Theory of liquids

From Excitations to Thermodynamics*

Kostya Trachenko

School of Physical and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London, E1 4NS, UK

Of the three basic states of matter, liquid is perhaps the most complex. While its flow properties are described by fluid mechanics, its thermodynamic properties are often neglected, and for many years it was widely believed that a general theory of liquid thermodynamics was unattainable. In recent decades that view has been challenged, as new advances have finally enabled us to understand and describe the thermodynamic properties of liquids. This book explains the recent developments in theory, experiment and modelling that have enabled us to understand the behaviour of excitations in liquids and the impact of this behaviour on heat capacity and other basic properties. Presented in plain language with a focus on real liquids and their experimental properties, this book is a useful reference text for researchers and graduate students in condensed matter physics and chemistry, as well as for advanced courses covering the theory of liquids.

^{*} Cambridge University Press, 2023



Frenkel discusses his theory of liquids with Mott. Based on Mott's recollection in Section 8b. Illustrated by Ivan Shkoropad.

Contents

1. Preface

2. Introduction

3. Experimental heat capacity

4. Excitations in solids and gases

- A. Harmonic solids and quadratic forms
- B. Continuum approximation: elasticity and hydrodynamics
- C. Excitations in quantum liquids
- D. Intermediate summary

5. Energy and heat capacity of solids

- A. Harmonic solids
- B. Weak anharmonicity

6. First-principles description of liquids: exponential complexity

7. Liquid energy and heat capacity from interactions and correlation functions

- A. The method
- B. The problem
- C. A better alternative?
- D. Structure and thermodynamics

8. Frenkel theory and Frenkel book

- A. Liquid relaxation time
- B. Collective excitations in the Frenkel theory
- C. Continuity of liquid and solid states and Frenkel-Landau argument: first ignition of the glass transition debate

9. Collective excitations in liquids post-Frenkel

- A. The telegraph equation and gapped excitations in liquids
- B. Another solution of the telegraph equation
- C. The first glimpse into liquid thermodynamics and collective modes
- D. Symmetry of liquids: viscoelasticity and elastoviscosity
- E. Collective modes in generalised hydrodynamics

- F. Experimental evidence of collective excitations in liquids
- G. Decay of excitations in liquids and solids

10. Molecular dynamics simulations

11. Liquid energy and heat capacity in the liquid theory based on collective excitations

- A. Liquid energy and heat capacity
- B. Comparison to the integral over interaction and correlation functions
- C. Anharmonic effects
- D. Quantum effects
- E. Verification of the liquid theory based on collective excitations
- F. Molecular liquids and quantum excitations

12. Quantum liquids: excitations and thermodynamic properties

- A. Liquid 4He
- B. Liquid 3He
- C. Quantum indistinguishability

13. Sui Generis

14. Connection between phonons and liquid thermodynamics: a historical survey

- A. Early period
- B. Late period

15. The supercritical state

- A. What happens at high temperature?
- B. The supercritical state and its transition lines
- C. Applications of supercritical fluids
- D. C transition
- E. Excitations and thermodynamic properties
- F. Liquid elasticity length and particle mean free path
- G. Heat capacity of matter: from solids to liquids to gases

16. Minimal quantum viscosity from fundamental physical constants

- A. Viscosity minima and dynamical crossover
- B. Minimal quantum viscosity and fundamental constants

- C. Elementary viscosity, diffusion constant and uncertainty principle
- D. Viscosity minima in quantum liquids
- E. Lower bound of thermal diffusivity
- F. Practical implications
- G. The Purcell question: why do all viscosities stop at the same place?
- H. Fundamental constants, quantumness and life
- I. Another layer to the anthropic principle

17. Viscous liquids

- A. Collective excitations, energy and heat capacity
- B. Entropy
- C. Heat capacity at the liquid-glass transition
- D. Glass transition revisited
- 18. A short note on theory
- 19. Excitations and thermodynamics in other disordered media: spin waves and spin glass systems
- 20. Evolution of excitations in strongly-coupled systems

References

Index

I. PREFACE

In 1946, Born and Green wrote [1]:

"It has been said that there exists no general theory of liquids."

About 60 years later, Granato observed [2] that nothing is said about liquid specific heat in standard introductory textbooks, and little or nothing in advanced texts, adding that there is little general awareness of what the basic experimental facts to be explained are. This was reflected in his teaching: Granato recalls how he enjoyed teaching theories of specific heat of gases and solids but lived in constant fear that a student might ask "how about liquids"? That question was never asked in lectures over many years. Granato attributes this to an issue with our teaching practice where unsolved problems in physics are not sufficiently covered.

Problems involved in liquid theory are fundamental. These problems are well illustrated by two related assertions. These assertions are important to quote in full. The first quote is from the Statistical Physics textbook by Landau and Lifshitz (LL) [3]. LL discuss general thermodynamic properties of liquids and explain why they *can not* be calculated, contrary to solids and gases. Section 66 opens with a forceful statement of the problem:

"Unlike gases and solids, liquids do not allow a calculation in a general form of the thermodynamic quantities or even of their dependence on temperature. The reason lies in the existence of a strong interaction between the molecules of the liquid while at the same time we do not have the smallness of the vibrations which makes the thermal motion in solids especially simple. The strength of the interaction between molecules makes it necessary to know the precise law of interaction in order to calculate the thermodynamic quantities, and this law is different for different liquids."

LL emphasize this point later in the opening of Section 74 discussing the van der Waals equation. The second quote is from Pitaevskii [4]:

"Differently from a gas, the interaction terms in the equation of state for liquids are not small. Therefore liquid properties depend strongly on the specific character of interaction between molecules. Liquid theory generally lacks a small parameter which could be used to simplify a theory. It is impossible to obtain any analytical formulas for thermodynamic properties of liquids."

The last sentence in the second quote is consistent with the view held by Landau. According to Peierls [5], Landau has always maintained that a theory of liquids is impossible.

The above problems seemingly seal the fate of liquid theory and preclude its development at the level nowhere near close to well-advanced theories of the two other states of matter, solids and gases, which we have been enjoying for a long time.

Appreciating the problems in the quotes above, I wondered whether these problems are as arresting as they sound. Are liquids really so complex that we can not hope for a theory explaining their most basic properties and can not teach liquids to our students? Could it be that we became too attached to ideas used in theories of solids and gases

and did not manage to move on with liquids using a different approach? Would this approach require a modification of solid state and gas theories or do we need to come up with something entirely different in order to understand liquids?

It is important to ask at this point why the problems outlined by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii do not emerge in the solid state theory where interactions are also strong and system-specific as in liquids? An important premise of statistical physics is that an interacting system is governed by its excitations [3, 6]. In solids, these are collective excitations, phonons. Understanding phonons and relating them to solid properties is at the heart of the remarkable success of the solid state theory developed over 100 years ago. Why can't we use the same approach to liquids based on phonons? One quick answer could be that liquids flow and hence do not have fixed reference points which we can use to perform the harmonic expansion and derive these phonons. This is not quite the case: liquid particles oscillate around quasi-equilibrium points at time shorter than liquid relaxation time before jumping to new positions. Discussing the theory of this motion and its implications for phonons in liquids and liquid thermodynamic properties constitutes a large part of this book.

The second important observation is related to the predominant approach adopted in liquid theories in the last century. The first attempt at liquid theory using phonons was undertaken by Sommerfeld and Brillouin over 100 years ago. This was around the same time when the papers by Einstein and Debye were published and laid the foundations of the modern solid state theory based on phonons. Developing this line of inquiry in liquids had largely stopped soon after, and theories of liquids and solids diverged at the point of a fundamental approach. Whereas the solid state theory continued to be developed on the basis of phonons, theories of liquids started to use the approach based on interactions and correlation functions. As discussed in this book in detail, this approach faced several inherent limitations and fundamental problems. This was largely because the problems stated by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii are inevitable in this approach. From the perspective of this book, the important problem is that the approach based on interactions interactions and correlation functions has not resulted in a physical understanding of the most basic experimental properties of real liquids such as specific heat.

A set of new results have emerged in the last few decades related to collective excitations in liquids. It has taken a combination of experiment, theory and modelling to understand phonons in liquids well enough to connect them to liquid thermodynamic properties. Recall that this connection between phonons and thermodynamic properties was the basis of the Einstein and Debye approach to solids which laid the foundations of the modern solid state theory. The upshot is that the liquid theory can still be constructed on the basis of phonons, but the key point is that, differently from solids, the phase space available to phonons in liquids is not fixed but is *variable* instead. In particular, this phase space reduces with temperature. This reduction quantitatively explains the experimental liquid data and in particular the reduction of liquid specific heat from the solidlike value of $c_v = 3k_{\rm B}$ to the ideal gas value $c_v = \frac{3}{2}k_{\rm B}$ with temperature. It has taken another decade to obtain an independent verification of this theory.

The small parameter in the liquid theory is therefore the same as in the solid state theory: small phonon displacements. However, in important difference to solids, this small parameter operates in a variable phase space. This addresses the problems stated by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii above.

We can see why earlier liquid theories diverged from the solid state theory at the point of fundamental approach about 100 years ago: the nature of collective excitations in liquids was not known at the time when this divergence took place. With the liquid theory operating in terms of collective excitations as in the solid state theory, we are now in a strong position to understand liquids.

This book reviews this research, starting with the early work by Sommerfeld and Brillouin and ending with recent independent verifications of the liquid theory. I will follow the variation of the phase space in liquids in a wide range of parameters on the phase diagram, from low-temperature liquids to high-temperature supercritical fluids. I will then come back to low-temperature viscous liquids approaching liquid-glass transition.

The liquid theory and its independent verifications discussed in this book focus on real liquids and their experimental properties rather than on model systems. This importantly differentiates this book from others.

I will also show how developments in liquid theory resulted in new unexpected insights. For example, the variation of the phase space available to phonons is related to liquid viscosity which quantifies the ability to flow. Viscosity has a minimum related to the crossover of particles dynamics from liquidlike to gaslike. It turns out that this minimum is governed by fundamental physical constants including \hbar . This, in turn, shows that water and water-based life are well attuned to fundamental physical constants including the degree of quantumness of the physical world set by \hbar . Discussing the implications of this adds another layer to the anthropic principle.

Tabor calls liquids "neglected step-child of physical scientists" and "Cinderella of modern physics" as compared to solids and gases [7]. Although this observation was made nearly 30 years ago, Tabor would have reached the same conclusion regarding liquid thermodynamics on the basis of more recent textbooks (as discussed in the Introduction, liquid textbooks mostly focus on liquid structure and dynamics and do not discuss most basic thermodynamic properties such as liquid energy and heat capacity). An important aim of this book is to make liquids a full family member on par with the other two states of matter, if only more sophisticated due to the liquid ability to sustain a variable phase space.

The selection of topics in this book was helpfully aided and narrowed down by adopting a well-established approach in physics where an interacting system is fundamentally understood on the basis of its excitations [3, 6]. Consequently, a large part of this book discusses collective excitations in liquids and their relation to basic liquid properties throughout the history of liquid research. This shows how earlier and more recent ideas physically link to each other and in ways not previously considered.

I perceive that there will be several groups of readers benefiting from better understanding liquids. In his recent review, Chen [8] observes that our current understanding of thermophysical properties of liquids is very unsatisfactory, adding on a more optimistic note that progress will be helped by what has been done earlier. This book therefore reaches out to scientists at any stage of their career who are interested in the states of matter and a history of a long-standing problem of understanding liquids theoretically. The second group are researchers and graduate students working in the area of liquids and related areas such as soft condensed matter physics and systems with strong dynamical disorder. The third group are lecturers looking to include liquids in the undergraduate and graduate courses such as statistical or condensed matter physics as well as students who can use this book as a reference. As

observed by Granato [2],

"there is nothing said about [liquid specific heat] in the standard introductory textbooks, and little or nothing in advanced texts as well. In fact, there is little general awareness even of what the basic experimental facts to be explained are."

This observation has been recently shared and highlighted, emphasising the lack of undergraduate instruction in fluids [9]. This book aims to fix this issue for the benefit of both lecturers and their students.

I am grateful to M. P. Allen, V. Brazhkin, B. Carr, G. Chen, L. Noirez, J. C. Phillips and U. Winderberg for discussion and comments, I. Shkoropad for illustrations and to my family for support.

II. INTRODUCTION

I had a memorable library day trying to find an answer to a question that is simple to formulate: what is a theoretical value of energy and heat capacity of a classical liquid? I looked through all textbooks dedicated to liquids as well as statistical physics and condensed matter textbooks in the Rayleigh Library at the Cavendish Laboratory in Cambridge. To my surprise, they had either very little or nothing to say about the matter. I then took a short walk to Cambridge University Library and did a thorough search there. This returned the same result.

My surprise quickly grew closer to an astonishment, for two reasons. First, the heat capacity is one of the central properties in physics. Constant-volume heat capacity is the temperature derivative of the system energy, the foremost property in physics including statistical physics. Heat capacity informs us about the system's degrees of freedom and regimes in which the system is in, classical or quantum. It is also a common indicator of phase transitions, their types and so on. Understanding energy and heat capacity of solids and gases is a central and fundamental part of theories of these two phases. Thermodynamic properties such as energy and heat capacity are also related to important kinetic and transport properties such as thermal conductivity. Not having this understanding in liquids, the third basic state of matter, is a glaring gap in our theories. This is especially so in view of enormous progress in condensed matter research in the last century.

The second reason for my surprise was that the textbooks did not mention the absence of discussion of liquid heat capacity as an issue. It is harder to solve a problem if we don't know it exists.

Around the same time, I met Professor Granato from the University of Illinois who shared my observations. He notes [2] that nothing is said about liquid specific heat in standard introductory textbooks, and little or nothing in advanced texts, adding that there is little general awareness of what the basic experimental facts to be explained are. This was reflected in his teaching: Granato recalls how he enjoyed teaching theories of specific heat of gases and solids but lived in constant fear that a student might ask "how about liquids"? That question was never asked over many years of teaching involving about 10,000 students. Granato attributes this to an issue with our teaching practice where unsolved problems in physics are not sufficiently covered.

The available textbooks are mostly concerned with liquid structure and dynamics. They do not discuss most basic thermodynamic properties such as liquid energy and heat capacity or explain whether the absence of this discussion is related to a fundamental theoretical problem. Textbooks where we might expect to find this discussion but don't, include those dedicated to liquids [7, 10–24] and related systems [25–30], advanced condensed matter texts [31–35] and statistical physics textbooks [3, 6, 36–43] ².

This list has a notable outlier: the Statistical Physics textbook by Landau and Lifshitz [3]. Landau and Lifshitz discuss general thermodynamic properties of liquids and explain why they can not be calculated, contrary to solids and gases. This explanation is quoted in the Preface, together with a related quote from Pitaevskii discussing the absence of a small parameter in liquids. The absence of a small parameter implies that we can't use well-known

² I will discuss two books by D. Wallace and J. Proctor separately.

tools such as quadratic forms based on the harmonic expansion in solids and perturbation theory in gases in order to understand liquids theoretically. Other authors made similar observations which we will meet throughout this book. For example, Tabor says that "the liquid state raises a number of very difficult theoretical problems" [7].

As set out by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii, the liquid problem is formidable. It could explain why liquid energy and heat capacity are not discussed in textbooks, although in my experience, shared by Granato, the lack of these discussions may be related to little awareness of experimental facts needed explanation, let alone to the fundamental problem of liquid theory.

The problem of liquids was well recognised in the area of molecular modelling and molecular dynamics simulations. It is interesting that a classic textbook on molecular dynamics simulations is entitled "Computer Simulation of Liquids" [44]. This method can simulate solids, gases or any other system where an interatomic interaction is known, yet the textbook title involves liquids. As the book authors explain, an important motivation for computer simulations as such was the need to understand liquids because they are not amenable to theoretical understanding using approximate perturbative approaches or virial expansions. The authors note that for some liquid properties, it may not even be clear how to begin constructing an approximate theory in a reasonable way. Computer modelling was seen as a way to help fix this problem.

In view of enormous progress of condensed matter research, it is perhaps striking to realise that we do not have a basic understanding of liquids as the third state of matter and certainly not on par with solids and gases. This was one of the reasons I decided to look into this problem.

As its title suggests, this book follows the path from excitations in liquids to their thermodynamic properties. This general path is well-established in statistical physics: an interacting system has collective excitations, or collective modes. Thermodynamic properties are then calculated on the basis of these collective excitations. The solid state theory is a celebrated case where the solid energy is calculated on the basis of phonons in the Einstein or Debye model, with all important consequences that follow. In liquids, this path was largely unexplored in the past (with an exception of liquid helium where the quantum nature of the system, perhaps surprisingly, simplifies the theory). Till fairly recently, collective excitations in liquids were not understood well enough, and it was unclear whether and how they can be related to liquid thermodynamics on the basis of a quantitative theory.

As is often the case, a hard problem needs to be addressed from different perspectives, and the first aim of this book is to synthesise results coming from three different lines of enquiry: experiment, theory and molecular modelling. Some of these results are fairly new, whereas others date back nearly two centuries. I will show that liquids have a long and extraordinary history of research but this history has been fragmented and sometimes undervalued.

Once looked from a longer-term perspective, the history of collective excitations in liquids reveals a fascinating story which involves physics luminaries and includes milestone contributions from Maxwell in 1867, followed by Frenkel and Landau. A separate and largely unknown line of enquiry aiming to connect phonons in liquids and liquid thermodynamics involved the work of Sommerfeld published in 1913, one year after the Debye's paper on heat capacity of solids. This line of enquiry was later developed by Brillouin and Wannier and Piroué. When we get to the equation written (but not solved) by Frenkel to describe collective excitations in liquids, we will see that this equation

was introduced by Kirchhoff in 1857 and discussed by Heaviside and Poincaré.

The second and most technical aim of this book is to connect excitations in liquids to their thermodynamic properties. In the process, a distinct liquid story will emerge, and this will achieve the third aim of this book: to put real liquids and understanding their experimental properties in spotlight. Tabor calls liquids "neglected step-child of physical scientists" and "Cinderella of modern physics" as compared to solids and gases [7]. Although this observation was made nearly 30 years ago, Tabor would have reached the same conclusion today with regard to liquid thermodynamics on the basis of more recent textbooks listed earlier. The third aim of this book is therefore to make liquids a full family member on par with the other two states of matter, if only more sophisticated and refined due to their ability to combine oscillatory solidlike and diffusive gaslike components of particle motion. We will see how these two components endow the phase space for collective excitations in liquids with an important property: its ability to change with temperature.

The focus of this book is on understanding real liquids and their experimental properties rather than on model systems. This importantly differentiates this book from others.

The experimental properties include the specific heat as an important indicator of the degrees of freedom in the system and a quantity that can be directly measured experimentally and compared to a theory. I start with a brief account of experimental data we will be mostly concerned with in Chapter 3. It will become quickly apparent that common models used to understand liquids such as the van der Waals and hard-sphere models are irrelevant for understanding the specific heat of real liquids, bringing about the realisation that something quite different is needed. I will then recall our current understanding of collective modes in solids and gases and how these modes underly the theory of their thermodynamic properties in Chapters 4 and 5. An important observation here is that quadratic forms greatly simplify theoretical description. We particularly appreciate this simplification when we deal with liquids where we don't have them.

The small parameter problem discussed by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii above does not necessarily mean that liquids can not be understood in some other way. However in Chapter 6 we will see that a head-on first-principles description of liquids which we use in the solid state theory is exponentially complex and therefore intractable.

An alternative approach to liquids is based on an integral involving interactions and correlation functions, and I review this approach in Chapter 7. This will show that earlier liquid theories and the solid state theory diverged at the point of a fundamental approach. Early theories considered that the goal of statistical theory of liquids is to provide a relation between liquid thermodynamic properties and the liquid structure and intermolecular interactions. Working towards this goal involved ascertaining the analytical models for structure and interactions in liquids. Developing these models has then become the essence of earlier liquid theories. I will review general problems involved in this approach including the inevitable problems set out by Landau, Lifshitz and Pitaevskii in the Preface. I will then observe that these problems are absent in the solid state theory because this theory does not operate in terms of correlation functions and interactions and is based on phonons instead. This makes the solid state theory tractable, physically transparent and predictive. One of the main aims of this book is to show that liquids can be understood using a similar theory based on phonons.

In Chapter 8, I will show how Frenkel's ideas broke new ground for understanding liquids. This notably involves the concept of liquid relaxation time and its implications for liquid dynamics and collective excitations. This resulted in several important predictions, including the propagation of solidlike transverse waves in liquids at high frequency. The main difference between liquids and solid glasses was thought to be resistance to shear stress, however Frenkel proposed that this is not the case: liquids too can support shear stress, albeit at high frequency. Frenkel also proposed that the liquid-glass transition is a continuous, rather than a discontinuous, process. As we will see in Chapter 17, this continues to be the topic of current glass transition research. Although Frenkel broke new ground for understanding phonons in liquids, he did not seek to connect these phonons to key thermodynamic properties of liquids such as energy and heat capacity.

In Chapter 9, I will discuss the equation governing liquid transverse modes that Frenkel wrote but, surprisingly, did not solve. Once simplified, this equation becomes the "telegraph equation" discussed by Kirchhoff, Heaviside and Poincaré. Surprisingly again, in view of its wide use, the telegraph equation was not fully explored in terms of different dispersion relations it supports. I will show how the solution gives rise to an important property of collective transverse modes in liquids: these modes exist above the threshold wavevector only and correspond to gapped momentum states. In terms of propagating waves, the gap also exists in the frequency domain. The gap increases with temperature, and this brings about the key property of liquids: the volume of the phase space available to collective excitations reduces with temperature. This is in striking difference with solids where this phase space is fixed. I will discuss the evidence for this reduction of the phase space on the basis of experimental and modelling data.

I will observe that the hydrodynamic description was historically adopted as a starting point of discussing liquids and their viscoelastic properties. In this approach, hydrodynamic equations are modified to account for solidlike response. I will show that this is related to our subjective perception rather than liquid physics and that both hydrodynamic and solidlike elastic theory are equally legitimate starting points of liquid description, both resulting in the telegraph equation. In this sense, liquids have a symmetry of their description where the "elastoviscosity" term is as justified as the commonly used term "viscoelasticity" to discuss liquid properties.

As mentioned earlier in this Introduction, widely-used molecular dynamics simulations were stimulated by the need to understand liquids. This was because liquids were not thought to be amenable to theory. In Chapter 10, I will review the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations method and focus on two sets of MD data related to the main topic of this book: thermodynamic properties and c_v in particular and collective excitations.

Armed with preceding results, I will discuss the calculation of liquid energy and specific heat on the basis of propagating phonons in Chapter 11. I will demonstrate the advantage of this approach over that based on interatomic potentials and correlation functions. Theoretical results will be compared to a wide range of experimental data universally showing the decrease of the liquid specific heat with temperature. This decrease is related to the reduction of the volume of the phase space available for collective excitations due to the progressively smaller number of transverse phonons at high temperature. I will also discuss independent verifications of this theory.

In the following Chapter 12, I will examine the relation between collective excitations in quantum liquid ⁴He and its specific heat as discussed by Landau and Migdal. I will also discuss ³He where, differently from other systems,

low-temperature thermodynamic properties are governed by localised quasiparticles rather than collective modes.

In Chapter 13, I will recall the earlier Tabor's discussion of what constitutes the "Sui Generis" approach to liquids. In the light of preceding results and our current understanding, the key to Sui Generis in liquids is to observe that these are systems in a mixed dynamical state involving both oscillations and diffusion of particles, in contrast to pure dynamical states in gases and solids where particle motion is purely diffusive and oscillatory. The balance between oscillatory and diffusive motion shifts with temperature (pressure), representing the sophistication of liquids as compared to solids and gases. This shift is related to the variation of the volume of phase space available to collective excitations mentioned earlier. This, in turn, gives the key to understanding liquid thermodynamics and the universal decrease of liquid specific heat with temperature in particular.

In Chapter 14, I will review the history of research aiming to link liquid thermodynamic properties to liquid collective excitations. Involving physics luminaries, this history dates back over a century. Quite remarkably, the first attempt at the theory of liquid thermodynamics based on phonons was done by Sommerfeld only one year after the Debye theory of solids and 5 years after the Einstein's theory were published. Whereas the Debye theory has become part of every textbook where solids are mentioned, we had to wait for about a century until we started understanding phonons in liquids well enough to be able to connect them to liquid thermodynamic properties. The Sommerfeld's line of enquiry was taken up by Brillouin, who reconciled his theory of liquids and experimental data by making a fascinating proposal that liquids may consist of small crystallites. Wannier and Piroué later expanded on this work, as did other authors.

I will follow the link between excitations in liquids and their thermodynamics in a wide range of pressure and temperature on the phase diagram, from high-temperature supercritical fluids to subcritical liquids and to low-temperature viscous systems approaching the glass transition. In Chapter 15, I will examine the reduction of the phase space available to collective excitations above the critical point. Above the Frenkel line corresponding to the disappearance of the oscillatory component of particle motion and two transverse modes, this reduction is due to progressive disappearance of the remaining longitudinal mode. In view of increasing deployment of supercritical fluids in environmental, cleaning and extracting applications, this discussion is of environmental and industrial relevance, as Chapter 15 will show.

The phase space available to collective excitations depends on the Frenkel hopping frequency which, in turn, depends on viscosity. The temperature dependence of viscosity shows universal minima, and the minima themselves are related to the dynamical crossover of particle dynamics discussed in Chapter 15. This leads us to Chapter 16 where I show that viscosity minimum is a universal quantity for each liquid and is fixed by fundamental physical constants, an interesting result in view that viscosity is strongly system- and temperature-dependent. I will show how this provides an answer to the question asked by Purcell and considered by Weisskopf in the 1970s, namely why viscosity never drops below a certain value comparable to that of water?

The minimal viscosity turns out to depend on \hbar and hence be a quantum property. This has immediate consequences for water viscosity and essential processes in living organisms and cells. Water and life appear to be well attuned to the degree of quantumness of the physical world and other fundamental physical constants. This adds another layer

to the anthropic principle.

Going to another extreme of large viscosity at low temperature brings us to the realm of viscous liquids discussed in Chapter 17. Here, the link between excitations and system thermodynamics becomes fairly simple because the reduction of the phase space available to collective excitations can be safely ignored. As a result, liquid energy and specific heat to a very good approximation are given by 3N phonons as in solids. Together with the dynamical disappearance of the viscous response at the glass transition temperature, this explains the observed jump of liquid specific heat at the glass transition temperature which logarithmically increases with the quench rate.

In Chapter 18, I will discuss Mott's recollection of the Frenkel work. Mott says that Frenkel was interested in real systems and what is really happening in those systems. This prompts a discussion of what we aim to achieve by a physical theory and what this theory should do.

The last two Chapters 19 and 20 explore how the insights we learned from the liquid theory can be used in other areas. Chapter 19 discusses how spin glasses can be understood on the basis of spin waves as is done in the theory of ferromagnets and antiferromagnets and similarly to how structural glasses are understood on the basis of phonons. In Chapter 20, I discuss the problem of strongly-coupled field theories and make an analogy with strongly-interacting liquids.

I have not discussed all sources I have consulted. The selection of topics was helpfully aided and narrowed down by the guiding principle I used in writing this book. This principle is based on a well-established approach in physics where an interacting system is fundamentally understood on the basis of its excitations [3, 6]. I have also taken a cautious attitude towards proposals that have not yet shown themselves as well-founded or constructive (I briefly touch upon this issue in Chapter 18). I may have missed subtle or more important points, and for this reason I recommend that an inquisitive reader consult references for details and look elsewhere. This is particularly so in view that this book includes the results of my own group and collaborators. But where I have really made a difference is in writing this overview as more than a collection of ideas, concepts and models related to liquids. By focusing on collective excitations in liquids and basic liquid properties, I have shown that earlier and more recent research and ideas physically link to each other and in ways not previously considered.

I end several chapters with questions and proposals for future research to either complement the already existing results or pursue a new line of enquiry.




FIG. 1: Phase diagram of matter with triple and critical points shown.


III. EXPERIMENTAL HEAT CAPACITY

Our understanding of the basic states of matter is illustrated by the phase diagram shown in Figure 1. Solids are located in the low-temperature and high-pressure part of the phase diagram. Gases are in the opposite high-temperature and low-pressure part. Liquids are sandwiched between solids and gases: the liquid state starts above the triple point and is bound by melting and boiling lines.

As discussed in the Preface and Introduction, there is large and striking gap between theoretical understanding of the three states of matter. Theories of solids and gases are well developed. In stark contrast, there is no theoretical understanding of the most basic liquid properties such as energy and specific heat. This book seeks to reduce this gap and is concerned with fundamental understanding of liquids, with the emphasis on real liquids and experimental data rather than on model systems.

We will adopt a generic approach of statistical physics where properties of an interacting system are fundamentally related to excitations. The solid state theory is one notable example where this relation is used in many important ways. Our broad goal in this book is to ascertain the nature of collective excitations in liquids and then relate them to liquid thermodynamic properties.

Returning briefly to the phase diagram in Figure 1, we see that the boiling line ends at the critical point which conditionally marks the beginning of the supercritical state. A large part of this book deals with subcritical liquids. Towards the end if this book, I will also discuss how the insights from the theory of subcritical liquids can be used to






FIG. 2: Top: experimental specific heat c_v of liquid mercury with the electronic contribution subtracted as a function of reduced temperature, where T_m is the melting temperature [45, 46]. Bottom: experimental c_v of Ar at different pressures [47].

understand the supercritical state and its dynamical and thermodynamic properties.

One of my talks in Cambridge was interrupted by a keen theoretician, who asked to show the experimental data early on. I later thought this is a good idea because it quickly tells us what we are dealing with. Figure 2 shows the experimental specific heats of liquid mercury and argon. These two liquids represent different liquid types: metallic and noble.

Here and below, we adopt the notations of Ref. [3] and set $k_{\rm B}=1$ everywhere.

 c_v of liquid mercury, with the electronic contribution subtracted, is shown in Figure 2 in the temperature range where mercury is subcritical. We also show c_v of liquid argon. Argon is well described by a simple Lennard-Jones potential. For this reason, liquid argon has become a common case study in numerous theoretical approaches and molecular dynamics simulations. We show c_v of Ar at three different pressures. The lowest pressure exceeds the

critical pressure $P_c \approx 4.9$ MPa by about ten times in order to expand temperature range where Ar exists as a fluid (at room pressure this range is quite narrow) and to avoid critical and near-critical anomalies affecting c_v . This book considers generic behavior of c_v unaffected by critical and near-critical anomalies. We will discuss the effects related to the critical point in Section 15B and the supercritical state in Chapter 15.

We observe that liquid c_v of liquid mercury is close to 3 at low temperature and tends to about 2 at high. A similar trend is seen in liquid Ar: c_v starts just below 3 at low temperature and decreases to 2 and below.

We also observe that at a fixed temperature, c_v increases with pressure. In Ar, viscosity increases with pressure and, therefore, larger viscosity is related to larger c_v . It is useful to keep this in mind for now. We will revisit pressure dependence of c_v in Section 11E.

We will later see that the decrease of c_v from 3 to 2 and below to its limiting ideal-gas value of $\frac{3}{2}$ is a universal behavior seen in other metallic, noble, molecular and hydrogen-bonded liquids. A large part of this book is about understanding this behavior theoretically.

There are three further quick observations from Fig. 2. First, the decrease of c_v is different from what is seen in solids where c_v either increases with temperature due to phonon excitations or stays constant in the classical harmonic regime.

Second, c_v at low temperature is close to the Dulong-Petit value of 3. This result, revisited later in this section on the basis of the Wallace plot, immediately suggests that the physics of liquids close to melting is governed by 3N phonons (N is the number of particles) as is the case in solids. Another possibility is that some other mechanism operates in liquids which nevertheless gives the Dulong-Petit result $c_v = 3$, or that this other mechanism and phonons work together to give $c_v = 3$. This is unlikely on general physical grounds since it would involve fine tuning to give the same universal low-temperature value $c_v = 3$ in all liquids. We will later see that no mechanism other than phonons is needed to account for the experimental value of specific heat. We will find that this assertion requires several important sets of results from theory, experiment and modelling.

The third and for now final observation from Figure 2 is that common models widely used to discuss liquids are irrelevant for understanding the energy and heat capacity of real liquids. These models are notable workhorses of liquid physics and include the widely discussed van der Waals model [21] and the hard-sphere model (see, e.g., Ref. [30, 48, 49]). This may come as perhaps surprising to the reader, in view of the wide discussion of these models in the literature and textbooks. For this reason, it is worth expanding upon this point.

Our first example is the van der Waals model (vdW) widely used to discuss liquid-related effects, including the liquid-gas transitions, critical points, compressibility, liquid's ability to withstand negative pressure and as a starting point of liquid theories involving perturbation methods [21]. The vdW equation of state reads

$$\left(P + \frac{N^2 a}{V^2}\right)(V - Nb) = NT$$
(1)

where P, V, N and T are pressure, volume, number of atoms and temperature, and a and b are constants related to the pair interaction potential and atomic size.

Eq. (1) corresponds to the free energy [3]:

$$F = F_{id} - NT \ln \left(1 - \frac{Nb}{V} \right) - \frac{N^2 a}{V} \tag{2}$$

where F_{id} is the free energy of the ideal gas.

Eq. (2) follows from (a) assuming a dilute system with small density and corresponding to the first two terms in the virial expansion in powers $\frac{N}{V}$, (b) calculating the temperature-dependent term of the second virial coefficient in the high-temperature approximation $\frac{U_0}{T} \ll 1$, where U_0 is the cohesion energy and (c) interpolating between the liquid and gas state and imposing a finite compressibility in the liquid where the liquid volume V should always be larger than Nb in (2) (or else the argument of the logarithm becomes negative) [3].

The entropy $S=-\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}\right)_V$ depends on temperature through the first ideal gas term only:

$$S = S_{id} + N \ln \left(1 - \frac{Nb}{V} \right) \tag{3}$$

The energy E = F + TS is

$$E = E_{id} - \frac{N^2 a}{V} \tag{4}$$

resulting in the specific heat of the ideal gas [3]:

$$c_v = \frac{3}{2} \tag{5}$$

in stark contrast to the experimental data in Figure 2.

We see that the vdW model describes an ideal gas from the point of view of system's specific heat. This model is a good example of how the virial expansion and high-temperature approximations, while accounting for some liquid properties and introducing interactions in the system, are nevertheless unable to alter c_v and the associated number of degrees of freedom in the ideal gas reference system.

We might think that $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$ of the vdW model is related to the system being dilute. However, the vdW equation (1) is often derived and discussed by substituting V by V - Nb without assuming that Nb is small compared to V [50]. Hence a system can have large solid-like concentration comparable to the inverse volume per atom and still have $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$. This brings us to the second workhorse of liquid physics, the hard-sphere model.

The hard-sphere model consists of spheres of fixed volume set by an infinite interaction potential at distances shorter than the sphere radius and zero potential outside. This model has been widely used to account for the structure of liquids, giving rise to the approaches where short-range repulsion is dominant in governing liquid physics. We will




FIG. 3: The Wallace plot showing experimental c_v of 18 liquids at the melting point against c_v of crystals at the melting point. For metals, the electronic contribution if subtracted from the experimental data. The dashed line represents equality between liquid and crystal values. The ideal gas result $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$ for the hard-sphere model is shown. The plot is adapted from References [46, 56] with permission from the American Physical Society. c_v for the van der Waals and the ideal gas systems are added to the Wallace plot.

review these theories in Chapter 7 in the context of perturbation approaches to liquids. The hard-sphere system has also been used to discuss liquid-solid transitions, equation of state, melting, communal entropy and so on (see, for example, References [15, 21, 25, 30, 48, 49]). This included the work of pioneers of computer simulations such as Alder, Wainwright and Hoover [51–54], laying the foundation for molecular dynamics simulations. We discuss these simulations in Chapter 10.

The specific heat of the hard-sphere system is that of the ideal gas: $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$ as is the case of the vdW system in Eq. (5). One easy way to understand this is to consider the hard-sphere system as the large n limit of the interaction $U(r) \propto \frac{1}{r^n}$. Molecular dynamics simulations show that at large n, the ratio between the potential and kinetic energy becomes small, corresponding to the onset of the ideal gas behavior [55]. This happens because harsh repulsion results in a particle spending an increasingly large proportion of its time moving outside the potential range, resulting in the average potential energy becoming small. With only kinetic energy remaining, the specific heat becomes equal to that of the ideal gas.

The irrelevance of the hard-sphere models for understanding liquid energy and c_v is further illustrated in the Wallace plot. Wallace [46, 56] constructs a striking plot illustrating the similarity of experimental c_v of liquids and their parent crystals for 18 systems shown in Figure 3. We have already noted this similarity earlier in this section. We observe that similarly to the vdW model, the hard-sphere model is unable to change the number of degrees of freedom from the ideal-gas value and to account for the experimental data.

Figure 3 importantly shows that c_v of real liquids is about twice as large as c_v of the hard-sphere and the vdW

models where $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$ as in the ideal gas.

This large discrepancy also applies to liquid theories which go beyond the hard-sphere and vdW models while using these models as a starting point of a perturbation theory. A perturbation theory is tractable and meaningful in the sense of convergence only if terms in the series are small. Hence, adopting $c_v = \frac{3}{2}$ in the vdW or hard-sphere system as a starting point of the perturbation theory will not account for the experimental data in Figures 2 and 3 where $c_v = 3$ at low temperature: to be consistent with experiments, the perturbation terms should account for another $\frac{3}{2}$ which is not small compared to the zeroth starting term. For this reason, perturbation methods are unable to describe the experimental c_v shown in Figures 2-3 or explain the physical origin of behavior seen in these Figures. We will revisit this point in Section 7 where we will discuss liquid theories based on the hard-sphere and vdW models.

Our discussion of common liquid models such as the vdW and hard-sphere models sets us up for realisation that something quite different is needed to understand the experimental behavior of real liquids. This realisation is constructive and invites us think about what the starting point of liquid theory should be.

- [1] M. Born and H. S. Green, Proc. Royal Soc. London 188, 10 (1946).
- [2] A. V. Granato, Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 307-310, 376 (2002).
- [3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 5. Statistical Physics, part 1. (Pergamon Press, 1970).
- [4] L. P. Pitaevskii, in *Physical Encyclopedia*, Vol. 4, edited by A. M. Prokhorov (Scientific Publishing, Moscow, 1994) p. 670.
- [5] R. Peierls, Physics Today **47(6)**, 44 (1994).
- [6] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol.9. Statistical Physics, Part 2 (Pergamon Press, 2006).
- [7] D. Tabor, Gases, liquids and solids (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [8] G. Chen, Journal of Heat Transfer 144, 010801 (2022).
- [9] C. Prescod-Weinstein, Physics Today **75(7)**, 53 (2022).
- [10] J. Frenkel, Kinetic Theory of Liquids (Oxford University Press, 1947).
- [11] I. Z. Fisher, Statistical Physics of Liquids (University of Chicago Press, 1964).
- [12] J. G. Kirkwood, Theory of liquids (Gordon and Breach, 1968).
- [13] T. E. Faber, An Introduction fo the Theory of Liquid Metals (Cambridge University Press, 1972).
- [14] A. R. Ubbelohde, The molten state of matter (J. Wiley and Sons, 1978).
- [15] N. H. March, Liquid Metals, Concepts and Theory (Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- [16] J. P. Boon and S. Yip, Molecular Hydrodynamics (Dover Publications, 1991).
- [17] N. H. March and M. P. Tosi, Atomic Dynamics in Liquids (Dover Publications, 1991).
- [18] P. A. Egelstaff, An Introduction to the Liquid State (Oxford University Press, 1994).
- [19] T. E. Faber, Fluid Dynamics for Physicists (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [20] U. Balucani and M. Zoppi, Dynamics of the Liquid State (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [21] J. L. Barrat and J. P. Hansen, Basic Concepts for Simple and Complex Liquids (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- [22] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, Theory of Simple Liquids (Elsevier, 2013).
- [23] D. J. Evans and G. Morris, Statistical Mechanics of Nonequilibrium Liquids (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [24] P. Gallo and M. Rovere, Physics of Liquid Matter (Springer, 2021).
- [25] J. M. Ziman, Models of Disorder (Cambridge University Press, 1979).
- [26] M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics (Oxford University Press, 1989).
- [27] R. G. Larson, The Structure and Rheology of Complex Fluids (Oxford University Press, 1999).
- [28] K. Binder and W. Kob, Glassy Materials and Disordered Solids (World Scientific, 2011).
- [29] R. A. L. Jones, Soft Condensed Matter (Oxford University Press, 2014).
- [30] G. Parisi, P. Urbani, and F. Zamponi, Theory of Simple Glasses (Cambridge University Press, 2020).
- [31] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, Principles of Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2012).
- [32] E. Fradkin, Field Theories of Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [33] M. L. Cohen and S. G. Louie, Fundamentals of Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- [34] P. W. Anderson, Basic Notions of Condensed Matter Physics (Westview Press, 2019).
- [35] S. M. Girvin and K. Yang, Modern Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2019).

- [36] M. Toda, R. Kubo, and N. Saito, Statistical Physics I (Springer, 1983).
- [37] D. Chandler, Instroduction to Modern Statistical Mechanics (Oxford University Press, 1987).
- [38] R. Kubo, Statistical Mechanics (Elsevier, 1988).
- [39] S. K. Ma, Statistical Mechanics (World Scientific, 1993).
- [40] C. Kittel and H. Kroemer, Thermal Physics (W. H. Freeman and Company, 2000).
- [41] M. Kardar, Statistical Physics of Particles (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [42] F. Reif, Fundementals of Statistical and Thermal Physics (Waveland Press, 2009).
- [43] J. Sethna, Statistical Mechanics: Entropy, Order Parameters and Complexity (Oxford University Press, 2021).
- [44] M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, Computer Simulation of Liquids (Oxford University Press, 2017).
- [45] G. Grimvall, Phys. Scripta 11, 381 (1975).
- [46] D. C. Wallace, Phys. Rev. E 57, 1717 (1998).
- [47] NIST, Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems, https://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/fluid.
- [48] J. A. Barker and D. Henderson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, 587 (1976).
- [49] G. Parisi and F. Zamponi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 789 (2010).
- [50] D. C. Johnston, arXiv:1402.1205v1.
- [51] B. J. Alder and T. E. Wainwright, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 1208 (1957).
- [52] B. J. Alder and T. E. Wainwright, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 459 (1959).
- [53] B. J. Alder and T. E. Wainwright, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 988 (1967).
- [54] B. J. Alder, W. G. Hoover, and T. E. Wainwright, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 241 (1963).
- [55] V. V. Brazhkin, Y. D. Fomin, A. G. Lyapin, V. N. Ryzhov, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. E 85, 031203 (2012).
- [56] D. C. Wallace, Statistical physics of crystals and liquids (World Scientific, 2002).
- [57] A. Kojevnikov, Historical Studies in the Physical and Biological Sciences 29, 295 (1999).
- [58] J. Frenkel, Wave Mechanics (Oxford University Press, 1934).
- [59] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics (John Wiley&Sons, 2005).
- [60] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, Solid State Physics (Saunders College Publishing, 1976).
- [61] A. D. B. Woods, B. N. Brockhouse, R. H. March, A. T. Stewart, and R. Bowers, Phys. Rev. 128, 1112 (1962).
- [62] R. A. Cowley, A. D. B. Woods, and G. Dolling, Phys. Rev. 150, 487 (1966).
- [63] Y. Fujii, N. A. Lurie, R. Pynn, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 10, 3647 (1974).
- [64] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 7. Theory of Elasticity (Elsevier, 2007).
- [65] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, vol. 6. Fluid Mechanics (Pergamon Press, 1987).
- [66] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik 22, 180 (1907).
- [67] R. A. Cowley, Rep. Prog. Phys. **31**, 123 (1968).
- [68] O. L. Anderson, Equations of State of Solids for Geophysical and Ceramic Science (Oxford University Press, 1995).
- [69] G. Grimvall, Thermophysical properties of materials (Elsevier, 1999).
- [70] A. A. Maradudin, P. A. Flinn, and R. A. Coldwell-Horsfall, Annals of Physics 15, 360 (1961).
- [71] A. F. Guillermet and G. Grimvall, Phys. Rev. B 44, 4332 (1991).
- [72] D. C. Wallace, Phys. Rev. B 46, 5242 (1992).
- [73] B. Fultz, Progress in Materials Science 55, 247 (2010).
- [74] A. M. Kosevich and A. S. Kovalev, Introduction to Nonlinear Physical Mechanics (Kyiv, Naukova Dumka, 1989).
- [75] S. H. Strogatz, Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos (Westview Press, 2014).

- [76] K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, Reports on Progress in Physics 79, 016502 (2016).
- [77] H. S. Green, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 189, 103 (1947).
- [78] L. Wang, C. Yang, M. T. Dove, Y. D. Fomin, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. E 95, 032116 (2017).
- [79] P. Schofield, Proc. Phys. Soc. 88, 149 (1966).
- [80] M. Born and H. S. Green, Proc. Royal Soc. London 190, 455 (1947).
- [81] J. D. Weeks, D. Chandler, and H. C. Andersen, J. Chem. Phys. 54, 5237 (1971).
- [82] D. Chandler, J. D. Weeks, and H. C. Andersen, Science 220, 788 (1983).
- [83] Y. Rosenfeld and P. Tarazona, Molecular Physics 95, 141 (1998).
- [84] R. Zwanzig, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 1420 (1954).
- [85] J. C. Dyre, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 953 (2006).
- [86] P. Debye, Annalen der Physik 344, 789 (1912).
- [87] C. J. Pickard and R. J. Needs, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 23, 053201 (2011).
- [88] C. J. Pickard, M. Martinez-Canales, and R. J. Needs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 245701 (2013).
- [89] M. T. Dove, Structure and Dynamics- An Atomic View of Materials (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [90] N. F. Mott, Accompanying comment to the 1975 Edition of Ref. [10], 579 (1975).
- [91] M. Ridley, How to read Darwin (Granta Books, 2013).
- [92] J. Frenkel, Z. Phys. 35, 652 (1926).
- [93] A. Hunt, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 160, 183 (1993).
- [94] F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Phys. Rev. A 28, 2408 (1983).
- [95] D. C. Wallace, E. D. Chisolm, and B. E. Clements, Phys. Rev. E 64, 011205 (2001).
- [96] J. C. Maxwell, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London 157, 49 (1867).
- [97] F. Puosi and D. Leporini, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 041104 (2012).
- [98] D. Wallace, Physical Review A 25, 3290 (1982).
- [99] T. Iwashita, D. M. Nicholson, and T. Egami, Physical Review Letters 110, 205504 (2013).
- [100] S. Sengupta, F. Vasconcelos, F. Affouard, and S. Sastry, The Journal of Chemical Physics 135, 194503 (2011).
- [101] I. E. Tamm, Sov. Phys. Usp. 5, 173 (1962).
- [102] J. Frenkel, Nature 136, 167 (1935).
- [103] L. D. Landau, Nature 138, 840 (1936).
- [104] L. D. Landau, JETP 7, 19 (1937).
- [105] D. Tong, Quantum Field Theory, University of Cambridge Part III Mathematical Tripos (2006).
- [106] N. S. Koshlyakov, Basic Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics (State Technical and Theoretical Publishing, Leningrad, 1933).
- [107] H. Poincare, C. R. Acad. Science, Paris 117, 1027 (1893).
- [108] O. Heaviside, Phis. Mag. Series 2:9, 135 (1876).
- [109] G. Kirchhoff, Ann. Phys. Chem. 100, 2, 193 (1857).
- [110] J. Mawhin, Matapli 98, 65 (2012).
- [111] A. G. Webster, Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics (Dover, 2016).
- [112] A. N. Tikhonov and A. A. Samarsky, Equations of Mathematical Physics (State Publishing of Technical and Theoretical Literature, 1953).
- [113] D. D. Joseph and L. Presiosi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 41 (1989).

- [114] J. Masoliver, Phys. Rev. E 99, 012121 (2019).
- [115] M. Baggioli, M. Vasin, V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Physics Reports 865, 1 (2020).
- [116] G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. 159, 1089 (1967).
- [117] M. Baggioli, M. Vasin, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. D 102, 025012 (2020).
- [118] W. X. Li and T. Keyes, J. Chem. Phys. 107, 7275 (1997).
- [119] D. J. Griffiths, Introduciton to Electrodynamics (Prentice Hall, 1999).
- [120] R. H. Ritchie, R. N. Hamm, M. W. Williams, and E. T. Arakawa, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 84, 367 (1997).
- [121] E. T. Arakawa, M. W. Williams, R. N. Hamm, and R. H. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1127 (1973).
- [122] C. Yang, M. T. Dove, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 215502 (2017).
- [123] Y. D. Fomin et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 28, 43LT01 (2016).
- [124] W. C. Pilgrim and C. Morkel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 18, R585 (2006).
- [125] V. M. Giordano and G. Monaco, PNAS 107, 21985 (2010).
- [126] J. R. D. Copley and J. M. Rowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 49 (1974).
- [127] W. C. Pilgrim, S. Hosokawa, H. Saggau, H. Sinn, and E. Burkel, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 250-252, 96 (1999).
- [128] E. Burkel, Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 171 (2000).
- [129] E. Pontecorvo et al., Phys. Rev. E 71, 015501 (2005).
- [130] A. Cunsolo, C. N. Kodiuwakku, F. Bencivenga, M. Frontzek, B. M. Leu, and A. H. Said, Phys. Rev. B 85, 174305 (2012).
- [131] S. Hosokawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 105502 (2009).
- [132] S. Hosokawa, M. Inui, Y. Kajihara, S. Tsutsui, and A. Q. R. Baron, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 27, 194104 (2015).
- [133] V. M. Giordano and G. Monaco, Phys. Rev. B 84, 052201 (2011).
- [134] S. Hosokawa et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 25, 112101 (2013).
- [135] G. I. A. Stegelman and B. P. Stoicheff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 202 (1968).
- [136] M. Grimsditch, R. Bhadra, and L. M. Torell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2616 (1989).
- [137] F. Scarponi, L. Comez, D. Fioretto, and L. Palmieri, Phys. Rev. B 70, 054203 (2004).
- [138] Y. H. Jeong, S. R. Nagel, and S. Bhattacharya, Phys. Rev. A 34, 602 (1986).
- [139] B. Ruzicka et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 100201 (2004).
- [140] V. Nosenko, J. Goree, and A. Piel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 115001 (2006).
- [141] M. S. Murillo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2514 (2000).
- [142] A. Diaw and M. S. Murillo, Phys. Rev. E 92, 013107 (2015).
- [143] P. K. Kaw and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 5, 3552 (1998).
- [144] M. Inui et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 33, 475101 (2021).
- [145] L. Noirez and P. Baroni, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 24, 372101 (2012).
- [146] L. Noirez and P. Baroni, J. Mol. Str. 972, 16 (2010).
- [147] T. Nakayama, Rep. Prog. Phys. 65, 1195 (2002).
- [148] A. I. Chumakov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 025502 (2014).
- [149] A. I. Chumakov and G. Monaco, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 407, 126 (2015).
- [150] M. Baggioli and A. Zaccone, J. Phys.: Mater. 3, 015004 (2020).
- [151] D. Pines and P. Nozieres, The Theory Of Quantum Liquids (Perseus Books, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1999).
- [152] S. Balibar, J. Low Temp. Phys. 146, 441 (2007).
- [153] S. Balibar, C. R. Physique 18, 586 (2017).

- [154] B. Rufflé, Guimbretière, E. Courtens, R. Vacher, and G. Monaco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 045502 (2006).
- [155] S. N. Taraskin and S. R. Elliott, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12031 (2000).
- [156] A. Jain and A. J. H. McGaughey, Phys. Rev. B 93, 081206 (2016).
- [157] J. J. Letcher, K. Kang, and D. G. Cahill, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 252104 (2007).
- [158] K. T. Tsen, J. G. Kiang, D. K. Ferry, and H. Morkoc, Appl. Phys. Lett. 89, 112111 (2006).
- [159] A. Gold-Parker et al., PNAS 115, 11905 (2018).
- [160] A. Rahman, Phys. Rev. 136, A405 (1964).
- [161] L. Verlet, Phys. Rev. 159, 98 (1967).
- [162] A. Rahman and F. H. Stillinger, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 3336 (1971).
- [163] D. Frenkel and B. Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulation (Academic Press, 2002).
- [164] D. Levesque, L. Verlet, and J. Kürkijarvi, Phys. Rev. A 7, 1690 (1973).
- [165] N. P. Kryuchkov, L. A. Mistryukova, V. V. Brazhkin, and S. O. Yurchenko, Sci. Rep. 9, 10483 (2019).
- [166] J. Q. Toledo-Marín and G. G. Naumis, Front. Mater. 6, 164 (2019).
- [167] K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. B 78, 104201 (2008).
- [168] A. R. Dexter and A. J. Matheson, Trans. Faraday Soc. 64, 2632 (1968).
- [169] L. Landau and R. Peierls, Zs. Phys. 69, 56 (1931).
- [170] N. D. Mermin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 82, 012002 (2019).
- [171] L. Andritsos et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 25, 235401 (2013).
- [172] K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, Phys. Rev. B 83, 014201 (2011).
- [173] D. Bolmatov and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. B 84, 054106 (2011).
- [174] D. Bolmatov, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Sci. Rep. 2, 421 (2012).
- [175] V. V. Brazhkin, M. Kanzaki, K. Funakoshi, and Y. Katayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 115901 (2009).
- [176] V. V. Brazhkin, I. Farnan, K. Funakoshi, M. Kanzaki, Y. Katayama, A. G. Lyapin, and H. Saitoh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 115701 (2010).
- [177] J. Proctor, Phys. Fluids 32, 107105 (2020).
- [178] J. Proctor, The liquid and supercritical states of matter (CRC Press, 2021).
- [179] R. J. Donnelly, J. A. Donnelly, and R. N. Hills, J. Low Temperature Physics 44, 471 (1981).
- [180] D. G. Henshaw and A. D. B. Woods, Phys. Rev. 121, 1266 (1961).
- [181] L. Landau, J. Phys. USSR 5, 71 (1941).
- [182] L. Tisza, Phys. Rev. 72, 838 (1947).
- [183] D. S. Greywall, Phys. Rev. B 18, 2127 (1982).
- [184] J. Wilks, An Introduction to Liquid Helium (Oxford University Press, 1970).
- [185] A. Nakayama and N. Makri, PNAS 102, 4230 (2005).
- [186] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [187] A. Griffin, Excitations in a Bose-condensed liquid (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [188] A. J. Leggett, Quantum Liquids (Oxford University Press, 2008).
- [189] L. D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 920 (1957).
- [190] M. Strongin, G. O. Zimmerman, and H. A. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. 128, 1983 (1962).
- [191] J. G. Daunt and D. O. Edwards, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 15, 83 (1964).
- [192] L. D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP ${\bf 5},\,101$ (1957).

- [193] D. Bohm, Quantum Theory (Dover Publications, 1979).
- [194] E. R. Dobbs, Solid Helium Three (Oxford University Press, 1994).
- [195] H. R. Glyde, Excitations in Liquid and Solid Helium (Oxford University Press, 1994).
- [196] H. J. Maris and S. Balibar, J. Low Temperature Physics 147, 539 (2007).
- [197] A. Leggett, Science 319, 1203 (2008).
- [198] K. Trachenko, Annals of Physics 441, 168886 (2022).
- [199] A. Zaccone and K. Trachenko, Annals of Physics 452, 169272 (2023).
- [200] F. Wilczek, Physics World 19, 22 (2006).
- [201] L. Brillouin, Tensors in Mechanics and Elasticity (Academic Press, 1964).
- [202] A. C. Wright, Int. J. Applied Glass Science 5, 31 (2014).
- [203] H. Tang et al., Nature 599, 605 (2021).
- [204] L. Brillouin, J. Phys. 3, 326,362 (1922).
- [205] L. Brillouin, J. Phys. 6, 337 (1935).
- [206] L. Brillouin, Scientia (Milan) **51**, 206 (1932).
- [207] A. Sommerfeld, Probleme der freien Weglänge, Vorträge über die kinetische Theorie (Göttingen, 1913, Teubner, 1914).
- [208] L. Brillouin, Phys. Rev. B 54, 916 (1938).
- [209] M. Born, J. Chem. Phys. 7, 591 (1939).
- [210] G. H. Wannier and P. A. Piroué, Helv. Phys. Acta 29, 221 (1956).
- [211] C. Cockrell, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Physics Reports 941, 1 (2021).
- [212] E. Kiran, P. G. Debenedetti, and C. J. Peters, Supercritical Fluids: Fundamentals and Applications (NATO Series E: Applied sciences, vol. 366 (Boston: Kluwer, 2000).
- [213] K. Nishikawa and T. Morita, Chem. Phys. Lett. 316, 238 (2000).
- [214] H. Nakayama, K. I. Saitow, M. Sakashita, K. Ishii, and K. Nishikawa, Chem. Phys. Lett. 320, 323 (2000).
- [215] K. Nishikawa, K. Kusano, A. A. Arai, and T. Morita, J. Chem. Phys. 118, 1341 (2003).
- [216] T. Sato, M. Sugiyama, K. Itoh, K. Mori, T. Fukunaga, M. Misawa, T. Otomo, and S. Takata, Phys. Rev. E 78, 051503 (2008).
- [217] L. Xu, P. Kumar, S. V. Buldyrev, S. H. Chen, P. H. Poole, F. Sciortino, and H. E. Stanley, PNAS 102, 16558 (2005).
- [218] V. V. Brazhkin, Y. D. Fomin, A. G. Lyapin, V. N. Ryzhov, and E. N. Tsiok, J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 14112 (2011).
- [219] V. V. Brazhkin and K. Trachenko, Physics Today 65, 68 (2012).
- [220] V. V. Brazhkin, Y. D. Fomin, A. G. Lyapin, V. N. Ryzhov, E. N. Tsiok, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 145901 (2013).
- [221] Y. Hiwatari, H. Matsuda, T. Ogawa, N. Ogita, and A. Ueda, Progress of Theoretical Physics 52, 1105 (1974).
- [222] H. Endo, Y. Endo, and N. Ogita, J. Chem. Phys. 77, 5184 (1982).
- [223] R. Zwanzig and R. D. Mountain, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 4464 (1965).
- [224] C. Prescher, Y. D. Fomin, V. B. Prakapenka, J. Stefanski, K. Trachenko, and V. V. Brazhkin, Phys. Rev. B 95, 134114 (2017).
- [225] J. E. Proctor, C. G. Pruteanu, I. Morrison, I. F. Crowe, and J. S. Loveday, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10, 6584 (2019).
- [226] C. G. Pruteanu, J. E. Proctor, O. L. G. Alderman, and J. S. Loveday, J. Phys. Chem. B 125, 8902 (2021).
- [227] D. Smith et al., Phys. Rev. E 96, 052113 (2017).
- [228] J. E. Proctor, M. Bailey, I. Morrison, M. A. Hakeem, and I. F. Crowe, J. Phys. Chem. B 122, 10172 (2018).

- [229] C. Cockrell, O. Dicks, L. Wang, K. Trachenko, A. Soper, V. Brazhkin, and S. Marinakis, Phys. Rev. E 101, 052109 (2020).
- [230] C. Yang, V. V. Brazhkin, M. T. Dove, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. E 91, 012112 (2015).
- [231] L. Wang et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 31, 225401 (2019).
- [232] V. V. Brazhkin et al., Physics-Uspekhi 55, 1061 (2012).
- [233] V. V. Brazhkin et al., Physica A 509, 690 (2018).
- [234] S. M. Stishov, JETP Lett. 57, 196 (1993).
- [235] J. V. Sengers and J. M. H. L. Sengers, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 37, 189 (1986).
- [236] M. A. Anisimov, International Journal of Thermophysics 32, 2001 (2011).
- [237] M. E. Fisher and B. Widom, J. Chem. Phys. **50**, 3756 (1969).
- [238] R. J. F. Leote de Carvalho, R. Evans, D. C. Hoyle, and J. R. Henderson, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 6, 9275 (1994).
- [239] C. Vega, L. F. Rull, and S. Lago, Phys. Rev. E 51, 3146 (1995).
- [240] J. McHardy and S. P. Sawan, Sawan, Supercritical Fluid Cleaning: Fundamentals, Technology and Applications (Noyes Publications, 1998).
- [241] G. Brunner, Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering 1, 321 (2010).
- [242] E. S. Alekseev et al., Russian Chemical Reviews 89, 1337 (2020).
- [243] W. Leitner, Accounts of Chemical Research 35, 746 (2002).
- [244] C. A. Eckert, B. L. Knutson, and P. G. Debenedetti, Nature 383, 313 (1996).
- [245] P. E. Savage, S. Gopalan, T. I. Mizan, C. J. Martino, and E. E. Brock, AIChE Journal 41, 1723 (1995).
- [246] E. J. Beckman, The Journal of Supercritical Fluids 28, 121 (2004).
- [247] P. G. Jessop, T. Ikariya, and R. Noyori, Chemical Reviews 99, 475 (1999).
- [248] R. Helled, G. Mazzola, and R. Redmer, Nature Reviews Physics 2, 562 (2020).
- [249] T. Guillot, Science **286**, 72 (1999).
- [250] J. M. McMahon, M. A. Morales, C. Pierleoni, and D. M. Ceperley, Reviews of Modern Physics 84, 1607 (2012).
- [251] C. Cockrell, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Phys. Rev. E 104, 034108 (2021).
- [252] C. Cockrell and K. Trachenko, Science Advances 8, eabq5183 (2022).
- [253] L. Wang, C. Yang, M. T. Dove, A. V. Mokshin, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Sci. Rep. 9, 755 (2019).
- [254] S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling, The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases (Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- [255] D. Bolmatov, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, Nat. Comm. 4, 2331 (2013).
- [256] P. K. Kovtun, T. Son, D., and A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 111601 (2005).
- [257] K. Behnia and A. Kapitulnik, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 31, 405702 (2019).
- [258] J. Zaanen, SciPost Physics 6, 061 (2019).
- [259] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker, and D. Stanford, J. High Energy Phys. 08, 106 (2016).
- [260] S. A. Hartnoll, Nat. Phys. 11, 54 (2015).
- [261] H. Mousatov and S. A. Hartnoll, Nat. Phys. 16, 579 (2020).
- [262] C. Luciuk et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 130405 (2017).
- [263] Y. Machida, N. Matsumoto, T. Isono, and K. Behnia, Science 367, 309 (2020).
- [264] S. Grozdanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 051601 (2021).
- [265] I. R. Klebanov and J. M. Maldacena, Physics Today **62(1)**, 28 (2009).
- [266] T. Schäfer and D. Teaney, Rep. Prog. Phys. 72, 126001 (2009).

- [267] K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, Sci. Adv. 6, aba3747 (2020).
- [268] J. J. Erpenbeck, Phys. Rev. A 38, 6255 (1988).
- [269] V. V. Brazhkin, Phys. Uspekhi 62, 623 (2019).
- [270] J. D. Barrow, The Constants of Nature (Pantheon Books, 2003).
- [271] K. Trachenko, V. V. Brazhkin, and M. Baggioli, Scipost Phys. 10, 118 (2021).
- [272] P. B. Patel, Z. Yan, Mukherjee, R. J. Fletcher, J. Struck, and Zwierlein, Science 370, 1222 (2020).
- [273] V. D. Arp, R. D. McCarty, and D. G. Friend, Thermophysical Properties of Helium-4 from 0.8 to 1500 K with Pressures to 2000 MPa (U. S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1998).
- [274] D. F. Brewer and D. O. Edwards, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A 251, 247 (1959).
- [275] Y. Huang, Q. Yu, Q. Chen, and R. Wang, Cryogenics 52, 538 (2012).
- [276] L. D. Landau and I. M. Khalatnikov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. (USSR) 19, 709 (1949).
- [277] J. G. Dash, The Philosophical Magazine 3, 586 (1958).
- [278] K. Trachenko, M. Baggioli, K. Behnia, and V. V. Brazhkin, Phys. Rev. B 103, 014311 (2021).
- [279] M. Gurvitch, Phys. Rev. B 24, 7404 (1981).
- [280] A. Sommer, M. Ku, G. Roati, and Zwierlein, Nature 472, 201 (2011).
- [281] A. B. Bardon et al., Science **344**, 722 (2014).
- [282] S. Trotzky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 015301 (2015).
- [283] T. Enss and J. H. Thywissen, Ann. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 10, 85 (2018).
- [284] E. M. Purcell, Am. J. Phys. 45, 3 (1977).
- [285] V. F. Weisskopf, Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences 38, 202 (1977).
- [286] F. C. Adams, Physics Reports 807, 1 (2019).
- [287] S. Weinberg, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 310, 249 (1983).
- [288] B. D. Parry, I. V. Surovtsev, M. T. Cabeen, C. S. O'Hern, E. R. Dufresne, and C. Jacobs-Wagner, Cell 156, 183 (2014).
- [289] N. Bellotto, J. Agudo-Canalejo, R. Colin, R. Golestanian, G. Malengo, and V. Sourjik, eLife (2022).
- [290] L. Smolin, in *Universe or Multiverse?*, edited by B. Carr (Cambridge University Press, 2009) p. 323.
- [291] J. D. Barrow and F. J. Tipler, The Anthropic Cosmological Principle (Oxford University Press, 2009).
- [292] A. Vilenkin, Many worlds in one (Hill and Wang, 2007).
- [293] C. J. Hogan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 1149 (2000).
- [294] J.-P. Uzan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 403 (2003).
- [295] B. J. Carr and M. J. Rees, Nature 278, 605 (1979).
- [296] B. Carr, in Fine Tuning in the Physical Universe, edited by D. Sloan et al. (Cambridge University Press, 2022) pp. 20–32.
- [297] A. N. Schellekens, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1491 (2013).
- [298] C. J. Hogan, in Universe or Multiverse?, edited by B. Carr (Cambridge University Press, 2009) p. 221.
- [299] B. J. Carr and M. J. Rees, Int. J. Astrobiology 2, 79 (2003).
- [300] B. Carr, Universe or Multiverse? (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- [301] E. Tombari, C. Ferrari, Salvetti, and G. P. Johari, Phys. Rev. B 77, 024304 (2008).
- [302] E. Tombari, C. Ferrari, and G. P. Johari, J. Chem. Phys. 129, 054501 (2008).
- [303] S. L. Simon and G. B. McKenna, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 355, 672 (2009).
- [304] Q. Zheng, Y. Zhang, M. Montazerian, O. Gulbiten, J. C. Mauro, E. D. Zanotto, and Y. Yue, Chem. Rev. 119, 7848

(2019).

- [305] C. A. Angell, Science 267, 1924 (1995).
- [306] L. M. Wang, V. Velikov, and C. A. Angell, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 10184 (2002).
- [307] E. D. Zanotto and J. C. Mauro, Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 471, 490 (2017).
- [308] K. Takegawa, K. Fukao, and Y. Saruyama, Thermoch. Acta 432, 212 (2005).
- [309] R. Brüning and K. Samwer, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11318 (1992).
- [310] J. Langer, Physics Today 60, 8 (2007).
- [311] T. Hecksher, A. I. Nielsen, N. B. Olsen, and J. C. Dyre, Nat. Phys. 4, 737 (2008).
- [312] J. C. Mauro, Y. Yue, A. J. Ellison, P. K. Gupta, and D. C. Allan, PNAS 106, 19780 (2009).
- [313] F. Stickel, E. W. Fischer, and R. Richert, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 2043 (1996).
- [314] C. Hansen, F. Stickel, R. Richert, and E. W. Fischer, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 6408 (1998).
- [315] C. M. Roland, Soft Matter 4, 2316 (2008).
- [316] F. Mallamace, C. Branca, C. Corsaro, N. Leone, J. Spooren, S. H. Chen, and H. Stanley, PNAS 107, 22457 (2010).
- [317] G. B. McKenna and J. Zhao, J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 407, 3 (2015).
- [318] K. Trachenko and V. V. Brazhkin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 21, 425104 (2009).
- [319] J. C. Phillips, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 34, 153 (1979).
- [320] G. G. Naumis, Frontiers in Materials 2, 44 (2015).
- [321] M. Micoulaut, Advances in Physics X 1, 147 (2016).
- [322] S. Weinberg, To Explain the World (Penguin Books, 2016).
- [323] K. H. Fischer and J. A. Hertz, Spin glasses (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [324] R. O. Pohl, X. Liu, and E. Thompson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 991 (2002).
- [325] S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. 5, 965 (1975).
- [326] B. I. Halperin and W. M. Saslow, Phys. Rev. B 16, 2154 (1977).
- [327] A. F. Andreev and V. I. Marchenko, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 130, 39 (1980).
- [328] D. V. Volkov and A. A. Zheltukhin, Zh. Eksp. Teor Fiz. 78, 1867 (1980).
- [329] C. M. Grassl and D. L. Huber, Phys. Rev. B 30, 1366 (1984).
- [330] H. Maletta, W. Zinn, H. Scheuer, and S. M. Shapiro, J. Appl. Phys. 52, 1735 (1981).
- [331] H. Alloul and P. Mendels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1313 (1985).
- [332] P. Wong, H. Yoshizawa, and S. M. Shapiro, J. Appl. Phys. 61, 4077 (1987).
- [333] P. Wong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1276 (1987).
- [334] J. A. Mydosh, Spin glasses: an experimental introduction (Taylor and Francis, 1993).
- [335] A. I. Akhiezer, V. G. Baryakhtar, and S. V. Peletminskiy, Spin waves (Nauka, Moscow, 1967).
- [336] S. V. Tyablikov, Methods of Quantum Theory of Magnetism (Nauka, Moscow, 1975).
- [337] A. S. Chakravatry, Introduction to the Magnetic Properties of Solids (John Wiley &Sons, 1980).
- [338] K. Trachenko, J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 23, 366003 (2011).
- [339] H. Maletta and W. Felsch, Phys. Rev. B 20, 1245 (1979).
- [340] J. L. Tholence, J. Appl. Phys. **50**, 7369 (1979).
- [341] J. Blasco, J. García, G. Subías, and M. C. Sánchez, Phys. Rev. B 70, 094426 (2004).
- [342] D. X. Li, S. Nimori, T. Yamamura, and Y. Shiokawa, J. Appl. Phys. 103, 07B715 (2008).
- [343] J. L. Tholence, Sol. State Comm. **35**, 113 (1980).

- [344] L. F. Kiss and N. Hegman, J. Magnetism and Magnetic Mater. 140-144, 293 (1995).
- [345] R. N. Bhowmik and R. Ranganathan, J. Magnetism and Magnetic Mater. 248, 101 (2002).
- [346] M. Seki, A. K. M. Hossain, T. Kawai, and H. Tabata, J. Appl. Phys. 97, 083541 (2005).
- [347] S. Park et al., Solid State Comm. 139, 60 (2006).
- [348] R. N. Bhowmik and R. Ranganathan, J. Magnetism and Magnetic Mater. 237, 27 (2001).
- [349] C. J. Stringer, M. J. Lanagan, T. R. Shrout, and C. A. Randall, Japanese J. Appl. Phys. 46, 1090 (2007).
- [350] V. V. Brazhkin, JETP Lett. 112, 745 (2020).
- [351] L. Balents, Nature **464**, 199 (2010).
- [352] L. Savary and L. Balents, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 016502 (2017).
- [353] A. Zee, Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell (Princeton University Press, 2010).
- [354] di Cortona G. G., E. Hardy, J. P. Vega, and G. Villadoro, JHEP01 034 (2016).

INDEX

activation energy, 55 anharmonicity, 38, 39, 41, 53, 90, 106, 144, 173 anthropic principle, 15, 162, 163 anyons, 121 argon, 17, 138 bifurcation, 42, 44, 61 Bloch law, 182 Bogoliubov approximation, 31, 113 Bohr, 104 Bohr radius, 150 Born, 127, 135 Born and Green, 45, 47 Bose liquid, 113, 147 Boson peak, 88, 152 Brillouin, 14, 52, 82, 125-127, 135, 138 bulk modulus, 30, 64, 73, 83, 172C transition, 137, 138 collective excitations, 8, 11–16, 21, 26, 35, 45, 50, 52, 55, 61, 75, 82, 89–94, 98, 113, 125, 140, 141, 166, 180 condensed matter, 8, 10, 28, 53, 54, 75, 149, 151, 163 continuum approximation, 21, 28, 29, 32, 58, 64, 79, 90, 141 correlation function, 45, 48, 50, 52 critical point, 14, 16, 125, 130, 131, 133, 136, 149, 157 current correlation function, 75, 79–81, 94, 102 de Broglie wavelength, 119, 120 Debye frequency, 37, 58, 100, 101, 103, 108, 143, 149, 182 Debye model, 11, 14, 37, 50, 52, 66, 91, 100, 101, 105, 112, 125–127, 180 Debye vibration period, 138, 144, 150, 166, 174 degrees of freedom, 10, 12, 19, 20, 112, 126, 177 density of states, 25, 36, 37, 72, 90, 91, 100, 108, 113, 143, 182 dimensional analysis, 152 dispersion relation, 25, 26, 32, 69, 70, 73, 74, 86, 88, 94, 113

Einstein theory of solids, 11, 14, 35, 50, 52, 125, 127

Dulong-Petit, 17, 36, 38, 53, 91, 102, 107, 129, 145, 180

elasticity, 21, 28, 29, 61, 64, 87

elasticity length, 70, 137, 138, 140, 145, 176

elastoviscosity, 78

energy, 8, 10, 16, 17, 19-24, 36, 37, 45, 98, 101, 130, 143

energy gap, 64, 71

entropy, 109, 147, 150, 168-170

exponential complexity, 44, 55, 61

Faber, 129

fast sound, 83, 118

Fermi liquid, 116–118

Fisher-Widom line, 136

free energy, 19, 28, 35, 47, 107, 129, 181

Frenkel, 11, 13, 21, 54–56, 58–61, 64–68, 171, 175

Frenkel line, 14, 123, 130, 132, 135–138, 140, 146, 174

frequency gap, 71, 72, 98

fundamental constants, 160, 161, 164

fundamental frequency, 176

fundamental viscosity, 152

gapped energy state, 70

gapped momentum state, 65, 71, 73, 74, 86, 94

gas giants, 137

generalised hydrodynamics, 61, 76, 79

glass transition, 13–15, 56, 66, 67, 165, 168–171, 173, 175, 176, 180–183

Grüneisen approximation, 40, 51, 106

hard-sphere model, 12, 17, 20, 21, 133

harmonic oscillator, 23, 68

harmonic solid, 23, 35, 39, 102, 167

heat capacity, 8, 10, 11, 17, 21, 38, 98

Heaviside, 12, 13, 69

high-frequency response, 58, 60, 72

honey, 77, 165, 168

hopping frequency, 14, 55, 58, 70, 75, 98, 123

hydrodynamics, 21, 28, 61, 64, 77

inversion point, 138, 145

Kauzmann temperature, 176

Kirchhoff, 12, 13, 69

Landau, 11, 13, 67, 117, 125

Landau and Lifshitz, 6, 10, 12, 22, 47-49, 51, 105

life, 160, 162, 163

lifetime, 39, 51, 69, 71, 90, 91

liquid ³He, 116, 155

liquid ⁴He, 113, 155, 156

liquid relaxation time, 55, 58, 60, 64, 67, 80, 89, 111, 120, 123, 127, 130, 135, 147, 165, 166, 185

longitudinal wave, 14, 25, 26, 28, 30, 32, 36, 58, 64, 83, 98, 115, 140, 141, 143

Maxwell, 11, 33, 41, 58–60, 69, 128, 149, 172

mean free path, 117, 130, 138, 141, 147, 149

mercury, 17, 60, 102, 165

metallic liquid, 17, 103, 109, 138

Migdal, 13, 114, 125

minimal viscosity, 14, 147, 148, 151

molecular dynamics simulations, 11, 20, 50, 57, 92, 102, 133, 138

molecular liquid, 103, 109, 112, 138, 157

 $momentum\ gap,\ 13,\ 34,\ 65,\ 69-72,\ 81,\ 82,\ 85,\ 87,\ 88,\ 93,\ 94,\ 105$

Mott, 55, 65, 178, 179

Navier-Stokes equation, 31, 60, 64, 68, 76, 77, 79, 161

neutron scattering, 26, 82, 83, 113, 135, 181

NMR, 181

noble liquid, 17, 103, 109, 138, 157

nonlinearity, 35, 41, 42, 61, 89

normal modes, 25, 75

pair distribution function, 45, 46, 135, 136

partition function, 35, 106

perturbation theory, 11, 18, 20, 38, 39, 48, 185

phase diagram, 14, 16, 131, 132, 134, 136, 140, 145, 157, 177

phase space, reduction, 13-15, 51, 75, 94, 98, 102, 103, 105, 110, 141, 146, 166, 183

 $phonon,\ 17,\ 21,\ 26,\ 35,\ 38,\ 51,\ 55,\ 75,\ 82,\ 103,\ 113,\ 127,\ 138,\ 144,\ 180$

phonon dispersion, 26, 52, 66, 83

Pitaevskii, 6, 47, 48, 51, 105

plane waves, 21, 25, 26, 29, 32, 74, 90, 185

```
plasma, 85, 86
```

Poincaré, 12, 13, 68, 69

Proctor, 10, 111, 129

propagation length, 63, 64, 70, 119, 176

Purcell, 14, 148, 159, 160

quadratic forms, 11, 12, 22, 29, 35, 41, 51, 52, 105, 146, 185

quantum field theory, 185

quantum liquids, 113, 116, 119, 125

quantum statistics, 31, 113, 119

quark-gluon plasma, 147, 153

Raman scattering, 90, 135

 $relaxation\ time,\ 55,\ 58,\ 60,\ 64,\ 67,\ 80,\ 89,\ 111,\ 117,\ 120,\ 123,\ 127,\ 130,\ 135,\ 147,\ 165,\ 166,\ 185$

roton, 88, 113, 114

Rydberg energy, 150

shear modulus, 55, 59, 60, 76, 127, 135, 145

skin effect, 73

small parameter, 6, 12, 41, 45, 51, 105, 185

solid 3 He, 120

solid ${}^{4}\mathrm{He}$, 120

solid state theory, 11, 12, 16, 22, 35, 50, 52, 54, 125

solubility, 137

Sommerfeld, 11, 14, 52, 125-127

sound wave, 28-30, 33, 34, 36, 113

specific heat, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16–20, 36, 102, 139, 145, 167, 170

speed of sound, 26, 32, 37, 83, 86, 135, 147, 150

spin dynamics, 183

spin glasses, 180, 182

spin waves, 15, 180, 181, 183

stationary state, 44

strong interactions, 6, 180, 185

structure factor, 79, 83, 90, 93, 94

subcritical liquid, 14, 16, 94, 129, 137, 140-142

supercritical fluid, 14, 94, 95, 109, 133, 136, 140, 143, 144

supercritical state, 16, 17, 34, 130, 131, 133, 136–138, 140, 141, 148

superfluid, 113, 116, 155

susceptibility, 182

Tabor, 8, 12, 14, 123

telegraph equation, 13, 68-70, 72, 76, 81, 82, 103

thermal conductivity, 38, 69, 90, 135, 150, 157, 159

thermal diffusivity, 157, 159

thermal expansion, 38, 107, 131, 132, 144, 152, 169, 172, 173

transverse wave, 13, 25, 33, 63, 71, 82, 83, 94, 98, 102, 182

triple point, 16, 152

uncertainty relation, 154, 159

Van der Waals model, 12, 17, 20, 21, 47

velocity autocorrelation function, 115, 133

viscoelasticity, 13, 56, 60, 61, 64, 78, 172

viscosity, 14, 17, 30, 58, 59, 64, 91, 111, 159, 165

viscosity, fundamental, 153

viscosity, generalized, 64, 76, 81

viscosity, kinematic, 79, 80, 148

viscosity, minimal, 14, 147-149, 151, 155

viscosity, water, 163

Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation, 175

Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation, crossover, 175

Wallace, 10, 17, 20, 129

Wallace plot, 20, 21

Wannier and Piroué, 11, 14, 128

water, 14, 60, 137, 147, 159–162, 165

Weisskopf, 14, 159

Widom line, 131, 135, 136

X-ray scattering, 82, 83, 86, 135