

# On Colorful Vertex and Edge Cover Problems<sup>\*</sup>

Sayan Bandyapadhyay<sup>1</sup>, Aritra Banik<sup>2</sup>, and Sujoy Bhore<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Portland State University  
sayan.bandyapadhyay@uib.no

<sup>2</sup> School of Computer Sciences, NISER, Bhubaneswar, India  
aritrabanik@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology  
Bombay, Mumbai, India.  
sujoy@cse.iitb.ac.in

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study two generalizations of VERTEX COVER and EDGE COVER, namely COLORFUL VERTEX COVER and COLORFUL EDGE COVER. In the COLORFUL VERTEX COVER problem, given an  $n$ -vertex edge-colored graph  $G$  with colors from  $\{1, \dots, \omega\}$  and coverage requirements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\omega$ , the goal is to find a minimum-sized set of vertices that are incident on at least  $r_i$  edges of color  $i$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ , i.e., we need to cover at least  $r_i$  edges of color  $i$ . COLORFUL EDGE COVER is similar to COLORFUL VERTEX COVER, except here we are given a vertex-colored graph and the goal is to cover at least  $r_i$  vertices of color  $i$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ , by a minimum-sized set of edges. These problems have several applications in *fair* covering and hitting of geometric set systems involving points and lines that are divided into multiple groups. Here, “fairness” ensures that the coverage (resp. hitting) requirement of every group is fully satisfied.

We obtain a  $(2+\epsilon)$ -approximation for the COLORFUL VERTEX COVER problem in time  $n^{O(\omega/\epsilon)}$ . Thus, for a constant number of colors, the problem admits a  $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation in polynomial time. Next, for the COLORFUL EDGE COVER problem, we design an  $O(\omega n^3)$  time exact algorithm, via a chain of reductions to a matching problem. For all intermediate problems in this chain of reductions, we design polynomial-time algorithms, which might be of independent interest.

## 1 Introduction

VERTEX COVER and EDGE COVER are two classical graph problems which have been studied for at least forty years [12]. VERTEX COVER is known to be NP-complete and admits a 2-approximation [12]. On the other hand, EDGE COVER can be solved in polynomial time using a connection to MAXIMUM MATCHING [12]. In this paper, we study the following two generalizations of these problems on vertex- or edge-colored graphs.

---

<sup>\*</sup> A preliminary version of this article appeared in the proceedings of the 47th International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG) 2021 [2].

**COLORFUL VERTEX COVER**

**Input:** A graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges where every edge is colored by a color from  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ , and coverage requirements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\omega$ .

**Question:** Find a minimum-sized set of vertices that are incident on at least  $r_i$  edges of color  $i$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ .

**COLORFUL EDGE COVER**

**Input:** A graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges where every vertex is colored by a color from  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ , and coverage requirements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\omega$ .

**Question:** Find a minimum-sized set  $E'$  of edges such that at least  $r_i$  vertices of color  $i$  are incident on the edges of  $E'$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ .

Bera et al. [5] designed an  $O(\log \omega)$ -approximation for COLORFUL VERTEX COVER. Indeed, they study a more general “weighted-version” called PARTITION VERTEX COVER. Moreover, they noted that an extension of the greedy algorithm of Slavík [21] gives an  $O(\log(\sum_{t=1}^{\omega} r_t))$  approximation for this problem. On the other hand, it is NP-hard to obtain an approximation guarantee asymptotically better than  $O(\log \omega)$  [5]. Cohen et al. [8] studied a variant of COLORFUL EDGE COVER where all the requirements are 1 and the solution set of edges  $E'$  must form a matching. They gave a polynomial time algorithm for this problem.

Our motivation to study COLORFUL VERTEX COVER and COLORFUL EDGE COVER partly comes from a series of recent works that study the FAIR  $k$ -CENTER problem<sup>4</sup> [1, 3, 15]. In FAIR  $k$ -CENTER, given a set of  $n$  points in a metric space where each point is colored by a color from  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ , coverage requirements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\omega$ , and an integer  $k$ , the goal is to find  $k$  balls of minimum radius whose union contains at least  $r_t$  points of color  $t$ , for  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ .  $O(1)$ -approximations are known for this problem when the number of colors  $\omega$  is a constant [1, 15]. In particular, one can obtain a 4-approximation in  $n^{O(\omega)}$  time [1] and a 3-approximation in  $n^{O(\omega^2)}$  time [15].

Another motivation is the applications of COLORFUL VERTEX COVER and COLORFUL EDGE COVER to geometric set systems. In the following, we describe two such applications.

- **Covering points by axis-parallel lines.** Suppose we are given a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of axis-parallel lines and a set  $P$  of points in the plane, where each point in  $P$  is colored by a color from  $\{1, \dots, \omega\}$ . Moreover, we are given coverage requirements  $r_1, \dots, r_\omega$ . The goal is to find a minimum-sized subset  $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  such that the lines in  $\mathcal{L}'$  together contain at least  $r_t$  points of color  $t$ , for each  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ . We note that this problem is a special case of COLORFUL VERTEX COVER: the vertices correspond to the lines and edges correspond to the points – covering points by lines is same as covering edges by vertices.
- **Hitting axis-parallel lines by points.** We are given a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of axis-parallel lines and a set  $P$  of points in the plane, where each line of  $\mathcal{L}$  is colored by

<sup>4</sup> The term “fair” stresses on the fact, in an abstract manner, that the resources should be divided evenly among different groups

a color from  $\{1, \dots, \omega\}$ . Also we are given hitting requirements  $r_1, \dots, r_\omega$ . The goal is to find a minimum-sized subset  $P' \subseteq P$  such that the points in  $P'$  intersect at least  $r_t$  lines of color  $t$ , for each  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ . Note that this problem is a special case of COLORFUL EDGE COVER: again the vertices correspond to the lines and edges correspond to the points – hitting lines by points is same as covering vertices by edges.

## 1.1 Our Results

In this work, we achieve a  $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation for COLORFUL VERTEX COVER in time  $n^{O(\omega/\epsilon)}$ , this means that we obtain an  $O(1)$ -approximation in polynomial time for constant number of colors, matching the result for FAIR  $k$ -CENTER. Our algorithm is based on LP rounding and construction of a sparse LP (containing only a few constraints). Sparsity of LPs was also used in the works on FAIR  $k$ -CENTER. However, our approach is very different. Indeed, our rounding scheme is less complicated, as in our case each element (an edge) can be covered by only two objects (vertices). This algorithm appears in Section 2.

We also design an  $O(\omega n^3)$ -time exact algorithm for the COLORFUL EDGE COVER problem, via a chain of reductions to a matching problem studied by Cohen et al. [8]. The algorithm is described in Section 3.

## 1.2 Related Work

Another interesting special case of COLORFUL VERTEX COVER is the PARTIAL VERTEX COVER problem, where the value of  $\omega$  is equal to 1, i.e., the number of colors is exactly 1. Several polynomial-time 2-approximations are known in this special case via Primal-Dual and LP rounding schemes [4, 6, 11].

Inamdar and Varadarajan [14] studied a generalization of COLORFUL VERTEX COVER, called PARTITION SET COVER (PSC). They gave an LP-rounding based  $O(\beta + \log \omega)$  approximation, where  $\beta$  denotes the approximation guarantee for a related SET COVER instance obtained by rounding the standard LP. See also [5, 13, 14, 21] for a comprehensive understanding of this problem.

Exploiting sparsity of LPs is a popular technique in the design of approximation algorithms. In fact, it has been successfully applied to obtain improved guarantees for several interesting optimization problems, such as  $k$ -median [19, 20],  $k$ -median/means with outliers [17], facility location with lower and upper bounds [10], and FAIR  $k$ -CENTER [1, 3, 15].

Related to COLORFUL EDGE COVER, several colored versions of matching problems have been studied in the literature such as TROPICAL MATCHING [8],  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -balanced matching [7], two-sided matching [9], procedurally fair matching [16], etc. Among these the most relevant is TROPICAL MATCHING. In fact, we are going to use a known algorithm for TROPICAL MATCHING to obtain our result for COLORFUL EDGE COVER.

## 2 A $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation for Colorful Vertex Cover

First, we describe an LP-rounding based additive approximation for COLORFUL VERTEX COVER, and then show how to convert this to a multiplicative  $O(1)$ -approximation. Suppose we are given the simple graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ . For  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_t$  denote the color class  $t$ , i.e., the set of edges of color  $t$ . A vertex  $v$  is said to *cover* an edge  $e$  if  $v$  is an end vertex of  $e$ . A solution  $S$  is said to *cover* an edge  $e$  if  $S$  contains an end vertex of  $e$ .

Next, we describe the natural ILP of COLORFUL VERTEX COVER. For each edge  $e_j$ , we have a 0/1 variable  $x_j$  that denotes whether  $e_j$  is covered in the solution. For each vertex  $v_i$ , there is a 0/1 variable  $y_i$  that denotes whether  $v_i$  is chosen in the solution. There are two main constraints in the ILP other than the domain constraints. The first constraint is the coverage constraint which ensures that from each color class  $t$ , at least  $r_t$  edges are covered. The second constraint is the sanity constraint which ensures that if an edge  $e_j$  is covered in the solution, then at least one of its end vertices must be in the solution. The LP relaxation of the ILP is as follows.

minimize	$\sum_{v_i \in V} y_i$	(CVC-LP)
subject to	$\sum_{j: e_j \in \mathcal{C}_t} x_j \geq r_t$	$\forall 1 \leq t \leq \omega$ (1)
	$y_i + y_{i'} \geq x_j$	$\forall e_j = \{v_i, v_{i'}\} \in E$ (2)
	$0 \leq x_j, y_i \leq 1$	$\forall e_j \in E, v_i \in V$ (3)

We denote any solution to **CVC-LP** by  $(x, y)$ . The cost of  $(x, y)$  is defined as,  $\text{cost}(x, y) = \sum_{v_i \in V} y_i$ . Our rounding algorithm consists of two major steps.

**First step.** In the first step, we compute a fractional optimal solution  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  using any LP solver and modify it to obtain another fractional solution which has a special structure. Let  $\text{OPT}^{LP}$  denote the cost of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and  $\text{OPT}$  the optimal cost.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a solution  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  to **CVC-LP** with the following properties: (i)  $\text{cost}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \leq 2 \cdot \text{OPT}^{LP}$ . (ii) There is a function  $\phi : E \rightarrow V$  such that for each edge  $e_j = (v_{j1}, v_{j2})$ , either  $\phi(e_j) = v_{j1}$  or  $\phi(e_j) = v_{j2}$ , and  $\tilde{x}_j$  is equal to the  $\tilde{y}$  value of  $\phi(e_j)$ . (iii)  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  can be obtained in polynomial time.*

*Proof.* We construct  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  by modifying the solution  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . First, we define a function  $\phi$  that assigns each edge to a vertex. For each edge  $e_j = (v_{j1}, v_{j2}) \in E$ , we assign  $e_j$  to  $v_{j1}$  or  $v_{j2}$ , whichever has the larger  $\bar{y}$ -value in  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . If both  $\bar{y}$ -values are same, we assign  $e_j$  to one of the two arbitrarily. This completes the

description of the assignment  $\phi$ . Next, we construct a new solution based on  $\phi$ . For each edge  $e_j$ , we set its new  $x$ -value to the minimum of 1 and two times the  $y$ -value of  $\phi(e_j)$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{x}_j = \min\{1, 2\bar{y}_{i'}\}$  where  $v_{i'} = \phi(e_j)$ . For each vertex  $v_i$ , we set its new  $y$ -value to the minimum of 1 and two times of its old  $y$ -value, i.e.,  $\tilde{y}_i = \min\{1, 2\bar{y}_i\}$ . Note that for each edge  $e_j = (v_{j^1}, v_{j^2})$ ,

$$\tilde{x}_j \geq \min\{1, \bar{y}_{j^1} + \bar{y}_{j^2}\} \geq \bar{x}_j.$$

Hence, the new solution  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  satisfies the coverage constraints. Also with  $v_{i'} = \phi(e_j)$ ,

$$\tilde{x}_j = \min\{1, 2\bar{y}_{i'}\} = \tilde{y}_{i'} \leq \tilde{y}_{j^1} + \tilde{y}_{j^2}.$$

Thus, the sanity constraints are also satisfied. Moreover,  $\text{cost}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  is at most two times the cost of  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . Hence, Property (i) is satisfied. Property (ii) is satisfied by construction. Lastly, as the modification takes polynomial time,  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  can also be obtained in polynomial time.  $\square$

By the above lemma, we obtain a separated LP-solution where each edge gets its fractional coverage  $\tilde{x}_j$  from exactly one of the two end vertices. Based on this separation we write a sparse LP (containing only a few constraints) for our instance and use the sparsity of this LP to obtain an integral solution. Next, we describe the details.

**Second step.** Consider the solution  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  and the assignment  $\phi$  in Lemma 1. For each color  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$  and for each vertex  $v_i \in V$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_{t,i}$  be the set of edges  $e_j$  in  $\mathcal{C}_t$  such that  $\phi(e_j) = v_i$ . Denote the size of  $\mathcal{C}_{t,i}$  by  $m_{t,i}$ . Lastly, let  $k = \sum_{i:v_i \in V} \tilde{y}_i$ . We define the following LP that does not contain too many constraints. This LP has a variable  $z_i$  for each vertex  $v_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

$$\text{maximize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n m_{1,i} z_i \quad (\text{Sparse-LP})$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n m_{t,i} z_i \geq r_t \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq \omega \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n z_i \leq k \quad (5)$$

$$0 \leq z_i \leq 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (6)$$

**Lemma 2.** *There is a solution to [Sparse-LP](#) whose objective function value is at least  $r_1$ .*

*Proof.* For each vertex  $v_i \in V$ , set  $z_i = \tilde{y}_i$ . Constraint 5 is trivially satisfied. Now, fix any  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n m_{t,i} z_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_{t,i} \cdot \tilde{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{e_j \in \mathcal{C}_{t,i}} \tilde{y}_i && \text{(as } m_{t,i} = |\mathcal{C}_{t,i}|) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{e_j \in \mathcal{C}_{t,i}} \tilde{x}_j && \text{(from the definitions of } \mathcal{C}_{t,i} \text{ and } \phi) \\
&= \sum_{j: e_j \in \mathcal{C}_t} \tilde{x}_j && \text{(as } \mathcal{C}_{t,i} \text{ is a partition of } \mathcal{C}_t) \\
&\geq r_t && \text{(by Constraint 1 of CVC-LP)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows.  $\square$

Next, we compute a fractional optimal solution  $\hat{z}$  to [Sparse-LP](#) using any LP solver. The above lemma implies the value of this solution is at least  $r_1$ . In the following, we argue about some additional properties of this solution. For that we need the following lemma (Lemma 2.1.4 in [18]).

**Lemma 3 ([18]).** *In any extreme point feasible solution (or equivalently, a basic feasible solution) to a linear program, the number of linearly independent tight constraints is equal to the number of variables.*

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the above lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *The number of fractional variables in  $\hat{z}$  is at most  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* First, note that [Sparse-LP](#) has  $2n + \omega$  constraints and  $n$  variables. Now, by Lemma 3, the number of linearly independent tight constraints in  $\hat{z}$  is  $n$ . Consider the set  $S$  of  $2n$  constraints  $0 \leq z_i \leq 1$ . As there are only  $\omega$  more constraints in the LP, there must be at least  $n - \omega$  many linearly independent constraints in  $S$  which are tight in  $\hat{z}$ . Note that the two constraints  $z_i \geq 0$  and  $z_i \leq 1$  cannot be tight simultaneously for any fixed  $i$ , as it would imply  $z_i = 0$  and  $z_i = 1$ . Hence, it must be the case that at least  $n - \omega$  variables are integral in  $\hat{z}$ , and the lemma follows.  $\square$

Based on the above lemma we compute an integral solution  $z^*$  to [Sparse-LP](#) by rounding the values of the at most  $\omega$  fractional variables to 1. Note that this integral solution satisfies all the constraints except Constraint 5. But, as we round at most  $\omega$  variables, it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i^* \leq k + \omega$ . Thus, we obtain a set  $\Gamma$  of at most  $k + \omega$  vertices in  $V$  that for each  $1 \leq t \leq \omega$ , cover at least  $r_t$  edges from  $\mathcal{C}_t$ . Thus,  $\Gamma$  is a feasible solution for COLORFUL VERTEX COVER. By noting that  $k = \text{cost}((\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) \leq 2 \cdot \text{OPT}^{LP}$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *There is a feasible solution to COLORFUL VERTEX COVER with cost at most  $2 \cdot \text{OPT} + \omega$  that can be computed in polynomial time.*

Next, we show how to convert the above additive approximation to a multiplicative constant approximation, albeit with a time complexity that exponentially depends on  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $(2 + \epsilon)$ -approximation for COLORFUL VERTEX COVER in  $n^{O(\omega/\epsilon)}$  time.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . First, we enumerate all the solutions of size  $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, \omega/\epsilon$  in  $n^{O(\omega/\epsilon)}$  time. We stop the first time we obtain a feasible solution. Thus, if we obtain a feasible solution at some step, it must be an optimal solution, and we are done. Otherwise, the optimal cost  $\text{OPT}$  is more than  $\omega/\epsilon$ . In this case, we use our additive approximation algorithm based on LP rounding. By Theorem 1, we obtain a feasible solution with cost at most  $2 \cdot \text{OPT} + \omega < 2 \cdot \text{OPT} + \epsilon \cdot \text{OPT} = (2 + \epsilon) \cdot \text{OPT}$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* The above LP rounding based scheme is much more general in the sense that it also yields an  $(f + \epsilon)$ -approximation for PARTITION SET COVER in  $n^{O(\omega/\epsilon)}$  time, where each element appears in at most  $f$  sets in the input. Here  $n$  is the input size. The idea is again similar: assign each element to a unique set having the largest variable value. The  $f$  factor comes from the fact that the variable value of each set is scaled up by  $f$  factor to obtain the new LP solution where each element is (fractionally) covered by exactly one set.

### 3 A polynomial Time Algorithm for Colorful Edge Cover

In this section, we study the COLORFUL EDGE COVER problem and obtain a polynomial time exact algorithm. In particular, the algorithm runs in  $O(\omega n^3)$  time.

An edge  $e$  is said to cover a vertex  $v$  if  $e$  is incident on  $v$ . A set of edges  $E'$  covers the set of vertices  $V' = \{v \mid \exists e \in E' \text{ such that } e \text{ covers } v\}$ . In the rest of this section, we design the algorithm for COLORFUL EDGE COVER, which is based on an algorithm for the following matching problem.

#### BUDGETED MATCHING

**Input:** A graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges where every vertex is colored by a color from  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ , and coverage requirements  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_\omega$ .

**Question:** Find a minimum-sized matching which covers at least  $r_i$  vertices of color  $i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ .

We design a polynomial time algorithm for BUDGETED MATCHING. But before that, we have the following observation which establishes a connection between COLORFUL EDGE COVER and BUDGETED MATCHING.

**Lemma 5.** *If BUDGETED MATCHING can be solved in time  $T(n, \omega)$ , then COLORFUL EDGE COVER can be solved in time  $T(2n, \omega + 1) + O(m + n)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose we would like to solve COLORFUL EDGE COVER on a given instance  $\mathcal{I}$  consisting of a vertex-colored graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a set of colors  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ . WLOG, there is no isolated vertex in  $G$ . We construct a new instance  $\mathcal{I}'$  of BUDGETED MATCHING consisting of a vertex-colored graph  $G' = (V', E')$  and a set of colors  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega, C\}$  as follows.

For each vertex  $v \in V$ , we add two vertices,  $v$  and an auxiliary vertex  $a(v)$ , to  $V'$ . The color of  $v$  in  $G'$  is same as the color of  $v$  in  $G$  and the color of  $a(v)$  is  $C$ .  $E'$  consists of all the edges in  $E$  and the edge  $(v, a(v))$  for each  $v \in V$ . For each color  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ , the requirement  $r_i$  in  $\mathcal{I}'$  remains the same as in  $\mathcal{I}$ . The requirement corresponding to  $C$  is set to 0. Note that  $|V'| = 2n$  and  $|E'| = m + n = O(m)$ .

Next, we show that  $\mathcal{I}$  has a solution to COLORFUL EDGE COVER with at most  $k$  edges if and only if  $\mathcal{I}'$  has a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING with at most  $k$  edges. First, assume that there is a set of edges  $E_1 \subseteq E$  of size  $k$  which is a solution to COLORFUL EDGE COVER. We construct a matching  $M$  for  $\mathcal{I}'$  from  $E_1$ . First, note that if there is a path in  $E_1$  consisting of three edges, we can always remove the middle edge from the solution without losing any vertex coverage. Thus, WLOG, we can assume that  $E_1$  is a collection of star graphs. Consider any such star  $S$ . We include any arbitrary edge  $(s, v)$  of  $S$  in  $M$  where  $s$  is the central vertex of  $S$ . For any other edge  $(s, u)$  in  $S$ , we include  $(u, a(u))$  in  $M$ . By construction,  $M$  is a matching in  $G'$  of size at most  $k$ . Also, all the requirements are trivially satisfied.

Now, suppose there is a matching  $M$  in  $G'$  of size  $k$  which is a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING. We construct a solution  $E_1$  for  $\mathcal{I}$  from  $M$ . For each edge  $e \in M \cap E$ , include  $e$  in  $E_1$ . For each edge  $e \in M \cap (E' \setminus E)$ , where  $e = (u, a(u))$ , include any arbitrary edge  $(u, v)$  of  $E$  in  $E_1$  (that covers  $u$ ). Note that such an edge always exist, as there is no isolated vertex in  $G$ . Again, by construction,  $E_1$  is a feasible solution to  $\mathcal{I}$  of size  $k$ .

We solve BUDGETED MATCHING on  $\mathcal{I}'$  to obtain a matching  $M$  of the minimum size, say  $k$ . We return the set of edges  $E_1$  as constructed above as the solution to  $\mathcal{I}$ . We claim that  $E_1$  is a solution to COLORFUL EDGE COVER of the minimum size. Suppose it is not. Suppose there is a solution  $E_2$  to COLORFUL EDGE COVER of size  $k' < k$ . Then, by the above discussion, there is a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING of size at most  $k' < k$ . But, this is a contradiction to the assumption that  $M$  is a minimum size solution.

Finally, BUDGETED MATCHING can be solved on  $\mathcal{I}'$  in  $T(2n, \omega + 1)$  time, and construction of  $G'$  can be done in  $O(m + n)$  time. Hence, the lemma follows.  $\square$

In the following, we design an algorithm for BUDGETED MATCHING which runs in  $O(\omega n^3)$  time. Hence, by the above lemma, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** COLORFUL EDGE COVER can be solved in  $O(\omega n^3)$  time.

To solve BUDGETED MATCHING, we show that it can be converted to a problem where each color has unit requirement. Essentially we need the following problem definition due to Cohen et al. [8].




TROPICAL MATCHING  
**Input:** A graph  $G$  with  $n_1$  vertices and  $m_1$  edges where every vertex is colored by a color from  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ .  
**Question:** Find a maximum-sized matching which covers at least one vertex of color  $i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \omega$ .

We need the following theorem due to Cohen et al. [8].

**Theorem 4.** [8] TROPICAL MATCHING can be solved in  $O(n_1 m_1)$  time.

The next lemma establishes the connection between BUDGETED MATCHING and TROPICAL MATCHING.



**Fig. 1.** A sample reduction from Budgeted Matching with budget  $[2, 1, 1, 1]$  for colors 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively to Tropical Matching

**Lemma 6.** If TROPICAL MATCHING can be solved in  $T(n_1, m_1)$  time, BUDGETED MATCHING can be solved in  $T(\alpha n, \beta \omega n^2) + \gamma \omega n^2$  time for some constants  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we would like to solve BUDGETED MATCHING on a given instance  $\mathcal{I}$  consisting of a vertex-colored graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a set of colors  $\{1, 2, \dots, \omega\}$ . Let  $n$  be the number of vertices in  $G$ . We construct a new instance  $\mathcal{I}_t$  of TROPICAL MATCHING consisting of a vertex-colored graph  $G_t = (V_t, E_t)$  and a set of colors  $\{1, 2, \dots, n, C, D\}$  as follows.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_x$  be the set of vertices in  $G$  of color  $x$  and  $n_x = |\mathcal{C}_x|$  for  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ .  $V_t$  contains all the vertices in  $V$  and for each color  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ , a set of  $n_x - r_x$  vertices  $V^x$ . Additionally,  $V_t$  contains two more auxiliary vertices  $c_t$  and  $d_t$ . Thus,  $V_t = V \cup (\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} V^x) \cup \{c_t, d_t\}$ .  $E_t$  contains all the edges in  $E$  and for each color  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ , a set of  $(n_x - r_x) \times n_x$  edges  $E^x = \{(u, v) \mid u \in V^x \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{C}_x\}$ . Additionally, the edge  $(c_t, d_t)$  is included in  $E_t$ . Thus,  $E_t = E \cup (\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} E^x) \cup \{(c_t, d_t)\}$ . Each vertex  $u \in V$  in  $\mathcal{I}_t$  gets a unique color  $j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Colors of  $c_t$  and  $d_t$  are  $C$  and  $D$ , respectively. Finally, colors of all vertices in  $\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} V^x$  are  $C$ . See Figure 1 for an example construction. Note that  $|V_t| = O(n)$  and  $|E_t| = O(\omega n^2)$ .

Next, we show that  $\mathcal{I}$  has a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING with  $k$  edges if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_t$  has a solution to TROPICAL MATCHING with  $n - k + 1$  edges. First, assume that there is a matching  $M$  of size  $k$  in  $G$  which is a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING. We construct a new matching  $M_t$  for  $G_t$ . We include all the edges in  $M$  and  $(c_t, d_t)$  in  $M_t$ . For each  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ , let  $U_x$  be the set of vertices in  $\mathcal{C}_x$  which are not matched by  $M$ . We also include a matching between  $U_x$  and  $V^x$  of size  $|U_x|$  in  $M_t$ . Note that such a matching always exists, as  $|U_x| \leq n_x - r_x$  by the definition of  $M$ . Now, we argue that  $M_t$  is a valid solution to TROPICAL MATCHING of size  $(n - k) + 1$ . First, note that  $M_t$  is a matching in  $G_t$  which matches all the vertices in  $V$ . Thus, there is a matched vertex of color  $j$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Now, as  $(c_t, d_t)$  is also in  $M_t$ , there are matched vertices of colors  $C$  and  $D$  as well. Thus,  $M_t$  is a feasible solution to TROPICAL MATCHING. Note that the size of  $\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} U_x$  is exactly  $n - 2k$ , as  $k$  edges in  $M$  match exactly  $2k$  vertices in  $V$ . Thus, the size of  $M_t$  is  $k + 1 + (n - 2k) = (n - k) + 1$ .

Now, suppose there is a matching  $M_t$  of size  $(n - k) + 1$  in  $G_t$  which is a solution to TROPICAL MATCHING. We construct a matching  $M$  for  $G$  starting from  $M_t$ . Indeed,  $M$  is the subset of edges in  $M_t$  which are contained in  $E$ . We argue that  $M$  is a feasible solution to BUDGETED MATCHING. Note that  $M_t$  must match all the vertices in  $V$ , as each such vertex has a unique color which does not appear in any other vertex. Consider any color  $x$  for  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ . The edges in  $E^x$  can match at most  $n_x - r_x$  vertices of  $\mathcal{C}_x$ , as  $|V^x| = n_x - r_x$ . Thus, there exist at least  $r_x$  edges in  $M_t \cap E$  which match the vertices in  $\mathcal{C}_x$  not matched by the edges in  $M_t \cap E^x$ . It follows that  $M$  matches at least  $r_x$  vertices of  $\mathcal{C}_x$  for each  $1 \leq x \leq \omega$ , and hence it is a feasible solution to BUDGETED MATCHING. Next, we show that the size of  $M$  is exactly  $k$ . Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be the number of edges of  $M_t$  which are in  $\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} E^x$  and  $E$ , respectively. Note that  $(c_t, d_t)$  must be included in  $M_t$ , as otherwise there will be no vertex of color  $D$  in  $M_t$ . It follows that  $k_1 + k_2 = n - k$ , or  $n = k_1 + k_2 + k$ . Now, the  $k_1$  edges of  $M_t$  in  $\cup_{x=1}^{\omega} E^x$  match  $k_1$  vertices of  $V$ , and the  $k_2$  edges of  $M_t$  in  $E$  match exactly  $2k_2$  vertices of  $V$ . As these  $k_1 + k_2$  edges match all the vertices of  $V$ ,  $k_1 + 2k_2 = n = k_1 + k_2 + k$ . It follows that  $k_2 = k$  making the size of  $M$  exactly  $k$ .

We solve TROPICAL MATCHING on  $\mathcal{I}_t$  to obtain a matching  $M_t$  of the maximum size, say  $s$ . We return the matching  $M = M_t \cap E$  as the solution to  $\mathcal{I}$ . We claim that  $M$  is a solution to BUDGETED MATCHING of the minimum size. Suppose it is not. From the above discussion, we know that the size of  $M$  constructed this way, is  $n - s + 1$ . Suppose there is a solution  $M'$  to BUDGETED MATCHING of size  $z < n - s + 1$ . Then, by the above discussion, there is a solution to TROPICAL MATCHING of size  $n - z + 1 > n + 1 - n + s - 1 = s$ . But, this is a contradiction to the assumption that  $M_t$  is a maximum size solution.

Finally, TROPICAL MATCHING can be solved on  $\mathcal{I}_t$  in  $T(\alpha n, \beta \omega n^2)$  time for some constants  $\alpha, \beta$ , and construction of  $G_t$  can be done in  $\gamma \omega n^2$  time for some constant  $\gamma$ . Hence, BUDGETED MATCHING can be solved on  $\mathcal{I}$  in time  $T(\alpha n, \beta \omega n^2) + \gamma \omega n^2$ .  $\square$

From Theorem 4 and Lemma 6, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** BUDGETED MATCHING can be solved in  $O(\omega n^3)$  time.

Since, in Lemma 5, we already established the relation between BUDGETED MATCHING and COLORFUL EDGE COVER, it completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## References

- [1] G. Anegg, H. Angelidakis, A. Kurpisz, and R. Zenklusen. A technique for obtaining true approximations for k-center with covering constraints. In *Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization - 21st International Conference, IPCO 2020*, volume 12125, pages 52–65. Springer, 2020.
- [2] S. Bandyapadhyay, A. Banik, and S. Bhore. On fair covering and hitting problems. In L. Kowalik, M. Pilipczuk, and P. Rzaczewski, editors, *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science - 47th International Workshop, WG 2021, Warsaw, Poland, June 23-25, 2021, Revised Selected Papers*, volume 12911 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 39–51. Springer, 2021.
- [3] S. Bandyapadhyay, T. Inamdar, S. Pai, and K. R. Varadarajan. A constant approximation for colorful k-center. In *27th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms, ESA 2019*, volume 144 of *LIPICs*, pages 12:1–12:14. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2019.
- [4] R. Bar-Yehuda. Using homogeneous weights for approximating the partial cover problem. *Journal of Algorithms*, 39(2):137–144, 2001.
- [5] S. K. Bera, S. Gupta, A. Kumar, and S. Roy. Approximation algorithms for the partition vertex cover problem. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 555:2–8, 2014.
- [6] N. H. Bshouty and L. Burroughs. Messaging a linear programming solution to give a 2-approximation for a generalization of the vertex cover problem. In *Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, pages 298–308. Springer, 1998.
- [7] F. Chierichetti, R. Kumar, S. Lattanzi, and S. Vassilvitskii. Matroids, matchings, and fairness. In K. Chaudhuri and M. Sugiyama, editors, *The 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2019, 16-18 April 2019, Naha, Okinawa, Japan*, volume 89 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2212–2220. PMLR, 2019.
- [8] J. Cohen, Y. Manoussakis, H. Phong, and Z. Tuza. Tropical matchings in vertex-colored graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 62:219–224, 2017.
- [9] R. Freeman, E. Micha, and N. Shah. Two-sided matching meets fair division. *IJCAI*, 2021.
- [10] Z. Friggstad, M. Rezapour, and M. R. Salavatipour. Approximating connected facility location with lower and upper bounds via lp rounding. In *15th Scandinavian Symposium and Workshops on Algorithm Theory (SWAT 2016)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2016.
- [11] R. Gandhi, S. Khuller, and A. Srinivasan. Approximation algorithms for partial covering problems. *Journal of Algorithms*, 53(1):55–84, 2004.

- [12] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [13] S. Har-Peled and M. Jones. On separating points by lines. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2018*, pages 918–932. SIAM, 2018.
- [14] T. Inamdar and K. R. Varadarajan. On the partition set cover problem. *CoRR*, abs/1809.06506, 2018.
- [15] X. Jia, K. Sheth, and O. Svensson. Fair colorful k-center clustering. In *Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization - 21st International Conference, IPCO 2020*, volume 12125, pages 209–222. Springer, 2020.
- [16] B. Klaus and F. Klijn. Procedurally fair and stable matching. *Economic Theory*, 27(2):431–447, 2006.
- [17] R. Krishnaswamy, S. Li, and S. Sandeep. Constant approximation for k-median and k-means with outliers via iterative rounding. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 646–659. ACM, 2018.
- [18] L. C. Lau, R. Ravi, and M. Singh. *Iterative methods in combinatorial optimization*, volume 46. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [19] S. Li. Approximating capacitated k-median with  $(1+\epsilon)$  k open facilities. In *Proceedings of the twenty-seventh annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, pages 786–796. SIAM, 2016.
- [20] S. Li. On uniform capacitated k-median beyond the natural lp relaxation. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG)*, 13(2):1–18, 2017.
- [21] P. Slavik. Improved performance of the greedy algorithm for partial cover. *Information Processing Letters*, 64(5):251–254, 1997.