

COVID anomaly in the correlation analysis of S&P 500 market states

M. Mijaíl Martínez-Ramos^{1¶}, Manan Vyas^{1¶*}, Parisa Majari^{1¶}, Thomas H. Seligman^{1, 2¶}

1 Instituto de Ciencias Físicas - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, 62210, Morelos, México

2 Centro Internacional de Ciencias AC - UNAM, Avenida Universidad 1001, UAEM, Cuernavaca, 62210, Morelos, México

* manan@icf.unam.mx (MV)

¶These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Analyzing market states of the S&P 500 components on a time horizon January 3, 2006 to August 10, 2023, we found the appearance of a new market state not previously seen and we shall discuss its possible implications as an isolated state or as a beginning of a new general market condition. We study this in terms of the Pearson correlation matrix and relative correlation with respect to the S&P 500 index. In both cases the anomaly shows strongly.

Introduction

Market states, introduced in 2012 [1] on the basis of the correlations of returns, have seen numerous applications in financial market studies and also beyond [2–13]. As far as financial markets are concerned there is some additional information obtained beyond the “State of the Market” associated to the largest eigenvalue of the correlation matrix of returns [14]; this shows some structure in the Market and differences between markets, that might well relate to their efficiency. Also risk assessment in situations associated to crashes are of some interest [5, 7]. Yet these studies indicate that the largest eigenvalue or equivalently the average correlation, which is very strongly correlated with the largest eigenvalue [14, 15], seem to dominate the picture. Dimensionally scaled dynamics have been shown [5–7, 10, 12, 13] and they seem to confirm this idea. The time trajectory in the space of correlation matrices [16] visits the clusters over longer time horizons.

Recently some attention was drawn to the use of projected correlations [10, 12, 13] eliminating the largest eigenvalue which in turn are compared [17] to the use of relative correlations [18, 19]. To some extent this seems to be due to the fact that the number of independent matrix elements is too large to produce a clear picture. A fruitful idea may be to use pattern recognition techniques to visualize these systems. Considering that we have $N = 322$ S&P 500 stocks, in a time horizon January 3, 2006 to August 10, 2023, it would lead to $N(N + 1)/2 = 52,003$ variables. An analysis for market sectors rather than stocks successfully reduces this [20] using coarse grained correlation matrices introduced in [10, 12, 13] to symmetric sectorial matrices. Even these matrices based on ten sectors will produce 55 variables. Further reductions via

coarse grainings seem feasible and also show some success [21]. Yet in the last case taken to its extreme of 2×2 matrices with but three parameters seems interesting [21] but not entirely satisfying, in particular because of an arbitrariness that arises. In previous work it proved useful to use the power map [22–24] to reduce fluctuations. As we wish to eliminate the effect of the average correlation to emphasize subtler correlations, we shall first proceed without this tool.

In this scenario we found in a new analysis with a time horizon January 3, 2006 to August 10, 2023 that includes the COVID-19 pandemic [25] as well as its aftermath as far as it is known. We shall find a remarkable fact, namely that in 2020 an entirely new state appears that has not appeared in the time interval January 3, 2006 to December 31, 2019. We will call it the “COVID state”. For a period of several months it entirely dominates the picture and then seems to taper off. Among the intriguing features that do appear, is the fact that the COVID state does not appear in March 2020 [25] but about three months later on 1st June 2020¹. This feature at least can already be well understood, because that period corresponds to a crash, which is well identified with panic sell-off and for which the average correlation is the main factor. This induces us to use the concept of relative correlation [18, 19] and as reference time series, we use the simplest time series, namely the S&P 500 index itself. We also look into the behavior of relative correlations discussed in detail in Ref. [17]. Indeed this relative correlation matrix will now have the COVID state as its dominant component with the largest average relative correlation and with this measure it will start in March 2020. This establishes the appearance of a new market state. What we can not determine is, if the COVID state indicates the beginning of a changed market behavior or if it essentially ends with COVID.

In the next section, we describe the data and techniques we use. The following section gives numerical results for state evolution, transition matrices, distributions of correlation matrix elements over the total time horizon. and participation ratios. Finally, conclusions and an outlook are presented. We also show some illustrations of clustering of correlation matrices in the supplemental material [26].

Data and techniques used

We choose the stocks of S&P 500 index as they represent the most important quoted companies of the US market [27]. From these stocks, we select all those that within the time horizon January 3, 2006 to August 10, 2023 have no more than two consecutive trading days without a quote ($T = 4431$ total trading days). The number of stocks is thus reduced to 322 and the corresponding stocks are listed in the supplemental material [26].

For the US-Market, as represented by the stocks making up the S&P 500 index, we find that market states are roughly ordered according to their average correlation as long as we don’t choose too large a time scale for epochs. We divide the total time horizon T into epochs of 20 trading days and use logarithmic returns r between these days as the dataset, given the adjusted closing price $p_i(t)$ of trading day t for stock i ,

$$r_i(t) = \log \left[\frac{p_i(t)}{p_i(t-1)} \right]. \quad (1)$$

For the corresponding returns, we assume zero for the days without closing quote while the return for the active trading day is computed using last active trading day. Using these returns time series, we calculate Pearson correlation matrix² C with

¹The exact date depends slightly on the number of states, time horizon and size of the epochs used.

²For the results presented in the paper, we use the formula for the Pearson correlation matrix elements although, at least for some of the epochs, time series are not even weakly stationary.

matrix elements given by [14, 18]

$$C_{i,j} = \frac{\langle r_i r_j \rangle - \langle r_i \rangle \langle r_j \rangle}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}, \quad (2)$$

with σ is the standard deviation of the respective return time series for the stocks.

The relative correlation RC between two return time series r_i and r_j with respect to the S&P 500 index returns time series r_{SP} is defined as,

$$RC_{ij:SP} = \frac{C_{i,j} - C_{i,SP} C_{j,SP}}{\left[(1 - C_{i,SP}^2) (1 - C_{j,SP}^2) \right]^{1/2}}. \quad (3)$$

Here, $C_{i,j}$ are the Pearson correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (2).


Using the S&P 500 data from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023, we divide the total time horizon ($T = 4431$ total trading days) in epochs of 20 trading days with one day shift and we shall analyze the time evolution of market states by clustering 322×322 dimensional correlation matrices of the 322 stocks that were quoted throughout the time horizon with interruption no longer than two consecutive trading days. For the corresponding returns, we assume zero for the days without closing quote, while the return for the active trading day is computed using last active trading day. We use the k -means clustering formalism following the lines of [5, 17] and show the case of five and six market states which seem appropriate for the S&P 500 data; other state numbers will be discussed in the supplemental material [26]. We have verified the robustness of COVID state using the k -means clustering by increasing the number of clusters from 5 to 12, both for the Pearson and relative correlations. Also, we assign the average correlation of the cluster as the specific property of the state.

Results and discussion


Time evolution of market states

The principal result of this paper is seen in Figure 1 where the time sequence of the five and six states is displayed. Indeed, these figures show one very notable feature. State 2 does not appear before June 1st 2020 and then almost uninterruptedly dominates the situation until February 1st 2022 where it peters out. As the clusters are numbered according to the average correlation it is clear that state 2 corresponds to fairly low average correlation of ~ 0.26 but is separated from other low average correlation states. For comparison, we also show the market evolution for time period between January 3rd 2006 to December 31st 2019 in supplemental material [26]. Also shown in supplemental material [26] is the 3D view of the correlation matrices after subjecting them to dimensional scaling according to the recipe given in [28].

COVID started in March 2020 but the corresponding state 2 of Pearson correlation C , defined in Eq. (2), appears only in June 2020. There is a simple explanation for this as the initial financial panic of COVID ended in June 2020. This behavior is associated to the largest eigenvalue and we expect the S&P 500 index to reflect that. We therefore look at the evolution of market using relative correlations RC , defined in Eq. (3), and show the results in Fig. 2. We see that the COVID state is rather isolated but now begins in March 2020. Otherwise, the properties of this state are rather similar - this state will begin in March 2020 and peter out at the approximately same time as with C . The main difference between the corresponding states is the different starting date. Indeed this state shows the highest average relative correlation and therefore, may also be an important tool to find additional relevant variables for the market, besides the highest eigenvalue of the Pearson correlation matrix. Other techniques to identify the subtler correlations are discussed in detail in [17].



(a)



(b)

Fig 1. Time evolution of market states of the S&P 500 data using Pearson correlation matrix C defined by Eq. (2) in a time horizon from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023 with an epoch of 20 trading days. Pearson correlation matrix elements are computed using logarithmic return time series of adjusted closing prices. Frame (a) and (b) show the cases of five and six states, respectively. The market states are arranged in order of increasing average correlations. The average correlations for the states are (a) 0.17, 0.27, 0.30, 0.44, 0.61 and (b) 0.16, 0.26, 0.28, 0.31, 0.44, 0.61, respectively.

Transition matrices

At this point we could go two ways. Either explore further properties of the states and their transitions or try an economic explanation. The latter is at the margin of our knowledge and thus we further explore the unusual dynamics we encounter. Next step is to look at the transition matrices, as shown in Figure 3. The transition matrices are nearly tri-diagonal and show the COVID state distinctively. The necessary Markovianity criterion given in Eq. (2) of [5] is fulfilled. The equilibrium distributions corresponding to Figs. 3 (a) and (b) are (0.237, 0.073, 0.285, 0.277, 0.129) and (0.212, 0.069, 0.193, 0.128, 0.270, 0.127) respectively. Note that state 2 has few transitions as can be seen from Fig. 1, a signature we have never found before. This reinforces the interest in the COVID state. The transitions are principally located at the edges of state 2, which indicates that it is essentially a smooth transition. It is important to mention that for risk assessment, noise suppression techniques applied to the correlation matrix rather than to time series [22–24] are important.

Distribution of correlation matrix elements over total time horizon

We note that this anomaly appears during the main COVID period and we may suspect that it is hidden by the panic at the beginning of this pandemic which implies high correlations as we can again see from Fig. 1. Therefore, we will look at the relative correlations RC with respect to the S&P 500 index as defined in Eq. (2) to explore if these display features of state 2 also during the panic period at the beginning or the slump of S&P 500 at the beginning of the pandemic. We inspected results [17] obtained in this context previously and distribution of the correlation





Fig 3. Transition matrices showing jumps between different market states shown in Fig. 1 with (a) five clusters and (b) six clusters. The transition matrices are nearly tri-diagonal and show the state 2 distinctively. The necessary Markovianity criterion given in Eq. (2) of [5] is fulfilled. The equilibrium distributions corresponding to (a) and (b) are (0.237, 0.073, 0.285, 0.277, 0.129) and (0.212, 0.069, 0.193, 0.128, 0.270, 0.127) respectively.

epochs. We analyze the time evolution of PR corresponding to the largest eigenvalues using Pearson and relative (with respect to the S&P 500 index) correlation coefficients respectively in Figs. 5 (a) and (b). For the Pearson correlations, the PR is above the GOE threshold (107.33) for all the epochs. However, for the relative correlations, in the COVID period, the PR is constantly high in comparison to other epochs.


To probe into further details, we choose three different time periods within our time horizon: (a) 2013-01-01 to 2014-06-01, (b) 2017-01-01 to 2018-01-01, and (c) 2020-06-01 to 2022-09-01, corresponding respectively to non-calm period, calm period and the COVID period. We then analyze the histograms for PR for these three time periods as shown in Fig. 6, obtained using Pearson correlation matrices. The average of the distribution is highest for the non-calm period, lowest for the calm period, and intermediate for the COVID period. However, the variance and skewness are largest for the COVID state. The distribution of PR in the COVID period is quite symmetrical, unlike the other two time periods chosen. We also looked at Inverse Participation Ratios (IPR) and the signal is less clear. This is not surprising as the IPR is used for analytical purposes as these are entire functions and PR is a natural choice for data analysis. The statistical analysis of eigenvalues is not conclusive due to reduced sample sizes in the shorter time periods.

Conclusions and future outlook

Starting from a multivariate correlation analysis of financial markets using a methodology that resulted in the definition of market states [1] and using the specific techniques proposed in [5, 7], we found that a previously non-existent market state appears in a time frame closely related to the COVID pandemic. Expanding the methodology by using also the relative correlations of stocks with respect to the market index, we get results that we hope will give a deeper insight into the concept of




(a) Pearson correlations




(b) Relative (to the S&P 500 index) correlations

Fig 4. Time evolution of distribution of correlation matrix elements corresponding to (a) Pearson correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (2) and (b) relative (with respect to the S&P 500 index) correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (3). For the time period starting June 1st 2020 where state number 2 starts, the fluctuation of the matrix elements of C becomes much faster and does not stop at the end of state 2 (February 1st 2022) but persists. Also, this behavior does not start at the beginning of COVID period but at the beginning of state 2. Whereas with RC , the state starts in March 2020.




(a)



(b)

Fig 5. Time evolution of PR defined in Eq. (4) for eigenvector of the largest eigenvalue corresponding to (a) Pearson correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (2) and (b) relative (with respect to the S&P 500 index) correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (3). The vertical shadowed stripes indicate market crash periods which are usually mentioned in the literature.



(a) 2013-01-01 to 2014-06-01 (b) 2017-01-01 to 2018-01-01 (c) 2020-06-01 to 2022-09-01
Fig 6. Distribution of PR defined in Eq. (4) for eigenvector of the largest eigenvalue corresponding to Pearson correlation coefficients defined by Eq. (2) for three different time periods - (a) non-calm period, (b) calm period, and (c) COVID period. Note that the format for the dates used is YYYY-MM-DD. The first four moments (average, variance, skewness, kurtosis) are as follows - (a) (244.5, 525.04, -0.13, -0.48), (b) (182.34, 799.19, -0.17, -0.83), and (c) (228.66, 1008.69, -0.004, -0.65). Note that these figures have same scales.

market states. The emergence of the “state of the market” represented by the largest eigenvalues for both Pearson and relative correlations seems noteworthy. The stability of the results is confirmed by the corresponding discussions in the supplemental material [26]. For four states, the COVID state is not visible. Going beyond four, we have shown results for 5-8 states that the qualitative behavior of COVID state remains unchanged. Although we would like to remark that it might split up increasing the states further. We can not use an arbitrary large number of states but we checked up to 12 states that the COVID state is qualitatively unchanged. Relative correlations show similar behavior. The temporal coincidence makes us believe that it has to do with economical consequences of the restrictions and the mindset of the population during the COVID pandemic. This idea is fortified by the observation that the full correlation matrix analysis indicates an onset of the COVID state roughly three months after the onset of this state, at which time panic sales and the corresponding crash associated with high average correlation are over. This state ends in February 2022 with a few points reappearing at the end of our time horizon. Being at the end of our time horizon these points are not very reliable, but at any rate we cannot yet distinguish if we are talking of a very specific and time bound reaction to COVID or whether we see a new general market situation. Time might tell.

It is remarkable that the COVID state is clearly marked as the state with the highest average correlation relative to the S&P 500 index. Note though that the beginning of this state for the relative correlations is roughly coinciding with the strong crash of the stock values while this state for the Pearson correlations appears towards the end of COVID crash. This indicates that the high correlation still dominates the market but once the average correlation decreases, other components become important. These other components are visible if the general market behavior is removed using relative to the S&P 500 index correlations. This increases the relevance of the very concept of relative correlation in financial markets and indeed relates also to recently developed concepts of reduced correlations by Guhr and co-workers [10, 12, 13]. We present more details about these methods and results in Ref. [17]. Indeed we hope that this example will help us in our search for relevant parameters in the stock market beyond the highest eigenvalue of the Pearson correlation matrix (essentially equivalent to the average correlation) yet significantly

smaller in number than the huge number of matrix elements of the correlation matrix [20, 21]. We do not use power map [22–24] for noise suppression as we want to emphasize subtler correlations. This may even lead to the use of an “anti Power map” i.e. with powers smaller than one.

Data Availability

Data is available in figshare repository
<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25219880.v1>; downloaded from
<https://finance.yahoo.com/>.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to François Leyvraz, Anirban Chakraborti, Thomas Gorin, Roberto Mota, Alejandro Raúl Hernández-Montoya and Andrés Raúl Cruz-Hernández for their inputs and suggestions.

Funding Statement

We acknowledge financial support from Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA) Programa de Apoyo a Proyectos de Investigación e Innovación Tecnológica (PAPIIT) Project IN113620, IG101122 and IN102620 and Consejo Nacional de Humanidades Ciencias y Tecnologías (CONAHCYT) Project Fronteras 425854 and Project 254515. M. V. acknowledges financial support from CONAHCYT Project Fronteras 10872. P. M. gratefully acknowledges fellowships from CONAHCYT Project Fronteras and UNAM–DGAPA PAPIIT. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declarations of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

References

1. Münnix MC, Shimada T, Schäfer R, Leyvraz F, Seligman TH, Guhr T, et al. Identifying States of a Financial Market. *Scientific Reports*. 2012;2(1):644. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00644>.
2. Zhou L, Qiu L, Gu C, Yang H. Immediate causality network of stock markets. *Europhysics Letters*. 2018 apr;121(4):48002. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/121/48002>.

3. Anand K, Khedair J, Kühn R. Structural model for fluctuations in financial markets. *Phys Rev E*. 2018 May;97:052312. Available from: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.052312>.
4. Tang J, Khoja L, Heinemann HR. Characterisation of survivability resilience with dynamic stock interdependence in financial networks. *Applied Network Science*. 2018;3:23. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41109-018-0086-z>.
5. Pharasi HK, Sharma K, Chatterjee R, Chakraborti A, Leyvraz F, Seligman TH. Identifying Long-Term Precursors of Financial Market Crashes Using Correlation Patterns. *New J Phys*. 2018;20(10):103041. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aae7e0>.
6. Pharasi HK, Sharma K, Chakraborti A, Seligman TH. In: Abergel F, Chakrabarti BK, Chakraborti A, Deo N, Sharma K, editors. *Complex Market Dynamics in the Light of Random Matrix Theory*. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019. p. 13-34. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11364-3_2.
7. Pharasi HK, Seligman E, Sadhukhan S, Majari P, Seligman TH. Dynamics of market states and risk assessment. *Physica A*. 2024;633:129396. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2023.129396>.
8. Wang S, Gartzke S, Schreckenberg M, Guhr T. Quasi-stationary states in temporal correlations for traffic systems: Cologne orbital motorway as an example. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. 2020 oct;2020(10):103404. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/abbcd3>.
9. Nie CX. A network-based method for detecting critical events of correlation dynamics in financial markets. *Europhysics Letters*. 2020 sep;131(5):50001. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/131/50001>.
10. Heckens AJ, Krause SM, Guhr T. Uncovering the dynamics of correlation structures relative to the collective market motion. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. 2020 oct;2020(10):103402. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/abb6e2>.
11. James N, Menzies M, Chin K. Economic state classification and portfolio optimisation with application to stagflationary environments. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2022;164:112664. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960077922008438>.
12. Heckens AJ, Guhr T. A new attempt to identify long-term precursors for endogenous financial crises in the market correlation structures. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*. 2022 apr;2022(4):043401. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ac59ab>.
13. Heckens AJ, Guhr T. New collectivity measures for financial covariances and correlations. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*. 2022;604:127704. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378437122004666>.
14. Mantegna RN, Stanley HE. *An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance*. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

15. Laloux L, Cizeau P, Bouchaud JP, Potters M. Noise Dressing of Financial Correlation Matrices. *Phys Rev Lett*. 1999;83(7):1467-70. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.1467>.
16. Pharasi HK, Sadhukhan S, Majari P, Chakraborti A, Seligman TH. Market state dynamics in correlation matrix space. In: *Quantum decision theory and complexity modelling in economics and public policy*. Springer; in press 2023.
17. Martínez-Ramos MM, Majari P, Vyas M. Correlations beyond the trend: A financial market analysis. in prep (2023).
18. Kendall MG, Stuart A. *The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Volume 2: Inference and Relationship*. Griffin; 1973.
19. Baba K, Shibata R, Sibuya M. Partial correlation and conditional correlation as measures of conditional independence. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics*. 2004;46(4):657-64. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.00360.x>.
20. Martínez-Ramos MM, Majari P, Cruz-Hernández A. R., Pharasi H. K. , Vyas M. Coarse graining correlation matrices according to macrostructures: Financial markets as a paradigm. Available from: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.05364.pdf>.
21. Martínez-Ramos MM, Vyas M, Majari P, Seligman TH. Coarse graining correlation matrices with applications in financial markets. in prep (2023).
22. Guhr T, Kälber B. A new method to estimate the noise in financial correlation matrices. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*. 2003 mar;36(12):3009. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/36/12/310>.
23. Schäfer R, Guhr T. Local normalization: Uncovering correlations in non-stationary financial time series. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*. 2010;389(18):3856-65. Available from: <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/phsmap/v389y2010i18p3856-3865.html>.
24. Vinayak, Schäfer R, Seligman TH. Emerging spectra of singular correlation matrices under small power-map deformations. *Phys Rev E*. 2013 Sep;88:032115. Available from: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.032115>.
25. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19—11 March 2020; March 2020. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020/>.
26. Martínez-Ramos MM, Vyas M, Majari P, Seligman TH. Supplemental Material: COVID anomaly in the correlation analysis of S&P 500 market states; 2023. URL will be inserted by publisher.
27. 2023 Yahoo finance database; accessed on 11 August, 2023 for S&P 500. <https://finance.yahoo.com/>.
28. Borg I, Groenen PJF. *Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York; 2005. Available from: <https://books.google.com.mx/books?id=duTOD1dZzRcC>.

29. Brody T. A., Flores J., French J. B., Mello P.A., Pandey A., Wong S. S. M. Random-matrix physics: Spectrum and strength fluctuations. *Reviews of Modern Physics*. 1981;53(3):385-479. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.385>.
30. Guhr T., Mueller-Groeling A., Weidenmueller H. A. Random-matrix theories in quantum physics: common concepts. *Physics Reports*. 1998;299:189-425. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573\(97\)00088-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(97)00088-4).
31. Salgado-Hernández J. E., Vyas M. Non-linear correlation analysis in financial markets using hierarchical clustering. *Journal of Physics Communications*. 2023;7:055003. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2399-6528/acd618>.

Supplemental Material: COVID anomaly in the correlation analysis of S&P 500 market states

M. Mijaíl Martínez-Ramos¹, Manan Vyas^{1*}, Parisa Majari¹, Thomas H. Seligman^{1, 2}

1 Instituto de Ciencias Físicas - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, 62210, Morelos, México


2 Centro Internacional de Ciencias AC - UNAM, Avenida Universidad 1001, UAEM, Cuernavaca, 62210, Morelos, México

* manan@icf.unam.mx (MV)


Classification of the S&P 500 market states into (a) five and (b) six clusters displays the atypical state 2 corresponding to COVID anomaly. To further substantiate the existence of this state, we compare the time evolution of market states starting January 3rd 2006 to (a) December 31st 2019 and (b) August 10th 2023 in Figures S1(a) and S1(b) respectively. Also, the structure of the transition matrices display this signature clearly as shown in Figs. S2(a) and S2(b). The necessary Markovianity criterion given in Eq. (2) of [1] is also fulfilled by the transition matrices. The equilibrium distributions are (0.240, 0.073, 0.285, 0.277, 0.129) and (0.212, 0.069, 0.193, 0.128, 0.270, 0.127) respectively for five and six market states.

This immediately makes us suspect that the linear alignment seen in the dimensionally scaled picture of all the correlation matrices is no longer conserved [1, 2]. We therefore show in Figures S3, S4, and S5 the Pearson correlation matrices with 5 market states of the S&P 500 data from January 3rd 2006 to December 31st 2019, 5 market states of the S&P 500 data from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023, 6 market states of the S&P 500 data from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023, respectively, after subjecting them to dimensional scaling according to the recipe given in [3]. These figures show a single frame from the video, click in the captions to play the videos. We scale down to 3 dimensions and we see that the usually rather smooth picture shows a bulge in Figure S4 which under close scrutiny results to correspond exactly to state 2 as demonstrated by the color code of the picture.

We also analyze the existence of state corresponding to COVID anomaly by increasing the number of clusters and the results are as shown in Fig. S6. Although we show results only for seven and eight clusters, we have verified that this state exists for 9, 10, 11 and 12 clusters as well. This illustrates that the atypical state corresponding to COVID is stable.




(a)



(b)

Fig S1. Time evolution of market states of the S&P 500 data using Pearson correlation coefficients in a time horizon from January 3rd 2006 to (a) December 31st 2019 and (b) August 10th 2023, with an epoch of 20 trading days. Pearson correlation matrix elements are computed using logarithmic return time series of adjusted closing prices. The market states are arranged in order of increasing average correlations. The average correlations for the market states are (a) 0.16, 0.28, 0.30, 0.43, 0.60 and (b) 0.17, 0.26, 0.30, 0.44, 0.61, respectively. State 2 corresponding to COVID anomaly shows up in the time period starting June 1, 2020 until February 1, 2022.



(a)

(b)

Fig S2. Transition matrices corresponding to Pearson correlation coefficients showing transitions between different market states of the S&P 500 data from January 3rd 2006 to (a) December 31st 2019 and (b) August 10th 2023. The difference due to appearance of COVID anomaly is visible in transition matrix as well. Also, the necessary Markovianity criterion given in Eq. (2) of [5] is fulfilled. The equilibrium distributions corresponding to time periods of (a) and (b) are (0.228, 0.224, 0.131, 0.286, 0.1308) and (0.240, 0.073, 0.285, 0.277, 0.129) respectively.




Fig S3. L^1 3D Multi Dimensional Scaling after k -means clustering of 3503 Pearson correlation matrices (projections on principal components) of the five S&P 500 market states from January 3rd 2006 to December 31st 2019. The image above shows a single frame from the video. [Click here to play the video.](#)




Fig S4. L^1 3D Multi Dimensional Scaling after k -means clustering of 4411 Pearson correlation matrices (projections on principal components) of the five S&P 500 market states from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023. The image above shows a single frame from the video. [Click here to play the video.](#)






Fig S5. L^1 3D Multi Dimensional Scaling after k -means clustering of 4411 Pearson correlation states (projections on principal components) of the six S&P 500 market states from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023. The image above shows a single frame from the video. [Click here to play the video.](#)



(a) 7 clusters



(b) 8 clusters

Fig S6. Time evolution of market states of the S&P 500 data using Pearson correlation coefficients in a time horizon from January 3rd 2006 to August 10th 2023 for (a) seven and (b) eight clusters. Pearson correlation matrix elements are computed using logarithmic return time series of adjusted closing prices. The market states are arranged in order of increasing average correlations. The average correlations for the market states are (a) 0.15, 0.24, 0.26, 0.30, 0.39, 0.49, 0.65 and (b) 0.15, 0.20, 0.26, 0.30, 0.31, 0.42, 0.52, 0.66, respectively.

References

1. H. K. Pharasi, K. Sharma, R. Chatterjee, A. Chakraborti, F. Leyvraz, T. H. Seligman, Identifying long-term precursors of financial market crashes using correlation patterns, *New J. Phys.* 20 (10) (2018) 103041.
doi:10.1088/1367-2630/aae7e0.
2. H. K. Pharasi, S. Sadhukhan, P. Majari, A. Chakraborti, T. H. Seligman, Market state dynamics in correlation matrix space, in: *Quantum decision theory and complexity modelling in economics and public policy*, Springer, in press 2023.
3. I. Borg, P. Groenen, *Modern Multidimensional Scaling: Theory and Applications*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer New York, 2005.
URL <https://books.google.com.mx/books?id=duTOD1dZzRcC>

List of the 322 stocks analyzed

Sector	Ticker	Name
Basic Materials	APD	Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
Basic Materials	CF	CF Industries Holdings, Inc.
Basic Materials	ECL	Ecolab Inc.
Basic Materials	FCX	Freeport-McMoRan Inc.
Basic Materials	FMC	FMC Corporation
Basic Materials	IFF	International Flavors & Fragrances Inc.
Basic Materials	MOS	The Mosaic Company
Basic Materials	NEM	Newmont Corporation
Basic Materials	NUE	Nucor Corporation
Basic Materials	PPG	PPG Industries, Inc.
Basic Materials	SHW	The Sherwin-Williams Company
Basic Materials	VMC	Vulcan Materials Company
Communication Services	ATVI	Activision Blizzard, Inc.
Communication Services	CMCSA	Comcast Corporation
Communication Services	DISH	DISH Network Corporation
Communication Services	EA	Electronic Arts Inc.
Communication Services	GOOG	Alphabet Inc.
Communication Services	GOOGL	Alphabet Inc.
Communication Services	IPG	The Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc.
Communication Services	NFLX	Netflix, Inc.
Communication Services	OMC	Omnicom Group Inc.
Communication Services	T	AT&T Inc.
Communication Services	TTWO	Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.
Communication Services	VZ	Verizon Communications Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	AAP	Advance Auto Parts, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	AMZN	Amazon.com, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	AVY	Avery Dennison Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	AZO	AutoZone, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	BBY	Best Buy Co., Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	BKNG	Booking Holdings Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	CCL	Carnival Corporation & plc
Consumer Cyclical	DHI	D.R. Horton, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	EBAY	eBay Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	EXPE	Expedia Group, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	F	Ford Motor Company
Consumer Cyclical	GPC	Genuine Parts Company
Consumer Cyclical	GPS	The Gap, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	HAS	Hasbro, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	HD	The Home Depot, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	HOG	Harley-Davidson, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	HRB	H&R Block, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	IP	International Paper Company
Consumer Cyclical	JWN	Nordstrom, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	KMX	CarMax, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	KSS	Kohl's Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	LEG	Leggett & Platt, Incorporated
Consumer Cyclical	LEN	Lennar Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	LKQ	LKQ Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	LOW	Lowe's Companies, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	M	Macy's, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	MAR	Marriott International, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	MCD	McDonald's Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	MGM	MGM Resorts International
Consumer Cyclical	MHK	Mohawk Industries, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	NKE	NIKE, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	ORLY	O'Reilly Automotive, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	PHM	PulteGroup, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	PKG	Packaging Corporation of America
Consumer Cyclical	PVH	PVH Corp.
Consumer Cyclical	RL	Ralph Lauren Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	ROST	Ross Stores, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	SBUX	Starbucks Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	SEE	Sealed Air Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	TJX	The TJX Companies, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	TPR	Tapestry, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	UAA	Under Armour, Inc.
Consumer Cyclical	VFC	V.F. Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	WHR	Whirlpool Corporation
Consumer Cyclical	WYNN	Wynn Resorts, Limited
Consumer Cyclical	YUM	Yum! Brands, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	ADM	Archer-Daniels-Midland Company
Consumer Defensive	CAG	Conagra Brands, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	CHD	Church & Dwight Co., Inc.
Consumer Defensive	CL	Colgate-Palmolive Company
Consumer Defensive	CLX	The Clorox Company
Consumer Defensive	COST	Costco Wholesale Corporation
Consumer Defensive	CPB	Campbell Soup Company
Consumer Defensive	DLTR	Dollar Tree, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	EL	The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.
Consumer Defensive	GIS	General Mills, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	HRL	Hormel Foods Corporation
Consumer Defensive	HSY	The Hershey Company
Consumer Defensive	K	Kellogg Company
Consumer Defensive	KMB	Kimberly-Clark Corporation
Consumer Defensive	KO	The Coca-Cola Company
Consumer Defensive	KR	The Kroger Co.
Consumer Defensive	MDLZ	Mondelez International, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	MKC	McCormick & Company, Incorporated
Consumer Defensive	MNST	Monster Beverage Corporation
Consumer Defensive	MO	Altria Group, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	NWL	Newell Brands Inc.
Consumer Defensive	PEP	PepsiCo, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	PG	The Procter & Gamble Company
Consumer Defensive	SJM	The J. M. Smucker Company
Consumer Defensive	STZ	Constellation Brands, Inc.
Consumer Defensive	SYY	Sysco Corporation
Consumer Defensive	TAP	Molson Coors Beverage Company
Consumer Defensive	TGT	Target Corporation
Consumer Defensive	TSN	Tyson Foods, Inc.

Consumer Defensive	WMT	Walmart Inc.
Energy	APA	APA Corporation
Energy	COP	ConocoPhillips
Energy	CVX	Chevron Corporation
Energy	DVN	Devon Energy Corporation
Energy	EOG	EOG Resources, Inc.
Energy	FTI	TechnipFMC plc
Energy	HAL	Halliburton Company
Energy	HES	Hess Corporation
Energy	HP	Helmerich & Payne, Inc.
Energy	MRO	Marathon Oil Corporation
Energy	NOV	NOV Inc.
Energy	OKE	ONEOK, Inc.
Energy	PXD	Pioneer Natural Resources Company
Energy	SLB	Schlumberger Limited
Energy	VLO	Valero Energy Corporation
Energy	WMB	The Williams Companies, Inc.
Energy	XOM	Exxon Mobil Corporation
Financial Services	AFL	Aflac Incorporated
Financial Services	AIG	American International Group, Inc.
Financial Services	AIZ	Assurant, Inc.
Financial Services	AJG	Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.
Financial Services	AMG	Affiliated Managers Group, Inc.
Financial Services	AMP	Ameriprise Financial, Inc.
Financial Services	AON	Aon plc
Financial Services	AXP	American Express Company
Financial Services	BAC	Bank of America Corporation
Financial Services	BEN	Franklin Resources, Inc.
Financial Services	BK	The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation
Financial Services	BLK	BlackRock, Inc.
Financial Services	C	Citigroup Inc.
Financial Services	CINF	Cincinnati Financial Corporation
Financial Services	CMA	Comerica Incorporated
Financial Services	CME	CME Group Inc.
Financial Services	FITB	Fifth Third Bancorp
Financial Services	GS	The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.
Financial Services	HBAN	Huntington Bancshares Incorporated
Financial Services	HIG	The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Financial Services	ICE	Intercontinental Exchange, Inc.
Financial Services	IVZ	Invesco Ltd.
Financial Services	JPM	JPMorgan Chase & Co.
Financial Services	KEY	KeyCorp
Financial Services	L	Loews Corporation
Financial Services	LNC	Lincoln National Corporation
Financial Services	MCO	Moody's Corporation
Financial Services	MET	MetLife, Inc.
Financial Services	MMC	Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc.
Financial Services	MS	Morgan Stanley
Financial Services	MTB	M&T Bank Corporation
Financial Services	NDAQ	Nasdaq, Inc.
Financial Services	NTRS	Northern Trust Corporation
Financial Services	PFG	Principal Financial Group, Inc.
Financial Services	PGR	The Progressive Corporation
Financial Services	PNC	The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.
Financial Services	PRU	Prudential Financial, Inc.
Financial Services	RF	Regions Financial Corporation
Financial Services	RJF	Raymond James Financial, Inc.
Financial Services	SCHW	The Charles Schwab Corporation
Financial Services	SPGI	S&P Global Inc.
Financial Services	STT	State Street Corporation
Financial Services	TROW	T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.
Financial Services	TRV	The Travelers Companies, Inc.
Financial Services	UNM	Unum Group
Financial Services	USB	U.S. Bancorp
Financial Services	WFC	Wells Fargo & Company
Financial Services	ZION	Zions Bancorporation, National Association
Healthcare	A	Agilent Technologies, Inc.
Healthcare	ABC	AmerisourceBergen Corporation
Healthcare	ABT	Abbott Laboratories
Healthcare	ALGN	Align Technology, Inc.
Healthcare	AMGN	Amgen Inc.
Healthcare	BAX	Baxter International Inc.
Healthcare	BDX	Becton, Dickinson and Company
Healthcare	BIB	Biogen Inc.
Healthcare	BMY	Bristol-Myers Squibb Company
Healthcare	BSX	Boston Scientific Corporation
Healthcare	CI	The Cigna Group
Healthcare	CNC	Centene Corporation
Healthcare	COO	The Cooper Companies, Inc.
Healthcare	CVS	CVS Health Corporation
Healthcare	DGX	Quest Diagnostics Incorporated
Healthcare	DVA	DaVita Inc.
Healthcare	EW	Edwards Lifesciences Corporation
Healthcare	GILD	Gilead Sciences, Inc.
Healthcare	HOLX	Hologic, Inc.
Healthcare	HSIC	Henry Schein, Inc.
Healthcare	HUM	Humana Inc.
Healthcare	IDXX	IDEXX Laboratories, Inc.
Healthcare	ILMN	Illumina, Inc.
Healthcare	INCY	Incyte Corporation
Healthcare	ISRG	Intuitive Surgical, Inc.
Healthcare	JNJ	Johnson & Johnson
Healthcare	LH	Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings
Healthcare	LLY	Eli Lilly and Company
Healthcare	MDT	Medtronic plc
Healthcare	MRK	Merck & Co., Inc.
Healthcare	MTD	Mettler-Toledo International Inc.
Healthcare	PFE	Pfizer Inc.
Healthcare	PRGO	Perrigo Company plc
Healthcare	REGN	Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
Healthcare	RMD	ResMed Inc.
Healthcare	SYK	Stryker Corporation
Healthcare	TMO	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.
Healthcare	UHS	Universal Health Services, Inc.

Healthcare	UNH	UnitedHealth Group Incorporated
Healthcare	VRTX	Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated
Healthcare	WAT	Waters Corporation
Healthcare	WBA	Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc.
Healthcare	XRAY	DENTSPLY SIRONA Inc.
Healthcare	ZBH	Zimmer Biomet Holdings, Inc.
Industrials	AAL	American Airlines Group Inc.
Industrials	ADP	Automatic Data Processing, Inc.
Industrials	ALK	Alaska Air Group, Inc.
Industrials	AME	AMETEK, Inc.
Industrials	AOS	A. O. Smith Corporation
Industrials	BA	The Boeing Company
Industrials	CAT	Caterpillar Inc.
Industrials	CHRW	C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.
Industrials	CMI	Cummins Inc.
Industrials	CSX	CSX Corporation
Industrials	CTAS	Cintas Corporation
Industrials	DE	Deere & Company
Industrials	DOV	Dover Corporation
Industrials	EFX	Equifax Inc.
Industrials	EMR	Emerson Electric Co.
Industrials	ETN	Eaton Corporation plc
Industrials	EXPD	Expeditors International of Washington, Inc.
Industrials	FAST	Fastenal Company
Industrials	FDX	FedEx Corporation
Industrials	FLS	Flowserve Corporation
Industrials	GD	General Dynamics Corporation
Industrials	GE	General Electric Company
Industrials	GPN	Global Payments Inc.
Industrials	GWW	W.W. Grainger, Inc.
Industrials	ITW	Illinois Tool Works Inc.
Industrials	JBHT	J.B. Hunt Transport Services, Inc.
Industrials	JCI	Johnson Controls International plc
Industrials	LMT	Lockheed Martin Corporation
Industrials	LUV	Southwest Airlines Co.
Industrials	MAS	Masco Corporation
Industrials	NOC	Northrop Grumman Corporation
Industrials	NSC	Norfolk Southern Corporation
Industrials	PAYX	Paychex, Inc.
Industrials	PCAR	PACCAR Inc
Industrials	PH	Parker-Hannifin Corporation
Industrials	PNR	Pentair plc
Industrials	PWR	Quanta Services, Inc.
Industrials	RHI	Robert Half Inc.
Industrials	ROK	Rockwell Automation, Inc.
Industrials	RSG	Republic Services, Inc.
Industrials	SWK	Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.
Industrials	TXT	Textron Inc.
Industrials	UNP	Union Pacific Corporation
Industrials	UPS	United Parcel Service, Inc.
Industrials	URI	United Rentals, Inc.
Industrials	WM	Waste Management, Inc.
Real Estate	O	Realty Income Corporation
Technology	AAPL	Apple Inc.
Technology	ACN	Accenture plc
Technology	ADBE	Adobe Inc.
Technology	ADI	Analog Devices, Inc.
Technology	ADSK	Autodesk, Inc.
Technology	AKAM	Akamai Technologies, Inc.
Technology	AMAT	Applied Materials, Inc.
Technology	AMD	Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.
Technology	ANSS	ANSYS, Inc.
Technology	APH	Amphenol Corporation
Technology	CDNS	Cadence Design Systems, Inc.
Technology	CRM	Salesforce, Inc.
Technology	CSCO	Cisco Systems, Inc.
Technology	CTSH	Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation
Technology	DXC	DXC Technology Company
Technology	FFIV	F5, Inc.
Technology	FIS	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.
Technology	GLW	Corning Incorporated
Technology	GRMN	Garmin Ltd.
Technology	HPQ	HP Inc.
Technology	IBM	International Business Machines Corporation
Technology	INTC	Intel Corporation
Technology	INTU	Intuit Inc.
Technology	IT	Gartner, Inc.
Technology	JNPR	Juniper Networks, Inc.
Technology	KLAC	KLA Corporation
Technology	LRGX	Lam Research Corporation
Technology	MCHP	Microchip Technology Incorporated
Technology	MSFT	Microsoft Corporation
Technology	MSI	Motorola Solutions, Inc.
Technology	MU	Micron Technology, Inc.
Technology	NTAP	NetApp, Inc.
Technology	NVDA	NVIDIA Corporation
Technology	ORCL	Oracle Corporation
Technology	QCOM	QUALCOMM Incorporated
Technology	ROP	Roper Technologies, Inc.
Technology	SNPS	Synopsys, Inc.
Technology	STX	Seagate Technology Holdings plc
Technology	SWKS	Skyworks Solutions, Inc.
Technology	TXN	Texas Instruments Incorporated
Technology	VRSN	VeriSign, Inc.
Technology	WDC	Western Digital Corporation
Utilities	AEE	Ameren Corporation
Utilities	AEP	American Electric Power Company, Inc.
Utilities	AES	The AES Corporation
Utilities	CMS	CMS Energy Corporation
Utilities	CNP	CenterPoint Energy, Inc.
Utilities	D	Dominion Energy, Inc.
Utilities	DTE	DTE Energy Company
Utilities	DUK	Duke Energy Corporation
Utilities	ED	Consolidated Edison, Inc.

Utilities	EIX	Edison International
Utilities	ES	Eversource Energy
Utilities	ETR	Entergy Corporation
Utilities	EXC	Exelon Corporation
Utilities	FE	FirstEnergy Corp.
Utilities	LNT	Alliant Energy Corporation
Utilities	NEE	NextEra Energy, Inc.
Utilities	NI	NiSource Inc.
Utilities	NRG	NRG Energy, Inc.
Utilities	PEG	Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated
Utilities	PNW	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
Utilities	SO	The Southern Company
Utilities	SRE	Sempra
Utilities	WEC	WEC Energy Group, Inc.
Utilities	XEL	Xcel Energy Inc.
