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Given a finite connected 3-complex with cohomological dimension 2, we show it may be constructed up to homotopy by applying the Quillen plus construction to the Cayley complex of a finite group presentation. This reduces the $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups.

## §1 Introduction

Given a finite cell complex one may ask what the minimal dimension of a finite cell complex in its homotopy type is. If $n \neq 2$ and the cell complex has cohomological dimension $n$ (with respect to all coefficient bundles), then the cell complex is in fact homotopy equivalent to a finite $n$-complex (a cell complex whose cells have dimension at most $n$ ). Although this has been known for around forty years (for $n>2$ it is proved in [13] and for $n=1$ it follows from [11], [12]), it is an open question whether or not this holds when $n=2$. This question is known as Wall's $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem:

Let $X$ be a finite 3-complex with $H^{3}(X ; \beta)=0$ for all coefficient bundles $\beta$. Must $X$ be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2 -complex?

If $X$ (as above) is not homotopy equivalent to a finite 2 -complex, we say it is a counterexample which solves the $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem.

For connected $X$ with certain fundamental groups, it has shown been shown that $X$ must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2 -complex (see for example [7], [4], [9]). However no general method has been forthcoming.

Also, whilst potential candidates for counterexamples have been constructed (see [1], [2] ), no successful method has yet emerged for verifying that they are not homotopy equivalent to finite 2 -complexes.

In $\S 2$ we apply the Quillen plus construction to connected 2-complexes, resulting in cohomologically 2 -dimensional 3 -complexes. These are therefore candidates for counterexamples which solve the $D(2)$ problem. In §3
we show that in fact all finite connected cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complexes arise this way, up to homotopy equivalence.

Finally, in $\S 4$ we use these results to reduce the $D(2)$ problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups. This allows us to generalize existing approaches to the $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem such as [8, Theorem I] and [6, Theorem 3.5].

Before moving on to the main argument we make a few notational points. All modules are right modules except where a left action is explicitly stated. The basepoint of a Cayley complex is always assumed to be its 0-cell.

If $X$ is a connected cell complex with basepoint, we denote its universal cover $\tilde{X}$. Given two based loops $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \pi_{1}(X)$ their product $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ is the composition whose initial segment is $\gamma_{2}$ and final segment is $\gamma_{1}$. With this convention, we have a natural right action of $\pi_{1}(X)$ on the cells of $\tilde{X}$. Let $G=\pi_{1}(X)$. We can regard the associated chain complex of $\tilde{X}$ as an algebraic complex of right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. We follow [8] in denoting this algebraic complex $C_{*}(X)$. Note that this differs from the convention in other texts. Thus in particular $C_{*}(X)$ and $C_{*}(\tilde{X})$ have the same underlying sequence of abelian groups, but the former is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ whilst the latter is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(\tilde{X})\right]=\mathbb{Z}$.

If $Y$ is a subcomplex of $X$ then $C_{*}(Y)$ is a sequence of right modules over $\pi_{1}(Y)$. Let $E=\pi_{1}(Y)$. The induced map $E \rightarrow G$ yields a left action of $E$ on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus we have an algebraic complex $C_{*}(Y) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The inclusion $Y \subset X$ induces a chain map $C_{*}(Y) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow C_{*}(X)$. The complex $C_{*}(X, Y)$ is defined to be the relative chain complex associated to this chain map.

The basepoint allows us to interchange between coefficient bundles over $X$ and right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus for a right module $N$ we have:

$$
H^{n}(X ; N)=H^{n}\left(C_{*}(X) ; N\right)
$$

A left module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ may be regarded as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where right multiplication by a group element is defined to be left multiplication by its inverse. Hence a left module $M$ may also be regarded as a coefficient bundle and we have:

$$
H_{n}(X ; M)=H_{n}\left(C_{*}(X) ; M\right), \quad H_{n}(X, Y ; M)=H_{n}\left(C_{*}(X, Y) ; M\right)
$$

Given a finitely generated Abelian group $A$ we may regard it as a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$. The dimension of this vector space will be denoted $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$.

Finally given a group $G$ and elements $g, h \in G$, we follow the convention that $[g, h]$ denotes the element $g h g^{-1} h^{-1}$.

## \$2 The plus construction applied to a Cayley complex

Let $\varepsilon=\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \mid R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m}\right\rangle$ be a finite presentation for a group $E$. We say a normal subgroup of $E$ is finitely closed when it is the normal closure in $E$ of a finitely generated subgroup. Let $K \triangleleft E$ be finitely closed and perfect (so $K=[K, K]$ ). Let $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ denote the Cayley complex associated to $\varepsilon$.

Theorem $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ (Quillen, see [10, Theorem 5.2.2]) There is a 3-complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$, containing $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ as a subcomplex, such that the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E / K$ on fundamental groups and $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} ; M\right)=0$ for all left modules $M$ over $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$. Further, given another such 3-complex $X$, there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+} \rightarrow X$ extending the identity map of the common subspace $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

In fact we may construct $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$explicitly, using the fact that $K$ is finitely closed to ensure that we end up with a finite cell complex. Let $k_{1}, \cdots k_{r} \in$ $K$ generate a subgroup of $E$ whose normal closure (in $E$ ) is $K$. As $K=$ [ $K, K$ ], each $k_{i}$ may be expressed as a product of commutators: $k_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left[a_{i j}, b_{i j}\right]$ with each $a_{i j}, b_{i j} \in K$. Then each $a_{i j}, b_{i j}$ may be represented by words $A_{i j}, B_{i j}$ in the $g_{l}, l=1, \cdots, n$. For each $i=1, \cdots, r$ attach a 2 -cell $E_{i}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ whose boundary corresponds to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left[A_{i j}, B_{i j}\right]$. Denote the resulting chain complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$.

The chain complex $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ may written:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right): C_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} C_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{l}} C_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Note that the boundary map $\partial_{2}$ applied to a 2 -cell is the Fox free differential: $\partial: F_{\left\{g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}\right\}} \rightarrow C_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)$, applied to the word which the 2-cell bounds (see [8, §48] and [5]). Let $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ denote the generator in $C_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ representing the generator $g_{i}$. The free Fox differential is then characterized by:
i) $\partial g_{i}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for all $i=1, \cdots, n$
ii) $\partial(A B)=\partial(A) B+\partial(B)$ for all words $A, B$.

Clearly the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E / K$ on fundamental groups. There is a right action of $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$ on itself. Further there is a left action of $E$ on $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$.

Lemma 2.2 As an algebraic complex of right $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$ modules $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ may be written:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right): C_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E / K]^{r} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2} \oplus 0} C_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} C_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K]
$$

Proof: The boundary of $E_{i}$ is given by the free Fox differential $\partial$, applied to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left[A_{i j}, B_{i j}\right]$. However,

$$
\partial \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left[A_{i j}, B_{i j}\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left[\partial A_{i j}+\partial B_{i j}-\partial A_{i j}-\partial B_{i j}\right]=0
$$

as each $A_{i j}, B_{i j}$ represents an element of $K$ and hence is trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=$ $E / K$.

Each $E_{i}$ therefore generates an element of $H_{2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. By the Hurewicz isomorphism Theorem we have isomorphisms $H_{2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \pi_{2}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ coming from the Hurewicz homomorphism and the covering map respectively. Let $\psi_{i}: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ represent the element of $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ which corresponds to $E_{i}$ under these isomorphisms.

For each $i \in 1, \cdots r$ we then attach a 3 -cell $B_{i}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ via the attaching map:
$\psi_{i}: \partial B_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the resulting 3-complex. Then we have $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right):$
$\mathbb{Z}[E / K]^{r} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} C_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E / K]^{r} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2} \oplus 0} C_{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} C_{0}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K]$
where $\partial_{3}$ is inclusion of the second summand.
Hence we have:
Lemma 2.3 $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} ; M\right)=0$ for all left modules $M$ over $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$.
Proof: We have the following relative complex:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right): \quad \mathbb{Z}[E / K]^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[E / K]^{r} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0
$$

Thus by Theorem 2.1 we may conclude that $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$.

Lemma 2.4 The complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ is cohomologically 2-dimensional.
Proof: The inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ induces a chain homotopy equivalence:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \rightarrow C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.5 We may choose $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$to be the cohomologically 2-dimensional finite 3-complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$.

## §3 Cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complexes

Let $X$ be a finite connected 3-complex with $H^{3}(X ; \beta)=0$ for all coefficient bundles $\beta$. In this section we will show that up to homotopy, $X$ arises as the Quillen plus construction applied to a finite Cayley complex.

Let $T$ be a maximal tree in the $1-$ skeleton of $X$. The quotient map $X \rightarrow X / T$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $X$ has one 0 -cell. We take this to be the basepoint of $X$ and any complexes obtained from $X$ by adding or removing cells. Also we set $G=\pi_{1}(X)$ with respect to this basepoint.

Let $C_{*}(X)$ be denoted by:

$$
F_{3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} F_{2} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} F_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} F_{0}
$$

where the $F_{i}, i=0,1,2,3$, are free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and the $\partial_{i}$ are linear maps over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

We have $H^{3}\left(X ; F_{3}\right)=0$ so in particular there exists $\phi$ such the following diagram commutes:


Hence $\partial_{3}$ is the inclusion of the first summand $\partial_{3}: F_{3} \hookrightarrow \partial_{3}\left(F_{3}\right) \oplus S=$ $F_{2}$, where $S$ is the kernel of $\phi$. Let $X^{\prime}$ denote the wedge of $X$ with one disk for each 3-cell in $X$. Then the inclusion of cell complexes $X \hookrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a homotopy equivalence and:

$$
C_{*}\left(X^{\prime}\right): \quad F_{3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}^{\prime}} F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime}} F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} F_{0}
$$

Here $F_{3}^{\prime} \cong F_{3}$ and the maps are defined as follows:
$\partial_{1}^{\prime}$ restricts to $\partial_{1}$ on $F_{1}$ and restricts to 0 on $F_{3}^{\prime}$,
$\partial_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\partial_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
and $\partial_{3}^{\prime}$ is $\partial_{3}: F_{3} \rightarrow F_{2}$ composed with the natural inclusion: $F_{2} \hookrightarrow F_{2} \oplus$ $F_{3}^{\prime}$. Thus $\partial_{3}^{\prime}$ is the inclusion into the first summand: $\partial_{3}^{\prime}: F_{3} \hookrightarrow \partial_{3}^{\prime} F_{3} \oplus S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$.

Let $m$ denote the number of 2-cells in $X$. The submodule $S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime} \subset$ $\left(\partial_{3}^{\prime} F_{3} \oplus S\right) \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $S \oplus F_{3} \cong F_{2}$ and hence has a basis $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$.

The cell complex $X^{\prime}$ has one 0 -cell, so $F_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let $n$ denote the number of 1-cells in $X^{\prime}$. Then each 1 -cell corresponds to a generator $g_{i}, i \in$ $[1, \cdots, n]$ of $G$. Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$.

Let $r$ denote the number of 2 -cells in $X^{\prime}$. The attaching map for each $2-$ cell maps the boundary of a disk round a word in the $g_{i}$. For each 2-cell let $R_{j}, j \in[1, \cdots, r]$ denote this word. Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{E}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{E}_{r}\right\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$. Thus we have a presentation $G=\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \mid R_{1}, \cdots, R_{r}\right\rangle$.

We may therefore express each $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ as a linear combination of the $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$. Thus for some integers $v_{i}$ and sequences $j_{i 1}, \cdots, j_{i v_{i}} \in\{1, \cdots, r\}$ we have:

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\sum_{l=1}^{v_{i}} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{j}_{i l}} \lambda_{i l} \sigma_{i l}
$$

with each $\lambda_{i l} \in G$ and $\sigma_{i l} \in\{1,-1\}$. For each $i \in[1, \cdots, m], l \in\left[1, \cdots, v_{i}\right]$ let $w_{i l}$ be a word in the $g_{k}, k=1, \cdots, n$, representing $\lambda_{i l}$. Now for each $i=1, \cdots m$, let:

$$
S_{i}=\prod_{l=1}^{v_{i}} w_{i l}^{-1} R_{j_{i l}}^{\sigma_{i l}} w_{i l}
$$

For each $i \in\{1, \cdots m\}$, attach a 2 -cell $a_{i}$ to $X^{\prime}$ by mapping the boundary of the disk around the path in the 1 -skeleton of $X^{\prime}$ corresponding to the word $S_{i}$. Let $Z$ denote the resulting finite cell complex. Note that each word $S_{i}$ corresponds to a trivial element of $G$, so the inclusion $X^{\prime} \subset Z$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}(Z)$. Hence we may write $C_{*}(Z)$ :

$$
C_{*}(Z): \quad F_{3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3 \prime}^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}\left(\xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime}} \partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} F_{0}
$$

where $\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ is understood to be $\partial_{3}^{\prime}: F_{3} \rightarrow\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ composed with the natural inclusion $\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}$.

For $i=1, \cdots, m$ let $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}$ be the basis element of $F_{2}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the 2 -cell $a_{i}$. Recall the Fox free differential, $\partial$. We have:

$$
\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\partial S_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{v_{i}} \partial\left(w_{i l}^{-1} R_{j_{i l}}^{\sigma_{i l}} w_{i l}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{v_{i}} \partial_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{j}_{i l}} \lambda_{i l} \sigma_{i l}=\partial_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}
$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ represents a class in $H_{2}\left(\widetilde{Z^{(2)}} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ which is isomorphic to $\pi_{2}\left(Z^{(2)}\right)$ via the Hurewicz isomorphism composed with the map $\left.\pi_{2} \widetilde{Z^{(2)}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{2}\left(Z^{(2)}\right)$ induced by the covering map. Let $\psi_{i}: S^{2} \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$ represent the corresponding element of $\pi_{2}\left(Z^{(2)}\right)$.

Then for each $i=1, \cdots, m$ we may attach a 3 -cell $b_{i}$ to $Z$ via the map $\psi_{i}$. We denote the resulting complex $X^{\prime \prime}$.

Lemma 3.1 The inclusion $\iota: X^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime \prime}$ is a homotopy equivalence.
Proof: Starting with $X^{\prime}$, for each $i$ we attached a 2 -cell $a_{i}$ with contractable boundary in $X^{\prime}$, and then attached a 3 -cell $b_{i}$ with $a_{i}$ as a free face. Thus $X^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $X^{\prime}$ through a series of cell expansions and the inclusion $X^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime \prime}$ is a simple homotopy equivalence.

Let $Y$ denote the subcomplex of $X^{\prime \prime}$ consisting of the 1 -skeleton, $X^{\prime \prime(1)}$, together with the $a_{i}, i=1, \cdots, m$. Let $\varepsilon$ denote the group presentation $\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \mid S_{1}, \cdots, S_{m}\right\rangle$ and let $E$ denote the underlying group. By construction we have: $Y=\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r} \in E$ denote the elements represented by the words $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{r}$. Let $K$ denote the normal closure in $E$ of $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$. By construction then, $K$ is finitely closed and we have a short exact sequence of groups:

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

Lemma 3.2 $K$ is a perfect group.
Proof: Clearly $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a right module over itself and there is a left action of $E$ on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The algebraic complex $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is given by:

$$
F_{2}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} F_{0}
$$

Now consider $C_{*}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
F_{3} \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}^{\prime}} F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime}} F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} F_{0}
$$

As $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ is simply connected, we have $\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.
Recall that $F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}=\partial_{3}^{\prime}\left(F_{3}\right) \oplus S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$ and that $S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}$ has basis $\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Clearly $\partial_{2}^{\prime}$ restricts to 0 on $\partial_{3}^{\prime}\left(F_{3}\right)$, so $\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ which is generated by the $\partial_{2}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$.

Also recall that $\partial_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Hence $\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E}\right.$ $\mathbb{Z}[G])=0$.

However by restricting coefficients $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ may be regarded as an algebraic complex of free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[K]$. Hence we have:
$K /[K, K]=H_{1}(K ; \mathbb{Z})=H_{1}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{K} \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{1}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G]\right)=0$.
where $\mathbb{Z}$ is regarded as having a trivial left $K$-action.
Lemma 3.3 $X^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$where + is taken with respect to $K$.
Proof: We may identify $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ with the subcomplex $Y \subset X^{\prime \prime}$. The inclusion $\ell: \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ then induces the quotient map $E \rightarrow E / K$ on fundamental groups. By Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to show that $H_{*}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y ; M\right)=0$ for all left coefficient modules $M$.
Let $\ell_{*}: C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow C_{*}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the chain map induced by the inclusion $\ell: \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ccc}
F_{2}^{\prime} \\
\downarrow^{\ell_{2}}
\end{array} \stackrel{\xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}{ } \quad \begin{array}{c}
\left(F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} \\
\downarrow^{\ell_{1}}
\end{array} \\
& F_{3} \oplus F_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{3}^{\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime}} \partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}\left({ }_{\xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime}} \partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}}^{\longrightarrow}\left(F_{1} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime}} F_{0}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ has a basis $\boldsymbol{D}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{D}_{m}$ corresponding to the 3 -cells $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}$, so for $i=1, \cdots, m$ we have $\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(D_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Here $\ell_{0}$ and $\ell_{1}$ are the identity maps and $\ell_{2}$ is the inclusion of the second summand.

We have $\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime} F_{3} \oplus\left(S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence we have $\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}=$ $\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime} F_{3} \oplus\left(S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}$.

The submodule $\left(S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ has basis $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. The submodule $F_{2}^{\prime}$ has basis $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Also $\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ has basis $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{1}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{1}}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{m}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Hence we have the following equality of submodules: $\left(S \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}=\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}$

Thus:

$$
\left(F_{2} \oplus F_{3}^{\prime}\right) \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}=\partial_{3}^{\prime \prime} F_{3} \oplus \partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}
$$

The relative chain complex $C_{*}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y\right)$ is therefore given by:

$$
F_{3} \oplus F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial_{3}^{\prime \prime} F_{3} \oplus \partial_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$

and $H_{*}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y ; M\right)=0$ for all left coefficient modules $M$ as required.
As $X \sim X^{\prime \prime}$, we have proved the following theorem:
Theorem 3.4 Let $X$ be a finite connected 3-complex with $H^{3}(X ; \beta)=0$ for all coefficient bundles $\beta$. Then $X$ has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$for some finite presentation $\varepsilon$ of a group $E$, where + is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

## §4 Implications for the $D(2)$ problem

The $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem asks if every finite cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complex must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2 -complex. Clearly a counterexample must have a connected component which is also cohomologically 2 -dimensional but not homotopy equivalent to a finite $2-$ complex. By Theorem 3.4 this component must have the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$for some finite presentation $\varepsilon$ of a group $E$, where + is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

Conversely, by Corollary 2.5, given any finite presentation $\varepsilon$ of a group $E$ together with some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$ we have a cohomologically 2 -dimensional finite 3-complex, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$. It follows that the $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem is equivalent to:

Given a finite presentation $\epsilon$ for a group $E$, and a finitely closed perfect normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$, must $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2 -complex?

Suppose that we have a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+} \sim Y$ for some finite 2 -complex $Y$. Let $T$ be a maximal tree in the 1 -skeleton of $Y$. The quotient map $Y \rightarrow Y / T$ is a homotopy equivalence so $Y \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $\pi_{1}(Y)=\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)=E / K$.

Hence the affirmative answer to the the $\mathrm{D}(2)$ problem would be equivalent to:

For all finitely presented groups $E$ and all perfect finitely closed normal subgroups $K \triangleleft E$ and all finite presentations $\varepsilon$ of $E$, there exists a finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $E / K$ and a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+} \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ inducing the identity $1: E / K \rightarrow E / K$ on fundamental groups.

Lemma 4.1 The following are equivalent:
i) There exists a homotopy equivalence: $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+} \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ inducing the identity 1: $E / K \rightarrow$ $E / K$ on fundamental groups.
ii) There exists a chain homotopy equivalence: $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right) \sim C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$.

Proof: i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) is immediate. Conversely, from ii) we have a chain homotopy equivalence between the algebraic complexes associated to a finite cohomologically 2 -dimensional 3-complex and a finite 2 -complex (with respect to an isomorphism of fundamental groups). To show that ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) we must construct a homotopy equivalence between the spaces, inducing the same isomorphism on fundamental groups. For finite fundamental groups this is done in [8, proof of Theorem 59.4]. The same argument holds for all finitely presented fundamental groups [8, Appendix B, Proof of Weak Realization Theorem].

From the proof of lemma 2.4, $C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \sim C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)$. Hence we have:

Theorem 4.2 The following two statements are equivalent:
i) Let $X$, a finite 3-complex with $H^{3}(X ; \beta)=0$ for all coefficient bundles $\beta$. Then $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2-complex.
ii) Let $K$ be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup of a finitely presented group $E$. For each finite presentation $\varepsilon$ of $E$, there exists a finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $E / K$, such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}[E / K]$ :

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[E / K] \rightarrow C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)
$$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

where $G$ is a finitely presented group and $F$ is a free group generated by elements $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n}$. Let $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m}$ be elements of $L$.

Definition $4.3\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \mid R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m}\right\rangle$ is called a finite partial presentation for $G$ when the normal closure $N_{F}\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m}\right)$ surjects onto $L /[L, L]$ under the quotient map $L \rightarrow L /[L, L]$.

Note that a finite partial presentation $\varepsilon=\left\langle g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \mid R_{1}, \cdots R_{m}\right\rangle$ as above is an actual finite presentation of some group $E$, so it has a well defined Cayley complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $K$ denote the kernel of the homomorphism $E \rightarrow G$ sending each $g_{i}$ to the corresponding element in $G$. If $G$ is finitely presented then it is finitely presented on the generators in $\varepsilon$ [3, Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. As $K$ is the normal closure in $E$ of the images of this finite set of relators we have that $K$ is finitely closed.

Further $K$ is perfect as every $k \in K$ may be lifted to an element of $L$ which may be written in the form $a b$ where $a \in[L, L]$ and $b \in N_{F}\left(R_{1}, \cdots R_{m}\right)$. Thus $k$ is equal to the image of $a$ in $E$, so $k \in[K, K]$. Thus a finite partial presentation $\varepsilon$ of a finitely presented group $G$ may be viewed as a presentation satisfying the hypothesis' of statement ii) in Theorem 4.2.

Conversely, given $\varepsilon$ as in statement ii) Theorem 4.2, we have that $\varepsilon$ is a finite partial presentation of $E / K$ (as $K=[K, K]$ ), and $E / K$ is finitely presented (as K is finitely closed).

Thus statement ii) is equivalent to:
ii)' Given a finite partial presentation $\varepsilon$ of a finitely presented group $G$, there exists a finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $G$, such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right) \otimes_{E} \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow C_{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)
$$

where $E$ is the group presented by $\varepsilon$ and each $x \in E$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ by left multiplication by its image in $G$.

One approach to the $D(2)$ problem is to use Euler characteristic as an obstruction. That is, given a finite cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complex $X$, if we can show that every finite 2-complex $Y$ with $\pi_{1}(Y)=\pi_{1}(X)$ satisfies $\chi(X)<\chi(Y)$ then clearly $X$ cannot be homotopy equivalent to any such $Y$. It has been shown that certain constructions involving presentations of a group would allow one to construct such a space [6, Theorem 3.5]. A candidate for such a space is given in [2]. In light of Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.4 we are able to generalize this approach.

The deficiency $\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})$ of a finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ is the number of generators minus the number of relators. We say a presentation of a group is minimal if it has the maximal possible deficiency. A finitely presented
group $G$ always has a minimal presentation, because an upper bound for the deficiency of a presentation is given by $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G /[G, G])$. The deficiency $\operatorname{Def}(G)$ of a finitely presented group $G$ is defined to be the deficiency of a minimal presentation.

Again let $K \triangleleft E$ be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup. Then if $\varepsilon$ is a finite presentation of $E$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite presentation for $E / K$ we have:

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}\right)=1-\operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) \quad \chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)=1-\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})
$$

Lemma 4.4 If $\operatorname{Def}(E)>\operatorname{Def}(E / K)$ then given a minimal presentation $\varepsilon$ of $E$ we have that $\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)<\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)$ for any finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $E / K$.

Proof:

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)=1-\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G}) \geq 1-\operatorname{Def}(E / K)>1-\operatorname{Def}(E)=1-\operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon)=\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)
$$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups:

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

with $E, G$ finitely presented. Then given a finite presentation for $E$, the images in $G$ of the generators will generate $G$. We may present $G$ on these generators with a finite set of relators [3, Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. Let $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$ denote the elements of $K$ represented by these relators. Then $K$ is the normal closure in $E$ of $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$ and so $K$ is finitely closed in $E$. In particular $K /[K, K]$ is generated by the $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$ as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ (where $G$ acts on $K /[K, K]$ by conjugation). Let $\operatorname{rk}_{G}(K)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate $K /[K, K]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Theorem 4.5 The following statements are equivalent:
i) There exists a connected finite cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complex $X$, such that for all finite connected 2-complexes $Y$ with $\pi_{1}(Y)=\pi_{1}(X)$ we have $\chi(X)<\chi(Y)$.
ii) There exists a short exact sequence of groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ with $E$, $G$ finitely presented and

$$
\mathrm{rk}_{G}(K)+\operatorname{Def}(G)<\operatorname{Def}(E)
$$

Proof: $\quad$ i) $\Rightarrow$ ii): By Theorem 3.4, $X$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$ for some finite presentation $\varepsilon$ of some group $E$ and some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K$. Let $G=E / K$. We have a short exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

As $K$ is finitely closed, $G$ is finitely presented. As $K$ is perfect we have $\mathrm{rk}_{G}(K)=0$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be some finite presentation of $G$. We have:

$$
1-\operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon)=\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)<\chi\left(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}\right)=1-\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})
$$

Thus $\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})<\operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon)$. As $\mathcal{G}$ was chosen arbitrarily, we have $\operatorname{Def}(G)<$ $\operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) \leq \operatorname{Def}(E)$. Hence $0+\operatorname{Def}(G)<\operatorname{Def}(E)$ as required.
ii) $\Rightarrow$ i): We start with the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow$ 1. Let $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r} \in K$ generate $K /[K, K]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where $r=\operatorname{rk}_{G}(K)$. Let $K^{\prime}$ denote the normal closure in $E$ of $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$. Then we have a short exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow K / K^{\prime} \rightarrow E / K^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1
$$

Then $K=K^{\prime}[K, K]$ so $K / K^{\prime}$ is perfect. From the discussion preceding this theorem we know that $K$ is finitely closed in $E$, so $K / K^{\prime}$ must be finitely closed in $E / K^{\prime}$. Also $E / K^{\prime}$ may be presented by taking a minimal presentation of $E$ and adding $r$ relators (representing to $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}$ ). Hence:

$$
\operatorname{Def}\left(E / K^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{Def}(E)-\operatorname{rk}_{G}(K)>\operatorname{Def}(G)
$$

Take a minimal presentation $\varepsilon$ of $E / K^{\prime}$ and let $X=\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}$, where + is taken with respect to $K / K^{\prime}$. Any finite connected 2-complex $Y$ with $\pi_{1}(Y)=$ $\pi_{1}(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some finite presentation $\mathcal{G}$ of $G$. Therefore by lemma 4.4 we have $\chi(X)<\chi(Y)$ as required.

We note that Michael Dyer proved ii) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{i}$ ) in the case where $H^{3}(G ; \mathbb{Z}[G])=$ 0 and $E$ is a free group whose generators are the generating set for some minimal presentation of $G$ [6, Theorem 3.5].
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