

# ASYMPTOTIC PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

NGUYEN DUC HUY, ANH MINH LE, VU TRONG LUONG, AND NGUYEN NGOC VIEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by using the spectral theory of functions and properties of evolution semigroups, we establish conditions on the existence, and uniqueness of asymptotic 1-periodic solutions to a class of abstract differential equations with infinite delay of the form

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t)$$

where  $A$  is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators,  $L$  is a bounded linear operator from a phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  to a Banach space  $X$ ,  $u_t$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is defined as  $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$  for  $\theta \leq 0$  and  $f$  is asymptotic 1-periodic in the sense that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t+1) - f(t)) = 0$ . A Lotka-Volterra model with diffusion and infinite delay is considered to illustrate our results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Massera Theorem (see [9]) on the connection between the boundedness and periodicity of solutions of ordinary differential equations has been extended to many classes of abstract differential equations and difference equations in general Banach spaces (see [3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13]). Especially, in [4] the authors presented an analog of Massera Theorem for asymptotic periodic solutions of linear equations

$$x'(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t), t \geq 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where the family of linear operators  $A(t)$  generates a 1-periodic process in a Banach space  $X$  and  $f$  is asymptotic 1-periodic, i.e.,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t+1) - f(t)) = 0$ . The appropriate conditions are given to ensure that (1.1) has an asymptotic 1-periodic mild solution if and only if it has an asymptotic mild solution that is bounded uniformly continuous with a precompact range. More precisely, they showed that a bounded and continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is asymptotic 1-periodic if and only if its circular spectrum  $\sigma(g)$  (see [14]) satisfies  $\sigma(g) \subset \{1\}$ . Therefore, the existence of asymptotic 1-periodic solutions is reduced to that of solutions  $x$  such that  $\sigma(x) \subset \{1\}$ . Motivated by these significant results, in this paper, we are investigating the existence and uniqueness of asymptotic 1-periodic mild solutions to a class of abstract differential equations with the infinite delay of the form

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t) \tag{1.2}$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K30, 34k13; Secondary 34K14, 34D05, 35B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Differential equations with infinite delay, Asymptotic periodic solution; Spectrum of bounded functions.

The author gratefully acknowledges the many helpful suggestions of Prof. Nguyen Van Minh during the preparation of the paper.

where  $A$  is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on  $X$ ,  $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is a bounded linear operator from an axiomatically definite phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $X$ ,  $u_t$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is defined as  $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$  for  $\theta \leq 0$  and  $f$  is asymptotic 1-periodic. To begin, let us recall that a function  $u(\cdot)$  is an asymptotic solution to Equation (1.2) if there is a continuous function  $\epsilon(\cdot)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon(t) = 0$  and

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t) + \epsilon(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will find conditions so that if Equation (1.2) has a bounded uniformly continuous solution, then it has an asymptotic periodic solution. To do this, we first recall some facts on the abstract functional differential equations with infinite delay in a uniform fading memory phase space. Then, under our appropriate assumptions, there exists an evolution semigroup  $\mathcal{U}(t, s)$  defined by  $\mathcal{U}(t, s)\phi = u_t$  the mild solution of

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + L(u_t), \quad u_s = \phi. \quad (1.3)$$

Finally, we will make use of a variation of constants formula in the phase space [17] combined with the spectral decomposition technique developed in [29] to obtain our main results, that is, if 1 is isolated in  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(1, 0))$  on the unit circle  $\Gamma$  of the complex plane, then Equation (1.2) has an asymptotic 1-periodic mild solution if and only if it has an asymptotic mild solution that is bounded and uniformly continuous with precompact range and if  $1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{U}(1, 0)) \cap \Gamma$ , such an asymptotic 1-periodic mild solution always exists and unique within a function  $g(t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ . The main results of this paper are stated in Theorems 3.6, 3.12 and 3.13.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notations.** In this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  the set of natural, real, complex numbers, respectively. Let  $X$  be a general (complex) Banach space. Then, we denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  the space of all bounded linear operators in  $X$ . The spectrum of a linear operator  $T$  in a Banach space is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ , and  $\rho(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ . As usual, we denote by  $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ ,  $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  the spaces of all  $X$ -valued bounded uniformly continuous functions and bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. We also use  $BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$  to denote  $\{g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X) : \text{range of } g \text{ is precompact}\}$  and  $\{g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X) : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0\}$ , respectively. Finally,  $\Gamma$  will stand for the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$  i.e.,  $\Gamma := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ .

Now, we give a precisely axiomatic definition of the notion of uniform fading memory space which was introduced by Hale and Kato in [5] and follow the terminology used in [6].

**2.2. Uniform fading memory phase spaces.** Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$  be a Banach space, consisting of functions mapping  $(-\infty, 0]$  into  $X$  such that

- (**A**<sub>1</sub>) There exist a positive constant  $N$  and locally bounded functions  $K(\cdot)$  and  $M(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with the property that if  $x : (-\infty, a) \mapsto X$  is continuous on  $[\sigma, a)$  with  $x_\sigma \in \mathcal{B}$  for some  $\sigma < a$ , then for all  $t \in [\sigma, a)$ ,
- (i)  $x_t \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
  - (ii)  $x_t$  is continuous in  $t$  (w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ ),

- (iii)  $N\|x(t)\| \leq \|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t - \sigma) \sup_{\sigma \leq s \leq t} \|x(s)\| + M(t - \sigma) \|x_\sigma\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  
**(A<sub>2</sub>)** If  $\{\phi^k\}$ ,  $\phi^k \in \mathcal{B}$ , converges to  $\phi$  uniformly on any compact set in  $\mathbb{R}_-$  and if  $\{\phi^k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\phi^k \rightarrow \phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([1]). The space  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a uniform fading memory space, if it satisfies **(A<sub>1</sub>)** and **(A<sub>2</sub>)** with  $K(\cdot) \equiv K$  and  $M(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in **(A<sub>1</sub>)**.

**Example 2.2.** For  $\gamma > 0$ , we define

$$C_\gamma = \left\{ \phi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X \text{ continuous such that } \lim_{\theta \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma\theta} \phi(\theta) \text{ exists in } X \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_\gamma = \sup_{\theta \leq 0} e^{\gamma\theta} \|\phi(\theta)\| \quad \text{for } \phi \in C_\gamma.$$

Then,  $C_\gamma$  is a uniform fading memory space (see [6] for the proof).

**2.3. Circular spectra of functions.** Now, in  $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  we consider the translation operator  $S$  as follows

$$[Sx](\xi) := x(1 + \xi), \quad \xi \geq 0, x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$$

One can see that  $S$  induces operators in the quotient spaces

$$\mathbb{Y} := BC(\mathbb{R}, X) / C_0(\mathbb{R}, X), \quad \mathbb{Y}^C := BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X) / C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$$

respectively, which will be denoted by  $\bar{S}$ . Moreover,  $\bar{S}$  is an isometry and so that  $\sigma(\bar{S}) \subset \Gamma$ . Then, for each  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  we define the complex function  $\mathcal{S}x(\lambda)$  in  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$  as

$$\mathcal{S}x(\lambda) := R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{x}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$$

where  $\bar{x}$  is an equivalent class in  $\mathbb{Y}$  (or,  $\mathbb{Y}^C$  respectively) which contains  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *We have the following estimate:*

$$\|\mathcal{S}x(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{\|\bar{x}\|}{|1 - |\lambda||}, \quad |\lambda| \neq 1.$$

**Definition 2.4** ([14]). The *circular spectrum* of a function  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is defined to be the set of all  $\xi_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{S}x(\lambda)$  has no analytic extension into any neighborhood of  $\xi_0$  in the complex plane. This spectrum of  $x$  is denoted by  $\sigma(x)$ . We will denote by  $\rho(x)$  the set  $\Gamma \setminus \sigma(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For each  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ ,*

$$\sigma(Qx) \subset \sigma(x),$$

*provided that  $Q$  is an operator in  $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  leaving  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$  invariant so that  $\bar{Q}$  commutes with  $\bar{S}$ .*

**2.4. Asymptotic periodic functions and their spectral characterization.** We begin this subsection with the concept of asymptotic periodic functions on the half line. It is noted that our definition of asymptotic periodicity is slightly different from the concept used in many previous works, and the period 1 is not a restriction, but just for the reader's convenience. All results can be easily stated for the general case of period.

**Definition 2.6.** A function  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is said to be *asymptotic 1-periodic* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (f(t+1) - f(t)) = 0.$$

If  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Y}$ , then we define its spectrum in the same way as in Definition 2.2. For every element  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Y}$  we denote the closed space spanned by  $\{\bar{S}^n \bar{x}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{x}}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{x}}$  is invariant under  $\bar{S}$ .

**Proposition 2.7** ([4, Proposition 3.4]). *The following assertions are valid:*

- (i) *Let  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Then,  $\sigma(x) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $x \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ ;*
- (ii) *Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Then,  $\sigma(x) \subset \{e^{ip}\}$  if and only if*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (x(t+1) - e^{ip}x(t)) = 0.$$

- (iii) *Let  $\Lambda$  be a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda} := \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Y}^C : \sigma(\bar{x}) \subset \Lambda\}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{Y}^C$ ;*
- (iv) *If  $\sigma(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma(\bar{x}) = \sigma(\bar{S}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\bar{x}}})$ ;*
- (v) *Let  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ , where  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  are disjoint closed subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_2}.$$

*Moreover, the projection associated with this direct sum commutes with any operator that commutes with the shift operator  $\bar{S}$ ;*

- (vi) *Let  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Assume that  $f'$  exists and also belongs to  $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Then,*

$$\sigma(f') \subset \sigma(f).$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**3.1. The existence of asymptotic periodic solutions.** To begin, we assume that  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ : The phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  is a uniform fading memory.

Then, we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$  be satisfied and  $z \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is an asymptotic 1-periodic function. Let  $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a function defined by  $v(t) = z_t$ . Then*

- a)  *$v$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued asymptotic 1-periodic function, and*
- b)  *$\sigma(z) = \sigma(v)$ .*

*Proof.* a) is obvious.

b) By assumption  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ , since  $z \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , there exists positive constants  $M, K$  which do not depend on  $z$  such that

$$M\|z(t)\| \leq \|z_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|z(t)\|$$

which implies

$$v \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}) \Leftrightarrow z \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
((\lambda - S)v(t))(\theta) &= ((\lambda - S)z_t)(\theta) \\
&= (\lambda z_t - z_{t+1})(\theta) \\
&= \lambda z(t + \theta) - z(t + 1 + \theta) \\
&= (\lambda - S)z(t + \theta), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now, let  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(v)$  i.e., there exists a small neighborhood  $V$  of  $\lambda_0$  such that  $R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{v}$  is analytic in  $V$ . Then, by (3.1),  $R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{z}$  is also analytic in  $V$ . This gives  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(z)$ . Thus,  $\sigma(z) \subset \sigma(v)$ . By the same argument one has  $\sigma(z) \subset \sigma(v)$  and therefore  $\sigma(z) = \sigma(v)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now, we consider abstract functional differential equation (1.2) and make the following assumptions.

(M<sub>1</sub>): The operator  $A$  is generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $X$ .

(M<sub>2</sub>):  $L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is a bounded linear operator.

Then, for any  $(\sigma, \phi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B}$ , there exists a function  $u : \mathbb{R} \mapsto X$  such that  $u_\sigma = \phi$ ,  $u$  is continuous on  $[\sigma, \infty)$  and the following relation holds:

$$u(t) = T(t - \sigma)\phi(0) + \int_\sigma^t T(t - \xi) [L(u_\xi) + f(\xi)] d\xi, \quad t \geq \sigma.$$

The function  $u$  is called a *mild solution* of Equation (1.2) through  $(\sigma, \phi)$  on  $[\sigma, \infty)$ , and denoted by  $u(\cdot, \sigma, \phi; f)$ . Also, a function  $v \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is called a mild solution of Equation (1.2) on  $\mathbb{R}$ , if  $v_t \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and it satisfies  $u(t, \sigma, v_\sigma; f) = v(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\sigma$  with  $t \geq \sigma$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A function  $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is said to be an *asymptotic mild solution* of Equation (1.2) if  $u_\sigma = \phi \in \mathcal{B}$  and there exists a function  $\epsilon \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$  such that

$$u(t) = T(t)\phi(0) + \int_\sigma^t T(t - \xi) [L(u_\xi) + f(\xi) + \epsilon(\xi)] d\xi, \quad \forall t \geq \sigma.$$

Now, for any  $t \geq s$ , we define an operator  $\mathcal{U}(t, s)$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{U}(t, s)\phi = u_t(s, \phi; 0), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then, two-parameter family  $(\mathcal{U}(t, s))_{t \geq s \geq 0}$  is a strongly continuous evolutionary process on  $\mathcal{B}$  (see [1, Section 4.2]), which is called the *solution process* of (1.2). Here, by a strongly continuous evolutionary process in a Banach space  $\mathbf{Y}$  we mean a two-parameter family of bounded linear operators  $(V(t, s))_{t \geq s}$  from  $\mathbf{Y}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $V(t, t) = I, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $V(t, s)V(s, r) = V(t, r), \forall t \geq s \geq r$ ,
- (iii) For every fixed  $y \in \mathbf{Y}$  the map

$$\{(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \eta \geq \xi\} \ni (t, s) \rightarrow V(t, s)y$$

is continuous,

- (iv) There exist positive constants  $N, \omega$  such that

$$\|V(t, s)\| \leq Ne^{\omega(t-s)}, \quad \forall t \geq s.$$

Moreover,  $(\mathcal{U}(t, s))_{t \geq s}$  is 1-periodic in the sense that

$$\mathcal{U}(t+1, s+1) = \mathcal{U}(t, s), \text{ for all } t \geq s$$

which enables us to define a *monodromy operator*  $\mathcal{P}(t) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{P}(t) := \mathcal{U}(t+1, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we will denote  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(0)$ . The nonzero eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  are called *characteristic multipliers*. The following lemma gives us important properties of monodromy operators which can be proved by modifying similar results in [9, 11]

**Lemma 3.3.** *The following assertions hold:*

- i)  $\mathcal{P}(t+1) = \mathcal{P}(t)$  for all  $t$ ; characteristic multipliers are independent of time, i.e. the nonzero eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  coincide with those of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,
- ii)  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}(t)) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{0\}$ , i.e., it is independent of  $t$ ,
- iii) If  $\lambda \in \rho(\mathcal{P})$ , then the resolvent  $R(\lambda, \mathcal{P}(t))$  is strongly continuous,
- iv) If  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the operator of multiplication by  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  in any one of the function spaces  $BUC(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{0\} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now, we introduce a function  $G^n$  defined by

$$G^n(\theta) = \begin{cases} (n\theta + 1)I, & -\frac{1}{n} \leq \theta \leq 0 \\ 0, & \theta < -\frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$

where  $n$  is any positive integer and  $I$  is the identity operator on  $X$ . Then, for  $x \in X$  one has

$$G^n x \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \|G^n x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(1)\|x\|.$$

Moreover, since the process  $(\mathcal{U}(t, s))_{t \geq s}$  is strongly continuous, the  $\mathcal{B}$ -valued function  $\mathcal{U}(t, s)G^n f(s)$  is continuous in  $s \in [0, t]$  whenever  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

The following theorem yields a representation formula for solutions of (1.2) in the phase space:

**Theorem 3.4** ([1]). *The segment  $u_t(\sigma, \phi; f)$  of solution  $u(\cdot, \sigma, \phi, f)$  of (1.2) satisfies the following relation in  $\mathcal{B}$  :*

$$u_t(\sigma, \phi; f) = \mathcal{U}(t, \sigma)\phi + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma}^t \mathcal{U}(t, s)G^n f(s)ds, \quad t \geq \sigma. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, the above limit exists uniformly for bounded  $|t - \sigma|$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and let  $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is a mild solution to Equation (1.2). Then*

$$\sigma(u) \subset \sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f). \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* By applying (3.2) one gets

$$u_{t+1} = \mathcal{U}(t+1, t)u_t + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+1} \mathcal{U}(t+1, s)G^n f(s)ds \quad (3.4)$$

here, the limit on the right hand side exists uniformly for all bounded  $t$ .  
For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$[H_n f](t) := \int_t^{t+1} \mathcal{U}(t+1, s) G^n f(s) ds, \quad f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X), t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, by Theorem 3.4

$$[H_n f](t) \rightrightarrows [Hf](t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^{t+1} \mathcal{U}(t+1, s) G^n f(s) ds. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking into account that  $H_n$  commutes with  $S$  so

$$\sigma(H_n f) \subset \sigma(Hf) \subset \sigma(f).$$

Now, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\lambda - S)v](t) &= \lambda u_t - \mathcal{U}(t+1, t)u_t + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n f \\ &= \lambda u_t - \mathcal{P}(t)u_t + Hf \end{aligned}$$

Here  $v$  is a mapping defined in Lemma 3.1.

Moreover, as in Lemma 3.3 we denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the operator of multiplication by  $\mathcal{P}(t)$ . Since  $(\mathcal{U}(t, s))_{t \geq s}$  is 1-periodic strongly continuous evolutionary process,  $\mathcal{P}(t)$  is 1-periodic and commutes with the translation operator  $S$ . Hence,

$$(\lambda - \bar{S})\bar{v} = (\lambda - \bar{\mathcal{P}})\bar{v} + H\bar{f}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let  $0 \neq \lambda_0 \notin \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f)$  and let  $V$  be a fixed small open neighborhood of  $\lambda_0$  such that

$$V \cap (\sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f)) = \emptyset.$$

Using (3.7) we obtain

$$R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{v} = R(\lambda, \mathcal{P})\bar{v} - R(\lambda, \mathcal{P})R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{H}\bar{f}.$$

This shows that  $R(\lambda, \bar{S})\bar{v}$  has an analytic extension in  $V$  i.e.  $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma(v)$ . Thus

$$\sigma(v) \subset \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f).$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 3.1 one get

$$\sigma(u) \subset \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f).$$

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Now, we present the main result of this section on the existence of asymptotic 1-periodic solutions to Equation (1.2) emanating from asymptotic mild ones.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  are satisfied and let  $\sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \{1\}$ . Assume that  $u$  be an asymptotic mild solution of (1.2) such that  $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  and  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be asymptotic 1-periodic. Then,  $u$  is asymptotic 1-periodic, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(t+1) - u(t)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is asymptotic 1-periodic,

$$\sigma(f) \subset \{1\}.$$

By Lemma 3.5,

$$\sigma(u) \subset \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f) \subset \{1\}.$$

Combine this with Proposition 2.7 to obtain that  $u$  is asymptotic 1-periodic.  $\square$

**3.2. The uniqueness of asymptotic periodic solution.** Now let  $A$  be a linear operator satisfies  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup generated by  $A$ . Then, in  $BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  we can define the *evolution semigroup*  $(\mathbf{T}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  associated with  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  by

$$[\mathbf{T}^h g](\xi) := T(h)g(\xi - h), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

By a simple argument one can show that  $(\mathbf{T}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  leaves  $BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  invariant.

Beside that, we now consider the autonomous equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax + f(t) \tag{3.8}$$

where  $f \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

For a mild solution to (3.8) we mean a continuous function  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  which satisfy the following integral equation

$$x(t) = T(t-s)x(s) + \int_s^t T(t-\xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \quad \forall t \geq s.$$

With this notion, we define an operator  $\mathcal{L} : BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) := \{x \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X) : \exists f \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X) \\ \text{such that } x \text{ is a mild solution to (3.8)}\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{L}x := f$  for  $x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Further, we define an operator  $\bar{\mathcal{L}} : \mathbb{Y}^C \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}^C$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}) := \{[x(\cdot)] : \exists \text{ a representative } \bar{x}(\cdot) \in [x(\cdot)] \text{ such that } x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})\}.$$

Then, for  $[x(\cdot)] \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\mathcal{L}})$ , we define  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}[x(\cdot)] = [\mathcal{L}\bar{x}(\cdot)]$  if  $\bar{x}(\cdot)$  is a representative of the class  $[x(\cdot)]$ .

As a consequence of [4, Lemma 3.8] with  $U(t, s) = T(t - s)$ , one has

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  be strongly continuous. Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  are well-defined linear operators;
- (ii) The evolution semigroup  $(\mathbf{T}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  that leaves  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$  invariant. Moreover,  $-\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  is the generator of its induced semigroup  $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  in  $\mathbb{Y}^C$ .

Assume that  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  be satisfied, then we can define  $\mathcal{F} : BUC(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  by the formula

$$(\mathcal{F}u)(t) := L(u_t), \quad \forall u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

**Theorem 3.8** ([2, Theorem 2.17]). *A function  $u \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be a mild solution of Equation (1.2) if and only if*

$$\mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{F}u + f.$$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $(\mathbf{T}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  be the evolution semigroup associated with a given strongly continuous semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an infinitesimal generator of  $(\mathbf{T}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  in  $BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{G}g = -\mathcal{L}g \text{ if } g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G}).$$

*Proof.* See [2, Lemma 2.1]. □



**Remark 3.10.** By [4, Lemma 3.9], for each  $h \geq 0$  and  $x \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  the following assertion is valid:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{T}^h x) \subset \sigma(x) \quad (3.9)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h \bar{x}) \subset \sigma(\bar{x}). \quad (3.10)$$

As shown below, in this case we can refine the spectral decomposition technique to get stronger assertions which usefulness will be shown in the next subsection when we deal with asymptotic periodic solutions.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  are satisfied and let  $f \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . Moreover, let  $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be mild solution to Equation (1.2). Then*

$$\sigma(f) \subset \sigma(u) \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$S\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \mathcal{F}u(\xi + 1) = Lu_{\xi+1} = LSu_{\xi} = (\mathcal{F}Su)(\xi)$$

Then,  $\mathcal{F}$  induces operators in  $\mathbb{Y}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}^C$  that will be denoted by  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ . Furthermore,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$  commutes with  $\bar{S}$  so that

$$\sigma(\bar{\mathcal{F}}\bar{u}) \subset \sigma(\bar{u}). \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.10), for each  $h > 0$  we have  $\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h \bar{u}) \subset \sigma(\bar{u})$  and therefore

$$\sigma\left(\frac{\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h \bar{u} - \bar{u}}{h}\right) \subset \sigma(\bar{u}). \quad (3.13)$$

Now, by Lemma 3.7 one has  $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  and

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h \bar{u} - \bar{u}}{h} = -\bar{f} \quad (3.14)$$

Using (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and Proposition 2.7 we obtain that

$$\sigma(\bar{f}) = \sigma(-\bar{f}) = \sigma\left(\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h \bar{u} - \bar{u}}{h} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}\bar{u}\right) \subset \sigma(\bar{u}).$$

This implies (3.11). The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  are satisfied. Let  $f \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be asymptotic 1-periodic. Then, Equation (1.2) has an asymptotic mild solution that is asymptotic 1-periodic whenever it has an asymptotic mild solution in  $BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  provided that 1 is either not in, or just an isolated point of  $\sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P})$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \{1\}$ , then every asymptotic mild solution  $u \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  of Equation (1.2) is asymptotic 1-periodic.*

*Proof.* By using Lemma 3.5 one has

$$\sigma(u) \subset \sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f).$$

Since  $f$  is asymptotic 1-periodic,  $\sigma(f) \subset \{1\}$ . We set

$$\Lambda := \sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}), \quad \Lambda_1 := \{1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_2 = \sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{1\}.$$

It is obvious that  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are closed and disjoint. Then, the proof can be done in the same way as that of [4, Theorem 3.15] with slightly changes.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Assume that*

- (i) The conditions  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  are satisfied;
- (ii) The monodromy operator  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $f$  satisfy

$$\sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cap \sigma(f) = \emptyset$$

Then, Equation (1.2) has an asymptotic mild solution  $u$  such that  $\sigma(u) \subset \sigma(f)$ . Moreover, this solution is unique within a function in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

*Proof.* Again, by using Lemma 3.5 one has

$$\sigma(u) \subset \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f).$$

Now, we define

$$\Lambda := \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cup \sigma(f), \Lambda_1 := \sigma(f) \text{ and } \Lambda_2 = \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \sigma(f).$$

Then,  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are closed and disjoint.

Let  $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  be an induced evolution semigroup in  $\mathbb{Y}$ . By Proposition 2.7,  $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  leaves  $\mathbb{Y}_\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_1}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_2}$  invariant. Moreover,

$$\bar{u} \in \mathbb{Y}_\Lambda = \mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_2}$$

Let us denote by  $\mathbf{Q}$  the projection of  $\mathbb{Y}_\Lambda$  onto  $\mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_1}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{Q}$  is commutative with the evolution semigroup  $(\bar{\mathbf{T}}^h)_{h \geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ .

By Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 3.9,  $u(\cdot) \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is a mild solution of Equation (1.2) if and only if  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  and  $\mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{F}u + f$ ,  $\mathcal{L}u = -\mathcal{G}u$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}\mathcal{L}u &= -\mathbf{Q}\mathcal{G}u = -\mathbf{Q} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbf{T}^h u - u}{h} = - \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \mathbf{Q} \frac{\mathbf{T}^h u - u}{h} \\ &= - \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathbf{T}^h \mathbf{Q}u - \mathbf{Q}u}{h} = -\mathcal{G}\mathbf{Q}u = \mathcal{L}\mathbf{Q}u. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{Q}f = f$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  commutes with  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}\mathcal{L}u &= \mathbf{Q}\mathcal{F}u + \mathbf{Q}f \\ \mathcal{L}\mathbf{Q}u &= \mathcal{F}\mathbf{Q}u + f. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.12 one can see that

$$\bar{f} = \mathbf{Q}\bar{f} = -\bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathbf{Q}\bar{u} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{Q}\bar{u} = (-\bar{\mathcal{G}} - \bar{\mathcal{F}})\mathbf{Q}\bar{u}.$$

Thus, there is a representative  $w \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  in the class  $\mathbf{Q}\bar{u}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}w + \mathcal{F}w \in \bar{f}$ . This means  $w := \mathbf{Q}u \in \mathbb{Y}_{\Lambda_1}$  is an asymptotic mild solution of Equation (1.2) with

$$\sigma(w) = \sigma(\mathbf{Q}u) \subset \Lambda_1 = \sigma(f).$$

Finally, let  $y \in BUC_C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be another asymptotic mild solution of Equation (1.2) with  $\sigma(y) \subset \sigma(f)$ . Then,  $u - y$  is an asymptotic mild solution of the homogeneous equation and

$$\sigma(u - y) \subset \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \cap \sigma(f) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore  $u - y \in C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

As a simple consequence of this theorem we have

**Corollary 3.14.** *Assume that*

- (i) The conditions  $(\mathbf{M}_0)$ ,  $(\mathbf{M}_1)$  and  $(\mathbf{M}_2)$  are satisfied;
- (ii) The monodromy operator  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $1 \notin \sigma_\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ ;

Then, for each asymptotic 1-periodic function  $f$ , Equation (1.2) has an asymptotic mild solution  $u$  that is asymptotic 1-periodic. Moreover, this solution is unique within a function in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

#### 4. EXAMPLE

To illustrate our previous results, we consider the following Lotka-Volterra model with diffusion and infinite delay

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w(t, \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 w(t, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^\theta w(t + \theta, \xi) d\theta + h(t, \xi), & t \geq 0, \xi \in [0, \pi], \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, \pi) = 0, & t \geq 0, \\ w(\theta, \xi) = w_0(\theta, \xi), & \theta \leq 0, \xi \in [0, \pi]. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

In order to establish our results, we need to introduce the required technical tools. To model this system in abstract form we consider  $X = L^2([0, \pi])$ . The operator  $A$  is defined by

$$(Az)(\xi) = \frac{d^2 z(\xi)}{d\xi^2}$$

with domain  $\mathcal{D}(A) = H_0^1(0, \pi) \cap H^2(0, \pi)$ .

It is well known that  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group of operators  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ . Moreover, the spectrum of  $A$  consists of eigenvalues  $-n^2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We model (4.1) in the phase space  $C_{\frac{1}{2}}$  (see Example 2.2) as follows.

For  $t \geq 0, \theta \leq 0, \xi \in [0, \pi]$  we define

$$u(t)(\xi) = w(t, \xi), \quad \phi(\theta)(\xi) = w_0(\theta, \xi) \text{ and } f(t)\xi = h(t, \xi).$$

Now, for  $\phi \in C_{\frac{1}{2}}$  we put

$$L\phi := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^\theta \phi(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then, (4.1) takes the following form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + L(u_t) + f(t) & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ u_0 = \phi \in C_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L\phi\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^\theta \phi(\theta) d\theta \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \cdot \sup_{\theta \leq 0} e^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \|\phi(\theta)\| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\frac{\theta}{2}} d\theta \cdot \|\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $L$  is bounded linear operator.

Now we consider corresponding homogeneous equation of (4.1) which has the form

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta} u(t + \theta) d\theta. \quad (4.3)$$

It is not easy to define the evolution semigroup  $\mathcal{U}(t, s)$  explicitly, but by the mean of spectral mapping theorem we can compute the intersection of circular spectrum of monodromy operator  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(1, 0))$  with  $\Gamma$ . We have (see [15, Section 4]) the characteristic equation  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is given by

$$\Delta(\lambda)v = \lambda v - Av - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(1+\lambda)\theta} d\theta v \quad v \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\frac{1}{2} > -1.$$

Let  $\lambda$  be such an eigenvalue. Then

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{2(1+\lambda)} = -n^2, \text{ for some } -n^2 \in \sigma_p(A).$$

We have

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{2(1+\lambda)} = -n^2 \Leftrightarrow \lambda^2 + (1+n^2)\lambda + n^2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$

Since  $1+n^2 > 0$ ,  $n^2 - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\Delta = (1+n^2)^2 - 4 \left( n^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) = (1-n^2)^2 + 2 > 0,$$

the characteristic equation has two distinct positive real roots. Thus,

$$\sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) = \emptyset.$$

Now, if we take  $f(t) = \sin \sqrt{t}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [f(t+1) - f(t)] &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sin \sqrt{t+1} - \sin \sqrt{t} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2 \sin \frac{\sqrt{t+1} - \sqrt{t}}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{\sqrt{t+1} + \sqrt{t}}{2} \right] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $f$  is asymptotic 1-periodic function.

We have  $\sigma(f) \subset \{1\}$  and

$$\sigma_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{P}) \cap \sigma(f) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, by applying Corollary 3.14 one can see that Equation (4.2) (or equivalently, Equation (4.1)) has an asymptotic mild solution  $u$  that is asymptotic 1-periodic. Moreover, this solution is unique within a function in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ .

**Acknowledgment.** This research is funded by Vietnam National University, Hanoi (VNU) under project number QG.23.47. We also would like to thank the Vietnam Institute of Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Murakami S., Naito T. and N. V. Minh, Massera's theorem for almost periodic solutions of functional differential equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (1), 2004, pp. 247-268.
- [2] N'Guerekata G.M. (2017), *Spectral Theory for Bounded Functions and Applications to Evolution Equations*, Nova Science Pub Inc, New York, United States.
- [3] Nguyen Van Minh, Hideaki Matsunaga, Nguyen Duc Huy and Vu Trong Luong, A Katznelson-Tzafiri type theorem for difference equations and applications, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (2022), 1105-1114.
- [4] Luong, Vu Trong; Van Loi, Do; Van Minh, Nguyen; Matsunaga, Hideaki A Massera theorem for asymptotic periodic solutions of periodic evolution equations, *J. Differential Equations* **329** (2022), 371-394.
- [5] Hale J. , Kato J. (1978), Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **21**, pp. 11-41.
- [6] Hino Y. , Murakami S. , Naito T. (1991), *Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay*, Springer
- [7] Y. Hino, T. Naito, N. Van Minh and J. Son Shin (2001), *Almost Periodic Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- [8] Nishikawa, Takeshi; Nguyen, Van Minh; Naito, Toshiki On the asymptotic periodic solutions of abstract functional differential equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* 47 (2004), no. 2, 307-327.
- [9] J. Massera (1950), The existence of periodic solutions of systems of differential equations, *Duke Math. J.* **17**, 457-475.
- [10] Liu, James; N'Guérékata, Gaston; Nguyen van Minh A Massera type theorem for almost automorphic solutions of differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 299 (2004), no. 2, 587-599.
- [11] Liu, Qing; Van Minh, Nguyen; Nguerekata, G.; Yuan, Rong Massera type theorems for abstract functional differential equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* 51 (2008), no. 3, 329-350.
- [12] Naito, Toshiki; Minh, Nguyen Van; Shin, Jong Son A Massera type theorem for functional differential equations with infinite delay, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* 28 (2002), no. 1, 31-49.
- [13] Zitane Mohamed, Bensouda Charaf, Massera problem for non-autonomous retarded differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 402 (2013), no. 2, 453-462.
- [14] Nguyen, Van Minh; N'Guerekata, Gaston; Siegmund, Stefan Circular spectrum and bounded solutions of periodic evolution equations, *J. Differential Equations* 246 (2009), no. 8, 3089-3108.
- [15] Naito, Toshiki; Shin, Jong Son Evolution equations with infinite delay, *Structure of functional equations and mathematical methods (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1996). Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku* No. 984 (1997), 147-160.

NGUYEN DUC HUY

VNU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, HANOI;  
144 XUAN THUY, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM  
*Email address:* huynd@vnu.edu.vn

ANH MINH LE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, HONG DUC UNIVERSITY  
565 QUANG TRUNG, DONG VE, THANH HOA, VIETNAM  
*Email address:* leanhminh@hdu.edu.vn

VNU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY AT HANOI,  
144 XUAN THUY, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM  
*Email address:* vutrongluong@gmail.com

FACULTY OF FOUNDATIONS, HAI DUONG UNIVERSITY, HAI DUONG CITY, VIETNAM  
*Email address:* uhdvienguyen.edu@gmail.com