer applies. Therefore, we come up with different strategies and we use complex analytic methods to deduce our results. It is our intention, at first, to prove that the same four cases are the only possibilities, as they appear in the proposition below.

Proposition 2. (1) *If there exists a complex root $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$ of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, then $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ are the unique roots in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, they are simple and each bounded interval I_j (as described in (1.3)) has exactly one root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, which is the standard root λ_j . If there exist unbounded intervals, they contain no real roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$.*

(2) *If some interval I_j contains distinct simple real roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, then I_j has exactly three distinct roots, two of which are standard, if it is bounded, or two distinct roots, one of which is standard, if it is unbounded. Each other interval I_k has exactly one root, which is the standard, if I_k is bounded and none if I_k is unbounded, and $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has no complex roots.*

(3) *If there exists a multiple real root ρ_0 of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, lying either in a bounded or in an unbounded interval (assuming that such an unbounded interval exists), then $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has only real roots and we have the following cases:*

- (a) *either ρ_0 is a double root and each bounded interval I_j has exactly one simple root, the standard root λ_j , while if there exist unbounded intervals, they contain no roots (except possibly ρ_0),*
- (b) *or ρ_0 is a triple root which can only lie in some bounded interval I_m and coincide with the standard root λ_m . Each other bounded interval I_j has exactly one simple root, the standard root λ_j , while if there exist unbounded intervals, they contain no roots.*

We summarize by presenting the main result of this work. But before that, let us point out that it is useful to consider the inverse functions $f(\cdot, t) := f_t = g_t^{-1}$:

$\mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{onto} H_t$. It is then direct to see by equation (1.4), that f satisfies the PDE in $\mathbb{H} \times [0, 1)$,

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(z, t) = -f'(z, t) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2b_n}{z - k_n \sqrt{1-t}},$$

with initial value $f(z, 0) = z$. We refer to the solution of the preceding equation as the *chordal Loewner flow*. Having established all possibilities for the roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ in Section 3, we then proceed to Section 4, where we explicitly solve equation (1.5) and visualize the geometry of the flow. In addition, we are going to describe its asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow 1^-$. This behavior has been studied extensively in the past. However, in this article, we provide another approach towards the aim of tackling the problem. More specifically, we make use of a conformal invariant, the *harmonic measure*. In fact, harmonic measure and its conformally invariant nature prove to be a powerful tool for studying how a trajectory behaves asymptotically.

We are, now, ready to state our main result.

Theorem 3. *Consider a summable sequence of positive numbers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and a sequence of distinct real point $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, satisfying condition (1.3). Then, the initial value problem (1.5) admits a unique solution in $\mathbb{H} \times [0, 1)$ and we distinguish the following cases:*

- (1) *If $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$, then the Loewner flow is of the form*

$$f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left((1-t)^{\alpha e^{-i\psi}} h \left((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right),$$

where h maps the upper half plane onto the complement of infinitely many logarithmic spirals of angle $-\psi$, where α and ψ depend on the sequences $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

- (2) *If $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has three distinct real roots, in some interval described in (1.3), then the Loewner flow is of the form*

$$f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left((1-t)^{\alpha} h \left((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right),$$

where h is a Schwarz-Cristoffel map of the upper half plane, that maps \mathbb{H} onto \mathbb{H} minus infinitely many line segments emanating from the origin.

- (3) *If $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a multiple root, either double or triple, then the Loewner flow is of the form*

$$f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(1-t) + h \left((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right),$$

where h is a univalent map of the upper half plane, that maps \mathbb{H} onto:

- (a) *either a horizontal half-plane, minus infinitely many half-lines parallel to \mathbb{R} , extending to the point at infinity from the left, if the root is double,*
- (b) *or the complement of infinitely many half-lines parallel to \mathbb{R} , extending to the point at infinity from the left, if the root is triple.*

Furthermore, for all $n \geq 1$, we have that the trajectories of the driving functions $\hat{\gamma}^{(n)} := \{f(k_n \sqrt{1-t}, t) : t \in [0, 1)\}$, are smooth curves of \mathbb{H} starting at k_n , that spiral about the point β in case (1), intersect \mathbb{R} at one of the real roots non-tangentially in case (2) and intersect \mathbb{R} at the multiple root tangentially in case (3a) or orthogonally in case (3b).

The structure of the article is as follows: In Section 2, we collect the preliminary results that will be needed during the course of the proofs. Then, in Section 3, we will proceed to a complete study of the function $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ and its nature by examining its roots. Finally, in Section 4, we shall state and prove a series of lemmas and propositions, whose combination will lead to Theorem 3.




FIGURE 1. The geometric behaviour of the tip points $f(k_n\sqrt{1-t}, t)$ for each case of Theorem 3.

2. BASIC TOOLS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Theory of conformal mappings. We begin by presenting some basic definitions about spirallike domains, that will be necessary for later. A *logarithmic spiral of angle* $\psi \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ in the complex plane, that joins the origin with infinity and passes from some point $w_0 \neq 0$, is defined as the curve with parameterization $S : w = w_0 \exp(-e^{i\psi} t)$, $-\infty \leq t \leq +\infty$.

Definition 4. (1) A simply connected domain D , that contains the origin, is said to be ψ -*spirallike* (with respect to 0), if for any point $w_0 \in D$, the logarithmic spiral $S : w = w_0 \exp(-e^{i\psi} t)$, $0 \leq t \leq +\infty$, is contained in D .

(2) A univalent function $f \in H(\mathbb{H})$, with $f(\beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$, is said to be ψ -*spirallike* (with respect to β), if it maps the upper half plane onto a ψ -spirallike domain D (with respect to 0).

For more details on spirallike functions, the interested reader may refer to [3, §2.7]. Note that 0-spirals are half-lines emanating from the origin and extending to infinity. We refer to 0-spirallike domains/functions as *starlike* domains/functions. The following theorem gives an analytic characterization of spirallike mappings.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in H(\mathbb{H})$, with $f'(\beta) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = \beta$. Then, f is ψ -spirallike, if and only if

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(e^{-i\psi} \frac{(z - \beta)(z - \bar{\beta})f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. By [3, Theorem 2.19], a function $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, with $g'(0) \neq 0$ and $g(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$, is ψ -spirallike, if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\psi} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Considering the Möbius transform $T(z) = \frac{z-\beta}{z-\bar{\beta}} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and applying the preceding result to the function $g := f \circ T^{-1}$, the desired inequality follows. \square

Aside from that and returning to our setting, as we mentioned in the introduction, when b_n is non-zero for finitely many $n \geq 1$, $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is a rational function. As we

shall see in Section 4, in order to solve PDE (1.5), we need to apply partial fraction decomposition on $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}}$, which can be done since this is a rational function as well. However, the same method is not applicable when $b_n > 0$, for all $n \geq 1$. For this reason, we are going to require the following theorem, which is an application of the residue calculus (see [8, Section II.9]) for details.

Theorem 6. [8, Theorem II.2.7] *Let f be an analytic function in \mathbb{C} , whose only singularities are poles in the finite plane, say $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$, and let G_n be the principal part of f at each λ_n , respectively. Let $(L_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of contours which satisfy the following:*

- (i) *each contour L_n contains finitely many poles,*
- (ii) *$0 \in L_n \subset \text{Int}(L_{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 1$,*
- (iii) *$r_n := \text{dist}(0, L_n) \rightarrow +\infty$.*

If $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{L_n} |f(\zeta)| d\zeta < \infty$, then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} G_n(z)$$

and the convergence is uniform on compacta.

2.2. Harmonic Measure. During the course of several proofs, we are going to utilize one conformal invariant, the *harmonic measure*. An introductory presentation of its rich theory may be found in [12]. For the purposes of the present article, we will only review some basic facts. Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain with non-polar boundary. Let E be a Borel subset of $\partial\Omega$. Then, the harmonic measure of E with respect to Ω is exactly the solution of the generalized Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian in Ω with boundary function equal to 1 on E and to 0 on $\partial\Omega \setminus E$. For the harmonic measure of E with respect to Ω and for $z \in \Omega$, we use the notation $\omega(z, E, \Omega)$. It is known that for a fixed $z \in \Omega$, $\omega(z, \cdot, \Omega)$ is a Borel probability measure on $\partial\Omega$.

As we already mentioned, the harmonic measure is conformally invariant. In addition, it has a very useful monotonicity property. More specifically, let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be two domains with non-polar boundaries and let $E \subset \partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2$. Then,

$$\omega(z, E, \Omega_1) \leq \omega(z, E, \Omega_2), \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega_1.$$

Furthermore, later on we will need some formulas for harmonic measure in certain particular domains (see [12, p.100]). Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Then,

$$\omega(z, [a, b], \mathbb{H}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \left(\frac{z - b}{z - a} \right).$$

As a consequence, given any curve $\gamma : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(t) = \infty$, it can be easily calculated that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(\gamma(t), [a, b], \mathbb{H}) = 0$.

Finally, for the angular domain $U_{\alpha, \beta} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \arg w < \beta\}$, where $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < 2\pi$ (or $-\pi \leq \alpha < \beta < \pi$), and for $z \in U_{\alpha, \beta}$, we have

$$\omega(z, \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \arg w = \alpha\}, U_{\alpha, \beta}) = \frac{\beta - \arg z}{\beta - \alpha} = 1 - \omega(z, \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \arg w = \beta\}, U_{\alpha, \beta}).$$

Remark 7. Suppose that $E \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} is the unit disk, is a circular arc with endpoints a, b . Then, we know (see e.g. [2, p.155]) that the level set

$$D_k = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \omega(z, E, \mathbb{D}) = k\}, \quad k \in (0, 1),$$

is a circular arc (or a diameter in case $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and E is a half-circle) inside \mathbb{D} with endpoints a, b that intersects $\partial\mathbb{D}$ with angles $k\pi$ and $(1 - k)\pi$.

Remark 8. An important piece of information with regard to harmonic measure is its probabilistic interpretation. In particular, the quantity $\omega(z, E, \Omega)$ represents the probability of a Brownian motion starting at z to exit the domain Ω for the

first time passing through E . This thought, combined with the previous remark, justifies intuitively our usage of harmonic measure in the study of angles.

Remark 9. In many cases, instead of Borel sets, we use sets of prime ends for the harmonic measure. This is possible through Carathéodory's Theorem concerning the extension of the Riemann mapping theorem to the boundary (see [11, Chapter 9] for details on prime ends and the boundary behavior of conformal mappings). To be more exact, suppose that $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain with non-polar boundary and $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a corresponding Riemann mapping. Suppose that $E \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ is Borel. Then, this set E corresponds through f to a set of prime ends $f(\hat{E})$ of Ω (if Ω is not a Jordan domain, then there might not be an one-to-one correspondence between $f(\hat{E})$ and points of $\partial\Omega$ that are limit points of $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)$, $\zeta \in E$). Therefore, by the conformal invariance of the harmonic measure and Carathéodory's Theorem, we may write

$$\omega(z, E, \mathbb{D}) = \omega(f(z), f(\hat{E}), \Omega), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

3. PROPERTIES OF THE DRIVING FUNCTION

Given a summable sequence of non-negative numbers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and a sequence of real points $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, the function

$$P_{\mathbb{H}}(z) := z + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{z - k_n}$$

is quickly verified to converge locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{(k_n)_{n \geq 1}}$. As we mentioned previously, the key role with regard to the geometry of the slits is played by the roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. If the non-zero terms of the sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are finitely many, then $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is a rational function. Therefore, we are able to use the fundamental theorem of algebra in order to count its roots and then distinguish the cases when it has complex roots or only real roots. But since we do not have this theorem at our disposal in this case, we need to come up with different techniques. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Given the parameters above we have the following expressions:*

(1) If $F(z, w) := \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)}{(z - w)(z - \bar{w})}$, then

$$F(z, w) = \left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(w)}{z - w} - \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\bar{w})}{z - \bar{w}} \right) \frac{1}{w - \bar{w}} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{(z - k_n)(w - k_n)^2},$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $z \neq w$.

(2) If $H(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)}{(z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)}$, then

$$H(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_1)}{z - \lambda_1} - \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_2)}{z - \lambda_2} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{(z - k_n)(\lambda_1 - k_n)(\lambda_2 - k_n)},$$

for all $z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $z \neq \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

(3) If $G(z, \lambda) := \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)}{(z - \lambda)^2}$, then

$$G(z, \lambda) = \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda)}{z - \lambda} + \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda)}{(z - \lambda)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{(z - k_n)(\lambda - k_n)^2},$$

for all $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $z \neq \lambda$.

Proof. We commence by proving relation (2). By executing consecutive partial fraction decompositions, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \frac{z}{(z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\frac{4b_n}{z - k_n}}{(z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{z - \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{z - \lambda_2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{(z - k_n)(z - \lambda_1)} - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{(z - k_n)(z - \lambda_2)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{z - \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{z - \lambda_2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{4b_n}{\lambda_1 - k_n}}{z - \lambda_1} - \frac{\frac{4b_n}{\lambda_1 - k_n}}{z - k_n} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\frac{4b_n}{\lambda_2 - k_n}}{z - \lambda_2} - \frac{\frac{4b_n}{\lambda_2 - k_n}}{z - k_n} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{\lambda_1 - k_n}}{z - \lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_2 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{\lambda_2 - k_n}}{z - \lambda_2} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\frac{4b_n}{\lambda_2 - k_n} - \frac{4b_n}{\lambda_1 - k_n}}{z - k_n}
\end{aligned}$$

and the third statement follows. Notice that $F(z, w) = H(z, w, \bar{w})$ and $G(z, \lambda) = H(z, \lambda, \lambda)$. Then, relations (1) and (3) follow. \square

Definition 11. An interval of the form $I_n = (k_n, k_{n'})$ that does not contain any point of the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is called *bounded interval* of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. If there exists an interval of the form $I_- = (-\infty, k_{n'})$ or $I_+ = (k_{n'}, +\infty)$ that does not contain any point of the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, then it is called *left or right unbounded interval* of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, respectively.

The preceding proposition is important, as it reveals the mechanism, according to which, $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ can have a unique complex root, or a unique multiple real root, or there exists a unique interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ (bounded or unbounded) that contains three distinct real roots. Indeed, as we shall see in a subsequent corollary, the left summands in the relations (1) – (3) above vanish, when w, λ, λ_j are roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ and as a result the imaginary parts of F, G and H are negative and positive in the upper half plane and in the lower half plane, respectively, allowing us to deduce uniqueness.

Lemma 12. *A bounded interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ contains either one or three roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, counting multiplicity. An unbounded interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ contains either none or two roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, counting multiplicity.*

Proof. In a bounded interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, say $(k_n, k_{n'})$, we observe that $\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni x \rightarrow k_n^+} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni x \rightarrow k_{n'}^-} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = -\infty$. Thus, there exists some $\lambda_n \in (k_n, k_{n'})$, root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Because the third derivative of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is always negative in \mathbb{R} , by Rolle's theorem, we can have, counting multiplicity, up to three real roots in $(k_n, k_{n'})$. In particular, if $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has exactly two distinct roots, then one of them is necessarily a double root.

Besides, in case there exists a left unbounded interval, then we may compute that $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = -\infty$, whereas if there exists a right unbounded interval, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} P_{\mathbb{H}}(x) = +\infty$. Using similar arguments as above, we may deduce the desired result. \square

As a direct corollary we may now prove Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2. (1) Assume that $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$ is a complex root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Since the parameters b_n and k_n are real, $\bar{\beta}$ is also a root. By Lemma 10, the imaginary part of $F(z, \beta)$ is negative for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and positive for all $z \in -\mathbb{H}$. This implies that $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ are simple roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ and they are the unique non-real roots. Finally, we have that $F'(x, \beta) < 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, which means that $F(\cdot, \beta)$ is decreasing in each interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ and the result follows.

(2) Assume that there exist two distinct roots λ_1, λ_2 in some interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Again, we have that $\text{Im}(H(z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)) \neq 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Hence, H and by extension $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ cannot have non-real roots. Since λ_1, λ_2 lie in the same interval, we

have that $(\lambda_1 - k_n)(\lambda_2 - k_n) > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $H'(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) < 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and this completes the proof.

(3) By Lemma 10, the imaginary part of $G(z, \rho_0)$ is negative for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and positive for all $z \in -\mathbb{H}$. Therefore, G and $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ have no roots in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. As before, we have that $G'(x, \rho_0) < 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (k_n)_{n \geq 1}$, which means that $G(\cdot, \rho)$ is decreasing in each interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. This monotony implies the desired result. \square

We recall at this point that by condition (1.3), the terms of $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are chosen in such a way that $d := \inf_{n \neq m} |k_n - k_m| > 0$. This allows for the very useful property that for any $\epsilon \leq \frac{d}{4}$, $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ is convergent uniformly in the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} D(k_n, \epsilon)$. Under this assumption, we can prove the following result.

Proposition 13. *Consider a sequence of non-negative numbers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and a sequence of real points $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying condition (1.3) and denote by $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ the standard roots of Proposition 2. We distinguish the following cases in accordance with Proposition 2:*

(1) *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$ be a complex root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ (along with its conjugate $\bar{\beta}$) and define $\psi := \text{Arg}(P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta))$. Then,*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)} = \frac{1}{z - \beta} + \frac{1}{z - \bar{\beta}} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_n}.$$

Furthermore, we have that $\psi \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} + \frac{2 \cos(\psi)}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)|} = 1.$$

(2) *Assume that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has only distinct real roots in some interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, either three in a bounded one, say $\lambda_j < \rho_1 < \rho_2$, or two in an unbounded, say ρ_1, ρ_2 . Then,*

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)} = \frac{1}{z - \rho_1} + \frac{1}{z - \rho_2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} + \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)} + \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_2)} = 1.$$

(3a) *Let $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real double root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then,*

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)} = \frac{2}{(z - \rho_0)^2} + \frac{-2P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)}{3(P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(2)}(\rho_0))^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$-\frac{2P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)}{3(P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(2)}(\rho_0))^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} = 1$$

(3b) *Let $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real triple root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then, $\rho_0 = \lambda_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)} = \frac{6}{(z - \rho_0)^3} + \frac{-3P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(4)}(\rho_0)}{2(P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0))^2} + 2 \frac{\left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(4)}(\rho_0)}{4!}\right)^2 - \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(5)}(\rho_0)}{3!5!}}{\left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)}{3!}\right)^3 (z - \rho_0)} + \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$2 \frac{\left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(4)}(\rho_0)}{4!}\right)^2 - \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(5)}(\rho_0)}{3!5!}}{\left(\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}^{(3)}(\rho_0)}{3!}\right)^3} + \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} = 1.$$

Proof. We first establish the representation formulas (3.1)–(3.4). Assume, without loss of generality, that the sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ accumulates at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. So, we can extract a subsequence $(k_{m_n}^1)_{n \geq 1}$ that increases to $+\infty$. Let R_n be the middle points of the intervals $I_{m_n}^1$ (see condition (1.3)) and observe that $(R_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing to $+\infty$. We, now, construct a sequence of rectangles $(L_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in the following manner: first we consider L_n to be the square of center 0 with sides parallel to the axes, passing from the point R_n . If $-R_n$ happens to be the middle point of an interval $(k_{m_{n_2}}^2, k_{m_{n_1}}^2)$, we leave L_n as is. If not, we transform L_n into a rectangle passing from the points $R_n, \pm iR_n$ and $-R_n - \epsilon_n$ (or $-R_n + \epsilon_n$), where $\epsilon_n < \frac{d}{2}$ is picked in such a way that $|\zeta - k_m| \geq \frac{d}{2}$, for all $\zeta \in L_n$ and every $m \geq 1$. We then have that $L_n \subset \text{Int}(L_{n+1})$ and the perimeter of L_n is less than $8R_n + 2\epsilon_n$, for all $n \geq 1$. We may assume that for each case described above, the additional roots $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ or ρ_j , lie in $\text{Int}(L_1)$ and $R_1 > \sigma := \frac{2}{d} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 4b_n$. We then have that $|P_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta)| = \left| \zeta + \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_m}{\zeta - k_m} \right| \geq R_n - \frac{d}{2} - \sigma$, for all $\zeta \in L_n$. This implies that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{L_n} \frac{1}{|P_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta)|} |d\zeta| < +\infty$. For every $n \geq 1$, recall that λ_n is a simple root of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, hence a simple pole $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}}$ with residue $\frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)}$. For the cases (1) and (2), the roots $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ and ρ_j , $j = 1, 2$, are also simple poles of $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}}$, while in cases (3a) and (3b), the root ρ_0 is a pole of second or third order, respectively. Therefore, writing down the principal part of $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}}$ around each pole, we apply Theorem 6 and we conclude relations (3.1)–(3.4). The convergence is locally uniform.

Next, we shall establish the second part of (1). Using Lemma 10, we observe that $\text{Im}F(\beta, \beta) = \text{Im} \frac{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)|e^{i\psi}}{2i\text{Im}\beta} < 0$ and hence $\cos(\psi) > 0$. To prove the last statement, we have that

$$\frac{iy}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(iy)} = \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)} \frac{iy}{iy - \beta} + \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\bar{\beta})} \frac{iy}{iy - \bar{\beta}} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} \frac{iy}{iy - \lambda_n},$$

for all $y > 0$. At first, we need to show that the $(1/P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is summable. Taking the real parts in the preceding relation, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} \frac{y^2}{y^2 + \lambda_n^2} = \text{Re} \left(\frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)} \frac{iy}{iy - \beta} + \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\bar{\beta})} \frac{iy}{iy - \bar{\beta}} - \frac{iy}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(iy)} \right) =: \Phi(y) > 0$$

for all $y \geq 0$. Aiming for a contradiction, we assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} = +\infty$, we then consider some natural $N \geq 1$, such that its N -th partial sum is larger than $M := 2 \max_{y \geq 0} \Phi(y) < \infty$. Then, we choose $y_0 > 0$, so that $\frac{y_0^2}{y_0^2 + \lambda_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $n = 1, \dots, N$. As a result,

$$\Phi(y_0) \geq \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} \frac{y_0^2}{y_0^2 + \lambda_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} > \frac{M}{2} \geq \Phi(y_0).$$

This contradiction shows that the series is convergent, and finally, letting $y \rightarrow +\infty$, by the uniform convergence of the series, we deduce the second statement of (1). The other cases follow similarly, so we omit their proof. \square

In the last proposition, we made four different assumptions regarding the roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Obviously, each of these cases occurs depending on the choice of the sequences

$(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$. The following proposition states that for every such choice, one of these four cases can turn up and they are mutually exclusive, thus, exactly one of the four holds.

Proposition 14. *Given a sequence of real points $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and a summable sequence of positive numbers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$, then only one of the possible cases of Proposition 2 can occur. Therefore, either $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root or one of the intervals of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has three roots counting multiplicity, if the interval is bounded, or two roots counting multiplicity if it is unbounded.*

Proof. Assume that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has no complex roots. We will prove that there exists an interval (bounded or unbounded) that contains three or two roots counting multiplicity. Assume on the contrary that this is not true and hence each bounded interval I_n contains only the standard root λ_n and each unbounded (if it exists) contains no roots. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 13, we have that $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_n}$, which implies that

$$1 = \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{iy}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(iy)} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} < 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, there exists some interval that contains more than one root and by Proposition 2, this is the unique interval that will contain three roots, if it is bounded, or two if it is unbounded, counting multiplicity.

On the other hand, if $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root, then by Proposition 2, this root along with its conjugate are the sole complex roots and each bounded interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has exactly one real root, whereas if there exists an unbounded one, it has no real roots. □

4. THE CHORDAL LOEWNER FLOW

Recall, now, that our purpose is to solve PDE (1.5) and describe its solutions geometrically. For this, we consider the corresponding ODE

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{dw}{dt}(z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2b_n}{w(z, t) - k_n \sqrt{1-t}}$$

with initial value $w(z, 0) = z$. This becomes, using the transform $v = (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}w$,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{dv}{dt}(z, t) = \frac{1}{2(1-t)} \left(v(z, t) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4b_n}{v(z, t) - k_n} \right) = \frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}(v(z, t))}{2(1-t)}.$$

This is a separable differential equation and therefore, the initial value problem (1.5) can be solved by integrating the equation

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(v)} dv = \frac{1}{2(1-t)} dt.$$

For this reason, fix some $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and consider the function $\phi(z) := \int_{z_0}^z \frac{d\zeta}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta)}$, which is well defined and analytic, since \mathbb{H} is simply connected. The function ϕ is going to play the role of the primitive of $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}}$. Looking at relations (3.1) – (3.4), the following lemma will be necessary for later.

Lemma 15. *Let $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an absolutely summable sequence of complex numbers and let $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real points. Fix some $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, the function*

$$\psi(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \log \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n}$$

is analytic in \mathbb{H} and continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{H} \setminus (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the series converges uniformly in a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Let $R > |z_0|$ such that $K \subset D(0, R)$ and let $d := \text{dist}(K, \overline{(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}})$. Clearly $d > 0$. For all those $n \geq 1$ such that $|\lambda_n| \leq R$ and for $z \in K$, we have that

$$\frac{d}{|z_0| + R} \leq \left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \leq \frac{|z| + |\lambda_n|}{|z_0 - \lambda_n|} \leq \frac{2R}{\text{Im} z_0}.$$

Thus, there exists some $M_1(K) > 0$ such that $\left| \log \left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \right| \leq M_1(K)$. On the other hand, for all those $n \geq 1$ such that $|\lambda_n| > R$, we have that

$$\left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| = \left| \frac{z - z_0 + z_0 - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \leq 1 + \frac{R + |z_0|}{R - |z_0|} = \frac{2R}{R - |z_0|}.$$

Now, if $R < |\lambda_n| \leq 2R$, then $\left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \geq \frac{d}{|z_0| + 2R}$ whereas if $|\lambda_n| > 2R$, we have that $\left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \geq \frac{|\lambda_n| - R}{|\lambda_n| + R} > \frac{1}{3}$. In total, we may find some $M_2(K) > 0$, such that $\left| \log \left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \right| \leq M_2(K)$. Letting $M > \max\{M_1(K), M_2(K)\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \log \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |A_n| \left| \log \left| \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |A_n| \left| \arg \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| \\ &\leq (M + 2\pi) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |A_n| < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows. \square

The usefulness of the preceding lemma lies in the fact that depending on the choice of the parameters, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \log(z - \lambda_n)$ might not be convergent. We overcome this problem by fixing some z_0 and using the function ψ above. In order to study equation (1.5), we distinguish the four possible cases of Proposition 13. According to Proposition 14, all cases can arise and hence we study each case separate in the upcoming subsections. We fix some arbitrary point $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$, according to Lemma 15.

Roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$	Geometry of the slits
$P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$.	γ_n spirals about β , $\forall n \geq 1$.
$P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a double root $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.	γ_n intersects \mathbb{R} tangentially at ρ_0 , $\forall n \geq 1$.
$P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a triple root $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.	γ_n intersects \mathbb{R} orthogonally at ρ_0 , $\forall n \geq 1$.
$P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has distinct real roots and $\exists \rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) > 0$.	γ_n intersects \mathbb{R} non-tangentially at some ρ_1 , $\forall n \geq 1$.

TABLE 1. All options for the roots of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ (left column) and the corresponding behaviour of the slits (right column).

4.1. Spirals. At first, assume that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root $\beta \in \mathbb{H}$. Utilizing Proposition 13, equation (4.3) may be written as

$$\left(\frac{1}{v - \beta} + \frac{e^{2i\psi}}{v - \bar{\beta}} - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left| \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} \right| e^{i\psi}}{v - \lambda_n} \right) dv = \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2(1-t)} dt.$$

Setting $\alpha_n := \left| \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)} \right|$, it is straightforward by Proposition 13 that the sequence $(-a_n e^{i\psi})_{n \geq 1}$ is absolutely summable. Hence, through a slight reformulation of Lemma 15, the infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right)^{-\alpha_n e^{i\psi}}$ is well defined. Therefore, the preceding equation gives the implicit solution $h(v(z, t)) = (1 - t)^{-\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2}} h(z)$, where

$$(4.4) \quad h(z) = e^{\phi(z)} = \frac{z - \beta}{z_0 - \beta} \left(\frac{z - \bar{\beta}}{z_0 - \bar{\beta}} \right)^{e^{2i\psi}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right)^{-\alpha_n e^{i\psi}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 16. *The function h defined by (4.4) is analytic and univalent in the upper half-plane. In particular, h is a ψ -spirallike function of \mathbb{H} , with $\psi \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$.*

Proof. As before, by Lemma 15, the infinite product converges locally uniformly, therefore analyticity follows directly. In general, if a sequence of analytic functions converges locally uniformly, then so does the sequence of the derivatives. Hence, differentiating h , we interchange limit and derivative and then apply Proposition 13 to get

$$\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{z - \beta} + \frac{e^{2i\psi}}{z - \bar{\beta}} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{-\alpha_n e^{i\psi}}{z - \lambda_n} = \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. Using Lemma 10 and keeping in mind that $\text{Im}(F(z, \beta)) < 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we have that

$$\text{Im} \left(e^{-i\psi} \frac{(z - \beta)(z - \bar{\beta})h'(z)}{h(z)} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)|}{F(z, \beta)} \right) > 0,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. As a consequence, according to Theorem 5, h is a ψ -spirallike (and by extension univalent) function of the upper half-plane. \square

Using the preceding proposition, the univalence of h shows that

$$v(z, t) = h^{-1} \left((1 - t)^{-\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2}} h(z) \right),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. In other words, returning back to $w = (1 - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} v$ and then to $f = w^{-1}$, we have that the PDE (1.5) is satisfied by the function

$$f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left((1 - t)^{\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2}} h \left((1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right),$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t \in [0, 1)$.

Remark 17. Note at this point that f is independent of the choice of $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. Indeed, if we denote by $\phi_{z_0}(z) = \int_{z_0}^z \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta)} d\zeta$, then we have that $\phi'_{z_0} = \phi'_{z'_0}$, for any other choice z'_0 . Hence, $h_{z_0} = c h_{z'_0}$, for some constant number c . However, due to the conjugation formula above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{z_0}(z, t) &:= h_{z_0}^{-1} \left((1 - t)^{\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2}} h_{z_0} \left((1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right) \\ &= h_{z'_0}^{-1} \left((1 - t)^{\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)}{2}} h_{z'_0} \left((1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right) =: f_{z'_0}(z, t) \end{aligned}$$

and thus f is well defined.

Lemma 18. *The function h defined by (4.4) maps the upper half-plane onto the complement of infinitely many logarithmic spirals joining the tip points $h(k_n)$ to the point at infinity. Moreover, $h(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. By Proposition 16, it suffices to find the image of the real line under h . By (4.4), using the elementary trigonometric identities $e^{2i\psi} + 1 = 2\cos(\psi)e^{i\psi}$ and $e^{2i\psi} - 1 = 2i\sin(\psi)e^{i\psi}$, a series of straightforward computations shows that for each $m \geq 1$, $h(x) = h(k_m)C \exp(e^{i\psi} S_m(x) - ie^{i\psi} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \operatorname{Arg}(\frac{x-\lambda_n}{k_m-\lambda_n}))$, where

$$S_m(x) = 2\log\left|\frac{x-\beta}{k_m-\beta}\right| \cos(\psi) + 2\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{x-\beta}{k_m-\beta}\right) \sin(\psi) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log\left|\frac{x-\lambda_n}{k_m-\lambda_n}\right|$$

and $C \in \mathbb{C}$ is some absolute constant. Assume, for the sake of simplicity, that $C = 1$ (see Remark 17). Now, let $\lambda_{m*} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the largest λ_j , so that $k_m \in (\lambda_{m*}, \lambda_m)$. Restricting this interval, we see that $h(x) = h(k_m) \exp(e^{i\psi} S_m(x))$, which implies the first part of the lemma.

For the second part, again by straightforward calculations, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \log|h(x)| &= \cos(\psi) \left(2\log|x-\beta| \cos(\psi) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log\left|\frac{x-\lambda_n}{z_0-\lambda_n}\right| \right) \\ &\quad + 2\cos(\psi) \sin(\psi) \operatorname{Arg}(x-\beta) + \sin(\psi) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{x-\lambda_n}{z_0-\lambda_n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the only possible accumulation points of the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are $\pm\infty$. Let us assume, without loss of generality, that it accumulates at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. We will show that $|h(x)| \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Consider large enough $x > 0$, say $x > \operatorname{Re}\beta + 1$. By the first part of Proposition 13 and the fact the $\cos(\psi) > 0$, we get that


$$\begin{aligned} \log|h(x)| &\approx \log|x-\beta| |P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)| + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log\left|\frac{x-\beta}{x-\lambda_n}(z_0-\lambda_n)\right| \\ &\geq \log|x-\beta| |P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\beta)| + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log\left|\frac{x-\operatorname{Re}\beta}{x-\lambda_n}(z_0-\lambda_n)\right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol \approx denotes comparability. We will prove that the preceding sum is bounded below, therefore the right-hand side tends to infinity. To see this, we split the sum to those indices such that $\lambda_n > \operatorname{Re}\beta + 1$ and the rest. It is easy to see that for those indices, we have that $\left|\frac{x-\operatorname{Re}\beta}{x-\lambda_n}\right| \geq \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda_n-\operatorname{Re}\beta-1}\} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n-\operatorname{Re}\beta-1}$, for large n , since $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ accumulate at ∞ . This implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda_n \geq \operatorname{Re}\beta+1} a_n \log\left|\frac{x-\operatorname{Re}\beta}{x-\lambda_n}(z_0-\lambda_n)\right| \geq \sum_{\lambda_n \geq \operatorname{Re}\beta+1} a_n \log\left|\frac{z_0-\lambda_n}{\operatorname{Re}\beta+1-\lambda_n}\right|.$$

The case for the indices so that $\lambda_n < 0$ is easier and one can see that $\log\left|\frac{x-\operatorname{Re}\beta}{x-\lambda_n}\right|$ is positive. Combining everything together, we deduce that $|h(x)| \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and similarly when x tends to $-\infty$, the result follows. \square

By Lemma 18 we see that the image of h is the complement of infinitely many spirals with tip points $h(k_n)$, so that $h(h_n) \rightarrow \infty$, as in Figure 2. One can also show that there exists a *spirallike sector* of angle ψ and amplitude $\frac{1}{\cos(\psi)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \pi$, so that it does not contain any spirals $\Gamma(s) = h(k_n)e^{i\psi s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Figure 2). Such a sector is defined as the set $\operatorname{Spir}[\psi, \alpha, \theta_0] = \{e^{e^{i\psi}t+i\theta} : t \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in (\theta_0 - \alpha, \theta_0 + \alpha)\}$ (see [1], p. 385). Note that in order to determine the amplitude of the sector, this is done by computing the amplitude of the points of intersection of the boundary spirals S_1, S_2 of the sector, with the unit circle. Thus, if $\Gamma(s_{0,n}) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, where $s_{0,n} = -\frac{1}{\cos(\psi)} \log|h(k_n)|$, we then let $\zeta_1 := \lim_{k_n \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(s_{0,n})$ and $\zeta_2 :=$


 FIGURE 2. The image of h , when $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a complex root.

$\lim_{k_n \rightarrow -\infty} \Gamma(s_{0,n})$ to be the points $S_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $S_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ respectively. It is, now, a matter of straightforward calculations to determine the points $\zeta_j \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, thus the amplitude of the sector.

4.2. Non-tangential intersections. Assume that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has two distinct real roots ρ_1, ρ_2 in some interval of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. Relabeling, if necessary, we assume that $\lambda_m < \rho_1 < \rho_2$ if ρ_1, ρ_2 lie in a bounded interval I_m , $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ if they lie in the left unbounded interval, or $\rho_2 < \rho_1$ if they lie in the right unbounded interval. This way, we ensure that $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) > 0 > P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_2)$. For the rest we will cover the first two cases where $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. Then, the Möbius transform $T(z) = \frac{z-\rho_2}{z-\rho_1}$ maps the upper half-plane onto itself. We shall use this fact shortly. For the case where we have a right unbounded interval, thus $\rho_2 < \rho_1$, the results of this section follow similarly by considering $-T$. We know that $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n) < 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. We then work as follows. With the help of Proposition 13, the ODE (4.3) is written as

$$\left(\frac{-1}{v-\rho_1} + \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_2)|} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} \right) dv = \frac{-P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{2(1-t)} dt.$$

Hence, considering after integration the function

$$(4.5) \quad h(z) = \frac{(z-\rho_2)^b}{z-\rho_1} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{z-\lambda_n}{z_0-\lambda_n} \right)^{a_n}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where $b := \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_2)|} > 0$ and $a_n := \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{|P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)|} > 0$, $n \geq 1$, we deduce the implicit solution $h(v(z,t)) = (1-t)^{\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{2}} h(z)$.

Proposition 19. *The function h defined by (4.5) is analytic and univalent in the upper half-plane.*

Proof. By Lemma 15, h is well defined and analytic in the upper half-plane. To prove that it is univalent, at first we observe that $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{-P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(z)}$. Consider, now, the Möbius transform $T(z) = \frac{z-\rho_2}{z-\rho_1} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. Taking into account the elementary

identity $(T^{-1}(z) - \rho_1)(T^{-1}(z) - \rho_2) = (\rho_2 - \rho_1)z(T^{-1})'(z)$, we then deduce that

$$\frac{(h \circ T^{-1})'(z)}{h \circ T^{-1}(z)} = \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)(T^{-1}(z) - \rho_1)(T^{-1}(z) - \rho_2)}{\rho_1 - \rho_2 z P_{\mathbb{H}}(T^{-1}(z))} = \frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{\rho_1 - \rho_2} \frac{1}{z H(T^{-1}(z), \rho_1, \rho_2)}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where H is given by Lemma 10. Next, we shall show that the above quotient has constant sign in the upper half-plane. It suffices to show that $\text{Im}(T(z)H(z, \rho_1, \rho_2)) < 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$. But we observe, again by Lemma 10, that $T(z)H(z, \rho_1, \rho_2) = G(z, \rho_1)$. As a result, since ρ_1 is a root and since $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) > 0$, we have that $\text{Im}G(z, \rho_1) < 0$. Thus, the imaginary part of the logarithmic derivative of $h \circ T^{-1}$ has constant sign, so it is univalent and this concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 20. *The function h maps the upper half-plane onto $\mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [0, h(k_n)]$, where $(0, h(k_n)] \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a line segment emanating from the origin to the tip point $h(k_n)$. Moreover, we have that $h(k_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. First of all, we observe that the sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ do not have any accumulation points in \mathbb{R} . So, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\lambda_n, k_n \notin [\rho_1 - \epsilon, \rho_1 + \epsilon]$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the contrary, both $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ accumulate at $-\infty$ and/or $+\infty$. Therefore, we are interested in the limit $\lim_{\mathbb{R} \ni x \rightarrow \infty} h(x)$.

To calculate it, we consider the function $g(x) = h(T^{-1}(x)) = h\left(\frac{x\rho_1 - \rho_2}{x-1}\right)$, $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$. Obviously, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} h(x)$. After several simple computations, we find that

$$g(x) = x^b \frac{(x-1)^{1-b}}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)^{1-b}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\rho_1 - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \frac{x - T(\lambda_n)}{x-1} \right)^{a_n}.$$

Observe that the sequence $(T(\lambda_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence of real points and because the series $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right|$ converges by Lemma 15, taking the absolute value, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \log |g(x)| &= (b-1) \log |\rho_1 - \rho_2| + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log \left| \frac{\rho_1 - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| + b \log |x| \\ &+ (1-b - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n) \log |x-1| + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \log |x - T(\lambda_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $T(\lambda_n) \rightarrow 1$, there exists some $N > 1$, such that $|T(\lambda_n) - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$, for all $n \geq N$. So, for all $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, we have that $\log |x - T(\lambda_n)|$ is negative for all $n \geq N$. Note that $1 - b - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n = P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) > 0$, due to Proposition 13. Gathering everything together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log |g(x)| &= C + b \log |x| + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n \log |x - T(\lambda_n)| \\ &+ P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) \log |x-1| + \sum_{n=N}^{+\infty} a_n \log |x - T(\lambda_n)| \rightarrow -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$. As a result, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} g(x) = 0$, which leads to $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} h(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} h(x) = 0$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h(k_n) = 0$, as well, and we have the desired result.

On another note, let $M > 0$. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|h(x)| < \delta$, for all $x \in (-\infty, -M] \cup [M, +\infty)$. In addition, due to compactness and the fact that ρ_1 is the only singularity of h in \mathbb{R} , there also exists some δ' such that $|h(x)| < \delta'$, for all $x \in [-M, M] \setminus [\rho_1 - \epsilon, \rho_1 + \epsilon]$. Picking $R = \max\{\delta, \delta'\}$, we have that $|z| < R$, for all $z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_n)]$.




FIGURE 3. The image of h , when $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has distinct real roots. There exists an angular sector of amplitude $P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)\pi$, that contains no slits.

Now, regarding the image of h , analyzing $g(x)$, we find that

$$\text{Arg}(g(x)) = C' + b\text{Arg}(x) + P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)\text{Arg}(x-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \text{Arg}(x - T(\lambda_n))$$

for some constant C' . As we saw in Remark 17, we may assume that $C' = 0$. Because $T(x) = \frac{x-\rho_2}{x-\rho_1}$ and because there exists no $\lambda_n \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$, we have that $T(\lambda_n) > 0$, for all $n \geq 1$ (T maps the line segment (ρ_1, ρ_2) onto the negative line). Therefore, we can see that for $x < 0$,

$$\text{Arg}(g(x)) = b\pi + P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \pi = \pi P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_2)} - \frac{1}{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\lambda_n)}\right) = \pi,$$

through Proposition 13. Thus, g maps the negative line onto itself. To see the image of the positive line, we consider the angles

$$\theta_1 := P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)\pi + \sum_{n:T(\lambda_n)>1} a_n \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 := \sum_{n:T(\lambda_n)>1} a_n \pi.$$

We then deduce, similarly as before, that g maps $[0, 1]$ onto the union of line segments of the form $[0, h(k_n)]$, for all $n \geq 1$, so that $T(\lambda_n) < 1$. Assume without loss of generality that $T(\lambda_n) < 1$ for infinitely many indices. Otherwise, we only have finitely many such segments, so we comment no further. Those segments, accumulate to the half-line $L_{\theta_1} := \{xe^{i\theta_1} : x \geq 0\}$ and by the first part of the proof, the tip points of the segments accumulate at the origin. Similarly, the image of the line $[1, +\infty]$ is the union of the line segments of the form $[0, h(k_n)]$, for all $n \geq 1$, so that $T(\lambda_n) > 1$, and the half-line $[0, +\infty]$; recall that $(T(\lambda_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded, so picking $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $T(\lambda_{n_0}) = \max T(\lambda_n)$, then $g([T(\lambda_{n_0}), +\infty]) = [0, +\infty]$. Again, those segments accumulate to the half-line $L_{\theta_2} := \{xe^{i\theta_2} : x \geq 0\}$ with the tip points accumulating at zero (see Figure 3). \square

The Loewner flow in this case is given by the conjugation formula

$$(4.6) \quad f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left((1-t)^{-\frac{P'_{\mathbb{H}}(\rho_1)}{2}} h \left((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ and $0 \leq t < 1$. In order to study the geometry of the slits as $t \rightarrow 1^-$, we follow the formula above and thus, we extend the tip points $h(k_n)$ to infinity

by applying the mapping $z \mapsto e^{\frac{P_{\mathbb{H}}'(\rho_1)}{2(1-t)}z}$ and then we consider their preimage of $\{xh(k_n) : x \geq 1\}$ under h . The following proposition shows that the trajectory of $f(k_n\sqrt{1-t}, t)$ collides at the point $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ as $t \rightarrow 1^-$, non-tangentially.

Proposition 21. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the trace $\hat{\gamma}_n := \{f(k_n\sqrt{1-t}, t) : [0, 1]\}$ is a smooth curve intersecting the real line non-tangentially at the root ρ_1 .*

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume without loss of generality that $k_n > \rho_1$. Consider the curve $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\gamma_n(t) = f(k_n\sqrt{1-t}, t)$. Surely $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \gamma_n(t) = \rho_1$. We only need to deal with the angle of the convergence and we are going to do this through the use of harmonic measure. By (4.5), h maps the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} conformally onto the simply connected domain $\Omega := \mathbb{H} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_j)]$. In addition, the image of γ_n through h is the ray $\{re^{i \arg h(k_n)} : r > |h(k_n)|\}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(\gamma_n(t)) = \infty$. It is easy to see that $h \circ \gamma_n$ separates the prime ends of Ω into two connected components. The one consists of the prime ends corresponding to $(-\infty, 0]$, to $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{[0, h(k_j)] : \arg h(k_j) > \arg h(k_n)\}$ and the prime ends corresponding to $[0, h(k_n)]$ defined by crosscuts with arguments larger than $\arg h(k_n)$. The other is the complement. We denote them by $\partial\Omega^+$ and $\partial\Omega^-$, respectively (see Figure 4).

Our first objective is to prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_j)], \Omega) = 0$. Indeed, by the previous lemma, there exists some $R > 0$ such that $|z| < R$, for all $z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_j)]$. Therefore, through a new conformal mapping g of Ω onto \mathbb{H} which fixes ∞ and sends $h(k_n)$ to 0, we may map a subset of $\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_j)]$ onto the closed segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, by conformal invariance,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} [0, h(k_j)], \Omega) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(g(h(\gamma_n(t))), [a, b], \mathbb{H}) = 0,$$

in view of Subsection 2.1. Combining this with the domain monotonicity property, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), (-\infty, 0], \Omega) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), (-\infty, 0], \mathbb{H}).$$


In a similar fashion,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), [0, +\infty), \mathbb{H}).$$

However, in the last two relations, both the left-hand and right-hand sides add up to 1. This implies that the equalities prevail. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), [0, +\infty), \mathbb{H}) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(re^{i \arg h(k_n)}, \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \arg w = 0\}, U_{0, \pi}) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi - \arg h(k_n)}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Using a Riemann mapping of Ω onto the unit disk, the conformal invariance of the harmonic measure and the preservation of the orientation, Remark 7 reveals that the image of $h \circ \gamma_n$ inside the unit disk converges to some point of the unit circle by angle $\pi(1 - \frac{\pi - \arg h(k_n)}{\pi}) = \arg h(k_n)$. Finally, through a Möbius transformation which preserves angles in the whole complex plane, we return to our initial setting, to see that γ_n intersects the real line at ρ_1 by angle $\pi - \arg h(k_n) \in (0, \pi)$, thus


 FIGURE 4. The domain Ω and its prime ends

non-tangentially. Remember that $h(k_n) \in \mathbb{H}$ or equivalently $0 < \arg h(k_n) < \pi$. The difference between the angles in the unit disk and the upper half-plane derives from the fact that in the unit disk we use to count the angle with the help of the tangent, while in the upper half-plane the angle is usually counted by starting from the “positive” semi-axis. \square

4.3. Tangential intersections. Assume, now, that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a double root $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix some $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and consider the function $h(z) := \int_{z_0}^z \frac{d\zeta}{P_{\mathbb{H}}(\zeta)}$, which is well defined and analytic, since \mathbb{H} is simply connected. Therefore, by (3.3) and due to the uniform convergence on compacta, we have that

$$(4.7) \quad h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \log \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} + \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n\right) \log(z - \rho_0) + \frac{B}{z - \rho_0} + \text{const.}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ where the parameters $A_n < 0$ and $B > 0$ are given by (3.3) and the implicit solution $h(v(z, t)) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(1 - t) + h(z)$. The following proposition shows that h is a well defined analytic and univalent function of the upper half-plane.

Proposition 22. *Let $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a summable sequence of negative numbers, $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real points and let also $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C > 0$. Fix some $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, the function*

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \log \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} + \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n\right) \log(z - \rho_0) + \frac{B}{z - \rho_0} + \frac{C}{(z - \rho_0)^2}$$

is analytic and univalent in \mathbb{H} .

Proof. Analyticity follows directly from Lemma 15. To prove that h is univalent, we precompose with the Möbius transform $T(z) = \frac{1}{\rho_0 - z}$. Note that $T : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. By differentiating, we get that

$$(\phi \circ T^{-1})'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{A_n}{z - T(\lambda_n)} - \frac{1}{z} - B + 2Cz$$

Finally, to see the image of h , we find $h(\mathbb{R})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ accumulates at both $\pm\infty$ (and hence so does the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$) and let also $k_{n_0} < \lambda_{n_0} < \rho_0 < k_{n_0}'$. Now, it is direct to see that

$$(4.8) \quad \operatorname{Im}h(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \operatorname{Arg}(x - \lambda_n) + \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n\right) \operatorname{Arg}(x - \rho_0) + \text{const.}$$

Again, for simplicity we take the constant to be zero. For any $m \geq 1$, so that $\lambda_m < \lambda_{n_0}$, it is $\operatorname{Im}h(x) = (1 - \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \lambda_m} A_n)\pi$, for all $x \in (\lambda_m, \lambda_{m'})$. Similarly for $\lambda_m > \rho_0$, we get that for all $x \in (\lambda_m, \lambda_{m'})$, $\operatorname{Im}h(x) = \sum_{\lambda_n > \lambda_m} A_n \pi$. To conclude, we have that $\operatorname{Im}h(x) = (1 - \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \rho_0} A_n)\pi$, in (λ_{n_0}, ρ_0) and because $\operatorname{Re}h(x) \rightarrow -\infty$, as $x \rightarrow \rho_0^-$, we deduce that h maps the interval (λ_{n_0}, ρ_0) onto the line $\mathbb{R} + i \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \rho_0} A_n \pi$, as in Figure 5. \square

By the proof of the lemma above, we see that as $\lambda_m \rightarrow -\infty$, then $\operatorname{Im}h(k_m) = (1 - \sum_{\lambda_n \leq \lambda_m} A_n)\pi \rightarrow \pi$ and as $\lambda_m \rightarrow +\infty$, then $\operatorname{Im}h(k_m) = \sum_{\lambda_n > \lambda_m} A_n \pi \rightarrow 0$. Also, $\operatorname{Re}h(k_m) \rightarrow +\infty$ and hence, we see that there is a horizontal strip of amplitude π that contains no slits, whereas the tip points of the half-lines accumulate at the boundary of this strip from above and below, while also “disappearing” to the right as we see in Figure 5.

Following similar steps as before, the Loewner flow in this case is given by the conjugation formula

$$f(z, t) = h^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log(1-t) + h \left((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z \right) \right).$$

Once again we enquire about the convergence of the corresponding trajectories.

Proposition 24. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the trace $\hat{\gamma}_n := \{f(k_n \sqrt{1-t}, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a smooth curve intersecting the real line tangentially at the root ρ_0 . In particular, either all curves converge to ρ_0 by angle 0 or all of them converge by angle π .*

Proof. Again, we will utilize the harmonic measure. Towards this goal, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the curve $\gamma_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with $\gamma_n(t) = f(k_n \sqrt{1-t}, t)$. As before, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \gamma_n(t) = \rho_1$. This time, h maps the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} onto a horizontal half-plane minus a sequence of horizontal half-lines stretching to ∞ in the positive direction. To be more formal, set $L_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}z \geq \operatorname{Re}h(k_j), \operatorname{Im}z = \operatorname{Im}h(k_j)\}$. In our first case, we assume that there exists some $a > \operatorname{Im}h(k_j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Omega := h(\mathbb{H}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}z < a\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} L_j$. By the previous lemma, we may see that there exists $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}h(k_j) > R$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Arguing as before, the curve γ_n separates the prime ends of Ω into two connected components $\partial\Omega^+$ and $\partial\Omega^-$, where $\partial\Omega^+$ consists of the prime ends corresponding to the horizontal line $L := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}z = a\}$, the prime ends corresponding to $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{L_j : \operatorname{Im}h(k_j) > \operatorname{Im}h(k_n)\}$ and the prime ends corresponding to the half-line L_n defined by crosscuts with imaginary parts larger than $\operatorname{Im}h(k_n)$. Naturally, $\partial\Omega^-$ consists of all the remaining prime ends. Our objective is to prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = 1$. An important note towards this direction is the fact that $h \circ \gamma_n([0, 1]) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}z < \operatorname{Re}h(k_n), \operatorname{Im}z = \operatorname{Im}h(k_n)\}$, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \operatorname{Re}h(\gamma_n(t)) = -\infty$.

The existence of the real number R that bounds the real parts of all half-lines, allows us to proceed to the following construction: we may find some point $\zeta \in L$ and a ray A emanating from ζ such that $A \setminus \{\zeta\} \subset \Omega$ (an obvious example is a point ζ that rests sufficiently to the left and the half-line A that is perpendicular to L at ζ). Set $L^+ = \{z \in L : \operatorname{Re}z \leq \operatorname{Re}\zeta\}$ and $L^- = L \setminus L^+$. Then, the angular simply connected domain U bounded by L^+ and A is contained inside Ω (for the whole construction see Figure 6). Moreover, it is easy to see that U eventually contains




FIGURE 6. The sets of Proposition 24

the curve $h \circ \gamma_n$ or equivalently there exists some $t_0 \in [0, 1)$ such that $h(\gamma_n(t)) \in U$, for all $t \in [t_0, 1)$. Since $\partial\Omega^+ \supset L^+$ and $\Omega \supset U$, we are led to

$$\omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) \geq \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), L^+, \Omega) \geq \omega(\gamma_n(t), L^+, U),$$

for all $t \in [t_0, 1)$. By the construction of the ray A , there exists some $\beta \in (\pi, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), L^+, U) \\ &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)) - \zeta, \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \arg w = \pi\}, U_{\pi, \beta}) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\beta - \arg(h(\gamma_n(t)) - \zeta)}{\beta - \pi} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \arg h(\gamma_n(t)) - \zeta = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \arg h(\gamma_n(t)) = \pi$. However, the harmonic measure has 1 as an upper bound and as a result

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = 1 = \frac{\pi}{\pi}.$$

Using again a Riemann map of Ω onto the unit disk, Remark 7 and a Möbius transformation to return to \mathbb{H} , we see that each γ_n converges to ρ_1 by angle 0 and thus, tangentially. Finally, if at the start, our domain was of the form $\Omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > a\} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} L_j$, then each curve γ_n would converge to ρ_1 by angle π , again tangentially. \square


4.4. Orthogonal intersections. In the final case, we assume that $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a triple root $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, which means according to the preliminary analysis, that ρ_0 is a double root coinciding with some λ_{n_0} , hence triple. Integrating in (4.3) and using (3.3), we deduce that $h(v(z, t)) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(1-t) + h(z)$, where

$$(4.9) \quad h(z) = \sum_{n \neq n_0} A_n \log \frac{z - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} + (1 - \sum_{n \neq n_0} \log(z - \rho_0)) + \frac{B}{z - \rho_0} + \frac{C}{(z - \rho_0)^2}.$$

where the parameters $A_n < 0$, B and $C > 0$ are given by (3.4). By Lemma 15 and Proposition 22, h is analytic and univalent in the upper half-plane.

Lemma 25. *Assume that h is given by (4.9). Then it maps the upper half-plane onto the complement of infinitely many horizontal half-lines and we have that:*

- (1) $\operatorname{Re} h(x) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and
- (2) there exists some $Q > 0$, so that $|\operatorname{Im} h(k_n)| < Q$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.


 FIGURE 7. The image of h , when ρ_0 is a triple root.

Proof. The proof of the lemma follows an identical procedure to the lemma of the preceding section, albeit with minor modifications. For the sake of brevity, we skip this proof, but we only note some adjustments. We write, without loss of generality, $k_{n_0} < \lambda_{n_0} = \rho_0 < k_{n'_0}$. Through the fact that $\operatorname{Re}h(x)$ equals

$$\sum_{n \neq n_0} A_n \log \left| \frac{x - \lambda_n}{z_0 - \lambda_n} \right| + \frac{(1 - \sum_{n \neq n_0} A_n) \log |x - \rho_0| (x - \rho_0)^2 + B(x - \rho_0) + C}{(x - \rho_0)^2}$$

we see that $\operatorname{Re}h(x) \rightarrow +\infty$, as $x \rightarrow \rho_0$, while by (4.8) we see that $h((k_{n_0}, \rho_0))$ and $h((\rho_0, k_{n'_0}))$ are the half-lines lying above and below all other half-lines, respectively, as we see in Figure 7. \square

Again, we see that the strip $\{0 < \operatorname{Im}z < \pi\}$ contains no half-lines, while the tip points accumulate on the boundary of the strip and the point at infinity towards the right. In addition, the Loewner flow is the same as in the previous case. Finally, the following proposition allows us to see that the trajectories of $f(k_n \sqrt{1-t}, t)$ collide to the real line, orthogonally at the point ρ_0 , for all $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 26. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the trace $\hat{\gamma}_n := \{f(k_n \sqrt{1-t}, t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ is a smooth curve intersecting the real line orthogonally at the root ρ_0 (i.e. with angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$).*

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $L_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}z \geq \operatorname{Re}h(k_j), \operatorname{Im}z = \operatorname{Im}h(k_j)\}$. This time, (4.9) dictates that $\Omega := h(\mathbb{H}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} L_j$. In a similar fashion as in the previous cases, we denote by $\partial\Omega^+$ the prime ends of Ω corresponding to $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{L_j : \operatorname{Im}h(k_j) > \operatorname{Im}h(k_n)\}$ along with the prime ends corresponding to the half-line L_n and defined by crosscuts with imaginary parts larger than $\operatorname{Im}h(k_n)$. Once more, we denote by $\partial\Omega^+$ the set of the remaining prime ends. Recall that $h \circ \gamma_n([0, 1]) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}z < \operatorname{Re}h(k_n), \operatorname{Im}z = \operatorname{Im}h(k_n)\}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \operatorname{Re}h(\gamma_n(t)) = -\infty$. Our aim is to prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the previous lemma, we see that there exist $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}h(k_{n_1}) \leq \operatorname{Im}h(k_j) \leq \operatorname{Im}h(k_{n_2})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. So, denote by $L^+ \subset \partial\Omega^+$ the prime ends corresponding to the half-line L_{n_2} and defined by crosscuts with imaginary parts larger than $\operatorname{Im}h(k_{n_2})$. On the other side, denote by $L^- \subset \partial\Omega^-$ the prime ends




FIGURE 8. The sets of Proposition 26

corresponding to L_{n_1} and defined by crosscuts with imaginary parts smaller than $\text{Im}h(k_{n_1})$. As in the tangential case, there exists some $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{Re}h(k_j) \geq R$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, through the conformal invariance of the harmonic measure and a mapping of Ω onto \mathbb{H} that maps $h(k_n)$ to 0 and fixes infinity, we may see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+ \setminus L^+, \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^- \setminus L^-, \Omega) = 0.$$

We will use two auxiliary ‘‘Koebe-like’’ domains to reach the desired conclusions. We set $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{C} \setminus L_{n_1}$ and $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{C} \setminus L_{n_2}$. Trivially, both of them are supersets of Ω . In particular, in the sense of prime ends, $L^- \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $L^+ \subset \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_2$ (see Figure 8). By the domain monotonicity property of harmonic measure,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), L^-, \Omega_1) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), L^-, \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega).$$

Through a chain of conformal mappings, we are going to estimate the harmonic measure with regard to the domain Ω_1 . As a matter of fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), L^-, \Omega_1) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)) - h(k_{n_1}), L^- - h(k_{n_1}), \Omega_1 - h(k_{n_1})) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(-h(\gamma_n(t)) + h(k_{n_1}), -L^- + h(k_{n_1}), \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(\sqrt{-h(\gamma_n(t)) + h(k_{n_1})}, \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg z = -\frac{\pi}{2}\}, -i\mathbb{H}) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\arg \sqrt{-h(\gamma_n(t)) + h(k_{n_1})} + \frac{\pi}{2}}{\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with the inequality above, we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Following an almost identical procedure, but this time with the Koebe domain Ω_2 , we may prove that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as well. However, since $\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega^+ \cup \partial\Omega^-$, it is obvious that

$$\omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) + \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = 1,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1)$. So, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^-, \Omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \omega(h(\gamma_n(t)), \partial\Omega^+, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Lastly, Remark 7 once again implies the desired result. \square

To conclude, the combination of all the lemmas and propositions of this section provides the proof of Theorem 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Alan Sola for his advice and careful consideration during the preparation of the current work. We also thank Dimitrios Betsakos for the helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bracci, M.D. Contreras, S. Diaz-Madrigal, *Continuous Semigroups of Holomorphic Self-maps of the Unit Disc*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2020.
- [2] C. Carathéodory, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. I, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1954
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag New York, NY, 1983
- [4] W. Kager, B. Nienhuis and L. P. Kadanoff, ‘Exact solutions for Loewner evolutions’, *J. Statist. Phys.* **115** (2004), no. 3-4, 805–822
- [5] G. Lawler, *Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005
- [6] J. Lind, ‘A sharp condition for the Loewner equation to generate slits’, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **30** (2005), no. 1, 143–158
- [7] J. Lind, D. E. Marshall and S. Rohde, ‘Collisions and spirals of Loewner traces’, *Duke Math. J.* **154** (2010), no. 3 527-573
- [8] A. I. Markushevich, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1998
- [9] D. E. Marshall and S. Rohde, ‘The Loewner differential equation and slit mappings’, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), no. 4, 763–778
- [10] A. Monaco and P. Gumenyuk, ‘Chordal Loewner equation’, *Complex analysis and dynamical systems VI. Part 2*, 63–77, Contemp. Math., 667, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016
- [11] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions, with a Chapter on Quadratic Differentials by Gerd Jensen*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975
- [12] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995
- [13] S. Schleissinger, ‘The multiple-slit version of Loewner’s differential equation and pointwise Hölder continuity of driving functions’, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* Volumen **37** (2012), no. 1, 191–201
- [14] A. Starnes, ‘The Loewner equation for multiple hulls’, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **44** (2019), no. 1, 581–599
- [15] M. Technau and N. Technau, ‘A Loewner equation for infinitely many slits’, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **17** (2017), no. 2, 255–272
- [16] E. K. Theodosiadis, ‘Explicit multi-slit Loewner flows and their geometry’, *Preprint*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.11396>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, 106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
Email address: eleftherios@math.su.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124, THESSALONIKI, GREECE
Email address: zarkonath@math.auth.gr