Poisson limit theorem for the number of excursions above high and medium levels by Gaussian stationary sequences

Vladimir I. Piterbarg*

September 6, 2023

Abstract

Asymptotic behavior of the point process of high and medium values of a Gaussian stationary process with discrete time is considered. An approximation by a Poisson cluster point process is given for the point process.

Consider Gaussian stationary sequence X(k), $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with EX(k) = 0, $Var X(k) \equiv 1$, Cov(X(0, X(k) = EX(k)X(0) = r(k)). Let \mathcal{B} be the algebra of bounded Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} . Consider on \mathcal{B} a family of Bernoulli processes

$$\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B) := \sum_{k \in nB} \mathbf{I} \left\{ X(k) > u \right\}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}, \ u > 0, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(1)

We study the limit behavior of $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(\cdot)$ as $u, n \to \infty$. Theorem 1 below says that if r(k) tends to zero sufficiently fast, $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(\cdot)$ tends as $u, n \to \infty$ weakly to a Poisson point process $\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, with intensity $\lambda > 0$ provided *natural normalization* is fulfilled, that is, $n, u \to \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{u,n\to\infty} np(u) = \lambda, \ p(u) = P(X(1) > u).$$
(2)

In case of independent X(k)s it means that the distribution (binomial) of $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}([0,1])$ tends to Poisson one with parameter λ (Poisson Limit Theorem). Since for Gaussian standard distribution function Φ ,

$$\Psi(u) \ge p(u) = 1 - \Phi(u) \ge (1 - u^{-2})\Psi(u), \quad u > 0, \text{ with } \Psi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u}e^{-u^2/2}, \qquad (3)$$

analytically more convenient to take an equivalent normalization, with $\Psi(u)$ instead of p(u). From relations (2,3) one has the asymptotic solution,

$$u = u_n = \sqrt{2\log n} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}\log\log n + \log(\lambda\sqrt{\pi/2})}{\sqrt{2\log n}} + O(1/\log n), \ n \to \infty.$$
(4)

From results of [6] it follows

^{*}Lomonosov Moscow state university, Moscow, Russia; Federal State Institution "Scientific-Research Institute for System Analysis of the Russian Academy of Sciences"; International Laboratory of Stochastic Analysis and its Applications, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation. *piter@mech.math.msu.su*

Theorem 1 Let

$$r(k)\log k \to 0 \ as \ k \to \infty,\tag{5}$$

and (2) be fulfilled. Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}(B), \ B \in \mathcal{B},$$
(6)

weakly as $u \to \infty$.

Mittal and Ylvisaker in [6] proved a limit theorem for maximum of the Gaussian sequence on [0, n] as $n \to \infty$. Theorem 1 easily follows from theirs result, see [8] or [7] for details, definitions, etc. Necessary and sufficient conditions on r(k) for (6) are also given in these books.

The main question we considered here is how to approximate the point process $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$ by a family of Poisson processes $\mathfrak{P}_{np}(B)$ as level u tends to infinity slower than in (2, 4), that is if $np(u) \to \infty$ as $u, n \to \infty$ for any B of positive measure (length). To this end, using one of the Prokhorov celebrated theorems, [9], on the distance in variation between Bernoulli and Poisson distributions, we consider the limit behavior of distributions of random variables $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$ with such behaviours of u, that is, for various medium tending u to infty. First we consider independent X(k)s, and then, using comparison techniques for Gaussian distributions, pass to dependent ones.

1 A sequence of independent Gaussian variables

Assume first that r(k) = 0 for all k > 0. Repeat in the above notations and conditions a result of Yu. V. Prokhorov on approximations of binomial distributions. In [9] approximation qualities of binomial distribution by both Poisson and normal distributions is considered. Here we are interesting only in Poisson approximation therefore we formulate only corresponding result from [9]

Denote by |B|, the measure (length) of B, and

$$\rho_{u,n}(B) = \sum_{k=0}^{n|B|} |P(\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B) = k) - P(\mathfrak{P}_{np}(B) = k)|,$$
(7)

 $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the distance in variation between the distributions.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 2, [9]). Assume that r(k) = 0 for all k > 0. Then for all $u \ge 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}, |B| > 0$,

$$\rho_{u,n}(B) = \lambda_1 p(u) + p(u) O\left(\min(1, (np(u)^{-1/2}), n, u \to \infty)\right)$$
(8)

with $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{2} / \sqrt{\pi e} = 0.483...$.

From this theorem immediately follows estimation of distance in variance between point processes $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{np}(\cdot)$.

Corollary 1 If r(k) = 0 for all k > 0, then

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B) - \mathfrak{P}_{np}(B)| \le Cp(u).$$
(9)

Indeed, $O(\cdot)$ in (8) is equal to O(1) and does not depend on |B|.

We are interesting here in approximation of $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$ for levels u less than standard one (4). Namely, starting with the above Prokhorov Theorems we consider levels u tending to infinity with n, but $p(u)n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Consider an example.

Example 1 Power scale. Let $p(u)n^a \to c \in (0, \infty)$. For a = 1 we have Poisson Limit Theorem even for depending X(k)s, that is Theorem 1. For a = 0, we have Bernoulli Theorem. A generalization (normal approximation) for depended X(k)s one can find in [8], [7]. Taking in (4) n^a , a > 0, instead of n, we get that

$$u = u(a) = \sqrt{2a\log n} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}\log\log n + \log(c\sqrt{a\pi/2})}{\sqrt{2a\log n}} + O(1/\log n), \ n \to \infty.$$
(10)

In [9] normal approximation (Bernoulli Theorem) for $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$ is considered as well and both the approximations are compared. In future publications, using the other results from that paper, we consider normal approximation combined with Poisson one for the number of level exceedances as well.

1.1 Thinning. Clusters.

Consider the following scheme of Poisson approximation of the point process $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(\cdot)$ when $np(u) \to \infty, n, u \to \infty$. Let an integer l be depended of n, l = l(n) in such a way that for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\lim_{u,n\to\infty} (1-p(u))^{l(n)} p(u) = \lambda.$$
(11)

Introduce a thinned point process of *l*-points of exceedances,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{u,n,l}(B) := \sum_{k \in nB} \mathbf{I}\{\max_{i=1,\dots,l} X(k-i) \le u, X(k) > u\}, \ B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
(12)

We call it *cluster center process*, see [3], Section 6.3.

Taking logarithm in (11) and using Thaylor we get that

$$l(n) = \frac{\log np(u) - \log(\lambda + o(1))}{p(u) + o(1)} = \frac{\log np(u)}{p(u)} (1 + o(1)), \quad n, u \to \infty.$$
(13)

Denote $n_1 := l(n)/\log np$, so that $p(u)n_1 \to 1$ as $n, u \to \infty$. Denote also

$$\dots y_k < y_{k+1} < \dots$$

points of $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n,l}(B)$ and associate a point y_k with the component processes, that is, clusters,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{u,n_1}(B|y_k) = \sum_{j \in n_1 B} \mathbf{I}\{X(j) > u\} \mathbf{I}\{[y_k, y_{k+1})\}, \ B \in \mathcal{B}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

That is we consider independent groups of points of $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$ located between l(n)-points, say, l(n)-packs, in another normalization. Thus we write for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{B}_{u,n_1}(B|y)\mathfrak{B}_{u,n,l}(dy) = \sum_{y_k \in \mathfrak{B}_{u,n,l}(B)} \mathfrak{B}_{u,n_1}(B|y_k) < \infty.$$
(14)

Two notmalizations are used above. The first one, $B \to nB$, under its natural ("correct") choice (2) gives convergence to the Poisson process. But sometimes such the choice of normalization does not capture the entire time interval of interest, therefore we need $np(u) \to \infty$. Therefore first we divide all points of interest into clusters by *l*-points, and consider points within the clusters using a corresponding natural normalization $B \to n_1 B$, "under a magnifying glass". Then we shall see that both the number of clusters is approximately Poisson and the number of points in the cluster is also approximately Poisson, but in a different normalization.

Another situation when such the clusterisation is natural is a case of strong dependence, that is, r(k) is close to one for several first k = 1, 2, ... This is especially evident for Gaussian stationary processes in continuous time X(t) with non-smooth trajectories and the corresponding sequences $X(k\Delta)$ with $\Delta \to 0$ as $u \to \infty$, when the points t of exceeding of high levels appear in the form of short groups (packs), the number of which is asymptotically Poisson. See details in [7], Lecture 17 and [8], Section 4. Let us note that sometimes normal approximation of the clusters can be more natural.

1.2 Convergence to cluster Poisson process.

Now introduce cluster Poisson process. Cluster center process is a Poisson point process $\mathfrak{P}_{\lambda}(B), B \in \mathcal{B}$, with intensity λ , see (11), so that by Theorem 2 for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, taking $(1-p(u))^{l(n)}p(u)$ instead of p(u), for some constant C, similarly to Corollary 1,

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mathfrak{B}_{u,n,l}(B) - \mathfrak{P}_{np(u,l)}(B)| \le Cp(u,l)$$

$$\text{with } p(u,l) := (1 - p(u))^{l(n)} p(u).$$

$$(15)$$

As well, denoting

$$\dots z_k < z_{k+1} < \dots$$

points of $\mathfrak{P}_{np(u,l)}$, associate a point z_k with the Poisson clusters $\mathfrak{P}_{n_1p(u)}(B|z_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, independent Poisson point processes with equal intensities $n_1p(u)$. Write, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathfrak{P}_{np}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{P}_{n_1 p(u)}(B|z) \mathfrak{P}_{np(u,l)}(dz) = \sum_{z_k \in \mathfrak{P}_{np(u,l)}(B)} \mathfrak{P}_{n_1 p(u)}(B|z_k) < \infty,$$
(16)

the corresponding cluster Poisson process.

Since all X(k) are independent, the proof of the following theorem obviously follows from Poisson Limit Theorem and Kallenberg theorem, [4].

Theorem 3 Let r(k) = 0 for all k > 0. The cluster point process $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, (14) converges weakly as $n, u \to \infty$ with $np(u) \to \infty$ to the cluster Poisson process $\mathfrak{P}_{np}(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, (16).

Moreover, from Corollary (1) it follows

Theorem 4 Let r(k) = 0 for all k > 0. The cluster point process $\mathfrak{B}_{u,n}(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, (14) converges in variation as $n, u \to \infty$ with $np(u) \to \infty$ to the cluster Poisson process $\mathfrak{P}_{np}(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, (16).

Let us continue Example 1. From (13) it follows that

$$l(n) = \frac{(a-1)\log(cn/(\lambda+o(1)))}{\log(1-p(u_n^a))}$$
$$= \frac{1-a}{c}n^a\log\frac{cn}{\lambda}\left(1+o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right), \ n \to \infty.$$

Remark that l(n) = 0 for a = 1. Remark as well that λ increases up to infinity as l decreases up to zero, what seems quite naturally.

2 Dependent Gaussian variables.

Now turn to Gaussian stationary sequence X(k). We intend to use the comparison technique for Gaussian distributions, see [1], [7], [8]. The following statement is the Corollary 2.3.1, [7], which is a generalization of Berman inequality, [1], [7], [8]. For any sequence of real numbers $x_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by \mathcal{A}_u , algebra of sets generated by sets $\{x_k > u\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote for shortness by $\mathbf{X} = \{X(k), k = 1, ..., n\}$ and by $\mathbf{X}_0 = \{X_0(k), k = 1, ..., n\}$, where $X_0(k)$ are Gaussian independent standard variables. Let (5) be fulfilled but in contrast with Theorem 1 assume that $np \to \infty$ as $u \to \infty$. Consider now how fast may np tend to infinity so that Theorem 4 assertion still holds. Similarly to proof of Theorem 1, the main tool is the following comparison inequality.

Proposition 1 For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_u$ and any u,

$$|P(\mathbf{X} \in A) - P(\mathbf{X}_0 \in A)| \le \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(n-k)|r(k)|}{\sqrt{1-r^2(k)}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1+r(k)}\right).$$
(17)

Now we derive bounds for u to have that the right hand part of this inequality still tend to zero as $n \to \infty$. Notice that since we interesting in case $np \to \infty$, from (4) and proof of Mittal result, [6], see also Theorem 3.7, [7], it can be seen that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\log n}} \le 1.$$

Denote $\rho(k) := \sup_{l \ge k} |r(l)|.$

Lemma 1 Assume that

$$r(k)k^{1-\rho(1)} \to 0 \ as \ k \to \infty; \tag{18}$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\log n}} > \sqrt{1 - \rho(1)}.$$
(19)

Then the sum in (17) tends to zero as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. (TO CHECK!) Notice that from (18) it follows that $\rho(1) < 1$. Denote $\gamma = 1 - \rho(1)$. Take some $\alpha \in (0, \gamma)$ and break the sum in (17) in two parts, till $[n^{\alpha}]$ and from $[n^{\alpha}] + 1$ till n. We have for the first part,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\alpha}]} (n-k) |r(k)| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-h^{2}r^{2}(k)}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^{2}}{1+hr(k)}\right) dh \\ &\leq nn^{\alpha} \rho(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}(1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^{2}}{1+\rho(1)}\right). \end{split}$$

By taking logarithm, we get, that the right part tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ if

$$u^2 - (1 + \rho(1)(1 + \alpha)\log n \rightarrow \infty)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since α can be chosen arbitrarily, we can say that there exist $\alpha \in (0, \gamma)$ such that the first part of the sum (17) tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ if

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\log n}} > \sqrt{\frac{1 + \rho(1)}{2}}$$

For the second part we have,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=[n^{\alpha}]+1}^{n}(n-k)|r(k)|\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-h^{2}r^{2}(k)}}\exp\left(-\frac{u^{2}}{1+hr(k)}\right)dh\\ &\leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}(1)}}\sum_{k=[n^{\alpha}]+1}^{n}|r(k)|\exp\left(-\frac{u^{2}}{1+|r(k)|}\right)\\ &\leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}(1)}}\exp\left(-\frac{u^{2}}{1+\rho([n^{\alpha}])}\right)\sum_{k=[n^{\alpha}]+1}^{n}|r(k)|. \end{split}$$

By condition (18), the latter sum for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and all sufficiently large n is at most $\varepsilon n^{2-\gamma}$. Using this, we get that the second part of the sum is for the same ε and n at most

$$\frac{\varepsilon n^{2-\gamma}}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2(1)}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{1+\rho([n^\alpha])}\right).$$

Since ε is arbitrarily small, by taking logarithm, we get that the second part tends to zero if and only if

$$\frac{u^2}{1+n^{-\alpha\gamma}} - (2-\gamma)\log n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

In turn this is followed from the inequality

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{u}{\sqrt{(2-\gamma)\log n}} > 1$$

Now just remark that

$$2 - \gamma = 1 + \rho(1).$$

Thus the Lemma.

Thus we have proved the following.

Theorem 5 Let for covariance function of Gaussian sequence X(k) relation (18) be fulfilled. Let n and u tends both to infinity such that $nP(X(1) > u) \to \infty$ but (19) be fulfilled. Then assertion of Theorem 3 is fulfilled in the same notations.

3 Further considerations and extensions.

1. Strong mixing condition. Remark that condition (19) means that $\sup_{k\geq 1} |r(k)| < 1/2$. If $\sup_{k\geq 1} |r(k)| \geq 1/2$ Lemma 1 does not work. But one can apply Theorem 3.5, [7], see also Theorem 2.1, [8], from which it follows that if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|r(k)| < \infty,$$

then for any u and any normalization, point process $\eta_u(\cdot)$ satisfies Rosenblatt strong mixing condition. Hence assertion of Theorem 5 can be proved by this approach for any normalizations of the mark processes $\zeta_u^k(\cdot)$. It is a subject of future considerations.

2. Brown motion clusters. If some applications require the normalization of $\zeta_u^k(\cdot)$ with $pn_1 \to \infty$, one can prove convergence to a cluster Poisson process with independent Wiener processes with trends as clusters, or marks.

3. Random energy model by Derrida, [2],

For independent X(k), the classical Derrida model of random energy is

$$S_N(\beta) := \sum_{k=1}^{[2^N]} e^{\beta \sqrt{N}X(k)}, \ \beta > 0.$$

Standard problems here are study a limit behavior of $S_N(\beta)/N$ as $N \to \infty$, in dependence of β and limit behavior of distribution of normed $S_N(\beta)$ (limit theorem), as well. Since large values of the sequence X(k) plays main role, the above results can be apply. Our approach allows also considering dependent X(i)s. Moreover, Prokhorov theorems may allow to get quality of these limit approximations and even to derive asymptotic expansions.

References

- [1] Berman S. (1992). Sojourns and extremes of stochastic processes. CBC Press, 199.
- [2] Ben Arous, G., Bogachev, L., Molchanov, S. Limit theorems for sums of random exponentials. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 132(4):579{612}, 2005.
- [3] Daley, D., Vere-Jones, D. An introduction to the Theory of Point Processes, Volume I: Elementary Theory and Methods. Springer, 2003
- [4] Kallenberg O. (1986) Random Measures, 4th edition. Academic Press, New York, London; Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] Kozulyaev P.A. (1939) Asymptotic analysis of a fundamental formula of Probability Theory. — Acad. Notes Moscow Univ., v. 15, 179–182. In Russian.
- [6] Mittal Y. and Ylvisaker D. (1975) Limit distribution for the maxima of stationary Gaussian processes. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 3, 1-18, .
- [7] Piterbarg V. I. (2015) Twenty Lectures about Gaussian Processes. Atlantic Financial Press London, NewYork.
- [8] Piterbarg V.I. (2012) Asymptotic Methods in Theory of Gaussian Random Processes and Fields, Providence, American Mathematical Society, Ser. Translations of Mathematical Monographies, 148.
- [9] Prokhorov Yu. V. (1953) Asymptotic behavior of the binomial distribution. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 8:3(55), 135–142.
- [10] Resnick Sidney I. (1987) Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes. Springer-Varlag.