

Derivation of Conservation Laws in 2nd order Quantum-Correlation Theory

Sobhan Sounda and Dibyendu jana

Department of Physics, Presidency University, Kolkata 700073,
India

September 1, 2023

Abstract

This paper extends the foundational concept to second-order quantum correlation tensors, representing intensity-intensity correlations. As their application in diverse optical field experiments gaining importance, we investigate conserved quantities such as energy, linear and angular momentum and illuminate the dynamic nature of quantum correlations.

1 Introduction

Quantum optics explores the fundamental interaction between light and matter, unveiling the quantum nature of electromagnetic radiation and its interaction with atoms and other quantum systems. It encompasses the study of phenomena such as photon absorption, emission, and manipulation, leading to groundbreaking advancements in fields like quantum information processing and precision metrology. Until now, discussions on the statistical properties of photon beams have predominantly relied on classical or semiclassical perspectives. While such discussions provide insights, they overlook quantum phenomena that lack classical counterparts, raising questions of self-consistency. The classical limit fails to preserve the wave-particle duality, which is crucial for an accurate treatment of photon statistics. Recognizing the need for a more coherent theory, we have embarked on a comprehensive quantum-mechanical approach to address the challenges of photon statistics.

The correlation function serves as a crucial tool for understanding the coherence properties of photons by quantifying the correlation between electromagnetic fields at different spacetime points. Wolf's concept of optical correlation [1] introduced a unifying framework that proved instrumental in addressing significant problems within classical statistical optics. We embark on an exploration of quantum field theoretic quantities, specifically their relevance to the

field of quantum optics and their profound implications for field measurement. Photon detection and quantum correlation emerge as indispensable factors in the measurement of electromagnetic fields in optics. By harnessing the photoelectric effect, which involves the absorption of photons, the detection process becomes possible. Moreover, quantum correlation plays a pivotal role in elucidating the intricate interrelationships and connections between individual photons, enabling a comprehensive understanding of their behavior in complex optical systems.

This study delves into various practical applications of the theoretical framework. For instance, we delve into the first-order quantum correlation function (QCF), which finds its significance in Young's double-slit interference experiment [2]. By analyzing the correlation between the fields from the two slits, we gain valuable insights into the interference phenomena and the wave-particle duality inherent in the behavior of light. Another pivotal contribution to the field comes from the groundbreaking work of Hanbury Brown and Twiss in 1956, who reported the correlated arrival of photon pairs in a narrow-spectral-width light beam. This phenomenon, now known as the Hanbury Brown and Twiss effect [3], provides key insights into the statistical properties of light and has far-reaching implications in areas such as quantum optics and quantum information processing.

1963 was the revolutionary year for optics when photon correlation was described by Glauber's quantum theory of optical coherence [4] [5] [6] by employing the principles of quantum electrodynamics. Using this elegant theory, we gain deeper insights into the distribution of the number of photons in an incoherent beam and unravel the intricate coherence properties of light.

In the classical description of light, Maxwell's four equations captured its behavior, involving the electric and magnetic fields through two divergence equations and two curl equations. These dynamical equations governed the propagation of the optical field, dictating its evolution with time. However, a more comprehensive understanding of light propagation emerged when considering classical correlation functions, which offered a broader perspective on the dynamics of light. In the quantum realm, correlation functions also played a vital role and remained consistent with Maxwell's equations. The quantized fields, being subject to quantum mechanics, exhibited their own dynamic behavior over time. This connection between quantum correlation dynamics and the evolving nature of the fields was an intriguing aspect to explore. In the study of any dynamical system, physicists naturally sought conserved quantities, such as energy, momentum, and angular momentum, as they provided valuable insights into the system's behavior. Remarkably, M. Takeda and Wang [7] contributed to this area of research by investigating quantum correlation tensors, namely E_{jk} and S_{jk} , which encompassed mixed correlation terms involving both electric and magnetic fields. Their significant work revealed that these tensors followed conservation laws, highlighting the importance of these quantities in understanding the underlying dynamics of quantum correlations.

Building upon this foundation, this paper aims to extend the concept to second-order correlation tensors, namely E_{jklm} and S_{jklm} which are nothing but the intensity intensity correlation. By considering their applicability in various optical field experiments, we can explore their conservation properties and delve deeper into the dynamic nature of quantum correlations. This newly developed framework offers a comprehensive approach to understanding the dynamics of quantum correlations in optical systems, opening up avenues for further exploration and theoretical advancements.

2 2nd Order Quantum Coherence Tensor

Theory will be complete when we take the electric field as well as magnetic field. In 1963, Roy Glauber first encounter photon with full quantum mechanical treatment and came up with 1st order quantum correlation function, consisting \hat{E}^+ , \hat{E}^- field only. After one year, Mehta and Wolf [8] jointly published a paper on black-body radiation where he first introduced mixed correlation terms. Now we are defining 2nd order correlations which can also be termed as intensity-intensity correlation. We would like to study their conserved quantities just like Takeda and Wang did for 1st order correlation tensors,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{jklm}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{t}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{t}_2) \mathbf{E}_l^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_3; \mathbf{t}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_4; \mathbf{t}_4) \right\}, \\ H_{jklm}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{t}_1) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_k^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{t}_2) \mathbf{B}_l^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_3; \mathbf{t}_3) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_4; \mathbf{t}_4) \right\}, \\ M_{jklm}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{t}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_k^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{t}_2) \mathbf{B}_l^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_3; \mathbf{t}_3) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_4; \mathbf{t}_4) \right\}, \\ N_{jklm}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{t}_1) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_k^{(-)}(\mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{t}_2) \mathbf{E}_l^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_3; \mathbf{t}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{x}_4; \mathbf{t}_4) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ is the density operator describing the state of the field, Tr the symbol stands for the trace operation, the indices ($jklm = 1, 2, 3$) label Cartesian components: $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$, using this notation $(\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{t}_i) = (\mathbf{r}_i)$ and from the knowledge of quantization of electromagnetic field, we have separated the electromagnetic field operators into their positive-frequency parts \hat{E}^+ and \hat{B}^+ and their negative-frequency parts \hat{E}^- and \hat{B}^- , respectively.

In contrast with classical electrodynamics where E and B field follows the maxwells equation, here negative-frequency parts of quantized \hat{E}^- and \hat{B}^- also be expressed in the tensor form as,

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k \hat{\mathbf{B}}_l^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\partial_j \hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\partial_j \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where c denotes the speed of light, ε_{jkl} is the anti symmetric tensor Levi-Civita, ∂_j and $\partial/\partial t$ are the differential operator, and Einstein's summation convention has been employed. One of the essential aspects in which quantum field theory differs from classical theory is that two values of the field operators taken at different space-time points do not, in general, commute with one another. After multiplying both sides of (2) by $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_0^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4)$ and writing it by maintaining normal ordering.

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k \hat{\mathbf{E}}_l^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_0^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_0^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) = 0 \quad (6)$$

If we take the quantum average of (6) and interchange the order of the quantum average and differential operator, from the definition of coherence tensor in (1), we have

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k E_{lmno} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N_{jmno} = 0 \quad (7)$$

If we apply the same procedure, we obtain

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k M_{lmno} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_{jmno} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k N_{lmno} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{jmno} = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k H_{lmno} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_{jmno} = 0 \quad (10)$$

In a similar manner, the divergence condition yields the following equations:

$$\partial_j E_{jmno} = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_j H_{jmno} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_j M_{jmno} = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\partial_j N_{jmno} = 0 \quad (14)$$

After adding (7) to (10), and subtracting (9) from (8), we obtain two equations, respectively:

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{lmno} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{S}_{jmno} = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \partial_k \mathbb{S}_{lmno} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{E}_{jmno} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Moreover, from (11) and (12), or from (13) and (14), one has

$$\partial_j \mathbb{E}_{jmno} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\partial_j \mathbb{S}_{jmno} = 0 \quad (18)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) \\ &= E_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) + H_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \left[\hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_o^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) + \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_m^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_o^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) \right] \right\} \\ & \mathbb{S}_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) \\ &= M_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) - N_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\rho} \left[\hat{\mathbf{E}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_m^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_o^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_j^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_1) \hat{\mathbf{B}}_m^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}_2) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_n^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_3) \hat{\mathbf{E}}_o^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}_4) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The tensor \mathbb{E}_{jmno} and \mathbb{S}_{jmno} may be called the 2nd order version of quantum energy coherence tensor and the quantum energy-flow coherence tensor, which does not have formal resemblance to those of the classical theory of electrodynamics where total energy of the electromagnetic field is $(E^2 + B^2)$ but for the 1st order correlation tensors it takes the same shape $(EE^* + BB^*)$.

3 Conservation laws

3.1 Energy

Drawing inspiration from the resemblance to Maxwell's equations in free space, we can introduce essential concepts that help us to understand how the energy coherence tensor and the energy-flow coherence tensor evolve over space and time. This approach allows us to gain valuable insights into their dynamics and significance within our research context. Let us now take the complex conjugate of eq-(15) and multiply by \mathbb{S}_{jmno} . We then obtain

$$\varepsilon_{jkl} \mathbb{S}_{jmno} \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{lmno}^* - \frac{1}{c} \mathbb{S}_{jmno} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* = 0. \quad (19)$$

Now, we add eq-(19) with its complex conjugate, we have

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* \mathbb{S}_{jmno}) - \varepsilon_{jkl} (\mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{lmno} + \mathbb{S}_{jmno} \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{lmno}^*) = 0. \quad (20)$$

If we make use of relation $\varepsilon_{jkl} = -\varepsilon_{lkj}$ and interchange the dummy suffices j and l , we have

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* \mathbb{S}_{jmno}) + \varepsilon_{jkl} (\mathbb{S}_{lmno}^* \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{jmno} + \mathbb{S}_{lmno} \partial_k \mathbb{E}_{jmno}^*) = 0. \quad (21)$$

In a similar way, we obtain from eq-(16), multiply by \mathbb{E}_{jmno} and ending up with this,

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* \mathbb{E}_{jmno}) + \varepsilon_{jkl} (\mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* \partial_k \mathbb{S}_{lmno} + \mathbb{E}_{jmno} \partial_k \mathbb{S}_{lmno}^*) = 0 \quad (22)$$

After simply adding eq-(21) and (23) we get back,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) + \partial_k \mathbf{T}_k(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) = 0 \quad (23)$$

where,

2nd order version of quantum-correlation-function energy density :

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) = \mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* \mathbb{E}_{jmno} + \mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* \mathbb{S}_{jmno}$$

and,

2nd order version of quantum-correlation-function flow density :

$$\mathbf{T}_k(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) = c \varepsilon_{klj} (\mathbb{S}_{lmno} \mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{lmno}^* \mathbb{E}_{jmno})$$

Based on its formal analogy to the energy conservation law in electromagnetism, equation (23) can be interpreted as a continuity equation. In this equation, the scalar quantity \mathbf{W} represents a quantum-correlation-function energy density, while the vector quantity \mathbf{T}_k represents a quantum-correlation-function flow density.

Analogous to how the magnitude and direction of the Poynting vector in classic optics represent the field intensity and direction of light energy flow, respectively, the magnitude and direction of the quantum-correlation-function flow density vector \mathbf{T} provide insights into the intensity and direction of quantum correlation flow.

By integrating equation (23) over a volume V bounded by a closed surface S and applying Gauss' theorem, further insights and implications can be derived.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_V \mathbf{W}_i(r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4) dV + \iint_S \mathbf{T}_k(r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0 \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{n} denotes the unit outward normal to S . Equation (24) can be interpreted as follows: The change in quantum-correlation-function energy within the volume V is equal to the rate at which quantum-correlation-function energy flows into or out of V through the boundary S via the quantum-correlation-function flow. With this understanding, equation (24) represents the conservation law for quantum-correlation-function energy, which can be seen as a natural extension of those derived from classical scalar coherence theory.

3.2 Linear Momentum

Next, let us examine a novel law governing the conservation of a momentum-like quantity within the realm of 2nd order quantum correlation theory. Take the complex conjugate of eq-(15) and multiply by $\varepsilon_{ipj} \mathbb{E}_{pmno}$ and make use of tensor identity $\varepsilon_{jkl} \varepsilon_{ipj} = \varepsilon_{klj} \varepsilon_{ipj} = \delta_{ki} \delta_{lp} - \delta_{kp} \delta_{li}$

$$-\mathbb{E}_{pmno} \partial_i \mathbb{E}_{pmno}^* + \mathbb{E}_{pmno} \partial_p \mathbb{E}_{imno}^* + \mathbb{E}_{imno}^* \partial_p \mathbb{E}_{pmno} + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon_{ipj} \mathbb{E}_{pmno} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{S}_{jmno}^* = 0, \quad (25)$$

lets take cc to eq-(16),multiplying with $\varepsilon_{ipj}\mathbb{S}_{pmno}$,

$$-\mathbb{S}_{pmno}\partial_i\mathbb{S}_{pmno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{pmno}\partial_p\mathbb{S}_{imno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{imno}^*\partial_p\mathbb{E}_{pmno} + \frac{1}{c}\varepsilon_{ipj}\mathbb{S}_{pmno}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* = 0, \quad (26)$$

now add these 4 equations eq-(24) ,eq - (24)*,eq-(25),eq - (25)*
finally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{T}_i(\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{r}_2;\mathbf{r}_3;\mathbf{r}_4) + \partial_p W_{pi}(\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{r}_2;\mathbf{r}_3;\mathbf{r}_4) = 0 \quad (27)$$

where,

quantum-correlation-function momentum density :

$$\mathbb{T}_i(\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{r}_2;\mathbf{r}_3;\mathbf{r}_4) = \frac{1}{c}\varepsilon_{ipj}(\mathbb{S}_{pmno}\mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{pmno}^*\mathbb{E}_{jmno})$$

and the Quantum Coherence Energy density \mathbb{W}_{pi} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_{pi} = & (\mathbb{E}_{pmno}\mathbb{E}_{imno}^* + \mathbb{E}_{pmno}^*\mathbb{E}_{imno}) - \delta_{pi}(\mathbb{E}_{pmno}\mathbb{E}_{pmno}^*) \\ & + (\mathbb{S}_{pmno}\mathbb{S}_{imno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{pmno}^*\mathbb{S}_{imno}) - \delta_{pi}(\mathbb{S}_{pmno}\mathbb{S}_{pmno}^*). \end{aligned}$$

If we integrate (27) throughout the volume V bounded by a closed surface S and apply Gauss' theorem in the tensor form, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_V \mathbb{T}_i(r_1;r_2;r_3;r_4) dV + \iint_S \mathbb{W}_{pi}(r_1;r_2;r_3;r_4) \cdot \mathbf{n}_k dS = 0 \quad (28)$$

In analogy with momentum conservation law in electromagnetism, we interpret the vector $\mathbf{T}(r_1;r_2;r_3;r_4)$ as a momentum-density-like quantity of quantum correlation, termed as the quantum-correlation-function momentum density. Additionally, the symmetric tensor $W_{ki}(r_1;r_2;r_3;r_4)$ can be seen as a quantum-correlation-function stress tensor, resembling the Maxwell-stress-tensor. This tensor represents the flux of the k th component of quantum-correlation-function momentum in the i th direction.

Hence, the equation indicates that the rate of gain or loss of quantum-correlation-function momentum within a closed volume is equal to the flux of quantum-correlation-function momentum flowing into or out of the volume across its bounding surface. This interpretation establishes the conservation law of quantum-correlation-function momentum, which shares a remarkable resemblance with the classical counterpart [9].

3.3 Angular Momentum

In classical electrodynamics [10],angular momentum is written like $\mathbf{x} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})$.if we write B in terms of vector potential and then perform the vector curl identity we will find out that angular momentum can be further decomposed into two parts,coordinate-independent term which is called spin angular momentum and another one is depended on choice of coordinate,named as orbital angular

momentum. The presence of spin angular momentum is due to the transverse vector nature of the electromagnetic field. So let's first define vector potential in the context of Quantum coherence tensor ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{jmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2) = \varepsilon_{jtl} \partial_t \mathbb{A}_{lmno}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2) \quad (29)$$

lets put this tensor potential(29) into momentum T_i and rewriting whole equation in terms of S_{pmno} and A_{lmno} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_i &= c^{-1} \varepsilon_{ipj} (S_{pmno} \mathbb{E}_{jmno}^* + S_{pmno}^* \mathbb{E}_{jmno}) \\ &= c^{-1} \varepsilon_{ipj} [S_{pmno} (\varepsilon_{jtl} \partial_t A_{lmno})^* + S_{pmno}^* (\varepsilon_{jtl} \partial_t A_{lmno})] \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Making use of tensor identity $\varepsilon_{ipj} \varepsilon_{jtl} = \varepsilon_{ipj} \varepsilon_{tlj} = \delta_{it} \delta_{pl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{pt}$ (where δ_{it} is equal to 0 if $i \neq t$ and equal to unity if $i = t$), then manipulate using divergence free term. At the end the equation becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_i &= \frac{1}{c} (S_{lmno} \partial_i A_{lmno}^* + S_{lmno}^* \partial_i A_{lmno} - S_{tmno}^* \partial_t A_{imno} - S_{tmno} \partial_t A_{imno}^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} (S_{lmno} \partial_i A_{lmno}^* + S_{lmno}^* \partial_i A_{lmno} - \partial_t (S_{tmno}^* A_{imno} + S_{tmno} A_{imno}^*)) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

\mathbb{T}_i is written in terms of cross product between tensor-potential and the eclectic field like quantity, energy-flow-coherence-tensor.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_p(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) &\equiv (r_1 - r_0) \times \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \\ &= \varepsilon_{pji} (r_{1j} - r_{0j}) \mathbb{T}_i(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now it is ready to cook for making coherence angular momentum \mathbb{L}_p via putting the expression of \mathbb{T}_i , we got from eq(30) into eq(31). Final expression is ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_p &= c^{-1} \varepsilon_{nji} (r_{1j} - r_{0j}) [S_{km} \partial_i^1 A_{km}^* + S_{km}^* \partial_i^1 A_{km}] \\ &= c^{-1} \varepsilon_{pji} (r_{1j} - r_{0j}) (S_{lmno} \partial_i A_{lmno}^* + S_{lmno}^* \partial_i A_{lmno}) \\ &\quad - c^{-1} \varepsilon_{pji} \partial_t [(r_{1j} - r_{0j}) (S_{tmno} A_{imno}^* + S_{tmno}^* A_{imno})] \\ &\quad + c^{-1} \varepsilon_{pji} [\partial_t (r_{1j} - r_{0j})] (S_{tmno} A_{imno}^* + S_{tmno}^* A_{imno}) . \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Now $\partial_t (r_{1j} - r_{0j}) = \delta_{tj}$, so that we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_p &= c^{-1} S_{lmno} [(r_1 - r_0) \times \nabla]_p A_{lmno}^* + c^{-1} S_{lmno}^* [(r_1 - r_0) \times \nabla]_p A_{lmno} \\ &\quad - c^{-1} \partial_t \{S_{tmno} [(r_1 - r_0) \times A_{imno}^*] + S_{tmno}^* [(r_1 - r_0) \times A_{imno}]\} \\ &\quad + c^{-1} \varepsilon_{pti} (S_{tmno} A_{imno}^* + S_{tmno}^* A_{imno}) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

taking volume integral in the both side of eq(34) and discarding surface term and arrived with orbital angular momentum part and the intrinsic part which is independent of choice of coordinate, termed as spin angular momentum.

$$\mathcal{L}_p = (\mathcal{L}_p)^o + (\mathcal{L}_p)^s \quad (35)$$

where,

$$(\mathcal{L}_p)^o = c^{-1} \iiint_v \left[\mathbb{S}_{lmno} [(r_1 - r_0) \times \nabla]_p \mathbb{A}_{lmno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{lmno}^* [(r_1 - r_0) \times \nabla]_p \mathbb{A}_{lmno} \right] dv$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_p)^s = c^{-1} \iiint_v [\varepsilon_{pti} (\mathbb{S}_{tmno} \mathbb{A}_{imno}^* + \mathbb{S}_{tmno}^* \mathbb{A}_{imno})] dv$$

similarly we can say

$$\mathbb{M}_{pk}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) = \varepsilon_{pji} x_j \mathbb{W}_{ik}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4)$$

where W_{ik} is the quantum-correlation-function stress tensor which is symmetric as well, given above (26). Now to make it compatible, integrating over volume give rise to \mathcal{M}_{pk} . Conservation of angular momentum in quantum correlation function is also expressed by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) + \partial_k \mathcal{M}_{pk}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4) = 0, \quad (36)$$

which again bears the close analogy to the classical theories of coherence [9]. The first term of Eq. (36) represents the time rate of increase (or decrease) of the p component of angular coherence momentum \mathcal{L} within the volume V , while the second term $\mathcal{M}_{pk}(\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3; \mathbf{r}_4)$ denotes the p component of coherence angular momentum flow density into volume V across the surface S . Therefore, Eqs. (28) and (36) express the conservation law of linear coherence momentum and angular coherence momentum.

In summary, this research marks the first known derivation of linear and angular coherence momentum expressions for the second-order coherence theory of classical electromagnetic fields. Through a classical electrodynamics model, we have introduced novel density quantities based on energy and energy-flow coherence tensors, interlinked by continuity equations that reveal fresh conservation principles within the coherence domain.

Moreover, gaining insights into coherence flow dynamics through fully vectorial electromagnetic field correlation introduces intriguing possibilities for statistical optics. It paves the way for a new field that could be termed as classical coherence dynamics, offering exciting prospects for future investigations in this realm.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, our research has successfully derived energy coherence and energy-flow coherence tensors using advanced quantum field theory techniques beyond first order. These tensors offer valuable insights into photon statistics and quantum correlations at four different space-time points. Additionally, we have introduced new quantities to the quantum theory of optical coherence, linking

them through continuity equations. This process has led to the discovery of new conservation laws governing quantum correlation functions.

Furthermore, our work aims to generalize this theory to n th order, ensuring mathematical consistency through tensor index manipulation. This generalization involves taking n th degrees of freedom, represented by $(j\ k\ l\ m\ \dots\ n)$ indices, while preserving the conservation laws. Different ordered theories can be interpreted through various experiments or physical explanations.

The significance of this research paper illuminates the dynamic attributes of quantized fields. In any dynamic process, essential conservation laws such as momentum, energy, and angular momentum can be computed. When considering the photon, a quantum particle, Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) advances the photon theory by introducing the notions of creation and annihilation. The development of correlation functions using quantized fields, represented by \hat{E} and \hat{B} , has paved the way for understanding various optical field experiments. Now, our focus shifts to inquiries concerning the conservation laws governing the dynamics characterized by correlation tensors.

References

- [1] L. Mandel and E. Wolf. *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics*. EBL-Schweitzer. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [2] DF Walls. A simple field theoretic description of photon interference. *American Journal of Physics*, 45(10):952–956, 1977.
- [3] R. Q. Twiss R. Hanbury Brown. The intensity interferometer, phil. mag. 1 § , 663 (1954). *Nature* 11, 1046, 1956.
- [4] Roy J. Glauber. The quantum theory of optical coherence. *Phys. Rev.*, 130:2529–2539, Jun 1963.
- [5] Roy J. Glauber. Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field. *Phys. Rev.*, 131:2766–2788, Sep 1963.
- [6] Roy J. Glauber. Photon correlations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 10:84–86, Feb 1963.
- [7] Wei Wang and Mitsuo Takeda. Conservation laws in quantum-correlation-function dynamics. *Advances in Optical Technologies*, 2010:171254, Jun 2010.
- [8] C. L. Mehta and E. Wolf. Coherence properties of blackbody radiation. ii. correlation tensors of the quantized field. *Phys. Rev.*, 134:A1149–A1153, Jun 1964.
- [9] Wei Wang and Mitsuo Takeda. Linear and angular coherence momenta in the classical second-order coherence theory of vector electromagnetic fields. *Opt. Lett.*, 31(17):2520–2522, September 2006.

- [10] J.D. Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, 1998.