

# The Borsuk-Ulam property for homotopy classes on bundles, parametrized braids groups and applications for surfaces bundles

DACIBERG LIMA GONÇALVES <sup>\*</sup>   VINICIUS CASTELUBER LAASS <sup>†</sup>  
WESLEM LIBERATO SILVA <sup>‡</sup>

23rd August 2023

## Abstract

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be fiber bundles over the same base  $B$ , where  $M$  is endowed with a free involution  $\tau$  over  $B$ . A homotopy class  $\delta \in [M, N]_B$  (over  $B$ ) is said to have the Borsuk–Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$  if for every fiber-preserving map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  which represents  $\delta$  there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that  $f(\tau(x)) = f(x)$ . In the cases that  $B$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$ -space and the fibers of the projections  $M \rightarrow B$  and  $N \rightarrow B$  are  $K(\pi, 1)$  closed surfaces  $S_M$  and  $S_N$ , respectively, we show that the problem of decide if a homotopy class of a fiber-preserving map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property is equivalent of an algebraic problem involving the fundamental groups of  $M$ , the orbit space of  $M$  by  $\tau$  and a type of generalized braid groups of  $N$  that we call *parametrized braid groups*. As an application, we determine the homotopy classes of self fiber-preserving maps of some 2-torus bundles over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  that satisfy the Borsuk–Ulam property with respect to certain involutions  $\tau$  over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ .

## 1 Introduction

In the early twentieth century, St. Ulam conjectured that if  $f: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous map, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$  such that  $f(A(x)) = f(x)$ , where  $A: \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$  is the antipodal map. The confirmation of this result by K. Borsuk in 1933 [5], known as the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, was the beginning of what we now refer to as *Borsuk-Ulam type theorems* or the *Borsuk-Ulam property*. More information about the history and some applications of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem may be found in [18], for example.

The St. Ulam conjectured suggests a quite general question, which has recently been studied, which consist to substitute  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by other spaces, replace the antipodal map by a free involution (see [2, 3, 15], for example), where we ask: does every continuous map collapse an orbit of the involution? More precisely, given topological spaces  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $M$  admits a free involution  $\tau$ , we say that the triple  $(M, \tau; N)$  has the *Borsuk-Ulam property* if for every continuous map  $f: M \rightarrow N$ ,

---

<sup>\*</sup>Departamento de Matemática, IME, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010 CEP: 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. e-mail: [digoncal@ime.usp.br](mailto:digoncal@ime.usp.br)

<sup>†</sup>Universidade Federal da Bahia, IME, Departamento de Matemática, Av. Milton Santos, S/N Ondina CEP: 40170-110, Salvador, BA, Brazil. e-mail: [vinicius.laass@ufba.br](mailto:vinicius.laass@ufba.br)

<sup>‡</sup>Departamento de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Jorge Amado, Km 16, Bairro Salobrinho, CEP 45662-900, Ilhéus-BA, Brazil. e-mail: [wlsilva@uesc.br](mailto:wlsilva@uesc.br)

Key words: Borsuk-Ulam theorem, braid groups, fiber bundle, fiber-preserving maps.

there exists a point  $x \in M$  such that  $f(\tau(x)) = f(x)$ . We emphasize that in the work [11], the authors used surface braid groups to study this new type of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem for maps between closed surfaces.

More recently, another natural and more refined problem, that generalizes the one above, has been considered, namely the question of the classification of the free homotopy classes of maps between  $M$  and  $N$ , where  $M$  is equipped with a free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action for which every representative map in the homotopy class collapses an orbit of the action. This problem was solved in three recent papers in the cases where  $M$  and  $N$  are compact surfaces without boundary of Euler characteristic zero [12, 13, 14]. The results depend on the choice of free involution on  $M$ , and once more, braid theory plays an important rôle in the solution of the problem.

A natural generalization of the previous works is to study the Borsuk-Ulam problem in the setting of fiber bundles. More specifically, given  $S_M \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} B$  and  $S_N \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{q} B$  be fiber bundles over  $B$ , where  $M$  is equipped with a free involution  $\tau$  over  $B$ , and a fiber-preserving map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$ , we say that *the homotopy class  $\delta = [f]$  over  $B$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$  if every representative map of  $\delta$  (which is a map homotopic over  $B$  to  $f$ ) is not injective in some orbit of  $\tau$* . The focus of this article is to develop a tool to study this problem in the case that  $M$  and  $N$  are CW-complex,  $B$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$  paracompact space and the fibers of  $p$  and  $q$  are  $K(\pi, 1)$  closed surfaces (see Theorem 13).

Besides the introduction, this paper consists of three sections. In Section 2 we study the homotopy classes between fibrations. We prove an important relation between the set of these classes and classes of homomorphisms between the fundamental groups of the spaces involved (see Theorem 2). Also, we give an algebraic criterion to decide when two given fiber-preserving maps over the same base are homotopic (see Theorem 8). In Section 3 we introduced the pure and the full parametrized braids groups in two strings of a fiber bundle. These groups are used to prove the main result of this paper which is Theorem 13. In Section 4 we solved the problem of classify the homotopy classes of maps over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  with respect to the Borsuk-Ulam property of some 2-torus bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  equipped with free involutions over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  (see Theorems 16 and 17). The others cases of 2-torus bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  is the subject of work in progress.

## 2 The set of homotopy classes of maps of a fibration

Let  $p: M \rightarrow B$  and  $q: N \rightarrow B$  be fibrations, where  $B$  is pathwise connected. Recall that a map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is said over  $B$  if  $q \circ f = p$ . We say that two maps  $f_0, f_1: M \rightarrow N$  are homotopic over  $B$  if they are homotopic by a continuous family of maps  $f_t: M \rightarrow N$ ,  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ , over  $B$ . We denote the set of homotopy class of maps from  $M$  to  $N$  over  $B$  by  $[M, N]_B$ . If we consider base points  $m_1 \in M$  and  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $p(m_1) = q(n_1)$  and consider homotopies  $f_t: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  over  $B$  we have in an analogous way the set of pointed homotopy class over  $B$  which we denote by  $[M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$ . Given an element  $\alpha \in [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$ , we may thus associate a free homotopy class  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}} \in [M, N]_B$  as follows: choose a representative map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  of  $\alpha$ , and then take the free homotopy class  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$  that contains the map  $f: M \rightarrow N$ . So we obtain the following well-defined function:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda: [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B &\longrightarrow [M, N]_B \\ \alpha = [f] &\longmapsto \alpha_{\mathcal{F}} := [f]. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We denote by  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$  the set whose elements are the homomorphisms  $\psi: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, n_1)$  such that  $q_{\#} \circ \psi = p_{\#}$ . Given an element  $\alpha \in [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$ , we may thus associate a homomorphism  $\alpha_{\#} \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$  by choosing a representative map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  of  $\alpha$ , and by taking the induced homomorphism. It is easy to see that the following function is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma: [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B \\ \alpha = [f] &\longmapsto \alpha_{\#} := f_{\#}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let  $S_N = q^{-1}(q(n_1))$  be the fiber of  $q: N \rightarrow B$  over  $n_1$  and consider the inclusion  $\iota: S_N \rightarrow N$ . Two homomorphisms  $h_1, h_2 \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$  are said to be *equivalent*, written  $h_1 \sim_{S_N} h_2$ , if there exists  $\omega \in \iota_{\#}(\pi_1(S_N, n_1))$  such that  $h_2(v) = \omega h_1(v) \omega^{-1}$  for all  $v \in \pi_1(M, m_1)$ . It is straightforward to see that  $\sim_{S_N}$  is an equivalence relation. The associated canonical projection shall be denoted as follows:

$$\Upsilon: \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B}{\sim_{S_N}}. \quad (3)$$

**Remark 1.** Note that if  $h_1$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$  and  $h_2: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, n_1)$  is a homomorphism such that  $h_2(v) = \omega h_1(v) \omega^{-1}$  for some  $\omega \in \iota_{\#}(\pi_1(S_N, n_1))$  and all  $v \in \pi_1(M, m_1)$ , then  $h_2$  also belongs to  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$ .

The following result connects the previously defined objects and it is analogous to [12, Theorem 4].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $p: M \rightarrow B$  and  $q: N \rightarrow B$  be fibrations,  $m_1 \in M$  and  $n_1$  be base points such that  $p(m_1) = q(n_1)$ , and  $S_M = p^{-1}(m_1)$  and  $S_N = q^{-1}(n_1)$  be the fibers of  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively. We assume that all spaces are pathwise connected CW-complex and the spaces  $S_M, S_N$  and  $B$  are  $K(\pi, 1)$ . Then the function  $\Gamma$  defined in (2) is a bijection and the functions  $\Lambda$  e  $\Upsilon$  defined in (1) and (3), resp., are surjective. Moreover, there exists a bijective function  $\Delta: [M, N]_B \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B}{\sim_{S_N}}$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B \\ \Lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \Upsilon \\ [M, N]_B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \frac{\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B}{\sim_{S_N}}. \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Before to prove the above result we establish some auxiliary lemmas. In all of them, we assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2. Note that from the long exact sequence associated to the fibrations we deduce that the spaces  $M$  and  $N$  are  $K(\pi, 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M \times_B N = \{(x, y) \in M \times N \mid p(x) = q(y)\}$  be the pull-back of the two fibre maps  $p: M \rightarrow B$  and  $q: N \rightarrow B$ , and let  $i: M \times_B N \rightarrow M \times N$  be the inclusion. Then  $M \times_B N$  has the homotopy type of a  $K(\pi, 1)$  CW-complex and the induced homomorphism  $i_{\#}: \pi_1(M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, m_1) \times \pi_1(N, n_1)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* The projection onto in the first coordinate  $p_1: M \times_B N \rightarrow M$  is a fibration whose typical fiber is  $S_N$ . Since we have that  $M$  and  $S_N$  are CW-complex, then  $M \times_B N$  has the homotopy type of a CW-complex by [10, Theorem 5.4.2]. Moreover, using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups, we deduce that  $\pi_i(M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1))$  is trivial for  $i \neq 1$ . Note that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_N & \xrightarrow{i_1} & M \times_B N & \xrightarrow{p_1} & M \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ N & \xrightarrow{i_2} & M \times N & \xrightarrow{p'_1} & M, \end{array}$$

where  $i_1(x) = (m_1, x)$ ,  $i_2(x) = (m_1, x)$  and  $p'_1$  is the projection onto the first coordinate. Take the fundamental group in the above diagram, we obtain the following commutative diagram, where the

horizontal lines are exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_N, n_1) & \xrightarrow{(i_1)_\#} & \pi_1(M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1)) & \xrightarrow{p_{1\#}} & \pi_1(M, m_1) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_\# & & \downarrow i_\# & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(N, n_1) & \xrightarrow{(i_2)_\#} & \pi_1(M \times N, (m_1, n_1)) & \xrightarrow{p'_{1\#}} & \pi_1(M, m_1) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Since  $\iota_\#$  and  $\text{Id}$  are injective, then  $i_\#$  is also.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f, g: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  be maps over  $B$  such that the induced homomorphisms  $f_\#, g_\#: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, n_1)$  are equal. Then  $f$  and  $g$  are pointed homotopic over  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(1 \times f), (1 \times g): (M, m_1) \rightarrow (M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1))$  be defined by  $(1 \times f)(x) = (x, f(x))$  and  $(1 \times g)(x) = (x, g(x))$  and let  $i: M \times_B N \rightarrow M \times N$  be the inclusion. By hypothesis, we have the equality  $(i \circ (1 \times f))_\# = (i \circ (1 \times g))_\#$  on the level of fundamental groups. Since  $i_\#$  is a monomorphism by Lemma 3, then  $(1 \times f)_\# = (1 \times g)_\#$ . Since  $M \times_B N$  has the homotopy type of  $K(\pi, 1)$  CW-complex by Lemma 3, follows by [24, Chapter V, Theorem 4.3] that there exists a homotopy  $H: (M \times I, m_1 \times I) \rightarrow (M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1))$  such that  $H(x, 0) = (x, f(x))$  and  $H(x, 1) = (x, g(x))$ . Let  $p_2: (M \times_B N, (m_1, n_1)) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  be the projection onto the second coordinate and let  $H' = p_2 \circ H: (M \times I, m_1 \times I) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$ . Then  $H'$  is a homotopy over  $B$  between  $f$  and  $g$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B$ . Then there exists a map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  over  $B$  such that  $f_\# = \psi$ .*

*Proof.* Since all spaces are  $K(\pi; 1)$ , follows by [24, Chapter V, Theorem 4.3] that there exists a map  $g: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  such that  $g_\# = \psi$  and also there exists a homotopy  $H: (M \times I, m_1 \times I) \rightarrow (B, p(m_1))$  such that  $H(x, 0) = q \circ g(x)$  and  $H(x, 1) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ . The map  $G: (m_1 \times [0, 1] \cup M \times 0, m_1 \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow (B, p(m_1))$  defined by  $G(x, 0) = g(x)$  and  $G(m_1 \times I) = n_1$  makes the square of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (m_1 \times I \cup M \times 0, m_1 \times I) & \xrightarrow{G} & (N, n_1) \\ \downarrow i & \dashrightarrow L & \downarrow q \\ (M \times I, m_1 \times I) & \xrightarrow{H} & (B, p(m_1)) \end{array}$$

commutative. Since  $q: (N, n_1) \rightarrow (B, p(m_1))$  is a fibration it follows that there exists  $L: (M \times I, m_1 \times I) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  such that the above diagram is commutative. Let  $f(x): (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  defined by  $f(x) = L(x, 1)$ . Finally, we have that  $q \circ f(x) = q \circ L(x, 1) = H(x, 1) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and thus  $f$  is over  $B$ . We have that  $f_\# = L(*, 0)_\# = g_\# = \psi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Given a map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$ , there exists a pointed map  $g: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  over  $B$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n_2 = f(m_1) \in N$  and  $\lambda: I \rightarrow N$  a path such that  $\lambda(0) = n_2$  and  $\lambda(1) = n_1$ . We define  $F: m_1 \times I \cup M \times 0 \rightarrow N$  by  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $F(m_1, t) = \lambda(t)$ . By [10, Theorem 1.1.2 item (i)], there exists a homotopy  $\tilde{F}: M \times I \rightarrow N$  which extends  $F$  and such that  $q \circ \tilde{F}(x, t) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in M$  and  $t \in I$ . Let  $g: M \rightarrow N$  be defined by  $g(x) = \tilde{F}(x, 1)$ . Thus  $g$  is the desired map and we leave to the reader to check the details.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  be maps over  $B$  such that  $f(m_1) = g(m_1) = n_1$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopics over  $B$  if, and only if,  $f_\# \sim_{S_N} g_\#$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that there exists a homotopy  $H: M \times I \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  such that  $H(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $H(x, 1) = g(x)$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  be the loop on  $N$  defined by  $\alpha_1(t) = H(m_1, t)$  and let  $\omega_1 = [\alpha_1] \in \pi_1(N, n_1)$ . Note that  $\omega_1 \in \iota_{\#}(\pi_1(S_N, n_1))$  and by construction we have  $f_{\#}(v) = \omega_1 g_{\#}(v) \omega_1^{-1}$  for all  $v \in \pi_1(M, m_1)$  which ends the first part of the proof. Now, suppose that  $f_{\#} \sim_{S_N} g_{\#}$ . Let  $\omega_2 = [\alpha_2] \in \iota_{\#}(\pi_1(S_N, n_1))$  such that  $f_{\#}(v) = \omega_2 g_{\#}(v) \omega_2^{-1}$  for all  $v \in \pi_1(M, m_1)$ . We define  $H: m_1 \times I \cup M \times 0 \rightarrow N$  by  $H(m, t) = \alpha(t)$  and  $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ . By [10, Theorem 1.1.2 item (i)], there exists a homotopy  $\tilde{H}: M \times I \rightarrow N$  that extends  $H$ . By construction,  $H(m_1, 1) = n_1$  and the maps  $f$  and  $\tilde{H}(*, 1)$  are homotopics over  $B$ . Note that on the level of fundamental groups we have  $\tilde{H}(*, 1)_{\#} = \omega_2^{-1} f_{\#} \omega_2 = g_{\#}$ . So  $\tilde{H}(*, 1)$  and  $g$  are homotopics over  $B$  by Lemma 4 which ends the second part of the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Follows from Lemma 4 that  $\Gamma$  is injective and follows from Lemma 5 that  $\Gamma$  is surjective. Lemma 6 assures that  $\Lambda$  is surjective. It is clear that  $\Upsilon$  is surjective. Given  $\beta \in [M, N]_B$ , let  $\alpha \in [M, m_1, N, n_1]_B$  such that  $\Lambda(\alpha) = \beta$ . The *if* part of Lemma 7 assures that the correspondence  $\Delta(\beta) = \Upsilon \circ \Gamma(\alpha)$  defines a surjective function  $\Delta: [M, N]_B \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M, m_1), \pi_1(N, n_1))_B}{\sim_{S_N}}$ . The *only if* part of Lemma 7 assures that  $\Delta$  is injective. By construction the diagram (4) is commutative.  $\square$

The Theorem 2 give us an algebraic description of the set of homotopy classes between  $K(\pi, 1)$  fibrations. To end this section, we enunciate and prove the following algebraic criterion to decide when two maps between fibrations are homotopic.

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose the same hypothesis of Theorem 2. Let  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  be maps over  $B$ . Given a point  $m_1 \in M$  and a path  $\gamma: I \rightarrow S_N$  from  $f(m_1)$  to  $g(m_1)$ , let  $\gamma_{\#}: \pi_1(N, g(m_1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, f(m_1))$  be the canonical isomorphism determined by  $\gamma$  that changes the basepoints of the fundamental group of  $N$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$  if, and only if,  $f_{\#} \sim_{S_N} \gamma_{\#} \circ g_{\#}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$ . Let  $h: M \times \{0\} \cup \{m_1\} \times I \rightarrow N$  be the map defined by  $h(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $h(m_1, t) = \gamma(t)$ . Since  $q: N \rightarrow B$  is a fibration, there exists a homotopy  $H: M \times I \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  that extends  $h$  by [1]. Let  $g_1: M \rightarrow N$  be the map defined by  $g_1(x) = H(x, 1)$ . Then  $f$  and  $g_1$  are homotopic over  $B$ ,  $g_1(m_1) = g(m_1)$  and it follows in a straightforward manner that  $f_{\#} = \gamma_{\#} \circ (g_1)_{\#}$ . Thus  $g_1$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$  and therefore  $(g_1)_{\#} \sim_{S_N} g_{\#}$  by Theorem 2. This implies that  $f_{\#} \sim_{S_N} \gamma_{\#} \circ g_{\#}$ . Now, let us prove the reciprocal. By Theorem 2 there exists a map  $f_1: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, f(m_1))$  over  $B$  such that  $(f_1)_{\#} = \gamma_{\#} \circ g_{\#}$ . Again by Theorem 2 and the assumption, it follows that  $f$  and  $f_1$  are homotopic over  $B$ . Using similar arguments that was used in the first part of the proof, we can obtain a map  $g_2: M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  such that  $f_1$  and  $g_2$  are homotopic over  $B$ ,  $g_2(m_1) = g(m_1)$  and  $(f_1)_{\#} = \gamma_{\#} \circ (g_2)_{\#}$ . So  $(g_2)_{\#} = g_{\#}$  and once more by Theorem 2 we have that  $g_2$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$ . By transitivity it follows that  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic over  $B$ .  $\square$

### 3 The Borsuk-Ulam property and braid groups

Let  $p: M \rightarrow B$  be a fibration where  $M$  is a connected CW-complex and  $B$  is pathwise connected. Suppose that  $M$  is equipped with a cellular free involution  $\tau$  over  $B$ . Denote by  $M_{\tau}$  the corresponding orbit space, and let  $\pi_{\tau}: M \rightarrow M_{\tau}$  denote the associated double covering. Note that the map  $p$  induces a map  $\overline{p}_{\tau}: M_{\tau} \rightarrow B$  which satisfies  $p = \overline{p}_{\tau} \circ \pi_{\tau}$ . We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M, m_1) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{\tau})_{\#}} & \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(m_1)) & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\tau}} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \searrow p_{\#} & & \swarrow \overline{p}_{\tau\#} & & \\
 & & & & \pi_1(B, p(m_1)), & & 
 \end{array} \tag{5}$$



where we identify the group  $\frac{\pi_1(M_\tau, p_\tau(m_1))}{(\pi_\tau)_\#(\pi_1(M, m_1))}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ ,  $\theta_\tau$  is the natural projection onto the quotient and the horizontal line is an exact sequence. About the map  $\overline{p_\tau}$  we have the following result.

**Lemma 9.** *The map  $\overline{p_\tau}: M_\tau \rightarrow B$  is a fibration.*

*Proof.* Recall that fibration means Hurewics fibration and in the category of CW-complex a Serre fibration is also a Hurewics fibration (see [6, 19]). Since  $M_\tau$  is a CW-complex, let us prove that  $\overline{p_\tau}$  is a Serre fibration. So suppose that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^n \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{h} & M_\tau \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{p_\tau} \\ I^n \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & B, \end{array}$$

where  $I$  denotes the unit interval. Since  $I^n$  is simply-connected, there exists a map  $\tilde{h}: I^n \times \{0\} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\pi_\tau \circ \tilde{h} = h$  and since  $p: M \rightarrow B$  is a fibration we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & M \\ & \tilde{h} \nearrow & \downarrow \pi_\tau \\ I^n \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{h} & M_\tau \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{p_\tau} \\ I^n \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & B. \end{array}$$

(A curved arrow labeled  $\tilde{H}$  goes from  $I^n \times \{0\}$  to  $M$ , and another curved arrow labeled  $p$  goes from  $M$  to  $B$ .)

We define  $\tilde{H} = \pi_\tau \circ \tilde{h}: I^n \times I \rightarrow M_\tau$ . From the last diagram follows that  $\overline{p_\tau} \circ \tilde{H} = H$  and  $\tilde{H}|_{I^n \times \{0\}} = h$ . Thus  $\overline{p_\tau}$  is a Serre fibration.  $\square$

The following Lemma is analogous to [12, Lemma 5] for fibrations.

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose the same hypothesis of Theorem 2 and also that  $M$  and  $N$  are equipped with cellular free involutions  $\tau$  and  $\tau_1$ , respectively, over  $B$ . Given a pointed homotopy class  $\alpha \in [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *there exists a representative map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $f$  is over  $B$  and it is  $(\tau, \tau_1)$ -equivariant, i.e.  $q \circ f = p$  and  $f \circ \tau = \tau_1 \circ f$ .*
2. *there exists a homomorphism  $\psi: \pi_1(M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1))$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(M, m_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\#} & \pi_1(N, n_1) \\ \downarrow (\pi_\tau)_\# & \begin{array}{c} \searrow p_\# \\ \nearrow q_\# \end{array} & \downarrow (\pi_{\tau_1})_\# \\ \pi_1(M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) & \xrightarrow{\overline{p_\tau}_\#} \pi_1(B, p(m_1)) \xrightarrow{\overline{q_{\tau_1}}_\#} & \pi_1(N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1)) \\ \downarrow \theta_\tau & \begin{array}{c} \dashrightarrow \psi \\ \downarrow \theta_{\tau_1} \end{array} & \downarrow \theta_{\tau_1} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \end{array} \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha_\#$  is as defined in (2).

*Proof.* (1  $\Rightarrow$  2) Let  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  be an equivariant map over  $B$  that represents  $\alpha$ . Then  $q \circ f = p$  and  $f\{z, \tau(z)\} = \{f(z), \tau_1(f(z))\}$  for all  $z \in M$ . Then the map  $\bar{f}: M_\tau \rightarrow N_{\tau_1}$  given by  $\bar{f}[z] = [f(z)]$  is well-defined and it satisfies  $\pi_{\tau_1} \circ f = \bar{f} \circ \pi_\tau$ . We already have  $\bar{p}_\tau \circ \pi_\tau = p$  and  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \pi_{\tau_1} = q$ . Since  $\pi_\tau$  and  $\pi_{\tau_1}$  are surjective maps, follows that  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f} = \bar{p}_\tau$ . So, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (M, m_1) & \xrightarrow{f} & (N, n_1) \\
 \downarrow \pi_\tau & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow p \\ \searrow q \end{array} & \downarrow \pi_{\tau_1} \\
 & (B, p(m_1)) & \\
 \downarrow \pi_\tau & \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \bar{p}_\tau \\ \searrow \bar{q}_{\tau_1} \end{array} & \downarrow \pi_{\tau_1} \\
 (M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & (N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1)).
 \end{array} \tag{7}$$

Let  $\psi: \pi_1(M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1))$  be the induced homomorphism by  $\bar{f}$ . We obtain the upper square of the diagram (6) by taking the fundamental group in the diagram (7). We obtain the lower triangle of the diagram (6) by using standard covering space arguments and the fact that the map  $f$  is equivariant.

(2  $\Rightarrow$  1) Suppose that there exists a homomorphism  $\psi: \pi_1(M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1))$  for which diagram (6) is commutative. From the homotopy long exact sequence of the fibration we obtain that  $N$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$  and therefore  $N_{\tau_1}$  is also because  $\pi_{\tau_1}$  is a covering map. Since  $\bar{p}_\tau$  and  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1}$  are fibrations by Lemma 9, it follows from Theorem 2 that there exists a map  $\bar{f}_0: (M_\tau, \pi_\tau(m_1)) \rightarrow (N_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_1}(n_1))$  such that  $(\bar{f}_0)_\# = \psi$  and  $(\bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f}_0)_\# = \bar{p}_\tau_\#$ . By the ladder equality and Theorem 2, that there exists a homotopy between  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f}_0$  and  $\bar{p}_\tau$  which is over  $B$  and keeps the base points stationary. Using this homotopy and, once more that  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1}$  is a fibration, to change  $\bar{f}_0$  by a homotopic map  $\bar{f}$  such that  $\bar{f}_\# = \psi$  and  $\bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f} = \bar{p}_\tau$ . Let  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  be the lift of the map  $\bar{f} \circ \pi_\tau$  for the covering  $\pi_{\tau_1}$ . We have

$$q \circ f = \bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \pi_{\tau_1} \circ f = \bar{q}_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f} \circ \pi_\tau = \bar{p}_\tau \circ \pi_\tau = p$$

and therefore  $f$  is over  $B$ . So, we have the commutative diagram (7). Note that

$$(\pi_{\tau_1})_\# \circ \alpha = \psi \circ (\pi_\tau)_\# = \bar{f}_\# \circ (\pi_\tau)_\# = (\pi_{\tau_1})_\# \circ f_\#.$$

Since  $(\pi_{\tau_1})_\#$  is a monomorphism, then  $\alpha = f_\#$  which implies that  $f \in \alpha$  by Theorem 2. We claim that  $f$  is  $(\tau, \tau_1)$ -equivariant. To do so, note that for all  $z \in M$ , we have:

$$(\pi_{\tau_1} \circ f \circ \tau)(z) = (\bar{f} \circ \pi_\tau \circ \tau)(z) = (\bar{f} \circ \pi_\tau)(z) = (\pi_{\tau_1} \circ f)(z).$$

Hence either  $f(\tau(z)) = f(z)$  or  $f(\tau(z)) = \tau_1(f(z))$ . Let  $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be an arc from  $m_1$  to  $\tau(m_1)$ . Then the loop  $\gamma = \pi_\tau \circ \xi$  satisfies  $\theta_\tau([\gamma]) = \bar{1}$ . By (6) we have:

$$\theta_{\tau_1}([\bar{f} \circ \gamma]) = \theta_{\tau_1} \circ \bar{f}_\#([\gamma]) = \theta_\tau([\gamma]) = \bar{1},$$

and since  $f \circ \xi$  is a lift of the loop  $\bar{f} \circ \gamma$  by the covering  $\pi_{\tau_1}$ , it is an arc that is not a loop. Therefore  $f(m_1) = (f \circ \xi)(0) \neq (f \circ \xi)(1) = f(\tau(m_1))$ , and so  $f(\tau(m_1)) = \tau_1(f(m_1))$ . Using standard covering space arguments and the hypothesis that  $S_N$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$  space which implies  $N$  connected, it follows in a straightforward manner that the equality  $f(\tau(z)) = \tau_1(f(z))$  holds for all  $z \in M$ .  $\square$

Let  $q: N \rightarrow B$  be a map such that  $q^{-1}(b)$  is homeomorphic to space  $S_N$  for all  $b \in B$ . Recall that  $F_2(S_N) = \{(x, y) \in S_N \times S_N \mid x \neq y\}$  is the 2-ordered configuration space of  $S_N$  and the 2-unordered configuration space of  $S_N$ , which we denote by  $D_2(S_N)$ , is the quotient space of  $F_2(S_N)$

by the equivalence relation generated by  $(x, y) \sim (y, x)$ . We consider the pull back  $N \times_B N$  of  $q: N \rightarrow B$  by  $q: N \rightarrow B$  which means that  $N \times_B N = \{(x, y) \in N \times N; q(x) = q(y)\}$ . We define

$$F_2(N)_B = N \times_B N - \Delta,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $N \times_B N$ . Consider the composition  $q \circ p_1: F_2(N)_B \rightarrow B$ , where  $p_1$  is the projection onto the first coordinate. Note that a preimage of point of  $B$  by  $q \circ p_1$  is, up to homeomorphism, equal to  $F_2(S_N)$ . Let  $\tau'$  be the free involution on  $N \times_B N - \Delta$  defined by  $\tau'(x, y) = (y, x)$ . We define

$$D_2(N)_B = \frac{N \times_B N - \Delta}{\tau'},$$

be the quotient space of  $N \times_B N - \Delta$  by the equivalence relation generated by  $(x, y) \sim \tau'(x, y)$ . Note that  $q \circ p_1$  induces a map  $\overline{q \circ p_1}: D_2(N)_B \rightarrow B$  and a preimage of a point is, up to homeomorphism, equal to  $D_2(S_N)$ . Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_2(S_N) & \hookrightarrow & F_2(N)_B & \xrightarrow{q \circ p_1} & B \\ \downarrow \pi_{\tau'} & & \downarrow \pi_{\tau'} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ D_2(S_N) & \hookrightarrow & D_2(N)_B & \xrightarrow{\overline{q \circ p_1}} & B. \end{array} \quad (8)$$

We have the following result in the context of fiber bundles.

**Lemma 11.** *If  $q: N \rightarrow B$  is a fiber bundle with fiber  $S_N$ , then the maps  $q \circ p_1$  and  $\overline{q \circ p_1}$  of the diagram (8) are fiber bundles with fibers  $F_2(S_N)$  and  $D_2(S_N)$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* Let  $b \in B$ . Then, there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $b$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi: U \times S_N \rightarrow q^{-1}(b)$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U \times S_N & \xrightarrow{\phi} & q^{-1}(b) & \hookrightarrow & N \\ & \searrow p_1 & & \swarrow q & \\ & & B & & \end{array}$$

We leave to the reader to show that the following maps are well-defined homeomorphisms:

$$\phi_1: U \times F_2(S_N) \rightarrow (q \circ p_1)^{-1}(b) \quad \phi_1(z, (x, y)) = (\phi(z, x), \phi(z, y))$$

and

$$\phi_2: U \times D_2(S_N) \rightarrow (\overline{q \circ p_1})^{-1}(b) \quad \phi_2(z, \overline{(x, y)}) = \overline{(\phi(z, x), \phi(z, y))}.$$

Moreover, the following diagrams are commutatives:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times F_2(S_N) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & (q \circ p_1)^{-1}(b) \hookrightarrow F_2(N)_B \\ & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow q \circ p_1 \\ & & B. \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U \times D_2(S_N) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & (\overline{q \circ p_1})^{-1}(b) \hookrightarrow D_2(N)_B \\ & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow \overline{q \circ p_1} \\ & & B. \end{array}$$

□

**Corollary 12.** *With respect to Lemma 11, if  $B$  is a paracompact space, then  $q$ ,  $q \circ p_1$  and  $\overline{q \circ p_1}$  are also fibrations.*



Suppose now that  $S_N$  is a surface and let  $(n_1, n_2) \in F_2(S_N)$ . Recall that  $P_2(S_N) = \pi_1(F_2(S_N), (n_1, n_2))$  and  $B_2(S_N) = \pi_1(D_2(S_N), \overline{(n_1, n_2)})$  are the 2-pure braid group of  $S_N$  and the 2-full braid group of  $S_N$ , respectively. We denote

$$P_2(N)_B = \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n_2)) \text{ and } B_2(N)_B = \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n_2)})$$

which we call the *parametrized 2-pure braid group of  $N$*  and the *parametrized 2-full braid group of  $N$* , respectively. The group  $P_2(N)_{S^1}$  has been appeared in [9] in a study of fixed points of fiber-preserving maps.

Suppose now that  $S_N$  is the Euclidean plane or a  $K(\pi, 1)$  closed surface,  $N$  is connected CW-complex and  $B$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$  paracompact space. Then  $F_2(S_N)$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$  by [7, Corollary 2.2] and therefore  $D_2(S_N)$  is also a  $K(\pi, 1)$ . Taking the long exact sequence of homotopy in the diagram (8) we obtain the following algebraic commutative diagram, where the horizontal lines are exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & P_2(S_N) & \hookrightarrow & P_2(N)_B & \xrightarrow{(q \circ p_1)\#} & \pi_1(B) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow (\pi_{\tau'})\# & & \downarrow (\pi_{\tau'})\# & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & B_2(S_N) & \hookrightarrow & B_2(N)_B & \xrightarrow{\overline{q \circ p_1}\#} & \pi_1(B) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array} \quad (9)$$

The following result is a generalization of [12, Theorem 7] for fibrations and fiber bundles.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  connected CW-complex and  $B$  a  $K(\pi, 1)$  paracompact space, let  $p: M \rightarrow B$  be a fibration and  $q: N \rightarrow B$  be a fiber bundle whose fibers are  $K(\pi, 1)$  closed surfaces and suppose that  $M$  is equipped with a cellular free involution  $\tau$  over  $B$ . Given points  $m_1 \in M_1$ ,  $n_1 \in N$  and  $(n_1, n_2) \in F_2(N)_B$  such that  $p(m_1) = q(n_1)$ , a pointed homotopy class  $\alpha \in [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$  and a free homotopy class  $\beta \in [M, N]_B$  such that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}} = \beta$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\alpha$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$ , i. e, that there exists a map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  over  $B$  that represents  $\alpha$  which satisfies  $f(\tau(z)) \neq f(z)$  for all  $z \in M$ .
- (b) there exist homomorphisms  $\varphi: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow P_2(N)_B$  and  $\psi: \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) \rightarrow B_2(N)_B$  for which the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \alpha\# & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \pi_1(M, m_1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_2(N)_B & \xrightarrow{(p_1)\#} & \pi_1(N, n_1) \\ & \searrow p\# & \swarrow (q \circ p_1)\# & & \\ & & \pi_1(B, p(m_1)) & & \\ & \swarrow \overline{p\tau}\# & \searrow \overline{q \circ p_1}\# & & \\ \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B_2(N)_B & & \\ & \searrow \theta_{\tau} & \swarrow \theta_{\tau'} & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & \end{array} \quad (10)$$

- (c)  $\beta$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that condition (a) holds and let us to show that condition (b) holds. Then there exists a map  $f: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (N, n_1)$  over  $B$  such that  $f \in \alpha$  and  $f(\tau(z)) \neq f(z)$  for all  $z \in M$ . Hence the map  $F: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n'_2))$  given by  $F(z) = (f(z), f(\tau(z)))$  is well defined and over  $B$ , where  $n'_2 = f(\tau(m_1))$ . Note that  $F$  is  $(\tau, \tau_1)$ -equivariant, and satisfies  $p_1 \circ F = f$ . If  $\varphi_1: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n'_2))$  is the homomorphism induced by  $F$  then  $(p_1)\# \circ \varphi_1 = (p_1)\# \circ$

$F_{\#} = (p_1 \circ F)_{\#} = f_{\#} = \alpha_{\#}$ . Moreover, by Theorem 2, Lemma 10 and Corollary 12 applied for the pointed homotopy class  $[F]$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\psi_1: \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n'_2)})$  which give us the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & \alpha_{\#} & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & \\
 \pi_1(M, m_1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n'_2)) & \xrightarrow{(p_1)_{\#}} & \pi_1(N, n_1) \\
 \downarrow (\pi_{\tau})_{\#} & \searrow p_{\#} & \swarrow (q \circ p_1)_{\#} & & \downarrow (\pi_{\tau'})_{\#} \\
 & \pi_1(B, p(m_1)) & & & \\
 \uparrow \overline{p_{\tau}}_{\#} & \swarrow \overline{q \circ p_1}_{\#} & & & \\
 \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n'_2)}) & & \\
 \downarrow \theta_{\tau} & \searrow & \swarrow \theta_{\tau'} & & \\
 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{11}$$

Note that  $(n_1, n_2)$  and  $(n_1, n'_2)$  are in the same fiber of  $q \circ p_1: F_2(N)_B \rightarrow B$  which is the pathwise connected space  $F_2(S_N)$ . So, there exists a path  $\gamma$  in  $F_2(N)_B$  whose image is contained in  $F_2(S_N)$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (n_1, n_2)$  and  $\gamma(1) = (n_1, n'_2)$ . Then the path  $\overline{\gamma} = \pi_{\tau'} \circ \gamma$  in  $D_2(N)_B$  satisfies  $\overline{\gamma}(0) = \overline{(n_1, n_2)}$  and  $\overline{\gamma}(1) = \overline{(n_1, n'_2)}$ . Let  $\gamma_{\#}: \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n'_2)) \rightarrow P_2(N)_B = \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n_2))$  and  $\overline{\gamma}_{\#}: \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n'_2)}) \rightarrow B_2(N)_B = \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n_2)})$  be the isomorphisms induced by the paths  $\gamma$  and  $\overline{\gamma}$ , respectively. Finally, let  $\varphi = \gamma_{\#} \circ \varphi_1: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow P_2(N)_B$  and  $\psi = \overline{\gamma}_{\#} \circ \psi_1: \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) \rightarrow B_2(N)_B$ . With these homomorphisms we obtain the diagram (10) from the diagram (11) and we leave to reader to check the details.

Conversely, suppose that condition (b) holds and let us show that  $\alpha$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$ . By diagram (9), we have that  $F_2(N)_B$  is  $K(\pi, 1)$  and so, Theorem 2 and Lemma 10 imply that the homomorphism  $\varphi$  is induced by a  $(\tau, \tau_1)$ -equivariant map  $F: (M, m_1) \rightarrow (F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n_2))$  which is over  $B$ . Let  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  be maps such that  $F(z) = (f(z), g(z))$  for all  $z \in M$ . Since  $F$  is equivariant, we have  $f(\tau(z)) = g(z) \neq f(z)$  for all  $z \in M$ . Again, by (10) and Theorem 2 we have  $\alpha = [f]$ , and thus  $\alpha$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property, and so (a) holds.

It is easy to see that condition (a) implies condition (c). To finish the proof, let us show the reciprocal. We already know that conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent and we will use this information. So suppose that  $\beta$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam which means that there exists a map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  over  $B$  such that  $\beta = [f]$  and  $f(\tau(z)) \neq f(z)$  for all  $z \in M$ . Let  $n'_1 = f(m_1)$ . Then the pointed homotopy class  $\alpha_1 = [f] \in [M, m_1; N, n'_1]_B$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$ . So, choosing  $n'_2 \in N$  such that  $(n'_1, n'_2) \in F_2(N)_B$ , we have the commutative diagram (11) just replacing  $\alpha$  and  $n_1$  by  $\alpha_1$  and  $n'_1$ , respectively. In analogous way of the first part of the proof, let  $\gamma$  a path in  $F_2(N)_B$  whose image is contained in  $F_2(S_N)$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (n_1, n_2)$  and  $\gamma(1) = (n'_1, n'_2)$ . This path induces an isomorphism  $\gamma_{\#}: \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n'_1, n'_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n_2))$ . Also we have the isomorphisms  $\overline{\gamma}_{\#}: \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n'_1, n'_2)}) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n_2)})$  and  $(\gamma_1)_{\#}: \pi_1(N, n'_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, n_1)$  obtained from the paths  $\overline{\gamma} = \pi_{\tau'} \circ \gamma$  and  $\gamma_1 = p_1 \circ \gamma$ , respectively. Consider the homomorphisms  $\varphi_2: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow F_2(N)_B, (n_1, n_2)$  and  $\psi_2: \pi_1(M_{\tau}, \overline{m_1}) \rightarrow D_2(N)_B, \overline{(n_1, n_2)}$  defined by  $\varphi_2 = \gamma_{\#} \circ \varphi_1$  and  $\psi_2 = \overline{\gamma}_{\#} \circ \psi_1$ , respectively. By Theorem 2, there exists a pointed homotopy class  $\alpha_2 \in [M, m_1; N, n_1]_B$  such that  $(\alpha_2)_{\#} = (p_1)_{\#} \circ \varphi_2$ . By construction, we obtained the diagram (10) just replacing  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  by  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\psi_1$ , respectively. Note that  $(\alpha_2)_{\#} = (\gamma_1)_{\#} \circ (\alpha_1)_{\#} = (\gamma_1)_{\#} \circ f_{\#}$  which implies that  $(\alpha_2)_{\mathcal{F}} = \beta$  by Theorems 2 and 8. By the hypothesis we have that  $(\alpha_2)_{\mathcal{F}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Again by Theorem 2, there exists an element  $\omega \in \iota_{\#}(\pi_1(S_N, n_1))$  such that the homomorphisms  $\alpha_{\#}, (\alpha_2)_{\#}: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, n_1)$  satisfies and  $\alpha_{\#}(v) = \omega(\alpha_2)_{\#}(v)\omega^{-1}$  for all  $v \in \pi_1(M, m_1)$ . By [4, Theorem 1.4], the homomorphism  $(p_1)_{\#}: P_2(S_N) \rightarrow \pi_1(S_N, n_1)$  is surjective and there-

fore, there exists a braid  $b \in P_2(S_N)$  such that  $(p_1)_\#(b) = \omega$ . Taking into account the diagram (9), we may suppose without loss of generality that  $b$  belongs to  $P_2(N)_B$  and  $B_2(N)_B$ . Finally, we define  $\varphi: \pi_1(M, m_1) \rightarrow P_2(N)_B$  and  $\psi: \pi_1(M_\tau, \overline{m_1}) \rightarrow B_2(N)_B$  by  $\varphi(z_1) = b\varphi_2(z_1)b^{-1}$  and  $\psi(z_2) = b\psi_2(z_2)b^{-1}$ , respectively, and we leave to the reader to check that we obtain the diagram (10). Thus  $\alpha$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property.  $\square$

## 4 Applications for some torus bundle over $\mathbb{S}^1$

In this section we will use the previous theory to classify homotopy classes over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  for two 2-torus bundles over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  with respect to the Borsuk-Ulam property.

Let  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , the 2-torus, defined as the quotient space  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $(x, y)$  the elements of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  and by  $[(x, y)]$  the elements in  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Let

$$MA = \frac{\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]}{([(x, y)], 0) \sim ([A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}], 1)}$$

be the quotient space, where  $A$  is a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  induced by an operator in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that preserves  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . The space  $MA$  is a fiber bundle over the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  where the fiber is the torus.  $A$  is called the monodromy matrix of  $MA$ . The projection map  $p: MA \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  is given by  $p(\langle (x, y), t \rangle) = \langle t \rangle \in I/0 \sim 1 = \mathbb{S}^1$ . For more details see [16, Page 7]. Let  $s_0: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow MA$  be given by  $s_0(\langle t \rangle) = \langle (0, 0), t \rangle$ . This is a section of the fiber bundle  $p: MA \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ . From [16, Proposition 1.7] we have;

**Proposition 14.** *We have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(MA) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow 1$  which splits, and the action  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2))$  which comes from the section  $s_0$  is given by  $c \cdot \gamma = \langle s_0 \rangle \gamma \langle s_0 \rangle^{-1} = A_\#(\gamma)$ , where  $c = p_\#(\langle s_0 \rangle)$  is the generator of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Hence  $\pi_1(MA) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  a semidirect product.*

Consider the loops in  $MA$  given by;  $\alpha(t) = \langle [(t, 0)], 0 \rangle$ ,  $\beta(t) = \langle [(0, t)], 0 \rangle$  and  $c(t) = \langle [(0, 0), t] \rangle$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We denote by  $D$  the matrix of the homomorphism induced on the fundamental group by the restriction of  $f$  to the fiber  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . From [16, Theorem 2.1] we have the following theorem that provides a relationship between the matrices  $A$  and  $D$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_3 \\ b_2 & b_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 15.** (1)  $\pi_1(MA, 0) = \langle \alpha, \beta, c \mid [\alpha, \beta] = 1, c\alpha c^{-1} = \alpha^{a_{11}}\beta^{a_{21}}, c\beta c^{-1} = \alpha^{a_{12}}\beta^{a_{22}} \rangle$ .

(2)  $D$  commutes with  $A$ .

(3) The induced homomorphism  $f_\#: \pi_1(MA, 0) \rightarrow \pi_1(MA, 0)$  is given by;  $f_\#(\alpha) = \alpha^{b_1}\beta^{b_2}$ ,  $f_\#(\beta) = \alpha^{b_3}\beta^{b_4}$ ,  $f_\#(c) = \alpha^{c_1}\beta^{c_2}c$ .

Now we consider the case  $M_A \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 16.** *Let  $MA \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  be the torus bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  where  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $MA$  has just one free involution  $\tau$  which is over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  with  $MA_\tau \simeq MB$ , where  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Given a homotopy class  $\delta = [f] \in [MA, 0; MA, 0]_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  the homomorphism  $f_\#$  is given by*

$$f_\#(\alpha) = \alpha^r, \quad f_\#(\beta) = \alpha^s\beta^r \quad \text{and} \quad f_\#(c) = \alpha^u\beta^v c.$$

$\delta$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau$  if and only if  $r$  is even.

*Proof.* The existence of involution  $\tau$  follows from [20, Theorem V]. The fact that  $\tau$  is over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  follows from [20, Pages 167 and 182]. The expression of  $f_\#$  follows from Proposition 15. Now we construct homomorphisms  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  in the Diagram 10 when  $r$  is odd and prove that such homomorphisms can not exist when  $r$  is even.

We observe that the element  $\rho_{1,1}$ , given in Section 5, when included in  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is homotopic to  $[(-t, 0)]$ . Therefore, the element  $\alpha$  given in Proposition 15 is homotopic to  $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha} = \langle \rho_{1,1}, 0 \rangle$  was taken as a generator of  $\pi_1(MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle)$  in Section 5. For facilitate the computations we will make a change on generators in presentation of  $\pi_1(MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle)$  given in Proposition 15.

Let  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha^{-1}$ . From Theorem 2 there exist a homeomorphism  $h : (MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle) \rightarrow (MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle)$ , over  $S^1$ , such that  $h(\alpha) = \tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $h(\beta) = \beta$  and  $h(c) = c$ . From matrix  $A$  and Proposition 15 we have;

$$c\tilde{\alpha}c^{-1} = c\alpha^{-1}c^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad c\beta c^{-1} = \alpha\beta = (\alpha^{-1})^{-1}\beta = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\beta.$$

Therefore

$$\pi_1(MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle) = \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \beta, c | \tilde{\alpha}\beta\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\beta^{-1} = 1, c\tilde{\alpha}c^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}, c\beta c^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\beta \rangle.$$

In this new presentation we have;

$$f_\#(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}^r, \quad f_\#(\beta) = \tilde{\alpha}^{-s}\beta^r \quad \text{and} \quad f_\#(c) = \tilde{\alpha}^{-u}\beta^v c.$$

If  $\tilde{\tau} = h \circ \tau \circ h^{-1}$  then by the same argument above we have;

$$\pi_1(MA_{\tilde{\tau}}, \overline{\langle x_1, 0 \rangle}) = \langle a, b, \hat{c} | aba^{-1}b^{-1} = 1, \hat{c}a\hat{c}^{-1} = a, \hat{c}b\hat{c}^{-1} = a^{-2}b \rangle.$$

The presentations of  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  and  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  are given in Section 5. We can consider the epimorphism  $\theta_{\tilde{\tau}} : \pi_1(MA_{\tilde{\tau}}, \overline{\langle x_1, 0 \rangle}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  given by;

$$\theta_{\tilde{\tau}} : \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{1} \\ b \mapsto \bar{0} \\ \hat{c} \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases}$$

The homomorphism  $\pi_{\tilde{\tau}} : \pi_1(MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle) \rightarrow \pi_1(MA_{\tilde{\tau}}, \overline{\langle x_1, 0 \rangle})$  is given by

$$\pi_{\tilde{\tau}} : \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha} \mapsto a^2 \\ \beta \mapsto b \\ c \mapsto \hat{c} \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 10, if there exists a homomorphism  $\psi$  in the Diagram 10 then we must have

$$\begin{cases} \psi(a) = W_1(\rho_{ij}) & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(a) = \bar{0} \\ \psi(a) = W_1(\rho_{ij})\sigma & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(a) = \bar{1} \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \psi(b) = W_2(\rho_{ij}) & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(b) = \bar{0} \\ \psi(b) = W_2(\rho_{ij})\sigma & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(b) = \bar{1} \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{cases} \psi(\hat{c}) = W_3(\rho_{ij})\bar{c} & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\hat{c}) = \bar{0} \\ \psi(\hat{c}) = W_3(\rho_{ij})\bar{c}\sigma & \text{if } \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}(\hat{c}) = \bar{1} \end{cases}$$

where  $W_j(\rho_{ij})$  are words in  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . By presentations of  $\pi_1(MA_{\tilde{\tau}}, \overline{\langle x_1, 0 \rangle})$ ,  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  and  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ , there exists a homomorphism  $\psi$  in the Diagram 10, which makes it commutative, if and only if there are elements  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi(a) = Z_1, \\ \psi(b) = Z_2, \\ \psi(\hat{c}) = Z_3, \\ \psi(aba^{-1}b^{-1}) = 1 \Rightarrow Z_1Z_2Z_1^{-1}Z_2^{-1} = 1, \\ \psi(\hat{c}a\hat{c}^{-1}) = \psi(a) \Rightarrow Z_3Z_1Z_3^{-1} = Z_1, \\ \psi(\hat{c}b\hat{c}^{-1}) = \psi(a^{-2}b) \Rightarrow Z_3Z_2Z_3^{-1} = Z_1^{-2}Z_2. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where  $Z_1 = P_1\sigma$ ,  $Z_2 = P_2$ ,  $Z_3 = P_3\bar{c}$ , and  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . That is, there exists a homomorphism  $\psi$  in the Diagram 10, which makes it commutative, if and only if there are elements  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} P_1\sigma P_2\sigma^{-1} = P_2P_1, \\ P_3\bar{c}P_1\bar{c}^{-1} = P_1\sigma P_3\sigma^{-1}, \\ P_3\bar{c}P_2\bar{c}^{-1} = (P_1\sigma P_1\sigma^{-1}B)^{-2}P_2P_3, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where  $\sigma^2 = B$ . Therefore, our problem is equivalent to solve a system in the pure braid group of the torus. Suppose that there exists a solution in the System (13). This guarantee the existence of homomorphisms  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  in the Diagram 10. With this hypothesis we must have

$$\begin{cases} (\pi_{\tau'})_{\#} \circ \varphi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \psi \circ (\pi_{\tilde{\tau}})_{\#}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \psi(a)^2 = (P_1\sigma)^2 = (P_1\sigma P_1\sigma^{-1}B), \\ (\pi_{\tau'})_{\#} \circ \varphi(\beta) = \psi \circ (\pi_{\tilde{\tau}})_{\#}(\beta) = \psi(b) = P_2, \\ (\pi_{\tau'})_{\#} \circ \varphi(c) = \psi \circ (\pi_{\tilde{\tau}})_{\#}(c) = \psi(\hat{c}) = P_3\bar{c}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \rho_{\#} \circ \varphi(\tilde{\alpha}) = f_{\#}(\tilde{\alpha}), \\ \rho_{\#} \circ \varphi(\beta) = f_{\#}(\beta), \\ \rho_{\#} \circ \varphi(c) = f_{\#}(c). \end{cases}$$

Since  $(\pi_{\tau'})_{\#} : P_2(MA)_{S^1} \rightarrow B_2(MA)_{S^1}$  is an inclusion, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (p_1)_{\#}(P_1\sigma P_1\sigma^{-1}) = \tilde{\alpha}^r, \\ (p_1)_{\#}(P_2) = \tilde{\alpha}^{-s}\beta^r, \\ (p_1)_{\#}(P_3) = \tilde{\alpha}^{-u}\beta^v. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

From Lemma 29 we have;

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = (x^{a_1}y^{b_1}A_1; m_1, n_1), \\ P_2 = (x^{a_2}y^{b_2}A_2; m_2, n_2), \\ P_3 = (x^{a_3}y^{b_3}A_3; m_3, n_3), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $A_1 = \prod_{i=1}^r (x^{e_i}y^{f_i}B^{r_i}y^{-f_i}x^{-e_i})$ ,  $A_2 = \prod_{i=1}^s (x^{h_i}y^{j_i}B^{s_i}y^{-j_i}x^{-h_i})$  and  $A_3 = \prod_{i=1}^v (x^{k_i}y^{l_i}B^{t_i}y^{-l_i}x^{-k_i})$ .

By definition of  $(p_1)_{\#}$  we have  $(p_1)_{\#}(P_i) = \tilde{\alpha}^{m_i}\beta^{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Projecting the first equation of the System (14) in the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  and using the expressions of  $P_i$  in (15) and Proposition 28 we must have  $(m_1, n_1) + (a_1, b_1) + (m_1, n_1) = (r, 0)$  which implies  $a_1 = -2m_1 + r$  and  $b_1 = -2n_1$ . Doing the same thing with the second and third equations of (14) we obtain  $m_2 = -s$ ,  $n_2 = r$  and  $m_3 = -u$ ,  $n_3 = v$ , respectively.

Projecting the first equation of System (13) in the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  of  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  we obtain;  $(m_1, n_1) + (a_2, b_2) + (s, r) = (s, r) + (m_1, n_1)$  which implies  $a_2 = b_2 = 0$ . Projecting the second equation of System (13) in  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  we obtain;  $(-u, v) + (m_1, 0) + (-n, 1)^{n_1} = (m_1, n_1) + (a_3, b_3) + (-u, v)$  which implies  $-n_1 = a_3$  and  $b_3 = 0$ . Thus, for some  $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can write

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = (x^{-2m_1+r}y^{-2n_1}A_1; m_1, n_1), \\ P_2 = (A_2; -s, r), \\ P_3 = (x^{-n_1}A_3; -u, v). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Suppose  $r = 2k - 1$ . In this situation we states that the following is a solution to the System (13).

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = (x^r[x^{-k}(xB^{-1})^k]; 0, 0), \\ P_2 = (\mathbb{1}; -s, r), \\ P_3 = (\mathbb{1}; -u, v). \end{cases}$$

In fact, by construction of  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  in (16), to verify the validity of System (13) is enough to verify the validity the system projected in the free group  $F(x, y)$ . In this situation that  $A_2 = A_3 = \mathbb{1}$  and  $A_1 = x^{-k}(xB^{-1})^k$ , then it suffices to check, in the third equation, that  $P_1\sigma P_1\sigma^{-1}B = \mathbb{1}$  in  $F(x, y)$ , that is,  $x^r A_1 \sigma x^r A_1 \sigma^{-1} B = \mathbb{1}$ . From Proposition 28 we have;  $x^r A_1 \sigma x^r A_1 \sigma^{-1} B = x^r x^{-k}(xB^{-1})^k \sigma x^r x^{-k}(xB^{-1})^k \sigma^{-1} B = x^{k-1}(xB^{-1})^k \sigma x^{k-1}(xB^{-1})^k \sigma^{-1} B = x^{k-1}(xB^{-1})^k (\sigma x^{k-1} \sigma^{-1}) (\sigma x$

$$\sigma^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}\sigma^{-1})^k B = x^{k-1}(xB^{-1})^k(Bx^{-1})^{k-1}(Bx^{-1}B^{-1})^k B = x^{k-1}(xB^{-1})^k (xB^{-1})^{1-k} Bx^{-k}B^{-1}B = x^{k-1} xB^{-1}Bx^{-k}B^{-1}B = x^{k-1}xx^{-k} = \mathbb{1}.$$

Now we suppose  $r = 2k$ . In this situation, we will prove that the third equation of the System (13), projected in the subgroup  $F(x, y)$ , has not solution. Projecting the third equation of the System (13) in  $F(x, y)$  and use the Proposition 28 we obtain;

$$x^{-n_1}(A_3(cA_2c^{-1})A_3^{-1})x^{n_1}A_2^{-1} = [x^{-2m_1+r}y^{-2n_1}A_1(x, y)y^{2n_1}x^{2m_1-r}(x^{-2m_1+r}y^{-2n_1}xy^{-1}x^{2m_1-r}y^{2n_1}yx^{-1})xy^{-1}A_1(x^{-1}y^{-1})yx^{-1}B]^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

Note that  $(x^{-2m_1+r}y^{-2n_1}xy^{-1}x^{2m_1-r}y^{2n_1}yx^{-1}) = (x^{-2m_1+2k}[y^{2n_1}, x]x^{2m_1-2k})(x^{-2m_1+2k+1}[y^{2n_1-1}, x^{2m_1-2k}]x^{2m_1-2k-1})$ . From Lemma 30 we obtain  $\mathcal{E}(x^{-2m_1+r}y^{-2n_1}xy^{-1}x^{2m_1-r}y^{2n_1}yx^{-1}) = 2[-n_1 + (-m_1 + k)(2n_1 - 1)]$ . Now applying the homomorphism  $\mathcal{E}$  in the Equation (17) we obtain;

$$0 = 2\left(\sum_i r_i\right) + 2[-n_1 + (-m_1 + k)(2n_1 - 1)] + 1.$$

But the last equation is a contradiction. Thus, when  $r$  is even the System (13) can not have solution. Now, using a version of [12, Proposition 8] for fiber preserving maps over  $S^1$ , the result follows.  $\square$

Next we present the result for the trivial bundle  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ .

Consider the unitary circle  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\} = \{e^{\theta i}; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , the 2-torus  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$  and the 3-torus  $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . Recall that the projection map  $p: \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  given by  $p(x, y, z) = z$  is the trivial 2-torus bundle over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  with fiber  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , where the natural inclusion  $i: \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$  is given by  $i(x, y) = (x, y, 1)$ . We fix  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $m_2 = (1, 1)$  and  $m_3 = (1, 1, 1)$  as the base points of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and  $\mathbb{T}^3$ , respectively, the homotopy class of the loop  $c = e^{2\pi i t}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , as the canonical generator of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , and the presentations  $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \langle c \mid \rangle$ ,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid [\alpha, \beta] = 1 \rangle$  and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, c \mid [\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, c] = [\beta, c] = 1 \rangle$ .

Note that if  $f: (\mathbb{T}^3, m_3) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^3, m_3)$  is a map over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , then  $f_{\#}: \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3)$  has the form

$$f_{\#}(\alpha) = \alpha^{r_1}\beta^{r_2} \quad f_{\#}(\beta) = \alpha^{r_3}\beta^{r_4} \quad f_{\#}(c) = \alpha^u\beta^v c, \quad (18)$$

for unique  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, using Theorem 2 and the fact that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is an Abelian group we have the following sequence of bijections:

$$[\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{T}^3]_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xrightarrow{\Delta^{-1}} [\mathbb{T}^3, m_3; \mathbb{T}^3, m_3]_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3), \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3))_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xrightarrow{\Omega} M_{2 \times 6}(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (19)$$

$\Delta$

Given a homotopy class  $\delta \in [\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{T}^3]_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ , we define  $\delta_{\#} = (\Omega \circ \Delta)(\delta) = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & r_3 & u \\ r_2 & r_4 & v \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2: \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$  be the free involutions over  $\mathbb{S}^1$  given by*

$$\tau_1(e^{\theta_1 i}, e^{\theta_2 i}, e^{\theta_3 i}) = (e^{(\theta_1 + \pi) i}, e^{\theta_2 i}, e^{\theta_3 i})$$

$$\tau_2(e^{\theta_1 i}, e^{\theta_2 i}, e^{\theta_3 i}) = (e^{(\theta_1 + \pi) i}, e^{(\pi - \theta_2) i}, e^{\theta_3 i}),$$

and let  $\delta \in [\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{T}^3]_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  be a homotopy class. Then

1.  $\delta$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau_1$ .
2.  $\delta$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau_2$  if and only if  $(r_1, r_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , and  $r_3$  and  $r_4$  are both even.

Before to prove the Theorem 17, we will establish some presentations and a Lemma.



**Remark 18.** Note that the orbit space  $\mathbb{T}_{\tau_j}^3 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{T}^3, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1, & \text{if } j = 2, \end{cases}$  where  $\mathbb{K}^2$  denotes de Klein bottle. The diagram (5) applied to the involutions  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  give us the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, c \mid [\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, c] = [\beta, c] = 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{p\#} \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \langle c \mid \rangle \\ \downarrow (\pi_{\tau_1})\# \quad \nearrow \overline{p_{\tau_1}}\# \\ \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, c \mid [\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, c] = [\beta, c] = 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\theta_{\tau_1}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

$$p\# : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto 1 \\ \beta \mapsto 1 \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad (\pi_{\tau_1})\# : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha^2 \\ \beta \mapsto \beta \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad \overline{p_{\tau_1}}\# : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto 1 \\ \beta \mapsto 1 \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad (\theta_{\tau_1}) : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \bar{1} \\ \beta \mapsto \bar{0} \\ c \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, c \mid [\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, c] = [\beta, c] = 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{p\#} \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) = \langle c \mid \rangle \\ \downarrow (\pi_{\tau_2})\# \quad \nearrow \overline{p_{\tau_2}}\# \\ \pi_1(\mathbb{K}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = \langle a, b, c \mid abab^{-1} = 1, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\theta_{\tau_2}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

$$p\# : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto 1 \\ \beta \mapsto 1 \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad (\pi_{\tau_2})\# : \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto b^2 \\ \beta \mapsto a \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad \overline{p_{\tau_2}}\# : \begin{cases} a \mapsto 1 \\ b \mapsto 1 \\ c \mapsto c \end{cases} \quad (\theta_{\tau_2}) : \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{0} \\ b \mapsto \bar{1} \\ c \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 19.** In the diagram (8), take  $S_N = \mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $N = \mathbb{T}^3$ ,  $B = \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $q = p$ . Note that the map  $g: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow F_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  defined by  $g(e^{\theta i}) = ((e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i}), (e^{(\theta+\pi)i}, e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i}))$  is a section of  $p \circ p_1$ . Also the map  $\bar{g}: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow D_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  given by  $g(e^{\theta i}) = \{(e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i}), (e^{(\theta+\pi)i}, e^{\theta i}, e^{\theta i})\}$  is a section of  $\overline{p} \circ \overline{p}_1$ . Then, from the diagram (9) we obtain the following commutative diagram, where the horizontal lines are split exact sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) & \hookrightarrow & P_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} & \xrightarrow{(p \circ p_1)\#} & \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & B_2(\mathbb{T}^2) & \hookrightarrow & B_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} & \xrightarrow{\overline{p \circ p_1}\#} & \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & & & \uparrow \bar{g}\# \\ & & & & & & \uparrow g\# \end{array}$$

We leave to the reader to check that the actions by conjugation induced by  $g\#$  and  $\bar{g}\#$  on  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , respectively, are trivial. Then  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong B_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Moreover, up to isomorphism, the induced homomorphism of  $p_1: F_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$  on the fundamental groups is the direct sum of the induced homomorphisms of  $p_1: F_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  and  $\text{Id}: \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ .

**Lemma 20.** For each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $\bar{\tau}_j: \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  be the free involution defined by  $\bar{\tau}_j(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}) = \tau_j(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}, 1)$ . Given a pointed homotopy class  $\delta = [f] \in [\mathbb{T}^3, m_3; \mathbb{T}^3, m_3]_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ , let  $\bar{\delta} \in [\mathbb{T}^2, m_2; \mathbb{T}^2, m_2]$  be the pointed homotopy class whose  $f|_{\mathbb{T}^2}: \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  is a representative map. Then  $(f|_{\mathbb{T}^2})\#: \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  satisfies  $(f|_{\mathbb{T}^2})\#(\alpha) = \alpha^{r_1}\beta^{r_2}$  and  $(f|_{\mathbb{T}^2})\#(\beta) = \alpha^{r_3}\beta^{r_4}$ . Moreover,  $\delta$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau_j$  if, and only if,  $\bar{\delta}$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\bar{\tau}_j$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the statement of the Lemma follows from the presentations of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3)$  given in the beginning of the section and (18). Suppose that  $\bar{\delta}$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property

with respect to  $\bar{\tau}_j$ . Given  $f: (\mathbb{T}^3, m_3) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^3, m_3)$  a representative map of  $\delta$ , by our assumption, there exists a point  $(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  such that  $f|_{\mathbb{T}^2}(\bar{\tau}_j(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}})) = f|_{\mathbb{T}^2}(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}})$ . So

$$f(\tau_j(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}, 1)) = (f|_{\mathbb{T}^2}(\bar{\tau}_j(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}})), 1) = (f|_{\mathbb{T}^2}(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}), 1) = f(e^{\theta_{1i}}, e^{\theta_{2i}}, 1).$$

Thus  $\delta$  has the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau_j$ .

Suppose now that  $\bar{\delta}$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\bar{\tau}_j$ . By [12, Theorem 7] we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \bar{\delta}_{\#} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}_j} & P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) & \xrightarrow{(p_1)_{\#}} & \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \\
 \downarrow (\pi_{\bar{\tau}_j})_{\#} & & \downarrow & & \\
 \pi_1(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\tau}_j}^2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_j} & B_2(\mathbb{T}^2) & & \\
 \searrow \theta_{\bar{\tau}_j} & & \swarrow & & \\
 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{20}$$

By [12, Theorem 10 and Remark 11] there exists pure braids  $\lambda, \gamma \in B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  which generates the centre of this group and  $(p_1)_{\#}(\lambda) = \alpha$  and  $(p_1)_{\#}(\gamma) = \beta$ . Using Remarks 18 and 19, we define the following homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_j: \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) &\cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow P_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \text{ by} \\
 \varphi_j|_{\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2)} &= \bar{\varphi}_j \text{ and } \varphi_j(c) = \lambda^u \gamma^v c
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_j: \pi_1(\mathbb{T}_{\tau_j}^3) &\cong \pi_1(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\tau}_j}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow B_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong B_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \oplus \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \text{ by} \\
 \psi_j|_{\pi_1(\mathbb{T}_{\bar{\tau}_j}^2)} &= \bar{\psi}_j \text{ and } \psi_j(c) = \lambda^u \gamma^v c.
 \end{aligned}$$

We leave to the reader to check that from diagram (20) we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \beta_{\#} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & P_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} & \xrightarrow{(p_1)_{\#}} & \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^3) \\
 \downarrow (\pi_{\tau_j})_{\#} & \searrow p_{\#} & \swarrow (p \circ p_1)_{\#} & & \\
 & \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) & & & \\
 \swarrow \overline{p_{\tau_j}}_{\#} & & \swarrow \overline{p \circ p_1}_{\#} & & \\
 \pi_1(\mathbb{T}_{\tau_j}^3) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B_2(\mathbb{T}^3)_{\mathbb{S}^1} & & \\
 \searrow \theta_{\tau_j} & & \swarrow & & \\
 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & 
 \end{array} \tag{21}$$

Thus,  $\delta$  does not have the Borsuk-Ulam property with respect to  $\tau_j$  by Theorem 13 and this ends the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 17.* The result follows from (19), Lemma 20 and [12, Theorems 1 and 2].  $\square$

## 5 Appendix

Let  $A : \mathbb{T}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  be a homeomorphism such that  $[A_{\#}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $x_1 = [(0, 0)] \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . We denote  $x_2 = [(q, q)]$  for  $q$  small. From [23, Lemma 6.4] we can suppose  $A(x_1) = x_1$ . In this section we will give a presentation for

$$P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1} = \pi_1(MA \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} MA - \Delta, (\langle x_1, 0 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0 \rangle)), \quad \text{and}$$

$$B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1} = \pi_1\left(\frac{MA \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} MA - \Delta}{\tau'}, ([\langle x_1, 0 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0 \rangle])\right),$$

in the case where  $[A_{\#}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\tau'(\langle x, t \rangle, \langle y, t \rangle) = (\langle y, t \rangle, \langle x, t \rangle)$ . Furthermore we present other useful results.

**Theorem 21.** [8, Section 4] *The following is a presentation of  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ :*

*generators:*  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, B$ .

*relations:*

$$(i) \quad [\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}^{-1}] = [\rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}^{-1}] = B.$$

$$(ii) \quad \rho_{2,k}\rho_{1,k}\rho_{2,k}^{-1} = B\rho_{1,k}B^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,k}^{-1}\rho_{1,k}\rho_{2,k} = \rho_{1,k}[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,k}] \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \rho_{2,1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1}^{-1} = B\rho_{1,2}[\rho_{1,1}^{-1}, B] \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,1}^{-1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1} = B^{-1}[B, \rho_{1,1}]\rho_{1,2}[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,1}].$$

$$(iv) \quad \rho_{2,2}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2}^{-1} = \rho_{1,1}B^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,2}^{-1}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2} = \rho_{1,1}B[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,2}].$$

**Theorem 22.** *The following is a presentation of  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ :*

*generators:*  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, B, \sigma$ .

*relations:*

$$(i) \quad [\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}^{-1}] = [\rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}^{-1}] = B, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = B.$$

$$(ii) \quad \rho_{2,k}\rho_{1,k}\rho_{2,k}^{-1} = B\rho_{1,k}B^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,k}^{-1}\rho_{1,k}\rho_{2,k} = \rho_{1,k}[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,k}] \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \rho_{2,1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1}^{-1} = B\rho_{1,2}[\rho_{1,1}^{-1}, B] \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,1}^{-1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1} = B^{-1}[B, \rho_{1,1}]\rho_{1,2}[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,1}].$$

$$(iv) \quad \rho_{2,2}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2}^{-1} = \rho_{1,1}B^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{2,2}^{-1}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2} = \rho_{1,1}B[B^{-1}, \rho_{1,2}].$$

$$(v) \quad \sigma\rho_{1,k}\sigma^{-1} = \rho_{2,k}, \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

$$(vi) \quad \sigma\rho_{2,k}\sigma^{-1} = B\rho_{1,k}B^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

The elements  $\rho_{1,1}$  and  $\rho_{1,2}$  given in [8] are generators of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2 - x_2, x_1)$ . The inclusion of  $\rho_{1,1}$  and  $\rho_{1,2}$  in  $\mathbb{T}^2$  are generators of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2, x_1)$ . We consider the presentations  $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2, x_1) = \langle \rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2} | \rho_{1,1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{1,1}^{-1}\rho_{1,2}^{-1} = 1 \rangle$ , and  $\pi_1(MA, \langle x_1, 0 \rangle) = \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, c | \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}\tilde{\beta}^{-1} = 1, c\tilde{\alpha}c^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}^{a_{11}}\tilde{\beta}^{a_{21}}, c\tilde{\beta}c^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}^{a_{12}}\tilde{\beta}^{a_{22}} \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha} = \langle \rho_{1,1}, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = \langle \rho_{1,2}, 0 \rangle$ ,  $c = \langle x_1, t \rangle$  and the matrix of homeomorphism  $A$  given by;

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By abuse of notation we are denoting  $A = [A_{\#}]$ .

Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \approx \mathbb{Z}$  then the two short exact sequences in Diagram 9 split. Thus from [17] we obtain;  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \approx P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$  and  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \approx B_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . More precisely we have;

**Theorem 23.** *The following is a presentation of  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ :*

*generators:  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, \tilde{c}, B$*

*relations: The relations of  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,*

*$\tilde{c}\rho_{i,j}\tilde{c}^{-1} = W_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where each  $W_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  is a word in  $\rho_{k,l}$ ,  $k, l \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

**Theorem 24.** *The following is a presentation of  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ :*

*generators:  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, \bar{c}, \sigma, B$ , where  $\bar{c} = p_{\tau'}(\tilde{c})$ .*

*relations: The relations of  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,*

*$\bar{c}\rho_{i,j}\bar{c}^{-1} = \overline{W}_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , where each  $\overline{W}_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  is a word in  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,*

*$\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \overline{W}(\sigma)$ , where  $\overline{W}(\sigma)$  is a word in  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .*

**Proposition 25.** *If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  then a presentation of  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  is given by:*

*generators:  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, \tilde{c}, B$*

*relations: The relations of  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and*

*$\tilde{c}\rho_{k,1}\tilde{c}^{-1} = \rho_{k,1}$ ,  $\tilde{c}\rho_{k,2}\tilde{c}^{-1} = \rho_{k,1}^{-1}\rho_{k,2}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion  $MA \setminus \langle [(0, 0)] \times I \rangle$  in the first coordinate of  $MA \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} MA - \Delta$ ,  $(\langle x_1, 0 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0 \rangle)$  is a fiberwise map over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Therefore the calculation of the elements  $\tilde{c}\rho_{11}\tilde{c}^{-1}, \tilde{c}\rho_{12}\tilde{c}^{-1}$  is exactly the ones from the presentation of  $\pi_1(MA \setminus \langle [(0, 0)] \times I \rangle)$ . So the result follows from [16, Theorem 2.2, Case III].  $\square$

**Proposition 26.** *If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  then a presentation of  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  is given by:*

*generators:  $\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}, \rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}, \bar{c}, \sigma, B$*

*relations: The relations of  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ ,*

*$\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(p_{\tau'})(\rho_{ij}) = \rho_{ij}$  then the relations  $\tilde{c}\rho_{ij}\tilde{c}^{-1}$  and  $\bar{c}\rho_{ij}\bar{c}^{-1}$  are equal. The conjugation  $\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1}$  follows from the theorem below.  $\square$

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $\sigma$  be the element on  $B_2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , the full 2-string braid group of  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , as defined in [8] and  $A : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  whose the matrix of  $A_{\#}$  we are representing by the same symbol. If  $\bar{c}$  is the element in  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ , as defined on Theorem 24, then*

$$\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \sigma^{\det(A)}$$

*where  $\det(A) = \pm 1$  is the determinant of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $A(x_1) = x_1$ . Since  $A$  is a homeomorphism and  $A(x_1) = x_1$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x_1$  and a small disc  $D \subset V$  such that  $A(D) \subset V$ . Also, we can suppose that  $x_2 \in D$ . Thus in  $V$  we can define the local degree of  $A$ , see [22]. Since  $A$  is a homeomorphism and  $\det(A) = \pm 1$  then the degree of  $A$  is 1 if  $\det(A) = 1$  or -1 if  $\det(A) = -1$ . Therefore, we can suppose in the neighborhood  $V$ , that  $A$  is the identity or it is a reflection around an axis passing through the center of  $D$ . In particular we can choose  $x_2$  in this axis.

In  $MA$  we have  $(x, 0) \sim (A(x), 1)$ . Thus in  $MA \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} MA - \Delta$  we have  $((x, 0), (y, 0)) \sim ((A(x), 1), (A(y), 1))$  and therefore in  $\frac{MA \times_{\mathbb{S}^1} MA - \Delta}{\tau}$ , we have  $[(x, 0), (y, 0)] \sim [(A(x), 1), (A(y), 1)]$ . We know also that  $\tilde{c}\rho\tilde{c}^{-1} = (A \times A)_{\#}(\rho)$ . Firstly, suppose  $A$  the identity in  $V$ . Consider  $\sigma$  in  $D$ . Since  $(x, 0) \sim (A(x), 1)$  and  $A$  is the identity then  $\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \sigma$  in  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  because the path  $\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1}$  is homotopic to  $\sigma$ . Now, if  $A$  is a reflection in  $V$  by an analogous argument we prove that  $\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}$ .  $\square$

We denote

$$x = \rho_{2,1}, \quad y = \rho_{2,2}, \quad w = \rho_{1,1}B^{-1}\rho_{2,1}, \quad z = \rho_{1,2}B^{-1}\rho_{2,2}$$

and  $F(x, y)$  the free group in the two generators  $x$  and  $y$ . Identifying  $\mathbb{Z}[w] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[z]$  with  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  then from [12, Theorem 12] we obtain;

$$P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \approx F(x, y) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

**Proposition 28.** *If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  then we have  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cong (F(x, y) \oplus F(w) \oplus F(z)) \rtimes F(\tilde{c})$ . Furthermore in  $P_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  and  $B_2(MA)_{\mathbb{S}^1}$  we have the following relations;*

$$\tilde{c}x\tilde{c}^{-1} = x, \quad \tilde{c}y\tilde{c}^{-1} = x^{-1}y, \quad \tilde{c}w\tilde{c}^{-1} = w, \quad \tilde{c}z\tilde{c}^{-1} = w^{-1}z, \quad \tilde{c}B\tilde{c}^{-1} = B.$$

$$\bar{c}\sigma\bar{c}^{-1} = \sigma, \quad \sigma x\sigma^{-1} = Bx^{-1}w, \quad \sigma y\sigma^{-1} = By^{-1}z, \quad \sigma w\sigma^{-1} = w, \quad \sigma z\sigma^{-1} = z.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows easily from Propositions 25 and 26. □

Now we will present some useful results.

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $\gamma : F(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\gamma(x) = (1, 0)$  and  $\gamma(y) = (0, 1)$ . We have;*

(1) *The kernel of  $\gamma$  is the normal closure subgroup generated by  $B = [x, y^{-1}]$ .*

(2) *If  $\gamma(R) = (0, 0)$  then  $R = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{e_i}y^{f_i}B^{t_i}y^{-f_i}x^{-e_i})$ , for some  $e_i, f_i, t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(3) *Consider the composition of homomorphisms  $P_2(\mathbb{T}^2) \xrightarrow{\eta} F(x, y) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\eta$  is the projection. We have  $\gamma(\eta(P_i)) = (a_i, b_i)$  for some  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\gamma(x^{a_i}y^{b_i}) = (a_i, b_i) = \gamma(\eta(P_i))$  then we can write;*

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = (x^{a_1}y^{b_1}A_1; m_1, n_1), \\ P_2 = (x^{a_2}y^{b_2}A_2; m_2, n_2), \\ P_3 = (x^{a_3}y^{b_3}A_3; m_3, n_3), \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{e_i}y^{f_i}B^{r_i}y^{-f_i}x^{-e_i}), \quad A_2 = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{h_i}y^{j_i}B^{s_i}y^{-j_i}x^{-h_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{k_i}y^{l_i}B^{t_i}y^{-l_i}x^{-k_i}).$$

*Proof.* The items (2) and (3) follows from definition of  $\gamma$ . The item (1) follows from [21, Page 650]. □

**Lemma 30.** *Let  $\mathcal{E} : \text{Kernel}(\gamma) = \langle B \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\mathcal{E}(B) = 1$ . We have;*

(1)  $\mathcal{E}(p(x, y)B^l p(x, y)^{-1}) = l$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{E}([x^n, y^k]) = -nk$ , for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) *If  $W_{n,d} = (x^{-n}y)^d x^{nd} y^{-d}$  then  $\mathcal{E}(W_{n,d}) = \frac{-d(d+1)n}{2}$  for all  $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

(4)  $\mathcal{E}((Bx^{-1})^n x^n) = n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* (1) The proof of this item is trivial. (2) We have  $B = [x, y^{-1}]$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= y(y^{-1}xyx^{-1})y^{-1} = y[y^{-1}, x]y^{-1} = yB^{-1}y^{-1}, \\ [x^{-1}, y] &= yx^{-1}(xy^{-1}x^{-1}y)xy^{-1} = yx^{-1}Bxy^{-1}, \\ [x^{-1}, y^{-1}] &= x^{-1}(y^{-1}xyx^{-1})x = x^{-1}B^{-1}x. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $n > 0$  we have;

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n, y] &= x(x^{n-1}yx^{-n+1}y^{-1})x^{-1}(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}) = x[x^{n-1}, y]x^{-1}[x, y], \\ [x^{-n}, y] &= x^{-1}(x^{-n+1}yx^{n-1}y^{-1})x(x^{-1}yxy^{-1}) = x^{-1}[x^{-(n-1)}, y]x[x^{-1}, y]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Therefore, by induction we can prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n, y] &= \prod_{j=1}^n (x^{n-j}[x, y]x^{-n+j}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x^{n-j}yB^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-n+j}), \\ [x^{-n}, y] &= \prod_{j=1}^n (x^{-n+j}[x^{-1}, y]x^{n-j}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x^{-n+j}yx^{-1}Bxy^{-1}x^{n-j}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now, given  $k > 0$ , using the same argument as in (23) and induction we can prove;

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n, y^k] &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} y^j [x^n, y] y^{-j}, \\ [x^n, y^{-k}] &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} y^{-j} [x^n, y^{-1}] y^j. \end{aligned}$$

By (24) we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n, y^k] &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left[ \prod_{l=1}^n (y^j x^{n-l} y B^{-1} y^{-1} x^{-n+l} y^{-j}) \right], \\ [x^n, y^{-k}] &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left[ \prod_{l=1}^n (y^{-j} x^{-n+l} y x^{-1} B x y^{-1} x^{n-l} y^j) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Applying the homomorphism  $\mathcal{E}$  in the equations (25) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}([x^n, y^k]) = -nk \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}([x^n, y^{-k}]) = nk.$$

The prove for the cases  $[x^{-n}, y^k]$  and  $[x^{-n}, y^{-k}]$  are made in the analogous way.

(3) Note that  $W_{n,1} = x^{-n}yx^n y^{-1} = [x^{-n}, y]$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}(W_{n,1}) = -n$  by item (2). We take  $d > 0$ . In this situation we have:

$$W_{n,d+1} = W_{n,d}(y^d x^{-nd} [x^{-n}, y] x^{nd} y^{-d})(y^d [x^{-nd}, y] y^{-d}).$$

By induction and item (2) we have  $\mathcal{E}(W_{n,d+1}) = \mathcal{E}(W_{n,d}) - n - nd = \frac{-d(d+1)n}{2} - \frac{2n(d+1)}{2} = \frac{-(d+1)(d+2)n}{2}$ . Note that

$$W_{n,-d} = x^{nd} y^{-d} (W_{n,d})^{-1} y^d x^{-nd} [x^{nd}, y^{-d}].$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{E}(W_{n,-d}) = -\mathcal{E}(W_{n,d}) - nd^2 = \frac{d(d+1)n}{2} - nd^2 = \frac{-d(d-1)n}{2}$ , and therefore the result follows.

(4) The proof of this item follows from the following relations that are easily proved using induction.

$$\begin{aligned} (Bx^{-1})^n x^n &= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x^{-j} B x^j), \quad \text{and} \\ (Bx^{-1})^{-n} x^{-n} &= \prod_{j=1}^n (x^j B^{-1} x^{-j}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n > 0$ .

□



## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Brazil, Grant no SEI: 073.6766.2019.0020903-60 and Projeto Temático FAPESP, grant no 2016/24707-4: Topologia Algébrica, Geométrica e Diferencial.

The first author would like to thank Prof. Peter Pavesic for helpful discussion and useful information relative Lemma 9.

## References

- [1] G. Allaud, E. Fadell, *A fiber homotopy extension theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 104, 239–251, 1962.
- [2] A. Bauval, D. L. Gonçalves and C. Hayat, *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for the Seifert manifolds having flat geometry*, arXiv:1807.00159v1.
- [3] A. P. Barreto, D. L. Gonçalves and D. Ventrúscolo, *Free involutions on torus semi-bundles and the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem for maps into  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Hiroshima Math J., **46**, 255–270, 2016.
- [4] J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Ann. Math. Stud. **82**, Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [5] K. Borsuk, *Drei Sätze über die  $n$ -dimensionale euklidische Sphäre*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **20.1**, 177–190, 1933.
- [6] R. Cauty, *Sur les ouverts des CW-complexes et les fibrés de Serre*, Colloq. Math. 63, no. 1, 1–7, 1992.
- [7] E. Fadell, L. Neuwirth, *Configuration Spaces*, Math. Scand. **10**, 111–118, 1962.
- [8] E. Fadell, S. Husseini, *The Nielsen number on surfaces*, Contemp. Math. **21**, 59–98, 1983.
- [9] E. Fadell and S. Husseini; *A fixed point theory for fiber preserving maps*, Lecture Notes in Math., **886**, Springer Verlag, 49–72, 1981.
- [10] R. Fritsch and R. A. Piccinini; *Cellular Structures in Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [11] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Guaschi, *The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for maps into a surface*, Top. Appl. **157**, 1742–1759, 2010.
- [12] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Guaschi, V. C. Laass, *The Borsuk-Ulam property for homotopy classes of selfmaps of surfaces of Euler characteristic zero*, J. Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 21–65, 2019.
- [13] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Guaschi, V. C. Laass, *The Borsuk-Ulam property for homotopy classes of maps from the torus to Klein bottle*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 529 - 558, 2020.
- [14] D. L. Gonçalves, J. Guaschi, V. C. Laass, *The Borsuk-Ulam property for homotopy classes of maps from the torus to Klein bottle - part 2*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 491 - 516, 2022.
- [15] D. L. Gonçalves, A. P. Santos, W. L. Silva, *The Borsuk-Ulam property for maps from the product of two surfaces into a surface*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 367 - 388, 2021.
- [16] D. L. Gonçalves, D. Penteadó and J. P. Vieira, *Fixed points on torus fiber bundles over the circle*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. **183** (1), 1–38, 2004.

- [17] D. L. Johnson, *Presentation of Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **22**, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1976.
- [18] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag 2002.
- [19] M. Steinberger, J. West, *Covering homotopy properties of maps between C.W. complexes or ANRs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 , no. 4, 573-577, 1984.
- [20] M. Sakuma, *Involutions on Torus Bundle over  $S^1$* , Osaka J. Math. **22**, 163-185, 1985.
- [21] R. Lyndon, *Cohomology theory of groups with a single defining relation*, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 52, No. 3, 1950.
- [22] R. Skora, *The degree of a map between surfaces*, Math. Ann. **276**, 415-423, 1986.
- [23] J. W. Vick, *Bait Homology Theory: An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **145**, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [24] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **61**, Springer-Verlag, 1978.