

ON THE CYCLIC HOMOLOGY OF CERTAIN UNIVERSAL DIFFERENTIAL GRADED ALGEBRAS

CHRISTOPHER DAVIS, JULIUS FRANK, AND IRAKLI PATCHKORIA

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime and R a p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. We compute the periodic cyclic homology over R of the universal differential graded algebra $R//p$ which is obtained from R by universally killing p . We furthermore compute the cyclic and negative cyclic homologies of $R//p$ over R in infinitely many degrees.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a fixed prime p , we can consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}[u]/(u(u-p))$. It is an interesting problem to compute the algebraic K -theory $K(\mathbb{Z}[u]/(u(u-p)))$ of this ring. It follows from a result of Land and Tamme [8, Theorem 1.1 and Example 4.31] that there is a homotopy pullback square of algebraic K -theory spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{Z}[u]/(u(u-p))) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}//p), \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}//p$ is the universal differential graded algebra with one generator x in degree 1 satisfying $dx = p$.

The differential graded algebra $\mathbb{Z}//p$ has a different behaviour depending on whether the prime p is even or odd. For $p = 2$, it follows from unpublished results of Krause and Nikolaus and [8, Example 4.32] that the algebra $\mathbb{Z}//p$ is formal over the sphere spectrum. For p odd, the results of the second author [6] imply that $\mathbb{Z}//p$ is not formal as an E_1 -ring spectrum and hence also not as a differential graded algebra.

More generally, one can consider any p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra R and the differential graded algebra $R//p$. The underlying graded algebra of $R//p$ is $R[x]$ such that x is in degree 1 and $dx = p$. It follows from the Dundas-McCarthy theorem [5, 10] that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R//p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(R//p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(R/p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(R/p) \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback after p -adic completion, where TC denotes the topological cyclic homology and the horizontal maps are given by the cyclotomic trace map (see [3]). If one assumes the knowledge of $K(R/p)$ and $\mathrm{TC}(R/p)$, then using the latter square in order to compute $K(R//p)$, it suffices to compute $\mathrm{TC}(R//p)$. One can try to calculate $\mathrm{TC}(R//p)$ using the approach of Nikolaus-Scholze [11]. Recall that the topological Hochschild homology spectrum $\mathrm{THH}(R//p)$ has a circle action, and one denotes the homotopy fixed points with respect to this action by $\mathrm{TC}^-(R//p)$ and the Tate construction by $\mathrm{TP}(R//p)$. Using [11, Proposition II.1.9 and Lemma II.4.2.1], we know that after p -completion there exists a fiber sequence of spectra

$$\mathrm{TC}(R//p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TC}^-(R//p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}-\varphi} \mathrm{TP}(R//p),$$

where can is the canonical map and φ the Frobenius. Thus in order to compute the topological cyclic homology $\mathrm{TC}(R//p)$, one should compute $\mathrm{TC}^-(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{TP}(R//p)$ as well as the maps can and φ .

The ultimate goal of this project is to compute the p -completion of the spectrum $K(R//p)$ for R a p -torsion-free perfectoid ring in the sense of [1]. In this case it follows by [4] and the Dundas-McCarthy theorem [5, 10], that the p -completion of $K(R//p)$ is the connective cover of the p -completion of $\mathrm{TC}(R//p)$. Hence we need to

compute $\mathrm{TC}^-(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{TP}(R//p)$ and eventually also $\mathrm{TC}(R//p)$ for R a p -torsion-free perfectoid ring. We do not recall here perfectoid rings since the definition of these will not be relevant in this paper. However, we do wish to recall that by [2], for any perfectoid ring R , there is a circle equivariant fiber sequence after p -completion:

$$\mathrm{THH}(R//p)[2] \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R//p) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^R(R//p),$$

where $\mathrm{HH}^R(R//p)$ denotes the Hochschild homology of $R//p$ over R . By applying either the homotopy fixed points or Tate construction, one gets fiber sequences after p -completion:

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(R//p)[2] \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}^-(R//p) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}^{R,-}(R//p), \quad \mathrm{TP}(R//p)[2] \rightarrow \mathrm{TP}(R//p) \rightarrow \mathrm{HP}^R(R//p),$$

where $\mathrm{HC}^{R,-}(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{HP}^R(R//p)$ denotes the negative and periodic cyclic homology over R , respectively [9, Chapter 5].

The goal of this paper is to compute $\mathrm{HC}^{R,-}(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{HP}^R(R//p)$ for any p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra R which is not necessarily perfectoid. Having the above fiber sequences in mind, the hope is that we can solve extension problems and compute $\mathrm{TC}^-(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{TP}(R//p)$.

For simplicity we denote by $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p)$, $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{HP}_i(R//p)$, the cyclic, negative cyclic and periodic cyclic homology modules of $R//p$ over R , respectively. We can now formulate the main results of this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be an odd prime and R a p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. Then $\mathrm{HP}_i(R//p) = 0$ for i odd and for any even i , the R -module $\mathrm{HP}_i(R//p)$ is isomorphic to*

$$R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times R/5 \times \cdots,$$

where R^\wedge denotes the p -adic completion of R .

Note that all the factors R/n with n coprime to p vanish, and more generally, for any odd positive integer n , we have $R/n \cong R/p^{\nu_p(n)}$, where ν_p denotes the p -adic valuation.

To formulate the next result on the cyclic homology we need to define the following numbers: Let $A_1 := p$, and for each odd integer $j \geq 3$, we recursively define $A_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ as $A_j := \frac{p^2 A_{j-2}}{j}$. Corollary 3.6 below shows that these numbers belong to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and hence the p -adic valuations $a_i = \nu_p(A_i)$ are non-negative integers.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime and R a p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. Then $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p) = 0$ for i odd and $\mathrm{HC}_0(R//p) = R/p$. There furthermore exists an infinite set Z of positive even integers, such that for any $i \in Z$, the R -module $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p)$ is isomorphic to*

$$R/p^{a_{i-1}+2} \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(i-1).$$

The set Z contains all even numbers of the form $\frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} + 1$ for $a > 0$.

Finally, we have the following result calculating the negative cyclic homology:

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be an odd prime and R a p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. Then $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p) = 0$ for i odd and for any non-positive even i , the R -module $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p)$ is isomorphic to*

$$R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times R/5 \times \cdots.$$

There furthermore exists an infinite set $\overline{Z} \subset Z$, such that for any $i \in \overline{Z}$, the R -module $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p)$ is isomorphic to

$$R^\wedge \times R/(i-1) \times R/(i+1) \times R/(i+3) \times \cdots.$$

The set \overline{Z} contains all even numbers of the form $\frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} + 1$ for $a > 0$.

Additionally, we also compute the values of $\mathrm{HC}_{i-2}(R//p)$ for $i \in \overline{Z}$ and $i > 2$. This is a consequence of the proof of the latter theorem from which it follows that for any $i \in \overline{Z}$ and $i > 2$, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{HC}_{i-2}(R//p) \cong R/p^{a_{i-1}} \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(i-3).$$

The values of $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p)$ and $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p)$ for a general i remain still open. However, in the final section of this paper we estimate the sizes of the sets Z and \overline{Z} and conclude that for large primes they get asymptotically close to the set of all positive even integers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank John Jones and Markus Land for helpful conversations.

2. SETUP

Fix an odd prime p and let R be a commutative ring without p -torsion. We do not yet require R to be a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra.

Definition 2.1. We construct a differential graded algebra $R//p$ over R as follows: It has as its underlying graded algebra $R[x]$ with x in degree 1, and the differential is given as $\delta x = p$.

Remark 2.2. We collect some immediate observations for this algebra:

- Note that while this is strictly commutative, it is not graded commutative.
- The differential in higher degrees is

$$\delta x^n = \begin{cases} px^{n-1} & n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Because R has no p -torsion, the homology is then $H_*(R//p) = R/p[u]$, with u in degree 2.

To justify the notation, we give a more conceptual description of $R//p$. Let $T_R : \text{Ch}_R \rightarrow \text{DGA}_R$ denote the tensor algebra functor that assigns to each chain complex M the free differential graded R -algebra $T_R(M) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} M^{\otimes_R m}$.

Proposition 2.3. *There is a pushout square in DGA_R given by*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_R(R) & \xrightarrow{p} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_R(CR) & \longrightarrow & R//p, \end{array}$$

where CR is the cone of R in Ch_R , the left map is induced by the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow CR$, and the top map is adjoint to the multiplication $p : R \rightarrow R$.

Proof. A morphism $\varphi : R//p \rightarrow A$ of dg- R -algebras is precisely a ring homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : R \rightarrow A_0$ and the choice of an $a \in A_1$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \delta a$. By identifying $T_R(CR) \cong R\langle x, \delta x \rangle$ with $|x| = 1$, and $T_R(R) \cong R\langle \delta x \rangle$, this data corresponds precisely to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\langle \delta x \rangle & \xrightarrow{p} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\langle x, \delta x \rangle & \longrightarrow & A, \end{array}$$

where x is mapped to a . □

Remark 2.4. This exhibits $R//p$ as the \mathbb{E}_1 -quotient of R with respect to p , i.e. $R//p$ is the initial \mathbb{E}_1 - R -algebra whose homology has $p = 0$. More precisely, there is a model structure on DGA_R with quasi-isomorphisms as weak equivalences, under which the left map is a cofibration [7, 12]. Hence the square is a homotopy pushout of dg- R -algebras. The homotopy theory of dg- R -algebras is equivalent to the homotopy theory of \mathbb{E}_1 -algebras in the derived ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(R)$ (see [13]). The homotopy pushout then corresponds to a pushout in the ∞ -category of \mathbb{E}_1 -algebras over R .

The aim of this paper is to understand cyclic, negative cyclic, and periodic homology of $R//p$ over R .

As a first approach, note that there is a Connes long exact sequences connecting cyclic homology with Hochschild homology: This is obtained by considering the subcomplex of the cyclic bicomplex consisting only of the 0th and 1st column which is quasi-isomorphic to the Hochschild complex [9, 2.2.1]. The quotient complex then computes cyclic homology shifted by degree 2. The resulting long exact sequence for any algebra A is therefore

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{HH}_i(A) \longrightarrow \text{HC}_i(A) \longrightarrow \text{HC}_{i-2}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

In our setting, HC_* vanishes in odd degrees, so we obtain for $i > 0$ even short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow R/p^2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_i(R//p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{i-2}(R//p) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we recover $\mathrm{HC}_0(R//p) \cong R/p$.

Similarly, one gets a long exact sequence for negative cyclic homology:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{i+2}^-(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_i^-(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}_i(A) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Below in our setting, we obtain for even $i > 0$ short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{i+2}^-(R//p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p) \longrightarrow R/p^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

and for $i = 0$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_2^-(R//p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}_0^-(R//p) \longrightarrow R/p \longrightarrow 0.$$

This looks innocent enough, but these extension problems will occupy the rest of this paper.

To get started, we will need a better understanding of the Hochschild bicomplex:

Proposition 2.5. *The Hochschild bicomplex of $R//p$ is quasi-isomorphic to*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R & \xleftarrow{p} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & \xleftarrow{p} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 2 \\ R & \xleftarrow{p} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & \xleftarrow{p} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & \xleftarrow{p} & \cdots \end{array}$$

Proof. Note that the underlying graded algebra of $R//p$ is a tensor algebra $T_R(V)$ over the free graded R -module $V = \langle x \rangle$ with x in degree 1. For dg- R -algebras A with underlying graded tensor algebra $T_R(V)$, the Hochschild bicomplex has a simplified description, [9, 5.3.8]: It is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (A \otimes V)_0 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & (A \otimes V)_1 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & (A \otimes V)_2 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\delta}} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b \\ A_0 & \xleftarrow{\delta} & A_1 & \xleftarrow{\delta} & A_2 & \xleftarrow{\delta} & \cdots, \end{array}$$

where all tensor products are over R , and the maps are as follows: δ is the differential of A and $b(a \otimes v) = [a, v]$. (Note that this is a graded commutator!) For $\tilde{\delta}$, consider first the map

$$\varphi : A \otimes A \longrightarrow A \otimes V$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \pm (v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1}) \otimes v_i \\ a \otimes 1 &\longmapsto 0, \end{aligned}$$

with the sign determined by the Koszul convention. Then $\tilde{\delta}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}(a \otimes v) = \delta a \otimes v + (-1)^{|a|} \varphi(a \otimes \delta v).$$

In our case, $V = \langle x \rangle$ with $|x| = 1$. Hence $A_n = \langle x^n \rangle$, and for $n > 0$, $(A \otimes V)_n = \langle x^{n-1} \otimes x \rangle$. One can then easily identify the maps: We already know δ . For b , we have

$$b(x^{n-1} \otimes x) = [x^{n-1}, x] = x^n - (-1)^{n-1} x^n = \begin{cases} 2x^n & n \text{ even} \\ 0 & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

and for $\tilde{\delta}$,

$$\tilde{\delta}(x^{n-1} \otimes x) = \delta x^{n-1} \otimes x + (-1)^{n-1} \varphi(x^{n-1} \otimes p) = \delta x^{n-1} \otimes x = \begin{cases} px^{n-2} \otimes x & n \text{ even} \\ 0 & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *The total complex of the bicomplex of Proposition 2.5 that computes Hochschild homology is given by*

$$R \xleftarrow{p} R \xleftarrow{0} R^2 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p & 2 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}} R^2 \xleftarrow{0} R^2 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} p & 2 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}} \dots,$$

and if R has no 2-torsion, the Hochschild homology is

$$\mathrm{HH}_i(R//p) = \begin{cases} R/p & i = 0 \\ R/p^2 & i > 0 \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The total complex is immediate. For the Hochschild homology, note that if there is no 2-torsion and p is odd, the Smith normal form of $\begin{pmatrix} p & 2 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ is calculated as

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & 2 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor p & p \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor p + p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

Where $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor = \frac{p-1}{2}$ as p is odd.

After dropping the summands that are matched by identities, the total complex becomes

$$R \xleftarrow{p} R \xleftarrow{0} R \xleftarrow{p^2} R \xleftarrow{0} R \xleftarrow{p^2} \dots,$$

so without p -torsion, the result follows. (If $p = 2$, then the differential instead becomes $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, and R/p^2 is replaced by $R/p \oplus R/p$.) \square

For the cyclic complex, there is again a simplified description based on the simplified Hochschild complex [9, 5.3.9]: For a dg- R -algebra A with underlying graded tensor algebra $T_R(V)$, the Connes operator can be identified as

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} : A_n \oplus (A \otimes V)_{n-1} \longrightarrow A_{n+1} \oplus (A \otimes V)_n$$

with $\gamma(a) = \varphi(1 \otimes a)$, where $\varphi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes V$ is the map from above.

Untangling definitions for our setting, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma : (R//p)_n = \langle x^n \rangle &\longrightarrow \langle x^{n-1} \otimes x \rangle = (R//p \otimes \langle x \rangle)_n \\ x^n &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(n-i)i} x^{n-1} \otimes x = \begin{cases} nx^{n-1} \otimes x & n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This lets us describe the cyclic bicomplex for $R//p$:

Proposition 2.7. *Let p be odd, R without p -torsion and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Then the cyclic bicomplex for the R -algebra $R//p$ is quasi-isomorphic to*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ p^2 \downarrow & 0 \downarrow & p^2 \downarrow & 0 \downarrow & p \downarrow & \dots & \\ R & \xleftarrow{3} R & \xleftarrow{0} R & \xleftarrow{1} R & \xleftarrow{0} R & & \\ 0 \downarrow & p^2 \downarrow & 0 \downarrow & p \downarrow & & & \\ R & \xleftarrow{0} R & \xleftarrow{1} R & \xleftarrow{0} R & & & \\ p^2 \downarrow & 0 \downarrow & p \downarrow & & & & \\ R & \xleftarrow{1} R & \xleftarrow{0} R & & & & \\ 0 \downarrow & p \downarrow & & & & & \\ R & \xleftarrow{0} R & & & & & \\ p \downarrow & & & & & & \\ R & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where the bottom R is in bidegree $(0,0)$. Likewise, one obtains the periodic bicomplex, by continuing this to the left, and the negative bicomplex, by dropping the positive-degree columns from the periodic complex.

Proof. By plugging the previous calculations into Loday's bicomplex, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\pi \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \dots \\
R^2 & \xleftarrow{B_3} & R^2 & \xleftarrow{0} & R^2 & \xleftarrow{B_1} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & & \\
0 \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & & & \\
R^2 & \xleftarrow{0} & R^2 & \xleftarrow{B_1} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & & & & \\
\pi \downarrow & & 0 \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & & & & & \\
R^2 & \xleftarrow{B_1} & R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & & & & & & \\
0 \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & & & & & & & \\
R & \xleftarrow{0} & R & & & & & & & & \\
p \downarrow & & & & & & & & & & \\
R, & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

where $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} p & 2 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for odd $k > 1$, and $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

From this, we can eliminate an acyclic subcomplex: Consider one of the R^2 -entries in an odd total degree. This has generators $a_n = x^n$ and $b_n = x^{n-2} \otimes x$. Fix now n odd, and define a new basis in degree n as

$$\alpha_n = \frac{p}{2}b_n - a_n, \quad \beta_n = \frac{1}{2}b_n.$$

In the even degree $n - 1$, we define

$$\alpha_{n-1} = a_{n-1} + \frac{p}{2}b_{n-1}, \quad \beta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}b_{n-1}.$$

Then the vertical differential becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(\alpha_n) &= \frac{p}{2}\pi(b_n) - \pi(a_n) = \frac{p}{2}(2a_{n-1} + pb_{n-1}) - pa_{n-1} = p^2\beta_{n-1}, \\
\pi(\beta_n) &= \frac{1}{2}(2a_{n-1} + pb_{n-1}) = \alpha_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The horizontal differential is

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(\alpha_n) &= \frac{p}{2}B_n(b_n) - B_n(a_n) = -nb_{n-1} = -2n\beta_{n-1}, \\
B_n(\beta_n) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In even total degree, all differentials vanish. Therefore all odd-degree β_n and even-degree α_{n-1} split off as an acyclic subcomplex, and the remaining generators are mapped as described in the proposition, up to a multiplication by the unit -2 . \square

This allows for a more explicit description of the cyclic homology, periodic homology, and negative cyclic homology of $R//p$ over R : Note first that for all three bicomplexes, the total differentials from even to odd total degrees are 0. If R has no n -torsion for all positive n , the total differentials from odd to even degrees are injective. Hence we only need to compute the cokernels of the latter differentials. Explicitly, we have the following:

Proposition 2.8. *Let p be odd, R without n -torsion for all positive n , and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Then $\mathrm{HC}_0(R//p) = R/p$, $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p) = 0$ for odd i , and for even positive i , $\mathrm{HC}_i(R//p)$ is the cokernel of the map*

$$R^{\frac{i}{2}+1} \rightarrow R^{\frac{i}{2}+1}$$

sending $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\frac{i}{2}+1})$ to $(px_1, x_1 + p^2x_2, 3x_2 + p^2x_3, \dots, (i-1)x_{\frac{i}{2}} + p^2x_{\frac{i}{2}+1})$.

This can be identified with the colimit of the following diagram of R -modules:

$$\begin{array}{rcccc}
0 \longleftarrow R & & & & \\
& p^2 \downarrow & & & \\
& R \xleftarrow{i-1} R & & & \\
& & p^2 \downarrow & & \\
& & R \xleftarrow{i-3} R & & \\
& & & \cdots & \\
& & & R \xleftarrow{5} R & \\
& & & & p^2 \downarrow \\
& & & & R \xleftarrow{3} R \\
& & & & & p^2 \downarrow \\
& & & & & R \xleftarrow{1} R \\
& & & & & & p \downarrow \\
& & & & & & R
\end{array}$$

In the periodic situation, this diagram just continues to the left. However, there is a subtlety: Periodic and negative cyclic homology are computed by taking the product totalization of the respective bicomplexes [9, 5.1.2].

Proposition 2.9. *Let p be odd, R without n -torsion for all positive n , and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Then $\mathrm{HP}_i(R//p) = 0$ for odd i , and for even i , $\mathrm{HP}_i(R//p)$ is the cokernel of the map*

$$\prod_{\mathbb{N}} R \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} R$$

sending (x_1, x_2, \dots) to $(px_1, x_1 + p^2x_2, 3x_2 + p^2x_3, 5x_3 + p^2x_4, \dots)$.

This can be identified with a completion of the colimit of the following diagram of R -modules (see [9, 5.1.9]):

$$\begin{array}{rcccc}
& & & & \cdots \\
& & & & R \xleftarrow{5} R \\
& & & & & p^2 \downarrow \\
& & & & & R \xleftarrow{3} R \\
& & & & & & p^2 \downarrow \\
& & & & & & R \xleftarrow{1} R \\
& & & & & & & p \downarrow \\
& & & & & & & R
\end{array}$$

For negative cyclic homology, the periodic diagram is truncated at the other end:

Proposition 2.10. *Let p be odd, R without n -torsion for all positive n , and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Then $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p) = \mathrm{HP}_0(R//p)$, for $i \leq 0$, and $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p) = 0$ for odd i , and for even positive i , $\mathrm{HC}_i^-(R//p)$ is the cokernel of the map*

$$\prod_{\mathbb{N}} R \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} R$$

sending (x_1, x_2, \dots) to $(p^2x_1, (i+1)x_1 + p^2x_2, (i+3)x_2 + p^2x_3, (i+5)x_3 + p^2x_4, \dots)$.

This can be identified with a completion of the colimit of the following diagram of R -modules (see [9, 5.1.9]):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dots \\
 R \xleftarrow{i+5} R \\
 \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad R \xleftarrow{i+3} R \\
 \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad R \xleftarrow{i+1} R \\
 \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad R
 \end{array}$$

The rest of this paper is entirely devoted to identifying these cokernels.

For readability, we will set up some notation for the staircase diagrams and homology groups:

Let $N_{-1} = \mathrm{HC}_0(R//p) = R/p$ and for each odd integer $i \geq 1$, and $N_i = \mathrm{HC}_{i+1}(R//p)$. By 2.8, N_i is the colimit of the following diagram of R -modules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \longleftarrow R \\
 \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad R \xleftarrow{i} R \\
 \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad R \xleftarrow{i-2} R \\
 \quad \quad \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad R \xleftarrow{5} R \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad R \xleftarrow{3} R \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad R \xleftarrow{1} R \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad R
 \end{array}$$

So that we can refer to the individual copies of R in this diagram more easily, we will use the following labels:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{array}{c}
 0 \longleftarrow R'_{i+2} \\
 \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad R_i \xleftarrow{i} R'_i \\
 \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad R_{i-2} \xleftarrow{i-2} R'_{i-2} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad R_5 \xleftarrow{5} R'_5 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad R_3 \xleftarrow{3} R'_3 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p^2 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad R_1 \xleftarrow{1} R'_1 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad R_{-1}
 \end{array}$$

All of the above terms $R'_1, R'_3, \dots, R_{-1}, R_1, R_3, \dots$ are equal to R .

We note the natural maps between the R -modules N_i and record some of their properties.

Definition 3.2. Define $A_1 := p$ and for each odd integer $j \geq 3$, recursively define $A_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ as $A_j := \frac{p^2 A_{j-2}}{j}$. Define $B_0 := 1$ and for each even integer $j \geq 2$, define $B_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ as $B_j := \frac{p^2 B_{j-2}}{j}$. Define $a_j := v_p(A_j)$ and $b_j := v_p(B_j)$.

We will see below in Corollary 3.6 that the elements A_j and B_j defined above in fact lie in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$

Note that the sequence b_j is not an increasing sequence. We can bound the value of b_j as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *For every even integer $j \geq 0$, the number b_j is equal to j minus the p -adic valuation of $(j/2)!$. In particular, for every even integer $j \geq 2$, we have*

$$j - \frac{j}{2(p-1)} < b_j.$$

Proof. The statement about $(j/2)!$ is clear from the recursive definition of the b sequence. From this and Legendre's formula, we have for all $j \geq 0$ that

$$b_j = j - v_p((j/2)!) = j - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2p^k} \right\rfloor.$$

So if $j > 0$, we have

$$b_j > j - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{j}{2p^k} = j - \frac{j}{2(p-1)}.$$

This proves the inequality. □

The following coarse lower-bound on b_j will be very useful.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume $p^e \leq \frac{j}{2} + 1$, for an integer $e \geq 0$ and an even integer $j \geq 0$. Then $b_j \geq e$.*

Proof. The claim holds if $j = 0$ and $j = 2$, and for $j > 2$, we have $b_j > j - \frac{j}{2(p-1)} \geq \frac{j}{2} + 1 \geq p^e \geq e$. □

Lemma 3.5. *For every odd integer $j \geq 1$, we have $a_j = b_{2j} - b_{j-1} - 1$.*

Proof. The claim holds when $j = 1$. Now, assuming the result for some fixed value of $j - 2$, we compute (using that $p \neq 2$ several times)

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= 2 + a_{j-2} - v_p(j) \\ &= 2 + (b_{2(j-2)} - b_{j-3} - 1) - v_p(j) \\ &= 2 + b_{2(j-2)} - v_p(2(j-1)) + v_p(j-1) - b_{j-3} - 1 - v_p(j) \\ &= b_{2j-2} - b_{j-1} + 1 - v_p(j) \\ &= b_{2j} - b_{j-1} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction. □

Corollary 3.6. *We have $b_j \geq 0$ for every even integer $j \geq 0$. In particular, the element $B_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ in fact lies in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and so can be viewed as an element of R . Similarly, $A_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for every odd integer $j \geq 1$.*

Proof. The statement about b_j is clear from the above results. For the numbers a_j , the result holds for $j = 1$, and for all values of $j \geq 3$, we can use the bounds

$$a_j = b_{2j} - b_{j-1} - 1 \geq 2j - \frac{2j}{2(p-1)} - (j-1) - 1 = j - \frac{j}{p-1}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Our next preliminary result will provide a way to determine the colimit of the diagram (3.1) for a fixed value of i in terms of the colimit for $i - 2$.

Lemma 3.7. Fix an integer k . Assume $\psi : R \rightarrow M$ is an R -module homomorphism and that there exists $m_0 \in M$ such that $\psi(1) = km_0$. Let $\iota : M \rightarrow M \oplus R/k$ be given by $m \mapsto (m, 0)$ and let $\psi' : R \rightarrow M \oplus R/k$ be given by $r \mapsto (rm_0, r)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{k} & R \\ \downarrow \psi' & & \downarrow \psi \\ M \oplus R/k & \xleftarrow{\iota} & M \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram.

Proof. It is clear that the given diagram commutes. Assume we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{k} & R \\ \downarrow \psi' & & \downarrow \psi \\ M \oplus R/k & \xleftarrow{\iota} & M \\ \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 \\ N & & N \end{array}$$

Define a map $f : M \oplus R/k \rightarrow N$ by $(m, r) \mapsto f_1(r) + f_2(m - rm_0)$. This map is well-defined because we have

$$f_1(r + sk) + f_2(m - rm_0 - skm_0) = f_1(r) + f_2(m - rm_0) + kf_1(s) - f_2(\psi(s)) = f_1(r) + f_2(m - rm_0).$$

This map is an R -module homomorphism.

It follows directly from the definitions that $f_1 = f \circ \psi'$ and $f_2 = f \circ \iota$. Hence the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{k} & R \\ \downarrow \psi' & & \downarrow \psi \\ M \oplus R/k & \xleftarrow{\iota} & M \\ \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 \\ N & & N \end{array}$$

commutes.

Lastly we check that our map $f : M \oplus R/k \rightarrow N$ is the unique map making the diagram commute. Let g be any map making the diagram commute. We then must have $g(m, 0) = f_2(m)$ for all $m \in M$, and we must have $g(rm_0, r) = f_1(r)$ for all $r \in R$. Thus we must have $g(m, r) = g(rm_0, r) + g(m - rm_0, 0) = f_1(r) + f_2(m - rm_0) = f(m, r)$ for all $m \in M, r \in R$, as required. \square

We now use the above preliminary results to compute the colimit of the diagram (3.1).

Proposition 3.8. For every odd positive integer i , set $M_i := R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i$. Then M_i is a colimit of the diagram (3.1).

Proof. We prove this using induction on i . For the base case $i = 1$, consider the diagram of R -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{1} & R \\ \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow p \\ R \oplus R/1 & \xleftarrow{\iota} & R, \end{array}$$

with $\phi_1(1) = (p, 0)$ and $\iota(1) = (1, 0)$. In this case we have that M_1 , with the indicated maps, is a colimit of the diagram (3.1). For later use in the induction, we note also that $\phi_1(1) \in R \oplus R/1$ can be represented by (A_1, B_0) , as defined in Definition 3.2.

Now assume the result has been proven for some fixed value of $i-2$, and let ϕ_{i-2} denote the corresponding map $R_{i-2} \rightarrow M_{i-2}$, where the notation $R_{i-2} = R$ refers to the upper-leftmost factor in diagram (3.1). Further, assume that $\phi_{i-2}(1)$ can be represented by the element $(A_{i-2}, B_{i-2-1}, B_{i-2-3}, \dots, B_0)$.

Consider the diagram of R -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{i} & R \\ \downarrow \phi_i & & \downarrow p^2 \phi_{i-2} \\ M_{i-2} \oplus R/i & \xleftarrow{\iota} & M_{i-2}, \end{array}$$

where $\iota(m) = (m, 0)$ and where $\phi_i(1) = (A_i, B_{i-1}, B_{i-3}, \dots, B_2, B_0)$. By Lemma 3.7, to prove that $M_{i-2} \oplus R/i$ (with the above maps) is a colimit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xleftarrow{i} & R \\ & & \downarrow p^2 \phi_{i-2} \\ & & M_{i-2}, \end{array}$$

it suffices to check that $iA_i = p^2A_{i-2} \in R$ and that for every odd integer n in the interval $1 \leq n \leq i-2$, we have $iB_{i-n} = p^2B_{i-2-n} \in R/n$. The first equality is clear from the definition of A_i . For the second equality, it is clear again from the definition that $(i-n)B_{i-n} = p^2B_{i-2-n}$, so the desired result follows, since $nB_{i-n} = 0 \in R/n$. \square

We record an important aspect of the proof of Proposition 3.8 in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *Fix odd integers $i \geq j \geq 1$. Because $R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \dots \oplus R/i$ is a colimit of the diagram (3.1), we have a corresponding map of R -modules $\phi_{j,i} : R_j \rightarrow R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \dots \oplus R/i$. This map has the property that $\phi_{j,i}(1)$ can be represented by an element $(c_{j,-1}, c_{j,1}, \dots, c_{j,i})$, where each $c_{j,n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and where these elements satisfy the following properties (with the A and B sequences defined as in Definition 3.2):*

- (1) *We have $c_{j,-1} = A_j$.*
- (2) *If n is an odd integer in the range $j < n \leq i$, then $c_{j,n} = 0$.*
- (3) *If n is an odd integer in the range $1 \leq n \leq j$, then $c_{j,n} = B_{j-n} \in R/n$.*

Proof. This follows directly from the construction given in the proof of Proposition 3.8. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Fix an odd positive integer i , and let $\phi_{i,i} : R_i \rightarrow M_i$ be as in Corollary 3.9. The R -module N_i (as defined in Section 2) is isomorphic to the cokernel of $p^2\phi_{i,i} : R_i \rightarrow M_i$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from comparing the diagrams used to define M_i and N_i . \square

4. COMPUTATION OF N_i FOR CERTAIN i , AND THE COMPUTATION OF N_∞

Recall again that R denotes a p -torsion-free commutative $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. Our goal in this section is to give an explicit description of N_i for certain values of i . Namely, we define an infinite set Z_1 in Definition 4.4 below, and compute N_i for all $i \in Z_1$. This will also enable us to compute the inverse limit $\varprojlim N_i =: N_\infty$.

By Corollary 3.10, to compute N_i , it is equivalent to compute the cokernel of the map $p^2\phi_{i,i} : R_i \rightarrow M_i$, where $\phi_{i,i}$ is defined as in Corollary 3.9. For certain values of i , this map $\phi_{i,i}$ turns out to have a particularly simple form. The description of those values of i will involve the following parameter $g(n)$.

Definition 4.1. Let $n \geq 1$ denote an integer which is divisible by p . We define $g(n)$ to be the maximum even integer j such that $b_j < v_p(n)$.

The motivation for the definition of $g(n)$ is the following. We will show that the map $\phi_{i,i} : R_i \rightarrow R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \dots \oplus R/i$ is non-zero in the R/n -factor only if i is in the interval $[n, n + g(n)]$.

Example 4.2. We have $g(p) = g(p^2) = 0$, $g(p^3) = g(p^4) = 2$, $g(p^5) = 4$, and $g(3^6) = 6$. For example, if $\phi_{i,i}$ is non-zero in the R/p^4 -factor, we will see below that the only possible values for i are $i = p^4$ and $i = p^4 + 2$.

Let $c_{i,n}$ be defined as in Corollary 3.9, and let b_j be defined as in Definition 3.2. The following result follows immediately from Corollary 3.9 and the definitions.

Lemma 4.3. *Let n be an odd positive integer. Assume $c_{j,n} \neq 0 \in R/n$ for some $j > n$ (so in particular, $v_p(n) \geq 1$). Then $j - n \leq g(n)$.*

Proof. We know $v_p(c_{j,n}) = b_{j-n}$ by Corollary 3.9. Since we are assuming that $c_{j,n} \neq 0 \in R/n$, we must have $b_{j-n} < v_p(n)$. So $g(n) \geq j - n$ by the definition of $g(n)$, as claimed. \square

Definition 4.4. Let X denote the set of all odd positive integers, and let X_1 denote the set of all odd positive integers which are divisible by p . Define Z_1 to be the set

$$Z_1 := X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in X_1} [n, n + g(n)].$$

For all $i \in Z_1$, the map $\phi_{i,i} : R \rightarrow M_i$ has a particularly simple form.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume $i \in Z_1$. Then the map $\phi_{i,i} : R_i \rightarrow M_i = R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i$ has the property that $\phi_{i,i}(1) = (A_i, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$, where A_i is defined as in Definition 3.2. In particular, for each such i we have an isomorphism of R -modules*

$$N_i \cong R/p^{a_i+2} \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(i-2) \oplus R/i.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.3 and the definitions. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Assume $i \in Z_1$ and let $k > i$ be an odd integer. Then the projection map $N_k \rightarrow N_i$ from Lemma 2.12 is induced by a map $M_k \rightarrow N_i$,*

$$R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i \oplus \cdots \oplus R/k \rightarrow R/p^{a_i+2} \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i,$$

of the form

$$(r_{-1}, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i, \dots, r_k) \mapsto (r_{-1} + C, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i),$$

where C is divisible by p^a , for $a = \min_{m \geq i+2} a_m$ and and it only depends on (r_{i+2}, \dots, r_k) .

Proof. Using the construction and notation from the proof of Lemma 2.12, the projection map $N_k \rightarrow N_i$ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{-1} \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_i \oplus \cdots \oplus R_k & \longrightarrow & R_{-1} \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_i \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\ M_k = R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i \oplus \cdots \oplus R/k & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_k & \longrightarrow & N_i, \end{array}$$

where all of the R_j terms are equal to R . In this diagram, the top horizontal map is the obvious projection.

Consider first an element of the form

$$(r_{-1}, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i \oplus \cdots \oplus R/k \cong M_k.$$

Choose elements $s_{-1}, s_1, \dots, s_i \in R$ so that the element

$$(s_{-1}, s_1, \dots, s_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in R_{-1} \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_i \oplus \cdots \oplus R_k$$

maps under ϕ to $(r_{-1}, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_k$. This is possible from the construction of ϕ (Corollary 3.9), since we can choose

$$(s_{-1}, s_1, \dots, s_i) \in R_{-1} \oplus R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_i$$

mapping to $(r_{-1}, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i) \in M_i$, and hence also to an element with the same representative in N_i . Thus our claimed result is true for elements of the form $(r_{-1}, r_1, r_3, \dots, r_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_k$. (In fact, for these special elements, a stronger result is true, because we have showed that in this case, we have $C = 0$.)

Because the map $M_k \rightarrow N_i$ is an R -module map, it in particular is additive, so it suffices now to consider the ‘‘complementary’’ elements, i.e., those of the form

$$(0, \dots, 0, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_k) \in R \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/i \oplus \cdots \oplus R/k \cong M_k.$$

We will show that this element is the image under ϕ of an element

$$(C, 0, \dots, 0, s_{i+2}, \dots, s_k) \in R_{-1} \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i \oplus \dots \oplus R_k,$$

where C is as in the statement. Under the top horizontal map, this element $(C, 0, \dots, 0, s_{i+2}, \dots, s_k)$ maps to $(C, 0, \dots, 0)$, and this will complete the proof.

We now carry out the construction just described. Let $(0, \dots, 0, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_k) \in M_k$, and choose any pre-image under ϕ , denoted $(y_{-1}, y_1, \dots, y_i, y_{i+2}, \dots, y_k)$. Because $i \in Z_1$, by Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 4.3, we know $c_{t,l} = 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq i$ and $i \leq t$. Hence the image of $(0, \dots, 0, y_{i+2}, \dots, y_k)$ under ϕ must be $(-C, 0, \dots, 0, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_k)$, for some $C \in R$ that is divisible by p^a , for $a = \min_{m \geq i+2} a_m$, and hence $(0, \dots, 0, r_{i+2}, \dots, r_k) \in M_k$ is the image under ϕ of $(C, 0, \dots, 0, y_{i+2}, \dots, y_k)$. Under the top horizontal map in our diagram, this element maps to $(C, 0, \dots, 0)$, which in turn maps to an element in N_i represented by $(C, 0, \dots, 0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Because of the C terms in the above formula, the maps $N_j \rightarrow N_i$ for $i, j \in Z_1$ typically do not correspond to the obvious projections. (For example, the $R/(i+2)$ -component will typically contribute something nonzero under the projection.) This is relevant, as our next goal is to compute the inverse limit $\varprojlim_k N_k$ by restricting to $\varprojlim_{i \in Z_1} N_i$, where these maps turn up.

To see that $Z_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ is indeed cofinal, we first need the following:

Lemma 4.7. *For any $a > 0$, $g(p^a) < \frac{p^a - 1}{2}$.*

Proof. For $a = 1$ and 2 , this is immediate since there $g(p^a) = 0$.

From Lemma 3.3, we have the linear lower bound

$$b_j > \left(\frac{2p-3}{2p-2} \right) j.$$

This yields an upper bound on $g(p^a)$:

$$g(p^a) = \max\{j : b_j < a\} \leq \max\left\{j : \left(\frac{2p-3}{2p-2}\right)j < a\right\} < \left(\frac{2p-2}{2p-3}\right)a.$$

After substituting this and rearranging the inequality, it therefore suffices to show

$$p^a - \frac{4p-4}{2p-3}a > 1.$$

Note that $\frac{4p-4}{2p-3}$ is strictly decreasing in p for $p > 2$, and p^a is strictly increasing in p (assuming $a > 1$)—hence it suffices to show this for $p = 3$. That leaves us with

$$3^a - \frac{8}{3}a > 1,$$

which is true by elementary methods. (For example, it holds for $a = 2$, and the left hand side is strictly increasing for $a \geq 2$.) \square

Corollary 4.8. *For every odd n that is divisible by p , $|\frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} - n| > g(n)$. In particular, all odd numbers of the form $\frac{p^a \pm 1}{2}$ are contained in Z_1 , which therefore contains arbitrarily large numbers.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, we have

$$g(n) = g(p^{\nu_p(n)}) < \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} - 1}{2},$$

therefore it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} - n \right| \geq \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} - 1}{2}.$$

In the case that $\nu_p(n) \geq a$, we have

$$n - \frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} \geq p^{\nu_p(n)} - \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} \pm 1}{2} \geq \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} - 1}{2}.$$

Otherwise, we write $a = \tilde{a} + \nu_p(n)$, $n = mp^{\nu_p(n)}$, and observe

$$\left| \frac{p^a \pm 1}{2} - n \right| = \left| \frac{(p^{\tilde{a}} - 2m)p^{\nu_p(n)} \pm 1}{2} \right| \geq \left| \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} \pm 1}{2} \right| \geq \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)} - 1}{2},$$

where the first inequality uses that p is odd, hence $(p^{\tilde{a}} - 2m)$ is nonzero. \square

Proposition 4.9. *The inverse limit $N_\infty := \varprojlim_k N_k$ is isomorphic to*

$$R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times R/5 \times \cdots,$$

where R^\wedge denotes the p -adic completion of R .

Proof. We consider the inverse limit of the final system N_i for $i \in Z_1$. Define a map

$$\varprojlim_{i \in Z_1} N_i \rightarrow R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times R/5 \times \cdots$$

as follows. Given a compatible sequence in $x = (x_i)_{i \in Z_1} \in \varprojlim_{i \in Z_1} N_i$, define its image to be $(y_{-1}, y_1, y_3, \dots) \in R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times \cdots$, where the coordinates y_n are defined as follows. To define y_n with $n \neq -1$, choose any $k \in Z_1$ such that $k \geq n$, and then write $x_k \in N_k$ as $(x_{k,-1}, x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,k})$, and set $y_n := x_{k,n}$. It follows from Corollary 4.6 that this element y_n is independent of the choice of k .

Defining y_{-1} is more difficult, because of the elements denoted by C in Corollary 4.6. Fix $i \in Z_1$ and let $a = \min_{m \geq i+2} a_m$. Then in the notation of the previous paragraph, we have that $x_{k,-1} \equiv x_{i,-1} \pmod{p^a}$ for all $k \in Z_1$ such that $k \geq i$. We also have that these values $\min_{m \geq i+2} a_m$ approach infinity as i approaches infinity. In this way, we form a p -adic Cauchy sequence, and we define y_{-1} to be the limit of this sequence in R^\wedge .

It is clear that the given map $\varprojlim_{i \in Z_1} N_i \rightarrow R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times R/5 \times \cdots$ is an R -module homomorphism. To see that it is injective, consider a sequence (x_i) mapping to 0. By the construction, we have that $x_{i,k} = 0$ for all i and all $k \neq -1$. But now in this case, with all other terms being 0, the C term from Corollary 4.6 is also equal to 0, so the terms $x_{i,-1}$ must also equal 0, for all $i \in Z_1$.

For surjectivity, consider any element $(y_{-1}, y_1, y_3, \dots) \in R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times \cdots$. Note first that some element of the form $(*, y_1, y_3, \dots) \in R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times \cdots$ is in the image. (All components except for the $x_{i,-1}$ -components are determined, and the $x_{i,-1}$ components can be calculated inductively, one at a time, for $i \in Z_1$.) Also, for any element $r' \in R^\wedge$, the element $(r', 0, 0, \dots)$ is in the image. Surjectivity now follows from the fact that the map is additive. \square

Example 4.10. When $p = 3$, the set Z_1 from Definition 4.4 contains the following elements: 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 31, 35, 37, 41, 43, 47, 49, 53, 55, 59, 61, 65, 67, 71, 73, 77, 79, 85, 89, 91, 95, 97, 101, 103, 107, 109, 113, 115, 119, 121, 125, 127, 131, 133, 139, 143, 145, 149, 151, 155, 157, 161, 163, 167, 169, 173, 175, 179, 181, 185, 187, 193, 197, 199.

In other words, in addition to multiples of 3, it only excludes the elements 29, 83, 137, 191 from the odd numbers up to 200.

For any odd integers $i \geq j \geq 1$, the map $\phi_{j,i} : R_j \rightarrow M_i$ considered in Corollary 3.9 induces a map $\psi_{j,i} : R_j \rightarrow N_i$. When $i \in Z_1$, then by Lemma 4.5, we have $\psi_{j,i}(1) = (c_{j,-1}, c_{j,1}, \dots, c_{j,i})$, where the values $c_{j,k}$ are as in Corollary 3.9, with the only difference being that the initial component $c_{j,-1}$ here is considered as an element of R/p^{a_i+2} , rather than as an element of R . These maps $\psi_{j,i}$ can also be used to define a map to the inverse limit, $R_j \rightarrow N_\infty$, as in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *For any odd integer $j \geq 1$, the maps $\psi_{j,i}$, for varying $i \geq j$ with $i \in Z_1$, determine a map $\psi_j : R_j \rightarrow N_\infty$, such that $\psi_j(1) = (c_{j,-1}, c_{j,1}, c_{j,3}, \dots)$. These values $c_{j,k}$ are the same as described in Corollary 3.9.*

Proof. This follows from the preceding comments, together with Corollary 4.6 and the construction in the proof of Proposition 4.9. \square

5. COMPUTATION OF K_i FOR CERTAIN i

Recall from Section 2 that K_i is defined to be the kernel of the projection map

$$N_\infty = \varprojlim N_j \rightarrow N_i.$$

In this section, we compute this kernel for certain values of i in a certain set Z_2 ; this set Z_2 is a proper subset of the set Z_1 considered above.

Definition 5.1. Let X denote the set of all odd positive integers, and let X_1 denote the set of all odd positive integers which are divisible by p . Let $g(n)$ be as in Definition 4.1. Define Z_2 to be the set

$$Z_2 := X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in X_1} [n - g(n), n + g(n)].$$

The maps $\psi_j : R_j \rightarrow N_\infty$ from Corollary 4.11 can be used to describe the R -modules K_m , as in the following lemma. It turns out the value of $m + 2$ is more important in the eventual computation than m itself, so that is why we phrase this lemma in terms of K_{i-2} .

Lemma 5.2. *For any odd integer $i > 1$, the kernel K_{i-2} of the natural projection $N_\infty \rightarrow N_{i-2}$ is the closure (in the inverse limit topology) of the R -submodule of N_∞ generated by $\psi_j(1)$ for all $j \geq i$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions and the computations in Lemma 2.12. □

We are interested in identifying values of i for which we can provide an explicit description of the submodule of N_∞ described in Lemma 5.2. The elements

$$\psi_i(1), \psi_{i+2}(1), \psi_{i+4}(1), \dots \in N_\infty \cong R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times \dots$$

have first components in R^\wedge with p -adic valuations $a_i, a_{i+2}, a_{i+4}, \dots$, respectively, where a_m is defined in Definition 3.2. It is convenient to know when the initial value a_i is the minimum of the set $\{a_i, a_{i+2}, a_{i+4}, \dots\}$. The following lemma (in which the parameters $g(n)$ from Definition 4.1 again appear) provides cases where this value a_i is indeed the minimum.

Lemma 5.3. *Let i be an odd integer which is not divisible by p . Let a_j and b_j be defined as in Definition 3.2. Assume there exists an odd integer n such that $i < n$ and $a_i > a_n$. Then there exists such an n with $v_p(n) \geq 3$ and $b_{n-i} < v_p(n)$. In particular, $n - i \leq g(n)$.*

Proof. Choose a minimal n such that $i < n$ and $a_i > a_n$. In particular, we have $a_{n-2} > a_n$, so $v_p(n) \geq 3$.

There cannot exist odd j such that $i < j < n$ and $v_p(j) \geq v_p(n)$. Indeed, assume towards contradiction that there exists such a j . Let $e = \max\{v_p(k) : i < k < n, \text{ with } k \text{ odd}\}$ and let $j = \max\{k : i < k < n, \text{ with } k \text{ odd and } v_p(k) = e\}$. We will show that $a_j \leq a_n$, which contradicts the minimality of n .

For j and n as defined above, by the inductive definition of the a_k sequence, we have

$$a_n - a_j = (2 - v_p(j + 2)) + (2 - v_p(j + 4)) + (2 - v_p(j + 6)) + \dots + (2 - v_p(j + n - j)).$$

Observe that $j + 2 < n$. Indeed, if $j + 2 = n$, then $v_p(2) = v_p(n - j) \geq v_p(n) \geq 3$ which is a contradiction. Next, by the definition of j , we know that $v_p(j) > v_p(k)$ for all odd $j + 2 \leq k < n$. This implies that $v_p(j + 2) = v_p(2)$, $v_p(j + 4) = v_p(4)$, \dots , $v_p(n - 2) = v_p(n - j - 2)$. We also have $v_p(n) \leq v_p(n - j)$. In total, we have

$$a_n - a_j \geq (2 - v_p(2)) + (2 - v_p(4)) + (2 - v_p(6)) + \dots + (2 - v_p(n - j)).$$

This latter expression is equal to b_{n-j} , and we have already seen that all terms in the b_k sequence are non-negative. Thus $a_n \geq a_j$, which is a contradiction. We conclude that for all odd j in the range $i < j < n$, we have $v_p(j) < v_p(n)$.

Write \sum' to deduce the sum over the *even* values in the specified interval. Because $a_i > a_n$, we deduce that

$$n - i - \sum'_{k=2}^{n-i} v_p(i + k) < 0.$$

Rewriting,

$$n - i - \sum'_{k=2}^{n-i-2} v_p(n - k) < v_p(n).$$

By our comments above, we have $v_p(n - k) = v_p(k)$ for all k in the above range, so we have the inequality

$$n - i - \sum_{k=2}^{n-i-2} v_p(k) < v_p(n).$$

Because i is not divisible by p , we also have that $n - i$ is not divisible by p , so the above inequality is the same as

$$b_{n-i} < v_p(n).$$

The result follows. \square

Proposition 5.4. *For any odd $l \geq 1$, let $e_l \in N_\infty \cong R^\wedge \times R/1 \times R/3 \times \dots$ be the element which is 1 in the R/l component and which is zero in all other coordinates. Also write e_{-1} for the element $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Let Z_2 be as in Definition 5.1 and assume $i \in Z_2$. Let $A_i \in R$ be defined as in Definition 3.2. Then the R -submodule of N_∞ generated by*

$$\psi_i(1), \psi_{i+2}(1), \psi_{i+4}(1), \dots$$

is equal to the R -submodule generated by

$$A_i e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+2}, e_{i+4}, \dots$$

Proof. We show using induction that for any fixed even $j \geq 0$, the R -submodule of N_∞ generated by

$$\psi_i(1), \psi_{i+2}(1), \psi_{i+4}(1), \dots, \psi_{i+j}(1)$$

is equal to the R -submodule generated by

$$A_i e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+2}, e_{i+4}, \dots, e_{i+j}.$$

The claim of the proposition then follows immediately.

For the base case $j = 0$, note that $\psi_i(1) = A_i e_{-1}$ (by Lemma 4.5, because $Z_2 \subseteq Z_1$) and that $e_i = 0 \in R/i$ (because $i \in Z_2$, and hence i is not divisible by p).

Now assume the result has been shown for $j - 2$. We write

$$\psi_{i+j}(1) = A_{i+j} e_{-1} + c_{i+j,1} e_1 + c_{i+j,3} e_3 + \dots + c_{i+j,i+j-2} e_{i+j-2} + e_{i+j}.$$

We must have $c_{i+j,n} = 0$ for all $-1 < n < i$. (If not, so $c_{i+j,n} \neq 0$ for some $-1 < n < i$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have $i + j - n \leq g(n)$, but then $n < i \leq n + g(n)$, which contradicts our assumption that $i \in Z_2$.) So we have

$$\psi_{i+j}(1) = A_{i+j} e_{-1} + c_{i+j,i} e_i + c_{i+j,i+2} e_{i+2} + \dots + c_{i+j,i+j-2} e_{i+j-2} + e_{i+j}.$$

We have that A_{i+j} is a multiple of A_i by Lemma 5.3, so $\psi_{i+j}(1)$ is in the R -submodule of N_∞ generated by

$$A_i e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+2}, e_{i+4}, \dots, e_{i+j}.$$

Conversely, by the induction hypothesis, we have that $A_i e_{-1}, e_i, \dots, e_{i+j-2}$ are in the R -submodule generated by

$$\psi_i(1), \psi_{i+2}(1), \psi_{i+4}(1), \dots, \psi_{i+j-2}(1),$$

and again note that by Lemma 5.3, the number A_{i+j} is a multiple of A_i . Therefore

$$e_{i+j} = \psi_{i+j}(1) - A_{i+j} e_{-1} - c_{i+j,i} e_i - c_{i+j,i+2} e_{i+2} - \dots - c_{i+j,i+j-2} e_{i+j-2}$$

is in the R -submodule generated by

$$\psi_i(1), \psi_{i+2}(1), \psi_{i+4}(1), \dots, \psi_{i+j}(1).$$

This completes the induction. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let $i > 1$ be an odd integer, and assume $i \in Z_2$, where Z_2 is the set defined in Definition 5.1. Then K_{i-2} is isomorphic as an R -module to*

$$R^\wedge \times R/(i) \times R/(i+2) \times R/(i+4) \times \dots$$

Proof. It's clear that the closure in N_∞ of the R -submodule generated by $A_i e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+2}, \dots$ is isomorphic to the given module, so the claim follows from Proposition 5.4. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let $i > 1$ be an odd integer, and assume $i \in Z_2$, where Z_2 is the set defined in Definition 5.1. Then N_{i-2} is isomorphic as an R -module to*

$$R/p^{ai} \oplus R/1 \oplus R/3 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(i-2).$$

As a reality check we point out that this matches with Lemma 4.5 and the first short exact sequence on page 4.

Example 5.7. When $p = 3$, the set Z_2 from Definition 5.1 contains the following elements: 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 31, 35, 37, 41, 43, 47, 49, 53, 55, 59, 61, 65, 67, 71, 73, 77, 85, 89, 91, 95, 97, 101, 103, 107, 109, 113, 115, 119, 121, 125, 127, 131, 139, 143, 145, 149, 151, 155, 157, 161, 163, 167, 169, 173, 175, 179, 181, 185, 193, 197, 199.

In other words, in addition to multiples of 3, it only excludes the elements 25, 29, 79, 83, 133, 137, 187, 191.

Remark 5.8. It follows from Corollary 4.8 that all odd numbers of the form $\frac{p^a \pm 1}{2}$ for $a > 0$ are contained in Z_2 .

6. THE SIZE OF THE SETS Z_1 AND Z_2

We give lower-bounds on the proportion of positive odd integers in the sets Z_1 and Z_2 from Definition 4.4 and Definition 5.1.

The following lemma should be intuitively clear. It roughly says that $2/p^e$ of odd integers are of the form $cp^e \pm b$, where b is some fixed constant. The point of the lemma is to give a precise version of this claim.

Lemma 6.1. *Let X denote the set of all odd positive integers, and for any positive number N , let $X_N := X \cap [0, N]$. Fix a positive integer e , and an even positive integer b , where $b < \frac{p^e}{2}$. Let*

$$Y_{e,N} := \{x \in X_N : x = cp^e \pm b, \text{ some } c \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\#Y_{e,N}}{\#X_N} < \frac{2}{p^e} + \frac{2}{\#X_N}.$$

Proof. Let $Y_{e,N,-} := \{x \in X_N : x = cp^e - b, \text{ some } c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This set contains approximately half of the elements of $Y_{e,N}$, and it clearly suffices to prove that

$$\#Y_{e,N,-} < \frac{\#X_N}{p^e} + 1.$$

To ease notation, write X instead of X_N and write Y for the set obtained from $Y_{e,N,-}$ obtained by removing its minimal element $p^e - b$ (we are ignoring the trivial case that $Y_{e,N,-}$ is the empty set). In terms of this new notation, we must prove that

$$\#Y < \frac{\#X}{p^e}.$$

Consider the following translates of Y :

$$Y, Y-2, Y-4, \dots, Y-2p^e+2.$$

We have listed p^e of these sets, and they are pairwise disjoint. Their union is strictly contained in X (because the minimal element in Y is $3p^e - b$). Because these sets all have cardinality $\#Y$, we deduce that $p^e \cdot \#Y < \#X$, as required. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let X denote the set of all odd positive integers. Let Z_1 be as in Definition 4.4 and let Z_2 be as in Definition 5.1. Fix any positive integer N , and write $\lambda := \frac{2p-3}{2p-2}$. We have*

$$\frac{\#(Z_1 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^3} - \frac{1}{p^5} - \left(\sum_{k \geq 6 \text{ even}} \frac{1}{p^{\lambda k}} \right) - \frac{\log_p(N) + 1}{\lambda \#(X \cap [0, N])}.$$

and

$$\frac{\#(Z_2 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{p^3} - \frac{2}{p^5} - \left(\sum_{k \geq 6 \text{ even}} \frac{2}{p^{\lambda k}} \right) - \frac{2 \log_p(N) + 2}{\lambda \#(X \cap [0, N])}.$$

Proof. We prove the result for Z_2 . The argument for Z_1 is the same.

To obtain Z_2 , we can proceed as follows:

- (1) Begin with the set X_N of all odd positive integers up to N .
- (2) Remove all multiples of p .
- (3) For every even positive integer k , let e_k be the minimal positive integer such that $g(p^{e_k}) \geq k$. Remove all elements of the form $cp^{e_k} \pm k$, i.e., the set $Y_{e_k, N}$.

The second step removes at most $\frac{\#X_N}{p}$ many elements. For the third step, we explicitly know that $e_2 = 3$ and $e_4 = 5$. The values e_j for $j \geq 6$ depend on the odd prime p , and instead of finding these values exactly, we use the bound from Lemma 4.7. From that lemma's proof, we have

$$e_k > \frac{2p-3}{2p-2}k.$$

We can then apply Lemma 6.1 to estimate

$$\frac{Y_{e_k, N}}{\#X_N} < \frac{2}{p^{e_k}} + \frac{2}{\#X_N}.$$

While the first summand assembles into a geometric series, the second one is constant in X_N . This requires us to bound the occurrence of k such that $Y_{e_k, N}$ is nonempty. The smallest possible element in $Y_{e_k, N}$ is given by $p^{e_k} - k$, which is bounded below by

$$p^{\frac{2p-3}{2p-2}k} - k.$$

We denote $\lambda = \frac{2p-3}{2p-2}$, and claim that for $\lambda k > \log_p(N) + 1$, the inequality $p^{\lambda k} - k > N$ holds as desired: For this, note first that $N = p^{\log_p N + 1 - 1}$, so it suffices to show that

$$p^{\lambda k} - k > p^{\lambda k - 1} \Leftrightarrow (p-1)p^{\lambda k - 1} - k > 0.$$

As k ranges over even positive integers, we first check this manually for $k = 2$:

$$(p-1)p^{\lambda 2 - 1} - 2 = (p-1)p^{\frac{(2p-3)-(p-1)}{p-1}} - 2 = \underbrace{(p-1)}_{\geq 2} \underbrace{p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}_{> 1} - 2 > 0.$$

Next, note that for $f(k) = (p-1)p^{\lambda k - 1} - k$, we have the derivative $f'(k) = (p-1)\log(p)p^{\lambda k - 1} - 1$. By the same reason as above, this is non-negative for $k \geq 0$, so $f(k) > 0$ for $k \geq 2$.

The upshot is then that we only need to remove the sets $Y_{e_k, N}$ for $k \leq \frac{\log_p(N) + 1}{\lambda}$. Putting together our observations, this leaves us with the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#(Z_2 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{k \geq 2 \text{ even}} \frac{\#Y_{e_k, N}}{\#X_N} \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{p^3} - \frac{2}{p^5} - \left(\sum_{k \geq 6 \text{ even}} \frac{2}{p^{\lambda k}} \right) - \frac{2 \log_p(N) + 2}{\lambda \#X_N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.3. The proportion of elements in Z_1 and Z_2 is larger when the (odd) prime p is larger. For example, when $p = 3$, we have

$$\liminf \frac{\#(Z_1 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 0.61 \text{ and } \liminf \frac{\#(Z_2 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 0.58,$$

and when $p = 101$, we have

$$\liminf \frac{\#(Z_1 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 0.99 \text{ and } \liminf \frac{\#(Z_2 \cap [0, N])}{\#(X \cap [0, N])} \geq 0.99.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Integral p -adic Hodge theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 128:219–397, 2018.
- [2] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze. Topological Hochschild homology and integral p -adic Hodge theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 129:199–310, 2019.
- [3] Marcel Bökstedt, Wu-Chung Hsiang, and Ib Madsen. The cyclotomic trace and algebraic K -theory of spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 111(3):465–539, 1993.
- [4] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, and Matthew Morrow. K -theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(2):411–473, 2021.
- [5] Bjørn Ian Dundas. Relative K -theory and topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):223–242, 1997.
- [6] Julius Frank. On formality of certain universal differential graded algebras. in preparation, 2023.
- [7] Vladimir Hinich. Homological algebra of homotopy algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 25(10):3291–3323, 1997.
- [8] Markus Land and Georg Tamme. On the k -theory of pushouts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12812*, 2023.
- [9] Jean-Louis Loday. *Cyclic homology*, volume 301 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998. Appendix E by María O. Ronco, Chapter 13 by the author in collaboration with Teimuraz Pirashvili.
- [10] Randy McCarthy. Relative algebraic K -theory and topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):197–222, 1997.
- [11] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 221(2):203–409, 2018.
- [12] Stefan Schwede and Brooke E. Shipley. Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 80(2):491–511, 2000.
- [13] Brooke Shipley. $H\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra spectra are differential graded algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 129(2):351–379, 2007.
- [14] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2017.