

On the fractional abstract Schrödinger-type evolution equations on the Hilbert space and its applications to the fractional dispersive equations

Mingxuan He^{1*} and Na Deng^{1†}

^{1*}School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, 411100, Hunan province, China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): 202121511131@smail.xtu.edu.cn;
Contributing authors: 202121511122@smail.xtu.edu.cn;

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this paper we prove the local and global well-posedness of the fractional abstract Schrödinger-type evolution equation ($iD_t^\alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$) on the Hilbert space and as an application, we prove the local and global well-posedness of the fractional dispersive equation with static potential ($D_t^\alpha - i\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{V}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$) under the only assumption that the symbol $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})$ behaves like $|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^m$ for $|\boldsymbol{\xi}| \rightarrow \infty$. In appendix, we also give the Hölder regularities and the asymptotic behaviors of the mild solution to the linear fractional abstract Schrödinger-type equation ($iD_t^\alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$). Because of the lack of the semigroup properties of the solution operators, we employ a strategy of proof based on the spectral theorem of the selfadjoint operators and the asymptotic behaviors of the Mittag-Leffler functions.

Keywords: Local and global well-posedness, Time fractional abstract Schrödinger-type equation, Fractional dispersive equation, The spectral theorem, The perturbation of the selfadjoint operator, Hölder regularity, Asymptotic behavior

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and main results

In the past few decades, fractional calculus has gained a great deal of attention from mathematicians and has been shown to be valuable in areas such as physics, engineering, and economics. For further information about fractional derivatives, we refer readers to [1–3] and we will give a brief introduction of them in Appendix A.

The goal of this paper is to investigate the well-posedness of the fractional abstract Schrödinger-type evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} iD_t^\alpha u + Au + F(u) = 0, & t > 0 \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

on a separable Hilbert space H with some suitable regularity hypotheses on F and x . A is a selfadjoint operator in H .

To state the hypotheses on F , we first introduce a function space $C_q[0, \infty)$: we say a continuous, nondecreasing and nonnegative function w is in $C_q[0, \infty)$ if $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies $w(0) = 0$ and $w(\sigma) \neq 0$ when $\sigma \neq 0$ and there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \varepsilon - 1}}{w(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \varepsilon}} d\sigma = q.$$

Hence we can state the hypotheses on F as:

Assumption 1. $F(0) = 0$. If $\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}$ and $\|v(t)\|_{D(A)}$ is bounded on $I \subset [0, \infty)$ a.e., then $\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{D(A)} \leq C \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{D(A)}$ a.e. on I where C is dependent on the initial data $u(0), v(0)$ with the norm $\rho(\cdot)$ and the essential upper bound of $\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}$ and $\|v(t)\|_{D(A)}$ on I .

Assumption 2. There exists a $w \in C_\infty[0, \infty)$ and a positive constant C which depends on the initial data $u(0)$ with the norm $\varrho(\cdot)$ such that $\|F(u)\|_{D(A)} \leq Cw\left(\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}\right)$ pointwisely in t .

In Section 3, 4 and 5, we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1 (local well-posedness). *Let Assumption 1 hold and $x \in D(A)$ such that $|x|_1 := \max\{\|x\|_{D(A)}, \rho(x)\} < \infty$. There exists a positive number T which depends only on $\|x\|_{D(A)}$ and $\rho(x)$ such that (1) admits a unique strict solution $u(t)$ on $[0, T]$ in the class*

$$u \in C([0, T]; D(A)), \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - x) \in C([0, T]; H).$$

Moreover, if $u(t), v(t)$ are the strict solutions of (1) with the initial data x, y respectively, then there exists a positive constant C which depends on $\rho(x), \rho(y)$ and $\|u\|_{L^\infty((0, T); D(A))}, \|v\|_{L^\infty((0, T); D(A))}$ such that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{D(A)} \leq CE_{\alpha, 1}(\Gamma(\alpha)t^\alpha) \|x - y\|_{D(A)}. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1.2 (continuation and blow-up alternative). *Let the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold and u be the strict solution of (1) on $[0, T]$. Then u can be extended to a maximal interval $[0, T_{\max})$ uniquely such that*

$$u \in C([0, T_{\max}); D(A)). \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - x) \in C([0, T_{\max}); H)$$

and $T_{\max} < \infty$ implies $\lim_{t \uparrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_{D(A)} = \infty$.

Theorem 1.3 (global well-posedness). *Let the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 and Assumption 2 hold. If $x \in D(A)$ satisfying $\|x\|_2 := \max\{\|x\|_{D(A)}, \rho(x), \varrho(x)\} < \infty$, (1) admits a unique strict solution $u(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ in the class*

$$u \in C([0, \infty); D(A)), \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - x) \in C([0, \infty); H).$$

That is, the strict solution in Theorem 1.1 is global.

Remark 1.1. *You can find the notion of the solution of (1) in Definition 2.1, Definition 2.2 and Definition 2.3.*

In section 6, we shall show that these theorems are applicable to the very general fractional dispersive equation

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha u - iP(D)u - iqu - iVu + F(u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

Here $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are both real-valued functions. $P(D)$ is defined via its real symbol, that is, $P(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(P(\xi)\mathcal{F}u)$ and $P(\xi) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ behaves like $|\xi|^m$ ($m > \frac{n}{2}$) when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Here \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes the inverse Fourier transform. Note that no assumption is made on the behaviour of $P(\xi)$ for small ξ except continuity. For some results in the integer order case ($\alpha = 1$), you can see Constantin, Saut[4] and Kenig, Ponce, Vega[5].

Remark 1.2. *Specifically, as is easily seen that (3) is the general case of some well-known different kinds of fractional disperse equations such as*

$$iD_t^\alpha u + (-\Delta)^\beta u + q(x)u + V(x)u + \lambda|u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \quad (4)$$

$$D_t^\alpha u + \partial_x^3 u + u^m \partial_x u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \quad (5)$$

$$D_t^\alpha u + H\partial_x^2 u + u^m \partial_x u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0. \quad (6)$$

In (4), $(-\Delta)^\beta$ denotes the fractional Laplacian whose definition is $(-\Delta)^\beta u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2\beta}\mathcal{F}u)$. In (6), H denotes the Hilbert transform whose definition is $Hu = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\mathcal{F}u)$. Actually these equations have been studied by many authors but have not been studied in a more general and abstract way. (5) is called the time fractional m -gKdV equation and (6) is called the time fractional modified Benjamin-Ono equation (mBO equation). The researches on (5) and (6) mainly focused on solving them by variational iteration method[6], Adomian decomposition method[7] and symmetry analysis[8] and so on. Several works have been devoted to the well-posed

problem for (5) and (6) in the integer order case ($\alpha = 1$) which you can see [9–12]. There are much more studies on (4) which is called the space-time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with static potential introduced by Achar, Yale, Hanneken[13] in the case $q, V = 0$ or $q = 0$. Su, Zhao, Li[14] have studied the local well-posedness of it by estimating the fundamental solution using the properties of H -functions. If $\beta = 1$, it reduces to the time fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Peng, Zhou, Ahmad[15] have studied the global well-posedness of it by the decay estimates of the solution. Wang, Zhou, Wei[16] have studied the global well-posedness and some dynamical properties of it in a bounded domain. In particular, the integer order case ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$) has been studied extensively by mathematicians such as Kato[17, 18], Cazenave[19], Ginibre, Velo[20, 21], Bourgain[22] and so on and the researches of the well-posedness of the space fractional case ($\alpha = 1$) which is introduced by Laskin[23–26] you can see Guo, Han, Xin[27], Guo, Huo[28] and Hong, Sire[29]. In addition, here are some more differences about the time fractionalisation of the Schrödinger equation whether we should fractionalize the constant i . In fact, Naber[30] use Wick rotation to raise a fractional power of order α of i which turns out to be the classical Schrödinger equations with a time dependent Hamiltonian and Grande[31] studied the local well-posedness and local smoothing properties of it.

In Section 2 we will give some required estimates for the linear solution operators. In Appendix A and B we will give some brief introduction of the fractional integrals and fractional derivatives and the Mittag-Leffler function. In Appendix C the perturbation of the selfadjoint operators will be stated and we will prove a general spectral theorem of the selfadjoint operators for the purpose of estimating the linear solution operators and proving Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.3. In Appendix D some further results of the linear form of (1) will be given such as Hölder regularities and asymptotic behaviors.

1.2 Notations

The following notations are used without particular comments.

$$\begin{aligned} L_T^\infty H &= L^\infty((0, T); H), & L_T^\infty D(A) &= L^\infty((0, T); D(A)), \\ C_T^\alpha H &= C^\alpha([0, T]; H), & C_{[\delta, T]}^\alpha H &= C^\alpha([\delta, T]; H), \\ L_t^\infty H &= L^\infty((0, \infty); H), & L_t^\infty D(A) &= L^\infty((0, \infty); D(A)), \\ L_{(T_1, T_2)}^\infty H &= L^\infty((T_1, T_2); H), & L_{(T_1, T_2)}^\infty D(A) &= L^\infty((T_1, T_2); D(A)). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a positive number C which is independent on ε (see the definition of $C_q[0, \infty)$), T (local in time), the norm of the initial data $(\rho(\cdot), \varrho(\cdot))$ and the essential upper bound of $\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}$, $\|v(t)\|_{D(A)}$ (see Assumption 1) such that $a \leq Cb$. We denote by $a \sim b$ if $b \lesssim a \lesssim b$. We say u is in a ball with radius R of Z if $u \in Z$ satisfies $\|u\|_Z \leq R$. We denote by $*$ the convolution in time, that is,

$$u(t) * v(t) = \int_0^t u(t - \tau)v(\tau)d\tau.$$

We denote by \vee the maximum and \wedge the minimum.

2 Linear estimate. The well-posedness of the linear equation

We will call it the linear (1) if $F(u) = F(t)$ in (1). In this section, we shall give some estimates of the solution operator to the linear (1) and consider the well-posedness of it. More results of the linear (1) will be given in Appendix D. By the work of Zhou, Peng, Huang[32], the solution of the linear (1) is given by

$$u(t) = S_t x + iGF(t) \quad (7)$$

where

$$Gv(t) = \int_0^t P_{t-\tau} v(\tau) d\tau$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_t \phi &= U(a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi), \quad a(t, \xi) = E_{\alpha, 1}(ia(\xi)t^\alpha), \\ P_t \phi &= U(b(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi), \quad b(t, \xi) = t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(ia(\xi)t^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{d}{dt}a(t, \xi) = ia(\xi)b(t, \xi)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}b(t, \xi) = t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(ia(\xi)t^\alpha)$ (Theorem B.2). Their method is based on the spectral theorem of the selfadjoint operator (see appendix C.2) and we can define the mild solution, the classical solution and the strict solution by this way as follows:

Definition 2.1. For $T > 0$, let $x \in H$. The function $u \in C([0, T]; H)$ given by (7) is the mild solution of the linear (1) on $[0, T]$

Definition 2.2. For $T > 0$, a function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$ is a classical solution of the linear (1) on $[0, T]$ if u in the class

$$u \in C((0, T]; D(A)) \cap C([0, T]; H), \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - u(0)) \in C((0, T]; H)$$

satisfies the linear (1).

Definition 2.3. For $T > 0$, a function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$ is a strict solution of the linear (1) on $[0, T]$ if u in the class

$$u \in C([0, T]; D(A)), \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - u(0)) \in C([0, T]; H)$$

satisfies the linear (1).

Let $\chi_t = \chi_t(\xi) = \chi_{t^\alpha|a(\xi)| \leq M}$ and $\chi_t^c = \chi_t^c(\xi) = 1 - \chi_t$ where M is large enough. Here χ_t denotes a smooth function supported on the set $\{(t, \xi) : t^\alpha|a(\xi)| \leq 2M\}$ satisfying $\chi_t = 1$ if $t^\alpha|a(\xi)| \leq M$ and hence $\chi_t^c = \chi_{t^\alpha|a(\xi)| > 2M}$ is a smooth function supported on the set $\{(t, \xi) : t^\alpha|a(\xi)| > M\}$ satisfying $\chi_t^c = 1$ if $t^\alpha|a(\xi)| > 2M$.

We can now define the following operators:

$$S_t^l \phi := U(\chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi), \quad S_t^h \phi := U(\chi_t^c a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi),$$

$$P_t^l \phi := U(\chi_t b(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi), \quad P_t^h \phi := U(\chi_t^c b(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi),$$

$$G^l v(t) := \int_0^t P_{t-\tau}^l v(\tau) d\tau, \quad G^h v(t) := \int_0^t P_{t-\tau}^h v(\tau) d\tau.$$

According to Theorem B.1, it follows that

$$\chi_t^c a(t, \xi) = \frac{i}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \chi_t^c a(\xi)^{-1} t^{-\alpha} + \chi_t^c O(|a(\xi)|^{-2} t^{-2\alpha}),$$

$$\chi_t^c b(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \chi_t^c a(\xi)^{-2} t^{-\alpha-1} + \chi_t^c O(|a(\xi)|^{-3} t^{-2\alpha-1}),$$

which then implies that

$$S_t^h \phi = \frac{i}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} U(a(\xi)^{-1} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi) + U\left(O(|a(\xi)|^{-2} t^{-2\alpha}) \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi\right)$$

$$=: \frac{i}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \phi + R_t^S \phi,$$

$$P_t^h \phi = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha-1} U(a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi) + U\left(O(|a(\xi)|^{-3} t^{-2\alpha-1}) \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi\right)$$

$$=: \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_t^{-2} \phi + R_t^P \phi,$$

$$G^h v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_{t-\tau}^{-2} v(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t R_{t-\tau}^P v(\tau) d\tau.$$

Note that the following relations hold:

$$S_t \phi = S_t^l \phi + S_t^h \phi, \quad P_t \phi = P_t^l \phi + P_t^h \phi, \quad Gv(t) = G^l v(t) + G^h v(t).$$

Here we first give some estimates of the operator \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} , \mathbf{A}_t^{-2} , R_t^S and R_t^P .

Lemma 2.1. \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} maps H into H boundedly for every $t \geq 0$ with the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \phi\|_H \lesssim t^\alpha \|\phi\|_H, \quad (8)$$

and \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} maps H into H boundedly for every $t \geq 0$ with the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \phi\|_H \leq \|\phi\|_H. \quad (9)$$

Proof. (8) can be easily proved using the fact $|\chi_t^c a(\xi)^{-1}| \lesssim t^\alpha$ and (9) can be easily proved using the fact $|\chi_t^c| \leq 1$. \square

By the same way, we can easily prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. \mathbf{A}_t^{-2} maps H into H boundedly for every $t \geq 0$ with the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{A}_t^{-2} \phi\|_H \lesssim t^{2\alpha} \|\phi\|_H, \quad (10)$$

and AA_t^{-2} maps H into H boundedly for every $t \geq 0$ with the estimate

$$\|AA_t^{-2}\phi\|_H \lesssim t^\alpha \|\phi\|_H. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 2.3. R_t^S maps H into H boundedly for every $t \geq 0$ with the estimate

$$\|R_t^S\phi\|_H \lesssim \|\phi\|_H, \quad (12)$$

and AR_t^S maps H into H boundedly for every $t > 0$ with the estimate

$$\|AR_t^S\phi\|_H \lesssim t^{-\alpha} \|\phi\|_H. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 2.4. R_t^P maps H into H boundedly for every $t > 0$ with the estimate

$$\|R_t^P\phi\|_H \lesssim t^{\alpha-1} \|\phi\|_H. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $\phi \in H$. For any $t, s > 0$, we have

$$\|t^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_s^{-1}\phi\|_H \lesssim \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\|t^{-\alpha}AA_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}AA_s^{-1}\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (16)$$

If moreover $\phi \in D(A)$, we have

$$\|t^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_s^{-1}\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Note that $|t^{-\alpha-1}\chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{-1}|a(\xi)|$, $|t^{-\alpha-1}\chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-1}|a(\xi)|^2$, $|t^{-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}\chi_t^c| \lesssim |a(\xi)|$ and $|t^{-\alpha}\frac{d}{dt}\chi_t^c| \lesssim t^\alpha|a(\xi)|^2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |t^{-\alpha}\chi_t^c - s^{-\alpha}\chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{-\alpha}\chi_\tau^c) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_s^t -\alpha\tau^{-\alpha-1}\chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-\alpha}\frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t |a(\xi)|\tau^{-1} + |a(\xi)|d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |a(\xi)||t - s| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|t^{-\alpha}\chi_t^c - s^{-\alpha}\chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t -\alpha\tau^{-\alpha-1}\chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^2 + \tau^\alpha |a(\xi)|^2 d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) |a(\xi)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_s^{-1}\phi\|_H &= \|t^{-\alpha}a(\xi)^{-1}\chi_t^c U^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}a(\xi)^{-1}\chi_s^c U^{-1}\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\lesssim (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}\mathbf{A}_s^{-1}\phi\|_H &= \|t^{-\alpha}a(\xi)^{-1}\chi_t^c U^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}a(\xi)^{-1}\chi_s^c U^{-1}\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|t^{-\alpha}\chi_t^c - s^{-\alpha}\chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t -\alpha\tau^{-\alpha-1}\chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{-\alpha-1} + \tau^{-\alpha} d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim |t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t^{-\alpha}A\mathbf{A}_t^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}A\mathbf{A}_s^{-1}\phi\|_H &= \|t^{-\alpha}\chi_t^c U^{-1}\phi - s^{-\alpha}\chi_s^c U^{-1}\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\phi \in H$. For any $t, s > 0$, we have*

$$\|t^{-\alpha-1}\mathbf{A}_t^{-2}\phi - s^{-\alpha-1}\mathbf{A}_s^{-2}\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Note that $|t^{-\alpha-2}\chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-2} |a(\xi)|^2$ and $|t^{-\alpha-1} \frac{d}{dt}\chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^2$. It follows that

$$|t^{-\alpha-1}\chi_t^c - s^{-\alpha-1}\chi_s^c| = \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{-\alpha-1}\chi_\tau^c) d\tau \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_s^t (-\alpha - 1) \tau^{-\alpha-2} \chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-\alpha-1} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-2} |a(\xi)|^2 + \tau^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^2 d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) |a(\xi)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|t^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_t^{-2} \phi - s^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_s^{-2} \phi\|_H &= \|t^{-\alpha-1} a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi - s^{-\alpha-1} a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_s^c U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) \|\phi\|_H.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\phi \in H$. For any $t, s > 0$, we have*

$$\|R_t^S \phi - R_s^S \phi\|_H \lesssim (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\|AR_t^S \phi - AR_s^S \phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (20)$$

If moreover $\phi \in D(A)$, we have

$$\|R_t^S \phi - R_s^S \phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Using the fact $|t^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{-1} |a(\xi)|^2$, $|t^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^3$, $|t^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} \chi_t^c| \lesssim |a(\xi)|^2$ and $|t^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^\alpha |a(\xi)|^3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c - s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{-2\alpha} \chi_\tau^c) d\tau \right| \\
&= \left| \int_s^t -2\alpha \tau^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{-1} |a(\xi)|^2 + |a(\xi)|^2 d\tau \right| \\
&\leq (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |a(\xi)|^2 |t - s|,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c - s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t -2\alpha \tau^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\
&\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^3 + \tau^\alpha |a(\xi)|^3 d\tau \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) |a(\xi)|^3,$$

which then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_t^S \phi - R_s^S \phi\|_H &= \left\| O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi - O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c U^{-1} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_t^S \phi - R_s^S \phi\|_H &= \left\| O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi - O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c U^{-1} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|t^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{-\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|$ and $|t^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{-\alpha} |a(\xi)|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c - s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t -2\alpha \tau^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-2\alpha} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{-\alpha-1} |a(\xi)| + \tau^{-\alpha} |a(\xi)| d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) |a(\xi)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|AR_t^S \phi - AR_s^S \phi\|_H &= \left\| a(\xi) O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) t^{-2\alpha} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi - a(\xi) O\left(|a(\xi)|^{-2}\right) s^{-2\alpha} \chi_s^c U^{-1} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\phi \in H$. For any $t, s > 0$, we have*

$$\|R_t^P \phi - R_s^P \phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Using the fact $|t^{-2\alpha-2} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-2} |a(\xi)|^3$ and $|t^{-2\alpha-1} \frac{d}{dt} \chi_t^c| \lesssim t^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |t^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_t^c - s^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_s^c| &= \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\tau^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_\tau^c) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_s^t (-2\alpha - 1) \tau^{-2\alpha-2} \chi_\tau^c + \tau^{-2\alpha-1} \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau^c d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t (\tau^{\alpha-2} + \tau^{\alpha-1}) |a(\xi)|^3 d\tau \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) |a(\xi)|^3.$$

Hence there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_t^P \phi - R_s^P \phi\|_H &= \left\| O(|a(\xi)|^{-3}) t^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_t^c U^{-1} \phi - O(|a(\xi)|^{-3}) s^{-2\alpha-1} \chi_s^c U^{-1} \phi \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (|t^{\alpha-1} - s^{\alpha-1}| + |t^\alpha - s^\alpha|) \|\phi\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.1. For $T > 0$, S_t maps H into $C((0, T]; D(A))$ with the estimate

$$\|S_t \phi\|_{D(A)} \lesssim (1 + t^{-\alpha}) \|\phi\|_H, \quad t > 0, \quad (23)$$

and into $C([0, T]; H)$ with the estimate

$$\|S_t \phi\|_H \lesssim \|\phi\|_H, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

Proof. The proof of S_t maps H into $C((0, T]; H)$ and $C((0, T]; D(A))$ is left to Proposition 2.2 and the claim that S_t is continuous at $t = 0$ in the norm of H can be proved by Lebesgue's dominated theorem. It suffices to prove (23) and (24). On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t^l \phi\|_{D(A)} &= \|S_t^l \phi\|_H + \|AS_t^l \phi\|_H \\ &= \|\chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|a(\xi) \chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim \|U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|a(\xi) \chi_t U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim \|U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + t^{-\alpha} \|U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= (1 + t^{-\alpha}) \|\phi\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\|S_t^h \phi\|_H \lesssim t^{-\alpha} \|\mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \phi\|_H + \|R_t^S \phi\|_H \lesssim \|\phi\|_H,$$

and

$$\|AS_t^h \phi\|_H \lesssim t^{-\alpha} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} \phi\|_H + \|AR_t^S \phi\|_H \lesssim t^{-\alpha} \|\phi\|_H,$$

which implies that

$$\|S_t^h \phi\|_{D(A)} \lesssim (1 + t^{-\alpha}) \|\phi\|_H.$$

Combining above we can prove (23) and (24). □

Proposition 2.2. Let $\phi \in H$. For any $t, s > 0$, we have

$$\|S_t \phi - S_s \phi\|_H \lesssim \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H \quad (25)$$

and

$$\|AS_t\phi - AS_s\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (26)$$

If moreover $\phi \in D(A)$, we have

$$\|S_t\phi - S_s\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. According to Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, it's sufficient to prove

$$\|S_t^l\phi - S_s^l\phi\|_H \lesssim (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H, \quad (28)$$

$$\|S_t^l\phi - S_s^l\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}, \quad (29)$$

and

$$\|AS_t^l\phi - AS_s^l\phi\|_H \lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \quad (30)$$

Since $|a(\xi)b(t, \xi)\chi_t| \lesssim t^{-1}$, $|a(\xi)b(t, \xi)\chi_t| \lesssim t^{\alpha-1}|a(\xi)|$, $|a(t, \xi)\frac{d}{dt}\chi_t| \lesssim 1$ and $|a(t, \xi)\frac{d}{dt}\chi_t| \lesssim t^\alpha|a(\xi)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_t a(t, \xi) - \chi_s a(s, \xi)| &= \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\chi_\tau a(\tau, \xi)) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_s^t ia(\xi)b(\tau, \xi)\chi_\tau + a(\tau, \xi)\frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t 1 + \tau^{-1} d\tau \right| \\ &\leq (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |t - s|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_t a(t, \xi) - \chi_s a(s, \xi)| &= \left| \int_s^t ia(\xi)b(\tau, \xi)\chi_\tau + a(\tau, \xi)\frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-1} |a(\xi)| + \tau^\alpha |a(\xi)| d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) |a(\xi)| \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $|a(t, \xi)\chi_t| \lesssim t^{-\alpha}|a(\xi)|^{-1}$ and $|a(\xi)b(t, \xi)\chi_t| \lesssim t^{-\alpha-1}|a(\xi)|^{-1}$ we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_t a(t, \xi) - \chi_s a(s, \xi)| &= \left| \int_s^t ia(\xi)b(\tau, \xi)\chi_\tau + a(\tau, \xi)\frac{d}{d\tau}\chi_\tau d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \int_s^t \tau^{-\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^{-1} + \tau^{-\alpha} |a(\xi)|^{-1} d\tau \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) |a(\xi)|^{-1}.$$

Then (28) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t^l \phi - S_s^l \phi\|_H &= \|\chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi - \chi_s a(s, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}) |t - s| \|\phi\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

(27) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t^l \phi - S_s^l \phi\|_H &= \|\chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi - \chi_s a(s, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (|t^\alpha - s^\alpha| + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|\phi\|_{D(A)}, \end{aligned}$$

and (30) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \|AS_t^l \phi - AS_s^l \phi\|_H &= \|a(\xi) \chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi - a(\xi) \chi_s a(s, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (|t^{-\alpha} - s^{-\alpha}| + |t^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}|) \|\phi\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.3. *For every $t > 0$, if $\phi \in H$, then $g_{1-\alpha}(t) * (S_t \phi - \phi)$ is differentiable and*

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\alpha (S_t \phi - \phi) = iAS_t \phi. \quad (31)$$

Proof. Let $\psi(t) = g_{1-\alpha}(t) * (S_t \phi - \phi)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} (S_\tau \phi - \phi) d\tau \\ &= U \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} (a(\tau, \xi) U^{-1} \phi - U^{-1} \phi) d\tau \right) \\ &= U \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^k a(\xi)^k t^{\alpha(k-1)+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1)+2)} U^{-1} \phi \right), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t+h) - \psi(t)}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} U \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^k a(\xi)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1)+2)} \frac{(t+h)^{\alpha(k-1)+1} - t^{\alpha(k-1)+1}}{h} U^{-1} \phi \right) \\ &= U \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^k a(\xi)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha(k-1)+2)} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(t+h)^{\alpha(k-1)+1} - t^{\alpha(k-1)+1}}{h} U^{-1} \phi \right) \\ &= iU (a(\xi) a(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1 shows that $S_t\phi \in D(A)$ for every $t > 0$, which implies that $g_{1-\alpha}(t) * (S_t\phi - \phi)$ is differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (g_{1-\alpha}(t) * (S_t\phi - \phi)) = \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha (S_t\phi - \phi) = iAS_t\phi$$

□

Proposition 2.4. For $T > 0$, G maps $L^\infty((0, T); H)$ into $C([0, T]; H)$ with the estimate

$$\|Gv\|_{L_T^\infty H} \lesssim T^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (32)$$

Proof. The proof of continuity for $t > 0$ is left to Proposition 2.5 and the continuity at $t = 0$ can be proved by (35). We just prove (32) here. On one hand,

$$\|G^l v(t)\|_H \lesssim \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau, \quad (33)$$

it follows that

$$\|G^l v\|_{L_T^\infty H} \lesssim T^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}.$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\|G^h v(t)\|_H \lesssim \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau, \quad (34)$$

which implies that

$$\|G^h v\|_{L_T^\infty H} \lesssim T^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}.$$

Then (32) can be proved. □

Remark 2.1. From (33) and (34) we also have

$$\|Gv(t)\|_H \lesssim \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau. \quad (35)$$

In particular, for any $0 < T_1 < T_2$, if $v \equiv 0$ on $[0, T_1]$ and $v \in L^\infty((0, T_2); H)$, then by (35) we have

$$\|Gv(t)\|_H \lesssim \int_{T_1}^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau$$

and hence

$$\|Gv\|_{L_{(T_1, T_2)}^\infty H} \lesssim (T_2 - T_1)^\alpha \|v\|_{L_{T_2}^\infty H}. \quad (36)$$

Proposition 2.5. For $T > 0$ and $0 < t, s < T$, let $v \in L^\infty((0, T); H)$. We have

$$\|Gv(t) - Gv(s)\|_H \lesssim (|t - s|^\alpha + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (37)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $t > s$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_0^s \left((t-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_{t-\tau}^{-2} v(\tau) - (s-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_{s-\tau}^{-2} v(\tau) \right) d\tau, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\alpha)} \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_{t-\tau}^{-2} v(\tau) d\tau, \\ I_3 &= \int_0^s (R_{t-\tau}^P v(\tau) - R_{s-\tau}^P v(\tau)) d\tau, \\ I_4 &= \int_s^t R_{t-\tau}^P v(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

then $G^h v(t) - G^h v(s) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$. According to Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_H &\lesssim \int_0^s \left((s-\tau)^{\alpha-1} - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} + (t-\tau)^\alpha - (s-\tau)^\alpha \right) \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \\ &\lesssim \left((t-s)^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\|I_3\|_H \lesssim \left((t-s)^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}.$$

Similarly it follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\|I_2\|_H \lesssim \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}$$

and also

$$\|I_4\|_H \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}.$$

Then there holds

$$\|G^h v(t) - G^h v(s)\|_H \lesssim \left((t-s)^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_t b(t, \xi) - \chi_s b(s, \xi)| &= \left| \int_s^t \frac{d}{d\tau} (\chi_\tau b(\tau, \xi)) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_s^t b(\tau, \xi) \frac{d}{d\tau} \chi_\tau + \chi_\tau \tau^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1} (i a(\xi) \tau^\alpha) d\tau \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_s^t \tau^{\alpha-1} + \tau^{\alpha-2} d\tau \\ &\lesssim t^\alpha - s^\alpha + s^{\alpha-1} - t^{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_t^l \phi - P_s^l \phi\|_H &= \|\chi_t b(t, \xi) U^{-1} \phi - \chi_s b(s, \xi) U^{-1} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim (t^\alpha - s^\alpha + s^{\alpha-1} - t^{\alpha-1}) \|\phi\|_H,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|I_5\|_H \lesssim ((t-s)^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}$$

by letting

$$\begin{aligned}I_5 &= \int_0^s (P_{t-\tau}^l v(\tau) - P_{s-\tau}^l v(\tau)) d\tau, \\ I_6 &= \int_s^t P_{t-\tau}^l v(\tau) d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

There holds $G^l v(t) - G^l v(s) = I_5 + I_6$. It's easy to verify that

$$\|I_6\|_H \lesssim (t-s)^\alpha \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}.$$

Then we have

$$\|G^l v(t) - G^l v(s)\|_H \lesssim ((t-s)^\alpha + t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}) \|v\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (39)$$

Combining (38) and (39) we can obtain the result. \square

Proposition 2.6. *If $Gv(t) \in D(A)$ for $t > 0$, then $g_{1-\alpha} * Gv$ is differentiable for $t > 0$ and*

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\alpha Gv(t) = iAGv(t) + v(t). \quad (40)$$

Proof. Let $\Phi(t) = g_{1-\alpha} * Gv$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \int_0^\tau (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} P_{\tau-s} v(s) ds d\tau \\ &= U \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \int_0^\tau (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} b(\tau-s, \xi) U^{-1} v(s) ds d\tau \right) \\ &= U \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_s^t \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} b(\tau-s, \xi) U^{-1} v(s) ds d\tau \right) \\ &= U \left(\int_0^t a(t-s, \xi) U^{-1} v(s) ds \right),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(t+h) - \Phi(t)}{h} \\
&= U \left(\int_0^t \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{a(t+h-s, \xi)U^{-1}v(s) - a(t-s, \xi)U^{-1}v(s)}{h} ds \right) \\
&+ U \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} a(t+h-s, \xi)U^{-1}v(s) ds \right) \\
&= iU \left(a(\xi) \int_0^t b(t-s, \xi)U^{-1}v(s) ds \right) + v(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Gv(t) \in D(A)$, we can deduce $\Phi(t)$ is differentiable and

$$\mathbf{D}_t^\alpha Gv(t) = iAGv(t) + v(t).$$

□

Theorem 2.1. For $T > 0$, let $x \in H$ and $F \in L^\infty((0, T); H)$. The linear (1) has a unique mild solution u on $[0, T]$ with the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_H \lesssim \|x\|_H + T^\alpha \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (41)$$

Proof. It's just a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.4. □

Theorem 2.2. For $T > 0$, let $F \in L^\infty((0, T); H) \cap C((0, T); H)$. The linear (1) has a unique classical solution u on $[0, T]$ for every $x \in H$ if and only if $GF \in C((0, T]; D(A))$.

Proof. If u is a classical solution of the linear (1), then $GF = u - S_t x \in C((0, T]; D(A))$ by applying Proposition 2.1. If $GF \in C((0, T]; D(A))$, we can complete the proof applying Proposition 2.6 with the assumption that $F \in C((0, T]; H)$. □

Theorem 2.3. For $T > 0$, let $F \in C([0, T]; D(A))$. The linear (1) has a unique strict solution u on $[0, T]$ for every $x \in D(A)$.

Proof. The proof is clear noting that G maps $C([0, T]; D(A))$ into $C([0, T]; D(A))$ by Proposition 2.4. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start with (2) which also implies that the strict solution of (1) is unique. To this end, we state the following Gronwall type inequality which you can find in Henry[33] and Yagi[34].

Lemma 3.1. Let $0 \leq a \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ be an increasing function, let $b > 0$ be a constant and $\alpha > 0$ be an exponent. If $u \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the integral inequality

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

on this interval, then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) E_{\alpha,1}(b\Gamma(\alpha)t^\alpha), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold and $u(t), v(t)$ be the strict solutions of (1) with the initial data x, y respectively, then (2) holds.

Proof. Let $R = \|u\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \vee \|v\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}$. By the representation of the strict solution that $u(t) - v(t) = S_t(x - y) + iG(F(u) - F(v))$ and Proposition 2.1 and (35) it follows that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(x), \rho(y), R} \|x - y\|_{D(A)} + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|_{D(A)} d\tau.$$

Then (2) follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. For $T > 0$, GF maps a ball with radius R in $L^\infty((0, T); D(A))$ into $C([0, T]; D(A))$ boundedly with the estimate

$$\|GF(u) - GF(v)\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(u(0)), \rho(v(0)), R} T^\alpha \|u - v\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}.$$

Proof. By hypotheses on F we obtain F maps a ball with radius R in $L^\infty((0, T); D(A))$ into $L^\infty((0, T); D(A))$ with the estimate

$$\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(u(0)), \rho(v(0)), R} \|u - v\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}.$$

Then the result can be followed by Proposition 2.4. \square

Lemma 3.3. For $T > 0$, let $x \in D(A)$ such that $|x|_1 < \infty$. If $u \in C([0, T]; D(A))$ satisfies $u(t) = S_t x + iGF(u)$, then u is a strict solution of (1) on $[0, T]$.

Proof. Let $H(t) = F(u)$ and $R = \|u\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}$. It's easy to verify that $H(t) \in D(A)$ and for any $t_0 \in [0, T]$,

$$\|H(t) - H(t_0)\|_{D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} \|u(t) - u(t_0)\|_{D(A)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow t_0.$$

It shows that $H \in C([0, T]; D(A))$. Applying Theorem 2.3 there exists a unique strict solution v on $[0, T]$ to the following equation

$$iD_t^\alpha v(t) + Av(t) + H(t) = 0, \quad v(0) = x.$$

Then it follows that $v(t) = S_t x + iGH(t) = S_t x + iGF(u) = u(t)$ which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $R = \|x\|_{D(A)}$ and set

$$X_R = \left\{ u \in L^\infty((0, T); D(A)) : u(0) = x, \quad \|u\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim R \right\}$$

with metric

$$d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}.$$

Define $Ku = S_t x + iGF(u)$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 that for any $u \in X_R$,

$$\|Ku\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim \|x\|_{D(A)} + C_{\rho(x), R} T^\alpha \|u\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim R + C_{\rho(x), R} T^\alpha.$$

Then we can choose T small enough such that K maps X_R into X_R . For any $u, v \in X_R$, we have, by Lemma 3.2,

$$d(Ku, Kv) = \|GF(u) - GF(v)\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} T^\alpha \|u - v\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)} = T^\alpha d(u, v).$$

We can choose T small enough such that K is a contraction on X_R which implies that K has a unique fixed point $u \in X_R$ and hence $u = Ku \in C([0, T]; D(A))$ by Lemma 3.2. Combining with Lemma 3.3 we can obtain the local existence and uniqueness of the strict solution. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 4.1 (continuation). *Let u be the strict solution of (1) on $[0, T]$ under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1. Then u can be extended to the interval $[0, T^*]$ for some $T^* > T$ uniquely and the extended function is the strict solution of (1) on $[0, T^*]$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove u can be extended to $v \in C([0, T^*]; D(A))$ satisfying $v(t) = S_t x + iGF(v)$ on $[0, T^*]$. Let $Kv = S_t x + iGF(v)$, $R = \|u\|_{L_T^\infty D(A)}$ and set

$$E_R = \left\{ v \in L^\infty((0, T^*); D(A)) : \begin{array}{l} v \equiv u \text{ on } [0, T] \\ \|v - u(T)\|_{L_{(T, T^*)}^\infty D(A)} \lesssim R \text{ on } [T, T^*] \end{array} \right\}$$

with the metric

$$d(v, w) = \|v - w\|_{L_{T^*}^\infty D(A)}.$$

We first claim that K maps E_R into itself. Indeed, for any $v \in E_R$, clearly we have, by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2, that $Kv \in L^\infty((0, T^*); D(A))$. And $Kv \equiv Ku = u$ on $[0, T]$ follows from that u is a strict solution on $[0, T]$. Now on $[T, T^*]$, according to

Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.5, we can choose T^* and T to be close enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Kv - u(T)\|_{L_{(T, T^*)}^\infty D(A)} \\
& \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} (1 + T^{-1}) (T^* - T) \|x\|_{D(A)} + ((T^* - T)^\alpha + (T^{*\alpha+1} - T^{\alpha+1})) \|v\|_{L_{T^*}^\infty D(A)} \\
& \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} (1 + T^{-1}) (T^* - T) R + \left((T^* - T)^{\alpha+1} + T (T^* - T)^\alpha + T^\alpha (T^* - T) \right) R \\
& \lesssim R.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that K maps E_R into itself. Similarly, for any $v, w \in E_R$, it follows from (36) that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Kv, Kw) &= \|Kv - Kw\|_{L_{(T, T^*)}^\infty D(A)} = \|GF(v) - GF(w)\|_{L_{(T, T^*)}^\infty D(A)} \\
&\lesssim (T^* - T)^\alpha \|F(v) - F(w)\|_{L_{T^*}^\infty D(A)} \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} (T^* - T)^\alpha d(v, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can choose T^* and T to be close enough such that K is a contraction on E_R which completes the proof of the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$T_{\max} = \sup \{T \in (0, \infty) : \exists! \text{ strict solution to (1) on } [0, T]\}.$$

Lemma 4.1 shows the existence of T_{\max} and that $u \in C([0, T_{\max}]; D(A))$. It's clear that $0 < T_{\max} \leq \infty$. Suppose that $T_{\max} < \infty$ but $\|u(t)\|_{D(A)} \lesssim R$ on $[0, T_{\max}]$. When $t \rightarrow T_{\max}$, assuming $t \in [T_{\max} - \delta, T_{\max}]$, by Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t) - u(T_{\max})\|_{D(A)} \\
& \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} \left(1 + (T_{\max} - \delta)^{-1}\right) (T_{\max} - t) \|x\|_{D(A)} + ((T_{\max} - t)^\alpha + (T_{\max}^{\alpha+1} - t^{\alpha+1})) \|u\|_{L_{T_{\max}}^\infty D(A)} \\
& \lesssim_{\rho(x), R} \left(1 + (T_{\max} - \delta)^{-1}\right) (T_{\max} - t) + ((T_{\max} - t)^\alpha + (T_{\max}^{\alpha+1} - t^{\alpha+1})) \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow T_{\max}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u \in C([0, T_{\max}]; D(A))$. But by Lemma 4.1, u can be extended to the interval $[0, T^*]$ for some $T^* > T_{\max}$ which contradicts the definition of T_{\max} . Then $T_{\max} < \infty$ implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_{D(A)} = \infty$. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 5.1. *Let $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, nondecreasing, nonnegative function which is not always 0 and $u(t)$ be a continuous, nonnegative function on $[0, T]$*

satisfying

$$u(t) \lesssim 1 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} w(u(\tau)) d\tau$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\int_1^{u(t)} \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon-1}}{w(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon}} d\sigma \lesssim_\varepsilon e^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon)T} \left(1 - e^{-(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon)t}\right).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 2 in [35] and we omit it. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It suffices to prove that $\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}$ is bounded on every interval $[0, T]$. We assume that there exists a $T < \infty$ such that $\lim_{t \uparrow T} \|u(t)\|_{D(A)} = \infty$.

Then for such T , by Proposition 2.1, Assumption 2 and (35), we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{D(A)} \lesssim_{\|x\|_{D(A)}, \varrho(x)} 1 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} w\left(\|u(\tau)\|_{D(A)}\right) d\tau.$$

Then Lemma 5.1 shows that

$$\int_1^{\|u(t)\|_{D(A)}} \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon-1}}{w(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon}} d\sigma \lesssim_{\varepsilon, \|x\|_{D(A)}, \varrho(x)} e^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon)T}.$$

Letting $t \uparrow T$ on both sides of the inequality we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon-1}}{w(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon}} d\sigma \lesssim_{\varepsilon, \|x\|_{D(A)}, \varrho(x)} e^{(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon)T}.$$

This leads to a contradiction since $w \in C_\infty[0, \infty)$. Then the proof is complete. \square

6 Application. The well-posedness of the fractional dispersive equation

In this section, we will consider the well-posedness of (3). The hypotheses on F are:

Assumption 3. $F(0) = 0$ and $\|F(u) - F(v)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\rho(u(0)), \rho(v(0)), R} \|u - v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ a.e. on $I \subset [0, \infty)$, where R is the essential upper bound of $\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|v(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ on I .

Assumption 4. There exists a $w \in C_\infty[0, \infty)$ such that $\|F(u)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{\varrho(u(0))} w\left(\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)$.

Here $\rho(\cdot)$ and $\varrho(\cdot)$ are norms.

We will prove the following results.

Theorem 6.1 (local well-posedness). Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $m \geq \frac{n}{2}$, $s \geq m$, $q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $P(D)$ is defined as $P(D)u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(P(\xi)\mathcal{F}u)$ where the assumption of $P(\xi)$ is $P(\xi) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ and $|P(\xi)| \sim |\xi|^m$ when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ and Assumption 3 holds.

If $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \vee \rho(u_0) < \infty$, there exists a positive number T which depends only on $\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\rho(u_0)$ such that (42) admits a unique solution on $[0, T]$ in the class

$$u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - u_0) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

In addition, u can be extended to the maximal interval $[0, T_{\max})$ such that

$$u \in C([0, T_{\max}); H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - u_0) \in C([0, T_{\max}); L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

and $T_{\max} < \infty$ implies $\lim_{t \uparrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \infty$.

Theorem 6.2 (global well-posedness). *Let the assumptions in Theorem 6.1 and Assumption 4 hold. If $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \vee \rho(u_0) \vee \varrho(u_0) < \infty$, (42) admits a unique solution on $[0, \infty)$ in the class*

$$u \in C([0, \infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha(u - u_0) \in C([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

That is, the solution in Theorem 6.1 is global.

To deal with these theorems, it suffices to consider the following equivalent equation that

$$\begin{cases} iD_t^\alpha u + P(D)u + qu + Vu + F(u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

where the assumptions of $P(D), q, V, F$ are the same as Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2.

Define the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} Hu &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(P(\xi)\mathcal{F}u), \quad D(H) = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s \geq m, \\ Q_1u &= qu, \quad D(Q_1) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : qu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \\ Q_2u &= Vu, \quad D(Q_2) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : Vu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $Tu = Q_1u + Q_2u$, $Au = Hu + Tu$. Q_1, Q_2 is called the maximal multiplication operators by q, V respectively and hence they are selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [36]). We claim that $D(H) \subset \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : P(D)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. Indeed, by the assumption $s \geq m$, we obtain $\frac{P(\xi)}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence

$$\|P(D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \left\| \frac{P(\xi)}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}} (1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathcal{F}u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Also it's easy to see that H is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 6.1. *A is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $D(A) = D(H)$.*

Proof. We choose γ large enough and then the asymptotic behavior of $P(\xi)$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{P(\xi)^2 + \gamma^2} d\xi &= \int_{|\xi| \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{m}}} \frac{1}{P(\xi)^2 + \gamma^2} d\xi + \int_{|\xi| > \gamma^{\frac{1}{m}}} \frac{1}{P(\xi)^2 + \gamma^2} d\xi \\ &\lesssim \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}-2} + \int_{|\xi| > \gamma^{\frac{1}{m}}} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2m} + \gamma^2} d\xi \\ &\sim \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}-2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}u| d\xi \right)^2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{P(\xi) + \gamma} (P(\xi) + \gamma) |\mathcal{F}u| d\xi \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(P(\xi) + \gamma)^2} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (P(\xi) + \gamma)^2 |\mathcal{F}u|^2 d\xi \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{P(\xi)^2 + \gamma^2} d\xi \left(\|P(D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \gamma^2 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \|P(D)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \\ &= \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \gamma^{\frac{n}{m}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|qu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|Q_2 u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|Vu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\|Tu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (43)$$

Thus we can choose γ large enough such that T is H -bounded with H -bound smaller than 1 and then A is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Theorem C.1.

Now it remains to prove $D(A) = D(H)$. (43) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|Tu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(1 + \gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $D(H) \subset D(A)$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \|Au\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|Tu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \|Au\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \left(\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

we can choose γ large enough such that $C\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Hu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq \left(1 - C\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{-1} \|Au\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \quad + C \left(\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \left(1 - C\gamma^{\frac{n}{2m}-1} \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $D(A) \subset D(H)$. Then the proof is complete. \square

By the above arguments and applying Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 we can obtain Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2.

Appendix A On the fractional integral and fractional derivatives

Here we give a brief introduction to the fractional integral and fractional derivatives. We only consider $0 < \alpha < 1$ without particular comment. Let

$$g_\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We can now define the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral (I_t^α), the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative (\mathbf{D}_t^α) and the Caputo derivative (D_t^α) by

$$I_t^\alpha u(t) = g_\alpha * u, \quad \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (g_{1-\alpha} * u), \quad D_t^\alpha u(t) = g_{1-\alpha} * u'(t),$$

and the relationship between the Riemann-Liouville derivative and the Caputo derivative is given by

$$D_t^\alpha u(t) = \mathbf{D}_t^\alpha (u(t) - u(0)).$$

Appendix B On the Mittag-Leffler functions

Here we give a brief introduction to the Mittag-Leffler functions which are the fundamental functions in fractional differential equations.

Definition B.1 ([37]). Let $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$, we define the Mittag-Leffler function by

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}.$$

We state the asymptotic expansion and the derivative of the Mittag-Leffler function as follows.

Theorem B.1 ([3]). If $0 < \alpha < 2$, β is an arbitrary complex number and μ is an arbitrary real number such that

$$\frac{\pi \alpha}{2} < \mu < \pi \wedge \pi \alpha,$$

then for an arbitrary integer $p \geq 1$ the following expansion holds

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = - \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{z^{-k}}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha k)} + O(|z|^{-1-p}), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \mu \leq |\arg z| \leq \pi.$$

Theorem B.2. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_{\alpha, 1}(\lambda t^\alpha) &= \lambda t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda t^\alpha), \\ \frac{d}{dt} (t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(\lambda t^\alpha)) &= t^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha, \alpha-1}(\lambda t^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Appendix C The perturbation and the spectral theorem of the selfadjoint operators

C.1 The perturbation of the selfadjoint operators

Recall that an operator $A \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is relatively bounded with respect to $T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ (or T -bounded) if $D(A) \supset D(T)$ and

$$\|Au\|_Y \leq a \|u\|_X + b \|Tu\|_Y,$$

where X, Y are Banach spaces and b is called T -bound.

Theorem C.1 ([36]). Let H be selfadjoint. If T is symmetric and H -bounded with H -bound smaller than 1, then $H + T$ is selfadjoint.

C.2 The spectral theorem of the selfadjoint operators

Let H be a separable Hilbert space and A be a selfadjoint operator. We denote H by $D(A^0)$ and endow $D(A)$ with the graph norm $\|x\|_{D(A)} := \|x\|_H + \|Ax\|_H$. Define $D(A^n)$, $n \geq 2$ by

$$D(A^n) := \{x \in D(A^{n-1}) : A^{n-1}x \in D(A)\},$$

with the graph norm

$$\|x\|_{D(A^n)} := \|x\|_H + \|A^n x\|_H.$$

Note that A^n is selfadjoint and $D(A^n)$ is a Banach space and also a Hilbert space. By induction, it's easy to check the following equivalence form,

$$D(A^n) = \begin{cases} H, & n = 0 \\ D(A), & n = 1. \\ \{x \in D(A) : Ax \in D(A), \dots, A^{n-1}x \in D(A)\}, & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Lemma C.1. *Let H be a separable Hilbert space, A be a self-adjoint operator on H with domain $D(A)$. Then there exists a measure space (Ω, μ) with μ a finite measure, a unitary operator $U : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H$ and a real-valued function $a(\xi)$ on Ω which is finite a.e. such that,*

$$(i) \quad \psi \in D(A^n) \iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^k U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad U\varphi \in D(A^n) \implies A^n U\varphi = U(a(\xi)^n \varphi), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if A is injective, we have, with $D(A^{-n}) = R(A^n)$,

$$(a) \quad \psi \in D(A^{-n}) \iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^{-k} U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(b) \quad U\varphi \in D(A^{-n}) \implies A^{-n} U\varphi = U(a(\xi)^{-n} \varphi), \quad n \geq 0.$$

In addition, the measure space (Ω, μ) and the function $a(\xi)$ can be chosen such that $a \in L^p(\Omega)$ for all p with $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Proof of (i) and (ii). The case $n = 0$ is trivial. The proof of the case $n = 1$ you can see [38]. Assume that (ii) is valid in the case $U\varphi \in D(A^{n-1})$. When $U\varphi \in D(A^n)$,

$$A^n U\varphi = AU(a(\xi)^{n-1}\varphi) = U(a(\xi)^n \varphi),$$

which completes the proof of (ii). Similarly, Assume that (i) is valid for the case $n - 1$. For the case n , by the definition of $D(A^n)$, we have

$$\psi \in D(A^n) \iff \psi \in D(A^{n-1}) \text{ and } A^{n-1}\psi \in D(A).$$

On one hand, by assumption,

$$\psi \in D(A^{n-1}) \iff \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \{a(\xi)^k U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

on the other hand,

$$A^{n-1}\psi \in D(A) \iff a(\xi)U^{-1}(A^{n-1}\psi) \in L^2(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow a(\xi)^n U^{-1}\psi \in L^2(\Omega),$$

then we obtain

$$\psi \in D(A^n) \iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^k U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega).$$

Then the proof of (i) is complete.

Proof of (a) and (b). We just need to prove the case $n \geq 1$. Recall that

$$\psi \in D(A^{-n}) \iff \exists \varphi \in D(A^n) \text{ s.t. } \psi = A^n \varphi.$$

By (ii),

$$U^{-1}\psi = U^{-1}(A^n \varphi) = a(\xi)^n U^{-1}\varphi,$$

that is

$$a(\xi)^{-n} U^{-1}\psi = U^{-1}\varphi,$$

it follows that

$$\psi \in D(A^{-n}) \iff \exists \varphi \in D(A^n) \text{ s.t. } a(\xi)^{-n} U^{-1}\psi = U^{-1}\varphi.$$

But by (i),

$$\varphi \in D(A^n) \iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^k U^{-1}\varphi\} \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \in D(A^{-n}) &\iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^{-(n-k)} U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega) \\ &\iff \bigcup_{k=0}^n \{a(\xi)^{-k} U^{-1}\psi\} \subset L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then we complete the proof of (a) and (b). \square

Note that $a(\xi) \neq 0$ a.e. on Ω which you can see the proof of Theorem VIII.4 in [38]

Appendix D Some further results of the linear (1)

D.1 Hölder regularities

Proposition D.1. For $T > 0$ and $0 < t, s < T$, let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ and $v \in L^q((0, T); H)$ where $\frac{1}{2\alpha-1} < q < \infty$. We have

$$\|Gv(t) - Gv(s)\|_H \lesssim \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'} + 4\alpha - 3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'} + 2\alpha - 1} + T^{\frac{1}{q'} - 2(1-\alpha)} \right) |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}. \quad (\text{D1})$$

Proof. Using the notations in Proposition 2.5, we first have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_H &\lesssim \int_0^s \left((s-\tau)^{\alpha-1} - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} + (t-\tau)^\alpha - (s-\tau)^\alpha \right) \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^s \left((s-\tau)^{\alpha-1} - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} + (t-\tau)^\alpha - (s-\tau)^\alpha \right)^{q'} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \left((t-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)q'} (t-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)q'} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + s^{\frac{1}{q'}} (t-s)^\alpha \right) \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \\
&\leq \left((t-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{2(\alpha-1)q'} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} + s^{\frac{1}{q'}} (t-s)^\alpha \right) \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \\
&\lesssim \left(s^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} + s^{\frac{1}{q'}} (t-s)^\alpha \right) \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \\
&\leq \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}+2\alpha-1} \right) (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|I_3\|_H \lesssim \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}+2\alpha-1} \right) (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}.$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2\|_H &\lesssim \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \\
&\leq \left(\int_s^t (t-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)q'} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \\
&\lesssim (t-s)^{\frac{1}{q'}-(1-\alpha)} \|v\|_{L_T^q H} \\
&\leq T^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|I_4\|_H \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}.$$

Then there holds

$$\|G^h v(t) - G^h v(s)\|_H \lesssim \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}+2\alpha-1} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} \right) (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_5\|_H &\lesssim \int_0^s \left((s-\tau)^{\alpha-1} - (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} + (t-\tau)^\alpha - (s-\tau)^\alpha \right) \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \\
&\lesssim \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}+2\alpha-1} \right) (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_6\|_H &\lesssim \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|v(\tau)\|_H d\tau \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\|G^l v(t) - G^l v(s)\|_H \lesssim \left(T^{\frac{1}{q'}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}+2\alpha-1} + T^{\frac{1}{q'}-2(1-\alpha)} \right) (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \|v\|_{L_T^q H}.$$

Then (D1) thus holds. \square

Theorem D.1. For $T > 0$, let $x \in H$, $F \in L^\infty((0, T); H)$ and u be the mild solution of the linear (1) on $[0, T]$, then $u \in C^\alpha([\delta, T]; H)$ for every $0 < \delta < T$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C_{[\delta, T]}^\alpha H} \lesssim T^{1-\alpha} (1 + \delta^{-1}) \|x\|_H + T \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

If moreover $x \in D(A)$, $u \in C^\alpha([0, T]; H)$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C_T^\alpha H} \lesssim (1 + T) \left(\|x\|_{D(A)} + \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H} \right). \quad (\text{D3})$$

Proof. By the representation of the mild solution that $u = S_t x + iGF(t)$ and Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.5 we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(s)\|_H &\lesssim \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |t - s| \|x\|_H + (|t - s|^\alpha + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H} \\ &\lesssim T^{1-\alpha} (1 + \delta^{-1}) |t - s|^\alpha \|x\|_H + T |t - s|^\alpha \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (D2). And for $x \in D(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(s)\|_H &\lesssim (|t - s|^\alpha + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \left(\|x\|_{D(A)} + \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H} \right) \\ &\lesssim (1 + T) |t - s|^\alpha \left(\|x\|_{D(A)} + \|F\|_{L_T^\infty H} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies (D3). \square

Theorem D.2. For $T > 0$, let $x \in H$, $F \in L^q((0, T); H)$ where $\frac{1}{2\alpha-1} < q < \infty$ and u be the mild solution the linear (1) on $[0, T]$, then $u \in C^{1-\alpha}([\delta, T]; H)$ for any $0 < \delta < T$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C_{[\delta, T]}^{1-\alpha} H} \lesssim (1 + \delta^{-1}) T^\alpha \|x\|_H + \left(T^{\frac{1}{q}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q}+2\alpha-1} + T^{\frac{1}{q}-2(1-\alpha)} \right) \|F\|_{L_T^q H}. \quad (\text{D4})$$

If moreover $x \in D(A)$, $u \in C^{1-\alpha}([0, T]; H)$ with the estimate

$$[u]_{C_T^{1-\alpha} H} \lesssim (T^{2\alpha-1} + T^{2\alpha}) \|x\|_{D(A)} + \left(T^{\frac{1}{q}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q}+2\alpha-1} + T^{\frac{1}{q}-2(1-\alpha)} \right) \|F\|_{L_T^q H}. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Proof. To simplify, let $C = T^{\frac{1}{q}+4\alpha-3} + T^{\frac{1}{q}+2\alpha-1} + T^{\frac{1}{q}-2(1-\alpha)}$. With the help of Proposition 2.2 and Proposition D.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(s)\|_H &\lesssim \left(1 + (t \wedge s)^{-1}\right) |t - s| \|x\|_H + C |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|F\|_{L_T^q H} \\ &\leq (1 + \delta^{-1}) T^\alpha |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|x\|_H + C |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|F\|_{L_T^q H} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (D4). And for $x \in D(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u(s)\|_H &\lesssim (|t - s|^\alpha + |t^{\alpha+1} - s^{\alpha+1}|) \|x\|_{D(A)} + C |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|F\|_{L_T^q H} \\ &\lesssim (T^{2\alpha-1} + T^{2\alpha}) |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|x\|_{D(A)} + C |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|F\|_{L_T^q H} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (D5). \square

D.2 Asymptotic behaviors

It's easy to show that if $x \in H$, $F \in L^\infty((0, \infty); H)$, then there is a mild solution $u \in C([0, \infty); H)$ of the linear (1) on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $u(t) = S_t x + iGF(t)$.

Theorem D.3. *If A is injective, let $x \in D(A^{-1})$, $F \in L^\infty((0, \infty); H)$ and u be the mild solution of the linear (1) on $[0, \infty)$. If there exists $F_0 \in D(A^{-1})$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \|F(\tau) - F_0\|_H d\tau = 0,$$

then u satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = -A^{-1}F_0.$$

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\|S_t x\|_H \lesssim t^{-\alpha} \|x\|_{D(A^{-1})}$$

which implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t x = 0$. On the other hand, we can divide $GF(t)$ into two parts such that

$$GF(t) = \int_0^t P_{t-\tau} (F(\tau) - F_0) d\tau + \int_0^t P_{t-\tau} F_0 d\tau =: v_1(t) + v_2(t).$$

A straightforward computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(t) &= \int_0^t P_{t-\tau} F_0 d\tau = U \left(\int_0^t b(t - \tau, \xi) d\tau U^{-1} F_0 \right) \\ &= U \left(\int_0^t ia(\xi)^{-1} \frac{d}{d\tau} a(t - \tau, \xi) d\tau U^{-1} F_0 \right) \\ &= iA^{-1}F_0 - iA^{-1}S_t F_0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's dominated theorem, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|iA^{-1}S_t F_0\|_H &\leq \|A^{-1}S_t^l F_0\|_H + \|A^{-1}S_t^h F_0\|_H \\ &\lesssim \|A^{-1}S_t^l F_0\|_H + \|t^{-\alpha} A^{-1} \mathbf{A}_t^{-1} F_0\|_H + \|A^{-1}R_t^S F_0\|_H \\ &= \|a(\xi)^{-1} \chi_t a(t, \xi) U^{-1} F_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|t^{-\alpha} a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_t^c U^{-1} F_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| t^{-2\alpha} a(\xi)^{-1} O(|a(\xi)|^{-2}) \chi_t^c U^{-1} F_0 \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_2(t) = iA^{-1}F_0$. By Assumption and (35) we obtain

$$\|v_1(t)\|_H \lesssim \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \|F(\tau) - F_0\|_H d\tau \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} GF(t) = iA^{-1}F_0$ and hence the result holds. \square

Theorem D.4. *Let $F \in L^\infty((0, \infty); H)$ and $x \in H$. If $u_\varepsilon(t)$ is the mild solution of*

$$iD_t^\alpha u_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon A u_\varepsilon(t) + F(t) = 0, \quad u_\varepsilon(0) = x, \quad (\text{D6})$$

on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(t) = x + iI_t^\alpha F(t) \quad (\text{D7})$$

on $[0, \infty)$ pointwisely.

Proof. Clearly $u_\varepsilon(t)$ exists and satisfies

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = S_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} x + i\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} F(\tau) d\tau.$$

Thanks to Lebesgue's dominated theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| S_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} x - x \right\|_H & \leq \left\| S_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}^l x - x \right\|_H + \left\| S_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}^h x \right\|_H \\
& \lesssim \left\| \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} a\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t, \xi\right) U^{-1} x - U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \varepsilon^{-1} t^{-\alpha} a(\xi)^{-1} \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& + \left\| \varepsilon^{-2} t^{-2\alpha} O(|a(\xi)|^{-2}) \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} x = x$. On the other hand, also it follows from Lebesgue's dominated theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} F(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_H \\
& \leq \left\| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)}^l F(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_H + \left\| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)}^h F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_H \\
& \lesssim \left\| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^t \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} b\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau), \xi\right) U^{-1} F(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} U^{-1} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha-1} a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)}^c U^{-1} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& + \left\| \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-2\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^{-3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)}^c U^{-1} F(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence (D7) holds. \square

Theorem D.5. *If A is injective, let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $F \in L^\infty((0, \infty); D(A^{-1}))$ be continuous and bounded on $(0, \infty)$ and $x \in H$. If u_ε is the mild solution of*

$$i\varepsilon D_t^\alpha u_\varepsilon(t) + Au_\varepsilon(t) + F(t) = 0, \quad u_\varepsilon(0) = x, \quad (\text{D8})$$

on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(t) = -A^{-1}F(t). \quad (\text{D9})$$

uniformly on $[\delta, T]$ for any $0 < \delta < T$.

Proof. Clearly $u_\varepsilon(t)$ exists and satisfies

$$u_\varepsilon(t) = S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} x + i\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} F(\tau) d\tau.$$

By Lebesgue's dominated theorem we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} x \right\|_H & \leq \left\| S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}^l x \right\|_H + \left\| S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}^h x \right\|_H \\
& \lesssim \left\| \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} a\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t, \xi\right) U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \varepsilon t^{-\alpha} a(\xi)^{-1} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& + \left\| \varepsilon^2 t^{-2\alpha} |a(\xi)|^{-2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t} U^{-1} x \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{D10})$$

and the limit is uniform on $[\delta, T]$. Dividing the second term into two parts such that

$$\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} F(\tau) d\tau = v_{1\varepsilon}(t) + v_{2\varepsilon}(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{1\varepsilon}(t) & = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} (F(\tau) - F(t)) d\tau, \\
v_{2\varepsilon}(t) & = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t-\tau)} F(t) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

a straightforward computation leads to

$$v_{2\varepsilon}(t) = iA^{-1}F(t) - iA^{-1}S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}F(t).$$

A similar way as (D11) we can prove

$$\left\| A^{-1}S_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t}F(t) \right\|_H \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on $[\delta, T]$ by the boundedness of $F(t)$ and hence $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} v_{2\varepsilon}(t) = iA^{-1}F(t)$ uniformly on $[\delta, T]$. On the other hand, we can choose r large enough and ε small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{1\varepsilon}(t) &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau} (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau} (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau} (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^r P_\tau \left(F\left(t - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) - F(t) \right) d\tau + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau} (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \\ &=: v_{1\varepsilon}^{(1)}(t) + v_{1\varepsilon}^{(2)}(t) \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of $F(t)$, for any given $\rho > 0$, we can choose ε small enough such that

$$\left\| F\left(t - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) - F(t) \right\| \lesssim \frac{\rho}{r^\alpha},$$

then from (35) it follows that

$$\left\| v_{1\varepsilon}^{(1)}(t) \right\|_H \lesssim \int_0^r \tau^{\alpha-1} \left\| F\left(t - \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) - F(t) \right\| d\tau \lesssim \rho. \quad (\text{D11})$$

For $v_{1\varepsilon}^{(2)}(t)$, we have, by a slightly careful calculation, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| v_{1\varepsilon}^{(2)}(t) \right\|_H \\ &\leq \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^l (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_H + \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^h (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_H \\ &\lesssim \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t P_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^l (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_H + \left\| \varepsilon \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \tau^{-\alpha-1} \mathbf{A}_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^{-2} (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_H \\ &+ \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t R_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^P (F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \left\| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau} b\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau, \xi\right) U^{-1}(F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&+ \left\| \varepsilon \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \tau^{-\alpha-1} a(\xi)^{-2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^c U^{-1}(F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&+ \left\| \varepsilon^2 \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \tau^{-2\alpha-1} |a(\xi)|^{-3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\tau}^c U^{-1}(F(t-\tau) - F(t)) d\tau \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\lesssim \int_{r\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^t \tau^{-1} \left\| |a(\xi)|^{-1} U^{-1}(F(t-\tau) - F(t)) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \lesssim r^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|F\|_{L_t^\infty D(A^{-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can choose r large enough and ε small enough such that

$$\left\| v_{1\varepsilon}^{(2)}(t) \right\|_H \lesssim r^{-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|F\|_{L_t^\infty D(A^{-1})} \lesssim \rho. \quad (\text{D12})$$

Combining (D11) and (D12) we obtain that for any given ρ we can choose r large enough and ε small enough such that $\|v_{1\varepsilon}(t)\|_H \lesssim \rho$. Thus $v_{1\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[\delta, T]$ and then (D9) holds. \square

5 Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Chapter 2 Fractional Integrals and Fractional Derivatives. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, pp. 69–133. North-Holland, ??? (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208\(06\)80003-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208(06)80003-4) . <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304020806800034>
- [2] Mainardi, F.: Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity. IMPERIAL COLLEGE PRESS, ??? (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1142/p614> . <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/p614>
- [3] Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations (an Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications), (1999)
- [4] Constantin, P., Saut, J.: Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations. Journal of the American Mathematical Society **1**(2), 413–439 (1988) <https://doi.org/10.4171/RMI/229>
- [5] Kenig, C.E., Ponce, G., Vega, L.: Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations. Indiana University Mathematics Journal **40**(1), 33–69 (1991). Accessed 2023-09-16

- [6] Momani, S., Odibat, Z., Alawneh, A.: Variational iteration method for solving the space and time-fractional kdv equation. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations* **24**(1), 262–271 (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1002/num.20247> <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/num.20247>
- [7] Abdulaziz, O., Hashim, I., Momani, S.: Application of homotopy-perturbation method to fractional ivps. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **216**(2), 574–584 (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2007.06.010>
- [8] Hu, J., Ye, Y., Shen, S., Zhang, J.: Lie symmetry analysis of the time fractional kdv-type equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **233**, 439–444 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.02.010>
- [9] Kato, T.: On the korteweg-de vries equation. *manuscripta mathematica* **28** (1979)
- [10] Kenig, C.E., Ponce, G., Vega, L.: Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the korteweg-de vries equation. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **4**(2), 323–347 (1991). Accessed 2023-09-16
- [11] Kenig, C.E., Koenig, K.D.: On the local well-posedness of the benjamin-ono and modified benjamin-ono equations. *Mathematical Research Letters* **10**(6), 879–895 (2003) <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2003.v10.n6.a13>
- [12] Ponce, G.: On the global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation. *Differential and Integral Equations* **4**(3), 527–542 (1991) <https://doi.org/10.57262/die/1372700427>
- [13] Achar, B.N.N., Yale, B.T., Hanneken, J.W.: Time fractional schrödinger equation revisited. *Advances in Mathematical Physics* **2013**, 123–128 (2013)
- [14] Su, X., Zhao, S., Li, M.: Local well-posedness of semilinear space-time fractional schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **479**(1), 1244–1265 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.06.077>
- [15] Peng, L., Zhou, Y., Ahmad, B.: The well-posedness for fractional nonlinear schrödinger equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **77**(7), 1998–2005 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2018.11.037>
- [16] Wang, J., Zhou, Y., Wei, W.: Fractional schrödinger equations with potential and optimal controls. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **13**(6), 2755–2766 (2012) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2012.04.004>
- [17] Kato, T.: On nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Annales de l’I.H.P. Physique théorique* **46**(1), 113–129 (1987)
- [18] Kato, T.: On nonlinear schrödinger equations, ii. h^s -solutions and unconditional well-posedness. *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique* **67** (1995)

- [19] Cazenave, T.: Semilinear Schrödinger Equations. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 10. American Mathematical Society, ??? (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1090/cln/010> . <https://hal.science/hal-02896378>
- [20] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: On a class of nonlinear schrödinger equations. i. the cauchy problem, general case. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **32**(1), 1–32 (1979) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(79\)90076-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(79)90076-4)
- [21] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: The global cauchy problem for the nonlinear schrödinger equation revisited. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **2** **2**(4), 309–327 (1985) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449\(16\)30399-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30399-7)
- [22] Bourgain, J.: Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations vol. 5, p. 3 (1999)
- [23] Laskin, N.: Fractional schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056108 (2002) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.66.056108>
- [24] Laskin, N.: Fractional quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 3135–3145 (2000) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.3135>
- [25] Laskin, N.: Fractional quantum mechanics and lévy path integrals. *Physics Letters A* **268**(4), 298–305 (2000) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601\(00\)00201-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(00)00201-2)
- [26] Laskin, N.: Fractals and quantum mechanics. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* **10**(4), 780–790 (2000) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1050284> https://pubs.aip.org/aip/cha/article-pdf/10/4/780/7861545/780_1_online.pdf
- [27] Guo, B., Han, Y., Xin, J.: Existence of the global smooth solution to the period boundary value problem of fractional nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **204**(1), 468–477 (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.07.003>
- [28] Guo, B., Huo, Z.: Global well-posedness for the fractional nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* **36**(2), 247–255 (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2010.503769> <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2010.503769>
- [29] Hong, Y., Sire, Y.: On fractional schrödinger equations in sobolev spaces. *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis* **14**(6), 2265–2282 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2015.14.2265>
- [30] Naber, M.: Time fractional Schrödinger equation. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **45**(8), 3339–3352 (2004) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1769611> https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article-pdf/45/8/3339/8173985/3339_1_online.pdf

- [31] Grande, R.: Space-time fractional nonlinear schrödinger equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* **51**(5), 4172–4212 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1247140> <https://doi.org/10.1137/19M1247140>
- [32] Zhou, Y., Peng, L., Huang, Y.: Duhamel’s formula for time-fractional schrödinger equations. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **41**(17), 8345–8349 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5222> <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/mma.5222>
- [33] Henry, D.: *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0089647>
- [34] Yagi, A.: *Abstract Parabolic Evolution Equations and Their Applications*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, ??? (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04631-5>
- [35] Medved, M.: Integral inequalities and global solutions of semilinear evolution equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **267**(2), 643–650 (2002) <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.2001.7798>
- [36] Kato, T.: *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, ??? (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-66282-9>
- [37] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Chapter 1 Preliminaries. *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 204, pp. 1–68. North-Holland, ??? (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208\(06\)80002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-0208(06)80002-2) . <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304020806800022>
- [38] Reed, M., Simon, B.: Viii - unbounded operators. In: Reed, M., Simon, B. (eds.) *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, pp. 249–317. Academic Press, ??? (1972). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-585001-8.50014-3> . <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780125850018500143>