

ABOUT THE CONVERGENCE TO INITIAL DATA OF THE HEAT PROBLEM ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP.

I. E. CARDOSO

ABSTRACT. We find integrability conditions on the initial data f for the existence of solutions of the Heat problem on the Heisenberg group. From this result we characterize the weighted Lebesgue spaces for which the solutions exists a.e. when the time goes to zero. Finally we also obtain boundedness of the local maximal function associated to the heat kernel with weights.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the initial value problem (IVP) for the heat equation related to the sublaplacian \mathcal{L} on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_n ($n \geq 1$), namely for $S > 0$,

$$(1.1) \quad u_s(g, s) = -\mathcal{L}u(g, s), \quad (g, s) \in \mathbb{H}_n \times (0, S),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(g, 0) = f(g), \quad g \in \mathbb{H}_n.$$

We intend to find integrability conditions on the initial data f such that $u(g, s) = e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f(g)$ exists for all (g, s) in $\mathbb{H}_n \times (0, S)$ as an absolutely convergent integral, satisfies the heat equation (1.1) and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} u(g, s) = f(g)$ a.e. $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$. Moreover, we wish to characterize a class of weights $D_p(\mathcal{L})$ for which the solutions have limits a.e. for every f in weighted $L_v^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$. Finally, we also address the natural question on the $L_v^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$ boundedness of the corresponding local maximal operator Q_a^* .

This problems are motivated by the analogous problems in the classical setting of the Euclidean space. If we set ourselves in \mathbb{R}^d , when we consider the initial value problem for the heat equation related to the Laplacian Δ , it is well known that under certain conditions on the initial data f , the solutions $u(x, s)$ converge to $f(x)$ when $s \rightarrow 0^+$. Also, they can be described via the heat diffusion semigroup: $u(x, s) = e^{-s\Delta}f(x)$. This results are also known for the Poisson equation.

Let us go through some antecedents: in [15], Hartzstein, Torrea and Viviani characterized the weighted Lebesgue spaces for which the solutions of the heat equation have limits a.e. when $s \rightarrow 0^+$. They also showed the $L^p(v) \rightarrow L^p(w)$ boundedness of the local maximal operator for two such weights v and w . Furthermore, they obtained corresponding results for the Poisson equation. Abu-Falahah, Stinga and Torrea studied these problems

Key words and phrases. Convergence to initial data, Heisenberg group, Heat equation.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:

for some the heat-diffusion problems associated to the harmonic oscillator and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in [1]. The case of the Hermite and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator for both heat and Poisson operators was studied by Garrigós, Hartzstein, Signes, Torrea and Viviani in [11] and the case of the Bessel operator for both heat and Poisson was treated by the author in [6].

Recently some analogous problems were studied in other spaces different from the Euclidean setting, for example Alvarez-Romero, Barrios and Betancor studied the Laplace operator on homogeneous trees in [2] and Bruno and Papageorgiou studied several operators on symmetric spaces of noncompact type in [5]. These settings have some good properties and sufficient tools have been developed to make them attractive to study.

In our work we have a similar feeling: it is always interesting to understand how results on EDP's on the Euclidean space translate to Lie Groups in general, Carnot or homogeneous groups (in the sense of Folland and Stein, see [9]) in particular, since its underlying topological structure is the same. The Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}_n is such a group: it is just like \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , but it is noncommutative. However, a lot is known on this group, and we will try to accordingly reference the literature with no intention to be exhaustive.

We will prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that for every $K > 0$ the integral $\int_{|z| \leq K} |f(h)| dh$ is finite. Suppose that f satisfies the following integral condition*

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \phi_s(g) |f(g)| dg < \infty$$

for all $s \in (0, S)$, where ϕ_s is the integrability factor defined for $g = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ as

$$\phi_s(g) = \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{d(g)^2}{4s}} \left(1 + \frac{d(g)^2}{s}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(g)}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then the heat integral $u(g, s)$ defines an absolutely convergent integral such that

- (i) $u(g, s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}_n \times (0, S))$ and satisfies the heat equation for the Heisenberg sublaplacian, and
- (ii) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} u(g, s) = f(g)$ a.e. $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$.

Conversely, if such a function f satisfies that its heat integral is finite for every $s \in (0, S)$ and some $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$, then f must satisfy the integral condition (1.3).

From the above Theorem we define a class of weights such that (i) and (ii) holds for every initial data f satisfying the assumption in weighted L^p . More precisely, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$ be the class of all weights $v : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

for which (i) and (ii) from Theorem 1.1 holds for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$. This class can be characterized as follows.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A weight v belongs to the class $D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$ if and only if $v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_s \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)$ for every $s \in (0, S)$.*

Finally, we prove a boundedness result for the local maximal operator defined by

$$(1.4) \quad Q_a^* f(g) = \sup_{0 < s < a} |e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(g)|.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If a weight v belongs to the class $D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$, then for any $a \in (0, S)$ there exists a weight $u = u_a$ such that*

$$(1.5) \quad Q_a^* : L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, u)$$

boundedly. Moreover, if $q > p$ we can choose $u \in D_q(\mathcal{L}, S)$.

Conversely, if (1.5) holds for some weight $u = u_a$, $a \in (0, S)$, then $v \in D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$.

In Section 2 we describe our setting, in Section 3 we prove some Lemmas that will build the proof for our Main Theorems and in 4 we give those proofs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We focus on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with group multiplication defined by

$$(z, t)(z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2\Im\langle z, \bar{z}' \rangle),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n given by

$$\langle z, \bar{z}' \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{z}'_j.$$

The Heisenberg group is a real Lie group and its Haar measure coincides with the Lebesgue measure $dzdt$ in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. When suitable, and in order to simplify notation, we will use g for the elements on \mathbb{H}_n , but for most of the computations we will denote them by (z, t) . The neutral element is $(0, 0)$ and each $(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ has an opposite element $(-z, -t)$. Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_n has a canonical basis, namely $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, T\}$, whose elements can be written in global coordinates as follows: for $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - 2y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + 2x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t};$$

and the Lie bracket is given by $[X_j, Y_j] = 4T$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Observe that this implies that T generates the center of the Lie algebra. The Heisenberg sublaplacian is the second order left invariant operator defined as

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 + Y_j^2,$$

which in coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} \right) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ &= \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} + 4|z|^2 T^2 + 4\mathcal{R}T,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ denotes the Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and we define the operator \mathcal{R} as

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right).$$

Although the Heisenberg sublaplacian is not elliptic, it is hypoelliptic (from Hörmander's Theorem [16], see [18]) and satisfies some subelliptic estimates (see [8]). It has nice properties and for our purposes, it plays the role of the Laplacian operator Δ on the Euclidean space. Let us briefly explore some of its features. As we pointed out earlier, the Heisenberg group is an homogeneous Lie group and we have the nonisotropic dilations $\delta_r(z, t) = (rz, r^2t)$, for $r > 0$. The Heisenberg sublaplacian is (up to constant multiple) the unique left invariant, rotation invariant differential operator that is homogeneous of degree 2, meaning that $\mathcal{L}(\delta_r f) = r^2 \delta_r(\mathcal{L}f)$. Also, it is formally self adjoint and non-negative. Thus, if recall now the heat equation (1.1) with the initial data (1.2) (where we denoted by $g = (z, t)$ the elements of \mathbb{H}_n), \mathcal{L} generates a diffusion semigroup $e^{-s\mathcal{L}}$ and the solution is given by $u(z, t; s) = e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(z, t)$ (see for example the very comprehensive monograph by Stein [23]). Moreover, a theorem from Hunt in [17], provides the existence of a probability measure μ_s , which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure, such that $e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(z, t) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f((z, t)(z', t')^{-1}) d\mu_s(z', t')$.

And if $q_s(z, t)$ denotes its density, the solution can be written as a convolution $u(z, t; s) = e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(z, t) = f * q_s(z, t)$. The kernel q_s is a nonnegative function belonging to the space $C^\infty(\mathbb{H}_n \times (0, \infty))$, which satisfies that $\int q_s(z, t) dz dt = 1$ and also $q_{r^2 s}(z, t) = \frac{1}{r^{2n+2}} q_s(\delta_{r^{-1}}(z, t))$. An integral formula for q_s can be obtained by the inverse of the Fourier transform in the central variable (see for example the beautiful book by Thangavelu [24]), namely

$$(2.1) \quad q_s(z, t) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi s)^{n+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \right)^n e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4s} \lambda \coth \lambda} e^{i\lambda \frac{t}{s}} d\lambda.$$

From this formula it can be proven that if the initial data f belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $f * q_s$ converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$ as s goes to 0.

The integral that defines the heat kernel q_s is sometimes hard to work with, and it is in some aspects quite different from the Euclidean case. For example, $q_s(z, t)$ does not decay like a Gaussian in the central variable (again, we cite the work [24], where this fact comes to play while searching for a

Hardy Theorem on \mathbb{H}_n). In this work we are mainly interested on its behavior, hence we will recall some helpful estimates. There is a vast literature on heat kernel estimates, global estimates, its asymptotic behavior, etc. We may cite the inspiring work of Gaveau [12], from which most of the literature on this subject derives. Keeping in mind that we will need precise bounds, we are going to use the estimate given by Q. Li in [20] which is recalled more recently by Q. Li and Zhang in [22], who presented a comprehensive study of the heat kernel behavior on isotropic and nonisotropic Heisenberg groups, describing its asymptotic behavior in detail covering a wide range of cases. They also gave a lot of historical references which are really interesting.

These estimates involve some geometric properties of the underlying space, which we will briefly recall now. The sub Riemannian structure on \mathbb{H}_n carries the Carnot-Carathéodory distance d : for $g = (z, t), g' = (z', t') \in \mathbb{H}_n$, $d(g, g')$ is defined as the infimum of the lengths of the horizontal curves joining g and g' . If we denote by $d(g)$ the distance from g to the origin, as it is pointed out in [22] equation (1.19), for every $(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ we have that $d(z, t)^2 \sim |z|^2 + |t|$. Sometimes it will be of use to recall another distance on \mathbb{H}_n : the Koranyi gauge on \mathbb{H}_n is defined (see [19]) as the real valued function $\|\cdot\|_K : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|(z, t)\|_K = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, with $|z| = \langle z, z \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$; and the Koranyi metric is defined by $d_K(g, g') = \|g^{-1}g'\|_K$. These metrics are bi-Lipschitz equivalent (see for example [3] and references therein), this means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}d_K(g) \leq d(g) \leq Cd_K(g)$ for any $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$. Hence both distances induce the same topology in \mathbb{H}_n , which is the usual Euclidean one.

Finally, let us recall that the Heisenberg group is a *space of homogeneous type* in the sense of Coiffman and Weiss (see [7] Chapitre III), and the Haar measure satisfies a doubling condition which allows us to use all of the tools available for such spaces.

3. BRICKS

Let us begin by recalling the precise kernel estimate. From Theorem 1 of [20], if we define for $s > 0$ and $(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ the function

$$(3.1) \quad \phi_s(z, t) = \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{d(z,t)^2}{4s}} \left(1 + \frac{d(z,t)^2}{s}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(z,t)}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}},$$

then there exists a constant $A > 1$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad A^{-1}\phi_s(z, t) \leq q_s(z, t) \leq A\phi_s(z, t).$$

Observe that the function $\phi_s : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonnegative and continuous with respect to the metric d .

Let us set now some estimates for later use. Let $M > 1$ and $g_0 = (z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}_n$. For $h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ such that $d(h) > Md(g_0)$ one has that, since d is

indeed a metric and satisfies the triangle inequality,

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right) d(h) \leq d(gh^{-1}) \leq \left(\frac{M+1}{M}\right) d(h).$$

Of course, a similar estimate also holds for the z variable on its own: whenever $|z| > M|z_0|$,

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right) |z| \leq |z_0 - z| \leq \left(\frac{M+1}{M}\right) |z|.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M > 1$, $s > 0$ and $g = (z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}_n$. For $h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ such that $d(h) > Md(g)$ and $|z| > M|z_0|$ the following bilateral estimate holds:*

$$(3.5) \quad A_1 \phi_{\sigma_1}(h) \leq \phi_s(gh^{-1}) \leq A_2 \phi_{\sigma_2}(h),$$

where if we set

$$m_1 := \left(\frac{M}{M+1}\right)^2 < 1 < m_2 := \left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)^2,$$

and

$$C_M = \frac{m_2}{m_1} = \left(\frac{M+1}{M-1}\right)^2,$$

then

$$\sigma_1 := m_1 s < s < \sigma_2 := m_2 s$$

and

$$A_1 := \left(\frac{m_1}{C_M}\right)^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := (m_2 C_M)^{n-1}.$$

Proof. The desired estimate is result of straightforward computation from (3.3) and (3.4), by breaking up expression (3.1) into its factors. For each of them we have the following two sided estimates, which we will use and reuse later on.

$$(3.6) \quad e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{4\sigma_1}} \leq e^{-\frac{d(gh^{-1})^2}{4s}} \leq e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{4\sigma_2}},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{|z-z_0|d(gh^{-1})}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{C_M^{n-1}} \left(1 + \frac{d(h)}{\sigma_1}\right)^{n-1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{d(gh^{-1})}{s}\right)^{n-1} \leq C_M^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{d(h)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{n-1}.$$

□

Since the function $\left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{\sigma_1}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}$ is uniformly bounded by 1, we can establish an upper bound in the case that $|z|$ is bounded and $d(h)$ is not.

Corollary 3.2. *With the notation of the above Lemma, if we consider instead $|z| \leq M|z_0|$, the following upper estimate holds:*

$$(3.9) \quad \phi_s(gh^{-1}) \leq A_2 \phi_{\sigma_2}(h) \varphi_{\sigma_2}(g),$$

where $\varphi_s(g) = M^2 \left(1 + \frac{|z_0|d(g)}{s}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. We only need to look at the factor $\left(1 + \frac{|z-z_0|d(gh^{-1})}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}$, which is bounded by 1. Hence, in order to obtain the factor we need for obtaining the function $\phi_{\sigma_2}(h)$, we just multiply by 1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left(1 + \frac{M|z|d(g)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{M|z|d(g)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{M^2|z_0|d(g)}{\sigma_2}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $d(h) > Md(g)$ and $|z| \leq M|z_0|$. Thus, the factor $\varphi_{\sigma_2}(g)$ appears. \square

For the next results we consider the function ϕ_s as an integrability factor which will be used to characterize the solutions for the IVP (1.1)-(1.2), given an initial data f . As we observed before, the heat kernel does not decay like a Gaussian function in the t variable, nor does function ϕ_s . Thus we need to make an extra assumption on the initial data.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that for every $K > 0$ the integral $\int_{|z| \leq K} |f(h)| dh$ is finite. Let $S > 0$ be fixed. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *For every $s \in (0, S)$ and every $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_s(gh^{-1}) |f(h)| dh < \infty.$$

(ii) *For every $s \in (0, S)$ and some $g_s \in \mathbb{H}_n$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_s(g_s h^{-1}) |f(h)| dh < \infty.$$

(iii) *For every $s \in (0, S)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh < \infty,$$

where the integrability factor ϕ_s is the function defined as in (3.1).

Proof. It is clear that (i) implies (ii). Let us see that (ii) implies (iii). Fix $s \in (0, S)$. We need to prove that $\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh < \infty$. Let us split it up and use the estimations we already have.

Let $g = (z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ to be chosen later. Let $M > 1$ such that $s < \sigma := s \left(\frac{M+1}{M}\right)^2 < S$. Observe that $s = \sigma \left(\frac{M}{M+1}\right)^2$, hence the Lemma above tells us that for $d(h) > Md(g)$ and $|z| > M|z_0|$ we have that

$$(3.10) \quad \phi_s(h) \leq \frac{1}{A_1} \phi_\sigma(gh^{-1}).$$

Thus, we set $g = g_\sigma = (z_\sigma, t_\sigma)$ such that (ii) holds, that is

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_\sigma(g_\sigma h^{-1}) |f(h)| dh < \infty,$$

and we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma}} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh + \int_{(\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma})^C} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh = I_1 + I_2,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma} = \{h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(h) > Md(g_\sigma), |z| > M|z_\sigma|\}$. From (3.10), (3.11) and (3.2) it follows that I_1 is finite. Let us check that I_2 is also finite. The set $(\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma})^C$ can be thought as the union of the set $\mathcal{B}_{g_\sigma} = \{h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(h) > Md(g_\sigma), |z| \leq M|z_\sigma|\}$ and the set $\mathcal{C}_{g_\sigma} = \{h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(h) \leq Md(g_\sigma)\}$. The set \mathcal{C}_{g_σ} is compact, ϕ_s is continuous and hence bounded on the compact, and f is measurable, all of which assures us that the integral over \mathcal{C}_{g_σ} is finite. Over \mathcal{B}_{g_σ} we have the extra difficulty: $|z|$ can be arbitrary small and $d(h)$ arbitrary large, hence we make use of the extra hypothesis on the initial data (and thus the need for it). Both functions $e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{4s}} \left(1 + \frac{d(h)^2}{s}\right)^{n-1}$ and $\left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}$ are uniformly bounded for $h \in \mathcal{B}_{g_\sigma}$, hence $\int_{\mathcal{B}_{g_\sigma}} \phi_s(h) |f(h)| dh \leq C_{g_\sigma, s} \int_{|z| \leq M|z_\sigma|} |f(h)| dh$, which is finite by our assumption. Thus (iii) follows.

Similar computations provide us with the closing of the circle, that is to say, by applying the appropriate estimates and choosing the right constants we are able to obtain the desired result. The proof is completely analogous. \square

Remark 3.4. *Under the conditions of the previous Proposition, for each $s \in (0, S)$, the convolution*

$$(q_s * f)(g) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_s(gh^{-1}) f(h) dh$$

is well defined as an absolute convergent integral for $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$.

The next proposition justifies that the convolution from the previous remark is a C^∞ function. Even though we know that the heat kernel is C^∞ , the initial data f does not need to belong to $L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)$ (we only ask f to satisfy the hypothesis of the Proposition), hence the need for a proof. And for said proof, we resort again to the work of Q. Li [20]. More precisely, let us recall Theorem 2 (actually, the result we cite is an intermediate step in the proof) about

the derivatives of the heat kernel: for $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $U_j \in \{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ with $1 \leq j \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad |U_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots U_k^{\alpha_k} q_s(g)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^{|\alpha|} q_s(g),$$

for any $g = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$, where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k$.

Proposition 3.5. *If a measurable function f satisfies the hypothesis from Proposition 3.3 as well as any of the conditions, then*

$$u(g, s) = \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_s(gh^{-1}) f(h) dh \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}_n \times (0, S)).$$

Proof. We need to prove that

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |Dq_s(gh^{-1}) f(h)| dh < \infty$$

for every $s \in (0, S)$, every $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$ and every differential operator D . A differential operator D can be regarded as a polynomial on the left invariant horizontal differential operators of the Lie algebra basis, namely $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ with C^∞ coefficients, which we will shortly denote by \mathcal{P} . Indeed, since the heat kernel q_s satisfies the heat equation, we can replace any derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ with the operator $D = \mathcal{L}$ which belongs to the class \mathcal{P} . Also, the central operator T can be written as the polynomial $D = -\frac{1}{4}(X_1 Y_1 - X_2 Y_2)$ which also belongs to \mathcal{P} . Hence we can write, say $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = X_1 + y_1 T$, and similarly any differential operator (and not only the horizontal left invariant ones) can be written as an element D of \mathcal{P} . Linearity allows us to only check that (3.13) holds for monomials in \mathcal{P} , for which we have Q.Li's results. Let us take a closer look at the integrand in (3.13) and let us apply the estimates we have, namely (3.12) and (3.2). For $D = U_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots U_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $U_j \in \{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ with $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have that

$$(3.14) \quad |Dq_s(h)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^{|\alpha|} |q_s(h)| \leq C \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^{|\alpha|} |\phi_s(h)|$$

for every $h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ and every $s \in (0, S)$, where the last inequality follows from (3.2).

For $s \in (0, S)$ let us now consider $M > 1$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $s = \left(\frac{M-1}{M}\right)^2 \sigma < S$ and $g_\sigma = (z_\sigma, t_\sigma)$. Next let us split the integral of interest in the three sets \mathcal{A}_{g_σ} , \mathcal{B}_{g_σ} and \mathcal{C}_{g_σ} as in the previous proof. Hence, for $h = (z, t) \in \mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma}$ we have that $d(h) > Md(g_\sigma)$ and $|z| > M|z_\sigma|$ and estimates (3.4) and (3.5) hold. This translates in

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma}} |Dq_s(g_\sigma h^{-1}) f(h)| dh \leq C(M, |\alpha|) \int_{\mathcal{A}_{g_\sigma}} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^{|\alpha|} |\phi_\sigma(h)| |f(h)| dh.$$

It is straightforward to check that the function $\bar{f}(h) = \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^{|\alpha|} f(h)$ satisfies all the hypothesis of the Proposition 3.3, hence this integral is finite.

Over the sets $\mathcal{B}_{g\sigma}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g\sigma}$, the factor $\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^{|\alpha|}$ is bounded by a constant (which may depend on σ), hence the integral is bounded by $\int \phi_\sigma f$ which is finite by our assumptions. Finally it follows that (3.13) holds. \square

Remark 3.6. *A nonnegative function f such that for some $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$ and some $s > 0$ satisfies that $e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f(g) < \infty$ is locally integrable.*

Indeed, for the propositions we assumed the function f to be locally integrable only on the z variable, but the remark gives us conditions for assuring local integrability on the g variable. The justification for this is as follows: if \mathcal{C} is a compact set of \mathbb{H}_n then the function $h \rightarrow q_s(gh^{-1})$ is bounded from below in \mathcal{C} by a positive constant $c = c(g, s, \mathcal{K})$ (which can be easily deduced from the continuity of the distance function, the estimation 3.2 and all of the factors that compute ϕ_s). Thus,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |f(h)| dh < \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} q_s(gh^{-1}) |f(h)| dh < \infty.$$

Proposition 3.7. *If a measurable function f satisfies the hypothesis from Proposition 3.3 as well as any of the conditions, then*

$$(3.15) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} (e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f)(g) = f(g) \quad \text{a.e. } g \in \mathbb{H}_n.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that the desired limit holds a.e. $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$ such that $d(g) \leq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and split the initial data f as follows:

$$f(g) = f\chi_{\{h \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(h) \leq 2k\}}(g) + f\chi_{\{h \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(h) > 2k\}}(g) = f_1(g) + f_2(g).$$

Let us see first that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} (e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f_2)(g) = 0$ for every $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$ such that $d(g) \leq k$. Indeed, let us fix such $g = (z_0, t_0)$ and some $s > 0$, then by estimate (3.2)

$$|(e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f_2)(g)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |q_s(gh^{-1})| |f_2(h)| dh \leq C \int_{d(h) > 2k} |\phi_s(gh^{-1})| |f_2(h)| dh$$

hence if $h = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ with $d(h) > 2k$ it follows that $d(gh^{-1}) \geq \frac{d(h)}{2}$ thus we can compute as follows:

$$e^{-\frac{d(gh^{-1})^2}{4s}} \leq e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{16s}} \leq e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}} e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}} \leq e^{-\frac{k^2}{8s}} e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}},$$

and since $d(gh^{-1}) \leq \frac{3}{2}d(h)$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{d(gh^{-1})^2}{s}\right)^{n-1} \leq \left(1 + 9\frac{d(h)^2}{4s}\right)^{n-1} = \left(1 + 72\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Also, since $d(g) \leq k$ it follows that $|z_0| \leq k$. If $|z| > 2k$ since similarly we have that $|z - z_0| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}$, it follows that

$$\left(1 + \frac{|z - z_0|d(gh^{-1})}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{|z|d(h)}{4s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this case, by all of the estimates above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_s(gh^{-1})| &\leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{8s}} e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}} \left(1 + 72 \frac{d(h)^2}{32s}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{8s}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which (for fixed k) approaches 0 when $s \rightarrow 0^+$. If, on the other hand, we have that $|z| \leq 2k$, the expression $\left(1 + \frac{|z-z_0|d(gh^{-1})}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded by 1, hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_s(gh^{-1})| &\leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{8s}} e^{-\frac{d(h)^2}{32s}} \left(1 + 72 \frac{d(h)^2}{32s}\right)^{n-1} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{k^2}{8s}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2}{s}\right)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which (for fixed k) approaches 0 when $s \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus the limit vanishes.

Also, from Remark 3.6 it follows that under our assumptions we have that f_1 is locally integrable. Hence for the standard a.e. convergence for L^1 functions we deduce the desired convergence:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} (e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f_1)(g) = f(g) \quad \text{a.e. } g \in \mathbb{H}_n \text{ such that } d(g) \leq k.$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Propositions 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7.

For the Corollary, the proof is identical to those in the related literature. We include it for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. If a weight v is such that $v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_s \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)$ for every $s \in (0, S)$, then in order to guarantee that v belongs to the class $D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$ we need to consider a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$ and check that both (i) and (ii) from Theorem 1.1 holds. This can be achieved by showing that f satisfies

the integrability condition. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f(g)\phi_s(g)dg &= \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f(g)v^{\frac{1}{p}}(g)v^{-\frac{1}{p}}(g)\phi_s(g)dg \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f(g)^p v(g)dg \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \left(v^{-\frac{1}{p}}(g)\phi_s(g) \right)^{p'} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is finite from the hypothesis on f and v . The converse follows from a duality argument, we resort to the Landau's resonance Theorem that can be found in [4], Lemma 2.6, page 10. In our setting, that is to say, for $s \in (0, S)$ and $v \in D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$, in order to show that $v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_s \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)$ we only need to check that $\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_s dg < \infty$ for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$. Indeed, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$ and define $\bar{f}(g) = f v^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. Thus, $\bar{f} \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} f v^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_s dg = \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} \bar{f}\phi_s dg$ which is finite since v belongs to the class $D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.3 also follows the lines of those that can be found in the related literature, provided we have the right previous results for this setting. In order to obtain such adaptation, let us go through every element of the proof.

We start by recalling Lemma 3.1 and its Corollary 3.2 (and all of the notation used) to get the next estimation:

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_s(gh^{-1}) \leq A_2\phi_{\sigma_2}(h)(\chi_{\mathcal{A}_g}(h) + \varphi_{\sigma_2}(g)\chi_{\mathcal{B}_g}(h)) + \sup_{h \in B(g, Md(g))} \phi_s(h),$$

where $M > 1$ can be suitably chosen in order to get σ_2 in the interval we will eventually need. From the above estimation, by taking into account the behavior of the heat kernel (3.2), it follows that for $a < S$ (here is when we need $\sigma_2 < a$, hence we choose the M value) there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_a^*f(g) &= \left| \sup_{0 < s < a} e^{-s\mathcal{L}}f(g) \right| \\ &\leq C_1(1 + \varphi_a(g)) \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |f(h)|\phi_a(h)dh + C_2\mathcal{M}_Rf(g), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_Rf(g)$ denotes the (centered) local Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined for $R > 0$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_Rf(g) = \sup_{0 < r < R} \frac{1}{|B(g, r)|} \int_{B(g, r)} |f(h)|dh.$$

The notation $|E|$ for a measurable set $E \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ means its volume: $|E| = \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} \chi_E(h) dh$, observe here that we are using the Carnot-Carathéodory distance in order to define the balls $B(g, r)$, hence the operator \mathcal{M}_R depends on this definition. We could be using, for example, the Korányi distance to define the balls and the operator would be another, although very similar, hence both of them are treated together almost everywhere in the literature.

This leads us to the next element: we need to justify that \mathcal{M}_R maps boundedly $L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, u)$ for suitable weights v and u . For the $L^p(\mathbb{H}_n)$ boundedness of the (nonlocal) Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator we refer to Zienkiewicz's work [25] and H.Q.Li's (yet another) work [21]. The next Theorem gives us exactly what we need.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider $1 < p < \infty$ and a weight function v such that $v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_n)$. Then there exists a weight function u such that*

$$\mathcal{M}_R : L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, u)$$

boundedly.

Proof. Let us begin by partitioning the Heisenberg space: for $b > 1$ to be chosen later we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &:= \{g \in \mathbb{H}_n : d(g) < 1\}, \\ E_k &:= \{g \in \mathbb{H}_n : b^{k-1} \leq d(g) < b^k\}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

This partition will be fundamental to construct the weight u : for every E_k we will assure the existence of a weight U_k supported in E_k such that everything falls into its place. But before, let us observe that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$ we can split

$$f = f \chi_{\overline{B(0, Rb^k)}} + f \chi_{\overline{B(0, Rb^k)}^c} = f' + f''.$$

It follows immediately that $\mathcal{M}_R f''(g) = 0$.

Now, the existence of the weights U_k is equivalent to a vector-valued estimate, as stated in the Factorization Theorem of Rubio de Francia that can be found in [10], Section VI, Theorem 4.2. In our context, for a sequence of measurable functions $\mathbf{f} = (f_j)_j$ on \mathbb{H}_n we define $T\mathbf{f} = (Tf_j)_j$ for a sub-

linear operator T (such as \mathcal{M}_R or \mathcal{M}) and $\|\mathbf{f}\|_p = \left(\sum_j |f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. The needed vector-valued estimate is obtained as follows.

Let $r < 1$ to be chosen later, and fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We begin by writing

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_R f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^r(E_k)} \leq \| |\mathcal{M}_R \mathbf{f}'|_p \|_{L^r(E_k)},$$

where we denoted $|\mathcal{M}_R \mathbf{f}'|_p = \left(\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_R f_j'|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Now we use the characterization of the $L^r(E_k)$ norm in terms of the distribution function (see for example [13] Proposition 1.1.4) and the Kolmogorov's condition (and related results) which can be found in [10] around page 485 in order to obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_R \mathbf{f}'\|_{L^r(E_k)} \leq c |E_k|^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)},$$

where $c = c_{r,p}$. Next, we apply the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal function inequality for spaces of homogeneous type, which is proved by Grafakos, Liu and Yang in [14], Theorem 1.2. Thus,

$$(4.6) \quad \|\mathbf{f}'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)} \leq c \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)} = c \left\| \left(\sum_j |f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)},$$

where $c = c_p$. Finally, we apply Hölder's inequality to the product $(f_j v^{\frac{1}{p}}) v^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ and obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_j |f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}_n)} \leq V_k \left(\sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where we define

$$(4.8) \quad V_k = \left(\int_{B(0, Rb^k)} v^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(h) dh \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

which is finite since $v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_n)$. From (4.4), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), our vector-valued estimate is

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_R f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^r(E_k)} \leq c V_k |E_k|^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \left(\sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

From the Factorization Theorem of Rubio de Francia that we cited above, it follows that, since we have the vector-valued estimate (4.9) for $0 < r < 1 < p < \infty$ and $f_j \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$, there exists a weight U_k supported in E_k such that

$$(4.10) \quad \|U_k^{-1}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{p-r}}(\mathbb{H}_n)} \leq 1,$$

and if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$,

$$\int_{E_k} |\mathcal{M}_R f(h)|^p U_k(h) dh \leq c_k^p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p,$$

where

$$(4.11) \quad c_k = cV_k|E_k|^{\frac{1-r}{r}}.$$

We finally construct the desired weight function u by

$$(4.12) \quad u(g) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} (b^k c_k)^{-p\gamma} U_k(g) \chi_{E_k}(g),$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ to be chosen later (for the sake of playing with the convergence of the series). Thus, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$ then

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |\mathcal{M}_R f(g)|^p u(g) dg \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} b^{-kp\gamma} c_k^{-p\gamma+p} \|f\|_{L(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p,$$

and we can set $\gamma = 1$ and choose $b > 1$ such that the series converges. \square

We need one more observation before we can proceed to prove Theorem 1.3. From the above Theorem we can deduce the following Corollary:

Corollary 4.2. *With the notation of Theorem 4.1, if $q > p$ and $v \in D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$ then we can choose the weight $u \in D_q(\mathcal{L}, S)$.*

Proof. Let us proceed as in the Theorem to construct a weight function u with parameters b and γ (and $0 < r < 1 < p < q$), then after some computations we will find how to choose b and γ to assure that the series defining u not only converges but also the weight u belongs to the desired class.

Indeed, from (4.12) we have that the weight function u is of the form $u(g) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rho_k \omega_k(g)$, with $\rho_k = (b^k c_k)^{-p\gamma}$ and $\omega(g) = U_k(g) \chi_{E_k}(g)$, where

c_k is given in (4.11), namely $c_k = cV_k|E_k|^{\frac{1-r}{r}}$.

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} (u(g)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \phi_s(g))^{q'} dg \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rho_k^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \int_{E_k} U_k(g)^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \phi_s(g)^{q'} dg.$$

Now we choose $r < 1$ such that $\frac{r}{p-r} = \frac{q'}{q}$. Hence, $r = \frac{p}{q}$ and $0 < \frac{1-r}{r} = \frac{q}{p} - 1$. Thus, from (4.10) and the fact that ϕ_s is bounded by a constant on \mathbb{H}_n we have that the integral in the right hand side of (4.14) is bounded by 1. Next, for the constant part of the series we recall first (4.8):

$$V_k = \|v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_s \phi_s^{-1} \chi_{B(0, Rb^k)}\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)} \leq \max_{g \in B(0, Rb^k)} \phi_s^{-1}(g) \|v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_s\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)}$$

and it follows that, since $\max_{g \in B(0, Rb^k)} \phi_s^{-1}(g) \geq 1$, if we choose $\gamma = -1$ then

$$V_k^{-\frac{p}{q}q'} \leq \|v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_s\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)}^{-\frac{p}{q}q'} \leq c.$$

Thus,

$$(4.15) \quad \rho_k^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \leq cb^{-k\frac{p}{q}q'} |E_k|^{\frac{p}{q}(1-q')}.$$

Now, recall that $E_k \subset B(0, b^k)$, and since $|B(0, b^k)| \leq cb^{(2n+2)k}|B(0, 1)|$ (here $2n+2$ is the homogeneous degree of \mathbb{H}_n and the constant $c = c_n$ depends on the dimension, see for example [21]). By (4.15) and this estimation of the measure of E_k we go back to (4.14) to obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} (u(g))^{-\frac{1}{q}} \phi_s(g))^{q'} dg \leq c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} b^{-k\frac{2}{q}q'} b^{(2n+2)kq' \left(\frac{p}{q}-1\right)},$$

and now we choose $b > 1$ such that the series converges, which means that $u \in D_q(\mathcal{L}, S)$. \square

We are now, finally, ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $a > 0$, $v \in D_p(\mathcal{L}, S)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)$. Let us see that there exists a weight u such that $Q_a^* f \in L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, u)$. We pick up from formula (4.2) and recall the notation involved:

$$Q_a^* f(g) = \left| \sup_{0 < s < a} e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(g) \right| \leq Af(g) + Bf(g),$$

where $Af(g) = C_1(1 + \varphi_a(g)) \int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |f(h)| \phi_a(h) dh$, with the function φ_a defined

in (4.2) by choosing the suitable $M > 1$ as $\varphi_a(g) = M^2 \left(1 + \frac{|z_0|d(g)}{a}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$; and $Bf(g) = C_2 \mathcal{M}_R f(g)$.

We observe first that, by Hölder's inequality,

$$|Af(g)| \leq c_1(1 + \varphi_a(g)) \|v^{-\frac{1}{p}} \phi_a\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{H}_n)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}.$$

Hence we can define a weight $u_1(g) = \frac{w(g)}{(1 + \varphi_a(g))^p}$ for any weight function $w(g) \leq 1$ and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_n} |Af(g)|^p u_1(g) dg \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p.$$

Moreover, we can select any weight $w(g) \leq 1$ such that $u_1 \in D_q(\mathcal{L}, S)$.

Next we apply Theorem 4.1 to obtain a weight $u_2(g)$ such that

$$\|Bf(g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, u_2)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}_n, v)}^p.$$

Moreover, if we apply Corollary 4.2, this u_2 can be chosen in the class $D_q(\mathcal{L}, S)$.

All we have to do now is to consider the weight $u(g) := \min\{u_1(g), u_2(g)\}$ which satisfies the required properties. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Abu-Falahah and P. R. Stinga and J. L. Torrea, *A Note on the Almost Everywhere Convergence to Initial Data for Some Evolution Equations*, Potential Analysis, 40, 195-202 (2012).

- [2] I. Alvarez-Romero and B. Barrios and J. J. Betancor, *Pointwise convergence of the heat and subordinates of the heat semigroups associated with the Laplace operator on homogeneous trees and two weighted L^p maximal inequalities*, arXiv 2202.11210 (2022).
- [3] Z. M. Balogh and P. Hajlasz and K. Wildrick, *Weak Contact Equations for Mappings into Heisenberg Groups*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 6, 1839–1873 (2014).
- [4] C. Bennett and M. S. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Academic Press (1987).
- [5] T. Bruno and E. Papageorgiou *Pointwise convergence to initial data for some evolution equations on symmetric spaces*, arXiv 2307.09281 (2023).
- [6] I. Cardoso, *On the pointwise convergence to initial data of heat and Poisson problems for the Bessel operator*, J. Evol. Equ., 17 , 3, 953–977 (2017).
- [7] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 83, 4, 569 – 645 (1977).
- [8] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Princeton University Press Princeton, viii, 146 (1972).
- [9] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton University Press, 28 (1982).
- [10] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. R. de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116 (1985).
- [11] G. Garrigós and S. Hartzstein and T. Signes and J. L. Torrea and B. Viviani, *Pointwise convergence to initial data of heat and Laplace equations*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 368, 9, 6575–6600 (2016).
- [12] B. Gaveau, *Principe de moindre action, propagation de la chaleur et estimatees sous elliptiques sur certains groupes nilpotents*, Acta Mathematica, 139, 95 – 153 (1977)
- [13] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (2014).
- [14] L. Grafakos and L. Liu and D. Yang, *Vector-valued singular integrals and maximal function on spaces of homogeneous type*, Mathematica Scandinavica, 2, 296–310 (2009).
- [15] S. I. Hartzstein and J. L. Torrea and B. E. Viviani, *A note on the convergence to initial data of heat and Poisson equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 141, 4, 1323–1333 (2013).
- [16] L. Hörmander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Mathematica, 119, 147 – 171 (1967).
- [17] G. A. Hunt, *Semi-groups of measures on Lie groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 81, 264–293 (1956).
- [18] A. Kaplan, *Fundamental Solutions for a Class of Hypoelliptic PDE Generated by Composition of Quadratic Forms*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 258, 1, 147–153 (1980).
- [19] A. Korányi, *Geometric properties of Heisenberg-type groups*, Advances in Mathematics, 56, 1, 28–38 (1985).
- [20] H.-Q. Li, *Estimations asymptotiques du noyau de la chaleur sur les groupes de Heisenberg*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique, 751, 8, 477–544(2007).
- [21] H.-Q. Li, *Fonctions maximales centrées de Hardy-Littlewood sur les groupes de Heisenberg*, Studia Mathematica, 191, 1, 89–100 (2009).
- [22] H.-Q. Li and Y. Zhang, *Revisiting the heat kernel on isotropic and nonisotropic Heisenberg groups*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 44, 6, 467–503 (2019).
- [23] E. Stein, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory*, Princeton University Press (1970).
- [24] S. Thangavelu, *An Introduction to the Uncertainty Principle: Hardy’s Theorem on Lie Groups*, Progress in Mathematics (2012).
- [25] J. Zienkiewicz, *Estimates for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on the Heisenberg group*, Colloquium Mathematicae, 103, 2, 199–205 (2005).