## ON A FRACTIONAL SYSTEM OF NLS-KDV EQUATIONS WITH HARDY POTENTIALS

ROHIT KUMAR<sup>1</sup>, TUHINA MUKHERJEE<sup>1</sup> AND ABHISHEK SARKAR<sup>1,\*</sup>

### <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, Rajasthan 342030, India

ABSTRACT. In this article, our main concern is to study the existence of bound and ground state solutions for the following fractional system of nonlinear Schrödinger-Korteweg-De Vries (NLS-KdV, in short) equations with Hardy potentials:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u - \lambda_1 \frac{u}{|x|^{2s_1}} - u^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} = 2\nu h(x) uv & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v - \lambda_2 \frac{v}{|x|^{2s_2}} - v^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} = \nu h(x) u^2 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_i \in (0, \Lambda_{N, s_i})$  with  $\Lambda_{N, s_i} = 2\pi^{N/2} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N-2s_i}{4})|\Gamma(-s_i)|}$ , (i = 1, 2). By imposing certain assumptions on the parameter  $\nu$  and on the function h, we obtain ground-state solutions using the concentration-compactness principle and the mountain-pass theorem.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of elliptic equations and systems involving fractional Laplacian is attracting many researchers over the last decade. The keen aspect of studying such equations is due to physical models in many different applications, e.g., geostrophic flows, crystal dislocation, water waves, etc. we refer to [6, 12, 21] and the references therein for more details. In this article, we are concerned with the system of fractional NLS-KDV equations with singular Hardy potential and coupled with a parameter  $\nu$  on the entire  $\mathbb{R}^N$  given below

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u - \lambda_1 \frac{u}{|x|^{2s_1}} - u^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} = 2\nu h(x) uv & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v - \lambda_2 \frac{v}{|x|^{2s_2}} - v^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} = \nu h(x) u^2 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_i \in (0, \Lambda_{N,s_i})$  with  $\Lambda_{N,s_i} = 2\pi^{N/2} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N-2s_i}{4})|\Gamma(-s_i)|}$ , (i = 1, 2). The constant  $\Lambda_{N,s_i}$ , (i = 1, 2) is an optimal constant for the fractional Hardy inequality [14, Theorem 1.1]. The parameter  $\nu$  is positive and  $2^*_{s_i} = \frac{2N}{N-2s_i}$ ,  $(2s_i < N \text{ and } i = 1, 2)$  is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent. Further, we assume that

$$\max\{2s_1, 2s_2\} < N \le \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\},\tag{1.2}$$

and h is a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying

$$0 < h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$
(1.3)

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R11, 47G30.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian, coupled system, variational methods, fractional-Hardy potential, concentration-compactness.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

For  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ , the system similar to (1.1) is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda_1 \frac{u}{|x|^2} - u^{2^* - 1} = \nu \alpha h(x) |u|^{\alpha - 2} |v|^\beta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v - \lambda_2 \frac{v}{|x|^2} - v^{2^* - 1} = \nu \beta h(x) |u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta - 2} v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

Observe that for  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ , the system (1.1) is a fractional counterpart of (1.4). For  $\nu = 0$ , the system (1.4) becomes a single nonlinear elliptic equation and the author in [25, Terracini] discussed the existence of positive solutions as well as their qualitative properties. In 2009, Abdellaoui et al. (see [1]) dealt with the local system (1.4), and they obtained the existence of positive ground state solutions depending on the parameter  $\nu > 0$  (large or small) and the non-negative function  $h(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $2 < \alpha + \beta < 2^*$  and  $h(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\alpha + \beta = 2^*$ . Later in 2014, Kang [16] proved the existence of a positive solution to (1.4) considering  $h(x), \lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with additional assumptions. In 2015, Chen and Zou [8] dealt with the critical case i.e.,  $\alpha + \beta = 2^*$  with h(x) = 1, and proved the existence of positive solutions by allowing h(x) to change its sign with coupling parameter  $\nu = 1$ . Recently Colorado et al. [9] studied the problem (1.4) with  $\alpha + \beta \leq 2^*$  and  $0 \leq h(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and obtained positive ground and bound state solutions depending on the behaviour of parameter  $\nu > 0$ .

For  $s_1 = s_2 = s$  and  $\nu = 0$ , the system (1.1) reduces to a fractional doubly critical equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u - \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{2s}} = u^{2^*_s - 1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

$$(1.5)$$

In 2016 Dipierro et al. in their paper [13, Theorem 1.5] proved the existence of a positive solution using a variational approach for any  $0 \leq \lambda < \Lambda_{N,s}$ . Moreover, they used the moving plane method to obtain the qualitative behavior (such as radial symmetry, asymptotic behaviors, etc.) of solutions of (1.5). In 2020, He and Peng [15] considered the following fractional system in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u + P(x)u - \mu_{1}|u|^{2p-2}u = \beta|v|^{p}|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ (-\Delta)^{s}v + Q(x)u - \mu_{2}|v|^{2p-2}v = \beta|u|^{p}|v|^{p-2}v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u, v \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

where  $N \ge 2$ , 0 < s < 1,  $1 , <math>\mu_1 > 0$ ,  $\mu_2 > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  is a coupling constant, and P(x), Q(x) are continuous bounded radial functions. The authors used variational methods to obtain the existence of infinitely many non-radial positive solutions. Observe that the above system contains only the subcritical nonlinear terms and coupled terms up to subcritical power. Recently, Shen [24] considered the following fractional elliptic systems with Hardy-type singular potentials and coupled by critical homogeneous nonlinearities on the bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ 

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s}u - \lambda_{1} \frac{u}{|x|^{2s}} - |u|^{2^{s}_{s}-2}u = \frac{n\alpha}{2^{s}_{s}}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{u}(u,v) & \text{in }\Omega, \\ (-\Delta)^{s}v - \lambda_{2} \frac{v}{|x|^{2s}} - |v|^{2^{s}_{s}-2}v = \frac{n\beta}{2^{s}_{s}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v + \frac{1}{2}Q_{v}(u,v) & \text{in }\Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \Lambda_{N,s})$  and  $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$  is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent. The existence of positive solutions to the systems through variational methods was ascertained for the critical case, i.e.,  $\alpha + \beta = 2_s^*$  on the bounded domain  $\Omega$ . Recently the authors in [17] established the existence of positive bound and ground state solutions for the following system:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u - \lambda_1 \frac{u}{|x|^{2s_1}} - u^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} = \nu \alpha h(x) u^{\alpha - 1} v^{\beta} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v - \lambda_2 \frac{v}{|x|^{2s_2}} - v^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} = \nu \beta h(x) u^{\alpha} v^{\beta - 1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

with  $\alpha, \beta > 1$  and  $\alpha + \beta \leq \min\{2_{s_1}^*, 2_{s_2}^*\}$ . Considering the case  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ , and  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ , the existence of bound and ground state solutions of (1.8) is obtained by Colorado et al. [10] for  $0 \leq h(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The above case has not been dealt with in the fractional system literature (to the best of the authors' knowledge). Therefore, in this article, we are interested in studying the system (1.8) when  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$ . We are interested in finding the existence of positive solutions to the system (1.1). The lack of compactness due to the nonlinearities in the source terms and the singular Hardy potential terms make it delicate to employ the variational methods to the problem (1.1). To deal with such kind of non-compactness, we will use the concentration compactness principle for fractional problems in unbounded domains considered in [3, Bonder et al.], [7, Chen et al.] and [23, Pucci and Temperini], and the Mountain pass theorem. These concentration compactness principles are fractional analogous to the celebrated concentration compactness principles discussed by P. L. Lions [19, 20]. Next, we recall the following definition.

**Definition 1.1** (Bound and Ground State Solution). If  $(u_1, v_1) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  is a critical point of  $J_{\nu}$  over  $\mathbb{D}$ , then we say that the pair  $(u_1, v_1)$  is a bound state solution of (1.1). This bound state solution  $(u_1, v_1)$  is said to be a ground state solution if its energy is minimal among all the bound state solutions i.e.

$$c_{\nu} = J_{\nu}(u_1, v_1) = \min\{J_{\nu}(u, v) : (u, v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \text{ and } J_{\nu}'(u, v) = 0\}.$$
(1.9)

The following hypotheses are required to prove our main results,

Either max
$$\{2s_1, 2s_2\} < N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$$
 and  $h$  satisfies (1.3)  
or (1.10)  
 $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  and  $h$  is radial and satisfies (H1)

In the following theorem, we prove the existence of a positive ground state solution of (1.1) when the correlation parameter  $\nu$  is very large and h satisfies the assumption (1.10).

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that  $\nu > \overline{\nu}$  defined by (3.52). If the hypothesis (1.10) is satisfied, then a positive ground state solution to the system (1.1) exists.

Further, we show that the order relation between the Hardy-Sobolev constants  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$  and  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$  plays an important role in order to prove the existence of a positive ground state solution.

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume (1.10). If  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) \geq S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), s_2 \geq s_1$ , then system (1.1) admits a positive ground state  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$ .

If the correlation parameter  $\nu$  is sufficiently small and the order relation between the Hardy-Sobolev constants  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$  and  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$  is strict, then we can say something more about the semi-trivial solutions i.e., the solutions of type  $(0, z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  are ground state solutions.

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume (1.10). If  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) > S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2), s_1 \ge s_2$ , then there exists  $\nu_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \nu < \nu_0$  the pair  $(0, z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a ground state of (1.1).

The previous theorems are considering h to be radial only while dealing with the critical case  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ . In the critical case  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  to prove the existence of ground state solutions with the function h to be non-radial, we need to restrict ourselves with  $s_1 = s_2 = s$  and the smallness of the coupling parameter  $\nu$  is also required to fulfill our goal. We state the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.** Assume that N = 6s with  $\nu$  sufficiently small and h is a non-radial function and  $S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) \geq S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1)$ . Then the system (1.1) has a positive ground state solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** Assume that N = 6s with  $\nu$  sufficiently small and h is a non-radial function. If  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) > S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$ , then the pair  $(0, z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a ground state of (1.1).

In the next theorem, we show the existence of a positive bound state solution of the Mountain pass type.

**Theorem 1.7.** Assume (1.10) with  $s_1 = s_2 = s$ . If

$$\frac{1}{2} < \left(\frac{S(\lambda_2)}{S(\lambda_1)}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} < 1, \tag{1.11}$$

then for  $\nu$  sufficiently small, there exists a Mountain pass type positive bound state solution to the problem (1.1).

**Remark 1.8.** In case  $s_1 = s_2$ , the order between the parameters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  determines the order between the semi-trivial energy levels. Indeed, if  $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$  and  $\nu$  is small enough,  $J_{\nu}(0, z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2}) = \frac{s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) < \frac{s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) = J_{\nu}(z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2}, 0)$  i.e., the pair  $(0, z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2})$  is a ground state solution of (1.1), see Theorem 1.4. In this case, the order of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  plays a vital for determining the existence of positive and ground-state semi-trivial solutions. But in the case of  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , the order between  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  fails to determine the order between the semi-trivial energy levels. Therefore, we find positive ground state solutions independent of the order between  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  while dealing with the system involving two different fractional Laplacians.

Our paper is organized in the following manner. First, we give some preliminary results and functional analysis settings in Section 2. Further, Section 3 deals with the results in which the functional  $J_{\nu}$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition for both the cases, i.e., subcritical and critical cases. In Section 4, we give the proofs of the main results of this article concerned with positive bound and ground state solutions.

#### 2. Preliminaries and functional setting

In this section, we give an appropriate variational setting for the system (1.1). First, we define the energy functional  $J_{\nu}$  associated with the system (1.1) given as

$$J_{\nu}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x|^{2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_{s_1}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_{s_2}} \mathrm{d}x - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x,$$
(2.1)

defined on the product space  $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The space  $\mathcal{D}^{s_i,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , (i = 1, 2) is the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to the Gagliardo seminorm

$$\|u\|_{s_i} := \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

We refer to the articles [4,5] by Brasco et al. for more details about the space  $\mathcal{D}^{s_i,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , (i = 1, 2). Further, we endow the following norm with the product space  $\mathbb{D}$  given by

$$||(u,v)||_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = ||u||_{\lambda_{1},s_{1}}^{2} + ||v||_{\lambda_{2},s_{2}}^{2},$$

where

$$||u||_{\lambda_i,s_i}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y - \lambda_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

The above norm is well defined due to the fractional Hardy inequality [14, Theorem 1.1] given by

$$\Lambda_{N,s_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_i}} \mathrm{d}x \le \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_i}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$
(2.2)

where  $\Lambda_{N,s_i} = 2\pi^{N/2} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N+2s_i}{2})}{\Gamma^2(\frac{N-2s_i}{4})|\Gamma(-s_i)|}$ , (i = 1, 2) is the sharp constant for the inequality (2.2). We can note that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_i,s_i}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{s_i}$  for any  $\lambda_i \in (0, \Lambda_{N,s_i})$  with i = 1, 2 are equivalent due to the Hardy's inequality (2.2). In order to obtain (positive) solutions to (1.1), we can implement the maximum principle to the critical points of the energy functional  $J_{\nu}$  in a suitable manner. We first observe that the second equation (of the system (1.1)) guarantees the positivity of the v component, while the positivity of u is immediately derived by the first equation. Let us recall that the solutions of (1.5) arise as minimizers  $z_{\mu,s_i}^{\lambda_i}$  (i = 1, 2) of the Rayleigh quotient given by (see [13])

$$S(\lambda_i) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{s_i, 2}(\mathbb{R}^N), u \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{\lambda_i, s_i}^2}{\|u\|_{2_{s_i}}^2} = \frac{\|z_{\mu, s_i}^{\lambda_i}\|_{\lambda_i, s_i}^2}{\|z_{\mu, s_i}^{\lambda_i}\|_{2_{s_i}}^2}, (i = 1, 2).$$
(2.3)

Moreover, we have

$$\|z_{\mu,s_i}^{\lambda_i}\|_{\lambda_i,s_i}^2 = \|z_{\mu,s_i}^{\lambda_i}\|_{2_{s_i}^*}^{2_{s_i}^*} = S^{\frac{N}{2_{s_i}}}(\lambda_i), \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$
(2.4)

5

If  $\lambda_i = 0$  for i = 1, 2, then  $S(\lambda_i) = S_i$  which is known to be achieved by the extremal functions of the type  $C(N, s_i)(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N-2s_i}{2}}$  (see [18]), where  $C(N, s_i)$  is a positive constant depending on N and  $s_i$  only. We write  $S_1 = S_2 = S$  when  $s_1 = s_2$ . Let us re-write the functional  $J_{\nu}(u, v)$  as

$$J_{\nu}(u,v) = J_{\lambda_1}(u) + J_{\lambda_2}(v) - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (2.5)$$

where

$$J_{\lambda_i}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_{s_i}} \, \mathrm{d}x, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$
(2.6)

The functional  $J_{\nu}$  is well-defined and is  $C^2$  on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ . In the following, we are going to prove that the functional  $J_{\nu}$  is  $C^1$  first and a similar argument will be followed for  $C^2$  as well. (a). The functional  $J_{\nu}$  is well-defined on  $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ : It follows that

$$|J_{\nu}(u,v)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} \|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} + \frac{1}{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} \|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)|u|^{2}|v| \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(2.7)$$

The first term in the above inequality is finite as it is a norm on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ . We recall the Sobolev embeddings

$$S(\lambda_i) \|u\|_{2_{s_i}}^2 \le \|u\|_{\lambda_1, s_i}^2, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$
(2.8)

By using the above embeddings, it immediately follows that the second and third terms in (2.7) are finite. Now just we need to check the finiteness of the last term in (2.7). Let us denote the integral as  $I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^2|v| \, dx$ . It is given  $N \leq \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , and the function h is positive with  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Case 1.  $N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ 

If  $s_1 \ge s_2$ , then  $2^*_{s_1} \ge 2^*_{s_2}$  which further implies  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} \le \frac{3}{2^*_{s_2}} < 1$ . By symmetry, the condition  $s_2 \ge s_1$  also implies  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} < 1$ . Now we have

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u|^2 |v| \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (h(x))^{1 - \frac{2}{2s_1} - \frac{1}{2s_2}} (h(x)^{\frac{2}{2s_1}} |u|^2) (h(x)^{\frac{1}{2s_2}} |v|) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Since the continuous embedding  $\mathcal{D}^{s_i,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*_{s_i}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , (i = 1,2) and  $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , it follows that  $h(x)^{\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}}}|u|^2 \in L^{\frac{2^*_{s_1}}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and similarly  $h(x)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}}}|v| \in L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Also, the assumption  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  implies  $(h(x))^{1-\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}}-\frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}}} \in L^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{2^*_{s_1}}-\frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

We denote by  $p = \frac{2_{s_1}^*}{2}$ ,  $q = 2_{s_2}^*$  and  $r = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*}}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ , by generalized Hölder's inequality it follows that

$$I \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |u|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |v|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/r} \\ \leq \|h\|_{1}^{1/r} \|h\|_{\infty}^{1/p+1/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}.$$

Since the right side integrals are finite due to the Sobolev embeddings given in (2.8) and  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the integral I is well-defined on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Case 2.** When  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ . For  $s_1 \ge s_2$  or  $s_1 \le s_2$ , we have  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} \le 1$ . The integral I is already finite in the case when  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} < 1$ . Therefore, we consider  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} = 1$ . We apply Hölder's inequality with exponents  $p = \frac{2^*_{s_1}}{2}$ ,  $q = 2^*_{s_2}$  and it follows that

$$I \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |u|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} dx\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |v|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} dx\right)^{1/q}$$
$$\leq \|h\|_{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} dx\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} dx\right)^{1/q},$$

since the right side integrals are finite due to the Sobolev embeddings given in (2.8) and  $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the integral I is also well-defined in this case on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Finally, we conclude that the right-hand side of (2.7) is finite, and hence the functional  $J_{\nu}$  is well-defined on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ .

(b). The functional  $J_{\nu}$  is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ : The functional is given by

$$J_{\nu}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} \|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} - \frac{1}{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} \|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u^{2}v \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}A(u,v) - B(u,v) - \nu I(u,v),$$

where  $A(u,v) = \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2$ ,  $B(u,v) = \frac{1}{2_{s_1}^*} \|u\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} \|v\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*}$ ,  $I(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u^2v \, \mathrm{d}x$ . If  $A, B, I \in C^1$  on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ , then the functional  $J_{\nu}$  is also in  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . It is clear that  $A \in C^1$  as it is the square of a norm on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

B is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ : For every  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{D}$ , using mean value theorem, there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1)$  such that for 0 < |t| < 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{B(u+t\phi, v+t\psi) - B(u, v)}{t} \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u + \lambda t\phi|^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} |\phi| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v + \mu t\psi|^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} |\psi| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |u|^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} |\phi| + |\phi|^{2^*_{s_1}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |v|^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} |\psi| + |\psi|^{2^*_{s_2}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

The right-side integrals in the last inequality are finite by using the Sobolev embeddings given in (2.8). Therefore, using the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{B(u + t\phi, v + t\psi) - B(u, v)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_{s_1} - 2} u\phi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_{s_2} - 2} v\psi \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Thus we infer that the functional B is Gateaux differentiable on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, the Gateaux derivative of B at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  is given by

$$\langle B'(u,v)|(\phi,\psi)\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_{s_1}-2} u\phi \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2^*_{s_2}-2} v\psi \,\mathrm{d}x, \text{ for every } (\phi,\psi) \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (2.9)

It remains to check the continuity of the Gateaux derivative to prove that B is Frechet differentiable. We consider a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  and  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$  strongly in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then up to a sub-sequences (still denoted by  $(u_n, v_n)$  itself),  $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Moreover, using  $u_n \to u$  strongly in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $v_n \to v$  strongly in  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we have

$$|u_n(x)| \le U(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ for some } U \in L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$
(2.10)

$$|v_n(x)| \le V(x)$$
 a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $V \in L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . (2.11)

Now for every  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\langle (B'(u_n, v_n) - B'(u, v)) | (\phi, \psi) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2^*_{s_1} - 2} u_n - |u|^{2^*_{s_1} - 2} u) \phi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v_n|^{2^*_{s_2} - 2} v_n - |v|^{2^*_{s_2} - 2} v) \psi \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.12)

Let us define

$$E(u) = |u|^{2^*_{s_1}-2}u, \ F(v) = |v|^{2^*_{s_2}-2}v \text{ and } r_i = \frac{2^*_{s_i}}{2^*_{s_i}-1}, \ i = 1, 2$$

Clearly,  $E(u) \in L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and further

$$|E(u_n) - E(u)|^{r_1} \le c \left( |E(u_n)|^{r_1} + |E(u_n)|^{r_1} \right) \le c \left( |U|^{2^*_{s_1}} + |u|^{2^*_{s_1}} \right) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where c is some positive constant varying line by line. By applying dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |E(u_n) - E(u)|^{r_1} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$
(2.13)

Analogously we also obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(v_n) - F(v)|^{r_2} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$
(2.14)

Consequently, by applying the Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle (B'(u_n, v_n) - B'(u, v)) | (\phi, \psi) \rangle \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |E(u_n) - E(u)| |\phi| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(v_n) - F(v)| |\psi| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \|E(u_n) - E(u)\|_{r_1} \|\phi\|_{2^*_{s_1}} + \|F(v_n) - F(v)\|_{r_2} \|\psi\|_{2^*_{s_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This further implies that

$$||B'(u_n, v_n) - B'(u, v)||_{\mathbb{D}^*} \le ||E(u_n) - E(u)||_{r_1} + ||F(v_n) - F(v)||_{r_2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The above convergence holds due to (2.13) and (2.14). Thus, we have proved that for every sequence  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$  in the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ , there is a subsequence respect to which B' is sequentially continuous. From this, it is easy to conclude that B' is sequentially continuous in all of  $\mathbb{D}^*$ . Hence, B is  $C^1$  on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ .

I is  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ : The functional I is given by

$$I(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x, \forall \ (u,v) \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For every  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{D}$ , we deduce

$$\frac{I(u+t\phi, v+t\psi) - I(u,v)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \left(\frac{(u+t\phi)^2 - u^2}{t}\right) (v+t\psi) \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 \psi \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.15)

By mean value theorem, there exist  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that for 0 < |t| < 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{I(u+t\phi, v+t\psi) - I(u,v)}{t} \right| \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u| |\phi| |v+\psi| \, \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |\phi|^2 |v+\psi| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u|^2 |\psi| \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption  $N \leq \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  and  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the three integrals in the last inequality are finite. Therefore, letting  $t \to 0$  in (2.15) and using dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{I(u + t\phi, v + t\psi) - I(u, v)}{t} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u\phi v \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u^2\psi \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Thus I is Gateaux differentiable on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$  and the Gateaux derivative of I at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  is defined as

$$\langle I'(u,v)|(\phi,\psi)\rangle = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u\phi v\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u^2\psi\,\mathrm{d}x,\tag{2.16}$$

for every  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{D}$ .

In order to check the Freichet differentiability of I, it remains to verify the continuity of the Gateaux derivative of I given by I' in (2.16). We consider a sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  and  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$ strongly in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then up to a sub-sequences (still denoted by  $(u_n, v_n)$  itself),  $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Moreover, using  $u_n \to u$  strongly in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $v_n \to v$  strongly in  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we have

 $|u_n(x)| \le U_1(x)$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $U_1 \in L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , (2.17)

$$|v_n(x)| \le V_1(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ for some } V_1 \in L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

$$(2.18)$$

Now for every  $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (I'(u_n, v_n) - I'(u, v)) | (\phi, \psi) \rangle \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(u_n v_n - uv)\phi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(u_n^2 - u^2)\psi \, \mathrm{d}x. \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(u_n - u)v_n\phi \, \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(v_n - v)u\phi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(u_n^2 - u^2)\psi \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the boundedness of the sequences  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  respectively, and  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we obtain the following

$$\|(I'(u_n, v_n) - I'(u, v))\|_{\mathbb{D}^*} \le c_1 \|u_n - u\|_{2^*_{s_1}} + c_2 \|v_n - v\|_{2^*_{s_2}} + c_3 \|u_n - u\|_{\frac{2^*}{2}}.$$
(2.19)

Let us define

$$E_1(u) = u$$
,  $E_2(u) = |u|^2$  and  $F_2(v) = v$ .

We have the following

$$|E_1(u_n) - E_1(u)|^{2^*_{s_1}} \le c(|E_1(u_n)|^{2^*_{s_1}} + |E_1(u_1)|^{2^*_{s_1}}) \le c(|U_1|^{2^*_{s_1}} + |u|^{2^*_{s_1}}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where c is some positive constant varying line by line. By applying dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |E_1(u_n) - E_1(u)|^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$
(2.20)

Moreover, using similar set of arguments it hold that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |E_2(u_n) - E_2(u)|^{\frac{2^*_{s_1}}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$
(2.21)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F_2(v_n) - F_2(v)|^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$
(2.22)

Hence, by combining (2.19), (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22) we conclude that

 $\|(I'(u_n, v_n) - I'(u, v))\|_{\mathbb{D}^*} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$ 

Thus, we have proved that for every sequence  $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$  in the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ , there is a subsequence respect to which I' is sequentially continuous. From this, it is easy to conclude that I' is sequentially continuous in all of  $\mathbb{D}^*$ . Hence, I is  $C^1$  on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Since A, B and I all are  $C^1$  functional on the product space  $\mathbb{D}$ , we conclude that the functional  $J_{\nu}$  is also  $C^1$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{D}$ , the Fréchet derivative of  $J_{\nu}$  at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  is given as follow

$$\begin{split} \langle J'_{\nu}(u,v)|(u_{0},v_{0})\rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(u_{0}(x)-u_{0}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v(x)-v(y))(v_{0}(x)-v_{0}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u \cdot u_{0}}{|x|^{2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &- \lambda_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{v \cdot v_{0}}{|x|^{2s_{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}-2} u \cdot u_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}-2} v \cdot v_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &- 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u \cdot u_{0}v \,\mathrm{d}x - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u^{2}v_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

where  $J'_{\nu}(u, v)$  is the Fréchet derivative of  $J_{\nu}$  at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$ , and the duality bracket between the product space  $\mathbb{D}$  and its dual  $\mathbb{D}^*$  is represented as  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . From (2.1) and for any  $\tau > 0$ , we get

$$J_{\nu}(\tau u, \tau v) = \frac{\tau^2}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda_1 \tau^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\lambda_2 \tau^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x|^{2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\tau^{2s_1}}{2s_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2s_1} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{\tau^{2s_2}}{2s_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2s_2} \mathrm{d}x - \nu \tau^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.23)

Clearly,  $J_{\nu}(\tau u, \tau v) \to -\infty$  as  $\tau \to +\infty$  which implies that the functional  $J_{\nu}$  is unbounded from below on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Here the concept of Nehari manifold plays its role in minimizing the functional  $J_{\nu}$  for finding the critical point in  $\mathbb{D}$  by using a variational approach. We introduce the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  associated with the functional  $J_{\nu}$  as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\nu} = \{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{ (0, 0) \} : \Phi_{\nu}(u, v) = 0 \},\$$

where

$$\Phi_{\nu}(u,v) = \langle J_{\nu}'(u,v) | (u,v) \rangle.$$
(2.24)

We can see that all the critical points  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  of the energy functional  $J_{\nu}$  lie in the set  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . On Nehari manifolds, we recall some well-known facts for the reader's convenience.

Let (u, v) be an element of the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . Then the following holds:

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = \|u\|_{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + \|v\|_{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u^{2}v \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(2.25)$$

If we restrict the functional  $J_{\nu}$  on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , the functional takes the following form

$$J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}}(u,v) = \frac{s_1}{N} \|u\|_{2^{s_1}_{s_1}}^{2^{s_1}_{s_1}} + \frac{s_2}{N} \|v\|_{2^{s_2}_{s_2}}^{2^{s_2}_{s_2}} + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.26)

Now suppose that  $(\tau u, \tau v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  for all  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Then using (2.25) we get the following

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = \tau^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}-2} \|u\|_{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + \tau^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}-2} \|v\|_{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u^{2}v \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(2.27)$$

The above equation is an algebraic equation in  $\tau$  and a cautious analysis of equation (2.27) shows that this algebraic equation has a unique positive solution. Thus, we can infer that there exists a unique positive  $\tau = \tau_{(u,v)}$  such that  $(\tau u, \tau v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  for all  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ . By combining (2.25) and (1.2) we obtain that, for any  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ 

$$\begin{split} J_{\nu}''(u,v)[u,v]^2 &= \langle \Phi_{\nu}'(u,v)|(u,v)\rangle \\ &= 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - 2\lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v^2}{|x|^{2s_2}} \mathrm{d}x - 2_{s_1}^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_{s_1}^*} \mathrm{d}x - 2_{s_2}^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{2_{s_2}^*} \mathrm{d}x - 9\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= 2 \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - 2_{s_1}^* \|u\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} - 2_{s_2}^* \|v\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} - 9\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Further calculations give us

$$J_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}(u,v)[u,v]^{2} = -\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + 3(\|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} + \|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}) - 2_{s_{1}}^{*}\|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} - 2_{s_{2}}^{*}\|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}$$
$$= -\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + (3-2_{s_{1}}^{*})\|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} + (3-2_{s_{2}}^{*})\|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} < 0.$$
(2.28)

Further, by using (2.25) we can prove the existence of a constant  $r_{\nu} > 0$  such that

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}} > r_{\nu} \quad \text{for all } (u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}.$$

$$(2.29)$$

Now by the Lagrange multiplier method, if  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  is a critical point of  $J_{\nu}$  on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , then there exists a  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  called Lagrange multiplier such that

$$(J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}})'(u,v) = J_{\nu}'(u,v) - \rho \Phi_{\nu}'(u,v) = 0.$$

Thus from the above, we calculate that  $\rho \langle \Phi'_{\nu}(u,v) | (u,v) \rangle = \langle J'_{\nu}(u,v) | (u,v) \rangle = 0$ . It is clear that  $\rho = 0$ , otherwise the inequality (2.28) fails to hold and as a result  $J'_{\nu}(u,v) = 0$ . Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the critical points of  $J_{\nu}$  and the critical points of  $J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}}$ . The functional  $J_{\nu}$  restricted on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  is also written as

$$(J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}})(u,v) = \frac{1}{6} \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + \frac{6s_{1} - N}{6N} \|u\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} + \frac{6s_{2} - N}{6N} \|v\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}.$$
(2.30)

Thus, combining the hypotheses (1.2) and (2.29) with (2.30), we deduce

$$J_{\nu}(u,v) > \frac{1}{6}r_{\nu}^2 \text{ for all } (u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$$

We come to the conclusion that the functional  $J_{\nu}$  restricted on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  is bounded from below. Hence, we continue our study to get the solution of (1.1) by minimizing the energy functional  $J_{\nu}$  on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ .

#### 3. The Palais-Smale Condition

**Lemma 3.1.** Let us assume that (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied and also that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $J_{\nu}$  restricted on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is a bounded (PS) sequence for  $J_{\nu}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , i.e.,

$$J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ in the dual space } \mathbb{D}^*.$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  be a Palais-Smale sequence for  $J_{\nu}$  at level c, then

$$J(u_n, v_n) \to c \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \text{ i.e., } c + o(1) = J(u_n, v_n)$$

$$(3.2)$$

and we recall that

$$J(u_n, v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - \frac{1}{2_{s_1}^*} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.3)

For  $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , we have

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 = \|u_n\|_{2^{s_1}_{s_1}}^{2^s_{s_1}} + \|v_n\|_{2^{s_2}_{s_2}}^{2^s_{s_2}} + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u_n^2 v_n \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.4)

By combining the above two equations, we get

$$J(u_n, v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - \frac{1}{2_{s_1}^*} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^{**}}^{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^{**}}^{2_{s_2}^*} - \frac{1}{3} \Big( \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^{**}}^{2_{s_1}^*} - \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^{**}}^{2_{s_2}^*} \Big)$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^{**}}^{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{6s_2 - N}{6N} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^{**}}^{2_{s_2}^*}.$$
  
$$\ge \frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2$$

Thus, we have

$$c + o(1) \ge \frac{1}{6} ||(u_n, v_n)||_{\mathbb{D}}^2.$$

Thus the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Furthermore, we deduce the following by considering the functional  $\Phi_{\nu}$  given by (2.24) with the inequalities (2.28) and (2.29)

$$\langle \Phi_{\nu}'(u_n, v_n) | (u_n, v_n) \rangle \le -r_{\nu}^2.$$

$$(3.5)$$

By the Lagrange multiplier method, we can assume the sequence of multipliers  $\{\omega_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}})'(u_n, v_n) = J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) - \omega_n \Phi'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) \text{ in the dual space } \mathbb{D}^*.$$
(3.6)

Since  $(J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}})'(u_n, v_n)$  converges to 0 as  $n \to \infty$  in the dual space  $\mathbb{D}^*$ , this implies that

$$\langle (J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}})'(u_n, v_n)|(u_n, v_n)\rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This further implies that  $-\omega_n \langle \Phi'_\nu(u_n, v_n) | (u_n, v_n) \rangle \to 0$ . Finally, we know that

$$\langle \Phi'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (u_n, v_n) \rangle < 0$$

and hence, we have  $\omega_n \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus, (3.6) directly implies (3.1).

Further, we prove the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let us assume that (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied and that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  be a (PS) sequence for the functional  $J_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Given  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  is a (PS) sequence for  $J_{\nu}$  at level c, then as  $n \to \infty$ 

$$J_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) \to c \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}^*.$$

$$(3.8)$$

Using (3.8), we can write

$$\left\langle J_{\nu}'(u_n,v_n) \Big| \frac{(u_n,v_n)}{\|(u_n,v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}} \right\rangle \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}$$

Thus from the above, we have

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} - \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} - 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x = o(\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Also, from (3.7) we obtain the following

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 - \frac{1}{2_{s_1}^*} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x = c + o(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, we can write

$$J_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{3} \langle J_{\nu}'(u_n, v_n) | \frac{(u_n, v_n)}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}} \rangle = c + o(1) + o(\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

and hence,

$$\frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 \le \frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^{s_1}}^{2_{s_1}^s} + \frac{6s_2 - N}{6N} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^{s_2}}^{2_{s_2}^s} \\ = c + o(1) + o(\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus we can conclude that the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Now we derive the non-local version of Lemma 3.3 in [1].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let C, D > 0 and  $\delta \ge 2$  be fixed. Also, assume that for any  $\nu > 0$ 

$$T_{\nu} = \{ \varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid C \varrho^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \le \varrho + D\nu \varrho^{\frac{\delta}{2} \left(\frac{N-2s}{N}\right)} \}$$

Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\nu_1 > 0$  depending only on  $\epsilon, C, D, \nu, N$  and s such that  $\inf T_{\nu} > (1-\epsilon)C^{\frac{N}{2s}}$  for all  $0 < \nu < \nu_1$ .

*Proof.* For  $\rho \in T_{\nu}$ ,

$$C\varrho^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \leq \varrho + D\nu\varrho^{\frac{\delta}{2}\left(\frac{N-2s}{N}\right)} = \varrho + D\nu\varrho^{\frac{\delta}{2s}}$$
$$C\varrho^{1-\frac{2s}{N}-\frac{\delta}{2s}} - \varrho^{1-\frac{\delta}{2s}} \leq D\nu$$
$$F(\varrho) \leq D\nu, \text{ where } F(\varrho) = C\varrho^{1-\frac{2s}{N}-\frac{\delta}{2s}} - \varrho^{1-\frac{\delta}{2s}}$$

Hence, we notice that  $T_{\nu} = \{ \varrho \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid F(\varrho) \leq D\nu \}$ . Also observe that  $F(C^{\frac{N}{2s}}) = 0$  and

$$F'(\varrho) = \varrho^{-\frac{\delta}{2^*_s}} \left[ \left( \frac{2-\delta}{2^*_s} \right) C \varrho^{-\frac{2s}{N}} - \left( \frac{2^*_s - \delta}{2^*_s} \right) \right].$$

If  $\delta \leq 2_s^*$ , then  $F'(\varrho) < 0$  i.e. the function F is strictly decreasing function. If  $\delta > 2_s^*$  and  $F'(\varrho) = 0$  then

$$\varrho = \left(\frac{C(\delta-2)}{\delta-2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} > C^{\frac{N}{2s}},$$

which implies that F has a global negative minimum at  $\varrho = \left(\frac{C(\delta-2)}{\delta-2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} > C^{\frac{N}{2s}}$  and F tends to 0 as  $\varrho \to +\infty$ . In any case, F is strictly decreasing in  $(0, C^{\frac{N}{2s}}]$  and it has only one zero at  $C^{\frac{N}{2s}}$  with  $\lim_{\varrho \to 0^+} F(\varrho) = +\infty$  and  $F(\varrho) < 0$  in  $(C^{\frac{N}{2s}}, +\infty)$ . Hence,  $\inf T_{\nu} = F^{-1}(D\nu) \to C^{\frac{N}{2s}}$  as  $\nu \to 0^+$  and the conclusion follows.

3.1. The case  $\max\{2s_1, 2s_2\} < N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ . In the following, we prove the Palais-Smale compactness condition of the functional  $J_{\nu}$  at level c.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose  $\max\{2s_1, 2s_2\} < N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  and (1.3). Then, the functional  $J_{\nu}$  satisfies the (PS) condition for any level c satisfying

$$c < \min\left\{\frac{s_1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), \frac{s_2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)\right\}.$$
(3.9)

*Proof.* We know by Lemma 3.2 that any (PS) sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . So, there exists a subsequence denoted by  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  itself and a  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$  satisfying the following

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ weakly in } \mathbb{D}, \\ &(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ strongly in } L^{q_1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{q_2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for } 1 \leq q_1 < 2^*_{s_1}, 1 \leq q_2 < 2^*_{s_2}, \\ &(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Now by using the concentration-compactness principle of Bonder [3, Theorem 1.1], Chen [7, Lemma 4.5] and an analogous version of Pucci [23, Theorem 1.2], there exist a subsequence, still denoted as  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ , two at most countable sets of points  $\{x_j\}_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\{y_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ , and non-negative numbers

$$\{(\mu_j, \rho_j)\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}, \{(\bar{\mu}_k, \bar{\rho}_k)\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}, \mu_0, \rho_0, \gamma_0, \bar{\mu}_0, \bar{\rho}_0 \text{ and } \bar{\gamma}_0$$

such that the following convergences hold  $weakly^*$  in the sense of measures,

$$\begin{split} |D^{s_1}u_n|^2 & \to d\mu \ge |D^{s_1}\tilde{u}|^2 + \Sigma_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\mu_j\delta_{x_j} + \mu_0\delta_0, \\ |D^{s_2}v_n|^2 & \to d\bar{\mu} \ge |D^{s_2}\tilde{v}|^2 + \Sigma_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\bar{\mu}_k\delta_{y_k} + \bar{\mu}_0\delta_0, \\ |u_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} & \to d\rho = |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_{s_1}} + \Sigma_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\rho_j\delta_{x_j} + \rho_0\delta_0, \\ |v_n|^{2^*_{s_2}} & \to d\bar{\rho} = |\tilde{v}|^{2^*_{s_2}} + \Sigma_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\bar{\rho}_k\delta_{y_k} + \bar{\rho}_0\delta_0, \\ \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} & \to d\gamma = \frac{\tilde{u}^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} + \gamma_0\delta_0, \\ \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^{2s_2}} & \to d\bar{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{v}^2}{|x|^{2s_2}} + \bar{\gamma}_0\delta_0, \end{split}$$
(3.10)

where  $\delta_0, \delta_{x_j}, \delta_{y_k}$  are the Dirac functions at the points  $0, x_j$  and  $y_k$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  respectively. Now from inequality (1.6) of [3, Theorem 1.1] and inequalities (1.7) of [23, Theorem 1.2], we deduce the inequalities given below

$$S_1 \rho_j^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*}} \le \mu_j \text{ for all } j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{0\},$$
  

$$S_2 \bar{\rho}_k^{\frac{2}{2_{s_2}^*}} \le \bar{\mu}_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{0\},$$
(3.11)

Also, by taking  $\alpha = 2s_1$  and  $\alpha = 2s_2$  in the inequality (4.21) of [7, Lemma 4.5] we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{N,s_1} \gamma_0 &\leq \mu_0, \\ \Lambda_{N,s_2} \bar{\gamma}_0 &\leq \bar{\mu}_0. \end{aligned}$$
(3.12)

We denote the concentration of the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  at infinity by the following numbers

$$\rho_{\infty} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |u_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} dx,$$

$$\mu_{\infty} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R} |D^{s_1} u_n|^2 dx,$$

$$\gamma_{\infty} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| > R} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} dx.$$
(3.13)

In a similar way, we can define the concentrations of the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  at infinity by the numbers  $\bar{\mu}_{\infty}, \bar{\rho}_{\infty}$ and  $\bar{\gamma}_{\infty}$ . Further, we assume that the function  $\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x)$  is a smooth cut-off function centered at points  $\{x_j\}$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ , satisfying

$$\Psi_{j,\epsilon} = 1 \text{ in } B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x_j), \quad \Psi_{j,\epsilon} = 0 \text{ in } B_{\epsilon}^c(x_j), \quad 0 \le \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \le 1 \text{ and } |\nabla \Psi_{j,\epsilon}| \le \frac{4}{\epsilon}, \tag{3.14}$$

where  $B_r(x_j) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x_j| < r\}$ . Now, testing  $J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n)$  with  $(u_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}, 0)$  we get

$$0 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left\langle J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (u_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}, 0) \right\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx dy \right)$$

$$+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} u_n(y) \, dx dy - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2s_1^*} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx - \nu \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx \right)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\mu - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\rho +$$

$$+ \lim_{n \to +\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} u_n(y) \, dx dy$$

$$- \nu \alpha \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx.$$
(3.15)

Notice that  $0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\Psi_{j,\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon$  being sufficiently small and also it is given that  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Now we evaluate each of the integrals mentioned above taking  $\epsilon \to 0$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \,\mathrm{d}\mu \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} |D^{s_1} \tilde{u}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \Sigma_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}) + \mu_0 \delta_0(\Psi_{j,\epsilon})$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} |D^{s_1} \tilde{u}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x + \Sigma_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_j \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x_j) + \mu_0 \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(0).$$

Taking the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  and since  $0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\Psi_{j,\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon$  being sufficiently small, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \ge \mu_j. \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\rho &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_{s_1}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x + \Sigma_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_j \delta_{x_j}(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}) + \rho_0 \delta_0(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_{s_1}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x + \Sigma_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_j \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x_j) + \rho_0 \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(0). \end{split}$$

Taking the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  and since  $0 \notin \operatorname{supp}(\Psi_{j,\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon$  being sufficiently small, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \,\mathrm{d}\rho = \rho_j. \tag{3.17}$$

Further,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \frac{\tilde{u}^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \gamma_0 \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(0) \right) = 0.$$
(3.18)

Next, we claim that:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_1}} u_n(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0.$$
(3.19)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} u_n(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))[(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))u_n(y)]}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{u_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , by using the Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &\leq \bigg( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \bigg( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 of Bonder et al. [3], and Lemma 2.3 of Xiang et al. [26], we obtain that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y)|^2 |u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_1}} \,\mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0,$$

which implies that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathcal{I} = 0$ . Hence, the claim (3.19) is done. Now we show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0.$$
(3.20)

We notice that  $3 < \min\{2_{s_1}^*, 2_{s_2}^*\}$  implies that  $\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} < 1$ . Therefore, by applying the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) u_{n}^{2} v_{n} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( h(x) \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \right)^{1 - \frac{2^{2}}{2^{2}_{s_{1}}} - \frac{1^{4}}{2^{2}_{s_{2}}}} \left( h(x) \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \right)^{\frac{2^{2}}{2^{2}_{s_{1}}} + \frac{1}{2^{2}_{s_{2}}}} u_{n}^{2} v_{n} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1 - \frac{2^{2}}{2^{2}_{s_{1}}} - \frac{1}{2^{2}_{s_{2}}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |u_{n}|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2^{2}}{2^{2}_{s_{1}}}} \\ &\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x) |v_{n}|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{2}_{s_{2}}}}. \end{split}$$

The first integral on the RHS of the last inequality tends to 0 as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , and the rest two integrals are bounded as the limit  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, first taking the limit as  $n \to \infty$  and then taking  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we get (3.20). Now from (3.15–3.20), one leads to the conclusion that  $\mu_j - \rho_j \leq 0$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ . Then using (3.11), we have

either 
$$\rho_j = 0$$
, or  $\rho_j \ge S_1^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}$ , for all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is finite. (3.21)

Analogously, we can also conclude that

either 
$$\bar{\rho}_k = 0$$
, or  $\bar{\rho}_k \ge S_2^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}$ , for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is finite. (3.22)

Now for studying the concentration at origin, we consider a cut-off function  $\Psi_{0,\epsilon}$  which satisfies the assumption (3.14). Again, testing  $J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n)$  with  $(u_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon}, 0)$  and following the analogous approach, we can easily deduce that  $\mu_0 - \lambda_1 \gamma_0 - \rho_0 \leq 0$  and  $\bar{\mu}_0 - \lambda_1 \bar{\gamma}_0 - \bar{\rho}_0 \leq 0$ . Moreover, using the inequality (1.7) of [23, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$\mu_0 - \lambda_1 \gamma_0 \ge S(\lambda_1) \rho_0^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*}} \text{ and } \bar{\mu}_0 - \lambda_2 \bar{\gamma}_0 \ge S(\lambda_2) \bar{\rho}_0^{\frac{2}{2_{s_2}^*}},$$
(3.23)

which further implies that

either 
$$\rho_0 = 0$$
 or  $\rho_0 \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$ ,  
either  $\bar{\rho}_0 = 0$  or  $\bar{\rho}_0 \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$ .
$$(3.24)$$

Next for concentration at the point  $\infty$ , we choose  $\mathcal{R} > 0$  large enough so that  $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \cup \{0\}$  is contained in  $B_{\mathcal{R}}(0)$  and we consider a cut-off function  $\Psi_{\infty,\epsilon}$  supported in a neighbourhood of  $\infty$  satisfying the following

$$\Psi_{\infty,\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B_{\mathcal{R}}(0), \quad \Psi_{\infty,\epsilon} = 1 \quad \text{in} \quad B_{\mathcal{R}+1}^c(0), \quad 0 \le \Psi_{\infty,\epsilon} \le 1 \text{ and } |\nabla \Psi_{\infty,\epsilon}| \le \frac{4}{\epsilon}. \tag{3.25}$$

Analogously, it is easy to find that  $\mu_{\infty} - \lambda_1 \gamma_{\infty} - \rho_{\infty} \leq 0$  and  $\bar{\mu}_{\infty} - \lambda_1 \bar{\gamma}_{\infty} - \bar{\rho}_{\infty} \leq 0$  by testing  $J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n)$  with  $(u_n \Psi_{\infty,\epsilon}, 0)$ . Next, by the inequality (1.14) of [23, Theorem 1.3] we have

$$\mu_{\infty} - \lambda_1 \gamma_{\infty} \ge S(\lambda_1) \rho_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mu}_{\infty} - \lambda_2 \bar{\gamma}_{\infty} \ge S(\lambda_2) \bar{\rho}_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{2_{s_2}^*}}, \tag{3.26}$$

which also further concludes that

either 
$$\rho_{\infty} = 0$$
 or  $\rho_{\infty} \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1),$   
either  $\bar{\rho}_{\infty} = 0$  or  $\bar{\rho}_{\infty} \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$ 

$$(3.27)$$

As we already know that

$$c = \frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^{s_1}}^{2_{s_1}^s} + \frac{6s_2 - N}{6N} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^{s_2}}^{2_{s_2}^s} + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty.$$
(3.28)

Now using (3.10-3.12), (3.24) and (3.27), we deduce that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{6} \Big( \| (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} + (\mu_{0} - \lambda_{1}\gamma_{0}) + (\mu_{\infty} - \lambda_{1}\gamma_{\infty}) \\ + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\mu}_{k} + (\bar{\mu}_{0} - \lambda_{2}\bar{\gamma}_{0}) + (\bar{\mu}_{\infty} - \lambda_{2}\bar{\gamma}_{\infty}) \Big) + \frac{6s_{1} - N}{6N} \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\tilde{u}|^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} \, dx + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_{j} + \rho_{0} + \rho_{\infty} \Big) \\ + \frac{6s_{2} - N}{6N} \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\tilde{v}|^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} \, dx + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\rho}_{k} + \bar{\rho}_{0} + \bar{\rho}_{\infty} \Big)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{6} \Big( \Big[ S_{1} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_{j}^{\frac{2}{s_{1}}} + S_{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\rho}_{k}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}} \Big] + S(\lambda_{1}) \Big[ \rho_{0}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}} + \rho_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}} \Big] + S(\lambda_{2}) \Big[ \bar{\rho}_{0}^{\frac{2}{s_{2}}} + \bar{\rho}_{\infty}^{\frac{2}{s_{2}}} \Big] \Big) \\ + \frac{6s_{1} - N}{6N} \Big( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \rho_{j} + \rho_{0} + \rho_{\infty} \Big) + \frac{6s_{2} - N}{6N} \Big( \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\rho}_{k} + \bar{\rho}_{0} + \bar{\rho}_{\infty} \Big).$$

$$(3.29)$$

If the concentration is considered at the point  $x_j$ , then  $\rho_j > 0$  and further form above and using (3.21) we find that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{6}S_1^{1+\frac{N}{2s_1}\frac{2}{2s_1}} + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N}S_1^{\frac{N}{2s_1}} = \frac{s_1}{N}S_1^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}.$$

Which contradicts the assumption on energy level given by (3.9). This leads to  $\rho_j = \mu_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ . Proceeding in a similar way, we further deduce that  $\bar{\rho}_k = \bar{\mu}_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\rho_0 \neq 0$ , from (3.29) and (3.24), we have

$$c \ge \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1),$$

which again contradicts the hypothesis on the energy level c. Therefore,  $\rho_0 = 0$ . By the same token, we also get  $\bar{\rho}_0 = 0$ . Arguing as above and using (3.27) we also find  $\rho_{\infty} = 0$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{\infty} = 0$ . Hence, there exists a subsequence that strongly converges in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . As a consequence, we have

$$\|(u_n - \tilde{u}, v_n - \tilde{v})\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 = \langle J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (u_n - \tilde{u}, v_n - \tilde{v}) \rangle + o_n(1),$$

which infers that the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  strongly converges in  $\mathbb{D}$  and the (PS) condition holds.

Now we consider the modified version of the problem (1.1) to deal with the positive solutions.

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{s_1} u - \lambda_1 \frac{u}{|x|^{2s_1}} - (u^+)^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} = 2\nu h(x) u^+ v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{s_2} v - \lambda_2 \frac{v}{|x|^{2s_2}} - (v^+)^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} = \nu h(x) (u^+)^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

where  $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$  and  $u^- = \min\{u, 0\}$  such that  $u = u^+ + u^-$ . It is clear that the solutions of (1.1) are also the solutions of the modified problem (3.30). The modified problem also has a structure of the variational type, and therefore, the solutions are merely the critical points of the associated functional on  $\mathbb{D}$  given by

$$J_{\nu}^{+}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} \|u^{+}\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{*}} - \frac{1}{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} \|v^{+}\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{*}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{*}} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)(u^{+})^{2} v \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.31)

It is easy to verify that the functional  $J^+_{\nu}$  is Fréchet differentiable on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{D}$ , the Fréchet derivative of  $J^+_{\nu}$  at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{D}$  is given as follow

$$\begin{split} \langle (J_{\nu}^{+})'(u,v)|(u_{0},v_{0})\rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(u_{0}(x)-u_{0}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v(x)-v(y))(v_{0}(x)-v_{0}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u \cdot u_{0}}{|x|^{2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &- \lambda_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{v \cdot v_{0}}{|x|^{2s_{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (u^{+})^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}-1} u_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (v^{+})^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}-1} v_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &- 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)u^{+}u_{0}v \,\mathrm{d}x - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)(u^{+})^{2}v_{0} \,\mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Besides, we shall denote  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+$  the Nehari manifold associated to  $J_{\nu}^+$  as

$$\mathcal{N}_{\nu}^{+} = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \langle (J_{\nu}^{+})'(u,v) | (u,v) \rangle = 0 \}.$$

Also for every  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\nu}$ , we have the following

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = \|u^{+}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + \|v^{+}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)(u^{+})^{2}v \,\mathrm{d}x,$$
(3.32)

and using this we can write the functional  $J^+_{\nu}$  restricted on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}^+_{\nu}$  as

$$J_{\nu}^{+}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}^{+}}(u,v) = \frac{1}{6} \|(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + \frac{6s_{1}-N}{6N} \|u^{+}\|_{2_{s_{1}}^{s_{1}}}^{2_{s_{1}}^{s}} + \frac{6s_{2}-N}{6N} \|v^{+}\|_{2_{s_{2}}^{s_{2}}}^{2_{s_{2}}^{s}}.$$
(3.33)

In the following lemma, we prove strong convergence when certain Palais-Smale level conditions are imposed.

# Lemma 3.5. Assume $\max\{2s_1, 2s_2\} < N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ and (1.3). Also let $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$ and

$$S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) < \min\{S_1^{\frac{1}{2s_1}}, S_2^{\frac{1}{2s_2}}\}.$$
(3.34)

Then, there exists  $\nu_0 > 0$  such that, if  $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$  and  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  is a (PS) sequence for  $J^+_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\frac{s_1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) < c < \frac{\min\{s_1, s_2\}}{N} \left(S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)\right),\tag{3.35}$$

and

$$c \neq \frac{s_2 l}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) \text{ for every } l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\},$$
(3.36)

then there exists  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that up to a subsequence  $(u_n, v_n) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose that  $s_1 \ge s_2$ . Following Lemma 3.2 it is easy to prove that the (PS) sequence for  $J^+_{\nu}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus, there exist a  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$  and a subsequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  such that  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  converges weakly to  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Further

$$\left\langle (J_{\nu}^{+})'(u_{n},v_{n})|(u_{n}^{-},0)\right\rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y))(u_{n}^{-}(x)-u_{n}^{-}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u_{n}u_{n}^{-}}{|x|^{2s_{1}}} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Since  $u = u^+ + u^-$  and we know that  $u^+u^- = 0$ , as both  $u^+$  and  $u^-$  can not be positive simultaneously. Hence,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle (J_{\nu}^{+})'(u_{n},v_{n})|(u_{n}^{-},0)\right\rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_{n}^{-}(x)-u_{n}^{-}(y))^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \\ &+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left(-u_{n}^{+}(x)u_{n}^{-}(y)-u_{n}^{+}(y)u_{n}^{-}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(u_{n}^{-})^{2}}{|x|^{2s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_{n}^{-}(x)-u_{n}^{-}(y))^{2}}{|x-y|^{N+2s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{(u_{n}^{-})^{2}}{|x|^{2s_{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

From Hardy's inequality (2.2), we have

$$(1-\lambda_1 C) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n^-(x)-u_n^-(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \le \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n^-(x)-u_n^-(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u_n^-)^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where  $C = 1/\Lambda_{N,s_1}$ . Now using the fact that  $(J^+_{\nu})'(u_n, v_n) \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}^*$ , we have

$$\langle (J_{\nu}^+)'(u_n, v_n) | (u_n^-, 0) \rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

and hence we conclude from above inequalities that the sequence  $\langle u_n^- \rangle \to 0$  strongly in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Proceeding in a similar way, we also have that  $\langle v_n^- \rangle \to 0$  strongly in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Therefore, there is no loss for considering  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  as a non-negative (PS) sequence at level c for the functional  $J^+_{\mu}$ .

By using the analogous approach of Lemma 3.4, we can deduce the existence of a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ , two (at most countable) sets of points  $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and non-negative numbers  $\{(\mu_j, \rho_j)\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}, \{(\bar{\mu}_k, \bar{\rho}_k)\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}, \mu_0, \rho_0, \gamma_0, \bar{\mu}_0, \bar{\rho}_0 \text{ and } \bar{\gamma}_0 \text{ such that the weak}^* convergence given by (3.10)$ is satisfied as well as the inequalities (3.21-3.24) also hold.

The concentration at infinity given by the numbers  $\mu_{\infty}$ ,  $\rho_{\infty}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_{\infty}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{\infty}$  as in (3.13), for which (3.26) and (3.27) hold, are also defined in a similar way. Next, we prove the strong convergence:

either 
$$u_n \to \tilde{u}$$
 in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  or  $v_n \to \tilde{v}$  in  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . (3.37)

The proof follows by using the method of contradiction. So we suppose that both the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  do not converge strongly in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  respectively. Thus, there exists  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{0, \infty\}$  and  $k \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{0, \infty\}$  such that  $\rho_j > 0$  and  $\bar{\rho}_k > 0$ . Using the expressions, (3.21–3.24) and (3.28) into the equation (3.29), we obtain

$$c = \frac{1}{6} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N} \|u_n\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{6s_2 - N}{6N} \|v_n\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} + o(1)$$
  

$$\geq \frac{1}{6} \left( S(\lambda_1) \rho_j^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*}} + S(\lambda_2) \bar{\rho}_k^{\frac{2}{2_{s_2}^*}} \right) + \frac{6s_1 - N}{6N} \rho_j + \frac{6s_2 - N}{6N} \bar{\rho}_k$$
  

$$\geq \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$

The aforementioned inequality contradicts assumption (3.35), so claim (3.37) is proved. Thereafter, we prove that:

either 
$$u_n \to \tilde{u}$$
 strongly in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  or  $v_n \to \tilde{v}$  strongly in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . (3.38)

We assume by the claim (3.37) that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  strongly converges in  $L^{2^*_{s_1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . This implies that we have the following convergence

$$||u_n - \tilde{u}||^2_{\lambda_1, s_1} = \langle J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (u_n - \tilde{u}, 0) \rangle + o_n(1),$$

which clearly shows  $u_n \to \tilde{u}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Again, if we suppose that  $\{v_n\}$  strongly converges in  $L^{2^*_{s_2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , then  $v_n \to \tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Hence, the proof of claim (3.38) is done. Further, we consider two cases to prove the strong convergence of both the components  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , respectively.

**Case 1:** The sequence  $\{v_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

On the contrary, assume that none of its subsequences converge. Let us assume first that the set  $\mathcal{J} \cup \{0, \infty\}$  has more than one point, by combining (3.29) with (3.21), (3.23), (3.24), (3.26) and (3.27), we find

$$c \ge \frac{2s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \ge \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2),$$

which contradicts assumption (3.35). Thus, there can not be more than one point i.e.  $x_j, j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ contains only one concentration point for the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ . Further, we shall show that  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Again by the contradiction we assume that  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$ , then  $\tilde{u} \ge 0$  (as  $u_n$  is a non-negative sequence) and  $\tilde{u}$  verifies

$$(-\Delta)^{s_1}\tilde{u} - \lambda_1 \frac{\tilde{u}}{|x|^{2s_1}} = \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1} - 1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(3.39)

Therefore, for some  $\mu > 0$ , we have  $\tilde{u} = z_{\mu,s_1}^{\lambda_1}$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2_{s_1}^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$  by (2.4). Using the fact that there is only one concentration point for the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , and combining (3.29) with (3.21), (3.23), (3.24), we deduce the following inequality

$$c \ge \frac{s_1}{N} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \right) = \frac{2s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \ge \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$

This contradicts the assumption (3.35). In case of  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$  and  $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ , we have that  $\{u_n\}$  should verify the following

$$(-\Delta)^{s_1} u_n - \lambda_1 \frac{u_n}{|x|^{2s_1}} - u_n^{2^{s_1}} - 1 = o_n(1) \text{ in the dual space } \left(\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)'.$$

Which implies that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x = o_n(1), \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c &= J_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) + o_n(1) = J_1(u_n) + J_2(v_n) - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x + o_n(1) \\ &= J_1(u_n) + 0 - 0 + o_n(1), \quad as \; \{v_n\} \text{ strongly converges to } 0 \text{ and } h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s_1}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n)^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + o_n(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n)^{2^*_{s_1}} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n)^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + o_n(1) \text{ by using } (3.40) \\ &= \frac{s_1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_n)^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + o_n(1) = \frac{s_1}{N} \rho_j, \; as \; \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ and } \{u_n\} \text{ concentrates at one point.} \end{aligned}$$

Also for every  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ , the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is a positive (PS) sequence for the functional given as

$$J_j(u) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_1}} dx dy - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u)^{2^*_{s_1}} dx dy$$

Using the characterization of (PS) sequence for the functional  $J_j$  provided by [22] (See (2.6) in the proof of Theorem 1.1), we find that  $\rho_j = lS_1^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is a contradiction to (3.34) and (3.35). Thus,  $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$ . If  $\{u_n\}$  is concentrating at points zero or infinity, we argue analogously for the functional  $J_1$  and use the result provided by [2] (See Theorem 2.1 part (v)) to obtain the following

$$c = J_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) + o(1) = J_1(u_n) + o(1) \to \frac{s_1 l}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1),$$

for some  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Therefore, we get a contradiction to (3.35) and hence  $\tilde{v} > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Further, we may assume that there exists  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . On contrary let  $\tilde{u} = 0$ , then  $\tilde{v}$  is a solution to the problem given by

$$(-\Delta)^{s_2} \tilde{v} - \lambda_2 \frac{\tilde{v}}{|x|^{2s_2}} = \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2} - 1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$
 (3.41)

Therefore, for some  $\mu > 0$ , we have  $\tilde{v} = z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2}} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$  by (2.4). As a consequence, combining (3.29) with (3.21), (3.23), (3.24), we conclude that

$$c \ge \frac{s_2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) = \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) + \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \ge \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2),$$

which contradicts the assumption (3.35). We can conclude that  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Further, we have

$$c = J_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle J_{\nu}'(u_n, v_n) | (u_n, v_n) \rangle + o_n(1)$$
  

$$= \frac{s_1}{N} \|u_n\|_{2^{s_1}_{s_1}}^{2^{s_1}_{s_1}} + \frac{s_2}{N} \|v_n\|_{2^{s_2}_{s_2}}^{2^{s_2}_{s_2}} + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x + o_n(1)$$
  

$$= \frac{s_1}{N} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2^{s_1}_{s_1}}^{2^{s_1}_{s_1}} + \frac{s_2}{N} \|\tilde{v}\|_{2^{s_2}_{s_2}}^{2^{s_2}_{s_2}} + \frac{s_1}{N} \rho_j + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$
  
(3.42)

by the concentration at  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ . Note that  $\{v_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . On the other hand, using  $\langle J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \rangle = o_n(1)$ , we obtain the following expression

$$\|(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{2^{*_{1}}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + \|\tilde{v}\|_{2^{*_{2}}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{v}\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

which clearly shows that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . Indeed, by combining (3.42), (3.43), (3.21), (3.23), (3.24) and (3.29), we get the following

$$J_{\nu}(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) = \frac{s_1}{N} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2_{s_1}^*}^{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{s_2}{N} \|\tilde{v}\|_{2_{s_2}^*}^{2_{s_2}^*} + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$= c - \frac{s_1}{N} \rho_j < \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) - \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \leq \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$

Thus, using the above expression we have

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu} = \inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_{\nu}} J_{\nu}(u,v) < \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$

But, according to Theorem 1.4, we have  $\tilde{c}_{\nu} = \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$  provided that  $\nu$  is very small. Thus, we get a contradiction to the former inequality. Hence, the proof of  $u_n \to \tilde{u}$  strongly in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is completed.

**Case 2:** The sequence  $\{u_n\}$  strongly converges to  $\tilde{u}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Our claim is that the sequence  $\{v_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Using the contradiction method, we assume that all of its subsequences do not converge. First we prove that  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ . On the contrary suppose that  $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ , then  $\{v_n\}$  is a (PS) sequence for the functional  $J_2$  given by (2.6) at level c. Clearly for some  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\tilde{v} = z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}$  as  $\{v_n\} \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\tilde{v}$  is a solution to the problem (3.41). Also, by applying the compactness theorem given by [2] and using (2.6) and (2.4), we find that

$$c = \lim_{n \to +\infty} J_2(v_n) = J_2(z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}) + \frac{s_2}{N} m S_2^{\frac{N}{2s_2}} + \frac{s_2}{N} l S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) = \frac{s_2}{N} m S_2^{\frac{N}{2s_2}} + \frac{s_2}{N} (l+1) S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2),$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . This contradicts the assumptions (3.35) and (3.36). Therefore, the conclusion  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$  follows immediately. On the other hand, the assumption  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$  implies that  $\tilde{u}$  is a solution to the problem (3.39) and that for some  $\mu > 0$ , we have  $\tilde{u} = z_{\mu,s_1}^{\lambda_1}$ . Thus, we obtain

$$c \ge \frac{s_1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) \ge \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2),$$

contradicting (3.35). Hence, we conclude that  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Now as  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is a solution of (1.1), we have

$$J_{\nu}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = \frac{s_1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{s_2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.43)

Since  $\{v_n\}$  does not strongly converge to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , there exists at least  $k \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{0,\infty\}$  such that  $\bar{\rho}_k > 0$ and using again (3.42), we get

$$c = \left(\frac{s_1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{s_2}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{s_2}{N} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\rho}_k + \bar{\rho}_0 + \bar{\rho}_\infty \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

By using (3.22)-(3.24), (3.43) and (3.35), one has

$$J_{\nu}(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) = c - \frac{s_2}{N} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \bar{\rho}_k + \bar{\rho}_0 + \bar{\rho}_\infty \right) < \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) - \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) = \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1).$$
(3.44)

Further, we use the definition of  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$  in the first equation of (1.1) which implies that

$$\sigma_1 + \nu \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}^2 \tilde{v} \, \mathrm{d}x = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\tilde{u}^2}{|x|^{2s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge S(\lambda_1) \sigma_1^{\frac{2}{2s_1}}, \tag{3.45}$$

such that  $\sigma_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}^{2^*_{s_1}} dx$ . Then applying Hölder's inequality leads to the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)\tilde{u}^{2}\tilde{v}\,\mathrm{d}x \le C(h) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \tilde{u}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2^{*}}{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \tilde{v}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}}.$$
(3.46)

By combining (3.43) and (3.46), we can transform (3.45) into

$$\sigma_1 + C_1 \nu \sigma_1^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}} \ge S(\lambda_1) \sigma_1^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}}, \tag{3.47}$$

where the constant  $C_1 > 0$  depends only on N, s, h and independent of  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  and  $\nu$ . We know that  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ , we can choose  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}^{2^*_{s_2}} dx \geq \tilde{\epsilon}$ . Now take  $\epsilon > 0$  such a way that  $\tilde{\epsilon} \geq \epsilon S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$ . Since (3.47) holds, therefore we can apply Lemma 3.3 to get a fixed  $\nu_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sigma_1 \ge (1-\epsilon)S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1)$$
 for any  $0 < \nu \le \nu_0$ 

Combining (3.43) and the last estimate, we get the following inequality

$$J_{\nu}(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) \geq \frac{s_1}{N}(1-\epsilon)S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N}\tilde{\epsilon} \geq \frac{s_2}{N}(1-\epsilon)S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) + \frac{s_2}{N}\epsilon S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) = \frac{s_2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1),$$

contradicting the inequality (3.44). Hence,  $\{v_n\}$  converges strongly to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $\mathcal{D}^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Proceeding in the same way, the result can be proved for the case  $s_1 \leq s_2$ . Finally, combining both the cases leads to the conclusion that the (PS) sequences strongly converge in  $\mathbb{D}$  to a non-trivial limit. Hence the proof is complete. 

Now we are going to study the character of the semi-trivial solution as a critical point of  $J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}}$ . Let us consider the decoupled energy functionals  $J_i: \mathcal{D}^{s_i,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$J_{i}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{N + 2s_{i}}} dx dy - \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2s_{i}}} dx - \frac{1}{2s_{i}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |u|^{2s_{i}^{*}} dx.$$
(3.48)

for i = 1, 2 such that  $J_{\nu}(u, v) = J_1(u) + J_2(v) - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u^2 v dx$ . Observe that  $z_{u,s_i}^{\lambda_i}$ , (i = 1, 2) defined by (see [13]) is a global minimum of  $J_i$  constrained on the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_i$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{i} &= \{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{s_{i},2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J_{i}'(u) | u \rangle = 0 \} \\
&= \{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{s_{i},2}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \setminus \{0\} : \| u \|_{\lambda_{i},s_{i}}^{2} = \| u \|_{2^{*}_{s_{i}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{i}}} \}.
\end{aligned}$$
(3.49)

It is easy to prove that the energy levels of  $z_{u,s_i}^{\lambda_i}$ , are

$$J_1(z_{u,s_1}^{\lambda_1}) = \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) = J_{\nu}(z_{u,s_1}^{\lambda_1}, 0), \quad J_2(z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2}) = \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) = J_{\nu}(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$$
(3.50)

for any  $\mu > 0$  with  $S(\lambda)$  defined in (2.3). Given  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  we denote by  $T_{(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})} \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  the tangent space of  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ at  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ . Note that

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in T_{(0, z_{u, s_2}^{\lambda_2})} \mathcal{N}_{\nu} \iff T_{z_{u, s_2}^{\lambda_2}} \mathcal{N}_2.$$
(3.51)

Next, we determine the character of  $(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  as critical point of  $J_{\nu}|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** There exits  $\bar{\nu} > 0$  such that the following holds:

- (i) if  $0 < \nu < \overline{\nu}$ ,  $(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a local minimum of  $J_{\nu}$  constrained on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . (ii) for any  $\nu > \overline{\nu}$ ,  $(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a saddle point of  $J_{\nu}$  constrained on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let us set

$$\bar{\nu} = \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \phi \neq 0} \frac{\|\phi\|_{\lambda_1,s_1}^2}{2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)\phi^2 z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2} dx}.$$
(3.52)

Next, given  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in T_{(0, z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2})} \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , we have

$$J_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}(0, z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2})[(\phi_1, \phi_2)]^2 = \|\phi_1\|_{\lambda_1, s_1}^2 + J_2^{\prime\prime}(z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2})[\phi_2]^2 - 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)\phi^2 z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2} dx.$$
(3.53)

As  $z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}$  is a minimum of  $J_2$  on  $\mathcal{N}_2$  and  $\phi_2 \in T_{z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}}\mathcal{N}_2$ , by (3.51), there exists C > 0 such that

$$J_{2}^{\prime\prime}(z_{\mu,s_{2}}^{\lambda_{2}})[\phi_{2}]^{2} \ge C \|\phi_{2}\|_{\lambda_{2},s_{2}}^{2}$$
(3.54)

Then if  $\nu < \bar{\nu}$ , there exists c > 0 such that  $J_{\nu}''(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})[(\phi_1, \phi_2)]^2 \ge c(\|\phi_1\|_{\lambda_1, s_1}^2 + \|\phi_2\|_{\lambda_2, s_2}^2)$ , which proves that  $(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a local strict minimum of  $J_{\nu}$  constrained on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . (ii) First we note that by (3.53) and (3.54),

$$J_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})[(0, \phi_2)]^2 = J_{\nu}^{\prime\prime}(z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})[\phi_2]^2 \ge C \|\phi_2\|_{\lambda_2,s_2}^2.$$
(3.55)

On the other hand, if we take  $\phi = (\phi_1, 0)$  such that

$$\nu > \frac{\|\phi\|_{\lambda_1, s_1}^2}{2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)\phi^2 z_{u, s_2}^{\lambda_2} dx} > \bar{\nu}$$

we get

$$J_{\nu}^{''}(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})[(\phi_1, 0)]^2 = \|\phi_1\|_{\lambda_1, s_1}^2 - 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \phi^2 z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2} dx < 0 \quad for \ any \ \nu > \bar{\nu}.$$
(3.56)

Thus by (3.55) and (3.56) we conclude that  $(0, z_{u,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a saddle point of  $J_{\nu}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Although the pair  $(z_{u,s_1}^{\lambda_1}, 0)$  is not a critical point of the energy functional  $J_{\nu}$ , this pair does belong to the Nehari manifold  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ .

3.2. The case  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ . We assume some extra continuity assumptions on h to deal with this critical case. Precisely, more hypotheses on the function h are supposed to address this case. In particular,

$$0 \le h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \ h \text{ continuous near } 0 \text{ and } \infty, \text{ and } h(0) = \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} h(x) = 0.$$
(H1)

Adding to that, we will distinguish two different cases: one with h radial case and the other when h is non-radial. To deal with the h non-radial case, we need to impose one extra assumption on  $\nu$  i.e., it should be small enough. Let us define the space of radial functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ 

$$\mathbb{D}_r := \mathcal{D}_r^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{D}_r^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{D} : u \text{ and } v \text{ are radially symmetric} \}.$$

**Lemma 3.8.** Assume that  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  and (H1), and h is a radial function.

- (i) If  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}_r$  is a PS sequence for  $J_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that c satisfies (3.9), then the sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  admits a subsequence strongly converging in  $\mathbb{D}$ .
- (ii) If  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \geq S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$  and  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}_r$  is a PS sequence for  $J^+_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that c satisfies (3.35) and (3.36), then there exists  $\nu_1 > 0$  and  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}_r$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $\mathbb{D}_r$  up to subsequence for every  $0 < \nu \leq \nu_1$ .

*Proof.* We observe that the functions  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{D}_r$  are radial and therefore we can not have concentrations at points other than 0 or  $\infty$ , otherwise, we will get a contradiction to the concentration–compactness principle by Bonder [3] as the set of concentration points is not a countable set.

Now if we want to avoid concentration at the points 0 and  $\infty$ , by following the proof of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, it is sufficient to show that (see (3.15))

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0, \qquad (3.57)$$

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{|x| > R} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{\infty,\epsilon}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0, \tag{3.58}$$

where the cut-off function  $\Psi_{0,\epsilon}$  is centred at 0 satisfying (3.14) and the cut-off function  $\Psi_{\infty,\epsilon}$  supported near  $\infty$  satisfying (3.25). For any  $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$ , the assumption  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  implies that  $\frac{2}{2^*_{s_1}} + \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}} \leq 1$  and the equality holds if and only if  $s_1 = s_2$ .

$$\begin{split} \text{If } \frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} < 1, \text{ by using the assumption on } h \text{ in (H1) and the Hölder's inequality, we get} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( h(x) \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*}} \left( h(x) \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \right)^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*}} u_n^2 v_n \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} - \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u_n|^{2_{s_1}^*} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*}} \\ & \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |v_n|^{2_{s_2}^*} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*}}. \end{split}$$

Now from (3.10) and (H1), we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_{s_1}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \rho_0 h(0)$$
$$\leq \int_{|x| \le \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x, \text{ since } h(0) = 0.$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |v_n|^{2^*_{s_2}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |\tilde{v}|^{2^*_{s_2}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \bar{\rho}_0 h(0)$$
$$\leq \int_{|x| \le \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{v}|^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x, \text{ since } h(0) = 0.$$

By Combining the above three inequalities, we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x &\leq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \left( \int_{|x| \leq \epsilon} h(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1 - \frac{2^*}{2^*_{s_1}} - \frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}}} \left( \int_{|x| \leq \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*_s}} \\ & \left( \int_{|x| \leq \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{v}|^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s}} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

If  $\frac{2}{2_{s_1}^*} + \frac{1}{2_{s_2}^*} = 1$ , by using the fact that  $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |u_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*_{s_1}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) |v_n|^{2^*_{s_2}} \Psi_{0,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_{s_2}}}.$$
(3.59)

Again using the above approach, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{0,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[ \left( \int_{|x| \le \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{u}|^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*_s}} \left( \int_{|x| \le \epsilon} h(x) |\tilde{v}|^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_s}} \right] = 0.$$
y, we can prove (3.58) by using the assumption that lim  $h(x) = 0.$ 

Similarly, we can prove (3.58) by using the assumption that  $\lim_{|x|\to+\infty} h(x) = 0$ .

Next, we want to prove the Palais-Smale compactness condition for the case when h is non-radial. For this purpose, we further assume that the parameter  $\nu$  is sufficiently small and  $s_1 = s_2 = s$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** Let us assume that N = 6s and (H1), and  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  be a (PS) sequence in  $\mathbb{D}$  for  $J_{\nu}$  at level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that c satisfies (3.9). Then, there exist  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\nu_0 > 0$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  up to subsequence for every  $0 < \nu \leq \nu_0$ .

*Proof.* First, we observe that the concentrations at points  $0, \infty$  can be excluded due to (3.57) and (3.58) given in proof of Lemma 3.8. Therefore, we have only to take care of concentration points  $x_j \neq 0, \infty$ . Furthermore, we can also assume that the index  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$ . On contrary suppose that the concentration occurs at  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}^c$  or  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $k \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{J}^c$ , then it is easy to prove as done before that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,$$

for a smooth cut-off function  $\Psi_{j,\epsilon}$  centred at  $x_j$  satisfying (3.14). Thus, this concludes that concentrations can not occur at  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}^c$  or  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $k \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{J}^c$ .

Now, we test the functional  $J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n)$  with  $(u_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}, 0)$  with the assumption that  $j \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{K}$  and we obtain

$$0 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left\langle J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n) | (u_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}, 0) \right\rangle$$
  

$$= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} u_n(y) \, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*_s} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$
  

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\mu - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}\rho - 2\nu \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.60}$$

Further, we test the functional  $J'_{\nu}(u_n, v_n)$  with  $(0, v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon})$  and we have the following

$$0 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left\langle \left\langle J_{\nu}'(u_n, v_n) | (0, v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}) \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to +\infty} \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx dy + \right.$$

$$+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(v_n(x) - v_n(y))(\Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) - \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} v_n(y) \, dx dy - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v_n^2}{|x|^{2s}} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx - \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{2^*_s} \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx - 2\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx \right)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\bar{\mu} - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\bar{\gamma} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, d\bar{\rho} - 2\nu \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon}(x) \, dx.$$

$$(3.62)$$

By assumption  $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and using the Hölder inequality (3.59), there exists some constant  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that the following inequality holds.

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) u_n^2 v_n \Psi_{j,\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \tilde{C} \rho_j^{\frac{2}{2s}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\frac{1}{2s}}.$$
(3.63)

Hence, by letting  $\epsilon \to 0$ , from (3.60), (3.61) and (3.63) we get

$$\mu_j - \rho_j - 2\nu \tilde{C} \rho_j^{\frac{2}{2_s}} \bar{\rho_j}^{\frac{1}{2_s}} \le 0, \qquad (3.64)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_j - \bar{\rho}_j - \nu \tilde{C} \rho_j^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}} \le 0.$$
(3.65)

Then, by (3.11) together with (3.64) and (3.65), we find

$$S\left(\rho_{j}^{\frac{2}{2s}} + \bar{\rho}_{j}^{\frac{2}{2s}}\right) \le \rho_{j} + \bar{\rho}_{j} + 2_{s}^{*} \nu \tilde{C} \rho_{j}^{\frac{2}{2s}} \bar{\rho}_{j}^{\frac{1}{2s}}.$$

Therefore,

$$S\left(\rho_{j}+\bar{\rho}_{j}\right)^{\frac{2}{2_{s}^{*}}} \leq (\rho_{j}+\bar{\rho}_{j})(1+2_{s}^{*}\nu\tilde{C}).$$

consequently, we obtain that either  $\rho_j + \bar{\rho}_j = 0$  or  $\rho_j + \bar{\rho}_j \ge \left(\frac{S}{1+2_s^*\nu \tilde{C}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}}$ . If we have a concentration at some point  $x_j$ , then following the arguments of Lemma 3.4 we get

$$c \ge \frac{1}{6} \left( \mu_j + \bar{\mu}_j \right) + \frac{6s - N}{6N} (\rho_j + \bar{\rho}_j)$$
$$\ge S \frac{1}{6} \left( \rho_j + \bar{\rho}_j \right)^{\frac{2}{2s}} + \frac{6s - N}{6N} (\rho_j + \bar{\rho}_j)$$
$$\ge \frac{s}{N} \left( \frac{S}{1 + 2_s^* \nu \tilde{C}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2s}}$$

If we assume that  $\nu > 0$  is sufficiently small, then

$$c \ge \frac{s}{N} \left( \frac{S}{1 + 2_s^* \nu \tilde{C}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} \ge \frac{s}{N} \min\{S(\lambda_1), S(\lambda_2)\}^{\frac{N}{2s}},$$

which gives a contradiction to the hypothesis on level c. This implies that  $\rho_j = 0 = \bar{\rho}_j$ . Hence, the result follows from (3.63).  $\square$ 

#### 4. Proofs of main results

In this section, we will give the proofs of main theorems of the article showing positive ground and bound state solutions of the system (1.1).

Proof of theorem 1.2. The proposition 3.6 states that the pair  $(0, z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a saddle point of  $J_{\nu}$  constrained on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  for  $\nu > \bar{\nu}$ . Moreover,  $(z_{\mu,s_1}^{\lambda_1}, 0)$  is not a critical point of  $J_{\nu}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . Therefore, we can write

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu} = \inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}_{\nu}} J_{\nu}(u,v) < \min\left\{J_{\nu}(z_{\mu,s_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}},0), J_{\nu}(0,z_{\mu,s_{2}}^{\lambda_{2}})\right\}$$

and hence from above we get the following inequality

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu} < \min\left\{\frac{s_1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), \frac{s_2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)\right\}.$$
(4.1)

For the case  $N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , we use Lemma 3.4 to ensure the existence of  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\tilde{c}_{\nu} = J_{\nu}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ . Next, we will show that the functions  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  are indeed positive. For that purpose, we follow the argument with  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$  in [17, Theorem 4.1, page 24]. We define the function  $\psi(t) : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\psi(t) = J_{\nu}(tu, tv)$ , for all t > 0. Then  $\psi'''(t) < 0$  for all t > 0. Therefore the function  $\psi'(t)$  is strictly concave for t > 0. Also, we have  $\lim_{t \to 0} \psi'(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \psi'(t) = -\infty$ . Moreover, the function  $\psi'(t) > 0$  for t > 0 small enough. Hence,  $\psi'(t)$  has a unique global maximum point at  $t = t_0$  and  $\psi'(t)$  has a unique root at  $t_1 > t_0$ and  $\psi''(t) < 0$  for  $t > t_0$ , in particular  $\psi''(t_1) < 0$ . Also, from the equation (2.28) and  $\psi(t)$ , we observe that  $(tu, tv) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  if and only if  $\psi''(t) < 0$ .

Now we consider the function  $(|\tilde{u}|, |\tilde{v}|) \in \mathbb{D}$ , then from the above arguments there exists a unique  $t_2 > 0$  such that  $t_2(|\tilde{u}|, |\tilde{v}|) = (t_2|\tilde{u}|, t_2|\tilde{v}|) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  and  $t_2$  satisfies the following algebraic equation

$$\|(|\tilde{u}|,|\tilde{v}|)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = t_{2}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}-2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + t_{2}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}-2} \|\tilde{v}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu t_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)\tilde{u}^{2}|\tilde{v}|\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Also we know that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , therefore

$$\|(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{1}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{1}}} + \|\tilde{v}\|_{2^{*}_{s_{2}}}^{2^{*}_{s_{2}}} + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)\tilde{u}|^{2}\tilde{v}\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Now from the inequality  $\|(|\tilde{u}|,|\tilde{v}|)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq \|(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\|_{\mathbb{D}}$ , one finds that  $t_2 \leq 1$ . Since  $(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  and  $(\tilde{u},\tilde{v})$  is the unique maximum point of  $\psi(t) = J_{\nu}(t\tilde{u}, t\tilde{v}), \forall t > t_0$ . We can deduce that

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu} = J_{\nu}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = \max_{t > t_0} J_{\nu}(t\tilde{u}, t\tilde{v}) \ge J_{\nu}(t_2\tilde{u}, t_2\tilde{v}) \ge J_{\nu}(t_2|\tilde{u}|, t_2|\tilde{v}|) \ge \tilde{c}_{\nu}.$$

Thus, we can assume that  $\tilde{u} \ge 0$  and  $\tilde{v} \ge 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  are not identically equal to 0. On contrary, if we suppose that  $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ , then  $\tilde{v}$  is a solution to the problem (3.41) and further  $\tilde{v} = z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2}$ , which is not possible due to the inequality (4.1). Following the same argument for  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$ , we get a contradiction to (4.1). Furthermore, we conclude that  $\tilde{u} > 0$  and  $\tilde{v} > 0$  using the maximum principle in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  [11, Theorem 1.2]. Hence, the existence of a positive ground state solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$  is proved.

In the case of  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , we follow the same argument as in the subcritical case and part (i) of Lemma 3.8 to conclude the existence of a positive ground state  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ .  $\square$ 

Proof of theorem 1.3. Since  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) \geq S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), s_2 \geq s_1$  and  $(z_{\mu,s_1}^{\lambda_1}, 0)$  is not a critical point of  $J_{\nu}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ . we have  $\tilde{c}$ 

$$S_{\nu} < J_{\nu}(z_{\mu,s_1}^{\lambda_1}, 0) = \frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) = \min\{\frac{s_1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)\},$$

where  $\tilde{c}_{\nu}$  is defined by (1.9). In the case of  $N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , the Lemma 3.4 ensures the existence  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ such that  $\tilde{c}_{\nu} = J_{\nu}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ . Now we can assume that  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \ge 0$  and  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  are not identically 0 using the same argument as in Theorem 1.2. Then, we use the maximum principle by Pezzo and Quaas [11, Theorem 1.2] to conclude that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is a positive ground state solution of (1.1).

In the case of  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$  and h radial, we use part (i) of Lemma 3.8 and deduce the existence of a positive ground state solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  of (1.1).

Proof of theorem 1.4. Let us assume by contradiction that there exists  $\{\nu_n\} \searrow 0$  such that  $\tilde{c}_{\nu_n} < J_{\nu_n}(0, z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$ . Since  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) > S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2), s_1 \ge s_2$ , then

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu_n} < \min\{\frac{s_1}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1), \frac{s_2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)\} = \frac{s_2}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2),$$
(4.2)

where  $\tilde{c}_{\nu_n}$  is given in (1.9) with  $\nu = \nu_n$ . If  $N < \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , the (PS) condition holds by Lemma 3.4 at level  $\tilde{c}_{\nu_n}$ . For the case  $N = \min\{6s_1, 6s_2\}$ , we apply part (i) of Lemma 3.8 for h radial to reach the same conclusion. Hence, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \in \mathbb{D}$  which solves (1.1) such that  $\tilde{c}_{\nu_n} = J_{\nu_n}(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$ . By following the same argument as in Theorem 1.2, we can assume that  $\tilde{u}_n \ge 0$  and  $\tilde{v}_n \ge 0$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{u}_n \not\equiv 0$ and  $\tilde{v}_n \not\equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as the assumption either  $\tilde{u}_n \equiv 0$  or  $\tilde{v}_n \equiv 0$  contradicts (4.2). Indeed, we can conclude by the maximum principle of Pezzo and Quaas [11, Theorem 1.2] that  $\tilde{u}_n > 0$  and  $\tilde{v}_n > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ . Further, Let us define the following integrals

$$\sigma_{s_1,n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{u}_n^{2^*_{s_1}} \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_{s_2,n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v}_n^{2^*_{s_2}} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

By (2.26), we obtain

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu_n} = J_{\nu_n}(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) = \frac{s_1}{N} \sigma_{s_1, n} + \frac{s_2}{N} \sigma_{s_2, n} + \left(\frac{\nu_n}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}_n^2 \tilde{v}_n \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.3)

From (4.2), (4.3) and (1.3), we obtain that

$$\sigma_{s_1,n} + \sigma_{s_2,n} < S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$
(4.4)

We combine the definition of  $S(\lambda_1)$  with the first equation in the system (1.1) as we have given that  $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$  is a solution to (1.1). we deduce

$$S(\lambda_1)(\sigma_{s_1,n})^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}} \le \sigma_{s_1,n} + 2\nu_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}_n^2 \tilde{v}_n \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.5)

Now by the Hölder's inequality and the inequality (4.4), one finds that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) \tilde{u}_n^2 \tilde{v}_n \, \mathrm{d}x &\leq C(h) \bigg( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{u}_n|^{2^*_{s_1}} \, \mathrm{d}x \bigg)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*_{s_1}}} \bigg( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{v}_n|^{2^*_{s_2}} \, \mathrm{d}x \bigg)^{\frac{1}{4^*_{s_2}}} \\ &\leq C(h)(S(\lambda_2))^{\frac{N-2s_2}{4s_2}} (\sigma_{s_1,n})^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}}. \end{split}$$

Using the above expression in (4.5), we get the following

$$S(\lambda_1)(\sigma_{s_1,n})^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}} < \sigma_{s_1,n} + 2\nu_n C(h)(S(\lambda_2))^{\frac{N-2s_2}{4s_2}}(\sigma_{s_1,n})^{\frac{N-2s_1}{N}}.$$

Since  $S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) > S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$ , then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(1-\epsilon)S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \ge S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2).$$

$$(4.6)$$

By using Lemma 3.3 with  $\sigma = \sigma_{s_1,n}$ , there exists a  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\sigma_{s_1,n} \ge (1-\epsilon) S^{\frac{N}{2s_1}}(\lambda_1) \text{ for any } 0 < \nu_n < \nu_0.$$

By using (4.6), one finds that  $\sigma_{s_1,n} > S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2)$ , which gives a contradiction to the inequality (4.4). Hence, we have

$$\tilde{c}_{\nu} = \frac{s_2}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s_2}}(\lambda_2) = J_{\nu}(0, z_{\mu, s_2}^{\lambda_2}), \tag{4.7}$$

provided  $\nu$  sufficiently small. Thus, the pair  $(0, z_{\mu,s_2}^{\lambda_2})$  is a ground state of (1.1) for  $\nu$  small enough.

Proof of theorem 1.5. The proof is direct by using the approach of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 3.9.  $\Box$ 

Proof of theorem 1.6. The proof follows the approach of Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 3.9.

Proof of theorem 1.7. We start with constructing a Mountain pass level so that the functional  $J_{\nu}^+$  restricted on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+$  satisfies the Mountain pass geometry, and the Palais-Smale condition is also satisfied at this level. So we consider the set of paths connecting continuously  $(z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1}, 0)$  to  $(0, z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2})$ , namely

$$\Sigma_{\nu} = \left\{ \varphi(t) = (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t)) \in C^0([0, 1], \mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+) : \varphi(0) = (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1}, 0) \text{ and } \varphi(1) = (0, z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2}) \right\},$$

and define the associated Mountain pass level as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}} = \inf_{\varphi \in \Sigma_{\nu}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J^+_{\nu}(\varphi(t)).$$

Assumption (1.11) implies that

$$\frac{2s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) > \frac{s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1).$$

$$(4.8)$$

Further, by the monotonicity of  $S(\lambda)$ , we can choose  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{2s}{N}(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{S(\lambda_1)+S(\lambda_2)}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} > \frac{2s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) > \frac{s(1+\epsilon)}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1).$$
(4.9)

Now we claim the existence of a  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(\epsilon) > 0$  such that the following inequality

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J^+_{\nu}(\varphi(t)) \ge \frac{2s}{N} (1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{S(\lambda_1) + S(\lambda_2)}{2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2s}} \text{ with } \varphi \in \Sigma_{\nu},$$
(4.10)

holds for every  $0 < \nu < \nu_0$ . If  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \Sigma_{\nu}$ , then by using identity (3.32), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_1(y)|^2 + |\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_2(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y - \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi_1^2}{|x|^{2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi_2^2}{|x|^{2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( (\varphi_1^+(t))^{2^*_s} + (\varphi_2^+(t))^{2^*_s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(\varphi_1^+(t))^2 \varphi_2^+(t)) \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{4.11}$$

and we use (3.33) to get

$$J_{\nu}^{+}(\varphi(t)) = \frac{s}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( (\varphi_{1}^{+}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}} + (\varphi_{2}^{+}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}} \right) \mathrm{d}x + \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)(\varphi_{1}^{+}(t))^{2}\varphi_{2}^{+}(t) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(4.12)$$

Now we define  $\sigma_s(t) = (\sigma_{1,s}(t), \sigma_{2,s}(t))$  with  $\sigma_{j,s}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\varphi_j^+(t))^{2^*_s} dx$  for j = 1, 2. Observe that if  $\sigma_{j,s}(t) > 2S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_j)$ , then (4.10) holds. Therefore, we assume that  $\sigma_{j,s}(t) \leq 2S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_j)$ , j = 1, 2 for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We combine the definition of  $S(\lambda)$  with (4.11) and obtain

$$S(\lambda_{1})(\sigma_{1,s}(t))^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} + S(\lambda_{2})(\sigma_{2,s}(t))^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\varphi_{1}(x) - \varphi_{1}(y)|^{2} + |\varphi_{2}(x) - \varphi_{2}(y)|^{2}}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$
$$-\lambda_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\varphi_{1}^{2}}{|x|^{2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x - \lambda_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\varphi_{2}^{2}}{|x|^{2s}} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \sigma_{1,s}(t) + \sigma_{2,s}(t) + 3\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h(x)(\varphi_{1}^{+}(t))^{2}\varphi_{2}^{+}(t) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.13)

Using the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(\varphi_1^+(t))^2 \varphi_2^+(t)) \, \mathrm{d}x \le C(h)(\sigma_{1,s}(t))^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} (\sigma_{2,s}(t))^{\frac{N-2s}{2N}}.$$
(4.14)

Also, by the definition of  $\Sigma_{\nu}$  and since  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \Sigma_{\nu}$ , we have

$$\sigma_s(0) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1})^{2^*_s} \,\mathrm{d}x, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_s(1) = \left(0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2})^{2^*_s} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)$$

Thus, by the continuity of  $\sigma_s$ , there is a  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sigma_{1,s}(t_0) = \tilde{\sigma}_s = \sigma_{2,s}(t_0)$ . Combining (4.13) and (4.14), and taking  $t = t_0$ , we deduce the following

$$(S(\lambda_1) + S(\lambda_2))\tilde{\sigma}_s^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \le 2\tilde{\sigma}_s + 3C\nu\tilde{\sigma}_s^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{N-2s}{N}}.$$

Now by using Lemma 3.3, there exists a  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_s \ge (1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{S(\lambda_1) + S(\lambda_2)}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} \quad \text{for every } 0 < \nu \le \nu_0.$$
(4.15)

Consequently, we combine (4.12) and (4.15) to get

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\nu}^{+}(\varphi(t)) \geq \frac{s}{N} (\sigma_{1,s}(t_0) + \sigma_{2,s}(t_0)) \geq \frac{2s}{N} (1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{S(\lambda_1) + S(\lambda_2)}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}},$$

which proves claim (4.10). Moreover, by (4.9) and (4.10), one can state that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}} > \frac{s(1+\epsilon)}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) = (1+\epsilon) J^+_{\nu}(z^{\lambda_1}_{\mu,s}, 0).$$
(4.16)

Thus, the functional  $J^+_{\nu}$  admits a Mountain-Pass-geometry on  $\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ .

Now we show that the Palais-Smale compactness condition is satisfied at the Mountain pass level  $C_{\mathcal{MP}}$ . We consider  $\varphi(t) = (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t)) = ((1-t)^{1/2} z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1}, t^{1/2} z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2})$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ . By the definition of the Nehari manifold, there exists a continuous positive function  $\eta : [0,1] \to (0,+\infty)$  such that the  $\eta \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ . We notice that  $\eta(0) = \eta(1) = 1$ .

Now we define

$$\sigma_{s}(t) = (\sigma_{1,s}(t), \sigma_{2,s}(t)) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (\eta \varphi_{1}(t))^{2^{*}_{s}} \, \mathrm{d}x, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (\eta \varphi_{2}(t))^{2^{*}_{s}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)$$

Then, we have

$$\sigma_{1,s}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1})^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x = S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{2,s}(1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2})^{2^*_s} \, \mathrm{d}x = S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2). \tag{4.17}$$

Since  $\eta \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+ \cap \mathcal{N}_{\nu}$ , by using the algebraic equation (2.27), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \| \left( (1-t)^{1/2} z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1}, t^{1/2} z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2} \right) \|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 &= \eta^{2_s^* - 2} (t) \left( (1-t)^{2_s^* / 2} \sigma_{1,s}(0) + t^{2_s^* / 2} \sigma_{2,s}(1) \right) \\ &+ 3\nu \eta(t) (1-t) t^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x) (z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_1})^2 z_{\mu,s}^{\lambda_2} \, \mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

and therefore,

$$\eta^{2_{s}^{*}-2}(t) < \frac{\|(\varphi_{1}(t),\varphi_{2}(t))\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((\varphi_{1}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}} + (\varphi_{2}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}}\right) \mathrm{d}x} = \frac{(1-t)\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t\sigma_{2,s}(1)}{(1-t)^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{2,s}(1)}$$
(4.18)

for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . It is followed by the definition of  $\eta$ , (2.30) and (4.18) that

$$J_{\nu}^{+}(\eta\varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{6} \|\eta\varphi(t)\|_{\mathbb{D}}^{2} + \frac{6s - N}{6N} \eta^{2_{s}^{*}}(t) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left((\varphi_{1}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}} + (\varphi_{2}(t))^{2_{s}^{*}}\right) dx\right)$$
  
$$= \frac{\eta^{2}(t)}{6} [(1 - t)\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t\sigma_{2,s}(1)] + \frac{6s - N}{6N} \eta^{2_{s}^{*}}(t) [(1 - t)^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{2,s}(1)] \qquad (4.19)$$
  
$$< \frac{s\eta^{2}(t)}{N} [(1 - t)\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t\sigma_{2,s}(1)]$$

Then, by (4.18) and (4.19), and for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain that

$$J_{\nu}^{+}(\eta\varphi(t)) < G(t) := \frac{s}{N} [(1-t)\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t\sigma_{2,s}(1)] \left[ \frac{(1-t)\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t\sigma_{2,s}(1)}{(1-t)^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{1,s}(0) + t^{2_{s}^{*}/2}\sigma_{2,s}(1)} \right]^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}}$$

Clearly, the function G(t) is maximum at point  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Also, from (4.17), we have

$$G\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{s}{N}(\sigma_{1,s}(0) + \sigma_{2,s}(1)) = \frac{s}{N}(S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) + S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2)).$$

we conclude

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}} \le \max_{t \in [0,1]} J^+_{\nu}(\eta \varphi(t)) < \frac{s}{N} (S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) + S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2)).$$

If  $S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) > S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2)$ , using the separability condition (4.8) and the inequality (4.16), it follows that

$$\frac{s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2) < \frac{s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) < \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}} < \frac{s}{N}(S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_1) + S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2)) < \frac{3s}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\lambda_2).$$

From the above expression, it is clear that the Mountain pass level  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}}$  satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.8. Therefore, by the Mountain-Pass theorem, the functional  $J_{\nu}^+|_{\mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+}$  admits a Palais-Smale sequence  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\nu}^+$  at level  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{MP}}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.5,  $(u_n, v_n) \to (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Indeed,  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is also a critical point of  $J_{\nu}^+$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Further, we have  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \ge 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \ne (0, 0)$ . Indeed, we can conclude by the maximum principle of Pezzo and Quaas [11, Theorem 1.2] that  $\tilde{u} > 0$  and  $\tilde{v} > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence,  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is a bound state solution to the system (1.1). To deal with the critical case, i.e., N = 6s, we follow the same approach for the compactness of the Palais-Smale sequence using Lemma 3.8.

#### Acknowledgments

RK wants to thank the support of the CSIR fellowship, file no. 09/1125(0016)/2020–EMR–I for his Ph.D. work. TM acknowledges the support of the Start up Research Grant from DST-SERB, sanction no. SRG/2022/000524. AS was supported by the DST-INSPIRE Grant DST/INSPIRE/04/2018/002208. Last but not least, RK would like to extend his sincere thanks to Dr. Alejandro Ortega (UC3M) for some helpful discussions.

#### References

- B. Abdellaoui, V. Felli, and I. Peral. Some remarks on systems of elliptic equations doubly critical in the whole R<sup>N</sup>. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 34(1):97–137, 2009. 2, 11
- M. Bhakta, S. Chakraborty, and P. Pucci. Fractional Hardy-Sobolev equations with nonhomogeneous terms. Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 10(1):1086–1116, 2021. 18, 19
- [3] J. F. Bonder, N. Saintier, and A. Silva. The concentration-compactness principle for fractional order Sobolev spaces in unbounded domains and applications to the generalized fractional Brézis-Nirenberg problem. NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 25(6):Paper No. 52, 25, 2018. 3, 12, 14, 21
- [4] L. Brasco, D. Gómez-Castro, and J. L. Vázquez. Characterisation of homogeneous fractional Sobolev spaces. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 60(2):Paper No. 60, 40, 2021. 4
- [5] L. Brasco and A. Salort. A note on homogeneous Sobolev spaces of fractional order. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 198(4):1295– 1330, 2019. 4
- [6] C. Bucur and E. Valdinoci. Nonlocal diffusion and applications, volume 20 of Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana. Springer, [Cham]; Unione Matematica Italiana, Bologna, 2016. 1
- [7] W. Chen, S. Mosconi, and M. Squassina. Nonlocal problems with critical Hardy nonlinearity. J. Funct. Anal., 275(11):3065– 3114, 2018. 3, 12
- [8] Z. Chen and W. Zou. Existence and symmetry of positive ground states for a doubly critical Schrödinger system. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367(5):3599–3646, 2015. 2
- [9] E. Colorado, R. López-Soriano, and A. Ortega. Existence of bound and ground states for an elliptic system with double criticality. Nonlinear Anal., 216:Paper No. 112730, 26, 2022.
- [10] E. Colorado, R. López-Soriano, and A. Ortega. Bound and ground states of coupled "NLS-KdV" equations with Hardy potential and critical power. J. Differential Equations, 365:560–590, 2023. 2
- [11] L. M. Del Pezzo and A. Quaas. A Hopf's lemma and a strong minimum principle for the fractional p-Laplacian. J. Differential Equations, 263(1):765–778, 2017. 24, 25, 28
- [12] S. Dipierro, M. Medina, and E. Valdinoci. Fractional elliptic problems with critical growth in the whole of R<sup>n</sup>, volume 15 of Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)]. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2017. 1
- [13] S. Dipierro, L. Montoro, I. Peral, and B. Sciunzi. Qualitative properties of positive solutions to nonlocal critical problems involving the Hardy-Leray potential. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55(4):Art. 99, 29, 2016. 2, 4, 20
- [14] R. L. Frank and R. Seiringer. Non-linear ground state representations and sharp Hardy inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 255(12):3407–3430, 2008. 1, 4
- [15] Q. He and Y. Peng. Infinitely many solutions with peaks for a fractional system in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 40(2):389–411, 2020. 2
- [16] D. Kang. Systems of elliptic equations involving multiple critical nonlinearities and different Hardy-type terms in R<sup>N</sup>. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 420(2):917–929, 2014. 2
- [17] R. Kumar, T. Mukherjee, and A. Sarkar. On critically coupled (s\_1, s\_2)-fractional system of Schrödinger equations with hardy potential. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08260, Accepted in Differential and Integral Equations, 2023. 2, 24
- [18] E. H. Lieb. Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities. Ann. of Math. (2), 118(2):349–374, 1983. 5
- [19] P.-L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1(1):145–201, 1985. 3

- [20] P.-L. Lions. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1(2):45–121, 1985. 3
- [21] G. Molica Bisci, V. D. Radulescu, and R. Servadei. Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems, volume 162 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. With a foreword by Jean Mawhin. 1
- [22] G. Palatucci and A. Pisante. A global compactness type result for Palais-Smale sequences in fractional Sobolev spaces. Nonlinear Anal., 117:1–7, 2015. 18
- [23] P. Pucci and L. Temperini. Existence for fractional (p,q) systems with critical and Hardy terms in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Nonlinear Anal., 211:Paper No. 112477, 33, 2021. 3, 12, 14
- [24] Y. Shen. The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for fractional systems with Hardy potentials. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 45(3):1341– 1358, 2022. 2
- [25] S. Terracini. On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent. Adv. Differential Equations, 1(2):241–264, 1996. 2
- [26] M. Xiang, B. Zhang, and X. Zhang. A nonhomogeneous fractional p-Kirchhoff type problem involving critical exponent in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 17(3):611–640, 2017. 14
- [27] X. Zhong and W. Zou. Critical Schrödinger systems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with indefinite weight and Hardy potential. Differential Integral Equations, 28(1-2):119–154, 2015. 2

E-mail: kumar.174@iitj.ac.in E-mail: tuhina@iitj.ac.in E-mail: abhisheks@iitj.ac.in