

---

# GeneFEAST: the pivotal, gene-centric step in functional enrichment analysis interpretation

Avigail Taylor<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Valentine M Macaulay<sup>1</sup>, Anand K Maurya<sup>3</sup>, Matthieu J Miossec<sup>2</sup> and Francesca M Buffa<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, ORCRB, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, <sup>2</sup>Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7BN, <sup>3</sup>Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Oxford, OX3 7BN, <sup>4</sup>Computational Biology & Integrative Genomics Lab, Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, ORCRB, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, UK

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## Abstract

**Summary:** GeneFEAST, implemented in Python, is a gene-centric functional enrichment analysis summarisation and visualisation tool that can be applied to large functional enrichment analysis (FEA) results arising from upstream FEA pipelines. It produces a systematic, navigable HTML report, making it easy to identify sets of genes putatively driving multiple enrichments and to explore gene-level quantitative data first used to identify input genes. Further, GeneFEAST can compare FEA results from multiple studies, making it possible, for example, to highlight patterns of gene expression amongst genes commonly differentially expressed in two sets of conditions, and giving rise to shared enrichments under those conditions. GeneFEAST offers a novel, effective way to address the complexities of linking up many overlapping FEA results to their underlying genes and data, advancing gene-centric hypotheses, and providing pivotal information for downstream validation experiments.

**Availability:** GeneFEAST is available at <https://github.com/avigailtaylor/GeneFEAST>

**Contact:** avigail.taylor@well.ox.ac.uk

---

## 1 Introduction

In the era of high-throughput 'omics experiments, functional enrichment analysis (FEA) plays a critical role in our ability to interpret the 'Big' biological data arising from these studies. In a typical workflow, an experiment will yield a large set of genes for further analysis (herein, referred to as 'genes of interest', GoI). For example, an RNA-Seq experiment might be used to identify the set of genes differentially expressed between an experimental condition and a control condition. Then, biologically relevant labels will be assigned to genes based on some database of terms, pathways or signatures (herein, all referred to as 'terms'); for example, the Gene Ontology (GO) (Ashburner, et al., 2000), or the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000). Next, FEA is employed to determine which of the biological terms assigned to the GoI are over-represented amongst those genes, when compared to the number of genes annotated with those terms amongst the background set of genes assayed in the underlying experiment. Finally, results are summarised and reports generated (see Sup-

plementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1 for an overview of the workflow and examples of available tools). This last step is pivotal to enable biological insights to be drawn from an FEA, but is often complicated by the sheer volume of information, which can be multi-dimensional and also contain redundancy.

Importantly, FEAs are usually part of a wider process, contributing to gene-centred hypothesis generation and downstream validation experiments. So, as well as summarising enriched terms, a comprehensive summarisation tool must enable systematic exploration of the link between terms, their associated GoI, and, crucially, gene-level quantitative data first used to identify these genes. Common examples of such data are fold changes or copy number changes in RNA- and DNA-Seq experiments, respectively. Such a tool should highlight gene-sets and patterns in quantitative data driving multiple enrichments. Further, it should enable systematic comparison of enrichments found in multiple studies, in terms of patterns in the underlying genes giving rise to the enrichments. Currently, no FEA summarisation tool provides all this functionality (Supplementary Table 2). To fill this gap, we present GeneFEAST: a command-line Python package for summarising and visualising FEA

results arising from any standard 'omics database of terms and upstream FEA pipeline.

## 2 Design and implementation

### 2.1 Grouping terms using gene-set overlap


To highlight gene-sets driving multiple enrichments, GeneFEAST groups terms into communities using a gene-set-overlap metric. By using this metric, GeneFEAST remains agnostic to both the 'omics database and upstream FEA used to identify enriched terms.

The grouping algorithm works as follows: First, each term's gene list is reduced to the subset of genes that are GoI's. Next, gene-set overlap is calculated between each pair of terms, using either the overlap coefficient (OC) or the Jaccard index (JI), (for two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $OC = |X \cap Y|/\min(|X|, |Y|)$ , and  $JC = |X \cap Y|/|X \cup Y|$ ), and a network of terms is built with an edge between any pair of terms exceeding a user-defined overlap threshold (Merico, et al., 2010). Within this network, communities of related terms are identified using greedy modularity maximisation (Clauset, et al., 2004), attenuated by an adaptive algorithm that limits the maximum community size (see Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Boxes 1 to 4 for details). Finally, communities are grouped into larger meta communities when weaker, residual gene-set overlap remains between terms, or when strong gene-set overlap exists between terms from different databases, but multi-database agglomeration is off (see Supplementary Box 1 for details).

Previous approaches have incorporated the idea of clustering terms based on their gene-sets to identify broad functional themes in FEAs of one or more experiments (Merico, et al., 2010), or to use this same construction to elucidate complex details of overlapping gene-sets giving rise to multiple enrichments (Huang, et al., 2007). The novelty here is in giving the user control over the maximum community size, and in the use of meta communities to address the possibility of terms being placed in multiple communities. Thus, GeneFEAST finds communities of terms that reflect the complex gene-set overlaps between terms, ensures that these communities are small enough to be interpretable by the user, and ameliorates loss of information when gene-set overlaps span multiple communities.

### 2.2 Split heatmap

GeneFEAST is underpinned by the split heatmap, a data visualisation that we developed (Figure 1A). Using this visualisation, we can simultaneously depict term-GoI and experiment-GoI relationships, as well as gene-level quantitative data, for communities of terms and their associated GoI. Crucially, the format can show GoI data from multiple experiments simultaneously, thus enabling a gene-centric comparison of FEA results arising from those multiple experiments. Hierarchical clustering of genes based on their quantitative data highlights global gene-data patterns contributing to enrichments (Figure 1A(i)). Alternatively, ordering genes first by the number of annotations that they have, and then by their annotation pattern, highlights subsets of genes contributing to multiple enrichments. Within each of these subsets, genes are then hierarchically clustered based on their quantitative data, thus highlighting local,



**Fig. 1. Visualisation and reports in GeneFEAST. A. Split heatmap. (i)** A pair of heatmaps, sharing a common x-axis of genes, are drawn one on top of the other. In the top heatmap, GoI (G1 to G20) are coloured yellow when they are annotated by a term (T1 to T5), otherwise grey. In the lower heatmap, genes are coloured to depict gene-level quantitative data, in this case  $\log_2$  fold change from two RNA-Seq experiments whose GoI, i.e. differentially expressed genes, were analysed using FEA (E1 and E2). Grey genes were not identified as GoI in the underlying experiment. The genes are ordered based on hierarchical clustering of their quantitative data. **(ii)** As for (i), but genes are ordered first by their annotation count, then by annotation pattern, and lastly by their quantitative data. **B. Structure of the output HTML/ CSS/ JavaScript report.** Reports summarising one FEA have a 'Communities summary' homepage (green box, top centre) that has links (black, solid arrows) to each meta community (red boxes), with a separate link to each member community therein (blue boxes); the homepage also has links to communities of enriched terms that did not form part of a larger meta community (isolated blue box, right), and links to terms that did not form part of an enriched-term community (yellow box). Every meta community, community and term has a frame of information, implemented in HTML and CSS, which can be scaled to fit the user's monitor. Within each frame, JavaScript enables toggling of content. Meta community frames contain: split heatmaps, wherein term annotation is replaced by gene-community membership in the top heatmap, a literature search for each gene (as described in the main text), and an upset plot showing the overlap between sets of genes annotated by the member communities. Meta community frames have links to member communities (red, solid arrow). The content of community frames is described in the main text. Where applicable, community frames have links back to their meta community and also to sibling communities in their meta community (red, solid arrows); separately, they also have a list of links to terms sharing some gene-set overlap, but which is too weak for membership of the community (red, dashed arrow). Term frames have a subset of the content of community frames (see User Guide), and have links back to weakly connected communities (red, dashed arrow). Reports summarising multiple FEAs start with a 'main' page showing an upset plot of the sets of terms identified as enriched in each of the analyses (left green box; see Supplementary Box 2). We refer to each set of terms found in two or more FEAs as an "FEA term-set intersection". The main page also has an additional side panel (not shown here) displaying a list of links to separate reports for each intersection. Each of the separate reports has the structure of a report summarising a single FEA, as described above.

subset-specific gene-data patterns contributing to enrichments (Figure 1A(ii)).

Sometimes, users may wish to keep track of an *a priori* set of genes relevant to their study, for example genes contributing to a particular biological signature, throughout the GeneFEAST report. In such cases, users can provide extra annotations to be added as rows to the term-GoI heatmap in all split heatmaps of the report (Supplementary Figure 3).

## GeneFEAST

This is done *post hoc* once communities and meta communities, and their associated GoI, have been identified.

### 2.3 HTML, CSS and JavaScript output report

We required the output report of GeneFEAST to provide intuitive, systematic navigation, visualisation, and review of clustered enriched terms, their associated GoI, and related gene-data and information. To this end, the report is auto-generated in HTML, CSS and JavaScript. Within the report, hyperlinks connect all related information in the document, as well as linking to external websites for further information. Using JavaScript enables a good user experience, because information pertaining to a community, such as figures and tables, can be toggled and viewed in-place, rather than triggering new tabs.

For each community of enriched terms, GeneFEAST reports: (1), member terms; (2), a dot plot summary of member term's FEA results; (3), an upset plot (Lex, et al., 2014) showing the overlap between sets of genes annotated by the member terms; (4), split heatmaps of the term- and experiment-GoI relationships, gene-level quantitative data and extra annotations, if supplied; (5), further information about terms, such as GO hierarchies and KEGG pathway diagrams; (6), external hyperlinks to literature searches for each interesting gene, via the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Gene and PubMed services (Sayers, et al., 2021) incorporating additional search terms if the user has supplied them, and (7), internal hyperlinks to related communities and terms. Figure 1B depicts the report structure. Term-community membership, term- and experiment-GoI relationships are also output in comma-separated value format, for input into downstream programs.

## 3 Running GeneFEAST

GeneFEAST requires Python 3.7 to run. GeneFEAST is also available as a ready-to-use container at [ghcr.io/avigailtaylor/genefeast](http://ghcr.io/avigailtaylor/genefeast). Viewing the HTML output report requires a web browser with HTML5 and JavaScript 1.6 support. GeneFEAST is OpenSource and available for free; visit <http://avigailtaylor.github.io/GeneFEAST> for installation instructions and the User Guide.

## Funding

This work has been supported by Cancer Research UK grant C476/A27060 and Prostate Cancer UK grant MA-CT20-006 to VMM, European Research Council microC 772970 grant to FMB, the University of Oxford Returning Carer's Fund to AT and by Wellcome (Core Award 203141/Z/16/Z).

*Conflict of Interest:* none declared.

## References

- Ashburner, M., et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* 2000;25(1):25-29.
- Clauset, A., Newman, M.E. and Moore, C. Finding community structure in very large networks. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 2004;70(6 Pt 2):066111.
- Huang, D.W., et al. The DAVID Gene Functional Classification Tool: a novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. *Genome Biol* 2007;8(9):R183.
- Kanehisa, M. and Goto, S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000;28(1):27-30.

Lex, A., et al. UpSet: Visualisation of Intersecting Sets. *IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph* 2014;20(12):1983-1992.

Merico, D., et al. Enrichment map: a network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualisation and interpretation. *PLoS One* 2010;5(11):e13984.

Sayers, E.W., et al. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021;49(D1):D10-D17.

# GeneFEAST: the pivotal, gene-centric step in functional enrichment analysis interpretation

## Supplementary Information

Avigail Taylor<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Valentine M Macaulay<sup>1</sup>, Anand K Maurya<sup>3</sup>, Matthieu J Miossec<sup>2</sup>  
and Francesca M Buffa<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, ORCRB, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7DQ,

<sup>2</sup>Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7BN, <sup>3</sup>Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Oxford, OX3 7BN, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7BN, <sup>4</sup>Computational Biology & Integrative Genomics Lab, Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, ORCRB, Oxford, OX3 7DQ, UK

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## **The landscape of existing functional enrichment analysis tools**

### *Web-based versus standalone functional enrichment analysis tools*

Supplementary Figure 1 depicts the overall functional enrichment analysis (FEA) workflow, and the FEA tools currently available. (See Supplementary Table 1 for the citation or website for each of the tools depicted). In addition to categorising tools according to their functionality, tools are also grouped by their implementation, i.e. into web-based (WB) and standalone (SA) tools. SA tools can be further categorised according to their interface, which can be command-line (CL), or graphical user interface (GUI) (see Supplementary Table 2).


How a tool is implemented impacts the way in which it can be used: WB tools are widely considered to be easier to use than locally installed, SA tools, with few learning barriers in the way of the non-computational user. In addition, WB tools can be run from powerful servers, where storage space for large databases is not a concern, and neither is computational power required for analyses. In contrast, SA tools, especially those with a CL interface (SA-CL), often require some level of computational ability on the part of the user, which can represent a barrier to use. However, SA tools have several advantages over WB tools: (1) SA tools are not a shared resource, so do not suffer from the associated bottlenecks to computation; (2) SA-CL tools can be used in a flexible, modular way and can be incorporated into bespoke, automated computational pipelines, making them ideal for use with multiple, large datasets. Note, however, that web services can also be used in this manner when they provide an application programming interface in addition to the primary website.; (3) When SA tools are published under an Open Source licence, the expert user can modify such tools as required for their needs.

GeneFEAST is an SA-CL summarisation and visualisation tool for summarising and visualising FEA results arising from any standard 'omics database of terms and upstream FEA pipeline.

### *Functionality provided by existing FEA summarisation tools*

Supplementary Table 2 lists FEA tools that provide a summarisation step, and the additional features that they provide beyond basic summarisation of FEA results.

**Supplementary Figure 1**



**Supplementary Figure 1. The functional enrichment analysis (FEA) workflow, and the landscape of tools available.** Tools shown here are representative of those available, but do not comprise an exhaustive list. Horizontally, tools are categorised by the functions that they perform. In addition, tools are split into web-based (left, dark purple) and standalone tools (right, light purple); tools appearing on both sides of the figure are available in both a web-based and standalone format. Some web-based tools provide a programmatic interface via an application programming interface, these are denoted with a '\*'. Where an arrow is hashed out in grey, the corresponding tool does not perform that part of the FEA workflow. GeneFEAST is highlighted in pink. Please note: by definition, all tools that perform the 'Functional enrichment analysis' step link up to some database of terms, pathways or signatures; however, only those tools that provide either a novel database, or a significant curation of existing databases, are shown in the 'Term/ pathway/ signature database' step. Citations or websites for tools shown here are given in Supplementary Table 1.

**Supplementary Table 1**

<b>Tool</b>	<b>Citation or website</b>
<b>AEGIS</b>	Zhu, J., <i>et al.</i> Exploratory Gene Ontology Analysis with Interactive Visualization. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2019;9(1):7793.
<b>clusterProfiler</b>	Wu, T., <i>et al.</i> clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. <i>Innovation (N Y)</i> 2021;2(3):100141.
<b>DAVID</b>	Huang, D.W., <i>et al.</i> The DAVID Gene Functional Classification Tool: a novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. <i>Genome Biol</i> 2007;8(9):R183.
<b>EnrichmentMap</b>	Enrichment map: a network-based method for gene-set enrichment visualization and interpretation. <i>PLoS One</i> 2010;5(11):e13984.
<b>enrichplot</b>	Yu G. <i>enrichplot: Visualization of Functional Enrichment Result</i> . R package version 1.14.1, <a href="https://yulab-smu.top/biomedical-knowledge-mining-book/">https://yulab-smu.top/biomedical-knowledge-mining-book/</a> .
<b>GOATOOLS</b>	Klopfenstein, D.V., <i>et al.</i> GOATOOLS: A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2018;8(1):10872.
<b>goenrich</b>	<a href="https://github.com/jdrudolph/goenrich">https://github.com/jdrudolph/goenrich</a>
<b>GO-Figure!</b>	Reijnders, M.J.M.F. and Waterhouse, R.M. Summary Visualizations of Gene Ontology Terms With GO-Figure! <i>Frontiers in Bioinformatics</i> 2021;1(6).
<b>GOnet</b>	Pomaznoy, M., Ha, B. and Peters, B. GOnet: a tool for interactive Gene Ontology analysis. <i>BMC Bioinformatics</i> 2018;19(1):470.
<b>g:Profiler</b>	Raudvere, U., <i>et al.</i> g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2019;47(W1):W191-W198.

<b>GSEA</b>	<p>1) Mootha, V.K., <i>et al.</i> PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. <i>Nat Genet</i> 2003;34(3):267-273.</p> <p>2) Subramanian, A., <i>et al.</i> Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 2005;102(43):15545-15550.</p>
<b>GSOAP</b>	Tokar, T., Pastrello, C. and Jurisica, I. GSOAP: a tool for visualization of gene set over-representation analysis. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 2020;36(9):2923-2925.
<b>PANTHER</b>	Mi, H., <i>et al.</i> PANTHER version 16: a revised family classification, tree-based classification tool, enhancer regions and extensive API. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2021;49(D1):D394-D403.
<b>REACTOME</b>	Gillespie, M., <i>et al.</i> The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2022;50(D1):D687-D692.
<b>REVIGO</b>	Supek, F., <i>et al.</i> REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. <i>PLoS One</i> 2011;6(7):e21800.
<b>WebGestalt</b>	Liao, Y., <i>et al.</i> WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2019;47(W1):W199-W205.

**Supplementary Table 1. List of FEA tools featured in Supplementary Figure 1, and their corresponding citation(s) or websites.** Tools are listed in alphabetical order.



**Supplementary Table 2**

Tool	WB [API]/ SA [CL/ GUI]	Flexible <sup>1</sup> – can be used with any database and FEA	Display genes	Display gene-level QD	Highlight gene- sets driving ME, in detail	Highlight patterns in gene- level quantitative data driving ME	Gene-level side-by-side comparison of multiple FEAs <sup>3</sup>	Output enables systematic exploration of FEA results, including gene-level data
DAVID	WB [API]	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
PANTHER	WB [API]	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
REACTOME	WB [API]	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
REVIGO	WB	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
clusterProfiler	SA [CL]	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No <sup>3</sup>	No
EnrichmentMap	SA [GUI + CL]	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
GeneFEAST	SA [CL]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GOATOOLS	SA [CL]	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
GO-Figure!	SA [CL]	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
GSEA	WB + SA [GUI + CL]	No	Yes	Yes	Limited <sup>2</sup>	Limited <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
WebGestalt	WB + SA [CL]	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No <sup>3</sup>	Yes


**Supplementary Table 2. FEA tools that provide a summarisation step, and the additional features that they provide beyond basic summarisation of FEA results.** Notes: [1] For a summarisation tool to be fully flexible it must work with terms derived from any database and also be applicable at the end of any FEA pipeline. [2] Using GSEA’s ‘Leading edge analysis’ tool, users are able to view heatmaps of gene expression data that can help identify leading edge genes driving multiple gene-set enrichments. However, although gene-sets are clustered based on their gene-set overlap prior to generating a heatmap, they are not then split into communities before visualisation, rather, one heatmap is generated for all the gene-sets together. This, combined with the fact that genes in the heatmap can only be ordered according to clustering based on their global gene-set membership, with no other sorting options available, means that it is not easy to identify sets of genes or patterns in their quantitative data that drive multiple enrichments; [3] Some FEA summarisation tools, for example clusterProfiler and WebGestalt, offer a side-by-side comparison of multiple FEAs, but on the level of the term rather than on the level of the gene. Here, we are concerned only with those tools that provide the latter. Acronyms: WB, web-based; API, application programming interface; SA, standalone; standalone tools can have two types of interface: CL, command-line; GUI, graphical user interface; QD, quantitative data; ME, multiple enrichments.

## Finding communities of related terms using their gene-set overlap: algorithm description

### Overview

Supplementary Figure 2 gives an overview of the method used by GeneFEAST to group terms into communities of related terms according to their gene-set overlap and, in turn, to group communities into meta communities, also by gene-set overlap.

### Supplementary Figure 2



**Supplementary Figure 2. Constructing a network of terms using pairwise gene-set overlap, and detecting communities of well-connected terms therein. (Left)** Terms are shown as large blue circles, labelled as 'T1', 'T2', etc.; each term is surrounded by its annotated genes, with genes of interest (GoI) coloured pink; genes annotated by more than one term are indicated by matching borders. **(Right)** Terms are connected by an edge, shown as a solid blue line, when their gene-set overlap exceeds a user-defined threshold. Communities of well-connected terms are labelled as 'C1', 'C2', etc., and depicted with blue rectangles. Communities connected by residual gene-set overlaps that did not exceed the edge threshold, depicted as dashed blue lines, are connected into a meta community (MC1), and surrounded by a red rectangle. Such meta communities can also be formed when strong gene-set overlap exists between terms from different databases, but multi-database agglomeration is off (default). 'C3' is a community that is not a member of a meta community. 'T8' is a term that is not a member of a community.

## *Details*

Supplementary Box 1 gives the GeneFEAST algorithm, in pseudocode, for grouping enriched terms identified in one FEA into communities of related terms, according to their gene-set overlap, followed by the pseudocode for finding meta communities of communities.

Supplementary Box 2 gives the additional steps required for dealing with terms identified as enriched in multiple FEAs. Supplementary Boxes 3 and 4 give the pseudocode for the attenuation procedure that we apply to greedy modularity maximisation to limit the size of communities and meta communities, respectively.

## Supplementary Box 1

Filter out enriched GO terms that are too broad, using maximum descendant threshold and minimum hierarchy level. [1]

Filter out any term annotating too few GoI. [2]

Put all remaining enriched terms in a list called *Enriched\_Terms*.

\* Create an empty list of communities, called *All\_Communities*.

If database agglomeration is off [3]:

    Within each database-category of terms:

        Extract terms from *Enriched\_Terms* that are from the database-category, and put these into a list called *DB\_Enriched\_Terms*.

        Create an empty network of terms called *Term\_Network*.

        For each pair of enriched terms in *DB\_Enriched\_Terms*:

            Calculate gene-set overlap. [4]

            If gene-set overlap exceeds threshold [5]:

                Add the pair of terms, with an edge between them, to *Term\_Network*.

        Find non-singleton communities of terms in *Term\_Network* using greedy modularity maximisation [6], attenuated by an adaptive algorithm. (See Supplementary Box 3.) This procedure returns a list of communities called *Communities*. **Concatenate** *Communities* to *All\_Communities*.

Else [7]:

    Create an empty network of terms called *Term\_Network*

    For each pair of terms in *Enriched\_Terms*:

        Calculate gene-set overlap.

        If gene-set overlap exceeds threshold:

            Add the pair of terms, with an edge between them, to *Term\_Network*.

    Find non-singleton communities of terms in *Term\_Network* using modularity maximisation, attenuated by an adaptive algorithm. (See Supplementary Box 3.) This procedure returns a list of communities called *Communities*. **Assign** *Communities* to *All\_Communities*.

Identify terms that are not members of a community by calculating:

$\{\text{term} \mid \text{term} \in \textit{Enriched\_Terms}\} - \{\text{term} \mid \text{term} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N \textit{community}_i\},$

where N is the total number of communities in *All\_Communities*.

## Supplementary Box 1, cont.

Create an empty network of communities called *Community\_Network*.

For each pair of communities from *All\_Communities* [8]:

    Calculate gene-set overlap. [9]

    If gene-set overlap exceeds threshold [10]:

        Add the pair of communities, with an edge between them, to *Community\_Network*.

Find non-singleton meta communities of communities in *Community\_Network* using modularity maximisation, attenuated by an adaptive algorithm. (See Supplementary Box 4.) This procedure returns a list of meta communities call *Meta\_Communities*.

Identify communities that are not members of a meta community by calculating:

$\{\text{community} \mid \text{community} \in \text{All\_Communities}\} -$   
 $\{\text{community} \mid \text{community} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N \text{meta\_community}_i\},$

### Supplementary Box 1. GeneFEAST algorithm, written in pseudocode, for grouping terms identified as enriched in one FEA into communities of related terms, and for finding meta communities of communities.

Notes:

[1] GeneFEAST uses the Python package GOATOOLS (Klopfenstein, et al., 2018) to assign descendant and hierarchy levels to each GO term. The default settings for the maximum descendant threshold and minimum hierarchy level are 50 and 5, respectively.

[2] The default threshold for the minimum number of GO is 10.

[3] By default, database agglomeration is off. This means that terms from different databases cannot be placed in the same community; i.e., each community will contain terms from one database only.

[4] Gene-set overlap is calculated using either the overlap coefficient (OC) or the Jaccard index (JI), (for two sets,  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $OC = |X \cap Y| / \min(|X|, |Y|)$ , and  $JC = |X \cap Y| / |X \cup Y|$ ). By default, GeneFEAST uses the OC.

[5] The default gene-set overlap threshold is 0.5.

[6] GeneFEAST uses the Python package NetworkX (Aric A. Hagberg, 2008) implementation of greedy modularity maximisation (Clauset, et al., 2004).

[7] This branch of the algorithm is followed when the user switches on database agglomeration. When database agglomeration is on, terms from different databases can be placed in the same community.

[8] At this point, whether database agglomeration is on or off, all pairs of communities are inspected for their gene-set overlap, regardless of their contributing databases.

[9] By default, community gene-set overlap is calculated using the Jaccard Index.

[10] The default gene-set overlap threshold is 0.1.

Note that all default settings can be changed by the user, if required.

## Supplementary Box 2

Filter out enriched GO terms that are too broad using maximum descendant threshold and minimum hierarchy level.

Filter out any term annotating too few GoI. [1]

Find FEA term-set intersections. [2]

For each FEA term-set intersection:

    Proceed from \* in Supplementary Box 1.

**Supplementary Box 2. GeneFEAST algorithm, written in pseudocode, for dealing with terms identified as enriched in multiple FEAs.** When GeneFEAST is used to compare multiple FEAs, the program begins by identifying subsets of terms that are found to be enriched in two or more of the FEAs. We refer to each set of terms found in two or more FEAs as an "FEA term-set intersection". In the simplest case, two FEAs, FEA1 and FEA2, are compared to one another, and the subset of terms identified as enriched in both FEA1 *and* FEA2 are summarised. As a further example, when three FEAs, FEA1, FEA2 and FEA3, are compared to one another, up to four FEA term-set intersections are summarised: (1) those terms identified as enriched in FEA1 and FEA2 only; (2) terms found in FEA2 and FEA3 only; (3) terms found in FEA1 and FEA3 only, and (4), terms identified as enriched in all three FEAs.

Notes:

[1] Here, the set of GoI includes any gene identified as interesting in at least one of the underlying experiments that underwent FEA.

[2] GeneFEAST uses the Python package UpSetPlot (Nothman), to identify FEA term-set intersections.

### Supplementary Box 3

Find all communities in *Term\_Network* using modularity maximisation.

Make a list, *Communities*, of all communities with  
 $1 < |\text{terms}| \leq XL\_Threshold$ . [1]

Put all communities with  $|\text{terms}| > XL\_Threshold$  into a list of communities called *XL\_Communities*.

While *XL\_Communities* is not empty **and**  
((gene-set overlap threshold for adding edge  $\leq 0.9$ ) **or**  
(maximum descendant threshold for GO terms  $\geq 10$ )):

Make a list, *XL\_Terms*, of all terms that are members of an XL community (i.e. a community in *XL\_Communities*).

Reduce the maximum descendant threshold for GO terms by 5.

Remove any GO terms from *XL\_Terms* that exceed this threshold.

Build a network of terms out of the remaining terms.  
(See Supplementary Box 1, blue sub-box.)

Find communities using modularity maximisation.

Add any communities with  
 $1 < |\text{terms}| \leq XL\_Threshold$  to *Communities*.

*XL\_Communities* is updated to contain communities for which  $|\text{terms}| > XL\_threshold$ .

Again, make a list, *XL\_Terms*, of all terms that are members of an XL community.

Increase the gene-set overlap threshold for adding an edge by 0.1

Using this new threshold, build a network of terms out of the remaining terms. (See Supplementary Box 1, blue sub-box.)

Find communities using modularity maximisation.

Add any communities with  
 $1 < |\text{terms}| \leq XL\_Threshold$  to *Communities*.

*XL\_Communities* is emptied and then updated to contain communities for which  $|\text{terms}| > XL\_threshold$ .

Add *XL\_Communities* to *Communities* [2].

Return *Communities*

**Supplementary Box 3. GeneFEAST algorithm, written in pseudocode, for the attenuation procedure applied to greedy modularity maximisation to limit the size of communities.**

Notes:

[1] *XL\_Threshold* is the preferred maximum size for a community, with communities larger than this threshold considered extra-large (hence 'XL'). The default value is 15, but the user can change this, if required. Note that the algorithm will attempt to break up extra-large communities, but that this may not always work, which is why the maximum size is 'preferred' rather than 'guaranteed'.

[2] If the end of the procedure is reached and there remain extra-large communities, these are added to the list of communities arising from those extra-large communities that have been successfully broken up.



#### Supplementary Box 4

Find all communities in *Community\_Network* using modularity maximisation. These are meta communities of communities.

Make a list, *Meta\_Communities*, of all meta communities with  $1 < |\text{communities}| \leq XL\_Threshold$ . [1]

Put all meta communities with  $|\text{communities}| > XL\_Threshold$  into a list of meta communities called *XL\_Meta\_Communities*.

While *XL\_Meta\_Communities* is not empty **and**  
(gene-set overlap threshold for adding edge  $\leq 0.9$ ):

    Make a list, *XL\_Communities*, of all communities that are members of an XL meta community.

    Increase the gene-set overlap threshold for adding an edge by 0.1.

    Using this new threshold, build a network of communities out of the communities. (See Supplementary Box 1, red sub-box.)

    Find meta communities using modularity maximisation.

    Add any meta communities with  $1 < |\text{communities}| \leq XL\_Threshold$  to *Meta\_Communities*.

*XL\_Meta\_Communities* is emptied and updated to contain meta communities for which  $|\text{communities}| > XL\_Threshold$ .

Add *XL\_Meta\_Communities* to *Communities* [2].

Return *Meta\_Communities*


#### Supplementary Box 4. GeneFEAST algorithm, written in pseudocode, for the attenuation procedure applied to greedy modularity maximisation to limit the size of meta communities.

Notes:

[1] *XL\_Threshold* is the preferred maximum size for a meta community, with meta communities larger than this threshold considered extra-large (hence 'XL'). The default value is 15, but the user can change this, if required. Note that the algorithm will attempt to break up extra-large meta communities, but that this may not always work, which is why the maximum size is 'preferred' rather than 'guaranteed'.

[2] If the end of the procedure is reached and there remain extra-large meta communities, these are added to the list of meta communities arising from those extra-large meta communities that have been successfully broken up.

## Extra annotations



**Supplementary Figure 3. Split heatmap with extra annotation.** A split heatmap with an extra annotation (EA1) added as a row on top of the existing term-Gol heatmap. Gol labelled with the extra annotation are coloured in pink, with the remaining Gol coloured in grey.

## References

Aric A. Hagberg, D.A.S.a.P.J.S. Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. *Proceedings of the 7th Python in Science Conference (SciPy2008)* 2008.

Clauset, A., Newman, M.E. and Moore, C. Finding community structure in very large networks. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 2004;70(6 Pt 2):066111.

Klopfenstein, D.V., *et al.* GOATOOLS: A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. *Sci Rep* 2018;8(1):10872.

Nothman, J. UpSetPlot. <https://upsetplot.readthedocs.io/en/stable/index.html>.