

# THE COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF REAL PERMUTOHEDRAL VARIETIES

SUYOUNG CHOI AND YOUNGHAN YOON

ABSTRACT. We establish explicit descriptions of the cohomology ring of real permutohedral varieties. In particular, the multiplicative structure is given in terms of alternating permutations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Phi_R$  denote an irreducible root system of type  $R$  with rank  $n$ , and let  $W_R$  be the associated Weyl group. A valuable approach to comprehending the root system  $\Phi_R$  is to view it as a reflection group within the simplicial complex. This complex, known as the *Coxeter complex*  $K_R$ , consists of Weyl chambers of  $W_R$  and its dimension is  $n - 1$ . The set of 0-simplices of  $K_R$  is precisely the  $W_R$ -orbit of the fundamental co-weights. It is well-known that the combination of  $K_R$  and the co-weights gives rise to a non-singular complete fan. Through the fundamental theorem for toric geometry, we obtain the corresponding smooth compact toric variety  $X_R$ , which is commonly known as the *Coxeter toric variety* of type  $R$ . For further details, refer to [15]. In particular, Coxeter toric variety of type  $A$  is also known as the *permutohedral variety*, one of the Hessenberg varieties [10], and is isomorphic to a specific De Concini-Procesi wonderful model as well [9]. The permutohedral variety has been studied in various areas of mathematics [14], [11], [15], and [13].

For each toric variety  $X$ , there is a canonical involution induced from a complex conjugation. The real locus  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $X$ , that is, the fixed point set of  $X$ , is a real algebraic variety, and it is called a *real toric variety*. In particular, the real locus  $X_R^{\mathbb{R}}$  of a Coxeter toric variety  $X_R$  is known as the *real Coxeter toric variety* of type  $R$ , with a dimension of  $n$ . Generally, computing the topological invariants of real algebraic varieties is a highly intricate task. However, the real Coxeter variety exhibits a well-structured nature, prompting numerous attempts to compute its topological invariants. Remarkably, the computation of the rational Betti numbers of  $X_R^{\mathbb{R}}$  have been completely done for each Weyl group [12],[5],[4],[3], and [8].

Nevertheless, the multiplicative structure of  $H^*(X_R^{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{Q})$  remains largely unexplored. The primary aim of this paper is to provide an explicit description of the cohomology ring for *real permutohedral varieties*, that is, real Coxeter toric varieties  $X_A^{\mathbb{R}}$  of type  $A$ . As previously mentioned, the additive structure was already computed by Henderson [12] and later recomputed by Suciu [19] using entirely different approaches. It is worth noting that the  $k$ th  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Betti number  $\beta^k$  of the real permutohedral varieties correspond to certain combinatorial integer sequences

$$(1.1) \quad \beta^k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}) = \binom{n+1}{2k} a_{2k},$$

where  $a_{2k}$  represents the  $2k$ th Euler zigzag number (A000111 in [17]), which corresponds to the number of alternating permutations of length  $2k$ . Therefore, each generator of  $\beta^k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}})$  can be associated with an alternating permutation of length  $2k$  on  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$ .

---

*Date:* May 10, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S12, 14M25, 57N65, 55U10.

*Key words and phrases.* cohomology ring, toric topology, real toric variety, permutohedral variety, Hessenberg variety, alternating permutation, root system, Weyl group,  $A$ -type, Coxeter complex.

The authors were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2019R1A2C2010989).

Denote by  $\text{Perm}_I$  the set of permutations on  $I$ , and by  $\text{Alt}_I$  the set of alternating permutations on  $I$ . In Section 3, we firstly introduce explicit  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Alt}_I \rangle \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \longrightarrow H_k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow H^k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}),$$

where  $M_I$  is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle$  generated by

- (1)  $(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / \cdots x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i-1} / \cdots x_{2k})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ,
- (2)  $(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k}) - (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+1} / x_{2i} x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k})$   
 $+ (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i} x_{2i+1} / \cdots x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i+1} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k})$   
 $- (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+1} / \cdots x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / \cdots x_{2k})$   
for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ .

The above relations are well known as the *Garnir relation* for Young tableaux [16]. For convenience, every permutation (respectively, alternating permutation)  $\alpha \in \text{Perm}_I$  is regarded as a vector of  $\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle$  (respectively,  $\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Alt}_I \rangle$ ). By the isomorphisms above,  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$  can be written by a linear combination of  $\text{Alt}_I$  over  $\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle / M_I$  as  $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I} \mathcal{C}_x^\alpha \alpha$  for some  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In Section 4, we delve into the combinatorial insight of the coefficient  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha$  and propose an algorithm for its computation. Finally, in Section 5, we furnish explicit descriptions of the multiplicative structure for  $H^*(X_A^{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{Q})$  of  $X_A^{\mathbb{R}}$ , utilizing alternating permutations. To present the main result, we briefly introduce some notations :

For  $I_1 \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$  and  $I_2 \in \binom{[n+1]}{2l}$  with  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ ,

- $\mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2} = \{z = (z_1 \cdots z_{2(k+l)}) \in \text{Alt}_{I_1 \cup I_2} \mid \{z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}\} \subset I_1 \text{ or } \{z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}\} \subset I_2 \text{ for all } i\}$ ,
- $\rho_{I_1}(z) \in \text{Perm}_{I_1}$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) \in \text{Perm}_{I_2}$  are subpermutations of  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ , and
- for  $z = (z_1 \cdots z_{2(k+l)}) \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ ,  $\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)$  is the sign of  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$  with

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{I_1}(z) = (z_{2\sigma(1)-1} z_{2\sigma(1)} / \cdots / z_{2\sigma(k)-1} z_{2\sigma(k)}), \text{ and} \\ \rho_{I_2}(z) = (z_{2\sigma(k+1)-1} z_{2\sigma(k+1)} / \cdots / z_{2\sigma(k+l)-1} z_{2\sigma(k+l)}). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \cong H^*(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where the multiplicative structure  $\smile: \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_{I_1} \rangle}{M_{I_1}} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_{I_2} \rangle}{M_{I_2}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_{I_1 \triangle I_2} \rangle}{M_{I_1 \triangle I_2}}$  defined by, for  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_{I_1}$  and  $\beta \in \text{Alt}_{I_2}$ ,

$$\alpha \smile \beta = \begin{cases} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z)}^\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}^\beta \cdot z, & \text{if } I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional smooth compact (complex) toric variety. By the fundamental theorem for toric geometry,  $X$  is completely determined by a non-singular complete fan  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . From the set  $V$  of rays of  $\Sigma$ , we obtain

- (1) a simplicial complex  $K$  on  $V$ , called the *underlying simplicial complex* of  $X$ , whose simplices correspond to cones of  $\Sigma$ , and
- (2) a linear map  $\lambda: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ , called the *characteristic map* of  $X$ , such that  $\lambda(v)$  is the primitive vector in the direction of  $v$  for each  $v \in V$ .

Since  $\Sigma$  can be regarded as the pair  $(K, \lambda)$ ,  $X$  is completely determined by  $(K, \lambda)$ .

Similarly, a real toric variety  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$ , which is the real locus of  $X$ , is completely determined by a pair  $(K, \lambda^{\mathbb{R}})$ , where the (mod 2) characteristic map  $\lambda^{\mathbb{R}}: V \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{\text{mod } 2} \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . We may set that  $|V| = m$ . Then, each  $\lambda^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be expressed by an  $n \times m$  (mod 2) matrix  $\Lambda$  whose each

column corresponds to the image of  $\lambda^{\mathbb{R}}$  of the respective ray. The matrix  $\Lambda$  is called the (mod 2) *characteristic matrix* of  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Denote by  $\text{row}(\Lambda)$  the row space of  $\Lambda$ . Then,  $\text{row}(\Lambda)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , and each element  $S \in \text{row}(\Lambda)$  correspond to the induced subcomplex  $K_S$  of  $K$  with respect to  $S$ , where  $S$  is identified with a subset of  $V$  through the standard correlation between the power set of  $V$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ ; in this arrangement, each element of  $V$  corresponds to a coordinate of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ .

Note that computing the (co)homology of  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  depends only on  $K$  and  $\Lambda$ , based the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** [7] *There is a  $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{row}(\Lambda))$ -graded  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$H^*(X^{\mathbb{R}}(K), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{S \in \text{row}(\Lambda)} \tilde{H}^{*-1}(K_S, \mathbb{Q}).$$

*In particular, the product structure on  $\bigoplus_{S \in \text{row}(\Lambda)} \tilde{H}^*(K_S)$  is given by the canonical maps*

$$\tilde{H}^{k-1}(K_{S_1}) \otimes \tilde{H}^{l-1}(K_{S_2}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{k+l-1}(K_{S_1+S_2})$$

*which are induced by simplicial maps  $K_{S_1+S_2} \rightarrow K_{S_1} \star K_{S_2}$ , where  $\star$  denotes the simplicial join.*

For any irreducible root system of type  $R$  in a finite dimensional Euclidean space  $E$ , its Weyl group gives connected components in  $E$ , called the *Weyl chambers*. Consider the fan  $\Sigma_R$ , whose maximal cones are Weyl chambers of type  $R$ . Note the fact that  $\Sigma_R$  is a non-singular complete fan for any irreducible root system of type  $R$  [15]. Hence, each irreducible root system of type  $R$  gives the complex and real toric varieties, denoted by  $X_R$  and  $X_R^{\mathbb{R}}$ , respectively. In addition,  $K_R$  denotes a underlying simplicial complex or  $X_R$ ,  $\lambda_R^{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes a (mod 2) characteristic map of  $X_R^{\mathbb{R}}$ , and  $\Lambda_R$  denotes a (mod 2) characteristic matrix of  $X_R^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

In the rest of this section, we review the construction of  $(K_R, \Lambda_R)$ , where type  $R$  is  $A_n$ . As is shown in [19] (or [3]), each vertex of  $K_{A_n}$  can be identified by the nonempty proper subsets of  $[n+1] = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ , and each  $k$ -simplex of  $K_{A_n}$  can be regarded as nested  $k+1$  nonempty proper subsets of  $[n+1]$ . Also, the (mod 2) characteristic map  $\lambda_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by  $\lambda_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}(L) = \sum_{k \in L} \mathbf{e}_k$  for vertex  $L$  in  $K_{A_n}$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_k$  is the  $k$ th standard vector of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{e}_i$ . Every element  $S$  of  $\text{row}(\Lambda_{A_n})$  is a sum of rows, say  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$ th rows. Then,  $S$  is identified with a subset  $I_S$  of  $[n+1] = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  whose cardinality is even in the following way:

$$I_S = \begin{cases} \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}, & \text{if } s \text{ is even} \\ \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, n+1\}, & \text{if } s \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

For  $S \in \text{row}(\Lambda_{A_n})$ ,  $(K_{A_n})_{I_S}$  is the full-subcomplex of  $K_{A_n}$  consisting of the vertices  $J$  such that  $|J \cap I_S|$  is odd. Also,  $I_{S_1+S_2} = I_{S_1} \Delta I_{S_2}$  for  $S_1$  and  $S_2 \in \text{row}(\Lambda_{A_n})$ , where  $\Delta$  is the symmetric difference defined by  $I_1 \Delta I_2 = (I_1 \cup I_2) \setminus (I_1 \cap I_2)$ . Thus, throughout this paper,  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$  is regarded as an element of the row space of  $\Lambda_{A_n}$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider  $A_7$  and  $I = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Then, the set of vertices of  $(K_{A_7})_I$  consists of all unions of an odd subset of  $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}$  and a subset of  $\{2, 6, 7\}$ . Thus, the number of vertices of  $(K_{A_7})_I$  is  $\binom{4}{1} + \binom{4}{3} \times 2^3 = 64$ .

For  $(K_{A_n})_I$ , we define  $\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I}$  as the subcomplex of  $(K_{A_n})_I$  by iteratively removing each vertex that is not a subset of  $I$  from  $(K_{A_n})_I$ . From the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [6], we conclude the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\pi: (K_{A_n})_I \rightarrow \widehat{(K_{A_n})_I}$  be the simplicial map defined by  $\pi(\sigma) = \sigma \cap I$  for all  $\sigma \in (K_{A_n})_I$ . Then,  $\pi$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

Thus, for each (co)homology of  $(K_{A_n})_I$ , it is unnecessary to consider outside of the subcomplex  $\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I}$  of  $(K_{A_n})_I$ .

**Example 2.4.** Consider  $A_7$  and  $I = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, since  $7 \notin I$ , (a) and (b) are the same in  $H^1((K_{A_7})_I)$  as following :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc} \{1\} & & \{1, 3, 4\} \\ \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\ & \text{(a)} & \\ \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\ \{1, 3, 5\} & & \{3\} \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{ccc} \{1, 7\} & & \{1, 3, 4, 7\} \\ \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\ & \text{(b)} & \\ \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\ \{1, 3, 5, 7\} & & \{3, 7\} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

By [6],  $(K_{A_n})_I$  is a shellable complex, and, hence, it is Cohen Macaulay [18]. Therefore, it is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres of the same dimension. By calculating the Euler numbers, one can compute

$$(2.1) \quad \beta_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I) = a_{2k},$$

for any  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$ . This, in turn, implies (1.1).

**Remark 2.5.** According to [2], the integral cohomology of  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponding to  $(K, \Lambda)$  can be computed if  $K$  is shellable. The  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Betti numbers align with the  $h$ -numbers of  $K$ , and other torsions are determined by the torsion of  $K_S$  for  $S \in \text{row}(\Lambda)$ . In the case of permutohedral varieties, as  $K_S$  has no torsion,  $X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}$  only exhibits  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -torsions, which provides the integral cohomology group of  $X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

### 3. PERMUTATIONS AS ELEMENTS IN $H^*(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}})$

Let  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$  be a subset of  $[n+1] = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  whose cardinality is  $2k$ . Let us consider the set  $\text{Perm}_I$  of permutations on  $I$ . For each  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ , we use the one-line notation  $(x_1x_2/\cdots/x_{2k-1}x_{2k})$  to represent  $x$  which is grouped into blocks of length two, separated by a slash, where  $x^{-1}(x_1) < x^{-1}(x_2) < \cdots < x^{-1}(x_{2k})$ . For each permutation  $x = (x_1x_2/\cdots/x_{2k-1}x_{2k}) \in \text{Perm}_I$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ , we introduce the notation

$$\Sigma_i^x = \begin{cases} \{x_i\}, & \text{if } i = 1, 2; \\ \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\lfloor \frac{i-1}{2} \rfloor}, x_i\}, & \text{if } i \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and we associate to  $x$  a subcomplex  $\Delta_x$  of  $(K_{A_n})_I$  as

$$\Delta_x = \{\Sigma_1^x, \Sigma_2^x\} \star \{\Sigma_3^x, \Sigma_4^x\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2k-1}^x, \Sigma_{2k}^x\},$$

where  $\star$  is the simplicial join. Note that  $\Delta_x$  is the boundary complex of the cross-polytope of dimension  $k$  with fixed orientation embedded in  $(K_{A_n})_I$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $x = (x_1x_2)$  be a permutation of length 2. Then  $\Delta_{(12)}$  is  $\{\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}\}$ , and is homeomorphic to the 0-dimensional sphere  $S^0$ . Let  $x = (x_1x_2/x_3x_4)$  be a permutation of length 4. Then,  $\Delta_{(x_1x_2/x_3x_4)}$  (with the orientation), homeomorphic to the 1-dimensional sphere  $S^1$ , is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma_1^x = \{x_1\} & & \Sigma_3^x = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \\
 \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\
 & \Delta_{(x_1x_2/x_3x_4)} & \\
 \leftarrow & & \rightarrow \\
 \Sigma_4^x = \{x_1, x_2, x_4\} & & \Sigma_2^x = \{x_2\}
 \end{array}$$

Note that the permutation group  $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}$  on the index set  $[2k]$  acts on the set  $\text{Perm}_I$  by

$$\sigma \cdot x = (x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)}/\cdots/x_{\sigma(2k-1)}x_{\sigma(2k)})$$

for each  $x = (x_1x_2/\cdots/x_{2k-1}x_{2k}) \in \text{Perm}_I$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}$ . For convenience, we define subgroups of  $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}$

- (1)  $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{even}} = \{\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \mid \sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{2i-1,2i\}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ , and
- (2)  $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}} = \{\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{k-1} \mid \sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{2i,2i+1\}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ .

Let  $\varphi_I : \text{Perm}_I \rightarrow (K_{A_n})_I$  defined by

$$\varphi_I(x) = \{\Sigma_1^x, \Sigma_3^x, \dots, \Sigma_{2k-1}^x\}$$

for  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be permutations on  $I$ . Then,  $\varphi_I(x)$  is a face of  $\Delta_y$  if and only if there are  $\tau_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{even}}$  and  $\sigma_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$  such that  $\tau_{x,y} \cdot \sigma_{x,y} \cdot x = y$ . Furthermore,  $\tau_{x,y}$  and  $\sigma_{x,y}$  are uniquely determined for  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*Proof.* The ‘if’ part is clear. For the opposite direction, assume that the simplex  $\varphi_I(x)$  is a face of  $\Delta_y$ . Then,  $x_1$  (respectively,  $x_{2k}$ ) must be a member of  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  (respectively,  $\{y_{2k-1}, y_{2k}\}$ ). For each  $1 \leq i < k$ ,  $\alpha_{2i}$  and  $\alpha_{2i+1}$  must fulfill exactly one of the following conditions:

- (1)  $x_{2i} \in \{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}\}, x_{2i+1} \in \{y_{2i+1}, y_{2i+2}\}$ , or
- (2)  $x_{2i} \in \{y_{2i+1}, y_{2i+2}\}, x_{2i+1} \in \{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}\}$ .

Thus there uniquely exists  $\sigma_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$  such that


$$\{y_{2i-1}, y_{2i}\} = \{(\sigma_{x,y} \cdot x)_{2i-1}, (\sigma_{x,y} \cdot x)_{2i}\}.$$

Moreover, there uniquely exists  $\tau_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{even}}$  such that  $\tau_{x,y} \cdot (\sigma_{x,y} \cdot x) = y$  as desired.  $\square$

Let  $\Phi_I : \mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle \rightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$  be a linear map defined by

$$\Phi_I(x) = [\Delta_x].$$

**Example 3.3.** Consider the type  $A_7$ . Let  $I = \{1, 3, 4, 5\} \in \binom{[8]}{4}$ . Then,  $\widehat{(K_{A_7})_I}$  is



where the outer square-shape complex is  $\Delta_{(45/13)}$ .

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_I((15/34)) &= [\{\Sigma_1^{(15/34)}, \Sigma_2^{(15/34)}\} \star \{\Sigma_3^{(15/34)}, \Sigma_4^{(15/34)}\}] \\ &= [\{\{1\}, \{5\}\} \star \{\{1, 5, 3\}, \{1, 5, 4\}\}] \\ &= [\{\{1\}, \{1, 5, 3\}\} - \{\{1\}, \{1, 5, 4\}\} - \{\{5\}, \{1, 5, 3\}\} + \{\{5\}, \{1, 5, 4\}\}]. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.4.** We note that  $\Phi_I(x)$  is the cohomology class with respect to  $\Delta_x$ . For a simplicity, if we set  $x = (\bar{x}/x_{2i-1}x_{2i}/\bar{x})$ , then  $\Delta_{(\bar{x}/x_{2i}x_{2i-1}/\bar{x})}$  is the same complex to  $\Delta_x$  with reversed orientation. In addition,  $(K_{A_n})_I$  contains the subcomplex  $\Delta_{\bar{x}} \star (K_{A_n})_{\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}\}} \star \Delta_{\bar{x}}$ . Therefore, the topological structure of  $(K_{A_n})_I$  gives the relations between the image of  $\Phi_I$  as following:

- (1)  $\Phi_I(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1}x_{2i} / \cdots x_{2k}) = -\Phi_I(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i}x_{2i-1} / \cdots x_{2k})$
- (2)  $\Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1}x_{2i} / x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k})) = \Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} / x_{2i}x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k}))$   
 $- \Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1}x_{2i+2} / x_{2i}x_{2i+1} / \cdots x_{2k})) - \Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i}x_{2i+1} / x_{2i-1}x_{2i+2} / \cdots x_{2k}))$   
 $+ \Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i}x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1}x_{2i+1} / \cdots x_{2k})) - \Phi_I((x_1 \cdots / x_{2i+1}x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1}x_{2i} / \cdots x_{2k}))$   
 for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ .

An *alternating permutation*, also known as a *zig-zag permutation*, on  $I$  is defined as a permutation within  $\text{Perm}_I$  that presents an oscillating pattern where each entry is either larger or smaller than the one before it in an alternating manner. We will refer to the collection of these permutations on  $I$  as  $\text{Alt}_I$ . Note that  $\varphi_I|_{\text{Alt}_I} : \text{Alt}_I \rightarrow (K_{A_n})_I$  is injective.

It is worth noting that the rank of  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$  is  $a_{2k}$  as seen in (2.1), and  $a_{2k}$  represents the cardinality of  $\text{Alt}_I$ . We firstly aim to demonstrate that the image under the mapping  $\Phi_I$ , denoted by  $\Phi_I(\text{Alt}_I)$ , indeed forms a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for the space  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$ .

We define an order  $\prec$  on  $\text{Perm}_I$  as  $x \prec y$  if there is  $0 \leq j \leq k$  such that

- (1)  $x_{2k-2j-1} + x_{2k-2j} < y_{2k-2j-1} + y_{2k-2j}$
- (2)  $x_{2k-2i-1} + x_{2k-2i} = y_{2k-2i-1} + y_{2k-2i}$  for all  $0 \leq i < j$ ,

where  $x = (x_1 x_2 / \cdots / x_{2k-1} x_{2k})$ , and  $y = (y_1 y_2 / \cdots / y_{2k-1} y_{2k}) \in \text{Perm}_I$ . Indeed,  $\prec$  is just a lexicographic order for the sum inside the slashes. By Lemma 3.2, one can directly show that

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha \in \text{Alt}_I \text{ is the minimal element of } (\{x \in \text{Perm}_I \mid \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_x\}, \prec).$$

Consequently, we conclude that  $\{\beta \in \text{Alt}_I \mid \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_\beta\} = \{\alpha\}$  for each maximal element  $\alpha$  of  $(\text{Alt}_I, \prec|_{\text{Alt}_I})$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *The image  $\Phi_I(\text{Alt}_I) = \{\Phi_I(x) \mid x \in \text{Alt}_I\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to show that  $\{\Phi_I(x) \mid x \in \text{Alt}_I\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I})$ . Since the dimension of  $\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I}$  is  $k-1$ , every element of  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I})$  can be regarded as a cycle of  $C_{k-1}(\widehat{(K_{A_n})_I})$ . Suppose that a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\{\Delta_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Alt}_I\}$  becomes zero. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the set of maximal elements of  $(\text{Alt}_I, \prec|_{\text{Alt}_I})$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ , a simplex  $\varphi_I(\alpha)$  is only contained in  $\Delta_\alpha$ . Thus, the coefficient of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is zero for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ . By similar way, the coefficient of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is zero for all maximal elements  $\alpha$  of  $(\text{Alt}_I \setminus \mathfrak{M}, \prec|_{\text{Alt}_I \setminus \mathfrak{M}})$ . By repeating this process, it can be shown that all coefficients of  $\mathcal{X}$  are zero. Then, we have  $\Phi_I(\text{Alt}_I)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, as desired.  $\square$

We define a submodule  $M_I$  of  $\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle$  generated by

- (1)  $(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / \cdots / x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i-1} / \cdots / x_{2k})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,
- (2)  $(x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2} / \cdots / x_{2k}) - (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+1} / x_{2i} x_{2i+2} / \cdots / x_{2k})$   
 $+ (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i} x_{2i+1} / \cdots / x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i+1} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+2} / \cdots / x_{2k})$   
 $- (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i+1} / \cdots / x_{2k}) + (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2} / x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / \cdots / x_{2k})$   
for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *The quotient module  $\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle / M_I$  is isomorphic to  $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.*

*Proof.* We consider the map  $\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle / M_I \rightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$  induced by  $\Phi_I$ . By Remark 3.4, it is well-defined, and, by Lemma 3.5, it is surjective. Hence, it is enough to show that every permutation can be expressed as a linear combination of alternating permutation by the relations.

By the first relation, we may assume that each permutation  $y = (y_1 y_2 / \cdots / y_{2k-1} y_{2k}) \in \mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle / M_I$  satisfies  $y_{2i-1} < y_{2i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . For any given

$$x = (x_1 \cdots / x_{2i-1} x_{x_{2i}} / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2} / \cdots / x_{2k}) \in \text{Perm}_I,$$

if  $x_{2i} < x_{2i+1}$ , then  $(x_{2i-1} x_{2i} / x_{2i+1} x_{2i+2})$  is the maximal element of

$$(\text{Perm}_{\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}\}}, \prec).$$

Thus, by taking the second relation for  $\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}\}$ , we observe that  $x$  can be expressed by a linear sum of  $ys$  satisfying  $y \prec x$ . We do this procedure recursively, and it has to terminate in finite steps, which proves the theorem.  $\square$

Thus, we focus  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Alt}_I \rangle \xrightarrow{\iota} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}_I} \tilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I) \xrightarrow{*} \tilde{H}^{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I),$$

where

- $\iota: \alpha \mapsto \alpha + M_I$ ,
- $\bar{\Phi}_I$  is induced by  $\Phi_I: \mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_I)$ ,
- $*$  is the canonical isomorphism as the dual space, and

$M_I$  is the submodule defined immediately preceding Theorem 3.6. Furthermore, we extend

$$\bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Alt}_I \rangle \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \longrightarrow H_k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow H^k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}),$$

and, we have a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi: \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \rightarrow H^*(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}).$$

It is clear that the natural permutation action of  $W_{A_n}$  on  $[n+1]$  induces a  $W_{A_n}$ -module structure on  $\bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2r}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I}$  since  $\bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2r}} M_I$  is stable under the action. As a corollary, this gives an explicit (co)homology representation on  $W_{A_n}$ -action for  $X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}$  that is isomorphic to Specht modules as provided in [3].

#### 4. COEFFICIENTS OF ALTERNATING PERMUTATIONS

As discussed in Section 3, every element  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$  can be expressed as a linear combination of elements from  $\text{Alt}_I$  in  $\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle / M_I$ . We denote by  $C_x^\alpha$  the coefficient of  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$  in the expression of  $x$ , as

$$x = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I} C_x^\alpha \cdot \alpha.$$

In this section, for any given  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$  and  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ , we shall discuss the computation of the coefficient  $C_x^\alpha$  by using weighted directed graphs. A reference for basic notations is [1]. Fix  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$  and  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ , if  $\varphi_I(\alpha)$  is a face of  $\Delta_x$ , we define

$$s_I(\alpha, x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau_{\alpha, x} \text{ is odd,} \\ 1, & \text{if } \tau_{\alpha, x} \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau_{\alpha, x} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{even}}$ ,  $\sigma_{\alpha, x} \in \mathfrak{S}_{2k}^{\text{odd}}$ , and  $\tau_{\alpha, x} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha, x} \cdot \alpha = x$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $s_I(\alpha, x)$  is well-defined.

Now, we define the weighted directed graph  $D_I = (V(D_I), A(D_I))$  whose vertex set  $V(D_I)$  is  $\text{Perm}_I$  and arc set is defined as follow:

$$A(D_I) = \{(\alpha \xrightarrow{s_I(\alpha, x)} x) \mid \alpha \in \text{Alt}_I, x \in \text{Perm}_I, \text{ and } \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_x\},$$

where the weight of each arc  $(\alpha \rightarrow x)$  is  $s_I(\alpha, x)$ . Note that  $\alpha \prec x$ , by (3.1), where  $\prec$  is the partial order of  $\text{Perm}_I$  in Section 3. A sequence of arcs

$$p = ((v_1 \rightarrow v_2), (v_2 \rightarrow v_3), \dots, (v_{n-2} \rightarrow v_{n-1}), (v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_n)),$$


is called a *directed walk* from  $v_1$  to  $v_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x)$  be the set of directed walks  $p$  such that  $(v_{i-1} \rightarrow v_i)$  is not a loop for all  $i$  except for the last term. The *weight*  $wt(p)$  of  $p \in \mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x)$  is defined by

$$\sum_{1 < i \leq n} s_I(v_{i-1}, v_i),$$

and the *topological coefficient*  $\mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha$  of  $\alpha$  in  $x$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha = - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x)} (-1)^{wt(p)}.$$

**Example 4.1.** For  $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \in \binom{[4]}{4}$ , the induced subgraph from  $\{(12/34)\} \cup \text{Alt}_I$  of the weighted directed graph  $D_I$  is



Then, for each  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$ , the topological coefficient of  $\mathcal{C}_{(12/34)}^\alpha$  is

$$\mathcal{TC}_{(12/34)}^\alpha = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{wt((13/24) \xrightarrow{1} (12/34))} = -(-1) = 1, & \text{if } \alpha = (13/24), \\ -(-1)^{wt((14/23) \xrightarrow{0} (12/34))} = -1, & \text{if } \alpha = (14/23), \\ -(-1)^{wt((23/14) \xrightarrow{0} (12/34))} = -1, & \text{if } \alpha = (23/14), \\ -(-1)^{wt((24/13) \xrightarrow{1} (12/34))} = -(-1) = 1, & \text{if } \alpha = (24/13), \\ -(-1)^{wt((34/12) \xrightarrow{1} (13/24) \xrightarrow{1} (12/34))} = -1, & \text{if } \alpha = (34/12). \end{cases}$$

In this case, we can observe that  $\mathcal{C}_{(12/34)}^\alpha = \mathcal{TC}_{(12/34)}^\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Alt}_I$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\beta^\alpha = \mathcal{TC}_\beta^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Note that for  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Alt}_I$ , there is one to one correspondence between

- $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{p = ((\alpha = v_1 \rightarrow v_2), \dots, (v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_n = \beta)) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \mid v_n \neq v_{n-1}\}$ , and
- $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{p = ((\alpha = v_1 \rightarrow v_2), \dots, (v_{n-1} = \beta \xrightarrow{1} v_n = \beta)) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \mid n > 2\}$ ,

by composition of the loop  $(\beta \xrightarrow{1} \beta) \in V(D_I)$  at the end of  $p \in \mathcal{P}_1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} (-1)^{wt(p)} + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_2} (-1)^{wt(p)} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{TC}_\beta^\alpha = -\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_1} (-1)^{wt(p)} + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_2} (-1)^{wt(p)}\right) + -\delta_{\alpha, \beta} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} = \mathcal{C}_\beta^\alpha.$$

□

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ . For each  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = \mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2, we assume that  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$  is not an alternating permutation on  $I$ . Then, there is no need to consider loops of  $D_I$ . To establish the proof, let us consider when  $\mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x)$  is empty, or is not.

Consider the set  $\mathcal{E}_x = \{\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I \mid \mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x) = \emptyset\}$ , and then,  $\mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$ . Then, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha$  is zero for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$ , if there exists  $\beta \in \text{Alt}_I \setminus \mathcal{E}_x$  such that  $\varphi_I(\alpha)$  is a face of  $\Delta_\beta$ , then  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in V(D_I)$ . Hence, there is a directed path from  $\alpha$  to  $x$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$ . In other words,  $\{\beta \in \text{Alt}_I \setminus \mathcal{E}_x \mid \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_\beta\}$  is empty for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}_x}$  be the set of maximal elements of  $(\mathcal{E}_x, \prec|_{\mathcal{E}_x})$ . From (3.1), one can obtain  $\{\beta \in \text{Alt}_I \mid \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_\beta\} = \{\alpha\}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}_x}$ . Obviously, since  $\varphi_I(\alpha)$  is not a face of  $\Delta_x$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = 0$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = 0$  for all maximal elements  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathcal{E}_x \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}_x}, \prec|_{\mathcal{E}_x \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{E}_x}})$ . By repeating this process, it can be shown that  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_x$  as desired.

Now, let us consider the set  $\mathcal{O}_x = \{\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I \mid \mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x) \neq \emptyset\}$ . For each maximal element  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_x, \prec|_{\mathcal{O}_x})$ ,  $\alpha$  is the unique element of  $\{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_x \mid \varphi_I(\alpha) \text{ is a face of } \Delta_\beta\}$ . Then, there is an unique path  $(\alpha \rightarrow x) \in \mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = \pm 1$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = 1$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = -1$ ), then  $\tau_{\alpha, x}$  is even (respectively, odd), and thus,  $s(\alpha, x) = 1$  (respectively,  $s(\alpha, x) = 0$ ). Since



$\mathcal{P}_I(\alpha, x) = \{(\alpha \xrightarrow{s(\alpha, x)} x)\}$  and  $wt((\alpha \xrightarrow{s(\alpha, x)} x)) = s(\alpha, x)$ ,  $\mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha = 1$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha = -1$ ). In this case, we have  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha = \mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha$  which confirms the statement.

For each non-maximal element  $\alpha$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_x, \prec |_{\mathcal{O}_x})$ , assume that  $\mathcal{C}_x^\beta = \mathcal{TC}_x^\beta$  for all  $\{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_x \mid \alpha \prec \beta\}$ . We define  $N_\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_x \mid (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in V(D_I)\}$ . Since  $\alpha \prec \beta$  for all  $\beta \in N_\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_x^\beta = \mathcal{TC}_x^\beta$ . Combining (3.1) with this fact, the coefficient  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha$  of  $\alpha$  in the expression  $x$  is


$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_x^\alpha &= \sum_{\beta \in N_\alpha} \mathcal{C}_x^\beta \cdot (-1)^{s(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in N_\alpha} \mathcal{TC}_x^\beta \cdot (-1)^{s(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= - \sum_{\beta \in N_\alpha} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, x)} (-1)^{wt(p)} \cdot (-1)^{s(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= - \sum_{\beta \in N_\alpha} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\beta, x)} (-1)^{wt(p) + s(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, x)} (-1)^{wt(p)} \\ &= \mathcal{TC}_x^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we finish the proof, inductively.  $\square$

**Example 4.4.** For  $I = \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9\} \in \binom{[10]}{6}$  and  $(79/56/23) \in \text{Alt}_I$ , define  $V^{(79/56/23)}$  as the set of  $x = (x_1 x_2 / x_3 x_4 / x_5 x_6) \in \text{Perm}_I$  such that

- (1) there is a directed path of  $D_I$  from  $(79/56/23)$  to  $x$ , and
- (2)  $x_{2i-1} < x_{2i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

By some calculations, one can obtain the induced subgraph  $D_I^{(79/56/23)}$  of  $D_I$  from  $V^{(79/56/23)}$ . The subgraph obtained from  $D_I^{(79/56/23)}$  by removing all loops is



From the graph above, we can check  $\mathcal{C}_x^{(79/56/23)}$  for any  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ . The followings are examples:

- (1) There are three directed paths from  $(79/56/23)$  to  $(23/56/79)$  as follows:
  - (a)  $p_1 = ((79/56/23) \xrightarrow{0} (57/29/36) \xrightarrow{0} (25/37/69) \xrightarrow{1} (23/56/79))$ ,
  - (b)  $p_2 = ((79/56/23) \xrightarrow{1} (57/69/23) \xrightarrow{1} (57/26/39) \xrightarrow{1} (25/37/69) \xrightarrow{1} (23/56/79))$ , and
  - (c)  $p_3 = ((79/56/23) \xrightarrow{1} (79/25/36) \xrightarrow{1} (27/59/36) \xrightarrow{1} (25/37/69) \xrightarrow{1} (12/34/56))$ .

Then,  $\mathcal{C}_{(23/56/79)}^{(79/56/23)} = -((-1)^{wp(p_1)} + (-1)^{wp(p_2)} + (-1)^{wp(p_3)}) = -1$ .

(2) There are two directed paths from  $(79/56/23)$  to  $(57/23/69)$  as follows:

- (a)  $p_1 = ((79/56/23) \xrightarrow{0} (57/29/36) \xrightarrow{0} (57/23/69))$   
 (b)  $p_2 = ((79/56/23) \xrightarrow{1} (57/69/23) \xrightarrow{1} (57/26/39) \xrightarrow{1} (57/23/69))$   
 Then,  $\mathcal{C}_{(57/23/69)}^{(79/56/23)} = -((-1)^{wp(p_1)} + (-1)^{wp(p_2)}) = 0$ .

(3) Since there is no directed path of  $D_I$  from  $(79/56/23)$  to  $(35/26/79)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{(35/26/79)}^{(79/56/23)} = 0$ .

After conducting calculations for small positive integers  $k$ , the authors were able to confirm that every non-zero  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha$  is  $\pm 1$ , where  $I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_I$ , and  $x \in \text{Perm}_I$ . However, it is unclear whether  $\mathcal{C}_x^\alpha$  always takes  $\pm 1$ .

## 5. MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURE OF $H^*(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}})$

In this section, our investigation centers on the multiplicative structure of  $H^*(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}})$ , which we relate to alternating permutations on even subsets within the set  $[n+1]$ . For the purpose of this analysis, we will make the assumption that  $I_1 \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}$  and  $I_2 \in \binom{[n+1]}{2l}$ .

Now, we assume  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ , and in this case, we denote by  $I_1 + I_2$  the symmetric difference  $I_1 \Delta I_2$ . For an alternating permutation  $z = (z_1 z_2 / \cdots / z_{2(r+l)-1} z_{2(r+l)})$  in  $\text{Alt}_{I_1+I_2}$ ,  $z$  is said to be *restrictable* to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , if  $\{z_{2i-1}, z_{2i}\}$  is contained in either  $I_1$  or  $I_2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r+l$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$  the set of restrictable permutations to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

For  $J \subset I \subset [n+1]$ , we define a map  $\rho_J: \text{Perm}_I \rightarrow \text{Perm}_J$  by  $\rho_J(x)$  is a subpermutation of  $x$ . Let  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ , and set  $x = \rho_{I_1}(z) = (x_1 x_2 / \cdots / x_{2k-1} x_{2k})$  and  $y = \rho_{I_2}(z) = (y_1 y_2 / \cdots / y_{2l-1} y_{2l})$ . Since both  $x$  and  $y$  are subpermutations of  $z$ , there is a rearrangement  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$  of indexes such that  $(z_{2\sigma(1)-1} z_{2\sigma(1)} / \cdots / z_{2\sigma(k+l)-1} z_{2\sigma(k+l)})$  is  $(x_1 x_2 / \cdots / x_{2k-1} x_{2k} / y_1 y_2 / \cdots / y_{2l-1} y_{2l})$ . We call  $\sigma$  the *rearrangement* of  $z$  with respect to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . Note that  $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(l+1) < \sigma(l+2) < \cdots < \sigma(l+k)$ . The sign of  $\sigma$  is denoted by  $\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)$ .

**Example 5.1.** For  $I_1 = \{1, 3, 4, 6\}$  and  $I_2 = \{2, 5\}$ ,  $z = (14/25/36)$  is a restrictable permutation to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , i.e.  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ . Moreover,  $\rho_{I_1}((14/25/36)) = (14/36)$ ,  $\rho_{I_2}((14/25/36)) = (25)$ , and  $\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z) = -1$ . However, for example,  $(15/34/26)$  is not restrictable to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\smile: \frac{\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_{I_1} \rangle}{M_{I_1}} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_{I_2} \rangle}{M_{I_2}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_{I_1 \Delta I_2} \rangle}{M_{I_1 \Delta I_2}}$  defined by, for  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_{I_1}$  and  $\beta \in \text{Alt}_{I_2}$ ,

$$\alpha \smile \beta = \begin{cases} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z)}^\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}^\beta \cdot z, & \text{if } I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, from the multiplicative structure  $\smile$ ,

$$\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q}\langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I}$$

can be regarded as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

**Example 5.3.** Let  $I_1 = \{1, 3, 4, 6\}$  and  $I_2 = \{2, 5\}$ . Then, all elements  $z$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$  are grouped into elements with the same  $\rho_{I_1}(z)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z)$  as follows:

- (1)  $z = (14/36/25), (14/25/36), (25/14/36)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (14/36)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$
- (2)  $z = (16/34/25), (16/25/34), (25/16/34)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (16/34)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$
- (3)  $z = (34/16/25), (34/25/16), (25/34/16)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (16/34)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$
- (4)  $z = (36/14/25), (36/25/14), (25/36/14)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (36/14)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$
- (5)  $z = (46/13/25), (46/25/13), (25/46/13)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (46/13)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$
- (6)  $z = (13/25/46)$ , when  $\rho_{I_1}(z) = (13/46)$  and  $\rho_{I_2}(z) = (25)$

Consider  $\alpha = (14/36) \in \text{Alt}_{I_1}$  and  $\beta = (25) \in \text{Alt}_{I_2}$ . For the first five cases,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z)}^\alpha \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}^\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \rho_{I_1}(z)} \cdot \delta_{\beta, \rho_{I_2}(z)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{in the first case,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the last case, since  $(13/46) = (14/36) - (16/34) - (34/16) + (36/14) - (46/13)$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}}^\alpha \cdot \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}}^\beta = \mathcal{C}_{(13/46)}^{(14/36)} \cdot \delta_{(25),(25)} = 1.$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$(14/36) \smile (25) = (14/36/25) - (14/25/36) + (25/14/36) - (13/25/46).$$

**Example 5.4.** For  $I_1 = \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{1, 4, 8, \overline{10}\}$ , let  $\alpha = (79/56/23)$  and  $\beta = (18/4\overline{10})$ . Consider alternating permutations  $z_1 = (23/18/56/4\overline{10}/79)$ ,  $z_2 = (57/23/14/69/8\overline{10})$ , and  $z_3 = (4\overline{10}/35/26/18/79)$  on  $I_1 \triangle I_2$ . Then the coefficient  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}^{z_i}$  of  $z_i$  in the expression of  $\alpha \smile \beta$  is

$$(-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z_i)} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z_i)}^\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z_i)}^\beta$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus, by Example 4.4, we conclude that

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}^{z_1} = (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}((23/18/56/4\overline{10}/79))} \mathcal{C}_{(23/56/79)}^{(79/56/23)} \mathcal{C}_{(18/4\overline{10})}^{(18/4\overline{10})} = -1.$
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}^{z_2} = (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}((57/23/14/69/8\overline{10}))} \mathcal{C}_{(57/23/69)}^{(79/56/23)} \mathcal{C}_{(14/8\overline{10})}^{(18/4\overline{10})} = 0.$
- (3)  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}^{z_3} = (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}((4\overline{10}/35/26/18/79))} \mathcal{C}_{(35/26/79)}^{(79/56/23)} \mathcal{C}_{(4\overline{10}/18)}^{(18/4\overline{10})} = 0.$

Now, let us consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\Phi$  in (3.2).

**Theorem 5.5.** *The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map*

$$\Phi: \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \bigoplus_{I \in \binom{[n+1]}{2k}} \frac{\mathbb{Q} \langle \text{Perm}_I \rangle}{M_I} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H^k(X_{A_n}^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism.

First of all, we introduce a key lemma for the proof of Theorem 5.5. We assume that  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\iota_*: \tilde{H}_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1+I_2}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2})$  be the homomorphism induced by the simplicial maps  $\iota: (K_{A_n})_{I_1+I_2} \hookrightarrow (K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2}$ .*

$$\iota_*(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \Phi_{I_1}(\rho_{I_1}(z)) \star \Phi_{I_2}(\rho_{I_2}(z)), & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2} \\ 0, & \text{for } z \notin \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We bifurcate our proof into two distinct cases; one where an alternating permutation  $z$  is an element of  $\mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ , and the other where it is not.

To begin with, let us consider the case where  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$  be the rearrangement of  $z$  with respect to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . Set  $x = \rho_{I_1}(z) = (x_1 x_2 / \cdots / x_{2k-1} x_{2k})$  and  $y = \rho_{I_2}(z) = (y_1 y_2 / \cdots / y_{2l-1} y_{2l})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z) &= [\{\Sigma_1^z, \Sigma_2^z\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2k+2l-1}^z, \Sigma_{2k+2l}^z\}] \\ &= (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} [\{\Sigma_{2\sigma(1)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(1)}^z\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2\sigma(k+l)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(k+l)}^z\}]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have  $z_{2\sigma(i)-1} = x_{2i-1}$ ,  $z_{2\sigma(i)} = x_{2i}$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , we have  $z_{2\sigma(k+j)-1} = y_{2j-1}$  and  $z_{2\sigma(k+j)} = y_{2j}$ . Since  $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k+l)$ ,

- (1)  $\Sigma_{2\sigma(i)-1}^z \cap I_1 = \Sigma_{2i-1}^x$  and  $\Sigma_{2\sigma(i)}^z \cap I_1 = \Sigma_{2i}^x$
- (2)  $\Sigma_{2\sigma(k+j)-1}^z \cap I_2 = \Sigma_{2j-1}^y$  and  $\Sigma_{2\sigma(k+j)}^z \cap I_2 = \Sigma_{2j}^y$ .

Then, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{I_1}(\rho_{I_1}(z)) &= [\{\Sigma_1^x, \Sigma_2^x\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2k-1}^x, \Sigma_{2k}^x\}] \\ &= [\{\Sigma_{2\sigma(1)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(1)}^z\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2\sigma(k)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(k)}^z\}] \end{aligned}$$

in  $\tilde{H}_{k-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{I_2}(\rho_{I_2}(z)) &= [\{\Sigma_1^y, \Sigma_2^y\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2l-1}^y, \Sigma_{2l}^y\}] \\ &= [\{\Sigma_{2\sigma(k+1)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(k+1)}^z\} \star \cdots \star \{\Sigma_{2\sigma(k+l)-1}^z, \Sigma_{2\sigma(k+l)}^z\}] \end{aligned}$$

in  $\tilde{H}_{l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_2})$ . Thus,  $[\Delta_z] = [\Delta_{\rho_{I_1}(z)} \star \Delta_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}]$  in  $\tilde{H}_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2})$ .

Subsequently, we turn our consideration to the case where  $z \notin \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ . We can choose  $1 \leq q \leq k+l$  such that  $\{z_{2q-1}, z_{2q}\} \not\subset I_1$  and  $\{z_{2q-1}, z_{2q}\} \not\subset I_2$ . Then, considering  $\Sigma_{2q-1}^z$  and  $\Sigma_{2q}^z$ , one of them is a vertex of  $(K_{A_n})_{I_1}$ , and the other one is a vertex of  $(K_{A_n})_{I_2}$ . Therefore, the simplex  $\{\Sigma_{2q-1}^z, \Sigma_{2q}^z\}$  is a 1-face in  $(K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2}$ .

Define the permutation  $\hat{z}$  by removing  $(z_{2q-1}z_{2q})$  from  $z$ . Then,  $\hat{z}$  is still a permutation, and  $\Delta_{\hat{z}}$  is a nonzero element of  $C_{k+l-2}((K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2})$ . Denote by  $\mu_z$  the element of  $C_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2})$  obtained by joining  $\Delta_{\hat{z}}$  and the 1-simplex with two vertices  $\Sigma_{2q-1}^z$  and  $\Sigma_{2q}^z$ . Then,  $\partial(\mu_z)$  is either  $\Delta_z$  or  $-\Delta_z$ , and, hence,  $\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z) = [\Delta_z] = [\pm\partial(\mu_z)] = 0$  as desired.  $\square$

From Theorem 2.1, the product structure on  $\bigoplus_I \tilde{H}^*((K_{A_n})_I)$  is given by the canonical maps

$$\smile: \tilde{H}^{k-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1}) \otimes \tilde{H}^{l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_2}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1+I_2})$$

which are induced by simplicial maps  $\iota: (K_{A_n})_{I_1+I_2} \hookrightarrow (K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2}$ . In other words, the product  $g \smile h$  of  $g \in \tilde{H}^{k-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1})$  and  $h \in \tilde{H}^{l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_2})$  is  $(g \otimes h) \circ \iota_*$ , as the following commutative diagram ;

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1+I_2}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & \tilde{H}_{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1} \star (K_{A_n})_{I_2}) \\ & \searrow^{g \smile h} & \downarrow^{g \otimes h} \\ & & \mathbb{Q} \end{array} ,$$

where  $\iota_*$  is the induced homomorphism of  $\iota$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.5.* It is sufficient to prove that  $\Phi$  preserves multiplicative structures by demonstrating that

$$(\Phi(\alpha) \smile \Phi(\beta))(\Phi_{I_1 \Delta I_2}(z)) = \Phi(\alpha \smile \beta)(\Phi_{I_1 \Delta I_2}(z))$$

for each  $\alpha \in \text{Alt}_{I_1}$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Alt}_{I_2}$ , and  $z \in \text{Perm}_{I_1 \Delta I_2}$ .

If  $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|I_1 \Delta I_2| = |I_1 \cup I_2| - |I_1 \cap I_2| < 2k + 2l$ . Then, since  $(K_{A_n})_{I_1 \Delta I_2}$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of sphere which dimension is at most  $k+l-2$ ,  $\tilde{H}^{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1 \Delta I_2})$  is trivial. Thus,  $\tilde{H}^{k-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1}) \otimes \tilde{H}^{l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_2}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{k+l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1 \Delta I_2})$  is a zero map. In other words, the multiplicative structure of  $\tilde{H}^{k-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_1})$  and  $\tilde{H}^{l-1}((K_{A_n})_{I_2})$  is trivial, and thus  $(\Phi(\alpha) \smile \Phi(\beta)) = 0$ . Since  $\alpha \smile \beta$  is zero, the proof is complete, if  $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

Hence, we assume that  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ . From (5.1),

$$(\Phi(\alpha) \smile \Phi(\beta))(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) = (\Phi(\alpha) \otimes \Phi(\beta))(\iota_*(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z))).$$

By Lemma 5.6,

$$\iota_*(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \Phi_{I_1}(\rho_{I_1}(z)) \star \Phi_{I_2}(\rho_{I_2}(z)), & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } z \notin \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(\alpha) \smile \Phi(\beta))(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) &= (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \Phi(\alpha)(\Phi_{I_1}(\rho_{I_1}(z))) \Phi(\beta)(\Phi_{I_2}(\rho_{I_2}(z))) \\ &= (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z)}^\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

If  $z \notin \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}$ ,  $(\Phi(\alpha) \smile \Phi(\beta))(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) = 0$ .

Now, by Definition 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha \smile \beta)(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) &= \Phi\left(\sum_{\bar{z} \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(\bar{z})} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(\bar{z})}^{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(\bar{z})}^{\beta} \cdot \bar{z}\right)(\Phi_{I_1+I_2}(z)) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\kappa_{I_1, I_2}(z)} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_1}(z)}^{\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\rho_{I_2}(z)}^{\beta}, & \text{for } z \in \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } z \notin \mathcal{R}_{I_1, I_2}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] BONDY, J. A., AND MURTY, U. S. R. *Graph Theory*. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] CAI, L., AND CHOI, S. Integral cohomology groups of real toric manifolds and small covers. *Mosc. Math. J.* 21, 3 (2021), 467–492.
- [3] CHO, S., CHOI, S., AND KAJI, S. Geometric representations of finite groups on real toric spaces. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 56, 5 (2019), 1265–1283.
- [4] CHOI, S., KAJI, S., AND PARK, H. The cohomology groups of real toric varieties associated with Weyl chambers of types  $C$  and  $D$ . *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* 62, 3 (2019), 861–874.
- [5] CHOI, S., PARK, B., AND PARK, H. The Betti numbers of real toric varieties associated to Weyl chambers of type  $B$ . *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 38, 6 (2017), 1213–1222.
- [6] CHOI, S., AND PARK, H. A new graph invariant arises in toric topology. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 67, 2 (2015), 699–720.
- [7] CHOI, S., AND PARK, H. Multiplicative structure of the cohomology ring of real toric spaces. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 22, 1 (2020), 97–115.
- [8] CHOI, S., YOON, Y., AND YU, S. The betti numbers of real toric varieties associated to weyl chambers of types  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ , 2023.
- [9] DE CONCINI, C., AND PROCESI, C. Wonderful models of subspace arrangements. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 1, 3 (1995), 459–494.
- [10] DE MARI, F., PROCESI, C., AND SHAYMAN, M. A. Hessenberg varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 332, 2 (1992), 529–534.
- [11] DE MARI, F., AND SHAYMAN, M. A. Generalized Eulerian numbers and the topology of the Hessenberg variety of a matrix. *Acta Appl. Math.* 12, 3 (1988), 213–235.
- [12] HENDERSON, A. Rational cohomology of the real Coxeter toric variety of type  $A$ . In *Configuration spaces*, vol. 14 of *CRM Series*. Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012, pp. 313–326.
- [13] HUH, J., AND KATZ, E. Log-concavity of characteristic polynomials and the Bergman fan of matroids. *Math. Ann.* 354, 3 (2012), 1103–1116.
- [14] KLYACHKO, A. A. Orbits of a maximal torus on a flag space. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 19, 1 (1985), 77–78.
- [15] PROCESI, C. The toric variety associated to Weyl chambers. In *Mots*, Lang. Raison. Calc. Hermès, Paris, 1990, pp. 153–161.
- [16] SAGAN, B. E. *The symmetric group*, second ed., vol. 203 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions.
- [17] SLOANE, N. J. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. <http://oeis.org>.
- [18] STANLEY, R. P. *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, second ed., vol. 41 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [19] SUCIU, A. I. The rational homology of real toric manifolds. In *Oberwolfach Reports* (2012), no. 4, pp. 2972–2976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AJOU UNIVERSITY, 206, WORLD CUP-RO, YEONGTONG-GU, SUWON 16499, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
 Email address: [schoi@ajou.ac.kr](mailto:schoi@ajou.ac.kr)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AJOU UNIVERSITY, 206, WORLD CUP-RO, YEONGTONG-GU, SUWON 16499, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
 Email address: [youngghan300@ajou.ac.kr](mailto:youngghan300@ajou.ac.kr)