

# On the asymptotic behaviour of the quantiles in the gamma distribution

Henrik Laurberg Pedersen

October 2, 2023

## Abstract

The asymptotic behaviour of the quantiles in the gamma distribution are investigated as the shape parameter tends to zero. Some remarks about the behaviour at infinity are given.

*Keywords:* Gamma distribution, quantile, incomplete gamma function, asymptotic expansion.

*2020 MSC:* Primary 41A60; Secondary 33B20.

## 1 Introduction

The  $p$ -quantile  $m_p(x)$  in the gamma distribution of shape parameter  $x$  and scale parameter 1 is, for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , given implicitly as

$$\int_0^{m_p(x)} e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt = p\Gamma(x). \quad (1)$$

This equation can be written as  $P(x, m_p(x)) = p$ , where  $P$  is a normalized incomplete gamma function. See [5, 8.2.4]. The asymptotic behaviour at infinity of the quantiles in the gamma distribution was investigated in the papers [7] and [4] (and for the beta distribution in [8]). We complement this by an investigation at the origin and revisit the expansion at infinity via an elementary method, inspired by [2]. Notice that the main focus in [2] (and [3]) was on monotonicity properties of the median  $m = m_{1/2}$ , and the so-called Chen-Rubin conjecture.

The behaviour of  $m$  and  $m'$  at the origin was in [2] shown to be

$$m(x) \sim e^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\log 2}{x}}, \quad m'(x) \sim \frac{\log 2}{x^2} e^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\log 2}{x}} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Introducing the function  $u(x) = e^{\log 2/x} m(x)$  it thus follows that  $u(x) \rightarrow e^{-\gamma}$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$ . A plot of the function  $u$  leaves the impression that also its derivative has a finite and positive limit at zero. See Figure 1. Let us mention that the asymptotic behaviour of  $m$  and  $m'$  at the origin as displayed above is not sufficient for determining the behaviour of  $u'$ . In fact, these relations only yield that

$$x^2 u'(x) = x^2 e^{\frac{\log 2}{x}} m'(x) - (\log 2) e^{\frac{\log 2}{x}} m(x)$$




Figure 1: The graph of  $u$

tends to zero as  $x$  tends to zero.

We define, for  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $x > 0$ ,

$$u_p(x) = e^{-\frac{\log p}{x}} m_p(x). \quad (2)$$

Experimenting with plots of these functions (for different  $p$ ) indicate that their behaviour at the origin do not depend on  $p$ . This motivates the results of the paper in which the asymptotic behaviour of  $u_p$  at the origin is studied.

In Proposition 2.4 it is shown that  $u_p \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$  and that any derivative of  $u_p$  at the origin can be computed explicitly, and does not depend on  $p$ . (It follows from the implicit function theorem that  $m_p$  and hence also  $u_p$  are  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $(0, \infty)$ .) In Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 the asymptotic behaviour of  $u_p$  is used to obtain the asymptotic behaviour of  $m_p$  and its derivatives at the origin. In particular it is proved that for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$m_p^{(n)}(x) \sim \frac{(-\log p)^n}{x^{2n}} e^{-\gamma} e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

generalizing the two relations mentioned above. See [1] for the asymptotic behaviour at zero of the quantiles in the beta distribution as a function of one of the parameters.

In Appendix A some remarks on the asymptotic behaviour of  $m_p$  at infinity are given. Another procedure (compared to [7] and [4]) is described and Maple code is given. We stress that our result, Theorem A.6, is also given in [4].

Let us end the introduction with a basic result about the quantiles.

**Lemma 1.1.** *The function  $m_p$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} m_p(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} m_p(x) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The family  $\{e^{-t}t^{x-1}dt/\Gamma(x)\}_{x>0}$  is a convolution semigroup of probabilities on  $[0, \infty)$  and the assertions in the lemma hold for any convolution semigroup of probabilities on  $[0, \infty)$ . This is proved in the same way as [2, Proposition 2.1], replacing  $1/2$  by  $p$ .  $\square$

## 2 The behaviour of $u_p$ at the origin

The limit of  $u_p$  (defined in (2)) at zero is given in Proposition 2.2 and depends on the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} m_p(x)^x = p$ .*

*Proof.* Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} m_p(x)^x e^{-m_p(x)} &= x \int_0^{m_p(x)} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt - \int_0^{m_p(x)} t^x e^{-t} dt \\ &= p\Gamma(x+1) - \int_0^{m_p(x)} t^x e^{-t} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and since  $m_p(x) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$  the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *We have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u_p(x) = e^{-\gamma}$ .*

*Proof.* Differentiation of (3) yields after some manipulation

$$m_p(x)^x \ell'_p(x) e^{-m_p(x)} = p\Gamma'(x+1) - \int_0^{m_p(x)} t^x e^{-t} \log t dt,$$

where  $\ell_p(x) = x \log m_p(x)$ . From Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \ell'_p(x) = \Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$ . Applying l'Hospital's rule we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \log u_p(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ell_p(x) - \log p}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \ell'_p(x) = -\gamma$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

The function  $u_p$  appears when making the change of variable  $s = e^{-\frac{\log p}{x} t}$  in the relation (1) which then becomes

$$\int_0^{u_p(x)} e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x} + (x-1) \log s}} ds = \Gamma(x).$$

Differentiation gives us

$$\begin{aligned} u'_p(x) e^{-u_p(x) e^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} u_p(x)^{x-1} &= - \int_0^{u_p(x)} e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} s^x ds e^{\frac{\log p}{x} \frac{\log p}{x^2}} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{u_p(x)} e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} s^{x-1} \log s ds + \Gamma'(x). \end{aligned}$$

The limit of the sum of the two last terms on the right-hand side evaluates as  $\infty - \infty$ . In order to overcome this difficulty we rewrite as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} u'_p(x) e^{-u_p(x) e^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} u_p(x)^{x-1} &= - \int_0^{u_p(x)} e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} s^x ds e^{\frac{\log p}{x} \frac{\log p}{x^2}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{u_p(x)} \left(1 - e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}}\right) s^{x-1} \log s ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{u_p(x)} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} s^x \log s ds + \int_{u_p(x)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} s^x \log s ds. \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(x) &= \int_0^{u_p(x)} e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}} s^x ds, \\
I_2(x) &= \int_0^{u_p(x)} \left(1 - e^{-se^{\frac{\log p}{x}}}\right) s^{x-1} \log s ds, \\
I_3(x) &= \int_0^{u_p(x)} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} s^x \log s ds, \\
I_4(x) &= \int_{u_p(x)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} s^x \log s ds,
\end{aligned}$$

the relation above can be written as

$$u'_p(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} = -I_1(x) e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} \frac{\log p}{x^2} + I_2(x) + I_3(x) + I_4(x). \quad (4)$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u'_p(x)$  exists and equals*

$$e^{-\gamma} \left( \int_0^{e^{-\gamma}} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} \log s ds + \int_{e^{-\gamma}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \log s ds \right).$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that (writing  $u_p(0)$  instead of  $e^{-\gamma}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} I_1(x) &= u_p(0), \\
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} I_3(x) &= \int_0^{u_p(0)} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} \log s ds, \\
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} I_4(x) &= \int_{u_p(0)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \log s ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and using the estimate  $|1 - e^{-y}| \leq y$  for  $y \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} I_2(x) = 0.$$

These relations yield

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u'_p(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} = \int_0^{u_p(0)} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} \log s ds + \int_{u_p(0)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \log s ds,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u'_p(x) = u_p(0) \left( \int_0^{u_p(0)} \frac{e^{-s} - 1}{s} \log s ds + \int_{u_p(0)}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \log s ds \right).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

By differentiation of the relation (4) one obtains an involved expression containing only one term with  $u''_p(x)$ . It turns out that it is possible to compute the limit  $u''_p(0)$  and express it in terms of  $u'_p(0)$  and  $u_p(0)$ . The next step is to show that any derivative  $u_p^{(n)}(x)$  has a limit as  $x$  tends to 0, and hence that  $u_p \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The limit*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u_p^{(n)}(x)$$

*exists for any  $n \geq 0$  and is independent of  $p$ .*

The proof of this proposition is carried out by an inductive argument. In order to do so some computational lemmas are needed. We shall also use the notation  $\partial_x^j$  to denote the  $j$ th derivative when it is convenient. As one would expect, the function

$$\alpha_p(x) = e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} \quad (5)$$

plays a key role in the development. Its behaviour is determined by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\partial_x^n \left( e^{-1/x} \right) = p_n(1/x) e^{-1/x},$$

where  $p_n(t) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} a_{k,n} t^k$  with  $a_{k,n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore  $a_{2n,n} = 1$ ,  $a_{2n-1,n} = -n(n-1)$  and  $a_{2n-2,n} = n(n-1)^2(n-2)/2$ .

*Proof.* This is a standard induction argument, and the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  is easily shown to satisfy  $p_{n+1}(t) = t^2(p_n(t) - p'_n(t))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u_p^{(j)}(x)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, q$ . Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} m_p^{(j)}(x) = 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, q$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the relation (with  $\alpha_p$  as in (5))

$$m_p^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^j \binom{j}{l} \alpha_p^{(l)}(x) u_p^{(j-l)}(x),$$

combined with the fact that  $\alpha_p^{(l)}(x) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $l \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.**

$$I_1(x) = u_p(x)^{x+1} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{m_p(x)^k}{x+k+1} \right).$$

*Proof.* Using the Taylor series expansion of the exponential function we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} e^{\frac{\log p}{x} k} \int_0^{u_p(x)} s^{x+k} ds \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} e^{\frac{\log p}{x} k} \frac{u_p(x)^{x+k+1}}{x+k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired formula.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.**

$$I_2(x) = u_p(x)^x \log u_p(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k m_p(x)^{k+1}}{(k+1)! x+k+1} \\ - u_p(x)^x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k m_p(x)^{k+1}}{(k+1)! (x+k+1)^2}.$$

*Proof.* This follows the same lines as the proof of Lemma 2.7, noticing that

$$\int_0^{u_p(x)} s^{x+k} \log s \, ds = \frac{u_p(x)^{x+k+1}}{x+k+1} \log u_p(x) - \frac{u_p(x)^{x+k+1}}{(x+k+1)^2}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.9.** *Suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u_p^{(j)}(x)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, q$ . Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^j I_1(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^j \left( \frac{u_p(x)^{x+1}}{x+1} \right), \text{ and} \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^j I_2(x) = 0$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, q$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, noticing that termwise differentiation is permitted and that the limit of any term involving  $m_p(x)$  in a positive power equals zero. □

The integrals  $I_3$  and  $I_4$  are analyzed using Lemma 2.10. Here,  $u_p$  could be replaced by any positive and smooth function, and the lemma is proved by induction.

**Lemma 2.10.** *The relation*

$$\partial_x^j \int_0^{u_p(x)} g(s) \log s \, s^x \, ds = \sum_{l=1}^j \partial_x^{j-l} \left( u_p'(x) u_p(x)^x g(u_p(x)) (\log u_p(x))^l \right) \\ + \int_0^{u_p(x)} g(s) (\log s)^{j+1} s^x \, ds$$

holds when  $g \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$  and the relation

$$\partial_x^j \int_{u_p(x)}^{\infty} h(s) \log s \, s^x \, ds = - \sum_{l=1}^j \partial_x^{j-l} \left( u_p'(x) u_p(x)^x h(u_p(x)) (\log u_p(x))^l \right) \\ + \int_{u_p(x)}^{\infty} h(s) (\log s)^{j+1} s^x \, ds$$

holds when  $h \in C^\infty((0, \infty))$  and decays exponentially at infinity.

*Proof of Proposition 2.4.* Suppose that for  $j = 0, \dots, q$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^j u_p(x)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  and is independent of  $p$ . We set out to verify that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_x^{q+1} u_p(x)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$  and is independent of  $p$ . Differentiation of (4)  $q$  times yields

$$\partial_x^q \left( u_p'(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} \right) = \partial_x^q (I_1(x) \alpha_p'(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x) + I_4(x)). \quad (6)$$

The left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^q \left( u_p'(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} \right) = \\ & u_p^{(q+1)}(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{j} u_p^{(j+1)}(x) \partial_x^{q-j} \left( e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that according to Lemma 2.6,  $m_p^{(k)}(x) = o(1)$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  for  $k \leq q$ , and therefore we get

$$\partial_x^{q-j} \left( e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} \right) = \partial_x^{q-j} u_p(x)^{x-1} + o(1).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^q \left( u_p'(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} \right) &= u_p^{(q+1)}(x) e^{-m_p(x)} u_p(x)^{x-1} + \\ & \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{j} u_p^{(j+1)}(x) \partial_x^{q-j} u_p(x)^{x-1} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (6) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^q (I_1(x) \alpha_p'(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x) + I_4(x)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^q \binom{q}{j} \partial_x^j I_1(x) \alpha_p^{(1+q-j)}(x) + \partial_x^q I_2(x) + \partial_x^q I_3(x) + \partial_x^q I_4(x). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.5 it follows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \alpha_p^{(k)}(x) = 0$  for any  $k \geq 0$  and by Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we see that, with  $g(s) = (e^{-s} - 1)/s$  and  $h(s) = e^{-s}/s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^q (I_1(x) \alpha_p'(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x) + I_4(x)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^q \partial_x^{q-j} \left( u_p'(x) u_p(x)^x (g(u_p(x)) - h(u_p(x))) (\log u_p(x))^j \right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^{u_p(x)} g(s) (\log s)^{q+1} s^x ds + \int_{u_p(x)}^\infty h(s) (\log s)^{q+1} s^x ds + o(1) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^q \partial_x^{q-j} \left( u_p'(x) u_p(x)^{x-1} (\log u_p(x))^j \right) \\ & \quad + \int_0^{u_p(0)} g(s) (\log s)^{q+1} ds + \int_{u_p(0)}^\infty h(s) (\log s)^{q+1} ds + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combination of these relations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_p^{(q+1)}(x)e^{-m_p(x)}u_p(x)^{x-1} \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^q \partial_x^{q-j} (u_p'(x)u_p(x)^{x-1}(\log u_p(x))^j) - \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \binom{q}{j} u_p^{(j+1)}(x) \partial_x^{q-j} u_p(x)^{x-1} \\
&+ \int_0^{u_p(0)} g(s)(\log s)^{q+1} ds + \int_{u_p(0)}^\infty h(s)(\log s)^{q+1} ds + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

The limit, as  $x \rightarrow 0$ , of the right hand side of this relation is an expression involving  $u_p^{(j)}(0)$ ,  $j \leq q$ , which by our induction hypothesis are independent of  $p$ .  $\square$

Letting

$$s_q = \int_0^{e^{-\gamma}} g(s)(\log s)^{q+1} ds + \int_{e^{-\gamma}}^\infty h(s)(\log s)^{q+1} ds,$$

where  $g(s) = (e^{-s} - 1)/s$  and  $h(s) = e^{-s}/s$  it is straight forward to verify that

$$u_p'(0) = e^{-\gamma} s_1, \quad (7)$$

$$u_p''(0) = e^{-\gamma} (s_2 + s_1^2 + 2\gamma s_1). \quad (8)$$

### 3 Asymptotic behaviour of the quantiles at zero

The first result is simply the Taylor expansion of  $u_p$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *As  $x$  tends to 0 we have, for any  $n$ ,*

$$m_p(x) = e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{u_p^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k + O(x^{n+1}) \right).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\{p_k\}$  are the polynomials from Lemma 2.5 then the relation*

$$m_p^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-\log p)^{-k} p_k \left( -\frac{\log p}{x} \right) e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} u_p^{(n-k)}(x)$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.5 we get that

$$\partial_x^k \left( e^{\frac{\log p}{x}} \right) = (-\log p)^{-k} p_k \left( -\frac{\log p}{x} \right) e^{\frac{\log p}{x}}$$

and the result follows from Leibniz' rule.  $\square$

Proposition 3.2 gives us information on the asymptotic expansion of the derivatives of  $m_p$ :



**Corollary 3.3.** For any  $n \geq 0$  we have, recalling that  $a_{l,k}$  are the coefficients of  $p_k$  in Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\log p}{x}} m_p^{(n)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \binom{n}{k} a_{l+k,k} (-\log p)^l \frac{u_p^{(n-k+j)}(0)}{j! x^{k+l-j}} + O(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{z_{k,n}}{x^k} + O(x) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \rightarrow 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2n,n} &= e^{-\gamma} (-\log p)^n, \text{ and} \\ z_{2n-1,n} &= e^{-\gamma} (-\log p)^{n-1} (-s_1 \log p - n(n-1)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first identity follows from the expansion

$$u_p^{(n-k)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{u_p^{(n-k+j)}(0)}{j!} x^j + O(x^{2k+1})$$

and Proposition 3.2. To find  $z_{2n,n}$  we identify the set of triples  $(k, l, j)$  of indices for which  $k+l-j = 2n$ . This is  $\{(n, n, 0)\}$ . To find  $z_{2n-1,n}$  the corresponding set is  $\{(n, n, 1), (n, n-1, 0)\}$ . The formulae follow by computation, using (7).  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2n-2,n} &= e^{-\gamma} (-\log p)^{n-2} \left( \frac{(\log p)^2}{2} (s_2 + s_1^2 + 2\gamma s_1) + n(n-1) s_1 \log p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n(n-1)^2(n-2)}{2} - \frac{n^2(n-1)^2(n-2)}{2} s_1 \log p \right). \end{aligned}$$

To see this notice that the set of indices is  $\{(n, n, 2), (n, n-1, 1), (n, n-2, 0), (n-1, n-1, 0)\}$ , use (8) and Lemma 2.5.

## A Asymptotic behaviour at infinity; Maple code

The purpose of this appendix is to outline a method where the asymptotic behaviour of the function

$$\varphi_p(x) = \log \left( \frac{x}{m_p(x)} \right)$$

is used to find the asymptotic behaviour of  $m_p$ . Our method is quite elementary, basically only Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem is used. The notation

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_k}{x^k}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

means that for any  $N$ ,  $f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^N \beta_k/x^k = O(x^{-N-1})$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Inserting Euler's integral representation of  $\Gamma(x)$  in (1), changing variables to  $u = \log(x/t)$  and performing some manipulations we obtain

$$\int_0^{\varphi_p(x)} e^{-x(e^{-u}+u)} du = (1-p) \int_0^\infty e^{-x(e^{-u}+u)} du - p \int_0^\infty e^{-x(e^u-u)} du. \quad (9)$$

By multiplication by  $e^x$  and the change of variable  $s = \sqrt{x}u$  we get

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds = (1-p)J_1(x) - pJ_2(x), \quad (10)$$

where  $h$  is the positive and increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$h(u) = \frac{e^u - 1 - u}{u^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+2)!} u^k, \quad (11)$$

and

$$J_1(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds, \quad J_2(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2 h(s/\sqrt{x})} ds.$$

The asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi_p$  is found using the behaviour of the right hand side of (10) (see Proposition A.3) and by relating the behaviour of  $\varphi_p$  to the integral in the left hand side of (10). A fundamental sequence of polynomials is introduced in the next lemma (which is proved by induction).

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $n \geq 0$ . There exists a polynomial  $Q_n$  of  $n+1$  variables such that*

$$\partial_v^n e^{-s^2 h(v)} = Q_n(s, h'(v), \dots, h^{(n+1)}(v)) e^{-s^2 h(v)}.$$

For fixed  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q_n$  is an even polynomial of  $s$  of degree  $2n$ .

The first few of these polynomials are  $Q_1(s, y_1) = -s^2 y_1$ ,  $Q_2(s, y_1, y_2) = s^4 y_1^2 - s^2 y_2$  and  $Q_3(s, y_1, y_2, y_3) = -s^6 y_1^3 + 3s^4 y_1 y_2 - s^2 y_3$ .

**Lemma A.2.** *The function*

$$J(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2 h(xs)} ds$$

belongs to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$J^{(n)}(x) = \int_0^\infty s^n Q_n(s, h'(xs), \dots, h^{(n+1)}(xs)) e^{-s^2 h(xs)} ds,$$

$Q_n$  being the polynomial introduced in Lemma A.1.

*Proof.* By the chain rule,

$$\partial_x^n e^{-s^2 h(xs)} = s^n Q_n(s, h'(xs), \dots, h^{(n+1)}(xs)) e^{-s^2 h(xs)}.$$

The proof of the lemma consists now of obtaining an integrable majorant of this expression for  $-R \leq x \leq R$  where  $R > 0$  is arbitrary. Assume first that  $x \geq 0$ . For  $u \geq 0$  we have an exponential upper bound on the derivatives of  $h$ :

$$h^{(j)}(u) = \partial_u^j \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^k}{(k+2)!} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+j+2)(k+j+1)} \frac{u^k}{k!} \leq e^u.$$

Writing

$$s^n Q_n(s, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})} \alpha_{(m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})} s^{m_1} y_1^{m_2} \dots y_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}$$

we get

$$\left| \partial_x^n e^{-s^2 h(xs)} \right| \leq \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})} |\alpha_{(m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})}| s^{m_1} e^{m_2 R s} \dots e^{m_{n+1} R s} e^{-s^2/2},$$

which is integrable on  $[0, \infty)$ . Assuming that  $x \leq 0$  we remark that any derivative of  $h$  is bounded on  $(-\infty, 0]$  so that, for some polynomial  $r(s)$ ,

$$|\partial_x^n e^{-s^2 h(xs)}| \leq r(s) e^{-s^2 h(xs)} \leq r(s) e^{-s^2 h(-Rs)},$$

which is integrable on  $[0, \infty)$ . □

To ease notation, let

$$Q_k(s) = Q_k(s, h'(0), \dots, h^{(k+1)}(0)). \quad (12)$$

**Proposition A.3.** *As  $x \rightarrow \infty$  the functions  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  admit the expansions*

$$J_1(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{c_k}{x^{k/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_2(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{c_k}{x^{k/2}},$$

where

$$c_k = \int_0^{\infty} s^k Q_k(s) e^{-s^2/2} ds.$$

*Proof.* Immediate using  $J_1(x) = J(-1/\sqrt{x})$  and  $J_2(x) = J(1/\sqrt{x})$ . □

The values of  $c_k$  can be computed via the explicit formulas  $Q_k$ , the derivatives of  $h$  at the origin and the elementary formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} s^j e^{-s^2/2} ds = 2^{(j-1)/2} \Gamma((j+1)/2).$$

We remark that  $J_2(x) = x^{3/2-x} e^x \Gamma(x, x)$ , where  $\Gamma(x, x)$  is the complementary incomplete gamma function (see [5, 8.2.2]) and this can also be used to obtain the expansion of  $J_2$ . For sharp error bounds and exponential asymptotics, see [6].

Notice that when  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , the relation

$$\int_0^L e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{d_k}{x^{k/2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$d_k = \int_0^L s^k Q_k(s) e^{-s^2/2} ds.$$

is obtained directly from Lemma A.1 and Taylor expansion.

We let  $L_p$  denote the  $(1-p)$ -quantile in the standard Gaussian distribution:

$$\int_0^{L_p} e^{-s^2/2} ds = (1-2p) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s^2/2} ds = (1-2p) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}. \quad (14)$$

**Lemma A.4.** *The function  $\varphi_p$  admits an asymptotic expansion at infinity:*

$$\varphi_p(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{x^{k/2}} = \frac{L_p}{x^{1/2}} + \frac{1/3 + L_p^2/6}{x} + \frac{L_p^3/36 + 5L_p/36}{x^{3/2}} + \dots$$

*Proof.* From (10) and Proposition A.3 it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds = (1-2p) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

For  $s \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 1$ ,  $h(-s/\sqrt{x}) \geq h(-s)$  so that  $e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} \leq e^{-s^2 h(-s)} = e^{-(e^{-s} + s - 1)}$ , which is integrable. For  $s \leq 0$ ,  $h(-s/\sqrt{x}) \geq h(0) = 1/2$  so that  $e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} \leq e^{-s^2/2}$ , which is also integrable. Let  $\alpha = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)$  and choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  tending to infinity such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{x_n}\varphi_p(x_n) = \alpha$ . By dominated convergence it follows that

$$\int_0^{\alpha} e^{-s^2/2} ds = (1-2p) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

Repeating the argument using  $\beta = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)$  we see that  $\alpha = \beta = L_p$ , where  $L_p$  is given in (14) and hence that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) = L_p$ .

The next step is to rewrite relation (10) in the form

$$\int_{L_p}^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds = (1-p)J_1(x) - pJ_2(x) - \int_0^{L_p} e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds. \quad (15)$$

By (13) (with  $L = L_p$ ) and Proposition A.3 the right hand side of (15) admits the asymptotic expansion

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k}{x^{k/2}},$$

where  $A_k = ((1-p)(-1)^k c_k - p c_k - (-1)^k d_k) / k!$ . (Notice that  $A_0 = 0$ .) Looking at the left-hand side of (15), we have

$$\int_{L_p}^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} e^{-s^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} ds = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_{L_p}^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} s^k Q_k(s) e^{-s^2/2} ds \frac{1}{x^{k/2}}. \quad (16)$$

The Taylor series of  $q_k(s) = s^k Q_k(s) e^{-s^2/2}$  centered at  $L_p$  is inserted in (16) and we thus get

$$\sum_{k,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q_k^{(j)}(L_p)}{k!(j+1)!} (\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^{j+1} \frac{1}{x^{k/2}} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k}{x^{k/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^{N/2}}\right). \quad (17)$$

We prove, using (17), that there is a sequence  $\{a_k\}$  such that, for any  $N \geq 0$ ,

$$\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{a_k}{x^{k/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^{N/2}}\right). \quad (18)$$

(This proves the lemma.) For  $N = 0$  it is proved above. For  $N = 1$ , (17) yields

$$\sqrt{x} (\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_0^{(j)}(L_p)}{(j+1)!} (\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^j = A_1 + o(1).$$

Since the infinite sum on the left-hand side equals  $q_0(L_p) + o(1)$  it follows that

$$a_1 = A_1/q_0(L_p) = 1/3 (1 + L_p^2/2).$$

Assume now that (18) holds for  $N \geq 1$ . Then first of all, for  $j \geq 0$ , we have, for some numbers  $a_{j,l}$ ,

$$(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^{j+1} = \sum_{l=1+j}^{N+j} \frac{a_{j,l}}{x^{l/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^{(N+j)/2}}\right). \quad (19)$$

It follows from (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(L_p) (\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p) &= - \sum_{1 \leq k+j \leq N} \frac{(-1)^k q_k^{(j)}(L_p)}{k!(j+1)!} (\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^{j+1} \frac{1}{x^{k/2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{A_k}{x^{k/2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^{(N+1)/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^{j+1} / x^{k/2} = o(1/x^{(N+1)/2})$  for  $j+k \geq N+1$  and this shows that  $\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p$  has an asymptotic expansion up to order  $(N+1)/2$ . It also shows how to compute the coefficients  $a_k (= a_{0,k})$  recursively. Let  $T_N$  denote the triples of non-negative integers  $(k, l, j)$  such that

$$k + j \geq 1, \quad j + 1 \leq l \leq N + j, \quad k + l = N + 1.$$

Then

$$a_{N+1} = \frac{1}{q_0(L_p)} \left( \sum_{(k,l,j) \in T_N} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} q_k^{(j)}(L_p)}{k!(j+1)!} a_{j,l} + A_{N+1} \right). \quad (20)$$

Since  $T_1 = \{(1, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1)\}$  and  $a_{1,2} = a_1^2$  we find that  $a_2 = L_p^3/36 + 5L_p/36$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.5.** It is evident that also  $J'_1$  and  $J'_2$  have asymptotic expansions at infinity. From the relation

$$(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x))' e^{-(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x))^2} h(-\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)/\sqrt{x}) = (1-p)J'_1(x) - pJ'_2(x) \\ + \int_0^{\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x)} e^{-2^2 h(-s/\sqrt{x})} h'(-s/\sqrt{x}) s^3 ds \frac{1}{2x^{3/2}}$$

it follows that also  $(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x))'$  has an asymptotic expansion at infinity.

**Theorem A.6.** The  $p$ -quantile  $m_p$  has an asymptotic expansion at infinity:

$$m_p(x) \sim \sum_{n=-2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_n}{x^{n/2}} = x - L_p x^{1/2} + (L_p^2 - 1)/3 + (L_p(\tau - L_p^2)/36) x^{-1/2} + \dots$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma A.4 and the relation  $m_p(x) = x e^{-\varphi_p(x)}$ .  $\square$

This result was obtained in [4, Theorem 1.3], where it was also obtained that the coefficient  $\tau_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n + 2$  with rational coefficients independent of  $p$ , evaluated at  $L_p$ . It is an even (resp. odd) polynomial when  $n$  is even (resp. odd). These facts, except the degree being  $n + 2$ , can also be obtained via the method outlined above but we skip the details.

Maple code for computing the polynomials in the expansions of  $\varphi_p$  and  $m_p$  is given below. We get (in accordance with [4, Table 2] since  $L_{1-p} = -L_p$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(t) &= -t^4/270 - t^2/810 + 8/405 \\ \tau_3(t) &= -t^5/4320 - 8t^3/1215 + 433t/38880 \\ \tau_4(t) &= t^6/17010 - t^4/840 - 923t^2/204120 + 184/25515 \\ \tau_5(t) &= 139t^7/5443200 + 1451t^5/48988800 - 289517t^3/146966400 - 289717t/146966400. \end{aligned}$$

The procedure `Qpol` computes the polynomial  $Q_k(s)$  defined in (12):

```
Qpol := proc(k, s)
  local T, l, h;
  h := v -> (exp(v) - v - 1)/v^2;
  T := 1;
  for l to k do
    T := diff(T, v) - s^2*T*diff(h(v), v);
  end do;
  limit(T, v = 0);
end proc;
```

The procedure `qkj` computes  $q_k^{(j)}(L)$  from the proof of Lemma A.4 at  $L$ :

```
qkj := proc(j, k)
  local T;
  if j = 0 then
    T := s^k*Qpol(k, s)*exp(-1/2*s^2);
  else
```

```

    T := diff(s^k*Qpol(k, s)*exp(-1/2*s^2), s $ j);
  end if;
  eval(T, s = L);
end proc;

```

The procedure `compute(j,n)` computes the coefficient  $a_{j,n}$  of  $x^{-n/2}$  in the asymptotic expansion of  $(\sqrt{x}\varphi_p(x) - L_p)^{j+1}$ .

```

compute := proc(j, n)
  local T, q;
  global a;
  T := 0;
  if j = 0 then
    T := a[0, n - j];
  else for q from 0 to n - j - 1 do
    T := T + a[j - 1, q + j]*a[0, n - j - q];
  end do;
  end if;
  T;
end proc;

```

The numbers  $A_k$  can be computed using the functions

```

c := k -> int(s^k*Qpol(k, s)*exp(-1/2*s^2), s = 0 .. infinity);
d := k -> int(s^k*Qpol(k, s)*exp(-1/2*s^2), s = 0 .. L);
A := k -> ((1 - p)*(-1)^k*c(k) - p*c(k) - (-1)^k*d(k))/k!;

```

For a given  $M$ , the coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{M+1}$  are computed using (20):

```

M := 7;
for j from 0 to M do
  for l from 0 to M do a[j, l] := 0; end do;
end do;
a[0, 1] := (1 + L^2/2)/3;
for N from 1 to M do
  S := 0;
  for k from 0 to N do
    l := N + 1 - k;
    for j from max(0, 1 - k) to l - 1 do
      a[j, l] := compute(j, l);
      S := S + (-1)^(k+1)*qk(j, k)*a[j, l]/(k!*(j+1)!);
    end do;
  end do;
  S := S + A(N + 1);
  p := 1/2 - erf(L/sqrt(2))/2;
  a[0, N + 1] := simplify(S*exp(L^2/2));
end do

for l from 0 to M + 1 do

```

```
    print(eval(a[0, 1]));  
end do
```

Finally the expansion of  $m_p$  is found using  $m_p(x) = xe^{-\phi_p(x)}$ . We found it convenient to change variable to  $y = 1/\sqrt{x}$  and use `taylor`:

```
phip_of_y := L*y + sum(a[0, 1]*y^(1 + 1), 1 = 0 .. M);  
temp := convert(taylor(exp(-phip_of_y), y = 0, M + 1), polynom);  
collect(expand(x*eval(temp, y = 1/x^(1/2))), x);
```

**Remark:** The author reports there are no competing interests to declare.

## References

- [1] D. Askitis, Asymptotic expansions of the inverse of the Beta distribution, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.03573>
- [2] C. Berg and H.L. Pedersen, The Chen-Rubin conjecture in a continuous setting, *Methods and Applications of Analysis*, **13** (2006), 63–88.
- [3] C. Berg and H.L. Pedersen, Convexity of the median in the gamma distribution, *Ark. Mat.*, **46** (2008), 1–6.
- [4] A.B. Olde Daalhuis and G. Nemes, Asymptotic expansions for the incomplete gamma function in the transition regions, *Mathematics of Computation*, **88** (2019), 1805–1827.
- [5] Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, [dlmf.nist.gov/8.2](http://dlmf.nist.gov/8.2)
- [6] G. Nemes, The resurgence properties of the incomplete gamma function I, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, **14** (2016), 631–677.
- [7] N.M. Temme, Asymptotic inversion of incomplete gamma functions, *Math. Comp.*, **58** (1992), 755–764.
- [8] N.M. Temme, Asymptotic inversion of the incomplete beta function. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **41** (1992), 145–157.

Henrik Laurberg Pedersen  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Copenhagen  
Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100  
Denmark