Quillen's plus construction and the D(2) problem

W. H. Mannan

MSC: 57M20, 19D06, 57M05 Keywords: D2 problem, Quillen plus construction

Given a finite connected 3–complex with cohomological dimension 2, we show it may be constructed up to homotopy by applying the Quillen plus construction to the Cayley complex of a finite group presentation. This reduces the D(2) problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups.

§1 Introduction

Given a finite cell complex one may ask what the minimal dimension of a finite cell complex in its homotopy type is. If $n \neq 2$ and the cell complex has cohomological dimension n (with respect to all coefficient bundles), then the cell complex is in fact homotopy equivalent to a finite n-complex (a cell complex whose cells have dimension at most n). Although this has been known for around forty years (for n > 2 it is proved in [13] and for n = 1 it follows from [11], [12]), it is an open question whether or not this holds when n = 2. This question is known as Wall's D(2) problem:

Let X be a finite 3–complex with $H^3(X;\beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Must X be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex?

If X (as above) is not homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex, we say it is a counterexample which solves the D(2) problem.

For connected *X* with certain fundamental groups, it has shown been shown that *X* must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex (see for example [7], [4], [9]). However no general method has been forthcoming.

Also, whilst potential candidates for counterexamples have been constructed (see [1], [2]), no successful method has yet emerged for verifying that they are not homotopy equivalent to finite 2–complexes.

In §2 we apply the Quillen plus construction to connected 2–complexes, resulting in cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complexes. These are therefore candidates for counterexamples which solve the D(2) problem. In §3 we show that in fact all finite connected cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complexes arise this way, up to homotopy equivalence.

Finally, in §4 we use these results to reduce the D(2) problem to a question about perfect normal subgroups. This allows us to generalize existing approaches to the D(2) problem such as [8, Theorem I] and [6, Theorem 3.5].

Before moving on to the main argument we make a few notational points. All modules are right modules except where a left action is explicitly stated. The basepoint of a Cayley complex is always assumed to be its 0–cell.

If X is a connected cell complex with basepoint, we denote its universal cover \tilde{X} . Given two based loops $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi_1(X)$ their product $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ is the composition whose initial segment is γ_2 and final segment is γ_1 . With this convention, we have a natural right action of $\pi_1(X)$ on the cells of \tilde{X} . Let $G = \pi_1(X)$. We can regard the associated chain complex of \tilde{X} as an algebraic complex of right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. We follow [8] in denoting this algebraic complex $C_*(X)$. Note that this differs from the convention in other texts. Thus in particular $C_*(X)$ and $C_*(\tilde{X})$ have the same underlying sequence of abelian groups, but the former is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ whilst the latter is a sequence of modules over $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(\tilde{X})] = \mathbb{Z}$.

If *Y* is a subcomplex of *X* then $C_*(Y)$ is a sequence of right modules over $\pi_1(Y)$. Let $E = \pi_1(Y)$. The induced map $E \to G$ yields a left action of *E* on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus we have an algebraic complex $C_*(Y) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The inclusion $Y \subset X$ induces a chain map $C_*(Y) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \longrightarrow C_*(X)$. The complex $C_*(X, Y)$ is defined to be the relative chain complex associated to this chain map.

The basepoint allows us to interchange between coefficient bundles over *X* and right modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus for a right module *N* we have:

$$H^n(X;N) = H^n(C_*(X);N)$$

A left module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ may be regarded as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where right multiplication by a group element is defined to be left multiplication by its inverse. Hence a left module M may also be regarded as a coefficient bundle and we have:

$$H_n(X; M) = H_n(C_*(X); M), \qquad H_n(X, Y; M) = H_n(C_*(X, Y); M)$$

Given a finitely generated Abelian group A we may regard it as a finitely generated module over \mathbb{Z} . Thus $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q} . The dimension of this vector space will be denoted $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$.

Finally given a group *G* and elements $g, h \in G$, we follow the convention that [g, h] denotes the element $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$.

§2 The plus construction applied to a Cayley complex

Let $\varepsilon = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n | R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$ be a finite presentation for a group *E*. We say a normal subgroup of *E* is *finitely closed* when it is the normal closure in *E* of a finitely generated subgroup. Let $K \triangleleft E$ be finitely closed and perfect (so K = [K, K]). Let $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ denote the Cayley complex associated to ε .

Theorem 2.1 (Quillen, see [10, Theorem 5.2.2]) There is a 3–complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$, containing $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ as a subcomplex, such that the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ induces the quotient map $E \to E/K$ on fundamental groups and $H_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+, \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}; M) = 0$ for all left modules M over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$. Further, given another such 3–complex X, there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+ \to X$ extending the identity map of the common subspace $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

In fact we may construct $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ explicitly, using the fact that K is finitely closed to ensure that we end up with a finite cell complex. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in K$ generate a subgroup of E whose normal closure (in E) is K. As K = [K, K], each k_i may be expressed as a product of commutators: $k_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [a_{ij}, b_{ij}]$ with each $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in K$. Then each a_{ij}, b_{ij} may be represented by words A_{ij}, B_{ij} in the g_l , $l = 1, \dots, n$. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ attach a 2–cell E_i to $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ whose boundary corresponds to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}]$. Denote the resulting chain complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}'$.

The chain complex $C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$ may written:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \colon C_2(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$$

Note that the boundary map ∂_2 applied to a 2–cell is the Fox free differential: $\partial: F_{\{g_1,\dots,g_n\}} \to C_1(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$, applied to the word which the 2–cell bounds (see [8, §48] and [5]). Let e_i denote the generator in $C_1(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$ representing the generator g_i . The free Fox differential is then characterized by:

i) $\partial g_i = e_i$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$

ii) $\partial(AB) = \partial(A)B + \partial(B)$ for all words A, B.

Clearly the inclusion $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}$ induces the quotient map $E \to E/K$ on fundamental groups. There is a right action of $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$ on itself. Further there is a left action of E on $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.

Lemma 2.2 As an algebraic complex of right $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$ modules $C_*(\mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon})$ may be written:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}) \colon C_2(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\partial_2 \oplus 0} C_1(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K]$$

Proof: The boundary of E_i is given by the free Fox differential ∂ , applied to the word $\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}]$. However,

$$\partial \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} [A_{ij}, B_{ij}] = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} [\partial A_{ij} + \partial B_{ij} - \partial A_{ij} - \partial B_{ij}] = 0$$

as each A_{ij}, B_{ij} represents an element of K and hence is trivial in $\pi_1(\mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}) = E/K$.

Each E_i therefore generates an element of $H_2(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varepsilon}; \mathbb{Z})$. By the Hurewicz isomorphism Theorem we have isomorphisms $H_2(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varepsilon}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_2(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varepsilon}) \cong \pi_2(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$ coming from the Hurewicz homomorphism and the covering map respectively. Let $\psi_i: S^2 \to \mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}$ represent the element of $\pi_2(\mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon})$ which corresponds to E_i under these isomorphisms.

For each $i \in 1, \dots r$ we then attach a 3–cell B_i to $\mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}$ via the attaching map:

 $\psi_i: \partial B_i \to \mathcal{K}'_{\varepsilon}$. Let $\mathcal{K}''_{\varepsilon}$ denote the resulting 3–complex. Then we have $C_*(\mathcal{K}''_{\varepsilon})$:

$$\mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\partial_3} C_2(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\partial_2 \oplus 0} C_2(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K]$$

where ∂_3 is inclusion of the second summand.

Hence we have:

Lemma 2.3 $H_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}'', \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}; M) = 0$ for all left modules M over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.

Proof: We have the following relative complex:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}'',\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}): \quad \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[E/K]^r \to 0 \to 0$$

Thus by Theorem 2.1 we may conclude that $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}''$ has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$.

Lemma 2.4 The complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}''$ is cohomologically 2–dimensional.

Proof: The inclusion $\iota \colon \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}''$ induces a chain homotopy equivalence:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \to C_*(\mathcal{K}''_{\varepsilon})$$

Corollary 2.5 We may choose $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ to be the cohomologically 2–dimensional finite 3–complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}''$.

§3 Cohomologically 2-dimensional 3-complexes

Let X be a finite connected 3–complex with $H^3(\overline{X};\beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . In this section we will show that up to homotopy, X arises as the Quillen plus construction applied to a finite Cayley complex.

Let *T* be a maximal tree in the 1–skeleton of *X*. The quotient map $X \to X/T$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that *X* has one 0–cell. We take this to be the basepoint of *X* and any complexes obtained from *X* by adding or removing cells. Also we set $G = \pi_1(X)$ with respect to this basepoint.

Let $C_*(X)$ be denoted by:

$$F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0$$

where the F_i , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, are free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ and the ∂_i are linear maps over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

We have $H^3(X; F_3) = 0$ so in particular there exists ϕ such the following diagram commutes:

Hence ∂_3 is the inclusion of the first summand ∂_3 : $F_3 \hookrightarrow \partial_3(F_3) \oplus S = F_2$, where *S* is the kernel of ϕ . Let *X'* denote the wedge of *X* with one disk for each 3–cell in *X*. Then the inclusion of cell complexes $X \hookrightarrow X'$ is a homotopy equivalence and:

$$C_*(X'): \qquad F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} F_2 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} F_1 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

Here $F'_3 \cong F_3$ and the maps are defined as follows: ∂'_1 restricts to ∂_1 on F_1 and restricts to 0 on $F'_{3'}$

$$\partial_2' = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and ∂'_3 is $\partial_3 \colon F_3 \to F_2$ composed with the natural inclusion: $F_2 \hookrightarrow F_2 \oplus F'_3$. Thus ∂'_3 is the inclusion into the first summand: $\partial'_3 \colon F_3 \hookrightarrow \partial'_3 F_3 \oplus S \oplus F'_3$.

Let *m* denote the number of 2–cells in *X*. The submodule $S \oplus F'_3 \subset (\partial'_3F_3 \oplus S) \oplus F'_3$ is isomorphic to $S \oplus F_3 \cong F_2$ and hence has a basis $x_1, \dots, x_m \in F_2 \oplus F'_3$.

The cell complex X' has one 0–cell, so $F_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let n denote the number of 1–cells in X'. Then each 1–cell corresponds to a generator g_i , $i \in [1, \dots, n]$ of G. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_1 \oplus F'_3$.

Let *r* denote the number of 2–cells in *X'*. The attaching map for each 2– cell maps the boundary of a disk round a word in the g_i . For each 2–cell let $R_j, j \in [1, \dots, r]$ denote this word. Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ form the corresponding basis for $F_2 \oplus F'_3$. Thus we have a presentation $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n | R_1, \dots, R_r \rangle$.

We may therefore express each x_i as a linear combination of the E_j . Thus for some integers v_i and sequences $j_{i1}, \dots, j_{iv_i} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have:

$$oldsymbol{x_i} = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} oldsymbol{E_{j_{il}}} \lambda_{il} \sigma_{il}$$

with each $\lambda_{il} \in G$ and $\sigma_{il} \in \{1, -1\}$. For each $i \in [1, \dots, m]$, $l \in [1, \dots, v_i]$ let w_{il} be a word in the g_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, representing λ_{il} . Now for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, let:

$$S_{i} = \prod_{l=1}^{v_{i}} w_{il}^{-1} R_{j_{il}}^{\sigma_{il}} w_{il}$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, attach a 2–cell a_i to X' by mapping the boundary of the disk around the path in the 1–skeleton of X' corresponding to the word S_i . Let Z denote the resulting finite cell complex. Note that each word S_i corresponds to a trivial element of G, so the inclusion $X' \subset Z$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(X') \cong \pi_1(Z)$. Hence we may write $C_*(Z)$:

$$C_*(Z): \quad F_3 \stackrel{\partial_3''}{\to} (F_2 \oplus F_3') \oplus F_2' \stackrel{\left(\begin{array}{c} \partial_2' & \partial_2'' \end{array}\right)}{\longrightarrow} (F_1 \oplus F_3') \stackrel{\partial_1'}{\to} F_0$$

where ∂''_3 is understood to be ∂'_3 : $F_3 \to (F_2 \oplus F'_3)$ composed with the natural inclusion $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \hookrightarrow (F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2$.

For $i = 1, \dots, m$ let A_i be the basis element of F'_2 corresponding to the 2–cell a_i . Recall the Fox free differential, ∂ . We have:

$$\partial_2'' \boldsymbol{A_i} = \partial S_i = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} \partial (w_{il}^{-1} R_{j_{il}}^{\sigma_{il}} w_{il}) = \sum_{l=1}^{v_i} \partial_2' \boldsymbol{E_{j_{il}}} \lambda_{il} \sigma_{il} = \partial_2' \boldsymbol{x_i}$$

Thus $A_i - x_i$ represents a class in $H_2(Z^{(2)}; \mathbb{Z})$ which is isomorphic to $\pi_2(Z^{(2)})$ via the Hurewicz isomorphism composed with the map $\pi_2(\widetilde{Z^{(2)}}) \rightarrow \pi_2(Z^{(2)})$ induced by the covering map. Let $\psi_i \colon S^2 \rightarrow Z^{(2)}$ represent the corresponding element of $\pi_2(Z^{(2)})$.

Then for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ we may attach a 3–cell b_i to Z via the map ψ_i . We denote the resulting complex X''.

Lemma 3.1 The inclusion $\iota: X' \subset X''$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof: Starting with X', for each i we attached a 2–cell a_i with contractable boundary in X', and then attached a 3–cell b_i with a_i as a free face. Thus X'' is obtained from X' through a series of cell expansions and the inclusion $X' \subset X''$ is a simple homotopy equivalence.

Let *Y* denote the subcomplex of *X*" consisting of the 1–skeleton, *X*"⁽¹⁾, together with the a_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let ε denote the group presentation $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n | S_1, \dots, S_m \rangle$ and let *E* denote the underlying group. By construction we have: $Y = \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in E$ denote the elements represented by the words R_1, \dots, R_r . Let *K* denote the normal closure in *E* of k_1, \dots, k_r . By construction then, *K* is finitely closed and we have a short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \to K \to E \to G \to 1$$

Lemma 3.2 *K* is a perfect group.

Proof: Clearly $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a right module over itself and there is a left action of *E* on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The algebraic complex $C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]$ is given by:

$$F_2' \stackrel{\partial_2''}{\to} (F_1 \oplus F_3') \stackrel{\partial_1'}{\to} F_0$$

Now consider $C_*(X')$:

$$F_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_3} F_2 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} F_1 \oplus F'_3 \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} F_0$$

As X' is simply connected, we have $\ker(\partial_1') = \operatorname{Im}(\partial_2')$.

Recall that $F_2 \oplus F'_3 = \partial'_3(F_3) \oplus S \oplus F'_3$ and that $S \oplus F'_3$ has basis x_1, \dots, x_m . Clearly ∂'_2 restricts to 0 on $\partial'_3(F_3)$, so ker $(\partial'_1) = \text{Im}(\partial'_2)$ which is generated by the $\partial'_2(x_i)$.

Also recall that $\partial'_2 \boldsymbol{x_i} = \partial''_2 \boldsymbol{A_i}$. Hence $\ker(\partial'_1) = \operatorname{Im}(\partial''_2)$ and $H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$.

However by restricting coefficients $C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon})$ may be regarded as an algebraic complex of free modules over $\mathbb{Z}[K]$. Hence we have:

$$K/[K,K] = H_1(K;\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_K \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0.$$

where \mathbb{Z} is regarded as having a trivial left *K*-action.

Lemma 3.3 $X'' = \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ where + is taken with respect to K.

Proof: We may identify $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ with the subcomplex $Y \subset X''$. The inclusion $\ell: \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow X''$ then induces the quotient map $E \to E/K$ on fundamental groups. By Theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to show that $H_*(X'', Y; M) = 0$ for all left coefficient modules M.

Let $\ell_* \colon C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \to C_*(X'')$ be the chain map induced by the inclusion $\ell \colon \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow X''$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F_2' & \xrightarrow{\partial_2''} & (F_1 \oplus F_3') \xrightarrow{\partial_1'} F_0 \\ \downarrow^{\ell_2} & & \downarrow^{\ell_1} & \downarrow^{\ell_0} \end{array}$$
$$F_3 \oplus F_2'' \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3'' & \partial_3''' \\ \to \end{pmatrix} (F_2 \oplus F_3') \oplus F_2' \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2' & \partial_2'' \\ \to \end{pmatrix} (F_1 \oplus F_3') \xrightarrow{\partial_1'} F_0$$

where F_2'' has a basis D_1, \dots, D_m corresponding to the 3-cells b_1, \dots, b_m , so for $i = 1, \dots, m$ we have $\partial_3''(D_i) = A_i - x_i$. Here ℓ_0 and ℓ_1 are the identity maps and ℓ_2 is the inclusion of the second summand.

We have $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus (S \oplus F'_3)$. Hence we have $(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus (S \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2$.

The submodule $(S \oplus F'_3)$ has basis x_1, \dots, x_m . The submodule F'_2 has basis A_1, \dots, A_m . Also $\partial_3''' F_2''$ has basis $A_1 - x_1, \dots, A_m - x_m$. Hence we have the following equality of submodules: $(S \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial_3''' F''_2 \oplus F'_2$

Thus:

$$(F_2 \oplus F'_3) \oplus F'_2 = \partial''_3 F_3 \oplus \partial'''_3 F''_2 \oplus F'_2$$

The relative chain complex $C_*(X'', Y)$ is therefore given by:

$$F_3 \oplus F_2'' \xrightarrow{\sim} \partial_3'' F_3 \oplus \partial_3''' F_2'' \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0$$

and $H_*(X'', Y; M) = 0$ for all left coefficient modules M as required. \Box

As $X \sim X''$, we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4 Let X be a finite connected 3–complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Then X has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}^+_{\varepsilon}$ for some finite presentation ε of a group E, where + is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

§4 Implications for the D(2) problem

The D(2) problem asks if every finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex must be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex. Clearly a counterexample must have a connected component which is also cohomologically 2–dimensional but not homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex. By Theorem 3.4 this component must have the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ for some finite presentation ε of a group E, where + is taken with respect to some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$.

Conversely, by Corollary 2.5, given any finite presentation ε of a group E together with some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$ we have a cohomologically 2–dimensional finite 3–complex, $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$. It follows that the D(2) problem is equivalent to:

Given a finite presentation ϵ for a group E, and a finitely closed perfect normal subgroup $K \triangleleft E$, must $\mathcal{K}^+_{\varepsilon}$ be homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex?

Suppose that we have a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+ \sim Y$ for some finite 2-complex *Y*. Let *T* be a maximal tree in the 1-skeleton of *Y*. The quotient map $Y \to Y/T$ is a homotopy equivalence so $Y \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some finite presentation \mathcal{G} of $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+) = E/K$.

Hence the affirmative answer to the the D(2) problem would be equivalent to: For all finitely presented groups E and all perfect finitely closed normal subgroups $K \triangleleft E$ and all finite presentations ε of E, there exists a finite presentation \mathcal{G} of E/K and a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+ \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ inducing the identity $1: E/K \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups.

Lemma 4.1 *The following are equivalent:*

i) There exists a homotopy equivalence: $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+ \sim \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ inducing the identity $1: E/K \rightarrow E/K$ on fundamental groups.

ii) There exists a chain homotopy equivalence: $C_*(\mathcal{K}^+_{\varepsilon}) \sim C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}})$ over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$.

Proof: i) \Rightarrow ii) is immediate. Conversely, from ii) we have a chain homotopy equivalence between the algebraic complexes associated to a finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex and a finite 2–complex (with respect to an isomorphism of fundamental groups). To show that ii) \Rightarrow i) we must construct a homotopy equivalence between the spaces, inducing the same isomorphism on fundamental groups. For finite fundamental groups this is done in [8, proof of Theorem 59.4]. The same argument holds for all finitely presented fundamental groups [8, Appendix B, *Proof of Weak Realization Theorem*].

From the proof of lemma 2.4, $C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \sim C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+)$. Hence we have:

Theorem 4.2 *The following two statements are equivalent:*

i) Let X, a finite 3–complex with $H^3(X; \beta) = 0$ for all coefficient bundles β . Then X is homotopy equivalent to a finite 2–complex.

ii) Let *K* be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup of a finitely presented group *E*. For each finite presentation ε of *E*, there exists a finite presentation *G* of *E*/*K*, such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}[E/K]$:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[E/K] \to C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}})$$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to L \to F \to G \to 1$$

where *G* is a finitely presented group and *F* is a free group generated by elements g_1, \dots, g_n . Let R_1, \dots, R_m be elements of *L*.

Definition 4.3 $\langle g_1, \dots, g_n | R_1, \dots, R_m \rangle$ is called a finite partial presentation for G when the normal closure $N_F(R_1, \dots, R_m)$ surjects onto L/[L, L] under the quotient map $L \to L/[L, L]$.

Note that a finite partial presentation $\varepsilon = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n | R_1, \dots R_m \rangle$ as above is an actual finite presentation of some group *E*, so it has a well defined Cayley complex $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let *K* denote the kernel of the homomorphism $E \to G$ sending each g_i to the corresponding element in *G*. If *G* is finitely presented then it is finitely presented on the generators in ε [3, Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. As *K* is the normal closure in *E* of the images of this finite set of relators we have that *K* is finitely closed.

Further *K* is perfect as every $k \in K$ may be lifted to an element of *L* which may be written in the form *ab* where $a \in [L, L]$ and $b \in N_F(R_1, \dots R_m)$. Thus *k* is equal to the image of *a* in *E*, so $k \in [K, K]$. Thus a finite partial presentation ε of a finitely presented group *G* may be viewed as a presentation satisfying the hypothesis' of statement ii) in Theorem 4.2.

Conversely, given ε as in statement ii) Theorem 4.2, we have that ε is a finite partial presentation of E/K (as K = [K, K]), and E/K is finitely presented (as K is finitely closed).

Thus statement ii) is equivalent to:

ii)' Given a finite partial presentation ε of a finitely presented group G, there exists a finite presentation \mathcal{G} of G, such that we have a chain homotopy equivalence:

$$C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) \otimes_E \mathbb{Z}[G] \to C_*(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}})$$

where *E* is the group presented by ε and each $x \in E$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ by left multiplication by its image in *G*.

One approach to the D(2) problem is to use Euler characteristic as an obstruction. That is, given a finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex X, if we can show that every finite 2–complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ satisfies $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$ then clearly X cannot be homotopy equivalent to any such Y. It has been shown that certain constructions involving presentations of a group would allow one to construct such a space [6, Theorem 3.5]. A candidate for such a space is given in [2]. In light of Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.4 we are able to generalize this approach.

The deficiency Def(G) of a finite presentation G is the number of generators minus the number of relators. We say a presentation of a group is minimal if it has the maximal possible deficiency. A finitely presented

group *G* always has a minimal presentation, because an upper bound for the deficiency of a presentation is given by $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G/[G,G])$. The deficiency $\operatorname{Def}(G)$ of a finitely presented group *G* is defined to be the deficiency of a minimal presentation.

Again let $K \triangleleft E$ be a perfect finitely closed normal subgroup. Then if ε is a finite presentation of E and G is a finite presentation for E/K we have:

$$\chi(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+}) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}) = 1 - \operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) \qquad \qquad \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}) = 1 - \operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})$$

Lemma 4.4 If Def(E) > Def(E/K) then given a minimal presentation ε of E we have that $\chi(\mathcal{K}^+_{\varepsilon}) < \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}})$ for any finite presentation \mathcal{G} of E/K.

Proof:

 $\chi(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}) = 1 - \operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G}) \ge 1 - \operatorname{Def}(E/K) > 1 - \operatorname{Def}(E) = 1 - \operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^{+})$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \to K \to E \to G \to 1$$

with *E*, *G* finitely presented. Then given a finite presentation for *E*, the images in *G* of the generators will generate *G*. We may present *G* on these generators with a finite set of relators [3, Chapter 1, Proposition 17]. Let k_1, \dots, k_r denote the elements of *K* represented by these relators. Then *K* is the normal closure in *E* of k_1, \dots, k_r and so *K* is finitely closed in *E*. In particular K/[K, K] is generated by the k_1, \dots, k_r as a right module over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ (where *G* acts on K/[K, K] by conjugation). Let $\operatorname{rk}_G(K)$ denote the minimal number of elements required to generate K/[K, K] over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Theorem 4.5 *The following statements are equivalent:*

i) There exists a connected finite cohomologically 2–dimensional 3–complex X, such that for all finite connected 2–complexes Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ we have $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$.

ii) There exists a short exact sequence of groups $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ with E, G finitely presented and

$$\operatorname{rk}_G(K) + \operatorname{Def}(G) < \operatorname{Def}(E)$$

Proof: i) \Rightarrow ii): By Theorem 3.4, *X* is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$ for some finite presentation ε of some group *E* and some perfect finitely closed normal subgroup *K*. Let G = E/K. We have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to K \to E \to G \to 1$$

As *K* is finitely closed, *G* is finitely presented. As *K* is perfect we have $\operatorname{rk}_G(K) = 0$. Let \mathcal{G} be some finite presentation of *G*. We have:

$$1 - \operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) = \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+) < \chi(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}) = 1 - \operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G})$$

Thus $\operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{G}) < \operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon)$. As \mathcal{G} was chosen arbitrarily, we have $\operatorname{Def}(G) < \operatorname{Def}(\varepsilon) \leq \operatorname{Def}(E)$. Hence $0 + \operatorname{Def}(G) < \operatorname{Def}(E)$ as required.

ii) \Rightarrow i): We start with the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in K$ generate K/[K, K] over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where $r = \operatorname{rk}_G(K)$. Let K' denote the normal closure in E of k_1, \dots, k_r . Then we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \to K/K' \to E/K' \to G \to 1$$

Then K = K'[K, K] so K/K' is perfect. From the discussion preceding this theorem we know that K is finitely closed in E, so K/K' must be finitely closed in E/K'. Also E/K' may be presented by taking a minimal presentation of E and adding r relators (representing to k_1, \dots, k_r). Hence:

$$\operatorname{Def}(E/K') \ge \operatorname{Def}(E) - \operatorname{rk}_G(K) > \operatorname{Def}(G)$$

Take a minimal presentation ε of E/K' and let $X = \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}^+$, where + is taken with respect to K/K'. Any finite connected 2–complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for some finite presentation \mathcal{G} of G. Therefore by lemma 4.4 we have $\chi(X) < \chi(Y)$ as required. \Box

We note that Michael Dyer proved ii) \Rightarrow i) in the case where $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ and *E* is a free group whose generators are the generating set for some minimal presentation of *G* [6, Theorem 3.5].

References

 [1] F. Rudolf Beyl and Nancy Waller ; Examples of exotic free 2– complexes and stably free non-free modules for quaternion groups : Algebraic & Geometric Topology 8 (2008) pp. 1 – 17

- [2] Martin R. Bridson and Michael Tweedale ; Deficiency and abelianized deficiency of some virtually free groups : Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 143 (2007) pp. 257 – 264
- [3] **Daniel E. Cohen**, 'Combinatorial Group Theory: a topological approach', *LMS Student Texts* 14, Cambridge University Press (1989)
- [4] Tim Edwards ; Generalised Swan modules and the D(2) problem : Algebraic & Geometric Topology 6 (2006) pp. 71 – 89
- [5] **R. H. Fox** ; Free differential calculus, V. The Alexander matrices reexamined : Ann. of Math. 71 (1960) pp. 408 – 422
- [6] **J. Harlander** ; *Some aspects of efficiency* : *Groups-Korea* '98 (Pusan), de Gruyter (2000) pp. 165–180.
- [7] F.E.A. Johnson ; Explicit homotopy equivalences in dimension two : Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 133 (2002) pp. 411 – 430
- [8] **F.E.A. Johnson**, 'Stable Modules and the D(2) Problem', *LMS Lecture Note Series* 301, Cambridge University Press (2003)
- [9] **W. H. Mannan** ; The D(2) property for D8 : Algebraic & Geometric Topology 7 (2007) pp. 517 – 528
- [10] **Jonathan Rosenberg**, 'Algebraic *K*–Theory and Its Applications', *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 147, Springer (1994)
- [11] R.G. Swan ; Groups of cohomological dimension one : J. of Algebra 12 (1969) pp. 585 – 610
- [12] John R. Stallings ; On torsion free groups with infinitely many ends
 : Ann. of Math. 88 pp. 312 334 (1968)
- [13] C.T.C. Wall ; Finiteness conditions for CW–complexes : Ann. of Math. 81 (1965) pp. 56 – 69.

Address: Building 54 School of Mathematics University of Southampton University Road Southampton SO17 1BJ Telephone: +44 (0)2380 592 141 email:wajid@mannan.info