# Statistics of remote regions of networks

J. G. Oliveira<sup>a</sup>, S. N. Dorogovtsev<sup>a,b</sup>, J. F. F. Mendes<sup>a</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Física da Universidade de Aveiro* & *I3N, Campus Universitário de Santiago, Aveiro, 3810-193, Portugal b Io*ff*e Physico-Technical Institute, St. Petersburg, 194021, Russia*

### Abstract

We delve into the statistical properties of regions within complex networks that are distant from vertices with high centralities, such as hubs or highly connected clusters. These remote regions play a pivotal role in shaping the asymptotic behaviours of various spreading processes and the features of associated spectra. We investigate the probability distribution  $P_{\ge m}(s)$  of the number *s* of vertices located at distance *m* or beyond from a randomly chosen vertex in an undirected network. Earlier, this distribution and its large *m* asymptotics  $1/s^2$  were obtained<br>theoretically for undirected uncorrelated networks [1]. Employing numerical simulations and analysing e theoretically for undirected uncorrelated networks [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Employing numerical simulations and analysing empirical data, we explore a wide range of real undirected networks and their models, including trees and loopy networks, and reveal that the inverse square law is valid even for networks with strong correlations. We observe this law in the networks demonstrating the small-world effect and containing vertices with degree 1 (so-called leaves or dead ends). We find the specific classes of networks for which this law is not valid. Such networks include the finite-dimensional networks and the networks embedded in finite-dimensional spaces. We notice that long chains of nodes in networks reduce the range of *m* for which the inverse square law can be spotted. Interestingly, we detect such long chains in the remote regions of the undirected projection of a large Web domain.

#### *Keywords:*

complex networks, statistics, small-world effect

#### 1. Introduction

The particular focus within the research field of the statistical physics of complex networks is on the exploration and comprehension of the central regions of a network that house vertices with high centralities, such as hubs or highly connected clusters. A large number of various centrality measures are used for discovery and indexing of this important central part [\[2,](#page-4-1) [3,](#page-4-2) [4,](#page-4-3) [5,](#page-4-4) [6,](#page-4-5) [7,](#page-4-6) [8,](#page-4-7) [9,](#page-4-8) [10,](#page-4-9) [11\]](#page-4-10). The statistical properties of the remote regions of networks, distant from vertices with high centralities, are far less studied despite their significant role in the asymptotic behaviour of various spreading processes, random walks, and the features of associated spectra [\[12,](#page-4-11) [13,](#page-4-12) [14\]](#page-4-13). One of the simplest statistical characteristics of the remote regions of networks is the shape of the tail of the distribution of shortest-path lengths [\[15,](#page-4-14) [16,](#page-4-15) [17,](#page-4-16) [1,](#page-4-0) [18,](#page-4-17) [19,](#page-4-18) [20,](#page-4-19) [21,](#page-4-20) [22,](#page-4-21) [23,](#page-4-22) [24,](#page-4-23) [25\]](#page-4-24). Notably, in the networks demonstrating the small-world effect, this distribution approaches the delta function shape as the network size tends to infinity [\[26\]](#page-4-25) in contrast to finite-dimensional networks ("large worlds"). Hence, the exploration of remote network regions sug-

gests a focus on networks that are large yet finite in size. In this paper we consider another statistical characteristic of the remote network regions in the giant connected component, namely, the probability distribution  $P_{\geq m}(s)$ of the number *s* of vertices located at distance *m* or beyond from a randomly chosen vertex. This distribution was obtained theoretically in Ref. [\[1\]](#page-4-0) for the configuration model of undirected uncorrelated networks with an arbitrary degree distribution. It was shown that the large *s* asymptotics of this distribution, for sufficiently large *m*, follows the inverse square law

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
P_{\geq m}(s) \propto s^{-2} \tag{1}
$$

if an uncorrelated network contains leaves (dead end vertices), that is vertices of degree 1, while for the uncorrelated networks with the lowest non-zero degree of vertices equal 3, the asymptotics does not follow this law. In the intermediate case of the uncorrelated networks with the lowest non-zero degree of vertices equal 2, this asymptotics was obtained theoretically, but the range of its validity turned out to be narrow in reasonably sized networks, and so it is difficult to observe.

*Preprint submitted to Chaos, Solitons* & *Fractals October 23, 2023*

One should emphasize that uncorrelated networks are rather special in the sense that they account only complex degree distributions, devoiding of the various correlations and short cycles that are prevalent in the majority of real-world networks. Furthermore, these compact networks, despite their locally tree-like organization, contain cycles, and hence they cannot be proper trees. This is why the theoretical asymptotics, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0), was obtained only for a narrow class of networks. In this work we reveal that this inverse square asymptotics is actually observed in diverse real-world and synthetic undirected networks including strongly correlated networks, trees and loopy networks, demonstrating the small-world effect. These nets belong to the class of networks that is much wider than the uncorrelated networks. On the other hand, we indicate a set of networks for which this law is not valid. In particular, this set includes the finitedimensional networks and the networks embedded in finite-dimensional metric spaces.

Each distribution, plotted in each figure of this paper, was measured for one network realization through numerical computation of the number *s* of vertices located at distance *m* or beyond from each (and every) vertex in that specific realization of the network.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section [2](#page-1-0) we generate a number of synthetic networks, including an Erdős–Rényi random graph, a random uniform tree, growing trees and loopy networks with various degree distributions and correlations, and measure in these networks the distribution  $P_{\geq m}(s)$  and its asymptotics. In Section [3](#page-2-0) we analyze the structure of the remote regions of a set of real-world networks, including social networks, the Internet and the WWW, power grids, and road networks. We classify the networks in which the inverse square law is observed and indicate the networks in which it is not valid. In Section [4](#page-3-0) we discuss our results.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2. Inverse square law in synthetic networks

It is natural to start our study with an Erdős–Rényi random graph as the classical paradigm for random networks, being an uncorrelated network with a Poisson degree distribution. Figure [1\(](#page-6-0)a) shows the distributions  $P_{\geq m}(s)$  for different *m* observed in the Erdős–Rényi random graph of  $10<sup>6</sup>$  vertices, each pair of which is interconnected with a probability *p*, where the average degree of a vertex  $\langle q \rangle \cong pN$  is 5. For the sake of comparison, for each *m* in the plot we indicate the corresponding theoretical asymptotics from Ref. [\[1\]](#page-4-0):

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
P_{\geq m}(s) \cong N \frac{z_c^{-1-n_0} B^2}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} z_c^m s^{-2}.
$$
 (2)

In this asymptotics,

$$
n_0 = \frac{\ln[\langle q \rangle(\langle q \rangle - 1)N]}{\ln \langle q \rangle} \tag{3}
$$

and

$$
z_c = \langle q \rangle X_{\infty} < 1,\tag{4}
$$

$$
\alpha = -\frac{\ln(\langle q \rangle X_{\infty})}{\ln(q)}, \tag{5}
$$

where  $X_{\infty} = 1 - S_G$  ( $S_G$  is the relative size of the giant connected component in the network) is the solution of the equation

$$
X_{\infty} = e^{\langle q \rangle (X_{\infty} - 1)}.
$$
 (6)

Finally, the  $k \to \infty$  limit of the recursion

$$
X_{k+1} = e^{\langle q \rangle (X_k - 1)},\tag{7}
$$

where the initial value is  $X_0 = 1 - \delta$ ,  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , provides the constant  $B$  in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1),

$$
B = \lim_{k \to \infty} (X_k - X_\infty) (\delta \langle q \rangle^k)^{\alpha}.
$$
 (8)

The function  $\Gamma(x)$  in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is the gamma function. Similar formulas describe the asymptotics of  $P_{\geq m}(s)$  for the uncorrelated networks containing vertices of degree 1. Notice an excellent agreement between the measured distribution and the theoretical one. It will be more convenient to observe the cumulative distribution  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s) = \sum_{u \geq s} P_{\geq m}(u)$ , for which this law, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0), corresponds to the 1/*<sup>s</sup>* asymptotics, see Fig. [1\(](#page-6-0)b). Fur-thermore, Fig. [1\(](#page-6-0)c) shows the distribution  $P_m(s)$  of the number *s* of vertices located at distance *m* from a randomly chosen vertex for different *m*. One can see that for sufficiently large *m*, the distribution  $P_m(s)$  is close to  $P_{\geq m}(s)$ .

Let us now consider synthetic correlated networks. First we explore three recursive trees: the growth of two of them is driven by the linear preferential attachment algorithm, and hence they are scale-free, with the degree distribution exponents  $\gamma = 2.2$  and 3 (Barabási–Albert model—proportional preferential attachment), and the third is the random recursive tree, for which the degree distribution is exponential ( $\gamma = \infty$ ). The first random tree has disassortative correlations between the degrees of the neighbouring vertices, the second has weak correlations, and the third has assortative correlations. All these growing random trees are small worlds. Figure [2](#page-7-0) demonstrates that the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ at sufficiently large *<sup>m</sup>* decay as 1/*s*.

Figure [3](#page-8-0) shows the cumulative distribution  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ for a quite different tree, namely, for a connected uniform random tree, whose Hausdorff dimension equals 2, that is, this random tree is a "large world". The figure demonstrates that the cumulative distribution does not have a power-law asymptotics.

Figure [4](#page-9-0) shows the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ for loopy recursive networks whose growth is similar to the recursive trees in Fig. [2](#page-7-0) with one difference. In contrast to the recursive trees, each new vertex in the networks in Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)a,c,e) attaches, with equal probability, to one or two existing vertices, and each new vertex in the networks in Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)b,d,f) attaches to two existing vertices. The existing vertices for attachment are chosen by the rules implemented for the recursive trees in Fig. 1(a,b,c). The degree distributions and correlations of the trees in Fig. 1(a,b,c) and the loopy networks in, respectively, Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)a,c,e) and Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)b,d,f) are similar. One can see the asymptotics  $1/s$  of the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{\text{(cum)}}(s)$  for the loopy growing networks in Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)a,c,e), which have vertices of degree 1, while this asymptotics is not observed in the loopy growing networks in Fig. [4\(](#page-9-0)b,d,f), which have no vertices of degree 1, despite their large sizes.

In Table [1](#page-3-1) we list the basic structural characteristics of the synthetic networks considered in this paper.

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>3. The statistics of remote regions in real-world networks

Real-world networks typically have more complicated architectures than synthetic ones, and so one could expect that the observation of the inverse square law in real networks is more difficult. Surprisingly, this is not the case. In Table [2](#page-3-2) we list the basic structural characteristics of the real-world networks considered in this paper. Figure [5](#page-10-0) shows the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the maps of the large regions of four collaboration and social networks, namely, the FP5 net, Cite-Seer, the Youtube friends network, and Facebook. For all four sets of cumulative distributions we observe the <sup>1</sup>/*<sup>s</sup>* asymptotics.

We also observe this asymptotics inspecting the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the Internet networks [\[36\]](#page-5-0): the maps of the routers and the autonomous systems, see Fig. [6.](#page-10-1) On the other hand, as is natural, the US power grid and the road network of Pennsylvania, which are two-dimensional networks, do not demonstrate the power-law asymptotics of  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ , see Fig. [7.](#page-11-0)

Figure [8\(](#page-11-1)a) shows the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for a real-world network with a very large hub. This is the undirected projection of a network of 171,206 hyperlinks between 15,763 pages within Google's sites. The largest hub in this network has huge degree 11,401, which shapes the architecture of this specific network. The steps in the empirical cumulative distributions in Fig. [8\(](#page-11-1)a) can be reproduced in a tree-like model network mimicking the structure of the Google net. Imagine a tree-like network with the hub having the same numbers of the first-, second-, third-, etc.-nearest neighbours as the hub in the Google net. For this model network one can easily estimate  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ , see Fig. [8\(](#page-11-1)b), and get a quantitative agreement with the empirical distribution for  $m = 2, 3, 4,$  and 5. The small size of this network does not allow us to check whether this special network architecture still provides the inverse square law or not.

Figure [9](#page-11-2) shows an interesting set of the cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the undirected projection of a large Stanford Web domain (notice a similar set of cumulative distributions in Fig. [6\(](#page-10-1)b)). These empirical cumulative distributions have the 1/*<sup>s</sup>* asymptotics for *<sup>m</sup>* within the range between 10 and 15, but for larger *m*, the cumulative distributions become step-like. This steplike shape suggests a specific structure of the remote regions of this network. To understand the organization of the connections between the vertices within the remote regions of the network, we extract the vertices at a distance  $m = 25$  or beyond from the largest hub in the undirected projection of the giant weakly connected component of the network and edges between them, and visualize the resulting clusters, indicating, for the sake of completeness, the directed edges of the original directed network. In total, there are 714 vertices in these clusters and 1681 directed edges. Fig. [10](#page-12-0) demonstrates this visualization (see also Ancillary file). Notice that almost all these directed edges are reciprocal. Only 3 directed edges are not reciprocal. This gives the remarkably high fraction  $(1681 - 3)/1681 = 0.998$  of reciprocal edges in these clusters. The same edge number computation performed including the main part of the network gives a total of 2, <sup>234</sup>, 572 directed edges, of which 1, 649, 280 are not reciprocal, resulting in a much lower value, 0.262, for the fraction of reciprocal edges. We see that in this region the network is a set of long chains. Notably, only 3 of these chains have one of their ends free, and the remaining 7 chains are parts of long cycles. Loosely speaking, the Web in this remote region is one-dimensional.

<b>Network</b>	(Fig.)	N	$k_{max}$		$\ell_{max}$
Erdős-Rényi	(1)	$10^{6}$	20	8.76	17
Recursive random 2.2 tree	(2a)	$10^{6}$	78,743	6.24	24
Recursive BA tree	(2b)	10 <sup>5</sup>	514	12.45	32
Recursive random tree	(2c)	10 <sup>5</sup>	16	20.54	51
Uniform random tree	(3)	10 <sup>4</sup>	8	106.2	285
Recursive 2.2 mixed	(4a)	10 <sup>6</sup>	119,422	4.173	13
Recursive 2.2 to two	(4b)	10 <sup>6</sup>	178,791	3.435	8
Recursive BA mixed	(4c)	$10^{6}$	2,241	7.66	18
Recursive BA to two	(4d)	$10^{6}$	2,647	6.73	12
Recursive random mixed	(4e)	$10^{6}$	32	11.24	23
Recursive random to two	(4f)	$2 \times 10^{7}$	43	10.89	16

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Table 1: Basic structural characteristics of the synthetic networks considered: each line has information specifying a network realization, the figure where numerical results for the distributions are plotted, the size *N* of the largest component, the maximum degree  $k_{max}$ , the average path length  $\ell$ , and the maximum path length  $\ell_{max}$ .

<b>Network</b>	(Fig.)	N	$k_{max}$	ℓ	$\ell_{max}$
FP <sub>5</sub>	(5a)	25,287	2,783	3.14	8
CiteSeer	(5b)	365,154	1,739	6.470	34
YouTube	(5c)	1,134,890	28,754	5.279	24
Facebook	(5d)	63,392	1,098	4.322	15
<b>Routers CAIDA</b>	(6a)	192,244	1,071	6.98	26
<b>AS CAIDA</b>	(6b)	26,475	2628	3.876	17
US power grid	(7a)	4.941	19	18.99	46
Road newtork PA	(7b)	1,087,562	9	308.0	794
Google web	(8a)	15,763	11,401	2.517	7
Web Stanford	(9)	255,265	38,625	6.815	164

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Table 2: Basic structural characteristics of the real-world networks considered: each line has information specifying a network, the figure where numerical results for the distributions are plotted, the size *<sup>N</sup>* of the largest component, the maximum degree *<sup>k</sup>max*, the average path length ℓ, and the maximum path length  $\ell_{max}$ .

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>4. Discussion and conclusions

We have explored one of the basic structural statistical characteristics of the remote regions of complex networks, which previously was known only for uncorrelated networks. We have observed the  $s^{-2}$  asymptotics of the distribution  $P_{\ge m}(s)$  of the number *s* of vertices located at distance *m* or beyond from a randomly chosen vertex in a large set of real and synthetic undirected networks—small worlds—with a surprisingly diverse architectures. Such networks include trees and loopy networks, networks with strong and weak correlations, the one-partite projections of bipartite networks (FP5 net), the undirected projections of directed networks (Stanford Web), collaboration and social networks, the Internet and Web networks. This inverse square law is not observed in the networks having no dead ends (vertices of degree 1) and in finite dimensional networks (power grids, road networks).

For each of these networks we inspected the product of the cumulative distribution by *N*,  $NP_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$ , which turned out approximately symmetric for all tested cases in the sense that the *x*- and *y*-axes of the plots can be interchanged.

Moreover, we have revealed that the organization of connections between vertices within the remote regions of networks differs dramatically from the main part of the network, see Fig. [10](#page-12-0) and Ancillary file. In particular, we have observed a surprisingly high reciprocity 0.<sup>998</sup> of directed edges in the remote region of the Stanford domain of the Web, while the reciprocity equals only <sup>0</sup>.262 in the entire domain.

One should emphasize that the theoretical results of Ref. [\[1\]](#page-4-0) for uncorrelated network still do not offer a compelling explanation for the consistent observation of the inverse square law across such a wide spectrum of networks. The explanation of this law is a challenge for the future work. Note that if we assume that the distribution  $P_{\geq m}(s)$  has a power-law asymptotics, then, for the divergence of the first moment of this distribution (average number of vertices at distance *m* or beyond from a randomly chosen vertex), the exponent of this power law must be not greater that 2. Hence the observed exponent 2 of the asymptotics is the maximum possible value.

Other challenging directions for the future work are the exploration of remote regions of directed networks and examining the role of the chain structures observed in this work in network processes.

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

J.G. Oliveira: Planning and revision of the manuscript, Designed the study, Carried out numerical simulations, Writing original draft. S.N. Dorogovtsev: Planning and revision of the manuscript, Designed the study, Carried out analytical and numerical calculations, Writing original draft. J.F.F. Mendes: Planning and revision of the manuscript.

# Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Acknowledgments

This work was developed within the scope of the project i3N, UIDB/50025/2020 and UIDP/50025/2020, financed by national funds through the FCT/MEC. J. G. O. acknowledges fruitful discussions with M. Argollo de Menezes and A. Vázquez.

#### References

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, A. N. Samukhin, Metric structure of random networks, Nucl. Phys. B 653 (2003) 307.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] N. Perra, S. Fortunato, Spectral centrality measures in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 036107.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] L. Katz, A new status index derived from sociometric analysis, Psychometrika 18 (1953) 39.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[4] S. Brin, L. Page. The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine, in: Proceedings of the Seventh International World Wide Web Conference, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 107–117.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[5] L. Page, S. Brin, R. Motwani, T. Winograd, The PageRank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web, Technical Report, Stanford InfoLab, 1999.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[6] L. C. Freeman, A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness, Sociometry 40 (1977) 35.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] M. E. J. Newman, A measure of betweenness centrality based on random walks, Social Networks 27 (2005) 39.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[8] M. E. J. Newman, Networks: An Introduction, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[9] T. Martin, X. Zhang, M. E. J. Newman, Localization and centrality in networks, Phys. Rev. E 90 (2014) 052808.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] M. E. J. Newman, M. Girvan, Finding and evaluating community structure in networks, Phys. Rev. E 69 (2004) 026113.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[11] E. Estrada, J. A. Rodriguez-Velazquez, Subgraph centrality in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005) 056103.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] D. A. Levin, Y. Peres, Markov Chains and Mixing Times, volume 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] A. N. Samukhin, S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, Laplacian spectra of, and random walks on, complex networks: Are scalefree architectures really important?, Phys. Rev. E 77 (2008) 036115.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] D. Vukadinović, P. Huang, T. Erlebach, On the spectrum and structure of Internet topology graphs, in: International Workshop on Innovative Internet Community Systems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, volume 2346, Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 83–95.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[15] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, The Nature of Complex Networks, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[16] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner, Organization of growing random networks, Phys. Rev. E 63 (2001) 066123.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[17] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, Evolution of networks, Adv. Phys. 51 (2002) 1079.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[18] A. Fronczak, P. Fronczak, J. A. Hołyst, Average path length in random networks, Phys. Rev. E 70 (2004) 056110.
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[19] R. van der Hofstad, G. Hooghiemstra, P. Van Mieghem, Distances in random graphs with finite variance degrees, Random Struct. Algorithms 27 (2005) 76.
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[20] R. van der Hofstad, G. Hooghiemstra, D. Znamenski, Distances in random graphs with finite mean and infinite variance degrees, Electron. J. Probab. 12 (2007) 703.
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[21] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, J. G. Oliveira, Degreedependent intervertex separation in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 73 (2006) 056122.
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[22] H. Morohosi, Measuring the network robustness by Monte Carlo estimation of shortest path length distribution, Math. Comput. Simul. 81 (2010) 551.
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[23] E. Katzav, M. Nitzan, D. Ben-Avraham, P. L. Krapivsky, R. Kühn, N. Ross, O. Biham, Analytical results for the distribution of shortest path lengths in random networks, Europhys. Lett. 111 (2015) 26006.
- <span id="page-4-23"></span>[24] E. Katzav, O. Biham, A. K. Hartmann, Distribution of shortest path lengths in subcritical Erdős–Rényi networks, Phys. Rev. E 98 (2018) 012301.
- <span id="page-4-24"></span>[25] I. Tishby, O. Biham, R. Kühn, E. Katzav, The mean and variance of the distribution of shortest path lengths of random regular graphs, J. Phys. A: Mathematical and Theoretical 55 (2022) 265005.
- <span id="page-4-25"></span>[26] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, A. N. Samukhin, A. Y. Zyuzin, Organization of modular networks, Phys. Rev. E 78 (2008) 056106.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[27] D. J. Aldous, The random walk construction of uniform spanning trees and uniform labelled trees, SIAM J. Discret. Math. 3 (1990) 450.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[28] A. Z. Broder, Generating random spanning trees, in: 30th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 30 Oct.—1 Nov. 1989), IEEE, New York, 1989, pp. 442–447.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[29] J. A. Almendral, J. G. Oliveira, L. López, J. F. F. Mendes, M. A. Sanjuán, The network of scientific collaborations within the European Framework Programme, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 384 (2007) 675–683.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[30] J. A. Almendral, J. G. Oliveira, L. López, M. A. F. Sanjuán, J. F. F. Mendes, The interplay of universities and industry through the FP5 network, New Journal of Physics 9 (2007) 183 – 183.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[31] J. Kunegis, Konect: the koblenz network collection, Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web (2013). URL: [https://api.semanticscholar.org/](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15005100) [CorpusID:15005100](https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:15005100).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[32] A. Mislove, M. Marcon, K. P. Gummadi, P. Druschel, B. Bhattacharjee, Measurement and Analysis of Online Social Networks, in: Proceedings of the 5th ACM/Usenix Internet Measurement Conference (IMC'07), San Diego, CA, 2007.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[33] B. Viswanath, A. Mislove, M. Cha, K. P. Gummadi, On the evolution of user interaction in facebook, in: Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGCOMM Workshop on Social Networks (WOSN'09), 2009.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[34] CAIDA Skitter Router-Level Topology and Degree Distribution, from April 21 to May 8, 2003, [https://www.caida.org/](https://www.caida.org/catalog/datasets/router-adjacencies/) [catalog/datasets/router-adjacencies/](https://www.caida.org/catalog/datasets/router-adjacencies/), 2013.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[35] The dataset contains 122 CAIDA AS graphs, from January 2004 to November 2007, [http://www.caida.org/data/active/](http://www.caida.org/data/active/as-relationships/) [as-relationships/](http://www.caida.org/data/active/as-relationships/), 2013.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[36] R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vespignani, Evolution and Structure of the Internet: A Statistical Physics Approach, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[37] D. J. Watts, S. H. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature 393 (1998) 440–442.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[38] J. Leskovec, K. J. Lang, A. Dasgupta, M. W. Mahoney, Community structure in large networks: Natural cluster sizes and the absence of large well-defined clusters, Internet Math. 6 (2009) 29–123.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[39] G. Palla, I. J. Farkas, P. Pollner, I. Derényi, T. Vicsek, Directed network modules, New J. Phys. 9 (2007) 186.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[40] V. Batagelj, Mrvar: Pajek - program for large network analysis, Connections 21 (1998) 47–57.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 1: The statistics of the remote region of the Erdős–Rényi random graph of  $10^6$  vertices with the average vertex degree  $\langle q \rangle$  = 5. (a) Distribution *P*≥*m*(*s*) for different *m*. The dotted lines show the theoretical asymptotics provided by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Dashed line has slope −2. (b) *Cumulative distribution*  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for different *m*. Dashed line has slope −1. (*c*) Distribution  $P_m(s)$  for different *m*. Dashed line has slope −2.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 2: Cumulative distribution  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  of three random growing trees. Dashed lines have slope −1. (a) A scale-free recursive tree of 10<sup>6</sup> vertices whose growth is driven by the linear preferential attachment,  $Prob(q_i) \propto q_i + A$ ,  $A = -0.8$ , where  $q_i$  is the degree of vertex *i*. The degree of vertex *i*. The degree of vertex *i*. The degree distribution decays as degree distribution decays as  $q^{-\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma = 3 + A$ . (b) A scale-free recursive tree of 10<sup>5</sup> vertices generated by the Barabási-Albert model (proportional<br>preference). The degree distribution decays as  $q^{-\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma = 3$ . (c) vertices to randomly chosen vertices. Its degree distribution is exponential.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 3: Cumulative distribution  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  of the connected uniform random tree of  $10^4$  vertices. For clarity, only the highest 30 values of *m* are plotted. This tree was generated by the Aldous–Broder algorithm  $[27, 28]$  $[27, 28]$  which we run on the complete graph of  $10^4$  vertices.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure 4: Cumulative distribution  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  of six random growing networks. Dashed lines have slope -1. (a,c,e) Each new vertex in a recursive network attaches, with equal probability, to one or two existing vertices selected by the same attachment rules as for the recursive trees in Fig. [2\(](#page-7-0)a,b,c), respectively. (b,d,f) Each new vertex in a recursive network attaches to two existing vertices selected by the same attachment rules as for the recursive trees in Fig. [2\(](#page-7-0)a,b,c), respectively. The networks in (a)–(e) contain 10<sup>6</sup> vertices, the network in (f) has  $2 \times 10^7$  vertices.



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure 5: The cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the four large collaboration social networks. Dashed lines have slope −1. (a) The FP5 net of 25, 287 vertices [\[29,](#page-5-3) [30\]](#page-5-4). (b) The largest component of CiteSeer with 365, 154 vertices [\[31\]](#page-5-5). (c) A documented region of the Youtube friends<br>network of 1, 134, 890 vertices [32]. (d) A large component in Eacebook with 6 network of 1, <sup>134</sup>, 890 vertices [\[32\]](#page-5-6). (d) A large component in Facebook with 63, 394 vertices [\[33\]](#page-5-7).



<span id="page-10-1"></span>Figure 6: The cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the Internet networks. Dashed lines have slope −1. (a) The CAIDA map of the routers in the Internet including 192, 244 routers [\[34\]](#page-5-8). (b) The CAIDA map of the Autonomous Systems (AS) with 26, 475 AS. [\[35\]](#page-5-9).



<span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 7: The cumulative distributions  $P_{\text{com}}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for (a) the US power grid of 4,941 vertices [\[37\]](#page-5-10) and (b) the US road network Pennsylvania with 1.087.562 vertices [381] For clarity in (b) poly the bighest 50 valu <sup>1</sup>, <sup>087</sup>, 562 vertices [\[38\]](#page-5-11). For clarity, in (b), only the highest 50 values of *<sup>m</sup>* are plotted.



<span id="page-11-1"></span>Figure 8: (a) The cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  of the undirected projection of a network (15,763 vertices and 171,206 edges) of hyperlinks between pages within Google's sites [\[39\]](#page-5-12). (b) The theoretical cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  of the model tree-like network mimicking the Google net: it has the hub with the same numbers of the first-, second-, third-, and fourth-nearest neighbours,  $z_1 = 11,401$ ,  $z_2 = 4228$ ,  $z_3 = 132$ , and  $z_4 = 1$  as the Google net and  $z_4 = 1$ , as the Google net.



<span id="page-11-2"></span>Figure 9: The cumulative distributions  $P_{\geq m}^{(\text{cum})}(s)$  for the undirected projection of a large Stanford Web domain containing 255, 265 vertices [\[38\]](#page-5-11). Dashed line has slope −1.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Figure 10: Visualization of the remote clusters in the Stanford Web network. The Pajek program package is used [\[40\]](#page-5-13). The vertices are labeled according to their distances from the largest hub in the network. Three of these chains have one of their ends free, and the remaining 7 chains are parts of long cycles. This Figure is provided as Ancillary file.