

Asymptotic approximations for the distribution of the product of correlated normal random variables

Robert E. Gaunt* and Zixin Ye*

Abstract

We obtain asymptotic approximations for the probability density function of the product of two correlated normal random variables with non-zero means and arbitrary variances. As a consequence, we deduce asymptotic approximations for the tail probabilities and quantile functions of this distribution, as well as an asymptotic approximation for the widely used risk measures value at risk and tail value at risk.

Keywords: Product of correlated normal random variables; probability density function; tail probability; quantile function; tail value at risk; asymptotic approximation

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: Primary 41A60; 60E05; 62E15

1 Introduction

Let (X, Y) be a bivariate normal random vector with mean vector (μ_X, μ_Y) , variances (σ_X^2, σ_Y^2) and correlation coefficient ρ . The distribution of the product $Z = XY$ arises in numerous applications, with recent examples including condensed matter physics [1], astrophysics [5] and chemical physics [14]. Since the work of [7, 27] in the 1930's, the distributional theory of the product $Z = XY$ has also received much attention, with contributions coming from, amongst others, [2, 4, 13]; see [11, 19] for an overview of the literature, as well as [11] for a review of the basic distributional theory in the zero mean case $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$.

Despite the interest in the distribution of the product Z , it was not until 2016 that [8] succeeded in deriving the following exact formula for the probability density function (PDF) of Z that is valid for general $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left(\frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma_X^2} + \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} - \frac{2\rho(x + \mu_X\mu_Y)}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \frac{x^{2n-m} |x|^{m-n}}{(2n)! (\sigma_X\sigma_Y)^{n+1} (1-\rho^2)^{2n+1/2}} \binom{2n}{m} \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\rho\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^m \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} - \frac{\rho\mu_X}{\sigma_X} \right)^{2n-m} K_{m-n} \left(\frac{|x|}{(1-\rho^2)\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $K_\nu(x)$ is a modified Bessel function of the second kind (see Appendix A for a definition). If $\rho = 0$ and one of the means is equal to zero, then the PDF (1.1) simplifies to a single infinite series (see [8, 22]). Without loss of generality take $\mu_Y = 0$. Then the PDF (1.1) simplifies to

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \exp \left(-\frac{\mu_X^2}{2\sigma_X^2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_X^{2n} |x|^n}{(2n)! \sigma_X^{3n} \sigma_Y^n} K_n \left(\frac{|x|}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Department of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK, robert.gaunt@manchester.ac.uk; zixin.ye@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

Also, in the case $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$, the following simpler exact formula for the PDF of Z is available:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(\frac{\rho x}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1-\rho^2)}\right) K_0\left(\frac{|x|}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1-\rho^2)}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

which has been derived independently by [12, 19, 27], and identified as a variance-gamma distribution by [10].

The exact PDF (1.1) takes a rather complicated form, and so it is of particular interest to study the asymptotic properties of the distribution of the product Z . This serves as motivation for this paper, in which we study in detail the asymptotic properties of this distribution. We begin by obtaining asymptotic approximations for the PDF (1.1) in the limits $x \rightarrow 0$ and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2). From the asymptotic behaviour as $x \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that the distribution of the product Z is unimodal with mode at 0 for all parameter constellations. From our asymptotic approximations for the PDF in the limit $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce asymptotic approximations of the tail probabilities of the product Z (Theorem 2.3). Given the complicated form of the PDF (1.1), these asymptotic approximations may be useful in allowing researchers to obtain approximate tail probabilities in a computationally efficient and simple manner. These asymptotic approximations generalise approximations of [4, 11] for the distribution of the product of two correlated zero mean normal random variables, and complement asymptotic approximations for the PDF (see [9, 23]) and tail probabilities (see [16]) of the product of n independent zero mean normal random variables.

From our asymptotic approximations for the tail probabilities, we obtain asymptotic approximations for the quantile function of the product Z (Theorem 2.4); note that a closed-form formula for the quantile function is not available. Quantile functions are important in statistics, for example in assessing statistical significance and in Monte Carlo simulations, and in mathematical finance in which the widely used risk measures value at risk (VaR) and tail value at risk (TVaR) are expressed in terms of the quantile function (see [3, 18]). Indeed, our asymptotic approximations for the quantile function of the product Z immediately yield asymptotic approximations for VaR, and we deduce asymptotic approximations for TVaR (Corollary 2.6).

These asymptotic approximations for VaR and TVaR, together with the tail probability estimates of Theorem 2.3, could be of interest in portfolio analysis when considering the compound return of (possibly correlated) normally distributed one-period returns. Indeed, consider a portfolio with initial value v_0 at time 0, and suppose that the rate of returns R_1 and R_2 in years 1 and 2 are $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ distributed, respectively, with correlation ρ . Then the value of the portfolio V at the end of the second year is given by $V = v_0(1 + R_1)(1 + R_2) = v_0 X_1 X_2$, where $X_1 \sim N(1 + \mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $X_2 \sim (1 + \mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ are normal random variables with correlation ρ .

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2.1, we state our asymptotic approximation for the distribution of the product Z , whilst in Section 2.2 we state our asymptotic approximations for VaR and TVaR. In Section 2.3, we present numerical results in order to assess the quality of the asymptotic approximations. In Section 3, we state and prove some lemmas that are used in Section 4, in which we prove the main results from Section 2. Lastly, Appendix A collects some basic results on special functions that are used in this paper.

2 Main results

2.1 Asymptotic approximations for the distribution

The following Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 provide asymptotic approximations for the PDF (1.1) of the product $Z = XY$. To allow for more compact formulas, we let

$$C = C(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \rho) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left(\frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma_X^2} + \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} - \frac{2\rho\mu_X\mu_Y}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right) \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. Then, as $x \rightarrow 0$,*

$$f(x) \sim -\frac{C \ln|x|}{\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, the distribution of Z is unimodal with mode 0 for all parameter constellations.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y x}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 - \frac{x}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1+\rho)} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \cosh \left(\left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1+\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}} \right) \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and, as $x \rightarrow -\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y|x|}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 + \frac{x}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1-\rho)} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \cosh \left(\left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \frac{\sqrt{|x|}}{(1-\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}} \right) \{1 + O(|x|^{-1/2})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$, then the error in the asymptotic approximation (2.4) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$. If $\mu_X/\sigma_X - \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$, then the error in the approximation (2.5) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$.

From Theorem 2.2, we deduce the following asymptotic approximations for the tail probabilities of the product Z . Let $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)$ and $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x) = \mathbb{P}(Z > x)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(x) &= \frac{C(1+\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 - \frac{x}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1+\rho)} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \cosh \left(\left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1+\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}} \right) \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and, as $x \rightarrow -\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \frac{C(1-\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}}{\sqrt{2\pi|x|}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 + \frac{x}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y(1-\rho)} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \cosh \left(\left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \frac{\sqrt{|x|}}{(1-\rho)\sqrt{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}} \right) \{1 + O(|x|^{-1/2})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

If $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$, then the error in the asymptotic approximation (2.6) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$. If $\mu_X/\sigma_X - \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$, then the error in the approximation (2.7) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$.

For $0 < p < 1$, let $Q(p) = F^{-1}(p)$ denote the quantile function of the product Z . The following theorem provides asymptotic approximations for the quantile function.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. Let δ be such that $\delta = 0$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y \neq 0$. Let $q = 1 - p$. Also, let*

$$\begin{aligned} G(p) &= G(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \rho, p) \\ &= \sigma_X \sigma_Y (1 + \rho) \left\{ \ln(1/q) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho}} \left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \sqrt{\ln(1/q)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\ln(1/q)) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 + \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left(\frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma_X^2} + \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} - \frac{2\rho\mu_X\mu_Y}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right) - \delta \ln(2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Then, as $p \rightarrow 1$,

$$Q(p) = G(p) + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-p))}}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

Now let δ' be such that $\delta' = 0$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X - \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$ and $\delta' = 1$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X - \mu_Y/\sigma_Y \neq 0$. Then, as $p \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(p) &= -\sigma_X \sigma_Y (1 - \rho) \left\{ \ln(1/p) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho}} \left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right| \sqrt{\ln(1/p)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\ln(1/p)) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2\pi}{1 - \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left(\frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma_X^2} + \frac{\mu_Y^2}{\sigma_Y^2} - \frac{2\rho\mu_X\mu_Y}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \right) - \delta' \ln(2) \right\} + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/p))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/p)}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

For $\delta = 0$, the error in the asymptotic approximation (2.9) is of the smaller order $O(\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))/\ln(1/(1-p)))$. If $\delta' = 0$, then the error in the approximation (2.10) is of the smaller order $O(\ln(\ln(1/p))/\ln(1/p))$.

Remark 2.5. 1. From Proposition 2.1 we observe that the density of the product Z is locally symmetric about the mode at the origin.

2. Observe that the asymptotic approximation (2.5) can be obtained from (2.4) by replacing (μ_Y, ρ, x) by $(-\mu_Y, -\rho, -x)$. The asymptotic approximation (2.7) can be obtained from (2.6) using the same procedure. As noted in the proof of Theorem 2.2, this follows from the fact that $Z = XY =_d -X'Y'$, where (X', Y') is a bivariate normal random vector with mean vector $(\mu_X, -\mu_Y)$, variances (σ_X^2, σ_Y^2) and correlation coefficient $-\rho$.

3. We now discuss the asymptotic approximation (2.4); similar comments apply to the approximations (2.5)–(2.7). There is a significant change in the asymptotic behaviour of the PDF as $x \rightarrow \infty$ depending on whether the quantity $\Delta = \mu_X\sigma_X + \mu_Y\sigma_Y$ is zero or non-zero. Indeed, if $\Delta = 0$, then $f(x) \sim Ax^{-1/2}e^{-ax}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, a > 0$, whilst if $\Delta \neq 0$, then $f(x) \sim Ax^{-1/2}e^{-ax+b\sqrt{x}}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants $A, a, b > 0$. In particular, the tails become heavier as $|\Delta|$ increases.

We express the asymptotic approximation (2.4) in terms of the hyperbolic cosine function $\cosh(x)$ in order to give a compact formula that is valid for all possible values of Δ . If one wishes

to give an asymptotic approximation purely in terms of power functions and the exponential function, then the cases $\Delta = 0$ and $\Delta \neq 0$ would need to be treated separately. This is because for $\Delta \neq 0$ we have that $\cosh(\Delta\sqrt{x}) = (e^{\Delta\sqrt{x}} + e^{-\Delta\sqrt{x}})/2 = e^{\Delta\sqrt{x}}/2 + O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, meaning we pick up a multiplicative factor of $1/2$ rather than a multiplicative factor of $\cosh(0) = 1$ in the $\Delta = 0$ case.

4. The asymptotic approximations (2.9) and (2.10) contain the first four terms in asymptotic expansion of the quantile function $Q(p)$ in the limits $p \rightarrow 1$ and $p \rightarrow 0$, respectively. This is in spite of the fact that the approximations are derived as a consequence of the approximations of Theorem 2.3 in which just the leading term of the asymptotic expansion is present.

We also observe that the leading term in the asymptotic expansions (2.9) and (2.10) does not involve the mean parameters (μ_X, μ_Y) ; these parameters first appear in the second term in the expansion.

2.2 Application to risk measures

In mathematical finance, risk measures are used to determine the amount of assets to be kept in reserve. Risk measures are often used by regulators to ensure financial institutions have reasonable capital buffers to reduce risks of heavy losses and defaults. For further details on the applications and mathematical properties of risk measures see the seminal paper [3] and the influential book [18]. The widely used risk measures value at risk (VaR) and tail value at risk (TVaR) (also known as the expected shortfall) are expressed in terms of quantile functions. As such, we can apply the asymptotic approximations from Theorem 2.4 to derive asymptotic approximations for VaR and TVaR for the product Z , which could arise, for example, as the compound return of (possibly correlated) normally distributed one-period returns.

Let us first recall the definitions of these risk measures. Let P be a random variable denoting the price change of an asset over a specified time interval. We define the *loss* random variable to be $L = -P$. We will subsequently be considering the product Z as a loss random variable.

The VaR at level $p \in (0, 1)$ of a loss random variable L with CDF F_L is defined as

$$\text{VaR}_p(L) := F_L^{-1}(p).$$

It is the minimum loss in the $100(1 - p)\%$ of worst case scenarios.

The TVaR at level $p \in (0, 1)$ of a loss random variable L is defined by

$$\text{TVaR}_p(L) := \mathbb{E}[L \mid L \geq \text{VaR}_p(L)].$$

It is the expected loss in the $100(1 - p)\%$ of worst case scenarios. If L is a continuous random variable, then we have the useful formula

$$\text{TVaR}_p(L) = \frac{1}{1 - p} \int_p^1 \text{VaR}_t(L) dt.$$

Here we have followed the convention used in actuarial applications, by defining VaR and TVaR in terms of a loss random variable, although we note that VaR and TVaR are also alternatively defined in terms of the profit random variable P (see [3]).

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ and $-1 < \rho < 1$. Then, as $p \rightarrow 1$,*

$$\text{VaR}_p(Z) = G(p) + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1 - p)))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1 - p))}}\right), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{TVaR}_p(Z) = G(p) + \sigma_X \sigma_Y (1 + \rho) + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-p))}}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

where $G(p) = G(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \rho, p)$ is defined as in (2.8). For $\mu_X \sigma_X + \mu_Y \sigma_Y = 0$, the error in the asymptotic approximation (2.9) is of the smaller order $O(\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))/\ln(1/(1-p)))$.

Remark 2.7. From (2.11) and (2.12) we deduce that, as $p \rightarrow 1$,

$$\text{TVaR}_p(Z) - \text{VaR}_p(Z) = \sigma_X \sigma_Y (1 + \rho) + o(1),$$

and

$$\frac{\text{TVaR}_p(Z)}{\text{VaR}_p(Z)} - 1 = \frac{1}{\ln(1/(1-p))} (1 + o(1)),$$

and so the relative error between $\text{VaR}_p(Z)$ and $\text{TVaR}_p(Z)$ tends to zero as $p \rightarrow 1$. We remark that this property is shared by the exponential distribution, for which exact formulas are available for VaR and TVaR. Indeed, for $L \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ with PDF $f_L(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$, $x > 0$, we have $\text{VaR}_p(L) = \lambda^{-1} \ln(1/(1-p))$, $\text{TVaR}_p(L) = (\ln(1/(1-p)) + 1)/\lambda$ (see [20]), and so $\text{TVaR}_p(L)/\text{VaR}_p(L) - 1 = 1/\ln(1/(1-p))$.

2.3 Numerical results

Table 1 gives the relative error in approximating the PDF (1.1) of the product Z by the asymptotic approximation (2.4). Here and in all our numerical results we took $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$. For given parameters and a given x , we used *Mathematica* to compute the PDF (1.1) by truncating the infinite series at $n = 50$, which was accurate and fast to implement. Table 2 gives the relative error in approximating the tail probability $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq x)$ by the asymptotic approximation (2.6) when x is taken to be a quantile q_p for p ranging from 0.95 to 0.9999. Tables 3 and 4 give the relative error in approximating the quantile function and TVaR of the product Z by the asymptotic approximations (2.9) and (2.12), respectively. We obtained the results in Tables 2–4 by performing Monte Carlo simulations using Python. We simulated the distribution of Z by simulating two independent standard normal random variables and then used the relation $Z =_d (U + \mu_X)(\rho U + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}V + \mu_Y)$, where U and V are independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables (in the case $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$). In this manner, for given parameters, we generated 10^{10} realisations of the distribution of Z from which the results in each of Tables 2–4 were derived. We took the k -th largest realisation as the empirical quantile (where $k = \lfloor N(1-p) \rfloor + 1$), which we used to estimate $Q(p)$. We estimated TVaR using the empirical TVaR, given by $k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{j:N}$, where $x_{j:N}$ is the $(N - j + 1)$ -th largest observation from simulated values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N of Z . For some parameter regimes, the quantile $Q(0.95)$ is negative, in which case the asymptotic approximation (2.6) is not valid (it requires $x > 0$). Since the asymptotic approximations (2.9) and (2.12) for the quantile function and TVaR, respectively, are derived from the approximation (2.6) these approximations are also not valid for $p = 0.95$. These instances are denoted by N/A in the Tables 2–4.

It took 10 hours to produce Tables 2–4 using a computer server at The University of Manchester. Results are reported to 2 significant figures (s.f.) and most entries in the tables are indeed accurate to 2 s.f. (confirmed by repeating the simulations several times), although for $p = 0.9999$ we found that some of the results in Table 4 for the case $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$ were in some cases not accurate to 1 s.f. because the error from the simulations was of a non-negligible size compared to the asymptotic approximation error. Nevertheless, the presented results give a good account of the accuracy of the approximations across a range of parameter values and p -values.

Table 1: Relative error in approximating the PDF of Z by the asymptotic approximation (2.4). Here $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$. A negative number means that the approximation is less than the true value.

(μ_X, μ_Y, ρ)	x					
	2.5	5	7.5	10	12.5	15
(0,0,-0.5)	3.4E-02	1.8E-02	1.2E-02	9.1E-03	7.3E-03	6.1E-03
(0,0,-0.25)	4.1E-02	2.2E-02	1.5E-02	1.1E-02	9.1E-03	7.6E-03
(0,0,0)	4.4E-02	2.3E-02	1.6E-02	1.2E-02	9.7E-03	8.1E-03
(0,0,0.25)	4.1E-02	2.2E-02	1.5E-02	1.1E-02	9.1E-03	7.6E-03
(0,0,0.5)	3.4E-02	1.8E-02	1.2E-02	9.1E-03	7.3E-03	6.1E-03
(1,-1,-0.5)	4.4E-02	2.3E-02	1.6E-02	1.2E-02	9.7E-03	8.1E-03
(1,-1,-0.25)	6.3E-02	3.4E-02	2.3E-02	1.8E-02	1.4E-02	1.2E-02
(1,-1,0)	8.2E-02	4.5E-02	3.1E-02	2.4E-02	1.9E-02	1.6E-02
(1,-1,0.25)	1.0E-01	5.5E-02	3.8E-02	2.9E-02	2.4E-02	2.0E-02
(1,-1,0.5)	1.2E-01	6.7E-02	4.6E-02	3.5E-02	2.9E-02	2.4E-02
(2,-2,-0.5)	7.4E-02	4.0E-02	2.7E-02	2.1E-02	1.7E-02	1.4E-02
(2,-2,-0.25)	1.3E-01	7.0E-02	4.9E-02	3.7E-02	3.0E-02	2.5E-02
(2,-2,0)	1.9E-01	1.1E-01	7.5E-02	5.7E-02	4.7E-02	3.9E-02
(2,-2,0.25)	2.7E-01	1.5E-01	1.1E-01	8.2E-02	6.7E-02	5.6E-02
(2,-2,0.5)	3.7E-01	2.1E-01	1.4E-01	1.1E-01	9.0E-02	7.6E-02
(1,0,-0.5)	-8.8E-02	-7.1E-02	-6.1E-02	-5.5E-02	-5.0E-02	-4.6E-02
(1,0,-0.25)	-5.8E-02	-5.3E-02	-4.8E-02	-4.4E-02	-4.0E-02	-3.8E-02
(1,0,0)	-3.2E-02	-3.8E-02	-3.6E-02	-3.4E-02	-3.2E-02	-3.0E-02
(1,0,0.25)	-1.1E-02	-2.4E-02	-2.5E-02	-2.5E-02	-2.4E-02	-2.3E-02
(1,0,0.5)	4.9E-03	-1.3E-02	-1.6E-02	-1.7E-02	-1.7E-02	-1.7E-02
(1,1,-0.5)	-2.1E-01	-1.6E-01	-1.3E-01	-1.1E-01	-1.0E-01	-9.4E-02
(1,1,-0.25)	-1.5E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.0E-01	-9.0E-02	-8.1E-02	-7.5E-02
(1,1,0)	-1.1E-01	-8.8E-02	-7.6E-02	-6.8E-02	-6.2E-02	-5.7E-02
(1,1,-0.25)	-7.1E-02	-6.1E-02	-5.3E-02	-4.8E-02	-4.4E-02	-4.1E-02
(1,1,0.5)	-4.0E-02	-3.7E-02	-3.3E-02	-3.0E-02	-2.8E-02	-2.6E-02
(2,1,-0.5)	-3.9E-01	-2.8E-01	-2.3E-01	-2.0E-01	-1.8E-01	-1.6E-01
(2,1,-0.25)	-3.1E-01	-2.3E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.7E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.4E-01
(2,1,0)	-2.4E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.6E-01	-1.4E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.1E-01
(2,1,-0.25)	-1.9E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.3E-01	-1.1E-01	-1.0E-01	-9.4E-02
(2,1,0.5)	-1.4E-01	-1.1E-01	-9.9E-02	-8.8E-02	-8.0E-02	-7.5E-02

From Table 1 we see that the relative error in approximating the PDF by the approximation (2.4) decreases roughly according to the $x^{-1/2}$ rate when $\mu_X + \mu_Y \neq 0$, whilst it decreases roughly at a rate x^{-1} when $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$. This is consistent with the order of the error of the asymptotic approximation (2.4) as stated in Theorem 2.2. Similarly, from Tables 3 and 4 we see that the asymptotic approximations (2.9) and (2.12) for the quantile function and TVaR decrease at a faster rate with respect to p when $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$.

We see from Table 1 that the relative error in approximating the PDF by the approximation (2.4) increases as the magnitude of the means μ_X and μ_Y increase. We also see that for $(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = (1, -1), (2, -2)$ the relative error tends to increase as ρ increases from -0.5 to 0.5 , whilst the reverse is true for $(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1)$. Such trends do not seem to occur for the approximations of the quantile function and TVaR. We do, however, see that accuracy of the asymptotic approximations for the quantile function and TVaR are similar. For a given p -value, our approximations for the quantile function and TVaR tend to be more accurate than our approximation for the tail probability. This can be understood from the fact that while p may be close to 1 (meaning the approximations (2.9) and (2.12) are accurate) the quantile $x = q_p$ may be not be large enough for the approximation (2.6) to be accurate. We remark that for the parameter constellation $(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \rho) = (2, -2, 0.5)$ our approximations for the quantile

Table 2: Relative error in approximating the tail probability $\mathbb{P}(Z \geq x)$ by the asymptotic approximation (2.6). Here $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$. A negative number means that the approximation is less than the true value. N/A means that the asymptotic approximation is not valid, in which case no result is reported.

(μ_X, μ_Y, ρ)	x					
	$q_{0.95}$	$q_{0.975}$	$q_{0.99}$	$q_{0.995}$	$q_{0.999}$	$q_{0.9999}$
(0, 0, -0.5)	3.6E-01	2.7E-01	2.0E-01	1.7E-01	1.2E-01	8.8E-02
(0, 0, -0.25)	3.1E-01	2.4E-01	1.8E-01	1.6E-01	1.1E-01	8.1E-02
(0, 0, 0)	2.8E-01	2.2E-01	1.7E-01	1.4E-01	1.1E-01	7.7E-02
(0, 0, 0.25)	2.6E-01	2.0E-01	1.6E-01	1.3E-01	1.0E-01	7.3E-02
(0, 0, 0.5)	2.3E-01	1.8E-01	1.4E-01	1.2E-01	9.3E-02	6.8E-02
(1, -1, -0.5)	5.2E-01	3.6E-01	2.5E-01	2.1E-01	1.5E-01	1.0E-01
(1, -1, -0.25)	4.6E-01	3.3E-01	2.4E-01	2.0E-01	1.4E-01	9.9E-02
(1, -1, 0)	4.3E-01	3.1E-01	2.3E-01	1.9E-01	1.4E-01	9.7E-02
(1, -1, 0.25)	4.1E-01	3.0E-01	2.2E-01	1.9E-01	1.4E-01	9.7E-02
(1, -1, 0.5)	4.0E-01	3.0E-01	2.2E-01	1.9E-01	1.4E-01	9.8E-02
(2, -2, -0.5)	N/A	1.5E+00	6.0E-01	4.2E-01	2.5E-01	1.6E-01
(2, -2, -0.25)	N/A	1.3E+00	6.0E-01	4.3E-01	2.6E-01	1.7E-01
(2, -2, 0)	N/A	1.3E+00	6.2E-01	4.5E-01	2.8E-01	1.8E-01
(2, -2, 0.25)	N/A	1.3E+00	6.5E-01	4.8E-01	2.9E-01	1.9E-01
(2, -2, 0.5)	N/A	1.4E+00	6.9E-01	5.1E-01	3.2E-01	2.1E-01
(1, 0, -0.5)	-3.0E-01	-2.9E-01	-2.7E-01	-2.6E-01	-2.4E-01	-2.2E-01
(1, 0, -0.25)	-2.0E-01	-2.0E-01	-2.0E-01	-2.0E-01	-1.8E-01	-1.7E-01
(1, 0, 0)	-1.4E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.4E-01
(1, 0, 0.25)	-8.8E-02	-1.0E-01	-1.1E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.1E-01
(1, 0, 0.5)	-5.3E-02	-7.4E-02	-8.6E-02	-9.1E-02	-9.4E-02	-9.2E-02
(1, 1, -0.5)	-5.5E-01	-5.2E-01	-4.9E-01	-4.7E-01	-4.4E-01	-4.0E-01
(1, 1, -0.25)	-4.6E-01	-4.3E-01	-4.1E-01	-3.9E-01	-3.6E-01	-3.3E-01
(1, 1, 0)	-3.9E-01	-3.7E-01	-3.5E-01	-3.3E-01	-3.0E-01	-2.8E-01
(1, 1, 0.25)	-3.3E-01	-3.2E-01	-3.0E-01	-2.9E-01	-2.6E-01	-2.4E-01
(1, 1, 0.5)	-2.9E-01	-2.7E-01	-2.6E-01	-2.5E-01	-2.3E-01	-2.1E-01
(2, 1, -0.5)	-6.9E-01	-6.6E-01	-6.3E-01	-6.1E-01	-5.7E-01	-5.3E-01
(2, 1, -0.25)	-6.1E-01	-5.8E-01	-5.5E-01	-5.3E-01	-4.9E-01	-4.5E-01
(2, 1, 0)	-5.5E-01	-5.2E-01	-4.9E-01	-4.7E-01	-4.3E-01	-4.0E-01
(2, 1, 0.25)	-4.9E-01	-4.7E-01	-4.4E-01	-4.2E-01	-3.9E-01	-3.6E-01
(2, 1, 0.5)	-4.5E-01	-4.2E-01	-4.0E-01	-3.8E-01	-3.5E-01	-3.2E-01

function and TVaR were poor for all p -values we considered with relative errors well in excess of 1. Otherwise, the accuracy of our asymptotic approximations for the PDF, tail probability, quantile function and TVaR were quite reasonable, especially given that they are very simple and based on just the leading order term in the asymptotic expansion for the PDF of Z .

3 Preliminary lemmas

The following lemma collects some binomial identities from [24].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n, k \geq 0$ be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (-1)^m (m-n)^k = \begin{cases} (2n)!, & k = 2n, \\ 0, & k < 2n. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k, n \geq 1$ be positive integers, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $a + b \neq 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} a^m b^{2n-m} (m-n)^{2k} = (a+b)^{2n} \left[\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b} \right)^{2k} n^{2k} + p_{a,b,k}(n) \right],$$

Table 3: Relative error in approximating the quantile function $Q(p)$ by the asymptotic approximation (2.9). Here $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$. A negative number means that the approximation is less than the true value. N/A means that the asymptotic approximation is not valid, in which case no result is reported.

(μ_X, μ_Y, ρ)	p					
	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.999	0.9999
(0, 0, -0.5)	-8.7E-02	-5.4E-02	-3.4E-02	-2.5E-02	-1.5E-02	-8.8E-03
(0, 0, -0.25)	-5.7E-02	-3.8E-02	-2.5E-02	-1.9E-02	-1.2E-02	-7.5E-03
(0, 0, 0)	-4.2E-02	-3.0E-02	-2.0E-02	-1.6E-02	-1.0E-02	-6.5E-03
(0, 0, 0.25)	-3.3E-02	-2.5E-02	-1.8E-02	-1.4E-02	-9.4E-03	-5.9E-03
(0, 0, 0.5)	-2.8E-02	-2.2E-02	-1.6E-02	-1.3E-02	-8.8E-03	-5.6E-03
(1, -1, -0.5)	-3.5E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.1E-01	-8.4E-02	-5.0E-02	-3.0E-02
(1, -1, -0.25)	-2.6E-01	-1.6E-01	-9.7E-02	-7.4E-02	-4.6E-02	-2.9E-02
(1, -1, 0)	-1.1E-01	-6.3E-02	-3.8E-02	-2.8E-02	-1.6E-02	-9.3E-03
(1, -1, 0.25)	3.7E-01	2.6E-01	1.8E-01	1.5E-01	1.0E-01	7.3E-02
(1, -1, 0.5)	2.2E+00	1.5E+00	1.1E+00	8.9E-01	6.2E-01	4.3E-01
(2, -2, -0.5)	N/A	-3.9E+00	-8.6E-01	-4.9E-01	-2.3E-01	-1.2E-01
(2, -2, -0.25)	N/A	-2.5E+00	-7.2E-01	-4.4E-01	-2.1E-01	-1.2E-01
(2, -2, 0)	N/A	-7.1E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.1E-01	-4.6E-02	-2.1E-02
(2, -2, 0.25)	N/A	4.5E+00	1.7E+00	1.1E+00	6.5E-01	4.0E-01
(2, -2, 0.5)	N/A	2.5E+01	9.5E+00	6.4E+00	3.6E+00	2.2E+00
(1, 0, -0.5)	-1.6E-02	-2.0E-02	-2.2E-02	-2.3E-02	-2.2E-02	-2.1E-02
(1, 0, -0.25)	2.9E-02	1.8E-02	9.4E-03	5.5E-03	4.4E-04	-2.5E-03
(1, 0, 0)	6.1E-02	4.5E-02	3.2E-02	2.5E-02	1.6E-02	9.7E-03
(1, 0, 0.25)	1.3E-01	9.9E-02	7.4E-02	6.2E-02	4.4E-02	3.0E-02
(1, 0, 0.5)	4.0E-01	3.1E-01	2.3E-01	2.0E-01	1.4E-01	1.0E-01
(1, 1, -0.5)	-2.4E-01	-2.1E-01	-1.8E-01	-1.7E-01	-1.4E-01	-1.2E-01
(1, 1, -0.25)	-9.2E-02	-8.5E-02	-7.8E-02	-7.3E-02	-6.4E-02	-5.5E-02
(1, 1, 0)	5.8E-04	-8.5E-03	-1.4E-02	-1.7E-02	-1.9E-02	-1.9E-02
(1, 1, 0.25)	6.5E-02	4.5E-02	2.9E-02	2.2E-02	1.1E-02	4.5E-03
(1, 1, 0.5)	1.1E-01	8.4E-02	6.1E-02	5.0E-02	3.3E-02	2.2E-02
(2, 1, -0.5)	-4.3E-01	-3.9E-01	-3.4E-01	-3.2E-01	-2.7E-01	-2.3E-01
(2, 1, -0.25)	-2.4E-01	-2.1E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.8E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.3E-01
(2, 1, 0)	-9.5E-02	-9.1E-02	-8.5E-02	-8.1E-02	-7.3E-02	-6.4E-02
(2, 1, 0.25)	3.2E-02	1.7E-02	5.3E-03	-9.0E-05	-7.1E-03	-1.1E-02
(2, 1, 0.5)	2.3E-01	1.8E-01	1.4E-01	1.2E-01	8.9E-02	6.4E-02

where $p_{a,b,k}(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $2k - 1$ with coefficients involving the constants a , b and k .

In the following lemma, we recognise an infinite series as a generalized hypergeometric function; see Appendix A for a definition.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k \geq 1$. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} x^n = \frac{x}{2} {}_{2k-1}F_{2k} \left(\begin{matrix} 2, \dots, 2 \\ 1, \dots, 1, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{4} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} x^n = \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} x^k e^{\sqrt{x}} (1 + O(x^{-1/2})). \quad (3.14)$$

Lemma 3.4. *(i) Let $a > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp(-at + b\sqrt{t}) dt = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{x}} \exp(-ax + b\sqrt{x}) (1 + O(x^{-1/2})). \quad (3.15)$$

Table 4: Relative error in approximating TVaR by the asymptotic approximation (2.12). Here $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$. A negative number means that the approximation is less than the true value. N/A means that the asymptotic approximation is not valid, in which case no result is reported.

(μ_X, μ_Y, ρ)	p					
	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.999	0.9999
(0, 0, -0.5)	1.5E-02	7.5E-03	2.9E-03	1.2E-03	-4.6E-04	-1.1E-03
(0, 0, -0.25)	2.4E-02	1.3E-02	6.7E-03	4.1E-03	1.3E-03	-1.9E-04
(0, 0, 0)	2.8E-02	1.6E-02	8.8E-03	5.7E-03	2.3E-03	4.4E-04
(0, 0, 0.25)	3.0E-02	1.8E-02	9.9E-03	6.6E-03	2.9E-03	9.0E-04
(0, 0, 0.5)	3.0E-02	1.8E-02	1.0E-02	7.1E-03	3.2E-03	1.2E-03
(1, -1, -0.5)	-8.3E-02	-6.2E-02	-4.6E-02	-3.8E-02	-2.7E-02	-1.9E-02
(1, -1, -0.25)	-6.2E-02	-5.0E-02	-3.9E-02	-3.4E-02	-2.5E-02	-1.8E-02
(1, -1, 0)	1.3E-02	5.8E-03	1.8E-03	4.2E-04	-8.6E-04	-1.3E-03
(1, -1, 0.25)	2.9E-01	2.2E-01	1.6E-01	1.4E-01	9.9E-02	7.1E-02
(1, -1, 0.5)	1.4E+00	1.1E+00	8.4E-01	7.1E-01	5.3E-01	3.8E-01
(2, -2, -0.5)	N/A	-6.8E-01	-3.6E-01	-2.6E-01	-1.5E-01	-9.1E-02
(2, -2, -0.25)	N/A	-5.7E-01	-3.2E-01	-2.4E-01	-1.4E-01	-9.0E-02
(2, -2, 0)	N/A	-9.2E-02	-4.5E-02	-3.1E-02	-1.6E-02	-8.6E-03
(2, -2, 0.25)	N/A	1.7E+00	1.0E+00	8.0E-01	5.2E-01	3.5E-01
(2, -2, 0.5)	N/A	8.9E+00	5.6E+00	4.3E+00	2.8E+00	1.9E+00
(1, 0, -0.5)	-8.0E-02	-6.9E-02	-5.8E-02	-5.3E-02	-4.3E-02	-3.5E-02
(1, 0, -0.25)	-3.1E-02	-2.7E-02	-2.4E-02	-2.2E-02	-1.9E-02	-1.6E-02
(1, 0, 0)	3.1E-03	1.4E-03	-5.0E-05	-7.7E-04	-1.7E-03	-2.4E-03
(1, 0, 0.25)	5.9E-02	4.8E-02	3.8E-02	3.3E-02	2.4E-02	1.8E-02
(1, 0, 0.5)	2.5E-01	2.1E-01	1.7E-01	1.4E-01	1.1E-01	8.3E-02
(1, 1, -0.5)	-2.8E-01	-2.4E-01	-2.1E-01	-1.9E-01	-1.6E-01	-1.3E-01
(1, 1, -0.25)	-1.5E-01	-1.4E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.1E-01	-8.9E-02	-7.3E-02
(1, 1, 0)	-7.6E-02	-6.7E-02	-5.9E-02	-5.4E-02	-4.6E-02	-3.8E-02
(1, 1, 0.25)	-2.1E-02	-2.0E-02	-1.9E-02	-1.8E-02	-1.6E-02	-1.4E-02
(1, 1, 0.5)	2.0E-02	1.5E-02	1.0E-02	8.0E-03	4.8E-03	2.4E-03
(2, 1, -0.5)	-4.5E-01	-4.1E-01	-3.6E-01	-3.3E-01	-2.9E-01	-2.4E-01
(2, 1, -0.25)	-2.8E-01	-2.5E-01	-2.2E-01	-2.1E-01	-1.7E-01	-1.5E-01
(2, 1, 0)	-1.6E-01	-1.5E-01	-1.3E-01	-1.2E-01	-1.0E-01	-8.4E-02
(2, 1, 0.25)	-6.0E-02	-5.5E-02	-4.9E-02	-4.6E-02	-4.0E-02	-3.4E-02
(2, 1, 0.5)	9.6E-02	8.1E-02	6.7E-02	5.9E-02	4.7E-02	3.6E-02

If $b = 0$, then the error in the asymptotic approximation (3.15) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$.

(ii) Suppose that the functions g_k , $k = 1, 2$, are such that $g_k(x) = O(x^{-k/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_x^\infty \frac{g_k(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \exp(-at + b\sqrt{t}) dt = O\left(\frac{1}{x^{1/2+k/2}} \exp(-ax + b\sqrt{x})\right), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $a, A, z > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $g(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the solution x of the equation

$$\frac{A}{\sqrt{x}} \exp(-ax + b\sqrt{x})(1 + g(x)) = z, \quad (3.16)$$

and note that for sufficiently small z there is a unique solution. Then, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \ln(1/z) + \frac{b}{a^{3/2}} \sqrt{\ln(1/z)} - \frac{1}{2a} \ln(\ln(1/z)) + \frac{b^2}{4a^2} + \frac{\ln(A\sqrt{a})}{a} + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/z))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/z)}}\right). \quad (3.17)$$

Remark 3.6. In the case $b = 0$ and $g(x) = 0$ for all $x > 0$, equation (3.16) can be solved in terms of the Lambert W function, for which the asymptotic properties are well-understood; see [6].

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Suppose $a + b \neq 0$. Let

$$S_{a,b,k,n} := \frac{1}{(a+b)^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} a^m b^{2n-m} (m-n)^{2k}.$$

Let $p = a/(a+b)$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S_{a,b,k,n} &= \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2n-m} (m-n)^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{j} (-n)^{2k-j} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2m-n} m^j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where we wrote out the binomial expansion of $(m-n)^{2k}$ and then changed the order of summation. By formula (2.9) of [15] we have that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2m-n} m^j = \sum_{i=0}^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} p^i (2n)^i, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} = \sum_{r=0}^i (-1)^{i-r} \binom{j}{r} r^j$ is a Stirling number of the second kind (see [21]) and $x^d = x(x-1)\cdots(x-d+1)$ denotes the falling factorial. Note that the identity (3.19) was derived in the context of formulas for moments of the binomial distribution in which $0 < p < 1$, although the argument used by [15] is valid for $p \in \mathbb{R}$. We can develop the right-hand side of (3.19) to obtain that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} p^m (1-p)^{2m-n} m^j = \begin{cases} (2n)^j p^j + q_{p,j}(n), & j \geq 1, \\ 1, & j = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

where $q_{p,j}(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $j-1$ with coefficients involving p and j . On substituting (3.20) into (3.18) we obtain that

$$S_{a,b,k,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{j} (-n)^{2k-j} (2n)^j p^j + Q_{p,k}(n) = n^{2k} (2p-1)^{2k} + Q_{p,k}(n),$$

where $Q_{p,k}(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $2k-1$ with coefficients involving p and k , and we evaluated the sum using the binomial theorem. The lemma now follows on writing the right-hand side of the above expression in terms of a and b . \square

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We begin by writing

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} x^n = x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1)^{2k}}{(2j+2)!} x^j. \quad (3.21)$$

By the duplication formula [21, Section 5.5(iii)], we have

$$(2j+2)! = \Gamma(2j+3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2j+2} j! \Gamma(j+3/2),$$

and substituting into (3.21) we get that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} x^n = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1)^{2k}}{j! \Gamma(j+3/2)} \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^j.$$

Since $j+1 = (j+1)!/j! = (2)_j/(1)_j$ and $\Gamma(j+3/2) = \Gamma(3/2)(3/2)_j = (\sqrt{\pi}/2)(3/2)_j$, equation (3.13) follows from the series representation (A.40) of the generalized hypergeometric function. Finally, the limiting form (3.14) follows from applying the limiting form (A.41) to equation (3.13). \square

Proof of Lemma 3.4. (i) A straightforward integration gives that

$$I_{a,b}(x) := \int_x^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp(-at + b\sqrt{t}) dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{b^2/(4a)} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{2a\sqrt{x} - b}{2\sqrt{a}}\right),$$

where the complementary error function $\operatorname{erfc}(x)$ is defined in equation (A.38). Applying the asymptotic expansion (A.39) now yields that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b}(x) &= \frac{e^{b^2/(4a)}}{a\sqrt{x} - b/2} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2a\sqrt{x} - b}{2\sqrt{a}}\right)^2\right) (1 + O(x^{-1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{a\sqrt{x}} \exp(-ax + b\sqrt{x}) (1 + O(x^{-1/2})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Lastly, we note that it is clear that if $b = 0$, then the error in the asymptotic approximation (3.22) is of the smaller order $O(x^{-1})$.

(ii) This follows from a straightforward integration by parts. We omit the details. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.5. For ease of notation, we let $w = A/z$ and $h(x) = 1 + g(x)$. Rearranging equation (3.16) using basic properties of the natural logarithm gives that

$$ax - b\sqrt{x} = \ln(w) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(x) + \ln(h(x)). \quad (3.23)$$

Applying the quadratic formula and taking the positive solution, we have that

$$\sqrt{x} = \frac{1}{2a} \left(b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4a(\ln(w) - (1/2) \ln(x) + \ln(h(x)))} \right),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{4a^2} \left(2b^2 + 2b\sqrt{b^2 + 4a(\ln(w) - (1/2) \ln(x) + \ln(h(x)))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4a(\ln(w) - (1/2) \ln(x) + \ln(h(x))) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We now note that it is clear from equation (3.23) that $x = O(\ln(w))$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$, from which we deduce that $\ln(x) = O(\ln(\ln(w)))$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$, and that $\ln(h(x)) = O(1/\sqrt{\ln(w)})$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$ (recall that $\ln(1+u) = O(u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$). Applying the expansion $\sqrt{1-u} = 1 - u/2 + O(u^2)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ to (3.24) now gives that, as $w \rightarrow \infty$,

$$x = \frac{1}{4a^2} \left\{ 2b^2 + \left[4b\sqrt{a}\sqrt{\ln(w)} + \frac{b}{2\sqrt{a}} \frac{2a \ln(x) - 4a \ln(h(x)) - b^2}{\sqrt{\ln(w)}} + O\left(\frac{(\ln(x))^2}{(\ln(w))^{3/2}}\right) \right] \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4a \ln(w) - 2a \ln(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(w)}}\right) \Big\} \\
& = \frac{1}{a} \ln(w) + \frac{b}{a^{3/2}} \sqrt{\ln(w)} + \frac{b^2}{2a^2} - \frac{1}{2a} \ln(x) + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(w))}{\sqrt{\ln(w)}}\right). \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

We now recursively apply the asymptotic approximation (3.25) to obtain that, as $w \rightarrow \infty$,

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \ln(w) + \frac{b}{a^{3/2}} \sqrt{\ln(w)} + \frac{b^2}{2a^2} - \frac{1}{2a} \ln\left(\frac{1}{a} \ln(w) + r(w)\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(w))}{\sqrt{\ln(w)}}\right), \tag{3.26}$$

where $r(w) = O(\sqrt{\ln(w)})$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$. Using that $\ln(1+u) = O(u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that, as $w \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln\left(\frac{1}{a} \ln(w) + r(w)\right) &= \ln\left(\frac{1}{a} \ln(w)\right) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{ar(w)}{\ln(w)}\right) \\
&= \ln(\ln(w)) - \ln(a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(w)}}\right). \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

On inserting the asymptotic approximation (3.27) into (3.26), and recalling that $w = A/z$, we obtain that, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$x = \frac{1}{a} \ln(A/z) + \frac{b}{a^{3/2}} \sqrt{\ln(A/z)} - \frac{1}{2a} \ln(\ln(A/z)) + \frac{b^2}{4a^2} + \frac{\ln(a)}{2a} + O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln(1/z))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/z)}}\right). \tag{3.28}$$

Using our usual arguments, it is readily seen that, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sqrt{\ln(A/z)} = \sqrt{\ln(1/z)} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\ln(A)}{\ln(1/z)}} = \sqrt{\ln(1/z)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln(1/z)}}\right),$$

and

$$\ln(\ln(A/z)) = \ln(\ln(1/z)) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{\ln(A)}{\ln(1/z)}\right) = \ln(\ln(1/z)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln(1/z)}\right),$$

and applying these asymptotic approximations to (3.28) now yields the asymptotic approximation (3.17). \square

4 Proofs of main results

To ease notation, we shall prove our results for the case $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 1$, with the results for the general case $\sigma_X, \sigma_Y > 0$ following from the basic fact that $Z = XY =_d \sigma_X \sigma_Y UV$, where (U, V) is a bivariate normal random vector with mean vector $(\mu_X/\sigma_X, \mu_Y/\sigma_Y)$, variances $(1, 1)$ and correlation coefficient ρ .

Proof of Proposition 2.1. From the limiting form (A.35), we see that the term in the double sum of (1.1) with $m = n = 0$ is of order $O(\ln|x|)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, whilst all other terms are of smaller order in this limit. Applying the limiting form (A.35) to the term in the double sum with $m = n = 0$ yields the limiting form (2.3). From the limiting form (2.3) we see that the PDF of Z has a singularity at $x = 0$, and since the modified Bessel function $K_\nu(x)$ is bounded for $x \neq 0$, it

follows that the density (1.1) is bounded for $x \neq 0$, and so has a unique mode at $x = 0$ (where the density has a singularity). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We first consider the limiting behaviour as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Applying the asymptotic expansion (A.36) to the PDF (1.1) gives that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\sim M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \frac{x^n}{(2n)!(1-\rho^2)^{2n}} \binom{2n}{m} (\mu_X - \rho\mu_Y)^m (\mu_Y - \rho\mu_X)^{2n-m} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(m-n) \frac{(1-\rho^2)^k}{x^k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

where the constants $a_k(m-n)$, $k \geq 0$, are defined as in (A.37), and

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\mu_X^2 + \mu_Y^2 - 2\rho\mu_X\mu_Y}{2(1-\rho^2)} \right\} \exp \left(-\frac{x}{1+\rho} \right).$$

We shall now consider the cases $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$ and $\mu_X + \mu_Y \neq 0$ separately. First, we suppose $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$. Letting $\mu_Y = -\mu_X$ in (4.29) gives that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f(x) \sim M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_X(1+\rho))^{2n} x^n}{(2n)!(1-\rho^2)^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(m-n) \frac{(1-\rho^2)^k}{x^k}.$$

We now observe that $a_k(\nu)$ can be written as

$$a_k(\nu) = \frac{\nu^{2k}}{k!2^k} + b_k(\nu), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (4.30)$$

where $b_k(\nu) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j \nu^{2j}$, $k \geq 0$, for some constants A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{k-1} . On applying Lemma 3.1, we now obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_X(1+\rho))^{2n} x^n}{(2n)!(1-\rho^2)^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (-1)^m a_n(m-n) \frac{(1-\rho^2)^n}{x^n} \{1 + O(x^{-1})\} \\ &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_X^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (-1)^m \frac{(m-n)^{2n}}{n!2^n} \{1 + O(x^{-1})\} \\ &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_X^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \{1 + O(x^{-1})\} \\ &= M(x) \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu_X^2}{2} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) \right\} \{1 + O(x^{-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so we have derived the asymptotic approximation (2.4) for the case $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$.

Now suppose $\mu_X + \mu_Y \neq 0$. Using equation (4.30), we write the asymptotic expansion (4.29) in the following form: as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f(x) \sim f_1(x) + R_1(x),$$

where

$$f_1(x) = M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^{k-2n} x^{n-k}}{(2n)!k!2^k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (\mu_X - \rho\mu_Y)^m (\mu_Y - \rho\mu_X)^{2n-m} (m-n)^{2k}, \\
R_1(x) &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^{k-2n} x^{n-k}}{(2n)!} \\
& \times \sum_{m=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{m} (\mu_X - \rho\mu_Y)^m (\mu_Y - \rho\mu_X)^{2n-m} b_k(m-n).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall argue later that $R_1(x)/f_1(x) = O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Now we concentrate on the term $f_1(x)$. Applying Lemma 3.2 (which is permitted since we have assumed that $\mu_X + \mu_Y \neq 0$) gives that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f_1(x) \sim f_2(x) + R_2(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(x) &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^{k-2n} x^{n-k}}{(2n)! k! 2^k} ((1-\rho)(\mu_X + \mu_Y))^{2n-2k} \\
& \quad \times ((1+\rho)(\mu_X - \mu_Y))^{2k} n^{2k}, \quad (4.31) \\
R_2(x) &= M(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^{k-2n} x^{n-k}}{(2n)!} ((1-\rho)(\mu_X + \mu_Y))^{2n} c_k(n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_0(n) = 1$ and $c_k(n)$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$, are polynomials in n of degree $2k - 1$ with coefficients involving k , as well as (μ_X, μ_Y, ρ) , which we suppress in the notation. We shall argue later that $R_2(x)/f_2(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; we now focus on $f_2(x)$.

Interchanging the order of summation in (4.31) gives that

$$f_2(x) = M(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^k}{k! (2x)^k} \left(\frac{(1+\rho)(\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{(1-\rho)(\mu_X + \mu_Y)} \right)^{2k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{(\mu_X + \mu_Y)^2 x}{(1+\rho)^2} \right)^n.$$

Applying the asymptotic approximation (3.14) and simplifying now gives that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2(x) &= \frac{M(x)}{2} \exp \left(\frac{(\mu_X + \mu_Y)\sqrt{x}}{1+\rho} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) (\mu_X - \mu_Y)^2 \right)^k \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\} \\
&= \frac{M(x)}{2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right) (\mu_X - \mu_Y)^2 \right\} \exp \left(\frac{(\mu_X + \mu_Y)\sqrt{x}}{1+\rho} \right) \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\}. \quad (4.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $e^x/2 = \cosh(x) - e^{-x}/2 = \cosh(x) + O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce the asymptotic approximation (2.4).

To complete the proof of the asymptotic approximation (2.4) it remains to show that $R_1(x)/f_1(x) = O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $R_2(x)/f_2(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. We first argue that $R_2(x)/f_2(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that in deriving the asymptotic approximation (4.32) for $f_2(x)$ we used the limiting form (3.14) to obtain that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^{2k}}{(2n)!} y^n = \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} y^k e^{\sqrt{y}} \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\}, \quad (4.33)$$

where $y = (\mu_X + \mu_Y)^2 x / (1 + \rho)^2$. Recall also the constants $c_k(n)$, $k, n \geq 0$, that were introduced earlier in the proof. Since $c_0(n) = 1$ and $c_k(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $2k - 1$ for $k \geq 1$, instead of picking up the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{2k} y^n / (2n)!$ we pick up a sum of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_k(n) y^n / (2n)!$, where $C_0(n) = 1$ and, for $k \geq 1$, $C_k(n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $2k - 1$ with coefficients involving k and (μ_X, μ_Y, ρ) . Applying the limiting form (3.14) it follows that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_k(n)}{(2n)!} y^n = \frac{\gamma_k}{2^{2k}} y^{k-1/2} e^{\sqrt{y}} \{1 + O(x^{-1/2})\}, \quad (4.34)$$

where γ_k (which depends on k and (μ_X, μ_Y, ρ) but not on x and n) is the leading coefficient of the polynomial $C_k(n)$. On comparing (4.33) and (4.34), it follows that $R_2(x)/f_2(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. It is now straightforward to see that $R_1(x)/f_1(x) = O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Since $b_k(m - n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree $2k - 2$, applying Lemma 3.2 to $R_1(x)$ in the same way we did to $f_1(x)$ means that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $R_1(x) \sim R_3(x) + R_4(x)$ where $R_4(x)/R_3(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $R_3(x)$ is of a similar form to $f_2(x)$, but rather than having a factor n^{2k} in the sum has a factor that is a polynomial in n of degree $2k - 2$. By a similar argument to the one we used to show that $R_2(x)/f_2(x) = O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that $R_3(x)/R_1(x) = O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, from which it follows that $R_1(x)/f_1(x) = O(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the asymptotic approximation (2.4).

Finally, we consider the limiting behaviour as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. We observe that $Z = XY =_d -X'Y'$, where (X', Y') is a bivariate normal random vector with mean vector $(\mu_X, -\mu_Y)$, variances (σ_X^2, σ_Y^2) and correlation coefficient $-\rho$. The asymptotic approximation (2.5) thus follows from replacing (x, ρ, μ_Y) by $(-x, -\rho, -\mu_Y)$ in the asymptotic approximation (2.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. To obtain (2.6), we use that $\bar{F}(x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(t) dt$ and then apply the limiting form (2.4) followed by Lemma 3.4, treating the cases $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$ and $\mu_X + \mu_Y \neq 0$ separately, as we did in the proof of Theorem 2.2. When dealing with the case $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 0$ we first use that $\cosh(x) = e^x/2 + O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in order to apply Lemma 3.4, and then after applying the lemma we use that $e^x = \cosh(x) + O(x^{-1/2})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ to put the asymptotic approximation into the form (2.6). Note that the statements regarding the order of error in the asymptotic approximation (2.6) as $x \rightarrow \infty$ require part (ii) of Lemma 3.4. The proof of (2.7) is similar, this time making use of the formula $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt$ and the limiting form (2.5), rather than (2.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We first derive the asymptotic approximation (2.9). The quantile function $Q(p)$ satisfies the equation $\bar{F}(Q(p)) = 1 - p$. On applying the asymptotic approximation (2.6) we see that $Q(p)$ solves an equation of the form (3.16) from Lemma 3.5 with

$$z = 1 - p, \quad a = \frac{1}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y (1 + \rho)}, \quad b = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho) \sqrt{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}} \left| \frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} + \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right|,$$

$$A = \frac{C(1 + \rho) \sqrt{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}}{\epsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu_X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y} \right)^2 \right\},$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y = 0$ and $\epsilon = 2$ if $\mu_X/\sigma_X + \mu_Y/\sigma_Y \neq 0$. Applying the asymptotic approximation (3.17) with these values of z, a, b and A and simplifying now yields the asymptotic approximation (2.6).

The derivation of the asymptotic approximation (2.10) is similar, this time using the fact that $Q(p)$ satisfies the equation $F(Q(p)) = p$ and applying the asymptotic approximation (2.7); we omit the details. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.6. Since $\text{VaR}_p(Z) = F^{-1}(p) = Q(p)$, the asymptotic approximation (2.11) is immediate from (2.9). Recall that $\text{TVaR}_p(Z) = (1-p)^{-1} \int_p^1 \text{VaR}_t(Z) dt$. Applying this formula together with the asymptotic approximation (2.11) and the formula $\int_p^1 \ln(1/(1-t)) dt = (1-p)[1 + \ln(1/(1-p))]$ and the limiting forms

$$\begin{aligned} \int_p^1 \sqrt{\ln(1/(1-t))} dt &= (1-p)\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-p))} + O\left(\frac{1-p}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-p))}}\right), \quad p \rightarrow 1, \\ \int_p^1 \ln(\ln(1/(1-t))) dt &= (1-p)\ln(\ln(1/(1-p))) + O\left(\frac{1-p}{\ln(1/(1-p))}\right), \quad p \rightarrow 1, \\ \int_p^1 \frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-t)))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-t))}} dt &= O\left((1-p)\frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))}{\sqrt{\ln(1/(1-p))}}\right), \quad p \rightarrow 1, \\ \int_p^1 \frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-t)))}{\ln(1/(1-t))} dt &= O\left((1-p)\frac{\ln(\ln(1/(1-p)))}{\ln(1/(1-p))}\right), \quad p \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

(which are easily obtained by integration by parts) yields (2.12), as required. \square

5 Discussion

Over the years, there has been much interest in the distribution of the product of correlated normal random variables with non-zero means, but it was not until the work of [8] in 2016 that a closed-form formula was obtained for the PDF. This formula takes a rather complicated form. In this paper, we addressed the natural problem of deriving asymptotic approximations for the PDF. As a consequence, we were able to derive asymptotic approximations for tail probabilities, the quantile function, as well as asymptotic approximations for the risk measures VaR and TVaR. Our asymptotic approximations have yielded new theoretical insights into the asymptotic behaviour of these key distributional properties, and also allow for simple and computationally efficient approximations.

Having described the leading order asymptotic behaviour of the PDF of the product Z , a natural follow on question is to ask for further terms in the asymptotic expansion; which could in turn be used to derive further terms in the asymptotic expansions of tail probabilities, the quantile function and TVaR. Further terms in the asymptotic expansions may lead to more accurate approximations that would still be simple and computationally efficient to implement. There is scope for extending the proof of Theorem 2.2 to derive further terms in the asymptotic expansion, but the calculations would be rather involved, and this is left for a further work.

We close by noting that it is an open problem to find an exact closed-form formula for the PDF of the sum of independent copies of the product $Z = XY$ when the means of X and Y are non-zero. The mean $\bar{Z}_n = n^{-1}(Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n)$, where Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n are independent copies of Z , arises in areas such as astrophysics [17, 26], quantum cosmology [12] and electrical engineering [25]. The PDF of \bar{Z}_n in the zero-mean case $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$ is known (see [10, 17, 19]), and generalises the formula (1.2) in a simple manner with the modified Bessel function $K_0(|x|)$ replaced by $|x|^{(n-1)/2} K_{(n-1)/2}(|x|)$. It is therefore natural to conjecture that the PDF of \bar{Z}_n can also be written as an infinite series involving the modified Bessel function of the second kind, in which case the methods used in this paper may prove useful in deriving asymptotic approximations for the PDF, tail probabilities, quantile function and TVaR. We hope that our work provides motivation for researchers to address this open problem.

A Special functions

In this appendix, we define the modified Bessel function of the second kind, the complementary error function and the generalized hypergeometric function, and state some basic properties that are needed in this paper. These and further properties can be found in [21].

The *modified Bessel function of the second kind* is defined, for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$, by

$$K_\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh(t)} \cosh(\nu t) dt.$$

The modified Bessel function $K_\nu(x)$ has the following asymptotic behaviour:

$$K_\nu(x) \sim \begin{cases} 2^{|\nu|-1} \Gamma(|\nu|) x^{-|\nu|}, & x \downarrow 0, \nu \neq 0, \\ -\ln x, & x \downarrow 0, \nu = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.35})$$

$$K_\nu(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k(\nu)}{x^k}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\text{A.36})$$

where $a_0(\nu) = 1$ and

$$a_k(\nu) = \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1^2)(4\nu^2 - 3^2) \cdots (4\nu^2 - (2k-1)^2)}{k! 8^k}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.37})$$

The *complementary error function* is defined, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, by the integral

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} dt. \quad (\text{A.38})$$

It has the following asymptotic behaviour as $x \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{\sqrt{\pi x}} (1 + O(x^{-2})). \quad (\text{A.39})$$

The *generalized hypergeometric function* is defined by the power series

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; x \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_j \cdots (a_p)_j}{(b_1)_j \cdots (b_q)_j} \frac{x^j}{j!}, \quad (\text{A.40})$$

for $|x| < 1$, and by analytic continuation elsewhere. Here $(u)_j = u(u+1) \cdots (u+j-1)$ is the ascending factorial.

Let $k \geq 1$. It is readily seen from the asymptotic expansion (16.11.8) of [21] that

$${}_{2k-1}F_{2k} \left(\begin{matrix} 2, \dots, 2 \\ 1, \dots, 1, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} x^{k-1} e^{\sqrt{x}} (1 + O(x^{-1/2})), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.41})$$

Acknowledgements

ZY is supported by a University of Manchester Research Scholar Award.

References

- [1] Acharya, P., Sengupta, S., Chakraborty, B. and Ramola, K. Athermal fluctuations in disordered crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020), 168004.
- [2] Aroian, L. A. The probability function of the product of two normally distributed variables. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **18** (1947), 265–271.
- [3] Artzner, P., Delbaen, F., Eber, J. M. and Heath, D. Coherent measures of risk. *Math. Financ.* **9** (1999), 203–228.
- [4] Bandi, M. M. and Connaughton, C. Craig’s XY distribution and the statistics of Lagrangian power in two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. Rev. E* **77** (2008), 036318.
- [5] Camacho, J. D., Faria, J. P. and Viana, P. T. P. Modelling stellar activity with Gaussian process regression networks. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **519** (2023), 5439–5453.
- [6] Corless, R. M., Gonnet, G. H., Hare, D. E., Jeffrey, D. J. and Knuth, D. E. On the Lambert W function. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **5** (1996), 329–359.
- [7] Craig, C. C. On the Frequency Function of xy . *Ann. Math. Stat.* **7** (1936), 1–15.
- [8] Cui, G., Yu, X. Iommelli, S. and Kong, L. Exact Distribution for the Product of Two Correlated Gaussian Random Variables. *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.* **23** (2016), 1662–1666.
- [9] Gaunt, R. E. On Stein’s method for products of normal random variables and zero bias couplings. *Bernoulli* **23** (2017), 3311–3345.
- [10] Gaunt, R. E. A note on the distribution of the product of zero mean correlated normal random variables. *Stat. Neerl.* **73** (2019), 176–179.
- [11] Gaunt, R. E. The basic distributional theory for the product of zero mean correlated normal random variables. *Stat. Neerl.* **76** (2022), 450–470.
- [12] Grishchuk, L. P. Statistics of the microwave background anisotropies caused by the squeezed cosmological perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996), 6784.
- [13] Haldane, J. B. S. Moments of the distributions of powers and products of normal variates. *Biometrika* **32** (1942), 226–242.
- [14] Heyes, D. M., Dini, D. and Smith, E. R. Single trajectory transport coefficients and the energy landscape by molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, (2020), 194504.
- [15] Knoblauch, A. Closed-Form Expressions for the Moments of the Binomial Probability Distribution. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **69** (2008), 197–204.
- [16] Leipus, R., Šiaulyš, J., Dirma, M. and Zové, R. On the distribution-tail behaviour of the product of normal random variables. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2023), no. 32.
- [17] Mangilli, A., Plaszczynski, S. and Tristram, M. Large-scale cosmic microwave background temperature and polarization cross-spectra likelihoods. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **453** (2015), 3174–3189.
- [18] McNeil, A.J., Frey, R. and Embrechts, P. *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools-revised edition*. Princeton University Press, 2015.
- [19] Nadarajah, S. and Pogány, T. K. On the distribution of the product of correlated normal random variables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **354** (2016), 201–204.
- [20] Norton, M., Khokhlov, V. and Uryasev, S. Calculating CVaR and bPOE for common probability distributions with application to portfolio optimization and density estimation. *Ann. Oper. Res.* **299** (2021), 1281–1315.
- [21] Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F. and Clark, C. W. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [22] Simon, M. K. *Probability Distributions Involving Gaussian Random Variables A Handbook for Engineers and Scientists*. Boston-Dordrecht-London: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [23] Springer, M. D. and Thompson, W. E. The distribution of products of Beta, Gamma and Gaussian random variables. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **18** (1970), 721–737.
- [24] Ruiz, S. M. An Algebraic Identity Leading to Wilson’s Theorem. *Math. Gaz.* **80** (1996), 579–582.
- [25] Ware, R. and Lad, F. Approximating the distribution for sums of products of normal variables. Working paper, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Canterbury, 2013.
- [26] Watts, D. J., Addison, G. E., Bennett, C. L. and Weiland, J. L. Beyond Optical Depth: Future Determination of Ionization History from the Cosmic Microwave Background. *Astrophys. J.* **889**:130 (2020), 1–9.
- [27] Wishart, J. and Bartlett, M. S. The distribution of second order moment statistics in a normal system. *Proc. Camb. Philol. Soc.* **28** (1932), 455–459.