

THE MINKOWSKI PROBLEM IN HEISENBERG GROUPS

BIN CHEN, JUAN ZHANG, PEIBIAO ZHAO, AND XIA ZHAO

ABSTRACT. As we all know, the Minkowski type problem is the cornerstone of the Brunn-Minkowski theory in Euclidean space. The Heisenberg group as a sub-Riemannian space is the simplest non-Abelian degenerate Riemannian space that is completely different from a Euclidean space. By analogy with the Minkowski type problem in Euclidean space, the Minkowski type problem in Heisenberg groups is still open. In the present paper, we develop for the first time a sub-Riemannian version of Minkowski type problem in the horizontal distributions of Heisenberg groups, and further give a positive answer to this sub-Riemannian Minkowski type problem via the variational method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Brunn-Minkowski theory of convex bodies (i.e., a compact, convex set), originated from Brunn's thesis [10] and Minkowski's paper [46], in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n has a hot research field of convex geometry for nearly a century (the books [22, 53] are excellent references for this theory). This theory centers around the study of geometric functionals of convex bodies as well as the differentials of these functionals, and depends heavily on analytic tools such as the cosine transform on the unit sphere and Monge-Ampère type equations. The differentiations of different geometric functions are geometric measures, and these geometric measures are fundamental concepts in the Brun-Minkowski theory. It is well known that Minkowski type problem is one of the important components of the Brun-Minkowski theory. A Minkowski problem, roughly speaking, is a prescribed measure problem,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C17, 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Minkowski problem, Horizontal surface area measure, Heisenberg group.

Research is supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (12271254; 12141104).

Corresponding author: Peibiao Zhao.

which is also a characterization problem for a geometric measure generated by convex bodies.

1.1. An overview of the Minkowski problem. Without doubt, the most fundamental geometric functional in the Brunn-Minkowski theory is the volume functional. Via the variation of volume functional, it produces the most important geometric measure: surface area measure. The well-known *classical Minkowski problem*, which characterizes the surface area measure, asks the necessary and sufficient conditions for a Borel measure on the unit sphere to be the surface area measure of a convex body.

More than a century ago, the classical Minkowski problem was first introduced and studied by Minkowski himself [45, 46], who demonstrated both existence and uniqueness of solutions for the problem when the given measure is either discrete or has a continuous density. Aleksandrov [1, 2] and Fenchel-Jessen [19] independently solved the problem in 1938 for arbitrary measures. Analytically, the Minkowski problem is equivalent to solving a Monge-Ampère equation. Establishing the regularity of the solution to the Minkowski problem is difficult and has led to a long series of influential works (see, for example, Lewy [33], Nirenberg [51], Cheng-Yau [16], Pogorelov [52], Caffarelli [11]).

The L_p Minkowski problem related to the L_p surface area measure, introduced in [40], is an extension of the classical Minkowski problem and has been intensively investigated and achieved great developments, see, e.g., [6, 14, 31, 32, 41, 42, 55, 62] and the references therein. The case of $p = 1$ is the classical Minkowski problem, the case of $p = 0$ is the logarithmic Minkowski problem (see [8]), and the case of $p = -n$ is the centro-affine Minkowski problem (see Chou-Wang [17], Lu-Wang [39], and Zhu [63]).

Recently, much effort has been made to develop the nonhomogeneous problem analogous to the L_p Minkowski problem; such a new problem is called the Orlicz-Minkowski problem of convex bodies (see [26]). It can be asked with the L_p surface area measure (in L_p Minkowski problem) replaced by the Orlicz surface area measure. Solutions to the Orlicz-Minkowski problem can be found in [23, 26, 29, 34] and the references therein.

Moreover, the Minkowski-type problems (i.e., classical, L_p and Orlicz Minkowski problems) also have dual analogues. It is only very recent that

the dual curvature measures, which are dual to the surface area measures, were discovered in the seminal work [30] by Huang, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang. The prescribed dual curvature measure problem is called the dual Minkowski problem. Subsequently, Luwvak et al [43] and Zhu et al [64] introduced and studied dual L_p Minkowski problem and dual Orlicz problem respectively. See e.g., [7, 9, 15, 28, 30, 36, 37, 60, 61] for more works in the rapidly developing dual Minkowski type problem.

With the development of Brunn-Minkowski theory in Euclidean setting, Li and Xu in a recent seminal article [35] started the study of Brunn-Minkowski theory in hyperbolic space, and solved the Horospherical Minkowski problem and the more general Horospherical Christoffel-Minkowski problem based on the concept of hyperbolic p -sum.

Motivated by the foregoing celebrated works, we in the present paper will investigate and confirm the sub-Riemannian version of the Minkowski problem in sub-Riemannian Heisenberg groups.

1.2. The sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group. The Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , the simplest non-Abelian sub-Riemannian space distinct from a Euclidean space, is the Lie group $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, *)$, where we consider in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ its usual differentiable structure and the noncommutative group law

$$(1.1) \quad g_1 * g_2 = \left(z_1 + z_2, t_1 + t_2 + \frac{1}{2}Imz_1\bar{z}_2 \right),$$

for $g_1 = (z_1, t_1)$ and $g_2 = (z_2, t_2) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, where $z = x_l + iy_l \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The identity element is $e = (0, 0)$, the inverse element of $g = (z, t)$ is $g^{-1} = (-z, -t)$, and the center of the group is $c = \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : z = 0\}$. Left translation by $g \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is the mapping $L_g : L_g(\tilde{g}) = g * \tilde{g}$. For any $\lambda > 0$, the mapping $\delta_\lambda : \delta_\lambda(z, t) = (\lambda z, \lambda^2 t)$ is called dilation.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is spanned by the left-invariant vector fields $\{X_l, Y_l, T\}$, $l = 1, \dots, n$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X_l &= dL_g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} - \frac{y_l}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\ Y_l &= dL_g \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} + \frac{x_l}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\ T &= dL_g \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

where dL_g is the differential of the left-translation (1.1). The only non-trivial bracket relations are $[X_l, Y_l] = -T$, $l = 1, \dots, n$. In other words, any left invariant vector is a linear combination with real coefficients of the vector fields (1.2). The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} admits a stratification. It decomposes as the vector space direct sum

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2,$$

where \mathfrak{h}_1 is the subspace generated by $\{X_l, Y_l\}_{l=1}^n$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 = [\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1]$ is the one-dimensional space generated T . For this reason \mathbb{H}^n is called a Carnot group of step 2.

The Haar measure on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n is induced by the exponential mapping from the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{h} . The natural volume in \mathbb{H}^n is the Haar measure, which, up to a positive factor, coincides with Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . Lebesgue measure is also the Riemannian volume of the left-invariant metric for which X_l, Y_l and T are orthonormal. We denote by $Vol(E)$ the volume of a (Lebesgue) measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{H}^n$.

We denote by \mathbb{H}_e the set of horizontal vectors of the form $h = (z, 0)$. Fixed a point $g_0 = (z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, the horizontal distribution $\mathbb{H}_{g_0} = g_0 * \mathbb{H}_e = \text{span}\{X_l(g_0), Y_l(g_0)\}_{l=1}^n$ is characterized by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{H}_{g_0} = \text{Ker} \left(dt_0 - \frac{1}{2} \langle z_0, Jdz_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \right),$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. From the left translation mapping we can set g_0 as the origin of \mathbb{H}_{g_0} . Fixed $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, any vector $v \in \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ can be written as $v = (\bar{v}, v_t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ be a left invariant inner product on $\{X_l, Y_l\}_{l=1}^n$. Then, the sub-Riemannian metric (or Korányi gauge) is denoted as below (see [21])

$$(1.4) \quad \langle v, \omega \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = \langle \bar{v}, \bar{\omega} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}, \quad \forall v, \omega \in \mathbb{H}_{g_0}, \quad g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ is the standard scalar product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

A Lipschitz curve $\gamma(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ is horizontal if $\gamma' \in (\gamma(t)) * \mathbb{H}_e$ for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$. Equivalently, γ is horizontal if there exist functions $f_l \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, $l = 1, \dots, 2n$, such that

$$\gamma'(t) = \sum_{l=1}^n f_l X_l(\gamma) + f_{n+l} Y_l(\gamma), \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, 1].$$

The coefficients f_l are unique, and by the structure of the vector fields X_l and Y_l they satisfy $f_l = \gamma'_l$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2n+1})$ are the coordinates of γ given by the identification $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$.

Given two points g and \tilde{g} we consider the set of all possible horizontal curves joining these two points

$$\Gamma(g, \tilde{g}) = \{\gamma \text{ horizontal curve} : \gamma(0) = g, \gamma(1) = \tilde{g}\}.$$

This set is never empty by Chow theorem (see [5]). The Carnot-Carathéodory (abbreviated CC) distance is then defined as the infimum of the length of horizontal curves of the set Γ :

$$d_{CC}(g, \tilde{g}) = \inf_{\Gamma(g, \tilde{g})} \int_0^1 |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

The curve γ is called a geodesic or length minimizing curve joining g and \tilde{g} . The CC ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at a point $g \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is defined by

$$B_r(g) = \{\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{H}^n : d_{CC}(g, \tilde{g}) < r\}.$$

We also let $B_r = B_r(0)$. The CC gauge is given by

$$|g|_{CC} = d_{CC}(e, g).$$

The CC distance, being constructed in terms of left invariant vector fields, is left invariant and dilation invariant on \mathbb{H}^n . Namely, for any $p, g, \tilde{g} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$ there holds

$$d_{CC}(p * g, p * \tilde{g}) = d_{CC}(g, \tilde{g}); \quad d_{CC}(\delta_\lambda(g), \delta_\lambda(\tilde{g})) = d_{CC}(g, \tilde{g}).$$

An equivalent distance on \mathbb{H}^n is defined by the so-called Korányi distance (see Section 2.2 of [13])

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(g, \tilde{g}) = \|\tilde{g}^{-1} * g\|_{\mathbb{H}},$$

and Korányi gauge

$$\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}}^4 = (x_l^2 + y_l^2)^2 + 16t^2.$$

Clearly, $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is homogeneous of order 1 with respect to the dilations $(\delta_s) : \|\delta_s g\|_{\mathbb{H}} = s \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}}$. Consequently, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ so that

$$C_1 \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq d_{CC}(g, 0) \leq C_2 \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}}$$

for any $g \in \mathbb{H}^n$. This follows immediately from compactness of the Korányi unit sphere

$$\mathcal{S}^{2n} = \{g \in \mathbb{H}^n : \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}} = 1\}$$

and continuity of $g \mapsto d_{CC}(g, 0)$.

Now we introduce the concept of convexity in horizontal distributions (see [18] for detail). A subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is called horizontal convex (abbreviated H -convex) if and only if the twisted convex combination $g * \delta_\lambda(g^{-1} * \tilde{g}) \in \Omega$ for any $g \in \Omega$ and every $\tilde{g} \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{H}_g$. Since to every notion of convexity on sets there is a naturally associated notion of convexity for functions, from the definition above we have: Let Ω be a H -convex subset of \mathbb{H}^n . A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called H -convex if, for any $g, \tilde{g} \in \Omega$, one has

$$u(g * \delta_\lambda(g^{-1} * \tilde{g})) \leq (1 - \lambda)u(g) + \lambda u(\tilde{g}), \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

For more detailed research on convex functions and convex sets, one can refer to [12, 24, 25, 38, 50, 56, 57] and therein for details.

1.3. Definitions and main results. Due to the existence of left translation and dilation mapping families, the geometric structures in Heisenberg groups are fundamentally different from Euclidean spaces, so the study of Brunn-Minkowski theory in Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n is still blank, which leads us to develop Brunn-Minkowski theory in \mathbb{H}^n .

In the present paper, we construct the horizontal support functions and horizontal geometric measure and other basic convex geometric elements, and develop a sub-Riemannian version of Minkowski type problem in the horizontal distributions of \mathbb{H}^n .

Fix a point $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let \mathbb{H}_{g_0} be the horizontal distribution through g_0 , and a compact H -convex subset with non-empty interior be a H -convex body. For the horizontal vector field $V = \{X_l, Y_l\}_{l=1}^n$ in \mathbb{H}_{g_0} and the horizontal geodesic

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{g_0}, \quad \gamma(t) = \exp(-tY_l)\exp(-tX_l)\exp(tY_l)\exp(tX_l)(g),$$

where $\exp(tV)(g)$ is the flow of horizontal vector field V at time t starting from $g \in \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$.

For fixed $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body. We denote by $\vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}$ the position vector of a point g relative to the origin point g_0 in the horizontal sense, which is also equivalent to a horizontal geodesic connecting

g_0 and g with an initial tangent direction $\gamma'(g_0)$. Similarly, we denote by ν the position vector of a point $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}$ relative to the origin point g_0 in the horizontal sense.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body, and $\nu(g)$ be the horizontal unit direction vector at $g \in \partial\Omega$. We denote H_ν by the “horizontal totally geodesic hypersurface” to $\partial\Omega$ at g orthogonal to ν passing through g_0 . It is not hard to check that the “horizontal totally geodesic hypersurface” to $\partial\Omega$ at g orthogonal to ν passing through g_0 is essentially equivalent to “tangent plane” defined below (see e.g., [49]).

$$H_\nu = \{g = (z, t) \in \partial\Omega : \langle \nu, z \rangle = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Remark 1.1. If g belongs to the subspace $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$, it follows from the facts of geodesics in [27] that the horizontal totally geodesic hypersurface H_ν is exactly “an Euclidean tangent plane”.

We now define the horizontal support function (abbreviated H -support function) as follows:

Definition 1.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body with $\emptyset \neq \Omega \neq \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$. For the horizontal unit direction vector ν , the H -support function, denoted by $h_H(\Omega, \cdot)$, of Ω is defined as the horizontal geodesic distance from the origin g_0 to H_ν at $g \in \partial\Omega$.

Since the Heisenberg group is isomorphic to Euclidean space, then the H -support function can be defined as mapping $h_H(\Omega, \cdot) : \mathcal{S}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is further expressed as

$$(1.5) \quad h_H(\Omega, \nu) = \max\{\langle \vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}, \nu \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} : g \in \Omega\},$$

for the unit direction vector ν .

Notice that if $g = (0, t) \in \partial\Omega$, $t \neq 0$, then H_ν at g orthogonal to t -axis, and there are an infinite number of the horizontal geodesic connecting g_0 and g with initial vector γ'_{g_0} just as in (1.5) (see Theorem 2.A). In this case, we can do make the following constraints to ensure the uniqueness of the H -support function. For any horizontal unit direction vector ν , and $g = (0, t) \in \partial\Omega$, $t > 0$, we can take a plane denoted by Π_{g_0g} that crosses the points g_0 , g and the vector ν such that γ'_{g_0} belonging to Π_{g_0g} , as shown in Figure 1. We can now choose the horizontal geodesic $\gamma_{1(g_0g)}$ with an angle

of $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ to ν as the only horizontal geodesic connecting g_0 and g , and regard $\vec{\gamma}_{1(g_0g)}$ as the position vector $\vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}$ in (1.5). For $t < 0$, we can do the similar convention.




FIGURE 1. H -support function of $g = (0, t)$.

From Definition 1.2 we can easily verify that $h_H(\Omega, u * \nu) \leq h_H(\Omega, u) + h_H(\Omega, \nu)$ and $h_H(\Omega, \delta_\lambda \nu) = \lambda h_H(\Omega, \nu)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Hence, $h_H(\Omega, \cdot)$ is a H -convex function if $\Omega \neq \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$. In particular, we get that $h_H(\Omega, \nu) = \langle \vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}, \nu \rangle$ if and only if $\Omega = \{g\}$. It is also clear from the definition that $h_H(\Omega, \nu) \leq h_H(\tilde{\Omega}, \nu)$ if and only if $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Further, we note that $h_H(\delta_\lambda \Omega, \nu) = \lambda h_H(\Omega, \nu)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 1.3. From the fact of geodesics in [27], if g belongs to the subspace $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$, it is easy to know that $\vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}$ is a unique geodesic and that its length is equal to the Euclidean length $|\overline{g_0g}|$ of segment $\overline{g_0g}$. In this case, the H -support function is the Euclidean support function.

A horizontal Gauss map (abbreviated H -Gauss map), denoted by ν_H , is defined as follows:

Definition 1.4. For $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$, denoted by ν_H , is well defined for \mathcal{H}^{2n} almost all point on $\partial\Omega$. The map $\nu_H : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{2n}$ is called the H -Gauss map of Ω .

Let ν_H^{-1} be the inverse of the mapping ν_H . Next, we introduce the horizontal surface area measure (abbreviated H -surface area measure).

Definition 1.5. For a H -convex body Ω and a Borel measure \mathbf{m} on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , the H -surface area measure of Ω is the pushforward of \mathbf{m} by ν_H (i.e., $S_H(\Omega, \cdot) =$

$\nu_{H,\Omega\sharp}^{-1}\mathbf{m}$)

$$S_H(\Omega, \omega) = \nu_{H,\Omega\sharp}^{-1}\mathbf{m}(\omega) = \int_{\nu_{H,\Omega}^{-1}(\omega)} d\mathcal{H}^{2n},$$

for every Borel set $\omega \subset \mathcal{S}^{2n}$. Here \mathcal{H}^k is the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure which depends on the Korányi distance.

Consider a C^1 vector field F with compact support on \mathbb{H}^n , and denote by $\{\phi_t\}_{|t|<\varepsilon}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the associated group of diffeomorphisms (see [49]). By the variation of $Vol(\Omega_t)$ along any H -convex body Ω at $t = 0$, the display expression of the H -surface area measure can be derived: (see Section 3 for details):

$$dS_H(\Omega, \omega) = \det(\nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}) da,$$

where da is the area measure of \mathcal{S}^{2n} , ∇^H is the horizontal gradient of h_H on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , $\nabla_{ij}^H = (\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H)/2$ is the horizontal second order covariant derivative, $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\langle \nabla_i^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_j^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n})$, and \mathcal{G} denotes the inverse H -Gauss map.

Now we introduce the following Minkowski type problem with respect to the H -surface area measure, which may be called the *Heisenberg Minkowski problem*.

Problem 1.6. Given a finite Borel measure μ on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , what are necessary and sufficient conditions for μ such that there exists a H -convex body $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ satisfying

$$dS_H(\Omega, \cdot) = d\mu?$$

There are generally two methods to solve the Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^n : variational method and curvature flow method. The existence of smooth solutions can be obtained through the curvature flow, while the variational method can only obtain the existence of weak solutions. Due to the degeneracy of the Laplace equation in the Heisenberg group, the existence of smooth solutions cannot be obtained through the curvature flow method. Therefore, we obtain the existence of solutions to **Problem 1.6** through the variational method, see Section 4 details.

Theorem 1.7. *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathcal{S}^{2n} that is not concentrated on a closed hemisphere and satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} u d\mu(u) = 0.$$

Then there exists a H -convex body Ω such that

$$S_H(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu.$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we collect some basic knowledge about the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n . In Section 3, through calculating the variation of volume by use the associated group of diffeomorphisms, we introduce the H -surface area measure and Heisenberg Minkowski problem. In Section 4, we give the existence of solutions to Heisenberg Minkowski problem by the variational method. In Section 5, we provide the relationship between the H -support function and the Euclidean support function, and propose a more general Orlicz Heisenberg Minkowski problem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic knowledge about sub-Riemannian Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n .

2.1. The equations for geodesics in \mathbb{H}^n . We recall here the equations for geodesics of unit length starting from $e = (0, 0, 0)$, since all other geodesics can be recovered by left translations and dilations (see e.g., [3, 13, 44, 48, 59]). Let $s \in [0, 1]$ and $\phi \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]$, and let $A_j, B_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{l=1}^n (A_l^2 + B_l^2) = 1$, then the set of equations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_l(s) = \frac{A_l(1-\cos(\phi s)) + B_l \sin(\phi s)}{\phi} & l = 1, \dots, n \\ y_l(s) = \frac{-B_l(1-\cos(\phi s)) + A_l \sin(\phi s)}{\phi} & l = 1, \dots, n \\ t(s) = \frac{\phi s - \sin(\phi s)}{2\phi^2} \end{cases}$$

defines a geodesic $\gamma(s)$ connecting $(0, 0, 0)$ with the point (x_l, y_l, t) , whose coordinates are

$$\begin{cases} x_l(s) = x_l(1) = \frac{A_l(1-\cos \phi) + B_l \sin \phi}{\phi} & l = 1, \dots, n \\ y_l(s) = y_l(1) = \frac{-B_l(1-\cos \phi) + A_l \sin \phi}{\phi} & l = 1, \dots, n \\ t(s) = t(1) = \frac{\phi - \sin \phi}{2\phi^2}. \end{cases}$$

Of course, this gives a parameterization of the boundary of the CC ball with unit radius. In the limit case $\phi = 0$ one has the Euclidean geodesic. The existence and uniqueness of geodesics in \mathbb{H}^n has the following results:

Theorem 2.A *Let $g = (z, t) \neq e \in \mathbb{H}^n$, we have*

i) If $z \neq 0$, there exists a unique length minimizing geodesic connecting e and g .

ii) If $z = 0$, (i.e., g belongs to the center of \mathbb{H}^n) then there is a one parameter family of length minimizing geodesics connecting e and g , obtained by rotation of a single geodesic around the t -axis.

In addition, there are some facts about geodesics (see e.g., [27]): If g belongs to the subspace $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{H}^n$, then it is easy to check that the straight line $\gamma(s) = sg$, $s \in [0, 1]$ is a unique geodesic (up to a reparametrization) connecting origin 0 to g . Indeed, it is easy to check that γ is horizontal, and its length equals the Euclidean length $|\vec{0g}|$ of the segment $\vec{0g}$.

2.2. Hausdorff measure. For any $m \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$, one can define the premeasures on \mathbb{H}^n associated with Korányi distance (see [49]). The diameter of a set $K \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ is

$$\text{diam}K = \sup_{g, g' \in K} d_{\mathbb{H}}(g, g').$$

(i) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^m(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{m, \delta}(E)$, where, up to a constant multiple,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^{m, \delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{diam}(K_i))^m : E \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i, \text{diam}(K_i) < \delta \right\},$$

and the infimum is taken with respect to any non-empty family of closed subsets $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$;

(ii) $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^m(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{m, \delta}(E)$, where, up to a constant multiple,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^{m, \delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{diam}(B_i))^m : E \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i, \text{diam}(B_i) < \delta \right\},$$

and the infimum is taken with respect to closed Korányi balls B_i . The measures $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^m$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{H}}^m$ are called, respectively, m -dimensional horizontal Hausdorff measure and m -dimensional horizontal spherical Hausdorff measure.

2.3. Horizontal divergence and twisted convex combination. Let V be a smooth vector field in $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. We may express V using both the basis $\{X_l, Y_l, T\}$ ($l = 1, \dots, n$) and the standard basis of vector fields of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_{l=1}^n (\varphi_l X_l + \varphi_{n+l} Y_l) + \varphi_{2n+1} T \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \left[\varphi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} + \varphi_{n+l} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} + (2y_l \varphi_l - 2x_l \varphi_{n+l}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] + \varphi_{2n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_l, \varphi_{n+l}, \varphi_{2n+1} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$ are smooth functions. The standard divergence of V is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} V &= \sum_{l=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial \varphi_{n+l}}{\partial y_l} + 2y_l \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial t} - 2x_l \frac{\partial \varphi_{n+l}}{\partial t} \right] + \frac{\partial \varphi_{2n+1}}{\partial t} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n (X_l \varphi_l + Y_l \varphi_{n+l}) + T \varphi_{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The vector field V is said to be horizontal if $V(g) \in \mathbb{H}_g$ for all $g \in \mathbb{H}^n$. These observations suggest the following definition.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be an open set. The horizontal divergence of a vector valued mapping $\varphi \in C^1(A; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{div}_H \varphi = \sum_{l=1}^n (X_l \varphi_l + Y_l \varphi_{n+l}).$$

Thus $\operatorname{div}_H \varphi = \operatorname{div} V$ is the standard divergence of the horizontal vector field V with coordinates $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2n})$ in the basis $\{X_l, Y_l\}$.

Let $g = (x, y, t)$ and $g' = (x', y', t')$ be two points in \mathbb{H}^n , and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. The twisted convex combination is defined by (see [18])

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g * \delta_\lambda(g^{-1} * g') &= (x + \lambda(x' - x), y + \lambda(y' - y), t + 2\lambda(xy' - x'y) \\ &\quad + \lambda^2(t' - t + 2x'y - 2xy')). \end{aligned}$$

If $g' \in \mathbb{H}_g$, i.e. $g^{-1} * g' \in \mathbb{H}_e$, then we get

$$(2.4) \quad t' - t + 2(x'y - xy') = 0.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we derive for any $g' \in \mathbb{H}_g$ that

$$(2.5) \quad g * \delta_\lambda(g^{-1} * g') = (1 - \lambda)g + \lambda g'.$$

Note also that (2.4) and (2.5) hold and $g' \in \mathbb{H}_g$ if and only if $g^{-1} * g' \in \mathbb{H}_e$.

3. H -SURFACE AREA MEASURE AND HEISENBERG MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

In this section, we first calculate the second fundamental form of H -support function. Then, we introduce the H -surface area measure and Heisenberg Minkowski problem in \mathbb{H}_{g_0} by calculating the variation of volume of the associated group of diffeomorphisms. Before that, we provide a fact about the covariant derivative of the Riemannian connection of the left-invariant metric.

Lemma 3.1. ([44]) *For the covariant derivatives of the Riemannian connection of the left-invariant metric, the following is true:*

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T & \cdots & -Y_1 \\ -T & 0 & \cdots & X_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & T & -Y_n \\ 0 & \cdots & -T & 0 & X_n \\ -Y_1 & X_1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the (i, j) -element in the table above equals $\nabla_{e_i} e_j$ for our basis

$$\{e_l, l = 1, \dots, 2n + 1\} = \{X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots, T\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Fix a point $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Relative to the orthonormal frame $\{e_l, l = 1, \dots, 2n\}$, the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$ is*

$$\Pi_{ij}^H = \nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij},$$

where $\nabla_{ij}^H \hat{=} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H)$, $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\langle \nabla_i^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_j^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n})$, and \mathcal{G} denotes the inverse H -Gauss map. Moreover, if Ω is a convex body in Euclidean space, then $A_{ij} = 0$. In this case, the horizontal second fundamental form is the Euclidean second fundamental form (see e.g., [58]).

Proof. Since $\partial\Omega$ is a smooth, closed and H -convex hypersurface, we may assume that $\partial\Omega$ is parametrized by inverse H -Gauss map. Without loss

of generality, we assume that $\partial\Omega$ encloses the origin g_0 . From (1.5), the H -support function of $\partial\Omega$ can be defined, for $v \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}$, as

$$(3.1) \quad h_H(\partial\Omega, v) = \langle \mathcal{G}(v), v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}.$$

Let $\{e_l, l = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ be a smooth orthonormal frame field on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , and let ∇^H be the horizontal gradient on \mathcal{S}^{2n} . Differentiating (3.1) we obtain

$$\nabla_i^H h_H = \langle \nabla_i^H \mathcal{G}, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}.$$

Since $\nabla_i^H \mathcal{G}$ is tangential and v is the normal, one has

$$\nabla_i^H h_H = \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}.$$

Differentiating once again, and writing $(\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H)/2 = \nabla_{ij}^H$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{ij}^H h_H &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H h_H + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H h_H) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_i^H \mathcal{G}, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_j^H \mathcal{G}, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}) \\ (3.2) \quad &= \langle \mathcal{G}, \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H v) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \Pi_{ij}^H \\ &= \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_{ij}^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \Pi_{ij}^H, \end{aligned}$$

where Π_{ij}^H is the horizontal second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$. To compute the term $\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_{ij}^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ we differentiate the equation $\langle v, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 1$ and obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \langle v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 0,$$

and

$$\langle v, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_i^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 0.$$

Since $\{e_l, l = 1, \dots, 2n\}$ is an orthonormal frame field defined in Lemma 3.1, by a direct computation, we arrive at

$$(3.4) \quad \langle v, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = -\langle \nabla_i^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \hat{=} -\delta_{ij}.$$

Finally

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \nabla_k^H \nabla_i^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_k^H \nabla_j^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we see that $\nabla_1^H v, \dots, \nabla_{2n}^H v$ form an orthonormal basis for horizontal tangent space of $\partial\Omega$, and hence

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_{ij}^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = \langle \mathcal{G}, \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v + \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H v) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} &= \langle \langle \mathcal{G}, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} v, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{G}, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \langle v, \nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \nabla_i^H \langle \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_i^H (\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \nabla_k^H v), \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &= -h_H \delta_{ij} + \nabla_i^H \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} - \nabla_i^H \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \langle \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \langle \nabla_i^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \\ &= -h_H \delta_{ij} - \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \langle \nabla_i^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = -h_H \delta_{ij} - \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \langle \nabla_j^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}$$

by virtue of (3.3) and (3.4). Thus we get

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_{ij}^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = -h_H \delta_{ij} - A_{ij},$$

where $A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\langle \nabla_i^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_j^H \nabla_k^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n})$.

Substituting (3.6) into (3.2), we have

$$\Pi_{ij}^H = \nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}.$$

Moreover, if Ω is a convex body in Euclidean space, then, for the outer unit normal v , $\nabla_i^H \nabla_j^H v = \nabla_j^H \nabla_i^H v$. Using (3.5), we have

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{G}, \nabla_k^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} (\langle \nabla_k^H \nabla_i^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \langle \nabla_k^H \nabla_j^H v, \nabla_i^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}) = 0.$$

In this case, the horizontal second fundamental form is the Euclidean second fundamental form. This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2 \square

From Theorem 3.2, we can compute the metric g_{ij} of $\partial\Omega$. By the Gauss-Weingarten relations

$$\nabla_i^H v = \Pi_{ik}^H g^{kl} \nabla_l^H \mathcal{G},$$

from which we obtain

$$\delta_{ij} = \langle \nabla_i^H v, \nabla_j^H v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = \Pi_{ik}^H g^{kl} \Pi_{jm}^H g^{ms} \langle \nabla_l^H \mathcal{G}, \nabla_s^H \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} = \Pi_{ik}^H \Pi_{jl}^H g^{kl}.$$

Since Ω is H -convex, Π_{ij}^H is invertible, and hence

$$(3.7) \quad g_{ij} = \Pi_{ik}^H \Pi_{jk}^H.$$

Next, through computing the variation of volume by use the associated group of diffeomorphisms, we introduce the of H -surface area measure.

Theorem 3.3. *For a point $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body, then*

$$Vol'(0) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} h_H(\Omega, v) \det(\nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}) da,$$

where da is the area element of \mathcal{S}^{2n} .

Proof. For any $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{2n})$, let $V = \sum_{l=1}^n (\varphi_l X_l + \varphi_{n+l} Y_l)$ be the horizontal vector field with coordinates $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2n})$. By the standard divergence theorem, we have

$$Vol(0)' = \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (d(\sigma_H^n)_t) = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} V d\sigma_H^n = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} d\sigma_H^{n-1},$$

where $d\sigma_H^n$ stands for the volume element of Ω , and $d\sigma_H^{n-1}$ is the area element of $\partial\Omega$ at \mathcal{G} . In the second equality we have used that $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (d(\sigma_H^n)_t) = \operatorname{div} V d\sigma_H^n$ (see e.g., [20, 54]).

Let da be the area element of \mathcal{S}^{2n} at v . By (3.7), the area element $d\sigma_H^{n-1}$ of $\partial\Omega$ at \mathcal{G} can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_H^{n-1} &= \sqrt{\det g_{ij}} da = \sqrt{\det(\Pi_{ij}^H)^2} da \\ &= \det(\nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}) da. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the definition of H -support function, yields

$$Vol(0)' = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} h_H(\Omega, v) \det(\nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}) da.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3 □

Definition 3.4. *For a point $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ be a H -convex body. The H -surface area measure $S_H(\Omega, \cdot)$ of Ω can be defined by*

$$dS_H(\Omega, \cdot) = \det(\nabla_{ij}^H h_H + h_H \delta_{ij} + A_{ij}) da.$$

Remark 3.5. If Ω is a convex body in Euclidean space, then the H -surface area measure $S_H(\Omega, \cdot)$ is the Euclidean surface area measure (see [53] or [58]).

By Theorem 3.3, Definitions 1.5 and 3.4 and horizontal divergence theorem (see e.g., [47]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vol(\Omega) &= \int_{\Omega} d\sigma_H^n = \frac{1}{2n+1} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} V d\sigma_H^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} d\mathcal{H}^{2n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} h_H(\Omega, \nu) dS_H(\Omega, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

This motivates us to propose the following Heisenberg Minkowski problem for Definition 3.4.

Problem 3.6. Given a finite Borel measure μ on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , what are necessary and sufficient conditions for μ such that there exists a H -convex body $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}_{g_0}$ satisfying

$$dS_H(\Omega, \cdot) = d\mu?$$

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO HEISENBERG MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

In this section, we study the existence of solutions to Heisenberg Minkowski problem. The main methods used to solve this problem is the so-called variational method. First we need to introduce the concept of Wulff shape.

Let ω be a closed subset of \mathcal{S}^{2n} that is not contained on a closed hemisphere, and let $f : \mathcal{S}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive continuous function. The closed convex set

$$\Omega_f = \bigcap_{u \in \omega} H^-(u, f(u)),$$

is bounded, since ω positively spans \mathbb{H}_{g_0} . Here

$$H^-(u, f(u)) = \{g \in \mathbb{H}_{g_0} : \langle \vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)}, u \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n} \leq f(u) \text{ for all } u \in \omega\}.$$

It is a H -convex body that contains the origin in its interior, since the restriction of f to ω has a positive lower bound. The body Ω_f is called *the horizontal Wulff shape* associated with $f(u)$.

The Wulff shape can be also defined as the unique element of

$$\{\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^H : h_H(\Omega, u) \leq f(u), u \in \omega\},$$

where \mathcal{K}_0^H denotes the set of H -convex body that contain the origin in their interior.

Now we prove the existence of solutions to Heisenberg Minkowski problem.

Theorem 4.1. *For $g_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and the Korányi unit sphere \mathcal{S}^{2n} , let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathcal{S}^{2n} that is not concentrated on a closed hemisphere and satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} u d\mu(u) = 0.$$

Then there exists a H -convex body Ω in \mathbb{H}_{g_0} such that

$$S_H(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu.$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{R}_\Omega = \max_{u \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}} h_H(\Omega, u),$$

and

$$\|f\| = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} f(u) d\mu(u)$$

for a positive continuous function f on \mathcal{S}^{2n} .

Let $C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})$ be the set of positive continuous functions on \mathcal{S}^{2n} . Define the continuous functional

$$\Phi : C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$$

by

$$\Phi(f) = \frac{\|f\|}{Vol(\Omega_f)^{\frac{1}{2n+1}}},$$

where Ω_f is the Wulff shape of f . It is easy to verify that Φ is homogeneous of degree 0 and monotonically increasing.

Next, we consider the following minimization problem

$$\inf_{f \in C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})} \Phi(f).$$

We are searching for a function at which Φ attains a minimum. For any $f \in C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})$, from the definition of Wulff shape, there is $h_H(\Omega_f, \cdot) \leq f$. It implies that $\Phi(h_H(\Omega_f, \cdot)) \leq \Phi(f)$. Therefore, one can search for the minimum point of Φ among the H -support function of H -convex bodies that contain the origin in their interior. Since Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, the minimum of Φ is

$$\inf\{\Phi(f) : f \in C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})\} = \{\|h_H(\Omega, \cdot)\| : Vol(\Omega) = \omega_{2n}\},$$

where ω_{2n} denotes the volume of Korányi unit ball.

Let Ω_j be a minimizing sequence for Φ , that is

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(h_H(\Omega_j, \cdot)) = \{\|h_H(\Omega, \cdot)\| : Vol(\Omega) = \omega_{2n}\}.$$

The H -convex bodies Ω_j contain the origin in their interior.

We claim that the sequence Ω_j is bounded.

Since Ω_j is a minimizing sequence, we have $\Phi(h_H(\Omega_j, \cdot)) < \Phi(1) + 1$ when j is large. Let \mathcal{R}_{Ω_j} be the maximal radius of Ω_j . If u_j is the direction of this radius, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Omega_j} \langle u_j, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}^+ \leq h_H(\Omega_j, v),$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\Omega_j} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} \langle u_j, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}^+ d\mu(v) &\leq \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} h_H(\Omega_j, v) d\mu(v) \\ &\leq \mu(\mathcal{S}^{2n}) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since μ is not concentrated on a closed hemisphere, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} \langle u_j, v \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^n}^+ d\mu(u) \geq c$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{R}_{\Omega_j} \leq \frac{\mu(\mathcal{S}^{2n}) + 1}{c}.$$

Hence, the sequence Ω_j is bounded.

By the Blaschke selection theorem, there exists a subsequence of Ω_j which converges to a H -convex body Ω_0 that contains the origin. Since $Vol(\Omega_j) = \omega_{2n}$ in (4.1), there is $Vol(\Omega_0) = \omega_{2n}$. Since the horizontal Wulff shape associated with the H -support function $h_H(\Omega, \cdot)$ of a H -convex body Ω is the body Ω itself, it follows from the assumption of Φ that

$$\Phi(h_H(\Omega, \cdot)) = \frac{\|h_H(\Omega, \cdot)\|}{Vol(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2n+1}}}.$$

By the left translation invariance of Korányi gauge, we have

$$\vec{\gamma}_{[(\tilde{g}*g_0)(\tilde{g}*g)]} = \vec{\gamma}_{(g_0g)},$$

this implies that $h_H(\Omega, \cdot)$ is left translation invariant. Thus $\Phi(h_H(\Omega, \cdot))$ is translation invariant via the left translation invariance of $V(\Omega)$. Therefore, any left translation of Ω_0 is still a minimal point of Φ . By this, one can

assume that Ω_0 contains the origin in its interior. Thus, $h_H(\Omega_0, u) > 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}^{2n}$.

Consider a deformation of $h_H(\Omega_0, u)$, for any $f \in C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})$,

$$h(u, t) = h_H(\Omega_0, u) + tf(u),$$

which is positive when t is small. Since $h_H(\Omega_0, \cdot)$ is a minimal point of Φ , we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(h(u, t)) \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

This equation can be written as

$$-\frac{\|h_H(\Omega_0, u)\|}{(2n+1)\text{Vol}(h_H(\Omega_0, u))} \text{Vol}'(h_H(\Omega_0, u)) + \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} f(u) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

By the variational formula in Theorem 3.3

$$\text{Vol}'(h_H(\Omega_0, u)) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} f(u) dS_H(\Omega_0, u).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\|h_H(\Omega_0, u)\|}{(2n+1)\text{Vol}(h_H(\Omega_0, u))} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} f(u) dS_H(\Omega_0, u) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^{2n}} f(u) d\mu(u)$$

for any $f \in C^+(\mathcal{S}^{2n})$. This gives that

$$\frac{\|h_H(\Omega_0, u)\|}{(2n+1)\text{Vol}(h_H(\Omega_0, u))} S_H(\Omega_0, u) = \mu.$$

Let Ω be the dilation of Ω_0 satisfying

$$S_H(\Omega, \cdot) = \frac{\|h_H(\Omega_0, u)\|}{(2n+1)\text{Vol}(h_H(\Omega_0, u))} S_H(\Omega_0, \cdot).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$S_H(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu.$$

□

In the following Remark, we propose a more general Minkowski-type problem, the Orlicz Heisenberg Minkowski problem, in Heisenberg groups, which will be investigated in our next article.

Remark 4.2. Inspired by the more general Orlicz case of the classical Minkowski problem in Euclidean spaces, we can naturally propose the following Orlicz form of Heisenberg Minkowski problem, which may be called the *Orlicz Heisenberg Minkowski problem* and stated as below

Problem 4.3. (Orlicz Heisenberg Minkowski problem) What are the necessary and sufficient conditions on a given function ϕ and a given finite Borel measure μ on \mathcal{S}^{2n} , such that there exists a H -convex body Ω , satisfying

$$c\phi(h_H(\Omega, \cdot))dS_H(\Omega, \cdot) = d\mu,$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, *On the theory of mixed volumes of convex bodies. III. Extensions of two theorems of Minkowski on convex polyhedra to arbitrary convex bodies*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **3** (1938), 27-46.
- [2] A. D. Alexandrov, *On the area function of a convex body*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **6** (1939), 167-174; English translation in A. D. Aleksandrov, *Selected Works*, Part 1, Chapter 9, pp. 155-162, Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [3] N. Arcozzi and F. Ferrari, *The Hessian of the distance from a surface in the Heisenberg group*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., **33** (2008), no. 1, 35-63.
- [4] F. Baudoin, E. Grong, K. Kuwada and A. Thalmaier, *Sub-Laplacian comparison theorems on totally geodesic Riemannian foliations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **58** (2019), Paper No. 130.
- [5] A. Bellaïche and J.-J. Risler (eds.), *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 144, Birkhäuser, 1996.
- [6] G. Bianchi, K. Böröczky, A. Colesanti and D. Yang, *The L_p -Minkowski problem for $-n < p < 1$* , Adv. Math., **341** (2019), 493-535.
- [7] K. J. Böröczky, M. Henk and H. Pollehn, *Subspace concentration of dual curvature measures of symmetric convex bodies*, J. Differential Geom., **109** (2018), 411-429.
- [8] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (2013), 831-852.
- [9] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *The dual Minkowski problem for symmetric convex bodies*, Adv. Math., **356** (2019), 106805.
- [10] H. Brunn, *Über Ovale und Eiflächen*, Dissertation, München (1887).
- [11] L. A. Caffarelli, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation*, Ann. of Math., **131** (1990), 135-150.
- [12] A. Calogero, G. Carcano and R. Pini, *On weakly H -quasiconvex functions on the Heisenberg group*, J. Convex Anal., **15** (2008), 753-766.
- [13] L. Capogna, D. Danielli, S. D. Pauls and J. Tyson, *An introduction to the Heisenberg group and the sub-Riemannian isoperimetric problem*, Progress in Mathematics, 259. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [14] W. Chen, *L_p Minkowski problem with not necessarily positive data*, Adv. Math., **201** (2006), 77-89.

- [15] S. Chen and Q.-R. Li, *On the planar dual Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **333** (2018), 87-117.
- [16] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, *On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **29** (1976), 495-516.
- [17] K. S. Chou and X.-J. Wang, *The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry*, Adv. Math., **205** (2006), 33-83.
- [18] D. Danielli, N. Garofalo and D. M. Nhieu, *Notions of convexity in Carnot groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **11** (2003), 263-341.
- [19] W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, *Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper*, Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd, **16** (1938), 1-31.
- [20] M. Francescopaolo, *Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **87** (2007) 453-494.
- [21] V. Franceschi and D. Prandi, *Hardy-type inequality for the Carnot-Carathéodory distance in the Heisenberg group*, J. Geom. Anal., **31** (2021) 2455-2480.
- [22] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [23] R. J. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, *The Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: a general framework, additions, and inequalities*, J. Differential Geom., **97** (2014), 427-476.
- [24] N. Garofalo and F. Tournier, *New properties of convex functions in the Heisenberg group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **358** (2005), 2011-2055.
- [25] C. E. Gutiérrez and A. Montanari, *Maximum and comparison principles for convex functions on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **29** (2004), 1305-1334.
- [26] C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **224** (2010), 2485-2510.
- [27] P. Hajłasz and S. Zimmerman, *Geodesics in the Heisenberg group*, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces, **3** (2015), 325-337.
- [28] M. Henk and H. Pollehn, *Necessary subspace concentration conditions for the even dual Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **323** (2018), 114-141.
- [29] Q. Huang and B. He, *On the Orlicz Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Discrete Comput Geom., **48** (2012), 281-297.
- [30] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems*, Acta Math., **216** (2016), 325-388.
- [31] D. Hug, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *On the L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **33** (2005), 699-715.
- [32] M. Y. Jiang, *Remarks on the 2-dimensional L_p -Minkowski problem*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **10** (2010), 297-313.
- [33] H. Lewy, *On differential geometry in the large. I. Minkowski's problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **43** (1938), 258-270.
- [34] A. J. Li, *The generalization of Minkowski problems for polytopes*, Geom. Dedicata, **168** (2014), 245-264.

- [35] H. Li and B. Xu, *Hyperbolic p -sum and Horospherical p -Brunn-Minkowski theory in hyperbolic space*, arXiv:2211.06875.
- [36] Q-R. Li, J. Liu and J. Lu, *Non-uniqueness of solutions to the dual L_p -Minkowski problem*, IMRN, (2022), 9114-9150.
- [37] Q-R. Li, W. Sheng and X-J. Wang, *Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **22** (2020), 893-923.
- [38] G. Lu, J. J. Manfredi and B. Stroffolini, *Convex functions on the Heisenberg group*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **19** (2004), 1-22.
- [39] J. Lu and X.-J. Wang, *Rotationally symmetric solution to the L_p -Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Equations, **254** (2013), 983-1005.
- [40] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **38** (1993), 131-150.
- [41] E. Lutwak and V. Oliker, *On the regularity of solutions to a generalization of the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **62** (1995), 17-38.
- [42] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *On the L_p -Minkowski problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (2004), 4359-4370.
- [43] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *L_p dual curvature measures*, Adv. Math., **329** (2018), 85-132.
- [44] V. Marenich, *Geodesics in Heisenberg groups*, Geom. Dedicata, **66** (1997), 175-185.
- [45] H. Minkowski, *Allgemeine Lehrsätze über die convexen Polyeder*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, (1897), 198-219.
- [46] H. Minkowski, *Volumen und Oberfläche*, Math. Ann., **57** (1903), 447-495.
- [47] F. Montefalcone, *Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **87** (2007), 453-494.
- [48] R. Monti, *Some properties of Carnot-Carathéodory balls in the Heisenberg group*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl., **11** (2000), 155-167.
- [49] R. Monti, *Isoperimetric problem and minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Geometric measure theory and real analysis, 57-129, CRM Series, 17, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2014.
- [50] R. Monti and M. Rickly, *Geodetically convex sets in the Heisenberg group*, J. Convex. Anal., **12** (2005), 187-196.
- [51] L. Nirenberg, *The Weyl and Minkowski problems in differential geometry in the large*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **6** (1953), 337-394.
- [52] A. V. Pogorelov, *The Minkowski Multidimensional Problem*, Winston and Sons, Washington, DC, 1978.
- [53] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [54] L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, In: Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 3, Australian National University Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra (1983).

- [55] A. Stancu, *The discrete planar L_0 -Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **167** (2002), 160-174.
- [56] M. Sun and X. Yang, *Some properties of quasiconvex function on the Heisenberg group*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin., Engl. Ser., **21** (2005), 571-580.
- [57] M. Sun and X. Yang, *Quasi-convex functions in Carnot groups*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, **28B** (2007), 235-242.
- [58] J. Urbas, *An expansion of convex hypersurfaces*, J. Differential Geom., **33** (1991), 91-125.
- [59] Q. Yang, *Hardy type inequalities related to Carnot-Carathéodory distance on the Heisenberg group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141** (2013), 351-362.
- [60] Y. Zhao, *The dual Minkowski problem for negative indices*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **56**:18 (2017), 1-18.
- [61] Y. Zhao, *Existence of solution to the even dual Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **110** (2018), 543-572.
- [62] G. Zhu, *The L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes for $0 < p < 1$* , J. Funct. Anal., **269** (2015), 1070-1094.
- [63] G. Zhu, *The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes*, J. Differential Geom., **101** (2015), 159-174.
- [64] B. Zhu, S. Xing and D. Ye, *The dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, J. Geom. Anal., **28** (2018), 3829-3855.

BIN CHEN, JUAN ZHANG, PEIBIAO ZHAO, AND XIA ZHAO

Email address: chenb121223@163.com

Email address: juanzhang892@163.com

Email address: pbzhao@njust.edu.cn

Email address: zhaoxia20161227@163.com