

INSTABILITY OF THE SOLITARY WAVES FOR THE GENERALIZED BENJAMIN-BONA-MAHONY EQUATION

RUI JIA AND YIFEI WU

ABSTRACT. In this work, we consider the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x u + \partial_x(|u|^p u) - \partial_t \partial_x^2 u = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

with $p > 4$. This equation has the traveling wave solutions $\phi_c(x-ct)$, for any frequency $c > 1$. It has been proved by Souganidis and Strauss [6] that, there exists a number $c_0(p) > 1$, such that solitary waves $\phi_c(x-ct)$ with $1 < c < c_0(p)$ is orbitally unstable, while for $c > c_0(p)$, $\phi_c(x-ct)$ is orbitally stable. The linear exponential instability in the former case was further proved by Pego and Weinstein [5]. In this paper, we prove the orbital instability in the critical case $c = c_0(p)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the KdV equation is a classical model used to describe the characteristics of water waves of long wave length in river channels. When studying nonlinear dispersive long wave unidirectional propagation, Benjamin, Bona, and Mahony [1] considered a new model named the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM) equation, which can describe physical properties of long waves better. The BBM equation reads

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{txx} = 0.$$

In this paper, we consider the following generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mohony (gBBM) equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x u + \partial_x(|u|^p u) - \partial_t \partial_x^2 u = 0 \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

with $p > 0$. For H^1 -solution, the momentum Q and the energy E are conserved under the flow, where

$$Q(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 + u_x^2 dx; \quad (1.2)$$

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^{p+2} dx. \quad (1.3)$$

In particular, the equation (1.1) can be expressed in the following Hamiltonian form

$$\partial_t u = JE'(u), \quad \text{where} \quad J = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x. \quad (1.4)$$

In [6], Souganidis and Strauss proved that if $u_0 = u(0, x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique global solution u of (1.1) in $C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B35; Secondary 35L70.

Key words and phrases. generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation, instability, critical frequency, traveling wave.

The equation (1.1) has the solitary waves solution given by $u(x, t) = \phi_c(x - ct)$ for any $c > 1$, where ϕ_c is the ground state solution of the following elliptic equation

$$-c\partial_{xx}\phi_c + (c - 1)\phi_c - \phi_c^{p+1} = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The ground state solution ϕ_c is a smooth, even, and positive function, which decays exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, in the sense that $|\phi_c| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2|x|}$, $|\partial_x \phi_c| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2|x|}$ for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Then a natural problem is the stability theory of the solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$, which is defined as follows. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote the set $U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$ as:

$$U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R})) : \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \|u - \phi_c(\cdot - y)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Then we define the orbital stability/instability of the solitary waves as

Definition 1.1. *We say that the solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ of (1.1) is orbitally stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|u_0 - \phi_c\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} < \delta$, then the solution u of (1.1) with $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ satisfies $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$. Otherwise, $\phi_c(x - ct)$ is said to be orbitally unstable.*

Regarding the stability theory of these solitary waves, Souganidis and Strauss [6] proved that when $0 < p \leq 4$, the solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ is orbitally stable for all $c > 1$, while when $p > 4$, the solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ is orbitally unstable in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 < c < c_0(p)$ and orbitally stable in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $c > c_0(p)$. Here

$$c_0(p) := \frac{p}{4 + 2p} \left(1 + \sqrt{2 + \frac{1}{2}p} \right).$$

Denote $d(c) := E(\phi_c) - cQ(\phi_c)$, the critical parameter $c_0(p)$ is determined by

$$d''(c) \Big|_{c=c_0(p)} = 0.$$

Since the operator J is not onto, the framework of Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss [2, 3] cannot be directly applied to study the stability of the solitary waves $\phi_c(x - ct)$. Therefore, the work [6] is not a direct application of the theories established in [2, 3]. For further discussion on these cases, readers are referred to the more recent paper [4] by Lin and Zeng.

In [5], Pego and Weinstein established criteria for the linear exponential instability of solitary waves solution of the gBBM equation. They further proved the linear exponential instability of $\phi_c(x - ct)$ for each $p > 4$ when $1 < c < c_0(p)$.

So far, the stability of the solitary waves $\phi_c(x - ct)$ has been nearly established, except for the critical case $c = c_0(p)$, which corresponds to the degenerate case where $d''(c) = 0$. In this paper, our aim is to fully establish the stability of the solitary waves $\phi_c(x - ct)$ by studying the degenerate case of $c = c_0(p)$.

Before presenting our theorem, let us clarify some definitions that will be used. We define the functional S_c as

$$S_c(u) := E(u) - cQ(u). \quad (1.7)$$

Then the equation (1.5) is equivalent to $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$. For convenience, we denote that

$$\omega = c^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\psi_\omega(x) = c^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_c(x). \quad (1.8)$$

Then by (1.5), we find that ψ_ω satisfies the following equation:

$$-\partial_{xx}\psi_\omega + (1 - \omega^2)\psi_\omega - \psi_\omega^{p+1} = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

As mentioned earlier, our objective is to demonstrate the instability of the solitary waves in the critical frequency case: $c = c_0(p)$. Our argument relies on the assumption of the negativity of a specific direction of the Hessian operator $S_c''(\phi_c)$, which is confirmed numerically. More precisely, let

$$\Psi_c := -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\partial_\omega\psi_\omega, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\Gamma_c := B(c) \left[c^2\Psi_c + \frac{c}{2}x\partial_x\phi_c + c\phi_c \right] + D(c)(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c), \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$D(c) = -\frac{4pc + 4c - 3p}{2(p+4)}\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2, \quad B(c) = \frac{3}{2}\|x\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{9}{2}\|x\partial_x\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 - 3\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We assume that

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle < 0 \quad \text{holds for } c = c_0(p) \text{ with } p > 4, \quad (1.12)$$

which is checked numerically¹ in Appendix A.4.

The main result in the present paper is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p > 4$, $c = c_0(p)$ and ϕ_c be the ground state of (1.5). Assume (1.12), then the solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ is orbitally unstable.*

Remark 1.3. (1) In the previous works [5] and [6], the stability and instability results of solitary waves solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ for the non-degenerate case $d''(c) \neq 0$ in the gBBM equation have already been established. Under (1.12), Theorem 1.2 closes the only remaining gap for the degenerate case $d''(c) = 0$ and thereby completes the entire stability theory of solitary waves solution for the gBBM equation. We also give an element numerical computation to check (1.12).

(2) The instability of the solitary waves $\phi_c(x - ct)$ with $1 < c < c_0(p)$ has been demonstrated using the Lyapunov stability argument based on the monotonicity of the Lyapunov functional in the non-degenerate cases $d''(c) \neq 0$. However, this argument does not apply to the degenerate cases, as the Lyapunov functional loses monotonicity when $d''(c) = 0$. Therefore, we need to construct a new monotonic functional. The outline of the proof will be provided in the following subsection.

¹According to Appendix A.4, we use Matlab to compute $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle < 0$. It suffices in practice to run the computations until $p = 100$ to check $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle < 0$ as the inner product is decreasing fastly as a power function when p is bigger than 10. We refer to ‘‘Appendix A.4’’ for more details.

1.1. Sketch of the proof. The main approach is to construct a monotonic quantity based on virial quantities and the modulation argument, drawing inspiration from [9], which established the instability of standing wave solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation in the degenerate case. The methodology involves analyzing the orthogonality conditions and the dynamics of the modulated parameters. However, due to the intricate structure of the gBBM equation, constructing the monotonic functional in this paper is much more complex than the Klein-Gordon equation case. In particular, the non-onto property of the skew symmetry operator J poses significant obstacles. The key ingredients of the proof can be summarized as follows.

Step1: Modulation. First of all, we assume the solitary wave is stable. The modulation argument allows us to find two parameters, $y(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$, and a perturbation function ξ , such that the solution u can be expressed as

$$u(t, x) = (\phi_\lambda + \xi)(x - y(t)), \quad (1.13)$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a scaling parameter suitably defined, and $y(t)$ is a spatial translation parameter. We also need to find two different orthogonality conditions, namely:

$$\langle \xi, \psi_1 \rangle = \langle \xi, \psi_2 \rangle = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

To find suitable ψ_1 and ψ_2 , it is natural to consider the spectrum of the Hessian of the action $S_c''(\phi_c)$. The study of [7] indicates that $\ker S_c''(\phi_c) = \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $S_c''(\phi_c)$ has a unique negative eigenvalue. The properties of spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ helpfully identify the origin of ψ_1 and ψ_2 , specifically:

$$\psi_1 \in \ker S_c''(\phi_c), \quad \langle S_c''(\phi_c) \psi_2, \psi_2 \rangle < 0. \quad (1.15)$$

It is worth noting that ψ_2 is not unique and it is not necessary to choose the negative eigenfunction. Indeed, the choice of ψ_2 is crucial as its concrete expression has a significant impact on the construction of monotonicity, which will be addressed in Step 6 below.

Step2: Coercivity. Having determined the properties of ψ_1 and ψ_2 (i.e., (1.15)), we shall prove the the coercivity of the Hessian $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)$ as shown in Proposition A.3 for a general criterion by means of spectral decomposition argument, which can be expressed as follows:

$$\langle S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \xi \rangle \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

In addition, in the degenerate case $c = c_0(p)$, we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c) \partial_c \phi_c, \partial_c \phi_c \rangle = 0. \quad (1.16)$$

This flatness equality (1.16), combined with the coercivity of $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)$, implies an important estimate:

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1} \ll |\lambda - c|. \quad (1.17)$$

This means that the perturbation of the solution ξ , can be controlled by the scaling increment $\lambda - c$.

We emphasize that the Step 1 and Step 2 here are similar to the paper in [9], however, the following steps are of much problem-dependence and much more complicated for BBM mainly due to its poor Hamiltonian structure.

Step 3: Dynamic of the modulation parameters. Directly following the Implicit Function Theorem, the (translation) modulation parameter y has a trivial bound given by

$$\dot{y} - \lambda = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}). \quad (1.18)$$

In simpler terms, $\dot{y} - \lambda$ is actually the first-order of ξ . However, the rough estimate is not enough to support the later analysis. To obtain a more accurate estimate, we apply (1.13) to (1.4), which yields

$$\dot{\xi} + \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda \cdot \dot{\lambda} - \partial_x \phi_\lambda \cdot (\dot{y} - \lambda) = JS''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\xi + \text{“high-order term”}. \quad (1.19)$$

This gives us the key expression of the dynamic of $\dot{y} - \lambda$:

$$\dot{y} - \lambda = c_1(\lambda) \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)(Jf_\lambda) \rangle + c_1(\lambda) \partial_t \langle \xi, f_\lambda \rangle + \text{“high-order term”}, \quad (1.20)$$

for any f_λ satisfying

$$\langle f_\lambda, \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda \rangle = 0, \quad \langle f_\lambda, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle \neq 0. \quad (1.21)$$

Obviously, the function f_λ satisfying condition (1.21) is not unique. The estimate (1.20) is therefore relatively flexible, depending on the choice of f_λ . Indeed, the latter almost determines the expression of the first-order of ξ which appears in $I'(t)$ defined later. This constitutes the first key ingredient in our proof.

Step 4: Design of the virial identity. We are now in the position to consider the construction of virial identity $I(t)$. Our goal is to show that it exhibits monotonic behavior, i.e., $I'(t) > 0$ or $I'(t) < 0$. The virial identity typically arises from conservation laws such as (1.2)-(1.3) and the dynamic of the modulated function as in (1.19). The ideal form of $I'(t)$ is as follows:

$$I'(t) = \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + \text{“high-order term”}, \quad (1.22)$$

where the high-order term is in fact $\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2$ that has been estimated in Step 2. If $\beta(u_0)$ is a positive quantity, and $\gamma(\lambda)$ is also a positive quantity satisfying $\gamma(\lambda) \gtrsim (\lambda - c)^2$ which requires that

$$\gamma(c) = \gamma'(c) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma''(c) > 0, \quad (1.23)$$

then the monotonicity of virial identity is guaranteed. This constitutes the second key ingredient in our proof.

Step 5: Construction of the monotonicity. Unlike the Lyapunov functional, the main monotonic functional here comes from the localized virial identity. Specifically, we first define

$$I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(x - y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2} u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2} |u|^{p+2} \right) dx.$$

where χ is a suitable smooth cutoff function. By the expansion (1.13), we observe that $I'(t)$ has the following structure:

$$I'(t) = \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + c_2(\lambda) \cdot (\dot{y} - \lambda) + c_3(\lambda) \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + c_4(\lambda) \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Since the precise estimate of $\dot{y} - \lambda$ is already known in Step 3, we obtain the structure of $I'(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I'(t) &= \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) [c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)Jf_\lambda + c_4(\lambda)\phi_\lambda] \rangle \\ &\quad + c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)\partial_t \langle \xi, f_\lambda \rangle + c_3(\lambda) \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Move the term $c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)\partial_t \langle \xi, f_\lambda \rangle$ to the left-hand side, and we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(I(t) - c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda) \langle \xi, f_\lambda \rangle \right) &= \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) [c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)Jf_\lambda + c_4(\lambda)\phi_\lambda] \rangle \\ &\quad + c_3(\lambda) \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

This inspires us to make a bold assumption: After suitably choosing f_λ , if

$$\langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)[c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)Jf_\lambda + c_4(\lambda)\phi_\lambda] + c_3(\lambda)(\phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda) \rangle = 0, \quad (1.25)$$

then $I'(t)$ will become the ideal form (1.22). In order to match the form in (1.15), we need the existence and the explicit expressions of the pre-images of ϕ_λ and $\partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda$. As a matter of fact, we need to find Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 , such that

$$\phi_\lambda = S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\Psi_1, \quad \partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda = S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\Psi_2.$$

It is not an easy task, but we accomplished it. In fact, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda &= S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\partial_\omega\psi_\omega\right), \\ \partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda &= S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\left(\frac{1}{2}x\partial_x\phi_\lambda\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, ψ_ω satisfying (1.9). This constitutes the third key ingredient in our proof.

Step 6: Verification of the negative direction. Inspired by (1.25), we denote

$$\Upsilon_\lambda = c_1(\lambda)c_2(\lambda)Jf_\lambda + c_3(\lambda)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\partial_\omega\psi_\omega + \frac{1}{2}x\partial_x\phi_\lambda\right) + c_4(\lambda)\phi_\lambda.$$

It is time to verify the negativity of $S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)$ on Υ_λ . More precisely, the problem finally reduces to the following claim:

Claim 1.4. *There exists a function f_λ verifying (1.21), such that*

$$\langle S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\Upsilon_c, \Upsilon_c \rangle < 0. \quad (1.26)$$

This claim is established by choosing $f_\lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda)$ as presented in the assumption (1.12). Due to the complexity of the expression Υ_λ , we decide to check it by numerical experiments. Suppose the claim is true, then we choose $\psi_2 = S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)\Upsilon_\lambda$ and thus obtain the form of $I'(t)$ in (1.22) as we expected. This constitutes the fourth key ingredient in our proof.

Step 7: Contradiction. Based on the works before, the structure of monotonicity becomes clear. Indeed,

$$I'(t) = \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Then using (1.17), we can infer that

$$I'(t) \geq \beta(u_0) + \frac{1}{2}C(\lambda - c)^2.$$

The positivity of $\beta(u_0)$ can be verified by suitably choosing initial data u_0 . Thus we establish the monotonicity of $I(t)$. The contradiction between uniformly boundedness and monotonicity of $I(t)$ proves the instability in the end.

1.2. Organization of the paper. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish the coercivity of the Hessian $S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)$ and control the modulation parameters. In Section 4, we demonstrate the localized virial identities and define the monotonicity functional. In Section 5, we establish the monotonicity of the functional obtained in Section 4 and prove the main theorem. Finally, in Appendix A, we present a general coercivity property of the Hessian of the action $S''_c(\phi_c)$ and the numerical result of the negative eigenfunction of $S''_c(\phi_c)$.

2. NOTATIONS

2.1. **Notations.** For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x) dx$$

and regard $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as a real Hilbert Space. For a function $f(x)$, its L^q -norm $\|f\|_q = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^q dx)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ and its H^1 -norm $\|f\|_{H^1} = (\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_x f\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Further, we write $X \lesssim Y$ or $Y \lesssim X$ to indicate $X \leq CY$ for some constant $C > 0$. We use the notation $X \sim Y$ to denote $X \lesssim Y \lesssim X$. We also use $O(Y)$ to denote any quantity X such that $|X| \lesssim Y$ and use $o(Y)$ to denote any quantity X such that $X/Y \rightarrow 0$ if $Y \rightarrow 0$. Throughout the whole paper, the letter C will denote various positive constants which are of no importance in our analysis.

2.2. **Some basic definitions and properties.** In the rest of this paper, we consider the case of $p > 4$, and $c = c_0(p)$. Recall the expression of conserved equality and the functional S_c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^{p+2} dx, \\ Q(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^2 + u_x^2) dx; \\ S_c(u) &= E(u) - cQ(u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Taking derivative, then we have

$$E'(u) = u + |u|^p u, \tag{2.2}$$

$$Q'(u) = u - \partial_{xx} u, \tag{2.3}$$

$$S'_c(u) = E'(u) - cQ'(u) = c\partial_{xx} u + (1-c)u + |u|^p u.$$

Note that $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$. Moreover, for the real-valued function f , a direct computation shows

$$S''_c(\phi_c)f = c\partial_{xx} f + (1-c)f + (p+1)\phi_c^p f. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the derivative of $S'_c(\phi_c(\cdot - x)) = 0$ with respect to x gives

$$S'''_c(\phi_c)(\partial_x \phi_c) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

For any function ξ, η , we have

$$\langle S''_c(\phi_c)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle S''_c(\phi_c)\eta, \xi \rangle. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, taking the derivative of $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$ with respect to c gives

$$S''_c(\phi_c)\partial_c \phi_c = Q'(\phi_c). \tag{2.7}$$

Next, we give some basic properties on the momentum, energy and the functional S_c .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $c = c_0(p)$; then the following equality holds:*

$$\partial_c Q(\phi_c) \Big|_{c=c_0(p)} = 0.$$

Proof. Note that

$$Q(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 + u_x^2 dx.$$

Taking inner product of (1.5) and $\phi_c, x\partial_x\phi_c$ respectively, by integration-by-parts, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} c\|\partial_x\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + (c-1)\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\phi_c\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} &= 0, \\ c\|\partial_x\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 - (c-1)\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{p+2}\|\phi_c\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\|\phi_c\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{2(p+2)(c-1)}{p+4}\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2; \quad \|\partial_x\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{p(c-1)}{(p+4)c}\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.8)$$

This further yields that

$$Q(\phi_c) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{p(c-1)}{(p+4)c} \right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By scaling, we find

$$\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = c^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{\frac{2}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (2.9)$$

where ψ_0 is the solution of

$$-\partial_{xx}\psi_0 + \psi_0 - \psi_0^{p+1} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\partial_c\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{4c-p}{2pc(c-1)}\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.10)$$

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\partial_c Q(\phi_c) = \frac{8(p+2)c^2 - 8pc - p^2}{4p(p+4)c^2(c-1)}\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we substitute $c = c_0(p)$ into the equality above, and thus we complete the proof. \square

Then a consequence of Lemma 2.1 is

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\lambda > 1, c = c_0(p)$, then*

$$S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) = o((\lambda - c)^2).$$

Proof. From the definition of S_c in (2.1), we have

$$S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) = S_c(\phi_\lambda) - S_c(\phi_c) - (\lambda - c)[Q(\phi_\lambda) - Q(\phi_c)]. \quad (2.12)$$

Recall that $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$, then we use Taylor's expansion to calculate

$$S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) = \frac{1}{2}\langle S''_c(\phi_c)(\phi_\lambda - \phi_c), (\phi_\lambda - \phi_c) \rangle - (\lambda - c)[Q(\phi_\lambda) - Q(\phi_c)] + o((\lambda - c)^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Note that

$$\phi_\lambda - \phi_c = (\lambda - c)\partial_c\phi_c + o(\lambda - c),$$

then we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_c''(\phi_c)(\phi_\lambda - \phi_c), (\phi_\lambda - \phi_c) \rangle &= (\lambda - c)^2 \langle S_c''(\phi_c) \partial_c \phi_c, \partial_c \phi_c \rangle + o((\lambda - c)^2) \\ &= (\lambda - c)^2 \langle Q'(\phi_c), \partial_c \phi_c \rangle + o((\lambda - c)^2) \\ &= (\lambda - c)^2 \cdot \partial_c Q(\phi_c) \Big|_{c=c_0(p)} + o((\lambda - c)^2),\end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.7) in the second step. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\partial_c Q(\phi_c) \Big|_{c=c_0(p)} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$Q(\phi_\lambda) - Q(\phi_c) = o(\lambda - c),$$

and

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)(\phi_\lambda - \phi_c), (\phi_\lambda - \phi_c) \rangle = o((\lambda - c)^2).$$

Taking these two results into (2.13), we obtain the desired estimate. \square

The next lemma gives pairs of pre-image and image of $S_c''(\phi_c)$.

Lemma 2.3. *It holds that*

$$S_c''(\phi_c)(x \partial_x \phi_c) = 2c \partial_{xx} \phi_c. \quad (2.14)$$

Moreover, let ψ_ω be defined in (1.8), and denote that

$$\Psi_c = -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \partial_\omega \psi_\omega.$$

Then

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \Psi_c = \phi_c. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. First, by the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_c''(\phi_c)(x \partial_x \phi_c) &= c \partial_{xx} (x \partial_x \phi_c) + (1 - c)(x \partial_x \phi_c) + (p + 1) \phi_c^p (x \partial_x \phi_c) \\ &= 2c \partial_{xx} \phi_c + x \partial_x (c \partial_{xx} \phi_c + (1 - c) \phi_c + \phi_c^{p+1}) \\ &= 2c \partial_{xx} \phi_c.\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain (2.14).

Second, taking the derivative of (1.9) with respect to ω , we have

$$-\partial_{xx}(\partial_\omega \psi_\omega) + (1 - \omega^2) \partial_\omega \psi_\omega - (p + 1) \psi_\omega^p \partial_\omega \psi_\omega = 2\omega \psi_\omega. \quad (2.16)$$

Moreover, denoting that

$$L_\omega f = -\partial_{xx} f + (1 - \omega^2) f - (p + 1) \psi_\omega^p f, \quad (2.17)$$

then we have that

$$S_c''(\phi_c) f = -c L_\omega f. \quad (2.18)$$

Using the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_c''(\phi_c) \Psi_c &= -c L_\omega \Psi_c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega^{-1-\frac{2}{p}} \left[-\partial_{xx}(\partial_\omega \psi_\omega) + (1 - \omega^2) \partial_\omega \psi_\omega - (p + 1) \psi_\omega^p \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \right].\end{aligned}$$

This combined with (2.16) and (1.8) gives

$$S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi_c = \omega^{-\frac{2}{p}}\psi_\omega = \phi_c.$$

Thus we obtain the desired results. \square

3. MODULATION AND DYNAMIC OF THE PARAMETER

Under the assumption (1.12), in order to obtain a contradiction, we assume that the solitary waves solution is stable, that is: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that when

$$\|u_0 - \phi_c\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} < \delta,$$

we have

$$u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c). \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $c = c_0(p)$. Suppose that $u(t) \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist C^1 functions*

$$y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

such that for

$$\xi(t) = u(t, \cdot + y(t)) - \phi_{\lambda(t)}, \quad (3.2)$$

the following orthogonality conditions hold:

$$\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_{\lambda(t)} \rangle = \langle \xi, \kappa_{\lambda(t)} \rangle = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\kappa_\lambda = S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda, \quad (3.4)$$

and ξ lies in the positive direction of $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)$, that is,

$$\langle S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi, \xi \rangle \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, the following estimate holds:

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + |\lambda - c| \lesssim \varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. From Proposition A.5, we first verify κ_c satisfying (A.27). By (2.7) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\partial_c\phi_c, \partial_c\phi_c \rangle = \frac{d}{dc}Q(\phi_c)\Big|_{c=c_0(p)} = 0.$$

Then by Corollary A.4, we obtain

$$\langle \partial_c\phi_c, \kappa_c \rangle \neq 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$, there exists unique C^1 -functions

$$y : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

such that

$$\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \xi, \kappa_\lambda \rangle = 0.$$

By (1.12), we have that Γ_λ satisfying (A.5). From Proposition A.3, we obtain (3.5). Furthermore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_u \lambda & \partial_v \lambda \\ \partial_u y & \partial_v y \end{pmatrix} = J^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_u F_1 & \partial_v F_1 \\ \partial_u F_2 & \partial_v F_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$|\lambda - c| \lesssim \|u - \phi_c\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon.$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition. \square

Some consequences of Proposition 3.1 are the follows. The first one is the rough estimate on \dot{y} and $\dot{\lambda}$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let u be the solution of (1.1) with $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$, where ε is obtained in Proposition 3.1. Let y, λ, ξ be the parameters and function obtained in Proposition 3.1, then*

$$\dot{y} - \lambda = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})})$$

and

$$\dot{\lambda} = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}).$$

Proof. Recall the definition $\xi(t) = u(t, \cdot + y(t)) - \phi_{\lambda(t)}$ in (3.2), that is

$$u(t, x) = \phi_\lambda(x - y(t)) + \xi(t, x - y(t)). \quad (3.7)$$

Taking the derivative of (3.7) with respect to t , we have

$$u_t = \dot{\xi} + \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda - \dot{y} \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi). \quad (3.8)$$

Inserting (3.8) into equation (1.4), we get

$$\dot{\xi} + \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda - \dot{y} \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) = J E'(\phi_\lambda + \xi), \quad (3.9)$$

where we note that $J = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x$. Adding $\lambda \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi)$ to both sides of (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi} + \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) &= J E'(\phi_\lambda + \xi) + \lambda \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) \\ &= J [E'(\phi_\lambda + \xi) - \lambda (1 - \partial_x^2)(\phi_\lambda + \xi)]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $(1 - \partial_x^2)(\phi_\lambda + \xi) = Q'(\phi_\lambda + \xi)$, then the above equality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi} + \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) &= J [E'(\phi_\lambda + \xi) - \lambda Q'(\phi_\lambda + \xi)] \\ &= J S'_\lambda(\phi_\lambda + \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Using Taylor's type expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S'_\lambda(\phi_\lambda + \xi) &= S'_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) + S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi + O(\xi^2) \\ &= S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi + O(\xi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where we used $S'_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) = 0$. Inserting (3.11) into (3.10), we have

$$\dot{\xi} + \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) = J S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi + \mathcal{N}_1(\xi), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1(\xi)$ verifies

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_1(\xi), f \rangle = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2 \|f\|_{H^1}), \quad \text{for any } f \in H^1.$$

Taking inner product by (3.12) and $\partial_x \phi_\lambda, \kappa_\lambda$ respectively, by integration-by-parts, we have

$$\langle \dot{\xi}, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle + \dot{\lambda} \langle \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi), \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle = \langle JS''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\langle \dot{\xi}, \kappa_\lambda \rangle + \dot{\lambda} \langle \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, \kappa_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi), \kappa_\lambda \rangle = \langle JS''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \kappa_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \quad (3.14)$$

By the even property of ϕ_λ , it is known that $\Gamma_c = B(c) (c^2 \Psi_c + \frac{c}{2} x \partial_x \phi_c + c \phi_c) + D(c) (3x^2 \phi_c + x^3 \partial_x \phi_c)$ is an even function. Moreover, we note that κ_λ is also an even function since $S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \Gamma_\lambda$ has the same parity as Γ_λ . Using orthogonality conditions in (3.3), we simplify (3.13) and (3.14) as

$$-\dot{\lambda} \langle \xi, \partial_\lambda \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left(\|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \langle \xi, \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle \right) = -\langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) (J \partial_x \phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} [-\langle \xi, \partial_\lambda \kappa_\lambda \rangle + \langle \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, \kappa_\lambda \rangle] + (\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle \xi, \partial_x \kappa_\lambda \rangle = -\langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) (J \kappa_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \quad (3.16)$$

where $\langle \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, \kappa_\lambda \rangle$ is a constant denoted by $C(\lambda)$ which only depends on λ . We denote

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle \xi, \partial_\lambda \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle & -\|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \xi, \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle \\ -\langle \xi, \partial_\lambda \kappa_\lambda \rangle + C(\lambda) & \langle \xi, \partial_x \kappa_\lambda \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16), by a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{y} - \lambda \end{pmatrix} &= A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) (J \partial_x \phi_\lambda) \rangle \\ -\langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) (J \kappa_\lambda) \rangle \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2) \\ O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}) \\ O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Thus we obtain the desired results. \square

The second is a precise estimate on the spatial transform parameter $y(t)$.

Corollary 3.3. *Under the same assumption as in Corollary 3.2; let $f_\lambda = x^3 \phi_\lambda$, then*

$$\dot{y} - \lambda = \frac{1}{B(\lambda)} \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \partial_x f_\lambda \rangle - \frac{1}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2) f_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$B(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2} \|x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{9}{2} \|x \partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - 3 \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. Taking inner product by (3.12) and $(1 - \partial_x^2) f_\lambda$, by integration-by-parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \dot{\xi}, f_\lambda \rangle + \dot{\lambda} \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, f_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \partial_x \phi_\lambda, f_\lambda \rangle \\ = -\langle \partial_x (S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi), f_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

It's worth noting that $f_\lambda = x^3 \phi_\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ since ϕ_λ is exponential decaying. Now we consider terms in (3.19) one by one. First, from the rough estimate $\dot{\lambda} = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1})$ in Corollary 3.2,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 - \partial_x^2)\dot{\xi}, f_\lambda \rangle &= \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3 \phi_\lambda) \rangle - \dot{\lambda} \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3 \partial_x \phi_\lambda) \rangle \\ &= \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3 \phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The term $\dot{\lambda} \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \partial_x \phi_\lambda, x^3 \phi_\lambda \rangle$ vanishes since ϕ_λ is an even function. Then, direct calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned} -(\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \partial_x \phi_\lambda, x^3 \phi_\lambda \rangle &= (\dot{y} - \lambda) \langle (1 - \partial_x^2) \phi_\lambda, 3x^2 \phi_\lambda + x^3 \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle \\ &= (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[\frac{3}{2} \|x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{9}{2} \|x \partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - 3 \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Using the property of $S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda)$ in (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \partial_x (S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi), f_\lambda \rangle &= \langle S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \partial_x f_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) (3x^2 \phi_\lambda + x^3 \partial_x \phi_\lambda) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Combining (3.20)–(3.22), and thus we complete the proof. \square

4. THE LOCALIZED VIRIAL IDENTITY

The following lemma is the localized virial identity. Let y, λ, ξ be the parameters and function obtained in Corollary 3.2, $f_\lambda, B(\lambda)$ are the same as Corollary 3.3. Denote

$$H(u) = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(u + |u|^p u). \quad (4.1)$$

From the equation (1.4), we obtain that $\partial_x H(u) = u_t$. Inserting the expression of u in (3.7) into (4.1), we have

$$H(u) = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(\phi_\lambda + \xi + |\phi_\lambda + \xi|^p(\phi_\lambda + \xi)).$$

Noting that ϕ_λ satisfies

$$-\lambda \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda + (\lambda - 1) \phi_\lambda - \phi_\lambda^{p+1} = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) f = \lambda \partial_{xx} f + (1 - \lambda) f + (p + 1) \phi_\lambda^p f. \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain that

$$H(u) = -\lambda(\phi_\lambda + \xi) - (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi) + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \mathcal{N}_2(\xi), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_2(\xi)$ has the same property as $\mathcal{N}_1(\xi)$ in (3.12) which verifies that

$$\langle \mathcal{N}_2(\xi), f \rangle = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2 \|f\|_{H^1}), \quad \text{for any } f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

We also denote that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x - y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2} u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2} |u|^{p+2} \right) dx, \\ I_2(t) &= \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3 \phi_\lambda) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D(\lambda) = -\frac{4p\lambda + 4\lambda - 3p}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$B(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2} \|x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{9}{2} \|x\partial_x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - 3\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varphi \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$, $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the solution of (1.1), then*

$$I_1'(t) = -\dot{y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x-y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x-y(t)) \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx,$$

$$I_2'(t) = \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Proof. First, a direct computation gives that

$$I_1'(t) = -\dot{y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x-y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x-y(t)) \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) dx.$$

Multiplying (1.4) by $u + |u|^p u$ gives:

$$\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x (u + |u|^p u) \cdot (u + |u|^p u).$$

Further, noting that

$$u + |u|^p u = -(1 - \partial_x^2)H(u) = -H(u) + \partial_t \partial_x u,$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) &= \partial_x H(u) \cdot (-H(u) + \partial_t \partial_x u) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x [(H(u))^2 - u_t^2], \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\partial_x H(u) = u_t$ in the last step. Then by integration-by-parts, we obtain that

$$I_1'(t) = -\dot{y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x-y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x-y(t)) \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx.$$

Second, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2'(t) &= \dot{\lambda} \partial_\lambda \left[\frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \right] \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &= \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \end{aligned}$$

here we used the estimate of $\dot{\lambda} = O(\|\xi\|_{H^1})$ in Corollary 3.2 in the last step. This completes the proof. \square

5. THE MONOTONIC FUNCTIONAL

This section is devoted to prove our main theorem.

5.1. **Virial identities.** Let $\varphi(x)$ be a smooth cutoff function, where

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & |x| \leq R, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2R, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

$0 \leq \varphi' \leq 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and R is a large constant decided later. Moreover, we denote

$$I(t) = I_1(t) + I_2(t).$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $R > 0, y, \lambda, \xi$ be the parameters and function obtained in Corollary 3.2. Then*

$$I_1'(t) = -\lambda E(u_0) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \left(\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \quad (5.2a)$$

$$+ \lambda^2 \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + \lambda \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[E(u_0) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \quad (5.2b)$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right).$$

Proof. From (4.1) and the conversation law of energy, we change the form of $I_1'(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1'(t) &= -\dot{y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x - y(t)) \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(x - y(t)) \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx \\ &= -\dot{y} E(u_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx + R(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [1 - \varphi'(x - y(t))] \left[\dot{y} \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}(H(u))^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 \right] dx. \quad (5.3)$$

Then we need to consider the terms $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx$ and $R(u)$.

- *Estimate on $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[(H(u))^2 - u_t^2 \right] dx$.*

Now we consider terms $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (H(u))^2 dx$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_t^2 dx$ respectively. We recall the expression of $H(u)$ in (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H(u))^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[-\lambda(\phi_\lambda + \xi) - (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi) + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}\mathcal{N}_2(\xi) \right]^2 dx \\ &= \lambda^2 \|\phi_\lambda + \xi\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\lambda \langle \phi_\lambda, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi) \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi) \rangle + \|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the terms above one by one.

- (i) The term $\|\phi_\lambda + \xi\|_{L^2}^2$.

$$\|\phi_\lambda + \xi\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle \xi, \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \quad (5.4)$$

(ii) The term $\langle \phi_\lambda, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\xi) \rangle$. We recall (3.15) and insert the rough estimates of $\dot{\lambda}$ and $\dot{y} - \lambda$ obtained in Corollary 3.2 into (3.15), we have that

$$-(\dot{y} - \lambda) \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) [(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda] \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2).$$

We note that $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda = -\phi_\lambda + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \phi_\lambda$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_\lambda, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi) \rangle &= \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) ((1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \phi_\lambda) \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) ((1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda) \rangle + \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle \\ &= -(\dot{y} - \lambda) \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

(iii) The term $\|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi)\|_{L^2}^2$. Using the expression of $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)$ in (4.3), we have

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi) = -\lambda \xi + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} [\xi + (p+1) \phi_\lambda^p \xi]$$

Thus by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi)\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} [\xi + (p+1) \phi_\lambda^p \xi]\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\xi + (p+1) \phi_\lambda^p \xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where we used $\phi_\lambda \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in the last step.

(iv) The term $\langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi) \rangle$. By Hölder's inequality and (5.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi) \rangle &\lesssim \|\xi\|_{L^2} \cdot \|(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi)\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Collecting all the estimates above, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (H(u))^2 dx = \lambda^2 \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\lambda^2 \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda \rangle + 2\lambda \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle - 2\lambda(\dot{y} - \lambda) \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \quad (5.8)$$

Arguing similarly, taking the derivative of (4.4) with respect to x , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x (u + |u|^p u) \\ &= -\lambda \partial_x (\phi_\lambda + \xi) - (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x [S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \xi] + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x \mathcal{N}_2(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Repeating the process above, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_t^2 dx = \lambda^2 \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\lambda^2 \langle \xi, \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + 2\lambda(\dot{y} - \lambda) \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \quad (5.10)$$

From (5.8) and (5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(H(u))^2 - u_t^2] dx &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \left(\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \lambda^2 \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle - 2\lambda(\dot{y} - \lambda) \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

• *Estimate on $R(u)$.*

Using the definition of the cutoff function φ in (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R(u)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{|x-y(t)|>R} [1 - \varphi'(x-y(t))] [(H(u))^2 - u_t^2] dx \right| \\ &\quad + |\dot{y}| \left| \int_{|x-y(t)|>R} [1 - \varphi'(x-y(t))] \left[\frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{p+2}|u|^{p+2} \right] dx \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{|x|>R} [u^2 + |u|^{p+2} + |H(u)|^2 + u_t^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, Corollary 3.2, (1.5), (4.3), (5.8) and (5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R(u)| &\lesssim \int_{|x|>R} [(\phi_\lambda + \xi)^2 + |\phi_\lambda + \xi|^{p+2} + |\phi_\lambda|^2 + |\partial_x \phi_\lambda|^2] dx \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{|x|>R} \xi \cdot (\phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda) dx \right| + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Further, using the property of exponential decay of $\phi_\lambda, \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda$ we have

$$\int_{|x|>R} |\phi_\lambda|^2 + |\partial_x \phi_\lambda|^2 dx \leq C \int_{|x|>R} e^{-C|x|} dx \leq \frac{C}{R}.$$

Then Young's inequality gives that

$$\int_{|x|>R} \xi \cdot (\phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\int_{|x|>R} (\phi_\lambda + \xi)^2 dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\int_{|x|>R} (\phi_\lambda + \xi)^{p+2} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2. \quad (5.14)$$

Therefore, we combine (5.12)–(5.14) to obtain

$$|R(u)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2 \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Now inserting the estimates in (5.11) and (5.15) into (5.3), we give the desired estimate and thus complete the proof of the lemma. \square

5.2. Structure of $I'(t)$. Denote

$$\beta(u_0) = -\lambda [E(u_0) - E(\phi_c)], \quad (5.16)$$

$$\gamma(\lambda) = -\lambda E(\phi_c) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \left(\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right), \quad (5.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(u) &= R(u) + \lambda^2 \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx} \phi_\lambda \rangle + \lambda \langle \xi, S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \phi_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[E(u_0) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3 \phi_\lambda) \rangle + O(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$D(\lambda) = -\frac{4p\lambda + 4\lambda - 3p}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2, \quad B(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2} \|x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{9}{2} \|x\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - 3\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Lemma 5.2. *It holds that*

$$I'(t) = \beta(u_0) + \gamma(\lambda) + \tilde{R}(u).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 5.1 directly. \square

Lemma 5.3. *We estimate $\tilde{R}(u)$ as follows:*

$$\tilde{R}(u) = O\left(\frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right). \quad (5.19)$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\tilde{R}(u)$ in (5.18):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(u) &= R(u) + \lambda^2 \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda \rangle + \lambda \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)\phi_\lambda \rangle - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[E(u_0) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)} \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

First, from Lemma 2.3, we have already known that ϕ_λ and $\partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda$ both have pre-image with respect to $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)$, then we have

$$\langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \partial_{xx}\phi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)(\Psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2\lambda}x\partial_x\phi_\lambda) \rangle. \quad (5.20)$$

By (3.7) and Taylor's type expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_0) &= E(u) = E(\phi_\lambda) + \langle E'(\phi_\lambda), \xi \rangle + O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right) \\ &= E(\phi_\lambda) + \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \phi_\lambda^{p+1} \rangle + O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi_\lambda) &= \frac{4\lambda + p}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2; \\ E(\phi_\lambda) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 &= \frac{4p\lambda + 4\lambda - 3p}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the rough estimate of $\dot{y} - \lambda = O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}\right)$ in Corollary 3.2 and the precise estimate of $\dot{y} - \lambda$ in (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[E(u_0) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right] \\ &= - (\dot{y} - \lambda) \left[E(\phi_\lambda) + 2\lambda \|\partial_x\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \xi, \phi_\lambda + \phi_\lambda^{p+1} \rangle + O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right) \right] \\ &= - \frac{4p\lambda + 4\lambda - 3p}{2(p+4)B(\lambda)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda)(3x^2\phi_\lambda + x^3\partial_x\phi_\lambda) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{4p\lambda + 4\lambda - 3p}{2(p+4)B(\lambda)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot \partial_t \langle \xi, (1 - \partial_x^2)(x^3\phi_\lambda) \rangle + O\left(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Inserting (5.20) and (5.21) into the expression of $\tilde{R}(u)$, we have

$$\tilde{R}(u) = R(u) + \langle \xi, S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \left[\lambda^2\Psi_\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2}x\partial_x\phi_\lambda + \lambda\phi_\lambda + \frac{D(\lambda)}{B(\lambda)}(3x^2\phi_\lambda + x^3\partial_x\phi_\lambda) \right] \rangle.$$

We note that $S_\lambda''(\phi_\lambda) \left[B(\lambda)(\lambda^2\Psi_\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2}x\partial_x\phi_\lambda + \lambda\phi_\lambda) + D(\lambda)(3x^2\phi_\lambda + x^3\partial_x\phi_\lambda) \right] = \kappa_\lambda$. By the second orthogonality condition (3.3) in Proposition 3.1, we complete the proof. \square

5.2.1. *Lower bound of $\beta(u_0)$.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let $u_0 = (1 - a)\phi_c$ for some small positive constant a . Then there exist a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that*

$$\beta(u_0) \geq C_1 a.$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\beta(u_0)$ in (5.16):

$$\beta(u_0) = -\lambda \left[E(u_0) - E(\phi_c) \right].$$

Using the expression in (2.2) and Taylor's type expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_0) - E(\phi_c) &= \langle E'(\phi_c), u_0 - \phi_c \rangle + O(\|u_0 - \phi_c\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &= -a \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi_c + \phi_c^{p+1}) \cdot \phi_c \, dx + O(a^2) \\ &= -a \left[\frac{2(p+2)c}{p+4} - \frac{p}{p+4} \right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + O(a^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Then we put (5.22) into the expression of $\beta(u_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(u_0) &= -\lambda \left[E(u_0) - E(\phi_c) \right] \\ &= a\lambda \left[\frac{2(p+2)c}{p+4} - \frac{p}{p+4} \right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + O(a^2) \\ &= ac \left[\frac{2(p+2)c}{p+4} - \frac{p}{p+4} \right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + O(a|\lambda - c|) + O(a^2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $c > 1$, and choosing a and ε_0 small enough, where ε_0 is the constant in Proposition 3.1, and by (3.6), we obtain the conclusion of this lemma. \square

5.2.2. *Lower bound of $\gamma(\lambda)$.*

Lemma 5.5. *There exists a positive constant C_2 such that*

$$\gamma(\lambda) \geq C_2(\lambda - c)^2 + o((\lambda - c)^2).$$

Proof. Recall the definition of $\gamma(\lambda)$ from (5.17):

$$\gamma(\lambda) = -\lambda E(\phi_c) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \left(\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \right).$$

We claim that

$$\gamma(c) = 0, \quad \gamma'(c) = 0, \quad \gamma''(c) > 0. \quad (5.23)$$

We prove the claim by the following three steps.

Step 1. $\gamma(c) = 0$.

From (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi_c) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(c-1)}{p+4} \right) \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2; \\ \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x \phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 &= \frac{4\lambda + p}{(p+4)\lambda} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\gamma(\lambda) = -\lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(c-1)}{p+4} \right) \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda^2 + p\lambda}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.24)$$

A direct computation gives

$$\gamma(c) = -c \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(c-1)}{p+4} \right) \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4c^2 + pc}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

Step 2. $\gamma'(c) = 0$.

Using the expression of $\gamma(\lambda)$ in (5.24), we have

$$\gamma'(\lambda) = - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(c-1)}{p+4} \right) \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{8\lambda + p}{2(p+4)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda^2 + p\lambda}{2(p+4)} \partial_\lambda \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (5.25)$$

By (2.10), we have

$$\partial_\lambda (\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2) = \frac{4\lambda - p}{2p\lambda(\lambda - 1)} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (5.26)$$

so we have

$$\gamma'(c) = \left[- \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2(c-1)}{p+4} \right) + \frac{8c + p}{2(p+4)} + \frac{(4c + p)(4c - p)}{4p(p+4)(c-1)} \right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

Step 3. $\gamma''(c) > 0$.

From the expression of $\gamma(\lambda)$ in (5.24), we have

$$\gamma''(\lambda) = \frac{8\lambda + p}{p+4} \partial_\lambda \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{p+4} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\lambda^2 + p\lambda}{2(p+4)} \partial_{\lambda\lambda} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2.$$

From (5.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda\lambda} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 &= \frac{-4\lambda^2 + 2p\lambda - p}{2p\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 + \left[\frac{4\lambda - p}{2p\lambda(\lambda - 1)} \right]^2 \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \frac{8(2-p)\lambda^2 + 4p(p-2)\lambda - p^2}{4p^2\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\gamma''(c) = \frac{p - 4c}{2p(c-1)^2} \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2,$$

noting that $p - 4c = \frac{p}{p+2} \left[p - 2\sqrt{2 + \frac{1}{2}p} \right] > 0$ when $p > 4$, then $\gamma''(c) > 0$. This proves the claim (5.23).

Using (5.23) and Taylor's type expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\lambda) &= \gamma(c) + \gamma'(c)(\lambda - c) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma''(c)(\lambda - c)^2 + o((\lambda - c)^2) \\ &\geq C_2(\lambda - c)^2 + o((\lambda - c)^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = \frac{1}{2}\gamma''(c) > 0$. Thus we obtain the conclusion of this lemma. \square

Hence, combining Lemmas 5.2–5.4, and (5.19), we have

$$I'(t) \geq C_1 a + C_2 (\lambda - c)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right) + o((\lambda - c)^2). \quad (5.27)$$

5.2.3. *Upper bound of $\|\xi\|_{H^1}$.*

Lemma 5.6. *Let ξ be defined in (3.2), then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2 \lesssim O(a|\lambda - c| + a^2) + o((\lambda - c)^2).$$

Proof. First, since $u = (\phi_\lambda + \xi)(x - y)$ in (3.7), by Taylor's type extension and $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda(u) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) &= \langle S'_\lambda(\phi_\lambda), \xi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \xi \rangle + o(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \xi \rangle + o(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$ and Taylor's type extension, we have

$$S_\lambda(u) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \langle S''_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \xi, \xi \rangle + o(\|\xi\|_{H^1}^2).$$

Then by Proposition 3.1, we get

$$S_\lambda(u) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Second, note that

$$S_\lambda(u) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) = S_\lambda(u_0) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) + S_\lambda(\phi_c) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda),$$

and the expression of S_c in (2.1) gives that

$$S_\lambda(u_0) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) = S_c(u_0) - S_c(\phi_c) - (\lambda - c)[Q(u_0) - Q(\phi_c)].$$

Using the Taylor's type expansion, by $S'_c(\phi_c) = 0$, (2.3) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(u_0) - S_c(\phi_c) &= \langle S'_c(\phi_c), u_0 - \phi_c \rangle + O\left(\|u_0 - \phi_c\|_{H^1}^2\right) \\ &= O(a^2); \\ Q(u_0) - Q(\phi_c) &= \langle Q'(\phi_c), u_0 - \phi_c \rangle + O\left(\|u_0 - \phi_c\|_{H^1}^2\right) \\ &= -a \left[1 + \frac{p(c-1)}{c(p+4)}\right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + O(a^2). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda(u_0) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) &= (\lambda - c)a \left[1 + \frac{p(c-1)}{c(p+4)}\right] \|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + O(a^2) \\ &= O(a^2 + a|\lambda - c|). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Corollary 2.2, we have

$$S_\lambda(\phi_c) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) = o((\lambda - c)^2).$$

Finally, we get the desired result

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2 &\lesssim S_\lambda(u) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) = S_\lambda(u_0) - S_\lambda(\phi_c) + S_\lambda(\phi_c) - S_\lambda(\phi_\lambda) \\ &= O(a^2 + a|\lambda - c|) + o((\lambda - c)^2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. As in the discussion above, we assume that $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$, and thus $|\lambda - c| \lesssim \varepsilon$. We note that from the definition of $I(t)$ and (2.8) we have the uniform boundedness of $I(t)$:

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} I(t) \lesssim R(\|\phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \quad (5.28)$$

Now we estimate $I'(t)$. From (5.27) and Lemma 5.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(t) &\geq C_1 a + C_2(\lambda - c)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{R} + \|\xi\|_{H^1}^2\right) + o((\lambda - c)^2) \\ &\geq C_1 a + C_2(\lambda - c)^2 + O(a^2 + a|\lambda - c|) + o((\lambda - c)^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), choosing R satisfying $\frac{1}{R} \leq a^2$ and ε, a_0 small enough, we obtain that for any $a \in (0, a_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I'(t) &\geq C_1 a + C_2(\lambda - c)^2 + O(a^2 + a|\lambda - c|) + o((\lambda - c)^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}C_1 a + \frac{1}{2}C_2(\lambda - c)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $I(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$, which is contradicted with (5.28). Hence we prove the instability of solitary wave solution $\phi_c(x - ct)$ and thus give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

APPENDIX A.

A.1. Spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. First, we study the kernel of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in the following lemma. The proof is standard, and it is a consequence of the result from [7].

Lemma A.1. *The kernel of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ satisfies that*

$$\ker S_c''(\phi_c) = \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proof. First, we need to show the relationship “ \supset ”. For any $f \in \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$, using (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_c''(\phi_c)f &= S_c''(\phi_c)(\alpha \partial_x \phi_c) \\ &= \alpha \partial_x (c \partial_{xx} \phi_c + (1 - c) \partial_c \phi_c + \phi_c^{p+1}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (A.1)$$

Then (A.1) implies that f is in the kernel of $S_c''(\phi_c)$, and we have the conclusion

$$\ker S_c''(\phi_c) \supset \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Second, we prove the reverse relationship “ \subset ”. By the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.18), we have

$$S_c''(\phi_c)f = 0 \Leftrightarrow L_\omega f = 0,$$

for any $f \in \ker(S_c''(\phi_c))$, that is

$$-\partial_{xx}f + (1 - \omega^2)f + (p + 1)\psi_\omega^p f = 0. \quad (A.2)$$

By the work of Weinstein [7], the only solution to (A.2) are

$$f = \alpha \partial_x \psi_\omega, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that

$$\partial_x \psi_\omega = c^{-\frac{1}{p}} \partial_x \phi_c.$$

This implies that $f \in \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and we have

$$\ker(S_c''(\phi_c)) \subset \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Finally, combining the two relationship gives us

$$\ker(S_c''(\phi_c)) = \{\alpha \partial_x \phi_c : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

This gives the proof of the lemma. \square

The second lemma is the uniqueness of the negative eigenvalue of $S_c''(\phi_c)$.

Lemma A.2. $S_c''(\phi_c)$ exists only one negative eigenvalue.

Proof. It is known that the operator $-\partial_{xx} + (1 - \omega^2) + (p+1)\psi_\omega^p$ has only one negative eigenvalue(see [7]), and we denote it by λ_{-1} . Then there exists a unique associated eigenfunction $\theta \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$-\partial_{xx}\theta + (1 - \omega^2)\theta - (p+1)\psi_\omega^p\theta = \lambda_{-1}\theta. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_c''(\phi_c) \partial_\omega \psi_\omega, \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \rangle &= -c \langle L_\omega \partial_\omega \psi_\omega, \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \rangle \\ &= -c \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\partial_{xx} \partial_\omega \psi_\omega + (1 - \omega^2) \partial_\omega \psi_\omega - (p+1) \psi_\omega^p \partial_\omega \psi_\omega) \cdot \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \, dx \\ &= -c \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\omega \psi_\omega \cdot \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \, dx \\ &= -c\omega \frac{d}{d\omega} \left(\|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &= 2\left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) (1 - \omega^2)^{\frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{2}} \|\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p > 4$, then we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c) \partial_\omega \psi_\omega, \partial_\omega \psi_\omega \rangle < 0.$$

This implies that $S_c''(\phi_c)$ has at least one negative eigenvalue μ_0 . Assume its associated eigenfunction ξ_0 , that is,

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \xi_0 = \mu_0 \xi_0.$$

Using the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.18) again, the last equality yields

$$-c[-\partial_{xx}\xi_0 + (1 - \omega^2)\xi_0 - (p+1)\psi_\omega^p\xi_0] = \mu_0\xi_0.$$

Then we have $\xi_0 = -\frac{1}{c}\theta$. Hence, by (A.3), (μ_0, ξ_0) is exactly the pair satisfying

$$\mu_0 = \lambda_{-1}, \quad \xi_0 = -\frac{1}{c}\theta. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

This implies that $S_c''(\phi_c)$ has exactly one simple negative eigenvalue. This completes the proof of Lemma (A.2). \square

A.2. Coercivity. In this subsection, we give a general coercivity property on the Hessian of the action $S_c''(\phi_c)$.

Proposition A.3. *Let τ_c, Ψ be any functions satisfying that*

$$\tau_c = S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi, \Psi \rangle < 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Suppose that $\xi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle = \langle \xi, \tau_c \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Then

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Proof. From the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.18), we can write $S_c''(\phi_c)$ as

$$S_c''(\phi_c) = -c(L + V),$$

where $L = -\partial_{xx} + (1 - \omega^2)$, and $V = -(p+1)\psi_\omega^p$. Hence V is a compact perturbation of the self-adjoint operator L .

Step 1. Analyze the spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. We first compute the essential spectrum of L . Note that for any $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Lg, g \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\partial_{xx}g + (1 - \omega^2)g) \cdot g \, dx \\ &= \|\partial_x g\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 - \omega^2)\|g\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Since $c = \omega^{-2}$, $c > 1$, we can get $|\omega| < 1$, and thus

$$\langle Lg, g \rangle \gtrsim \|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

This means that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the essential spectrum of L is $[\delta, +\infty)$. By Weyl Theorem, $S_c''(\phi_c)$ and L share the same essential spectrum. So we obtain the essential spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. Recall that we have obtained the only one negative eigenvalue μ_0 of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in Lemma A.2 and the kernel of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in Lemma A.1. So the discrete spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ is $\mu_0, 0$, and the essential spectrum is $[\delta, +\infty)$.

Step 2. Positivity. By Lemma A.2, we have the unique negative eigenvalue μ_0 and the eigenfunction ξ_0 of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. For convenience, we normalize the eigenfunction ξ_0 such that $\|\xi_0\|_{L^2} = 1$. Hence, for $\xi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, by the spectral decomposition theorem we can write the decomposition of ξ along the spectrum of $S_c''(\phi_c)$,

$$\xi = a_\xi \xi_0 + b_\xi \partial_x \phi_c + g_\xi,$$

where $a_\xi, b_\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, and g_ξ lies in the positive eigenspace of $S_c''(\phi_c)$, that is, g_ξ satisfies

$$\langle g_\xi, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle g_\xi, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle = 0,$$

and there exists an absolute constant $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle \geq \sigma \|g_\xi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Since ξ satisfies the orthogonality condition $\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle$ in (A.6) and $\langle \xi_0, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle = 0$, we have $b_\xi = 0$, and thus

$$\xi = a_\xi \xi_0 + g_\xi. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Substituting (A.9) into $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)(a_\xi\xi_0 + g_\xi), a_\xi\xi_0 + g_\xi \rangle \\ &= a_\xi^2 \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle + 2\mu_0 a_\xi \langle \xi_0, g_\xi \rangle + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Due to the orthogonality property of $\langle \xi_0, g_\xi \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle = \mu_0 a_\xi^2 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

To Ψ , by spectral decomposition theorem again, we may write

$$\Psi = a\xi_0 + b\partial_x\phi_c + g,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and g lies in the positive eigenspace of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. We note that

$$S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi = S_c''(\phi_c)(a\xi_0 + b\partial_x\phi_c + g) = S_c''(\phi_c)(a\xi_0 + g). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Therefore, a similar computation as above shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi, \Psi \rangle &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)(a\xi_0 + g), (a\xi_0 + g) \rangle \\ &= \mu_0 a^2 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, let $-\delta_0 = \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi, \Psi \rangle$. Then by (A.5), we know that $\delta_0 > 0$. Moreover, we have

$$-\delta_0 = \mu_0 a^2 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

By (A.9) and (A.11), using the orthogonality assumption $\langle \xi, \tau_c \rangle = 0$ in (A.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \langle \xi, \tau_c \rangle &= \langle a_\xi\xi_0 + g_\xi, S_c''(\phi_c)\Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle a_\xi\xi_0 + g_\xi, S_c''(\phi_c)(a\xi_0 + g) \rangle \\ &= \langle a_\xi\xi_0, S_c''(\phi_c)a\xi_0 \rangle + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g_\xi \rangle \\ &= \mu_0 a a_\xi \langle \xi_0, \xi_0 \rangle + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g_\xi \rangle \\ &= \mu_0 a a_\xi + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g_\xi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

So we get the equality

$$0 = \mu_0 a a_\xi + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g_\xi \rangle.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_0 a a_\xi)^2 &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g_\xi \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$(-\mu_0 a^2)(-\mu_0 a_\xi^2) \leq \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

The last inequality combining with (A.12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}-\mu_0 a_\xi^2 &\leq \frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle}{-\mu_0 a^2} \\ &= \frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle},\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\mu_0 a_\xi^2 \geq -\frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Inserting (A.14) into (A.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle &\geq -\frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle} + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle \left(1 - \frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle} \right) \\ &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\xi, g_\xi \rangle \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that g_ξ satisfies (A.8), we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle \geq \frac{\delta_0 \sigma}{\delta_0 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle} \|g_\xi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \sigma > 0. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

From the expression of ξ in (A.9) and the inequality (A.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|a_\xi \xi_0 + g_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 = a_\xi^2 + \|g_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g, g \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle}{\mu_0 \delta_0} + \|g_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this gives

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

To obtain the final conclusion, we still need to estimate

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle \gtrsim \|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Using the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (c\partial_{xx}\xi + (1-c)\xi + (p+1)\phi_c^p \xi) \cdot \xi \, dx \\ &= -c\|\partial_x \xi\|_{L^2}^2 + (1-c)\|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + (p+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_c|^p \xi^2 \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (A.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x \xi\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\frac{1}{c} \left[\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle - (1-c)\|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 - (p+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_c|^p \xi^2 \, dx \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 \right) \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{p+1}{c} \|\phi_c\|_{L^\infty}^p \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + \frac{p+1}{c} \|\phi_c\|_{L^\infty}^p \right) \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle + \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Therefore, together (A.16) and (A.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_x \xi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\xi, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the desired result. \square

Corollary A.4. *Assume*

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\eta, \eta \rangle \leq 0, \quad \eta \notin \ker S_c''(\phi_c), \quad \eta \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\text{A.18})$$

then for any $\zeta \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, s.t.

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\zeta, \eta \rangle = 0, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

we have

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\zeta, \zeta \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof. Using the similar spectral decomposition argument as in Proposition A.3, we apply the notation from Proposition A.3, that is: the unique negative eigenvalue μ_0 and its corresponding normalized eigenfunction ξ_0 of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. So for $\eta \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we can write the decomposition of η as

$$\eta = a_\eta \xi_0 + b_\eta \partial_x \phi_c + g_\eta, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

where $a_\eta, b_\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and g_η lies in the positive eigenspace of $S_c''(\phi_c)$, that is g_η satisfies

$$\langle g_\eta, \xi_0 \rangle = \langle g_\eta, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle = 0, \quad (\text{A.21})$$

and there exists an absolute constant $\sigma_1 > 0$ such that

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle \geq \sigma_1 \|g_\eta\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Since η satisfies (A.18), there exists an absolute constant $\delta_1 \geq 0$, such that

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\eta, \eta \rangle = -\delta_1. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

By Lemma A.1, and combining (A.20) and (A.21), we have

$$S_c''(\phi_c)\eta = a_\eta S_c''(\phi_c)\xi_0 + S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta.$$

So we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\eta, \eta \rangle &= \langle a_\eta S_c''(\phi_c)\xi_0 + S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, a_\eta \xi_0 + b_\eta \partial_x \phi_c + g_\eta \rangle \\ &= \mu_0 a_\eta^2 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle = -\delta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Similarly, we write ζ as $\zeta = a_1 \xi_0 + b_1 \partial_x \phi_c + g_1$, where $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, and g_1 lies in the positive eigenspace of $S_c''(\phi_c)$. We note that

$$\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\zeta, \zeta \rangle = \mu_0 a_1^2 + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

From condition (A.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_c''(\phi_c)\zeta, \eta \rangle &= \langle a_1 S_c''(\phi_c)\xi_0 + S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, a_\eta \xi_0 + b_\eta \partial_x \phi_c + g_\eta \rangle \\ &= \mu_0 a_1 a_\eta + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_\eta \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_0 a_1 a_\eta)^2 &= (\mu_0 a_1^2) (\mu_0 a_\eta^2) \\ &= \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_\eta \rangle^2 \\ &\leq \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in the last step. Combining (A.24), the last inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0 a_1^2 &\geq \frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle}{\mu_0 a_\eta^2} \\ &= -\frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle}{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle + \delta_1}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.26}$$

Inserting (A.26) into (A.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\zeta, \zeta \rangle &\geq -\frac{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle}{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle + \delta_1} + \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle \\ &= \frac{\delta_1 \langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_1, g_1 \rangle}{\langle S_c''(\phi_c)g_\eta, g_\eta \rangle + \delta_1} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

A.3. Modulation. The modulation theory shows that by choosing suitable parameters, some orthogonality conditions as in (A.3) can be verified.

Proposition A.5. *Assume that τ_c be the function satisfying*

$$\langle \partial_c \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle \neq 0.\tag{A.27}$$

Moreover, suppose that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, and any $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$, then the following properties are verified. There exist C^1 -functions

$$y : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

such that if we define ξ by

$$\xi = u(\cdot + y) - \phi_\lambda,\tag{A.28}$$

then ξ satisfies the following orthogonality conditions:

$$\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \xi, \tau_\lambda \rangle = 0.\tag{A.29}$$

Proof. We use the Implicit Function Theorem to prove this proposition. Here we only give the important steps of the proof and refer the readers to [7, 8] for the similar argument. Define

$$\vec{p} = (u; \lambda, y), \quad \vec{p}_0 = (\phi_c; c, 0).$$

Let ε be the parameter decided later, and define the functional pair $(F_1, F_2) : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$F_1(\vec{p}) = \langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle, \quad F_2(\vec{p}) = \langle \xi, \tau_\lambda \rangle.$$

We claim that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exists a unique C^1 map: $U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $(F_1(\vec{p}), F_2(\vec{p})) = 0$. Indeed, we have

$$F_1(\vec{p}_0) = F_2(\vec{p}_0) = 0.$$

Second, we prove that

$$|J| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \partial_\lambda F_1 & \partial_y F_1 \\ \partial_\lambda F_2 & \partial_y F_2 \end{array} \right|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} \neq 0.$$

Indeed, a direct computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\lambda F_1(\vec{p}) &= \partial_\lambda \langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle = \partial_\lambda \langle u(t, x + y(t)) - \phi_\lambda, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle -\partial_\lambda \phi_\lambda, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle + \langle u(t, x + y(t)) - \phi_{\lambda(t)}, \partial_\lambda \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

When $\vec{p} = \vec{p}_0$, we observe that $u(t, x + y(t)) - \phi_{\lambda(t)} = 0$, and the second term vanishes. So we get

$$\partial_\lambda F_1(\vec{p}) \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} = -\langle \partial_c \phi_c, \partial_x \phi_c \rangle = 0$$

as ϕ_c is an even function. A similar computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_y F_1(\vec{p}) \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} &= \langle \partial_x u(t, x + y(t)), \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} = \|\partial_x \phi_c\|_{L^2}^2; \\ \partial_\lambda F_2(\vec{p}) \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} &= \partial_\lambda \langle u(t, x + y(t)) - \phi_\lambda, \tau_\lambda \rangle \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} = -\langle \partial_c \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle; \\ \partial_y F_2(\vec{p}) \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} &= \langle \partial_x u(t, x + y(t)), \tau_\lambda \rangle \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} = \langle \partial_x \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By (A.27), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{vmatrix} \partial_\lambda F_1 & \partial_y F_1 \\ \partial_\lambda F_2 & \partial_y F_2 \end{vmatrix} \Big|_{\vec{p}=\vec{p}_0} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \|\partial_x \phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 \\ -\langle \partial_c \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle & \langle \partial_x \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \|\partial_x \phi_c\|_{L^2}^2 \langle \partial_c \phi_c, \tau_c \rangle \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Implicit Function Theorem implies that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $u \in U_\varepsilon(\phi_c)$, there exist unique C^1 -functions

$$y : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda : U_\varepsilon(\phi_c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

such that

$$\langle \xi, \partial_x \phi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \xi, \tau_\lambda \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

This proves the Proposition. \square

A.4. The negativity of $\langle S_c''(\phi_c) \Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle$ (numerically checked). We recall the expression of Γ_c and κ_c which introduced in (1.11) and (3.4):

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_c &= B(c) \left(c^2 \Psi_c + \frac{c}{2} x \partial_x \phi_c + c \phi_c \right) + D(c) (3x^2 \phi_c + x^3 \partial_x \phi_c), \\ S_c''(\phi_c) \Gamma_c &= \kappa_c.\end{aligned}$$

• *The expression of κ_c .* From Lemma 2.3, we have already known that

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \Psi_c = \phi_c, \quad (\text{A.31})$$

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \left(\frac{1}{2c} x \partial_x \phi_c \right) = \partial_{xx} \phi_c. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

By the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.4), we have

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \phi_c = c \partial_{xx} \phi_c + (1 - c) \phi_c + (p + 1) \phi_c^{p+1}.$$

From equation (1.5), we have

$$\phi_c^{p+1} = -c \partial_{xx} \phi_c + (c - 1) \phi_c. \quad (\text{A.33})$$

Thus we obtain

$$S_c''(\phi_c) \phi_c = -pc \partial_{xx} \phi_c + p(c - 1) \phi_c. \quad (\text{A.34})$$

Using the expression of $S_c''(\phi_c)$ in (2.4) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_c''(\phi_c)(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) \\
&= c\partial_{xx}(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) + (1-c)(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) + (p+1)\phi_c^p(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) \\
&= c(6\phi_c + 18x\partial_x\phi_c + 9x^2\partial_{xx}\phi_c + x^3\partial_x^3\phi_c) + (1-c)(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) + (p+1)\phi_c^p(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) \\
&= 6c\phi_c + 18cx\partial_x\phi_c + 6cx^2\partial_{xx}\phi_c + 3x^2[c\partial_{xx}\phi_c + (1-c)\phi_c + (p+1)\phi_c^{p+1}] \\
&\quad + x^3\partial_x(c\partial_{xx}\phi_c + (1-c)\phi_c + \phi_c^{p+1}). \tag{A.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (A.33) into (A.35), and by (1.5), we have

$$S_c''(\phi_c)(3x^2\phi_c + x^3\partial_x\phi_c) = 6c\phi_c + 18cx\partial_x\phi_c + (6c - 3pc)x^2\partial_{xx}\phi_c + 3p(c-1)x^2\phi_c. \tag{A.36}$$

Combining (A.31), (A.32), (A.34) and (A.36), we finally obtain the concrete expression of κ_c , that is

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_c &= S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c \\
&= [B(c)(p+1)c^2 - B(c)pc + 6cD(c)]\phi_c + B(c)(1-p)c^2\partial_{xx}\phi_c + 18cD(c)x\partial_x\phi_c \\
&\quad + (6c - 3pc)D(c)x^2\partial_{xx}\phi_c + 3p(c-1)D(c)x^2\phi_c. \tag{A.37}
\end{aligned}$$

• *The numerical result of $\langle \kappa_c, \Gamma_c \rangle$.* According to [5], the solution of elliptic equation (1.5) ϕ_c is explicitly given by

$$\phi_c(x) = \left[\frac{1}{2}(c-1)(p+2) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \operatorname{sech}^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{2}xp\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}} \right). \tag{A.38}$$

By (1.8) and the expression of Ψ_c in (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_c &= -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\partial_\omega\psi_\omega = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{1-\frac{2}{p}}\frac{d}{dc}(c^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_c) \cdot \frac{dc}{d\omega} \\
&= c^{1+\frac{1}{p}}\frac{d}{dc}(c^{-\frac{1}{p}}\phi_c).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (A.38), and by direct computation we obtain that

$$\Psi_c = \phi_c \cdot \left[\frac{1}{p(c-1)} - \frac{x}{2\sqrt{c(c-1)}} \tanh \left(\frac{1}{2}xp\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}} \right) \right], \tag{A.39}$$

$$\partial_x\phi_c = -\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}}\phi_c \cdot \tanh \left(\frac{1}{2}xp\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}} \right), \tag{A.40}$$

$$\partial_{xx}\phi_c = \frac{c-1}{c}\phi_c \cdot \left[\tanh^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}xp\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}} \right) - \frac{1}{2}p\operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}xp\sqrt{\frac{c-1}{c}} \right) \right]. \tag{A.41}$$

We check $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle < 0$ in the following case:

The limit of integration is $[-50\pi, 50\pi]$.

- (1) for $p = 4.1$, $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -1024.83$,
- (2) for $p = 4.5$, $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -362.82$,
- (3) for $p = 5$, $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -292.10$,
- (4) for $p = 6$, $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -274.60$,
- (5) for $p = 6.5$, $\langle S_c''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -276.36$,

$$(6) \text{ for } p = 10, \langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -303.22,$$

$$(7) \text{ for } p = 30, \langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -445.07,$$

$$(8) \text{ for } p = 50, \langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -609.47,$$

$$(9) \text{ for } p = 70, \langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -790.46,$$

$$(10) \text{ for } p = 100, \langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle = -1083.61.$$

The graph of $\langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle$ as a function of p is shown as below:




FIGURE 1. The negativity of $\langle S_c'''(\phi_c)\Gamma_c, \Gamma_c \rangle$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

R. Jia and Y. Wu are partially supported by NSFC 12171356. The authors would like to thank Professor Zeng Chongchun for many valuable discussions and suggestions.

DATA AVAILABILITY

There is no additional data associated to this article.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. B. BENJAMIN, J. L. BONA, AND J. J. MAHONY, Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive equations, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **272** (1972), 47-78. [1](#)
- [2] M. GRILLAKIS, J. SHATAH, AND W. STRAUSS, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry, I*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 74 (1987), pp. 160–197. [1](#)
- [3] M. GRILLAKIS, J. SHATAH, AND W. STRAUSS, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry, II*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 94 (1990), pp. 308–348. [1](#)
- [4] Z. LIN; C. ZENG, Instability, index theorem, and exponential trichotomy for linear Hamiltonian PDEs. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **275** (2022), no. 1347, v+136 pp. [1](#)
- [5] R. PEGO AND M. WEINSTEIN, Eigenvalues, and instabilities of solitary waves. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **340** (1992), 47–94. ([document](#)), [1](#), [1.3](#), [A.4](#)
- [6] P. E. SOUGANIDIS, AND W. A. STRAUSS, Instability of a class of dispersive solitary waves, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb*, **114** (1990), 195-212. ([document](#)), [1](#), [1](#), [1.3](#)

- [7] M. WEINSTEIN, Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **16** (1985), 472-491. [1.1](#), [A.1](#), [A.1](#), [A.1](#), [A.3](#)
- [8] M. WEINSTEIN, Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **39** (1986), 51-67. [A.3](#)
- [9] Y. WU, Instability of the standing waves for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in one dimension, *Trans. Amer. Math.Soc.*, **376** (2023), no. 6, 4085–4103. [1.1](#), [1.1](#)

(R. JIA) CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, CHINA
Email address: jiarui0305@tju.edu.cn

(Y.WU) CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, CHINA
Email address: yerfmth@gmail.com