

ON INVARIANTS OF FOLIATED SPHERE BUNDLES

SAM NARIMAN

WITH AN APPENDIX BY NILS PRIGGE

ABSTRACT. Morita ([Mor84]) showed that for each power of the Euler class, there are examples of flat \mathbb{S}^1 -bundles for which the power of the Euler class does not vanish. Haefliger asked ([Hae78, Page 154]) if the same holds for flat odd-dimensional sphere bundles. In this paper, for a manifold M with a free torus action, we prove that certain M -bundles are cobordant to a flat M -bundle and as a consequence, we answer Haefliger's question. We show that the powers of the Euler class and Pontryagin classes p_i for $i \leq n - 1$ are all non-trivial in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$. In the appendix, Nils Prigge corrects a claim by Haefliger ([Hae78, Page 154]) about the vanishing of certain classes in the smooth group cohomology of $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^n)$ be the group of orientation-preserving smooth diffeomorphisms of the sphere \mathbb{S}^n . Haefliger ([Sch78, Page 242, problem 4]) asked whether, for a given integer $k > 1$, there exists a manifold M and a representation $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^1)$ such that the k -th power of the Euler class of the associated flat circle bundle is non-trivial. For $k = 1$, Benzecri ([Ben55]) and Milnor ([Mil58]) constructed flat circle bundles over surfaces with non-trivial Euler classes. Morita in [Mor84] answered Haefliger's question affirmatively by proving a more general theorem (see also [Nar16]). Let $\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^n)$ denote the classifying space of orientable flat \mathbb{S}^n -bundles (δ denotes the discrete topology on a given topological group) and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ denote the topological Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on \mathbb{S}^n . There is a natural map

$$\Phi: H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{so}(2)}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^1); \mathbb{R}),$$

where $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{so}(2)})$ is the relative continuous Lie algebra cohomology (see [Hae10, Page 44]). The Lie algebra cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^1, \text{so}(2)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}[e, \text{gv}]/(e \cdot \text{gv} = 0)$ where e is the Euler class and gv is also a degree 2 class known as the Godbillon-Vey class. Morita ([Mor84, Theorem 1.1]) showed that this map is injective.

Later Haefliger [Hae78] used rational homotopy theory models to study the image of

$$H^*(\text{BSO}(n+1); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)}),$$

and he realized that for n odd the image of the powers of the Euler class in $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)})$ are non-trivial. Hence, he posed a more general version of his previous question ([Hae78, Page 154]).

Question 1.1 (Haefliger). *Are there flat $(2n+1)$ -sphere bundles with a non-zero power of the Euler class?*

We answer this question affirmatively and in fact, we also prove the non-vanishing of the powers of the Pontryagin classes.

Theorem 1.2. *All the monomials in e and p_i for $i \leq n - 1$ are non-trivial in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$.*

Remark 1.3. Morita pointed out to the author that the involution on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} induced by reflecting through a hyperplane, changes the sign of the Euler class but it does not change the Pontryagin classes. Hence, the classes e^k and monomials of Pontryagin classes are linearly independent when k is odd. However, the author does not know whether in general the monomials are linearly independent in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$.

The techniques that we use also work for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms $\text{Diff}_{\text{vol}}(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$. So these classes are also non-trivial in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_{\text{vol}}^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 1.4. There is a van Est type theorem ([Hae10, Page 43]) that implies that $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3, \text{so}(4)})$ is isomorphic to the smooth group cohomology $H_{\text{sm}}^*(\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. In higher dimensions, it is expected from Bott's belief in [Bot77, Page 217] that there is at least a map

$$H_{\text{sm}}^*(\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)}).$$

Haefliger in [Hae78, Page 154] sketched his method to prove a claim that the kernel of the map

$$H^*(\text{BSO}(n+1); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)}),$$

is generated by the monomials in Pontryagin classes $p_1, \dots, p_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ whose degrees are larger than $2n$. So according to his claim, p_1^2 vanishes in $H_{\text{sm}}^*(\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$ and as a consequence it would vanish also in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. But in the appendix by Nils Prigge, we shall see that Haefliger's vanishing works when n is even, and using his method we shall see for $n = 3$, in fact, the class p_1^2 is not zero in the smooth group cohomology of $\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)$ which is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3, \text{so}(4)})$.

Let us recall how the Pontryagin classes are defined in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$. There is a natural map

$$\eta: \text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}),$$

that is induced by the identity homomorphism $\text{Diff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$. The homotopy fiber of η is denoted by $\overline{\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})}$. Now by coning the sphere to a disk and then restricting it to the interior of the disk, we obtain the following maps

$$\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \rightarrow \text{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{D}^m) \rightarrow \text{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

There are topological Pontryagin classes for Euclidean fiber bundles that are defined rationally in $H^*(\text{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^m); \mathbb{Q})$ (see [Wei21]). Galatius and Randal-Williams ([GRW23]) proved a remarkable result that the map

$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, p_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^{2n}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is injective for $2n \geq 6$. And they also proved that the map induced by pulling back these classes to $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$

$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, p_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is injective for $2n-1 \geq 9$. But for *flat* odd-dimensional sphere bundles, they proved that for $2n-1 \geq 5$, the map

$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, p_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

is injective. However, their method does not say if the image of the composition

$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, p_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is non-trivial.

Remark 1.5. In fact, since the groups $H_*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z})$ are not in general finitely generated, the map

$$H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(M); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(M); \mathbb{Q}),$$

could have a large kernel (see [Nar17b, Theorem 0.9] and [Mor87, Theorem 8.1]).

For flat \mathbb{S}^{2n} -bundles, we shall see that the Bott vanishing theorem implies the following vanishing result.

Theorem 1.6. *The monomials in Pontryagin classes p_1, \dots, p_n whose degrees are larger than $4n$ vanish in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n}); \mathbb{Q})$.*

Our method to prove our non-vanishing result, Theorem 1.2, is motivated by the following question in foliation theory. Suppose for an n -dimensional manifold M , we have a smooth fiber bundle $M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow B_0$ whose fiber is M and the base is a closed compact manifold. We want to see whether we can change the fiber bundle "up to bordism" to put a flat structure on its total space meaning to put a codimension n foliation on the total space that is transverse to the fibers. More precisely, we want to find a bordism W whose boundary ∂W is the disjoint union $B_0 \amalg B_1$ and a fiber bundle $M \rightarrow E \rightarrow W$ over the bordism such that its restriction to B_0 is given by E_0 and its restriction to B_1 is a foliated bundle. We use the equivariant version of Mather-Thurston's theory ([Nar17b, Section 1.2.2] and [Nar17a, Section 5.1]) to answer this question in the following two cases.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be a finite-dimensional connected Lie group. Any principal G -bundle over a closed manifold is cobordant via G -bundles to a foliated G -bundle (not necessarily flat principal G -bundle).*

We shall see that for the case $G = \text{SU}_2$ which is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 , this implies that the powers of the Euler class and the first Pontryagin class should be non-trivial in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\text{SU}_2); \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1.8. *Suppose M is a manifold with a free torus T action. Let $M \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a M -bundle over a closed manifold B that is classified by a map $B \rightarrow \text{BT}$. Then this M -bundle is cobordant to a foliated M -bundle.*

We shall see this theorem implies the non-vanishing results in Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.9. Since the techniques also work for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, in Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8, we can arrange the foliated bundle at the other end of the bordism to have volume-preserving holonomies.

In the appendix, Nils Prigge in particular proves that

$$H^*(\text{BSO}(4); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3, \text{so}(4)}),$$

is injective which corrects the claim by Haefliger (see Remark 1.4). In the course of the proof, he found new relations between characteristic classes in the Gelfand Fuks cohomology $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3, \text{so}(4)})$ which is isomorphic to the smooth group cohomology $H_{\text{sm}}^*(\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. Morita observed that these relations combined with our non-vanishing result give a new type of relations between secondary characteristic classes and characteristic classes in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$.

To describe such a relation, recall for a foliation \mathcal{F} on M with a trivial normal bundle, there are secondary classes in $H^*(M)$ coming from Gelfand-Fuks cohomology (see [Pit76]). For the universal flat trivial \mathbb{S}^3 -bundle

$$\pi: \mathbb{S}^3 \times \overline{\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)} \rightarrow \overline{\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)},$$

we have a codimension 3 foliation on the total space and there is a characteristic class h_2c_2 in $H^7(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \overline{\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)}; \mathbb{R})$ (see the appendix for the definition of h_2c_2). If

we integrate $h_2 c_2$ along the fiber, we obtain a class $\int_{\pi} h_2 \cdot c_2$ in $H^4(\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. There is a certain class \bar{x}_2 in $H_{\text{sm}}^4(\text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$ whose image in $H^4(\text{BDiff}_+^{\delta}(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$ extends the class $\int_{\pi} h_2 \cdot c_2$ to a class in $H^4(\text{BDiff}_+^{\delta}(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. We denote this extension of $\int_{\pi} h_2 \cdot c_2$ also by \bar{x}_2 . Morita observed that Prigge's calculation implies that

$$p_1^2 = e \cdot \bar{x}_2,$$

in $H^*(\text{BDiff}_+^{\delta}(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{R})$. Since we showed that p_1^2 is nontrivial, the class \bar{x}_2 is also nontrivial. This relation is interesting because the secondary class $h_2 c_2$ is a continuously varying class (see [Hur85, Remark 2.9] where the notation is $y_2 c_2$). So \bar{x}_2 is intrinsically a real-valued class but p_1 and e are defined over rational numbers. We hope to pursue finding such relations for higher dimensional spheres.

Acknowledgments. I am first and foremost indebted to Shigeyuki Morita for his questions, comments, and corrections that led me to write this paper. I am grateful to Søren Galatius for his comments and suggestions to study the free torus action in the context of Mather-Thurston's theorem. I would like to thank Sander Kupers for sending me the reference [Igu08]. The author was partially supported by NSF CAREER Grant DMS-2239106 and Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant (855209).

NP would like to thank Sam Nariman for offering to write this appendix and asking about this very interesting question. This research was supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation through grant no. 2019.0519.

2. EQUIVARIANT MATHER-THURSTON'S THEOREM

In this section, we recall from [Nar17b, Section 1.2.2] and [Nar17a, Section 5.1] the equivariant version of Mather-Thurston's theorem as the main tool in this paper.

Mather-Thurston's theorem ([Mat73, Thu74]) is an h-principle theorem in foliation theory that relates the homotopy type of the classifying space of Haefliger space to the group homology of diffeomorphism groups. Since we are interested in orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms in this paper, we recall Mather-Thurston's theorem in this context. Let M be an orientable smooth manifold and let $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$ denote the group of C^r orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of M with the C^r -Whitney topology. If we drop the regularity r , we mean smooth diffeomorphisms. We decorate it with superscript δ if we consider the same group with the discrete topology. The identity homomorphism $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)^{\delta} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^r(M)$ induces a map

$$(2.1) \quad \eta : \overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)^{\delta} \rightarrow \overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M).$$

Thurston in fact studied $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)$ which is the homotopy fiber of the map η . This space classifies foliated trivial M -bundles. Consider a semi-simplicial model $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)_{\bullet}$ where the set of k -simplicies is given by the set of foliations on the trivial bundle $\Delta^k \times M \rightarrow \Delta^k$ that are transverse to the fibers and whose holonomies lie in $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$. The (fat) realization $\|\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)_{\bullet}\|$ is a model for $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)$.

Note that the simplicial group $\text{Sing}_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}_+^r(M))$ which is the singular complex of the topological group $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$, acts levelwise on $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)_{\bullet}$. Milnor's theorem ([Mil57]) implies that the group $\|\text{Sing}_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}_+^r(M))\|$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$ and given that $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)$ is the homotopy fiber of η , the homotopy quotient

$$(2.2) \quad \|\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)_{\bullet}\|/\|\text{Sing}_{\bullet}(\text{Diff}_+^r(M))\|$$

is weakly equivalent to $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)^{\delta}$.

The space $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)$ is the geometric part of Mather-Thurston's theorem. To recall the part that is more amenable to homotopy theoretic techniques, let SI_n^r denote the topological groupoid whose space of objects is \mathbb{R}^n and space of morphisms is given by germs of C^r orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms between two points in \mathbb{R}^n with a sheaf topology (see [Hae71]). There is a natural map

$$\nu: \text{BSI}_n^r \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R}),$$

induced by the derivative of germs. Let $\overline{\text{BI}}_n^r$ denote the homotopy fiber of the map ν . Let $\tau_M: M \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ be the map that classifies the tangent bundle and $\tau_M^*(\nu)$ be the bundle over M induced by the pullback of the map ν via τ_M . Let $\text{Sect}(\tau_M^*(\nu))$ be the space sections of $\tau_M^*(\nu)$. One can find a model for $\text{Sect}(\tau_M^*(\nu))$ on which $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$ acts as follows. A ν -tangential-structure on the n -dimensional manifold M is a bundle map $\text{TM} \rightarrow \nu^*\gamma_n$ where γ_n is the tautological vector bundle over $\text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$. We denote the space of ν -tangential-structures on M by $\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n)$ and equip it with the compact-open topology. Note that $\text{Diff}_+^r(M)$ acts on $\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n)$ by precomposing with the differential of diffeomorphisms. In [Nar17b, Section 1.2.2], we showed the following version of Mather-Thurston's theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Equivariant Mather-Thurston). *There is a semi-simplicial map*

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M)_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n)),$$

which is equivariant with respect to $\text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Diff}_+^r(M))$ -action and it induces an acyclic map between fat realization.

Remark 2.4. Mather and Thurston ([Mat73]) first proved that this map is a homology isomorphism for compact manifolds and for compactly supported diffeomorphisms of open manifolds. Later McDuff proved ([McD80]) the non-compactly supported case for open manifolds.

By Milnor's theorem ([Mil58]) and the fact that the fat realization and geometric realization of simplicial sets are weakly equivalent ([ERW19, Section 1.2]), the natural map $\|\text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n))\| \rightarrow \text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n)$ is a weak equivalence. So after realization, we obtain a map

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}}_+^r(M) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n),$$

that induces an acyclic map and is equivariant with respect to the map $\|\text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Diff}_+^r(M))\| \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Diff}_+^r(M)$.

Corollary 2.5. *The map η in 2.1, factors as follows*

$$\text{BDiff}_+^r(M)^\delta \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \nu^*\gamma_n) // \text{Diff}_+^r(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_+^r(M),$$

where the map β is an acyclic map.

McDuff also proved the volume-preserving case of Mather-Thurston's theorem ([McD82, McD83a, McD83b], [Nar20, Section 2.2]). Suppose that M is a compact manifold with a fixed volume form. Let Γ_n^{vol} denote the topological groupoid whose space of objects is \mathbb{R}^n and space of morphisms is given by germs of volume preserving diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n . Now let $\theta: \text{BI}_n^{\text{vol}} \rightarrow \text{BSL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and also let γ_n be the tautological vector bundle over $\text{BSL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Similarly we can define $\overline{\text{BDiff}}_{\text{vol}}(M)$ and $\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \theta^*\gamma_n)$. Then the equivariant version of McDuff's theorem ([Nar20, Section 2.2]) says that there is a semi-simplicial map

$$\overline{\text{BDiff}}_{\text{vol}}(M)_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Bun}(\text{TM}, \theta^*\gamma_n)),$$

which is equivariant with respect to $\text{Sing}_\bullet(\text{Diff}_{\text{vol}}^r(M))$ -action and it induces an acyclic map between fat realization to the connected component that it hits.

3. MAKING A BUNDLE FLAT UP TO BORDISM

In this section, we prove the main theorems 1.7 and 1.8 and then we show how Theorem 1.8 implies Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We first translate the problem into finding a “homological” section as follows. A principal G -bundle is classified by a map to the classifying space BG . Forgetting the principal structure and just considering it as a G -smooth fibration induces a map

$$\alpha: BG \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_+(G).$$

Here we assume that the map α is induced by the inclusion $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(G)$ that is given by left action of G on itself.

To obtain a foliated G -bundle, we need to lift the map α to $B\text{Diff}_+^\delta(G)$. This is not always possible but we show that it is possible to lift α to the space $\text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n)//\text{Diff}_+(G)$,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n)//\text{Diff}_+(G) & \\ & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B\text{Diff}_+(G). \end{array}$$

Then, Corollary 2.5 would imply that we have a commutative diagram between oriented bordism groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(B\text{Diff}_+^\delta(G)) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(BG) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & \Omega_*^{\text{SO}}(B\text{Diff}_+(G)), \end{array}$$

which in turn implies the bordism statement in Theorem 1.7.

Suppose that G is n -dimensional. Recall that the tangent bundle TG is trivial. So $\text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n)$ is homotopy equivalent to the space of maps $\text{Map}(G, \overline{B\Gamma}_n)$. This homotopy equivalence, however, is not $\text{Diff}_+(G)$ -equivariant. But note that the trivialization $G \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow TG$ is G -equivariant with respect to the natural action of G from the left on G , on TG by acting as a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(G)$ and the trivial action on \mathbb{R}^n . Recall the trivialization sends (g, v) to $(g, Dg(v))$ where Dg is the differential of the left action by g and it is easy to see that this map is G -equivariant. For example, consider (h, w) in TG and g in G . Then $g.(h, w) = (gh, Dg(w))$. Note that (h, w) comes from $(h, D(h^{-1})(w))$ under the isomorphism $G \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow TG$. When g acts on $(h, D(h^{-1})(w))$ as an element in $G \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain $(gh, D(h^{-1})(w))$ which maps to $(gh, Dg(w))$ via the isomorphism $G \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow TG$. Hence, this isomorphism is G -equivariant. So the natural map

$$f: \text{Map}(G, \overline{B\Gamma}_n) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n)$$

is equivariant with respect to G actions on both sides. Hence, we have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(G, \overline{B\Gamma}_n)//G & \longrightarrow & \text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n)//\text{Diff}_+(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B\text{Diff}_+(G), \end{array}$$

Note that the action of G on the mapping space has fixed points (constant maps) so the left map to BG has a section. Therefore, the map α can be lifted to $\text{Bun}(TG, \nu^*\gamma_n) // \text{Diff}_+(G)$. \square

Already Theorem 1.7 implies Theorem 1.2 for \mathbb{S}^3 as follows. Note that $G = \mathbb{S}^3$ is a Lie group and also by Hatcher's theorem $\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3) \simeq \text{BSO}(4)$. So the action of \mathbb{S}^3 on itself from the left induces the map α that on cohomology gives

$$\alpha^*: H^*(\text{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[e, p_1] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BS}^3; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_2],$$

where e, p_1, c_2 are the universal Euler class, the first Pontryagin class, and the second Chern class respectively. So we have $\alpha^*(e) = c_2$ and $\alpha^*(p_1) = -2c_2$. Given the diagram 3.1 that α^* factors through the group $H^*(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{Q})$, the powers of the Euler class and the first Pontryagin class map non-trivially to $H^*(\text{BDiff}_0^\delta(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{Q})$. Now using the same idea, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Suppose the dimension of M is n . For the free torus $T = (S^1)^r$ action on M , let Q be the quotient M/T and π be the natural map $\pi: M \rightarrow Q$. Note that the vertical tangent bundle $T_\pi M$ is trivial by differentiating the torus action. So it is isomorphic to $M \times \mathfrak{t}$ where \mathfrak{t} is the Lie algebra of T . And there is a natural isomorphism between TM and $\pi^*(TQ) \oplus \epsilon^r$ where ϵ is the trivial line bundle over M and this isomorphism is T -equivariant with respect to the trivial action on the vectors in the bundle $\pi^*(TQ) \oplus \epsilon^r$. In general, when we have a free G action on M , the vertical tangent bundle $T_\pi M$ of the quotient map is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G . And this isomorphism is G -equivariant with respect to the adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} . Therefore, for the free torus action, we obtain T -equivariant isomorphism between $T_\pi M$ and $\pi^*(TQ) \oplus \epsilon^r$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.7, it is enough to show that the torus action of the space of bundle maps $\text{Bun}(TM, \nu^*\gamma_n)$ has fixed points. We think of bundle maps as the space of lifts of the classifying map $\tau_M: M \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ of the tangent bundle to $\text{BS}\Gamma_n$. Note that the classifying map τ_M factors as $M \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, the map $\nu: \text{BS}\Gamma_n \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ is at least $(n+2)$ -connected ([Thu74, theorem 2]) and the dimension of Q is less than n . Therefore, by the obstruction theory, the map $Q \rightarrow \text{BGL}_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ lifts to $\text{BS}\Gamma_n$. Hence, the composition

$$M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text{BS}\Gamma_n,$$

gives a bundle map in $\text{Bun}(TM, \nu^*\gamma_n)$. But since this map factors through Q , it is fixed under T action. \square

Remark 3.2. In the case of volume preserving diffeomorphisms, it is a consequence of Moser's trick ([Ban97, Corollary 1.5.4]) that the inclusion $\text{Diff}_{\text{vol}}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}_+(M)$ is a homotopy equivalence. So the induced map on classifying spaces $\text{BDiff}_{\text{vol}}(M) \rightarrow \text{BDiff}_+(M)$ is also a homotopy equivalence. Given McDuff's version of Mather-Thurston's theorem for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, we can do the above argument to obtain foliations whose holonomies preserve the volume form.

Let us now use Theorem 1.8 to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} as the quotient $U(n)/U(n-1)$. We have a standard free $U(1)$ -action on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} as follows

$$U(1) \xrightarrow{\Delta} U(1)^n \rightarrow U(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(2n) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}).$$

Recall that the Euler class and Pontryagin class for \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} -bundles are defined by taking the infinite cone of each fiber to obtain a topological \mathbb{R}^{2n} -bundle. So we

have the following maps between classifying spaces

$$\mathrm{BU}(1) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathrm{BU}(1)^n \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Hence, the pull-back of the monomials in classes e, p_i in $H^*(\mathrm{BHomeo}_+(\mathbb{R}^{2n}); \mathbb{Q})$ for $i \leq n-1$ to $H^*(\mathrm{BU}(1); \mathbb{Q})$ are non-trivial multiples of powers of the first Chern class $c_1 \in H^2(\mathrm{BU}(1); \mathbb{Q})$.

On the other hand, similar to the diagram 3.1, Theorem 1.8 gives the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Bun}(\mathrm{T}\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \nu^* \gamma_{2n-1}) // \mathrm{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{BU}(1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we know that the map

$$H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BU}(1); \mathbb{Q}),$$

factors through $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$ which implies that all the powers of the classes e, p_i for $i \leq n-1$ are non-trivial in $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Remark 3.4. This argument, in fact, proves a slightly more general result that all elements in $\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}]$ that are not in the kernel of the map

$$H^*(\mathrm{BSO}(2n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BU}(1); \mathbb{Q}),$$

are non-trivial in $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 3.5. As we mentioned, Morita observed that the classes e^k and monomials of Pontryagin classes are linearly independent when k is odd. It would be interesting to determine whether

$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}] \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_0^\delta(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

is injective.

Remark 3.6. One interesting example on which a torus does not act freely is the higher dimensional analog of surfaces $W_g^n = \#_g \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$ which is the connected sum of g copies of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^n$. Galatius, Grigoriev, and Randal-Williams proved in [GGRW17, Theorem 4.1 (ii)] that there exists an $\mathrm{SO}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(n)$ -action W_g^n . They used this action to detect the non-vanishing of certain MMM-classes κ_{ep_i} for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $g > 1$. As we observed in [Nar17a, Theorem 6.3], one can use this action to show that the powers of the classes κ_{ep_i} for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $g > 1$ are non-trivial in $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(W_g^n); \mathbb{Z})$. It would be interesting to see if the classes κ_{ep_i} 's are also non-trivial in $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(W_g^n); \mathbb{Q})$. For the case of $n = 1$ where W_g^1 is a closed genus g surface, MMM-classes $\kappa_{e^{i+1}}$ are denoted by κ_i . Kotschick and Morita ([KM05]) showed that κ_1^k is non-trivial in $H^{2k}(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(W_g^1); \mathbb{Q})$ for all positive integer k provided that $g \geq 3k$. The class κ_2 is not known to be non-trivial for flat surface bundles and by Bott vanishing ([Mor87, Theorem 8.1]) the classes κ_i for $i > 2$ vanish in $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(W_g^1); \mathbb{Q})$.

4. EVEN DIMENSIONAL SPHERES AND THE BOTT VANISHING THEOREM

Here we use the Bott vanishing theorem to prove Theorem 1.6. First, let us recall the Bott vanishing theorem [Bot70]. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on closed manifold E of codimension q . Then we have

$$\text{Pont}^{>2q}(\nu\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

where $\text{Pont}^{>2q}(\nu\mathcal{F})$ is a ring generated by monomials of Pontryagin classes of the normal bundle of \mathcal{F} of degree larger than $2q$.

Recall from the introduction that to any smooth oriented sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}^{2n} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, we assign Pontryagin classes $p_i(\pi) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ by taking infinite cone of the fibers and consider the associated topological Euclidean fiber bundle. In order to prove the vanishing theorem 1.6 for a flat smooth oriented \mathbb{S}^{2n} -bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, we need to relate these Pontryagin classes in $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ to the Pontryagin classes of the normal bundle of the foliation on the total space in $H^*(E; \mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a smooth oriented \mathbb{S}^m -bundle and let $c(\pi): C(E) \rightarrow B$ be a topological \mathbb{R}^{m+1} -bundle obtained by taking infinite cones on each fiber. Let $T_\pi E$ be the vertical tangent bundle of the fiber bundle π and let ϵ be the trivial \mathbb{R} -bundle over E . Then we have an isomorphism of topological bundles*

$$T_\pi E \oplus \epsilon \cong \pi^*(C(E)),$$

as topological \mathbb{R}^{m+1} -bundles.

Proof. In the proof of [Igu08, Corollary 1.4], Igusa showed that if $p: L \rightarrow X$ is a smooth oriented sphere bundle over a compact manifold X and $s: X \rightarrow L$ is a section, then $C(L)$ the infinite cone of L is isomorphic to $s^*(T_p L) \oplus \epsilon$ as topological Euclidean space fiber bundles.

Note that $q: \pi^*(E) \rightarrow E$ has a canonical section that we denote by s . And also $\pi^*(C(E))$ is isomorphic to $C(\pi^*(E))$. So by Igusa's theorem, the fiber bundle $\pi^*(C(E))$ is isomorphic to $s^*(T_q \pi^*(E)) \oplus \epsilon$. But $s^*(T_q \pi^*(E))$ is isomorphic to $T_\pi E$. Hence, his theorem implies that

$$T_\pi E \oplus \epsilon \cong \pi^*(C(E)),$$

as topological \mathbb{R}^{m+1} -bundles. \square

Now suppose that $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a smooth oriented flat \mathbb{S}^{2n} -bundle. The vertical tangent bundle $T_\pi E$ is the normal of the foliation on E . By definition, the Pontryagin classes $p_i(\pi)$ are defined to be the Pontryagin classes of the infinite cone bundle $C(E)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$p_i(T_\pi E) = \pi^*(p_i(C(E))).$$

So for any monomial $P \in \text{Pont}^*(T_\pi E)$ we have $P(T_\pi E) = \pi^*(P(\pi))$. If we fiber integrate $e(T_\pi E) \cdot P(T_\pi E)$ which is the MMM-class κ_{eP} , we obtain

$$\pi_!(e(T_\pi E) \cdot P(T_\pi E)) = \pi_!(e(T_\pi E) \cdot \pi^*(P(\pi))) = 2 \cdot P(\pi),$$

since $\pi_!(e(T_\pi E)) = \chi(\mathbb{S}^{2n}) = 2$. By the Bott vanishing theorem, we know that $\text{Pont}^{>4n}(T_\pi E) = 0$. Hence, $P(\pi) = 0$ if P is a monomial of Pontryagin classes p_1, \dots, p_n whose degrees are larger than $4n$.

APPENDIX A. HAEFLIGER'S MODEL, BY NILS PRIGGE

We use Haefliger's method to study the kernel of the map

$$(A.1) \quad H^*(\text{BSO}(n+1); \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)})$$

and correct a mistake in [Hae78] in the process. Haefliger's main result [Hae78, Thm 1'] identifies $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^n, \text{so}(n+1)})$ with the $\text{SO}(n+1)$ -equivariant cohomology

of the following section space: Let F_n be the restriction of the canonical $U(n)$ -bundle over $BU(n)$ to the $2n$ -skeleton (with respect to the CW decomposition of the complex Grassmannian by Schubert cells). Given a manifold M with an action of a compact connected Lie group, the associated bundle $E_M := \text{Fr}^+(M) \times_{\text{SO}(n)} F_n$ is a G -equivariant fiber bundle over M with fiber F_n . Denote by Γ_M the space of sections of this bundle which has a G -action given by conjugation. The complex of continuous and G -basic Chevalley-Eilenberg cochains $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(\mathcal{L}_M, \mathfrak{g})$ is a cdga model for $\Gamma_M//G$ by [Hae78, Thm 1'] (see loc. cit. for the definitions).

Haefliger then uses tools from rational homotopy theory to determine a simple model for $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\text{SO}(n+1)$ which allows for a computation of the kernel of (A.1). We give an alternative proof that confirms his computation for n even but corrects a mistake for n odd which contradicts Theorem 1.2.

Theorem A.2. *For even n the kernel of (A.1) consists of all polynomials in the Pontryagin classes $p_1, \dots, p_{n/2}$ of degree $> 2n$. For $n = 3$ the map (A.1) is injective.*

We determine a model for $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\text{SO}(n+1)$ from relative Sullivan models of

$$E_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\text{SO}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n//\text{SO}(n+1)$$

and a model of the evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : (\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \times \mathbb{S}^n)//\text{SO}(n+1) \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\text{SO}(n+1).$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{S}^n$ denote the north pole with isotropy group $\text{SO}(n) \subset \text{SO}(n+1)$ and identify F_n with the fibre over N . Then the inclusion $F_n \hookrightarrow E_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is equivariant with respect to the standard inclusion $\text{SO}(n) \subset \text{SO}(n+1)$ and thus induces a map $F_n//\text{SO}(n) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\text{SO}(n+1)$ which is a homotopy equivalence as $\mathbb{S}^n//\text{SO}(n+1) \simeq \text{BSO}(n)$. Hence, it is sufficient to determine a relative Sullivan model for $F_n//\text{SO}(n)$.

A cdga model of F_n is given by

$$WU_n := \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_n]}{(f, |f| > 2n)} \otimes \Lambda(h_1, \dots, h_n), d(h_i) = c_i \right)$$

by [Hae78] which has a trivial product structure by [GF70]. Hence, F_n has the rational homotopy type of a bouquet of spheres and we denote by L_n a minimal dg Lie model. For example, a straightforward computation of $\overline{H}^*(WU_3)$ proves that

$$F_3 \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \bigvee^4 S^7 \vee S^9 \vee \bigvee^3 S^{10} \vee S^{11} \vee \bigvee^4 S^{12} \vee S^{14} \vee \bigvee^3 S^{15}$$

so that $L_3 = (\mathbb{L}(y_1, \dots, y_{17}), d_L = 0)$. We denote the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex by $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_n) = (\Lambda z_i, d)$ where the generators z_i correspond to a basis of $(sL_n)^\vee$. For $n = 3$, we denote the generators of low degrees corresponding to a dual basis of sL_3^1 by x_1, \dots, x_{17} and by $x_{i,j}$ the generators corresponding to a basis of sL_3^2 , where $L_n^k \subset L_n$ denotes the grading by bracket length, so that

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3) = (\Lambda z_i, d) = (\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{17}, x_{i,j}, \dots), d).$$

In the following, we compute a relative cdga model for $F_n//\text{SO}(n)$. We denote $B_n := H^*(\text{BSO}(n); \mathbb{Q})$ and let

$$A_n := \begin{cases} B_{n+1}[e]/(e^2 - p_n) & \text{if } n \equiv 0(2) \\ B_{n+1} \otimes \Lambda(s), d(s) = e & \text{if } n \equiv 1(2) \end{cases}$$

be a model for $\mathbb{S}^n//\text{SO}(n+1) \simeq \text{BSO}(n) \rightarrow \text{BSO}(n+1)$ over B_{n+1} .

Lemma A.4. *A relative cdga model of $F_n//\text{SO}(n)$ is given by*

$$(B_n \otimes WU_n, \tilde{d}(h_i) = c_i - (-1)^{i/2} p_{i/2}).$$

Proof. By definition, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ acts freely on F_n and hence $F_n//\mathrm{SO}(n) \simeq F_n/\mathrm{SO}(n)$. The projection $F_n/\mathrm{SO}(n) \rightarrow F_n/\mathrm{U}(n) = \mathrm{sk}_{2n}\mathrm{BU}(n)$ is a $\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{SO}(n)$ -bundle and pulled back from $\mathrm{U}(n)/\mathrm{SO}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(n)$ via the inclusion of the $2n$ -skeleton. Rationally, both $\mathrm{BSO}(n)$ and $\mathrm{BU}(n)$ are products of Eilenberg-MacLane whose minimal models coincide with their cohomology rings. Hence, a relative Sullivan model of $i : \mathrm{BSO}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}(n)$ is determined from the induced map on cohomology and given by $E_n := (B_n \otimes H^*(\mathrm{BU}(n)) \otimes \Lambda(h_1, \dots, h_n), d(h_k) = c_k - (-1)^{k/2} p_{k/2})$ as $i^*(c_k) = (-1)^{k/2} p_{k/2}$ if k is even and zero if k is odd. A model for the pullback over the $2n$ -skeleton, i.e. $F_n/\mathrm{SO}(n)$, is determined by a cdga model of the inclusion $\mathrm{sk}_{2n}\mathrm{BU}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BU}(n)$ via base change by [FHT01, Prop. 15.8]. Since the inclusion is $(2n+1)$ -connected (as there are no cells of odd degree), a minimal model of $\mathrm{sk}_{2n}\mathrm{BU}(n)$ has generators corresponding to the Chern classes and additional generators of degrees $\geq 2n+1$ and therefore there is a quasi-isomorphism to $H^*(\mathrm{sk}_{2n}\mathrm{BU}(n))$ by projection onto the Chern classes. It follows that the inclusion of the $2n$ -skeleton is formal and thus a model of $F_n/\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is given by

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_n]}{(f(c_1, \dots, c_n), |f| > 2n)} \otimes_{H^*(\mathrm{BU}(n))} E_n \cong (B_n \otimes WU_n, \tilde{d}(h_i) = c_i - (-1)^{i/2} p_{i/2}),$$

which is isomorphic to the model that Haefliger gives in [Hae78, Sect. 7]. \square

Corollary A.5. *The fibre bundle $E_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is fibrewise rationally equivalent to the trivial fibration $\pi_2 : F_n \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$*

Proof. By construction, $E_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is the pullback of $F_n//\mathrm{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(n)$ along the classifying map of the tangent bundle $\tau_M : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(n)$ which is a formal map. Hence, a relative Sullivan model of $E_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is given by

$$H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes_{B_n} (B_n \otimes WU_n, \tilde{d}(h_i) = c_i - (-1)^{i/2} p_{i/2})$$

by Lemma A.4. This cdga is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes WU_n$ as the total Pontrjagin class of \mathbb{S}^n is trivial, which proves the claim. \square

The computation of $H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}//\mathrm{SO}(n+1); \mathbb{Q})$ requires a relative Sullivan model of $F_n//\mathrm{SO}(n)$ which we only determine in low degrees for $n = 3$.

Lemma A.6. *A relative Sullivan model of $F_3//\mathrm{SO}(3)$ of the form $(B_3 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3), D)$ extending the differential on $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ is given (in low degrees) by*

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} D(x_2) &= -p_1^2 & D(x_6) &= -p_1 x_1 & D(x_7) &= p_1 x_3 \\ D(x_8) &= p_1 x_4 & D(x_{10}) &= p_1 x_5 & D(x_{14}) &= p_1 x_9 \\ D(x_{15}) &= p_1 x_{11} & D(x_{16}) &= p_1 x_{12} & D(x_{17}) &= p_1 x_{13} \\ D(x_{1,2}) &= x_1 x_2 + p_1 x_6 \end{aligned}$$

and $D(x_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13$. Moreover, denoting by $\epsilon : \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ the augmentation, one can choose D so that x_2 is the only generator for which $B_3 \otimes \epsilon(D(z_i)) \neq 0$.

Proof. There exists a relative Sullivan model

$$\Phi : (B_3 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3), D) \xrightarrow{\cong} (B_3 \otimes WU_3, \tilde{d}(h_i) = c_i - (-1)^{i/2} p_{i/2}).$$

that extends the differential of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$. Given a quasi-isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3) \rightarrow WU_3$, one can find Φ and D inductively (with respect to the filtration on the indecomposables of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ given by degree) as follows: For $z_i \in \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$, one can find $a_i \in B_3 \otimes WU_3$ and $b_i \in B_3 \otimes \Lambda(z_j)_{|z_j| < |z_i|}$ so that $\tilde{d}(\phi(x) + a_i) = \Phi(d(x) + b_i)$ and then set $\Phi(z_i) = \phi(z_i) + a_i$ and $D(z_i) = d(z_i) + b_i$.

Using the notation $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ from (A.3), a possible choice for ϕ is given by

$$(A.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(x_1) &= h_3 c_1 & \phi(x_2) &= h_2 c_2 & \phi(x_3) &= h_1 c_1^3 \\ \phi(x_4) &= h_1 c_1 c_2 & \phi(x_5) &= h_3 c_2 & \phi(x_6) &= h_1 h_3 c_2 - c_1 h_2 h_3 \\ \phi(x_7) &= h_1 h_2 c_1^3 & \phi(x_8) &= h_1 h_2 c_1 c_2 & \phi(x_9) &= h_3 c_3 \\ \phi(x_{10}) &= h_2 h_3 c_2 & \phi(x_{11}) &= h_1 h_3 c_1^3 & \phi(x_{12}) &= h_1 h_3 c_1 c_2 \\ \phi(x_{13}) &= h_1 h_3 c_3 & \phi(x_{14}) &= h_2 h_3 c_3 & \phi(x_{15}) &= h_1 h_2 h_3 c_1^3 \\ \phi(x_{16}) &= h_1 h_2 h_3 c_3 & \phi(x_{17}) &= h_1 h_2 h_3 c_3 & \phi(x_{1,2}) &= -h_1 h_2 h_3 c_2 \end{aligned}$$

and where ϕ vanishes on all other generators. We arrive at (A.7) carrying out the algorithm with this choice of ϕ and we record only the differential as we do not need Φ later on. For example, since $\bar{d}(\phi(x_2) - p_1 h_2) = p_1 c_2 - p_1(c_2 + p_1) = \Phi(-p_1^2)$ we set $\Phi(x_2) = h_2 c_2 - p_1 h_2$ and $D(x_2) = -p_1^2$.

Lastly, if there is another generator with $B_3 \otimes \epsilon(D(z_i)) = \lambda p_1^k \neq 0 \in B_3$, then there is an algebra automorphism of $B_3 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ defined by $z_i \mapsto z_i + \lambda p_1^{k-2} x_2$ and which is the identity on the other generators, so that the differential obtained by conjugation satisfies $B_3 \otimes \epsilon(D(z_i)) = 0$. Hence, there exists a differential with the property that only $B_3 \otimes \epsilon(D(x_2)) \neq 0$. \square

Before we give the proof of the main theorem, we need the following technical statement regarding relative Sullivan algebras.

Lemma A.9. *Let $\Psi_1 : (B \otimes \Lambda V, D_V) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda W, D_W)$ be a map of relative Sullivan algebras with $B^0 = \mathbb{Q}$. Suppose $\psi_1 := \Psi_1 \otimes_B \mathbb{Q}$ is homotopic to $\psi_2 : (\Lambda V, d_V) \rightarrow (\Lambda W, d_W)$, then Ψ_1 is homotopic relative B to a map Ψ_2 so that $\Psi_2 \otimes_B \mathbb{Q} = \psi_2$.*

Proof. There exists a map $h : \Lambda V \rightarrow \Lambda W$ of degree -1 so that $\psi_2 - \psi_1 = d_W h + h d_V$ by [FHT01, Prop. 12.8]. Denote by $h_B : B \otimes \Lambda V \rightarrow B \otimes \Lambda W$ its B -linear extension and define Ψ_2 via its restriction by $\Psi_2|_V = \Psi_1|_V + D_W h_B + h_B D_V$. Then Ψ_2 is a map of B -algebras and $\Psi_2 \otimes_B \mathbb{Q} = \psi_1 + d_W h + h d_V = \psi_2$. Moreover, define $H : (B \otimes \Lambda V, D_V) \rightarrow (B \otimes \Lambda W, D_W) \otimes \Lambda(t, dt)$ by

$$H(v) = \Psi_1(v) + (\Psi_2(v) - \Psi_1(v))t - (-1)^{|v|} h_B(v) dt,$$

which is a chain map and a homotopy $\Psi_1 \sim \Psi_2$ relative B . \square

As a last remark we observe that for a relative Sullivan algebra $(B \otimes \Lambda V, D)$, we have that $D(B \otimes \Lambda^{\geq k} V) \subset B \otimes \Lambda^{\geq k-1} V$ and we can only decrease the product length in ΛV if there are generators $v \in V$ with $B \otimes \epsilon(D(v)) \neq 0 \in B$, where $\epsilon : \Lambda V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ denotes the augmentation. The natural map $H(B) \rightarrow H(B \otimes \Lambda V, D)$ only has a kernel if there are such generators.

Proof of Theorem A.2. By Corollary A.5, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Map}(\mathbb{S}^n, F_n)$ which is n -connected and has a Lie model $H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n$ by [Ber15, Thm 1.5]. In the following, we denote $H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) = \Lambda(s)/(s^2)$. There exists a relative Sullivan model for $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} // \text{SO}(n+1)$ of the form $(B_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n), \bar{D})$ that extends the differential of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n)$. The evaluation map $(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \times \mathbb{S}^n) // \text{SO}(n+1) \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{S}^n} // \text{SO}(n+1)$ is over $\mathbb{S}^n // \text{SO}(n+1)$ and hence modeled by a map

$$(A.10) \quad \Psi : (A_n \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_n), D) \longrightarrow A_n \otimes_{B_{n+1}} (B_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n), \bar{D})$$

over A_n , where

- (i) A_n is the relative Sullivan model of $\mathbb{S}^n // \text{SO}(n+1)$ over B_{n+1} defined above;
- (ii) $(A_n \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_n), D)$ is a relative Sullivan model for $E_{\mathbb{S}^n} // \text{SO}(n+1) \simeq F_n // \text{SO}(n)$ from Lemma A.4 (and an analogue of Corollary A.5 for general n) by base change along $B_n \xrightarrow{\cong} A_n$.

Since $E_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is rationally equivalent to a trivial fibration, the evaluation map is equivalent over $\text{BSO}(n+1)$ to $\text{ev} \times \pi_2 : \text{Map}(\mathbb{S}^n, F_n) \times \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow F_n \times \mathbb{S}^n$. If we denote the generators of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(H(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n)$ by $\{z_i, \bar{z}_i\}$ where $|\bar{z}_i| = |z_i| - n$, then a model of the evaluation map of mapping spaces is given by

$$(A.11) \quad \psi : \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n) \otimes H(\mathbb{S}^n), \quad z_i \mapsto z_i \otimes 1 + \bar{z}_i \otimes s$$

by [Ber22, Thm 3.11]. Hence, we can assume by Lemma A.9 for n odd that

$$(A.12) \quad \Psi(z_i) = 1 \otimes z_i + s \otimes \bar{z}_i + 1 \otimes a_i + s \otimes b_i$$

for some $a_i, b_i \in B_{n+1}^+ \otimes \Lambda(z_i, \bar{z}_i)$. The same is true for even n but in order to apply Lemma A.9 we have to use a relative Sullivan model $A'_n := (B_{n+1} \otimes \Lambda(e, y), d(y) = e^2 - p_{n/2})$ instead of A_n . Using that the projection map $A'_n \rightarrow A_n$ is a quasi-isomorphism, one can see that we also obtain (A.12) in the case n is even.

There is an algebra automorphism of $B_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n)$ defined by $f(z_i) = z_i + a_i$ and $f(\bar{z}_i) = \bar{z}_i + b_i$ so that $(A_n \otimes f^{-1}) \circ \Psi(z_i) = 1 \otimes z_i + s \otimes \bar{z}_i$. Hence, we can find a model for the evaluation map (A.10) by post-composition with f^{-1} so that $\Psi = A_n \otimes \psi$ which determines the differential \bar{D}

$$(A.13) \quad \bar{D}(z_i) = \begin{cases} D(z_i) - e\bar{z}_i & n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_1(D(z_i)) & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{D}(\bar{z}_i) = \begin{cases} \Theta(D(z_i)) & n \text{ odd} \\ \Psi_s(D(z_i)) & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

where Θ is a B_{n+1} -linear derivation of $B_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n)$ defined by $\Theta(z_i) = \bar{z}_i$, and for n even and $x \in \mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_n)$ we denote $\Psi(x) = 1 \otimes \Psi_1(x) + s \otimes \Psi_s(x) \in A_n \otimes B_{n+1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^n) \otimes L_n)$.

We now prove the claim for n even. Observe that $0 = B_{n+1} \otimes \epsilon(\bar{D}(\bar{z}_i)) \in B_{n+1}$ for all \bar{z}_i . Hence, only $\bar{D}(z_i)$ can have a summand in $B_{n+1} \otimes 1$. As F_n is $2n$ -connected, $|z_i| > 2n$ and hence (A.1) is injective in degrees $* \leq 2n$. An elementary argument that we give a Corollary A.17 then shows that (A.1) is the zero map in degrees $> 2n$.

We now prove that (A.1) is injective for $n = 3$. Again, it follows from (A.13) that for n odd $0 = B_{n+1} \otimes \epsilon(\bar{D}(\bar{z}_i)) \in B_{n+1}$ for all \bar{z}_i . By Lemma A.6, we see that the only generator of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^3) \otimes L_3)$ with non-trivial contribution $B_4 \otimes \epsilon(\bar{D}(z_i)) \in B_4$ is given by $\bar{D}(x_2) = -p_1^2 - e\bar{x}_2$. Hence, given an element $f = f(p_1, e) \in B_4$ in the kernel of (A.1), i.e. $\bar{D}(x) = f$ for some $x \in B_4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^3) \otimes L_3)$, then $x = -f/p_1^2 \cdot x_2 + y$ for some $y \in B_4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^3) \otimes L_3)$ with $\bar{D}(y) = -ef/p_1^2 \cdot \bar{x}_2$ and which has no summand which is in the B_4 -span of x_2 .

By inspection of (A.13), we see that there are two ways how $\bar{D}(y)$ can have a summand in the B_4 -span of \bar{x}_2 . First, if z_i is a generator of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ with $D(z_i) = \lambda p_1^k x_2 + z$ for $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\bar{D}(\bar{z}_i) = \lambda p_1^k \bar{x}_2 + \Theta(z)$. But this implies that $0 = D^2(z_i) = -\lambda p_1^{k+2} + D(z)$ which contradicts Lemma A.6 and thus isn't possible.

The only other option is to use x_2 as the only generator with $B_4 \otimes \epsilon(\bar{D}(x_2)) \neq 0$, i.e. observe that $\bar{D}(x_2 \bar{x}_2) = -p_1^2 \bar{x}_2 - e\bar{x}_2^2$. Hence, if $p_1^2 | f/p_1^2$ then $y = ef/p_1^4 x_2 \bar{x}_2 + y'$ where y' contains no summand in the B_4 -span of $x_2 \bar{x}_2$ so that $\bar{D}(y') = e^2 f/p_1^4 \bar{x}_2^2$. We can iterate this argument again if f/p_1^4 is again divisible by p_1^2 . But finally we obtain an element y with no summand in $B_4 \otimes \Lambda(x_2, \bar{x}_2)$ satisfying $\bar{D}(y) = \pm (ef/p_1^2)^k \bar{x}_2^k$. This again is only possible if there is a generator of $\mathcal{C}_{CE}(L_3)$ with $D(z_i) = \lambda p_1^k x_2 + z$ which we have concluded above cannot exist by Lemma A.6.

Hence, there exists no $y \in B_4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_{CE}(H^*(\mathbb{S}^3) \otimes L_3)$ so that $\overline{D}(y) = -ef/p_1^2 \cdot \bar{x}_2$ and therefore (A.1) is injective \square

Remark A.14. The argument in the proof shows more generally that the map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}[e, p_1, \bar{x}_2]/(p_1^2 + e\bar{x}_2) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^3}/\text{SO}(4); \mathbb{R}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3}; \text{so}(4))$ is injective which is an interesting observation in itself.

Remark A.15. We have recorded in Lemma A.6 the computation of the differential in low degrees even though we didn't need it in the end for the proof of Theorem A.2. It is interesting because one can compute from it $H^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{S}^3}; \text{so}(4))$ in low degrees and also easily confirm that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}[e, p_1, \bar{x}_2]/(p_1^2 + e\bar{x}_2) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^3}/\text{SO}(4); \mathbb{R})$ is injective in degrees ≤ 8 . In particular, it follows that $p_1^2 \neq 0$ which already contradicts Haefliger's statement (see Remark 1.4) and was the main motivation for this appendix.

We finish with a completely elementary proof that for n even all polynomials in the Pontryagin classes of degree $> 2n$ vanish in $H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1))$.

Lemma A.16. *Any polynomial in the Pontryagin classes $p_1, \dots, p_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ of degree $> 2n$ vanishes in $H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \times \mathbb{S}^n)/\text{SO}(n+1)$.*

Proof. We have seen that $F_n/\text{SO}(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1)$. Since $\text{SO}(n)$ acts freely on F_n , the homotopy quotient is equivalent to $F_n/\text{SO}(n)$ which admits a map to $F_n/\text{U}(n) = \text{sk}_{2n}\text{BU}(n)$ with cohomology ring $H^*(\text{sk}_{2n}\text{BU}(n)) = \mathbb{Q}[c_1, \dots, c_n]/(f, |f| > 2n)$. Because the Pontryagin classes are pulled back from $\text{BU}(n)$, every polynomial in the Pontryagin classes of degree $> 2n$ vanishes in $F_n/\text{SO}(n) \simeq E_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1)$.

The evaluation map $\Gamma_M \times M \rightarrow E_M$ is equivariant (with respect to the diagonal action on the domain) and induces a map on homotopy quotients. As polynomials in the Pontryagin classes of degree $> 2n$ vanish in $H^*(E_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1))$, so do their images in $H^*(\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \times \mathbb{S}^n)/\text{SO}(n+1)$. \square

Corollary A.17. *Let n be even, then the kernel of (A.1) contains the ideal generated by the monomials in Pontryagin classes $p_1, \dots, p_{n/2}$ whose degrees are larger than $2n$.*

Proof. The projection $\pi_1 : (\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n} \times \mathbb{S}^n)/\text{SO}(n+1) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1)$ is a fibration with fiber \mathbb{S}^n which satisfies the assumption of the Leray-Hirsch theorem as the Euler class in $\mathbb{S}^n/\text{SO}(n+1) \simeq \text{BSO}(n)$ pulls back to a class which restricts to a generator of $H^n(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Hence, π_1 induces an injection on cohomology. As the Pontryagin classes are pulled back along π_1 , polynomials in the Pontryagin classes of degree $> 2n$ vanish already in $\Gamma_{\mathbb{S}^n}/\text{SO}(n+1)$. \square

Remark A.18. The idea of the proof of Theorem A.2 as presented here is contained in [Hae78], although we couldn't find an argument in Haefliger's papers for the simple model of the evaluation map that is crucial for determining the relative Sullivan model of Γ_M/G . The proof of Theorem A.2 above provides this argument. However, this appendix does not rely on Haefliger's work and instead uses more recent results about mapping spaces and evaluation maps.

Haefliger offers no detailed computation for the kernel of (A.1), and following the steps outlined in [Hae78, Sect. 7] for $n = 3$ leads to a contradiction to his result on page 154, so we do not know the origin of the mistake in [Hae78].

REFERENCES

- [Ban97] Augustin Banyaga. *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, volume 400 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [Ben55] Jean Paul Benzecri. *VARIETES LOCALEMENT PLATES*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1955. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University.

- [Ber15] Alexander Berglund. Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for L_∞ -algebras. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 17(2):343–369, 2015.
- [Ber22] Alexander Berglund. Characteristic classes for families of bundles. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 28(3):Paper No. 51, 56, 2022.
- [Bot70] Raoul Bott. On a topological obstruction to integrability. In *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, volume 16, pages 127–131, 1970.
- [Bot77] Raoul Bott. On the characteristic classes of groups of diffeomorphisms. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 23(3-4):209–220, 1977.
- [ERW19] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams. Semisimplicial spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 19(4):2099–2150, 2019.
- [FHT01] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas. *Rational homotopy theory*, volume 205 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [GF70] I. M. Gelfand and D. B. Fuks. Cohomologies of the lie algebra of formal vector fields. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, pages 322–337, 1970.
- [GGRW17] Søren Galatius, Ilya Grigoriev, and Oscar Randal-Williams. Tautological rings for high-dimensional manifolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, 153(4):851–866, 2017.
- [GRW23] Soren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams. Algebraic independence of topological Pontryagin classes. *to appear in Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2023.
- [Hae71] André Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. In *Manifolds-Amsterdam 1970*, pages 133–163. Springer, 1971.
- [Hae78] André Haefliger. On the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 24(1-2):143–160, 1978.
- [Hae10] André Haefliger. Differential cohomology. In *Differential topology*, pages 19–70. Springer, 2010.
- [Hur85] Steven Hurder. The classifying space of smooth foliations. *Illinois J. Math.*, 29(1):108–133, 1985.
- [Igu08] Kiyoshi Igusa. Pontrjagin classes and higher torsion of sphere bundles. In *Groups of diffeomorphisms*, volume 52 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 21–29. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008.
- [KM05] D Kotschick and S Morita. Signatures of foliated surface bundles and the symplectomorphism groups of surfaces. *Topology*, 44(1):131–149, 2005.
- [Mat73] John N. Mather. Integrability in codimension 1. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 48:195–233, 1973.
- [McD80] Dusa McDuff. The homology of some groups of diffeomorphisms. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 55(1):97–129, 1980.
- [McD82] Dusa McDuff. Local homology of groups of volume preserving diffeomorphisms. I. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 15(4):609–648 (1983), 1982.
- [McD83a] Dusa McDuff. Local homology of groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. II. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 58(1):135–165, 1983.
- [McD83b] Dusa McDuff. Local homology of groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. iii. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 16, pages 529–540. Société mathématique de France, 1983.
- [Mil57] John Milnor. The geometric realization of a semi-simplicial complex. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 65:357–362, 1957.
- [Mil58] John Milnor. On the existence of a connection with curvature zero. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 32:215–223, 1958.
- [Mor84] Shigeyuki Morita. Nontriviality of the Gelfand-Fuchs characteristic classes for flat S^1 -bundles. *Osaka J. Math.*, 21(3):545–563, 1984.
- [Mor87] Shigeyuki Morita. Characteristic classes of surface bundles. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 90(3):551–577, 1987.
- [Nar16] Sam Nariman. On powers of the Euler class for flat circle bundles. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, pages 1–6, 2016.
- [Nar17a] Sam Nariman. Homological stability and stable moduli of flat manifold bundles. *Advances in Mathematics*, 320:1227–1268, 2017.
- [Nar17b] Sam Nariman. Stable homology of surface diffeomorphism groups made discrete. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(5):3047–3092, 2017.
- [Nar20] Sam Nariman. On the moduli space of flat symplectic surface bundles. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 116(2):349 – 391, 2020.
- [Pit76] Harsh V Pittie. *Characteristic classes of foliations*. Pitman, 1976.
- [Sch78] Paul A. Schweitzer, editor. *Differential topology, foliations and Gelfand-Fuks cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 652. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
- [Thu74] William Thurston. Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 80(2):304–307, 1974.

[Wei21] Michael S. Weiss. Rational Pontryagin classes of Euclidean fiber bundles. *Geom. Topol.*, 25(7):3351–3424, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067,
Email address: snariman@purdue.edu

STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET, MATEMATISKA INSTITUTIONEN, 106 91 STOCKHOLM
Email address: nils.prigge@math.su.se