

A mathematical construction of the 260 day mesoamerican calendar based on archaeoastronomical alignments

Sergio Mendoza*

¹ *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Astronomía, AP 70-264, Ciudad de México 04510, México*

Ancient mesoamerican cultures built a short ritual 260 day calendar and used it for daily routinary life. Using simple arithmetic calculations it is first shown that by forcing the introduction of the fundamental number 13 to calculate days in a calendar, a 364 day count can be built and from this, the short mesoamerican calendar of 260 days is constructed. It is also shown that the basic mesoamerican relation between the full solar 365 day calendar and the short one of 260 days given by: $365 \times 52 = 260 \times 73$ is Kepler's third law of orbital motion between Earth's period of time about the Sun and an imaginary synodic orbit with a 260 day period. Based on this basic mesoamerican relation and a general definition of an archaeoastronomical alignment, a full mathematical definition of a short calendar count is made. For particular cases, approximate calendar counts of 364 and 360 days are obtained with fundamental numbers 13 and 18 associated to each one respectively. The extra days required for this approximate calendar counts are a given solstice day for the former, and 4 plus 1 (the given solstice day) for the latter, generating the 5 nemontemi days used by ancient mesoamerican cultures. Motivated by an extended mesoamerican relation, two types of archaeoastronomical alignments are defined and their consequences are investigated. These Type-A and Type-B archaeoastronomical alignments motivate the introduction of extended fundamental or monad fractions that partition the approximate and short counts.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mesoamerican cultures used a 260 day short or ritual calendar, combined with a 365 day solar year calendar (e.g. Closs 2010, Gilsdorf 2012, Harvey and Williams 1980, Ortiz-Franco 1993, Thompson 2017). The use of a base 20 numerical system for counting, shines a light on the relevance of the number 260, since $260 = 20 \times 13$ and the number 13 was considered very important, of religious significance (see e.g. Fernández 1992, Leon-Portilla 2012). Thus, in principle it seems that 260 represents arithmetical harmony and the discussion about this choice should end here. However, one can argue as to why not use a shorter calendar of say $130 = 260/2$ days or a larger one of $520 = 260 \times 2$ days, etc.

The 260 days of the short mesoamerican calendar can be accounted for using the fact that the band located between $14^{\circ}42'N$ and $15^{\circ}N$ where the Sun crossing the Zenith occurs close to August 12–13 and 260 days later once more in April 30 – May 1. At this latitude there is a 260 day time interval between the northern autumn and spring zenithal transits of the sun (cf. Dowd and Milbrath 2015, and references therein). Henderson (1974) had the concern that there is a complementary 105 day interval between both dates which is not divisible by the relevant mesoamerican number 52, unless an added calibration of one day every solar year cycle was performed due to the fact that $105 = 52 \times 2$ plus 1.

This article presents a mathematical approach for the construction of the 260 day mesoamerican short calendar system based on archaeoastronomical alignments about a given solstice. The study begins by exploring and trying to fit the fundamental mesoamerican number 13 into

a full solar year of 365 days. In a natural way, this leads to a construction of an “approximate” solar year of 364 days and eventually to the 260 day short calendar creation. In Section II the whole arithmetic study is produced using the prime number decomposition of the numbers 365, 260 and 364, based on some numerical similarities and symmetries. In Section III it is noted the fact that Kepler's third law of planetary motion in synodic coordinates yields the basic mesoamerican relation $260 \times 73 = 365 \times 52$. In Section IV it is shown the relevance of the 365, 364 and 260 mesoamerican counts made in two archaeoastronomical sites and it is noted that basic fractions or monads were used to divide the 365 and 364 counts. Section V shows that using the synodic period of Venus and forcing the number 13 to enter into Kepler's third law, the short 260 day calendar is also obtained. In Section VI it is developed a formal mathematical way of building an approximate solar calendar with a natural creation of a short calendar count, based on two symmetrical archaeoastronomical alignments occurring about a given solstice. This definition has a lot of consequences and it is able to account for different calendar counts, including the $360 + 5$ days count used by ancient mesoamericans. It also shows how the number 13 is a consequence of an approximate solar calendar of 364 days, but its relevance is not unique since for this approximate solar calendar, number 18 becomes as fundamental as 13 related to the approximate and short counts. The whole analysis also suggest extended fundamental monad fractions Finally in Section VII a discussion of the obtained results is made.

* Email address: sergio@astro.unam.mx

II. THE IMPORTANCE OF NUMBER 13

The prime number decomposition of 365 and 260 are respectively given by:

$$365 = 73 \times 5, \quad (1)$$

$$260 = 52 \times 5 = 2^2 \times 13 \times 5. \quad (2)$$

It is immediately evident then that the the first choice for the number 260 is that it is divisible by 5, a characteristic inherited by the 365 days in a solar year.

As mentioned in the introduction, the number 13 had a strong relevance in mesoamerican cultures and as described before it appears in the prime number decomposition of 260 as expressed in equation (2).

The prime decomposition of 364, which is the number of days of a solar calendar minus one, is:

$$364 = 7 \times 52 = 2^2 \times 7 \times 13. \quad (3)$$

From this relation it can be seen that the number 364 is divisible by 2, 2^2 , 13 and 52, a property shared by the number 260. Also, the number 52 divides 260 into 5 parts and 364 into 7, so that:

$$\frac{364}{7} = \frac{260}{5} = 52, \quad \text{i.e.:} \quad 364 \times 5 = 260 \times 7 = 1820. \quad (4)$$

As it will be discussed in Section IV, some mesoamerican cultures aligned their monuments using this property.

The prime number decomposition of integers $\lesssim 365$ (see e.g. Wikipedia contributors 2022) that contains the fundamental number 13 is exactly 364. So, if the number 13 is to appear in the prime number decomposition of an approximate number of days for the solar calendar, then 364 is to be chosen, with the added bonus that the rectification to get the correct number of 365 days in a solar year is by waiting or adding one extra day after the 364th day each cycle¹

Since 364 is obtained after 7 periods of 52 according to equation (1), if a short calendar is to be constructed it seems reasonable to use a shorter (< 7) number of periods. So, it seems that a good choice for the number of days in a short calendar could be any of the following:

$$364 = 52 \times 7 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 7 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 7,$$

$$312 = 52 \times 6 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 6 = 13 \times 2^3 \times 3,$$

$$260 = 52 \times 5 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 5,$$

$$208 = 52 \times 4 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 4 = 13 \times 2^4,$$

$$156 = 52 \times 3 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 3, = 13 \times 2^2 \times 3,$$

$$104 = 52 \times 2 = 13 \times 2^2 \times 2, = 13 \times 2^3,$$

$$52 = 52 \times 1 = 13 \times 2^2.$$

From the previous set of numbers it can be seen that all choices could represent 13 days, grouped into sets of: 28, 24, 20, 16, 12, 6, 4 respectively. One can argue here that the number 28 is quite close to the sidereal period of the moon but there is no evidence that mesoamerican cultures knew about the sidereal concept of orbiting objects in the celestial sphere. In any case, 28 is quite close to the number of days of the synodic period of the moon which is 29.5 days. A closer look at the prime decomposition shown in the previous set of equations shows that the number 260 is the only one in the set which is divisible by 5, a property shared with the number 365 as shown in equation (1). In other words:

$$\frac{365}{73} = \frac{260}{52} = 5, \quad (5)$$

which implies:

$$365 \times 52 = 260 \times 73 = 18980. \quad (6)$$

This is the basic mesoamerican calendar relation between the complete solar cycle and the short one, since if both counts start at the same time it takes 18980 days for them to coincide again. Apart from the remarkable property of equation (5), the number 260 is the only one on the list which is divisible by 20, the base number used in ancient mesoamerica. As such, 13 days grouped in sets of 20 or “veintenas” can be chosen. As a direct consequence of this, it follows that the number 260 is also divisible by 4, so the 260 day count can also be grouped into quarters. Each of these four periods have 65 days each since $260 = 65 \times 4$. Having a division by 4 on the calendar was also performed in the full solar year, since formally this solar year consists of 360 normal days plus 5 special “nemontemi” days. In this case, $360 = 4 \times 90$ is naturally divisible by 4 with a resulting 90 days on each of the four periods. Since $360/20 = 18$, the mesoamericans constructed a solar year calendar consisting of 18 sets each having 20 days, plus 5 nemontemi days. The 364 day count can also be divided into 13 sets, each containing 28 days. This approximate solar year has exactly 4 important divisions of 91 days each. Note that this approximate solar year cannot be divided into periods of 20 days, nor is divisible by 5. But its relevance and use on mesoamerican cultures through archaeoastronomy is

¹ In a somewhat related manner, the accumulated extra hours produced by the 365 day count in a full solar year (accounted for leap years in the Gregorian calendar) could have been corrected by mesoamerican cultures, but this issue is controversial and has been strongly debated over the years (cf. Ezcurra, Ezcurra, and Meissner 2022, 2023, Šprajc 2023, and references therein).

discussed in Section IV. It will also be the base in Section VI to make a formal definition of a short calendar count.

An alternative way to choose the 260 day calendar from the approximate solar 364 cycle is obtained in the following manner. According to equation (3): $364 = 13 \times 28$. If the requirement is to find a shorter calendar that contains the number 13 in its prime number decomposition which also includes the prime number 5, sharing this property with the full solar year of 365 days, then the numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} 325 &= 13 \times 25, & 260 &= 13 \times 20, \\ 195 &= 13 \times 15, & 130 &= 13 \times 10, \end{aligned}$$

are good candidates. However, the only number divisible by 20 from the previous list is 260, with the extra property that is also divisible by 4.

The introduction of the number 13 on the full solar year of 365 days can be performed using a different approach by the multiplication of these numbers and so, using the prime number decompositions of equations (1) and (3) it follows that:

$$\frac{365 \times 13}{5 \times 73} = \frac{364}{2^2 \times 7} = 13, \quad (7)$$

i.e.:

$$365 \times (2^2 \times 13) \times 7 = 364 \times 5 \times 73,$$

so that:

$$\begin{aligned} (365 \times 52) \times 7 &= 364 \times 5 \times 73, \\ &= (2^2 \times 7 \times 13) \times 5 \times 73, \\ &= (20 \times 13) \times 73 \times 7, \\ &= (260 \times 73) \times 7, \end{aligned}$$

which after division by 7 yields the mesoamerican basic calendar relation (6).

III. KEPLER'S THIRD LAW

There is no evidence that the mesoamerican cosmological culture identified the motion of some of the bright points on the celestial sphere as planets orbiting about the sun. This is the reason as to why all orbital motions of celestial bodies observed by the mesoamerican cultures are to be described in synodic coordinates, i.e. coordinates which are relevant to an observer on Earth (and not sidereal coordinates which are related to an observer at rest with respect to the Sun). The relation between synodic and sidereal orbiting periods for planetary orbits smaller than the orbit of the Earth is:

$$\frac{1}{T_{\text{sid}}} = \frac{1}{T_{\oplus}} + \frac{1}{T}, \quad (8)$$

where T represents the synodic period of time, $T_{\oplus} = 365$ days is the Earth's sidereal period of time about the sun and T_{sid} is the corresponding sidereal period of time (see e.g. Smart, Smart, and Green 1977).

Since Kepler's third law for circular motion² is given by (e.g. Goldstein, Poole, and Safko 2014):

$$T_{\text{sid}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{R^3}{GM_{\odot}}}, \quad (9)$$

where R represents the radius of a particular circular orbit, G is Newton's gravitational constant and M_{\odot} is the mass of the Sun. Substitution of equation (8) into relation (9) yields:

$$T = T_{\oplus} N = (365 \text{ days}) \times N, \quad (10)$$

where the dimensionless number N is given by:

$$N := \frac{(R/R_{\oplus})^{3/2}}{1 - (R/R_{\oplus})^{3/2}}, \quad (11)$$

and R_{\oplus} is the radius of the orbit of the Earth about the Sun. The advantage of Kepler's third law in synodic coordinates as presented in equation (10) is that from the point of view of an observer on the surface of the Earth, $N = T/(365 \text{ days})$, so that if a synodic period of 260 days is required –e.g. the short mesoamerican calendar, then

$$N = \frac{260}{365} = \frac{52 \times 5}{73 \times 5} = \frac{52}{73}. \quad (12)$$

In the previous equation, the ratio 260/365 has been expressed as the irreducible fraction 52/73. Substitution of this result in equation (10) for a value of $T = 260$ days yields relation (6). In other words, equation (6) is a representation of Kepler's third law in synodic coordinates, i.e. in coordinates adapted for an observer of the sky on Earth. It is important to note that the dimensionless constant N was constructed using a perfect idealised Earth's period orbit of 365 days and a perfect idealised orbit of 260 days.

It is quite extraordinary that the number 13 was used with so much power in mesoamerican cultures. At first sight it all seems to be related with religion and/or cultural and sociological aspects which go beyond the scope of this article³. However, we will see in Section VI that

² The eccentricity of all planets in the solar system (except for Mercury) is quite close to 0 and so, their orbits are very close to a circle.

³ With respect to cultural aspects, it is worth mentioning that if the thumb is used as a tool to count the three phalanges of each of the remaining four fingers in one's hand, then number 12 is reached and to end the counting one still has the thumb, reaching the prime number 13.

number 13 was chosen with a fine tuning mathematical selection for building a short calendar count motivated by archaeoastronomical alignments.

IV. SOME ARCHAEOASTRONOMY EXAMPLES

There is no better way to show the relevance of the calculations performed in the previous sections than that of using two examples of archaeoastronomy alignments in mesoamerica: Teotihuacan and Tenochtitlan in Mexico (see e.g. Galindo Trejo 2010a,b, for pictures and more Mexican sites with these properties).

The Sun pyramid in Teotihuacan aligns with the solar disc on October 29 and on February 12 at sunrise. The reason for this is that after the October 29 alignment, one has to wait 52 days in order to reach the December 21 solstice. From this, 52 days later one arrives at February 12. From this last date, after $260 = 52 \times 5$ days one arrives at the starting point (the next alignment) of October 29. In other words, the first and last day of the short 260 count are represented with archaeoastronomical alignments. The 364 day count (which has 7 periods of 52 days each) was used adding an extra day for the solstice. At sunset, the solar disc aligns with Teotihuacan Sun's pyramid on April 29 and August 13. From the alignment on April 29 one has to wait 52 days to reach the June 21 solstice. Later on, after 52 days, August 13 is reached. From this date one has to wait 260 days to complete the solar year cycle and arrive once more at the alignment on April 29. The elapsed time between any pair of these dates divide the approximate solar year in $2/7$ parts and since 260 divides the same period of time in $5/7$. This type of archaeoastronomical alignment is shown graphically in Figure 1. Note that the extra needed day to complete the full 365 day solar year is represented by the given solstice. In other words, the approximate 364 day count describes the full solar year of 365 days if the given solstice day is added to the 364 count.

Another method for archaeoastronomical alignment, using as base not 52 but 73 was used by the Aztecs in Tenochtitlan, now Mexico City. The great pyramid of Tenochtitlan (Templo Mayor) aligns with the solar disc at sunset on April 9 and September 2. After April 9, one has to wait 73 days to reach the June solstice. From this date, 73 days later one reaches September 2. One has to wait $219 = 73 \times 3$ days from this date to arrive at April 9 again. At sunrise the great pyramid of Tenochtitlan aligns with the solar disc on October 9 and March 4, since after 73 days from October 9 the December solstice is reached and from this, 73 days later one arrives at March 4. From here, $219 = 73 \times 3$ days later the cycle is completed arriving at October 9. The elapsed period of time between any pair of sunrise or sunset alignment dates divide the full solar year of 365 days in $2/5$. As such, the remaining 219 days divide the solar year




FIG. 1. The circular diagram in the Figure represents a 365 full solar year count. The short count of 260 days represent the complementary number of days to close after two archaeoastronomical alignments have occurred 52 days before and after one given June or December solstice. The addition of days is given by $260 + 52 + 52$ plus 1 extra day which corresponds to the solstice, reaching the complete full solar year of 365 days.

in $3/5$. This type of archaeoastronomical alignment is represented pictorially in Figure 2. The problem with this type of arrangement is that the solstice day needs to be counted inside any of the 73 day intervals for the alignment to take place. We will discuss in detail this in Section VI and show how the use of the number 73 is incorrect (but quite close) for the understanding of these types of archaeoastronomical alignments.

It is important to remark that the Aztecs used symbols for some particular fractions as reported by Williams and Jorge y Jorge (2008). These symbols or *monads* correspond to:

$$1/2, \quad 2/5, \quad \text{and} \quad 3/5, \quad (13)$$

of a unit and were represented by an arrow, a heart and a hand respectively. The monad fractions $2/5$ and $3/5$ seem to be used not only for dividing the unit of area, but also for aligning the great pyramid of Tenochtitlan at sunset or sunrise on some particular dates as previously discussed. In any case, both fractions divide the 365 days year in a astonishing way and were most probably motivated by the movement of the solar disc through the full solar year. From these last remarks and the ones stated about the division of the archaeoastronomical alignments at Teotihuacan, it is coherent to postulate that the Teoti-




FIG. 2. The Figure represents the 365 days of a full solar year. Beginning 73 days before a particular solstice, an archaeoastronomical alignment is chosen to occur and 73 days before the solstice the alignment repeats once more. The complete 365 cycle is finished after the elapse of 219 days more. Note that in this case, as opposed to Figure 1, the solstice day needs to be included on any of the 73 day intervals. To resolve the confusion on this, a coherent understanding of these type of alignments is presented in Section VI.

huacan civilisation used the following additional monad fractions:

$$2/7, \quad 5/7. \quad (14)$$

It will be shown in Section VI that all these monad fractions and a more general mathematical definition for them can be constructed once a short calendar count is defined.

V. VENUS

The motion of Venus in the celestial sphere was taken very seriously by the mesoamerican cultures (e.g. Galindo Trejo 2010b). Its synodic period in days is:

$$584 = 8 \times 73 = 2^3 \times 73. \quad (15)$$

In principle this sounds as a relevant period to take into account because the number 73 appears in this prime decomposition (as it happens for the full solar year of 365 days expressed in (1)). As such, the combination of equations (15) and (1) yields:

$$\frac{365}{5} = \frac{584}{8} = 73,$$

so that a Venus basic calendar relation (Kepler's third law) is given by:

$$365 \times 8 = 584 \times 5, \quad (16)$$

i.e., 8 solar years correspond exactly to 5 Venus synodic periods. Since the fundamental number 13 does not appear on the prime decomposition of either 365 or 584 it is possible to force it by multiplying equation (16) by 13, i.e.:

$$13 \times 8 \times 365 = 13 \times 5 \times 584,$$

so that:

$$(2 \times 52) \times 365 = (2 \times 73) \times 260,$$

which yields the mesoamerican basic relation (5) after multiplication by the 1/2 monad. In other words, the necessity of introducing the number 13 into Venus basic calendar relation expressed in equation (16) yields the mesoamerican basic relation (6) and naturally introduces the 260 day count.

VI. UNIQUENESS OF THE 260 DAY CYCLE

An archaeoastronomical alignment centered on a single given solstice day consists on the alignment of a structure with the sunrise or sunset at some particular dates. To visualise this, Figure 3 shows a mountain range with a north-south orientation in the horizon of a desert. Over the full solar year, the sun rises/sets at some particular year, the sun rises/sets at some particular point within the mountains between the two solstices, represented by the numbers 2 and 5 in the Figure. If one requires an archaeoastronomical alignment to occur at points 1 and 3, these two are symmetrical with respect to the given solstice 2. In other words, if an alignment occurs at 1, then after a certain number of days the solstice 2 is reached and then after the same number of days the alignment repeats again. The sun continues to move over the year towards point 4 which represents an equinox until it reaches the other solstice at point 5. On its way back, the sun reaches the other equinox at point 6, until the cycle is completed at point 1.

Archaeoastronomical alignments performed in ancient mesoamerica implied the existence of a short calendar count, represented by the magenta area in the circular passage of time (the number of days between positions 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1). The remaining number of days, represented by the addition of the green and yellow areas in the circular diagram plus the given solstice day depicted by the red arrow complete the full solar cycle of 365 days.




FIG. 3. The Figure shows a mountain range with a north-south orientation. The sun rises or sets through the mountains between the two solstice days represented by numbers 2 and 5 in the Figure. At position 1, an archaeoastronomical alignment occurs. From it, after a certain number of days, the solstice 2 is reached and after the same number of days, the alignment repeats once more. After this, the Sun continues to move towards the equinox in point 4, reaching the solstice 5 and then coming back to the other equinox in point 6 until it finally completes the full solar year cycle of 365 days in 1. The circular diagram represents in magenta the short calendar count which is the number of days elapsed between position $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$. The addition of the green and yellow areas represent the number of days (including in the count the given solstice day represented by the red arrow) to complete the 365 full solar year. The addition of the magenta and green areas represent the number of days between both alignments that do not pass through the given solstice day and constitute an approximate solar count $\lesssim 365$ days. The yellow area are the extra days (including the given solstice day represented by the red arrow) needed to complete the full solar year count from the approximate count. The sketch was kindly made by Marisela Mendoza.

In order to account mathematically for the short calendar count, an approximate calendar count $\lesssim 365$ days is required and is represented in the diagram as the sum of the magenta and green areas. The extra days to complete the full solar year count of 365 days are represented by the yellow area on the diagram plus the given solstice day depicted by the red arrow.

Let us now find out whether the construction of an approximate calendar with a corresponding short calendar is a unique choice. To do so, let us take as a reference point the sunrise or sunset of any of the June or December solstices and make the following definition for a short calendar:

Definition 1 (Short calendar count) Let $N = 365$ represent the total number of days in a full solar year. If $n \lesssim N$ is a natural number of an approximate solar year in days and if the integer number d is a divisor of

n , then an approximate solar count n satisfies a basic mesoamerican relation (Kepler's third law) with the full solar count of $N = 365$ days if the integer quantity:

$$q := n \left(\frac{d-2}{d} \right) = n - \frac{2n}{d}, \quad (17)$$

is divisible by any of the divisors of 365, i.e. if the expression in equation (17) is divisible by 5 and/or 73. To restrict more the possible q 's and in order to be in harmony with a base 20 numerical system used by the ancient mesoamericans, let us also impose the quantity q to be divisible by 20 so that the number of q days can be organised in sets of twenty (veintenas). The accumulation of

$$q_{20} := q/20, \quad (18)$$

veintenas corresponds to the full number of days q in the short calendar. This fundamental mesoamerican number is the key for cycling up veintenas that reach the number q . Note that since we required q to be divisible by 20, it is also divisible by 4 and 5.

Remark 1 Since q is divisible by 5, then let the integer number:

$$q_5 := q/5, \quad (19)$$

so that the corresponding extended mesoamerican relation is given by $5 = q/q_5 = 365/73$. In other words:

$$q \times 73 = q_5 \times 365. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, if q is divisible by 73, then define the integer number

$$q_{73} := q/73, \quad (21)$$

so that the corresponding extended mesoamerican relation is $73 = q/q_{73} = 365/5$, i.e.:

$$q \times 5 = q_{73} \times 365. \quad (22)$$

For this particular case, the restriction of q being also divisible by 20 makes the approximate solar count n not to be quite close to 365 and so, in what follows we will not consider any divisibility of q by 73.

Remark 2 If n is a multiple of 5, relation (17) is naturally divisible by 5. This divisibility also occurs if 7 is the last digit of a particular divisor d of the approximate calendar n , i.e. if $d = 7 \times 10^0 + a_1 \times 10^1 + a_2 \times 10^2 + \dots$, for some natural numbers a_1, a_2, \dots , since $d - 2 = 5 \times 10^0 + a_1 \times 10^1 + a_2 \times 10^2 + \dots$, which is indeed divisible by 5. Analogously, if 2 is the last digit of a particular divisor d , the statement holds. Note that to fulfil the requirements of Definition 1 the right-hand side of equation (17) should also be divisible by 20.

As an example, let us choose $n = 364 = 2^2 \times 7 \times 13$. It then follows that the choice $d = 7$ satisfies the requirements of Definition 1, with $q = 260$ and a basic mesoamerican relation given by equation (6). Since $q = 260$ is divisible by 20 then the fundamental mesoamerican number is $q_{20} = 13$. This result shows the relevance of number 13 for ancient mesoamerican cultures and how it was constructed.

In what follows, it will be useful to define the following natural numbers:

$$q_c := n - q = \frac{2n}{d} = \frac{2q}{d-2}, \quad (23)$$

$$q_{d-2} := \frac{q_c}{2} = \frac{n-q}{2} = \frac{n}{d} = \frac{q}{d-2}, \quad (24)$$

$$(2q_5)_c := n - 2q_5 = n - \frac{2}{5}q, \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{n}{5} \left(\frac{3d+4}{d} \right) = \frac{q}{5} \left(\frac{3d+4}{d-2} \right),$$

$$n_c := n_{\text{nem}} := N - n = 365 - n, \quad (26)$$

$$n^* := \frac{n_c - 1}{2} = \frac{n_{\text{nem}} - 1}{2} = \frac{N - n - 1}{2} \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{365 - n - 1}{2} = 182 - \frac{n}{2}.$$

where q_c is the number of days to reach n from q , q_{d-2} represents half q_c , $(2q_5)_c$ stands for the number of days to reach the number n from the value $2q_5$, n_c measures the deviation of days from the full solar year N , i.e. it is the number of days required to reach N from n and $2n^*$ is the number n_c minus 1 (the given solstice day).

From the previous definitions we can construct two types of archaeoastronomical alignments:

Definition 2 (Type-A alignments) Using as a base the concept of a short calendar count q in Definition 1 and the fact that:

$$N = n + n_c = q + q_c + n_c, \quad (28)$$

$$= q + 2q_{d-2} + 2n^* + 1,$$

it is natural to define two archaeoastronomical alignments on a full solar year that occur $q_{d-2} + n^*$ days before and after a given solstice day. The remaining number of days between each alignment is q . This type of alignment is shown pictorially in Figure 4.

Definition 3 (Type-B alignments) Since the quantities q_{d-2} and q_5 appear in a very symmetrical form on the basic mesoamerican relation (20), instead of using q_{d-2} in equation (28), let us use q_5 so that:

$$N = n + n_c = (2q_5)_c + 2q_5 + n_c, \quad (29)$$

$$= (2q_5)_c + 2q_5 + 2n^* + 1.$$

This leads to the construction of two archaeoastronomical alignments that occur $q_5 + n^*$ days before and after a given solstice day. The number of days to complete the full solar year N is $(2q_5)_c$ plus 1 (the given solstice day). In this sense, the quantity $(2q_5)_c$ can be taken as a short calendar count, analogous to q for type-A alignments. Type-B alignments are presented in Figure 5.

The previous two definitions imply directly the following result:




FIG. 4. The figure shows a circular schematic representation of Type-A archaeological alignments occurring on a full 365 day solar calendar. The alignments occur q_{d-2} days before and after a given solstice. The remaining q number of days between both alignments represent a short calendar count. The full solar year of 365 days is the sum: $q + 2q_{d-2} + 2n^*$ plus 1 (the chosen solstice day).

Theorem 1 *Type A and B archaeological alignments merge into a single one when $q_{d-2} = q_5$, which occurs for $d = 7$. In other words, a unique type of archaeological alignment can be constructed with the requirements of Definition 1 if the approximate solar count n is divisible by $d = 7$.*

Since the approximate solar count $n = 364$ with the choice $d = 7$ yields the short count $q = 260$, it follows that only one type of archaeological alignment can be constructed with these choices.

I have written a Bourne Again Shell (bash) script code named `aztekas-calendar`⁴ in order to find out natural numbers ≈ 365 that satisfy the requirements of Definition 1. The results are shown in Table I for $n \in [340, 364]$.

As expected one can construct a unique type-A and type-B short calendar of $q = 260$ days based on an approximate solar calendar $n = 364$ days with the fundamental mesoamerican number $q_{d-2} = q_5 = 13$ and with a basic mesoamerican relation (6). For the same $n = 364$, the divisor $d = 182$ satisfies the required test of Defini-




FIG. 5. The figure shows a circular schematic representation of Type-B archaeological alignments that occur on a full 365 day solar calendar. The alignments take place $q_5 = q/5$ days before and after a given solstice, with q the short calendar count. The remaining number of days $(2q_5)_c$ can be thought of as a short calendar count for Type-B alignment types. The full solar year of 365 days is the sum: $(2q_5)_c + 2q_5 + 2n^*$ plus 1 (the chosen solstice day).

tion 1 and so, a short count $q = 360$ with the fundamental basic mesoamerican number $q_{20} = 18$ can also be constructed with type-A and type-B alignments:

- Type-A($n = 364, d = 182$) \implies ($q = 360, q_{d-2} = q_{180} = 2, n^* = 0$). This is presented in Figure 6.
- Type-B($n = 364, d = 182$) \implies ($q_5 = 72, (2q_5)_c = 216, n^* = 0$). This is shown in Figure 7.

It is important to note that with the archaeological requirements mentioned in the definitions of equations (17) and (18), the fundamental mesoamerican numbers 13 and 18 appear for the short calendar counts of 260 and 360 days respectively, both constructed with an approximate solar count of 364 days.

Type-A($n = 364, d = 182$) alignment mentioned in the previous list and shown in Figure 6 signals the way to construct a 360 normal day count plus 5 nemontemi days. In other words, the 360 day count used by the ancient mesoamericans represents a short calendar count of a 364 approximate solar year. The 365 day count is reached by adding 5 nemontemi days. This 360+5 day count cannot be taken into account for an archaeological alignment occurring 2 days before and after a given solstice, since both alignment would be quite indistinguishable from each other. However, if one chooses to have a single alignment occurring at any given solstice, then this type

⁴ The `aztekas-calendar` bash script is © 2023 Sergio Mendoza, licensed under the GNU General Public License (GPL) and can be downloaded at <https://aztekas.org/aztekas-calendar>.

n	q	q_{20}	d	q_{d-2}	q_5	$(2q_5)_c$	Mesoamerican relation
340	300	15	17	20	60	220	$300 \times 73 = 60 \times 365$
340	320	16	34	10	64	212	$320 \times 73 = 64 \times 365$
344	340	17	172	2	68	208	$340 \times 73 = 68 \times 365$
348	340	17	87	4	68	212	$340 \times 73 = 68 \times 365$
350	280	14	10	35	56	238	$280 \times 73 = 56 \times 365$
350	300	15	14	25	60	230	$300 \times 73 = 60 \times 365$
350	340	17	70	5	68	214	$340 \times 73 = 68 \times 365$
352	320	16	22	16	64	224	$320 \times 73 = 64 \times 365$
360	120	6	3	120	24	312	$120 \times 73 = 24 \times 365$
360	180	9	4	90	36	288	$180 \times 73 = 36 \times 365$
360	240	12	6	60	48	264	$240 \times 73 = 48 \times 365$
360	280	14	9	40	56	248	$280 \times 73 = 56 \times 365$
360	300	15	12	30	60	240	$300 \times 73 = 60 \times 365$
360	320	16	18	20	64	232	$320 \times 73 = 64 \times 365$
360	340	17	36	10	68	224	$340 \times 73 = 68 \times 365$
364	260	13	7	52	52	260	$260 \times 73 = 52 \times 365$
364	360	18	182	2	72	220	$360 \times 73 = 72 \times 365$

TABLE I. The table shows different approximate solar calendar counts n of a full solar year of $N = 365$ days, and their corresponding short counts q according to the Definition 1. The meaning of the different columns is expressed in equations (23)-(25). The last column stands for the corresponding basic Mesoamerican relation (20). Each line on the table generates one Type-A and one Type-B archaeoastronomical alignment as described in Definitions 2 and 3.

of calendar count can be used. Furthermore, there is no necessity any more to have a solstice, since the chosen day for the alignment can be also any other particular date of importance on any specific day of the year, e.g. a single equinox day.

Type-B($n = 364$, $d = 182$) alignment mentioned in the previous list and shown in Figure 7 is such that 72 days before and after a given solstice an archaeoastronomical alignment occurs. The separation of 220 days between both completes the approximate solar count of $n = 364$ days. The full solar year is completed when the solstice day is added to the sum. This is the correct way to express archaeoastronomical alignments such as the one presented in Section IV for the Templo Mayor in Tenochtitlan, and so the common way of describing these type of alignment as presented in Figure 1 is wrong.

From the Definition 2 of Type-A alignment shown in Figure 4 it follows that the approximate count $n = q + 2q_{d-2}$, i.e. the approximate count n is splitted in a set of q days plus a set of $2q_{d-2}$ days, which can be written in terms of n as:

$$n = \frac{2}{d}n + \frac{d-2}{d}n. \quad (30)$$

The previous equation shows how the number of days on the approximate count has been partitioned in the green (first term on the right-hand side of equation (30))




FIG. 6. The Figure shows Type-A($n = 364$, $d = 182$) alignment which yields a $q = 360$ “short” calendar count. Archaeoastronomical alignments occur 2 days before and after the given solstice. This construction can be used to align structures on the solstice day and/or to the way a full solar year should be structured: 360 normal days plus 2 + 2 + 1 additional nemontemi days (counting the solstice as one of the 5 special nemontemi days).




FIG. 7. The Figure shows Type-B($n = 364$, $d = 182$) construction which has two alignments occurring $q_5 = 72$ days before and after a given solstice. These two sets of days plus their complement of 220 and the addition of the given solstice day, complete the full solar year of 365 days.

and the magenta (second term on the right-hand side of relation (30)) of Figure 4. In analogous way, we can divide the short count q into two parts:

$$q = \frac{2}{d-2}q + \frac{d-4}{d-2}q. \quad (31)$$

Relations (30) and 31 motivate a fundamental division between Type-A alignments to occur. As such, we can make the following definition:

Definition 4 For Type-A alignments a family of two monad Type-A fraction sets are defined as:

$$m_{n1}^{(A)} := \frac{2}{d}, \quad \text{and}, \quad m_{n2}^{(A)} := \frac{d-2}{d}. \quad (32)$$

$$m_{q1}^{(A)} := \frac{2}{d-2}, \quad \text{and}, \quad m_{q2}^{(A)} := \frac{d-4}{d-2}. \quad (33)$$

As an example, let us use $n = 364$ with $d = 7$, so that:

$$m_{n1}^{(A)} = 2/7, \quad m_{n2}^{(A)} = 5/7, \quad m_{q1}^{(A)} = 2/5, \quad m_{q2}^{(A)} = 3/5, \quad (34)$$

which correspond to the Teotihuacan and Aztec monad fractions described in Section IV.

In a similar way it is possible to define two monad Type-B fraction sets using the following relations:

$$n = \frac{2(d-2)}{5d}n + \frac{3d+4}{5d}n, \quad (35)$$

$$(2q_5)_c = \frac{2(d-2)}{3d+4}(2q_5)_c + \frac{d+8}{3d+4}(2q_5)_c, \quad (36)$$

which are motivated by Definition 3 presented in Figure 5.

Definition 5 For Type-B alignments a family of two monad Type-B fraction sets are defined as:

$$m_{n1}^{(B)} := \frac{2(d-2)}{5d}, \quad \text{and}, \quad m_{n2}^{(B)} := \frac{3d+4}{5d}. \quad (37)$$

$$m_{(2q_5)_c1}^{(B)} := \frac{2(d-2)}{3d+4}, \quad \text{and}, \quad m_{(2q_5)_c2}^{(B)} := \frac{d+8}{3d+4}. \quad (38)$$

From all explorations I performed for Type-A and Type-B monads, all fractions produced seem not useful, except for the case $d = 7$ in which both Type-A and Type-B monads converge to relations (34).

From the results of Table I and using the fact that Figure 6 motivates the idea of a $360 + 5$ solar count, it is quite interesting to see that an approximate $n = 360$ days count can be chosen. This choice produces the following alignments:

- Type-A($n = 360, d = 3$) $\implies (q = 120, q_{d-2} = q_1 = 120, n^* = 2)$.

- Type-B($n = 360, d = 3$) $\implies (q_5 = 24, (2q_5)_c = 312, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 4$) $\implies (q = 180, q_{d-2} = q_2 = 90, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 4$) $\implies (q_5 = 36, (2q_5)_c = 288, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 6$) $\implies (q = 240, q_{d-2} = q_4 = 60, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 6$) $\implies (q_5 = 48, (2q_5)_c = 264, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 9$) $\implies (q = 280, q_{d-2} = q_7 = 40, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 9$) $\implies (q_5 = 56, (2q_5)_c = 248, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 12$) $\implies (q = 300, q_{d-2} = q_{10} = 30, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 12$) $\implies (q_5 = 60, (2q_5)_c = 240, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 18$) $\implies (q = 320, q_{d-2} = q_{16} = 20, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 18$) $\implies (q_5 = 64, (2q_5)_c = 232, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-A($n = 360, d = 36$) $\implies (q = 340, q_{d-2} = q_{34} = 10, n^* = 2)$.
- Type-B($n = 360, d = 36$) $\implies (q_5 = 68, (2q_5)_c = 224, n^* = 2)$.

From the previous list, it is important to look at the case Type-A($n = 360, d = 4$) shown in Figure 8 since the archaeoastronomical alignments occur 92 days before and after the given solstice. In other words, both alignments occur quite close to the March and September equinoxes. This is the reason as to why some mesoamerican monuments, e.g. the pyramid of Kukulcan in Chichen Itza (see e.g. Galindo Trejo 2010a,b), present alignments on the equinoxes.

The remaining Type-A and Type-B alignments presented in the previous list may have some representations in mesoamerican cultures and should be searched for in future archaeoastronomical/archaeological investigations.

VII. DISCUSSION

This article began with the exploration of prime number decompositions of the relevant counts of 260 and 365 days used in ancient mesoamerica. With this it was shown that if number 13 was forcibly introduced into some important relations then a short count of 260 days




FIG. 8. The Figure shows a circular calendar count of the full solar year of 365 days. It corresponds to Type-A ($n=360$, $d=4$), so both alignments occur 92 days before and after a given solstice. Thus, they both approximately occur on the March and September equinoxes. There are 180 remaining days that added with 1 solstice day add up to reach the 365 days on a full solar year.

is needed. On this exploration, it was also shown that the basic mesoamerican calendar relation presented in equation (6) is a representation of Kepler's third law in synodic coordinates related to the orbital period of the Earth about the Sun and an imaginary orbital synodic period of 260 days. There is no evidence, at least written, that a knowledge of Kepler's laws of planetary motion were known to the mesoamerican cultures. Nevertheless, it is surprising that such basic calendar relation, constructed using basic arithmetical computations related to the number of days on a full solar year and the short 260 count, is an indirect representation of Kepler's third law of planetary motion of two imaginary planets: one with a perfect 260 day circular periodic orbit and a perfect circular 365 day orbit of the Earth about the Sun. The key to build a mathematical concept of a short calendar count was to merge all these concepts into two well known types of archaeoastronomical alignments that occur at sunrise/sunset with respect to a given solstice day, and were exemplified in Section IV with alignments occurring at Teotihuacan and Tenochtitlan.

With the explorations mentioned in the previous paragraph it was then possible to give a precise mathematical definition of a short calendar in Section VI. The definition uses the concept of an *approximate calendar count* and takes into account the existence of a generalised basic calendar mesoamerican relation (Kepler's third law).

To be in harmony with a base 20 numerical system, the short calendar count was postulated to be divisible by 20. The ratio between the short calendar count and the number 20 makes it possible to group days into veintenas (sets of twenty) so that after twenty times that ratio, the short calendar count is completed.

The most relevant approximate solar calendar count found in this article that satisfies the requirements of Definition 1 was 364 days and was presented pictorially in Figure 1, with a short calendar count of 260 days. The ratio of 260 over 20 produces the very well known fundamental number 13 used by ancient mesoamericans. The short calendar count occurs 52 days after a given solstice, exactly at one archaeoastronomical alignment and ends 260 days after that signalled by another archaeoastronomical alignment. When 52 days after this second alignment have elapsed, the chosen solstice day is reached again. The full solar 365 day count is reached by adding the chosen solstice day to the approximate count of 364 days.

The general symmetry presented in an extended mesoamerican relation was used to define two classes of alignments (or short calendar counts): Type-A and Type-B presented in Definitions 2-3. Then it was found that with a short calendar of 360 days plus 5 nemontemi days (one of these nemontemi days being the chosen solstice day), alignments occur 2 days previous and subsequent to the given solstice day, or due to the approximate accuracy of an alignment, one can take a single alignment occurring at the solstice day or for that matter at any other reference day, e.g. an single equinox. For this type of short calendar, the ratio 360 over 20 yields the important number 18 (which has the same relevance as number 13 in the 260 short calendar count). Veintenas of 18 days were used in ancient mesoamerica for grouping days on the 360 count.

It was also shown that with the approximate solar count of 364 days, another short calendar of 220 days can be constructed since that number of days is required to complete the approximate solar count of 364 days when two archaeoastronomical alignments occur 72 days before and after a given solstice. This represents a completely unexpected way to account for these type of alignments (exemplified in this article using the Templo Mayor at Tenochtitlan, Mexico City)⁵.

It is important to note that there is no simple way to account in a calendar for the full solar year which is 365 days plus 5 hours + 48 minutes + 46 seconds. The

⁵ As explained in Section IV, the usual explanation for these alignments is that they occur 73 days before and after a given solstice, with the remaining number of days being 219. Although the reasoning is correct, the solstice day needs to be inserted inside any of the periods of 73 days. This erroneous way of partitioning the full solar year count of 365 days was produced because of the inaccuracy that occurs at astronomical alignments: an archaeoastronomical alignment occurs always at a certain date within a few days of approximation.

Gregorian calendar we use for our daily routinary life is not perfect, nor will it be any which we try to construct. As such, sooner or later a correction is to be performed. The mesoamerican counts of 365, 364, 360 and 260 days are no exception to this rule.

As mentioned in Section II, there is no written evidence of corrections for leap years by ancient mesoamerican cultures. Definition 1 requires a perfect 365 day calendar count to work in a calendar form so as to have two symmetrical archaeoastronomical alignments to occur. The only way to perform this on a calendar count is to have it corrected in an analogous way as corrections are made on leap years in the Gregorian calendar. In a sense, ancient mesoamerican cultures were quite used to perform the corrections required every year for the approximate calendar count of 360 days by the introduction of the 5

nemontemi extra days⁶.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a PAPIIT DGAPA-UNAM grant IN110522. SM acknowledges support from CONACyT (26344). The author is grateful to Daniel Flores for fruitful discussions over the years about the Teotihuacan culture. The author dedicates this article to Christos Emiliano Georgopolous Mendoza since all these thoughts started while trying to show him (and failing to do so) that the prime number 13 is by no means a bad or unlucky number, but the contrary: it is the fundamental mesoamerican number!

Closs, M., *Native American Mathematics* (University of Texas Press, 2010).

Dowd, A. and Milbrath, S., *Cosmology, Calendars, and Horizon-Based Astronomy in Ancient Mesoamerica* (University Press of Colorado, 2015).

Ezcurra, E., Ezcurra, P., and Meissner, B., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **119** (2022), 10.1073/pnas.2215615119.

Ezcurra, E., Ezcurra, P., and Meissner, B., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **120** (2023), 10.1073/pnas.2300250120.

Fernández, A., *Dioses prehispánicos de México: mitos y deidades del panteón náhuatl* (Panorama Editorial, 1992).

Galindo Trejo, J., Ciencias **95** (2010a), <https://revistas.unam.mx/index.php/cns/article/view/14877>.

Galindo Trejo, J., Ciencia. Revista de la Academia Mexicana de Ciencias **60** (2010b), <https://www.revistaciencia.amc.edu.mx/index.php/98-vol-60-num-1-enero-marzo-2009/indice62/154-indice-60-1>.

Gilsdorf, T., *Introduction to Cultural Mathematics: With Case Studies in the Otomies and Incas* (Wiley, 2012).

Goldstein, H., Poole, C., and Safko, J., *Classical Mechanics* (Pearson Education, 2014).

Harvey, H. R. and Williams, B. J., Science **210**, 499 (1980).

Henderson, J. S., Science **185**, 542 (1974).

Leon-Portilla, M., *Aztec Thought and Culture: A Study of the Ancient Nahuatl Mind*, Civilization of the American Indian Series (University of Oklahoma Press, 2012).

Ortiz-Franco, L., Latinos and mathematics, ERIC (1993), <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED374983>.

Smart, W., Smart, W., and Green, R., *Textbook on Spherical Astronomy* (Cambridge University Press, 1977).

Šprajc, I., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **120** (2023), 10.1073/pnas.2221519120.

Thompson, J., *Maya Hieroglyphic Writing* (CHIZINE PUBN, 2017).

Wikipedia contributors,, “Table of prime factors — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia,” https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Table_of_prime_factors&oldid=1079440952 (2022), [Online; accessed 21-November-2022].

Williams, B. J. and Jorge y Jorge, M. d. C., Science **320**, 72 (2008).

⁶ A possibility is that the leap year correction of one day was added as an extra nemontemi day every four years, but one has to take

this statement with care since there is no written evidence for this.