

# EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF STOCHASTIC PDES ASSOCIATED WITH THE FORWARD EQUATIONS: AN APPROACH USING ALTERNATE NORMS

SUPRIO BHAR\*, RAJEEV BHASKARAN, AND ARVIND KUMAR NATH

ABSTRACT. We consider stochastic PDEs

$$dY_t = L(Y_t) dt + A(Y_t) \cdot dB_t, t > 0$$

and associated PDEs

$$du_t = Lu_t dt, t > 0$$

with regular initial conditions. Here,  $L$  and  $A$  are certain partial differential operators involving multiplication by smooth functions and are of the order two and one respectively, and in special cases are associated with finite dimensional diffusion processes. This PDE also includes Kolmogorov's Forward Equation (Fokker-Planck Equation) as a special case. We first prove a Monotonicity inequality for the pair  $(L, A)$  and using this inequality, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the Stochastic PDE and the PDE. In addition, a stochastic representation for the solution to the PDE is also established.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Linear Parabolic equations have been closely associated with the theory of Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs) from its very inception - mainly through the 'forward' and 'backward' Kolmogorov equations - so that this relationship could by now be considered 'classical'. We refer to [3, 7–12, 14, 18, 20–22, 28, 30, 31, 34] for more details on this topic. More recently, non-linear Fokker-Planck equations and Stochastic Fokker-Planck Equations have also been studied (for example, see [1, 2]). In [28] it was shown that the forward equation can be obtained from the linear Stochastic Partial Differential Equation (SPDE) satisfied by the flow of an SDE by simply taking expectations in an appropriate Hilbert space (the Hermite Sobolev spaces  $(\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), \lll \cdot, \cdot \ggg_p)$ ) in which the SPDE lives. These Hilbert spaces are contained in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the space of tempered

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H10, 60H15, 60H30.

*Key words and phrases.* Hermite-Sobolev space, Strong solution, Stochastic Differential Equation, Stochastic Partial Differential Equation, Evolution Equation, Monotonicity Inequality, Stochastic representation, Second order linear differential operators.

\*Corresponding Author.

distributions, which is the dual space of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (referred hereafter as the Schwartz space) with the topology given by L. Schwartz (see [13, 18, 29, 33] and the references therein).

The SPDEs considered in [28] are of the form

$$(1.1) \quad dY_t = L^*(Y_t) dt + A^*(Y_t) \cdot dB_t, t > 0$$

where

- (i)  $Y_0$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$  measurable random variable taking values in the space of compactly supported distributions  $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,
- (ii)  $\{B_t\}_t$  is an  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$  adapted  $d$ -dimensional standard Brownian motion with the components given by  $B_t = (B_t^{(1)}, \dots, B_t^{(d)})$ ,
- (iii)  $A^* = (A_1^*, A_2^*, \dots, A_d^*)$  with  $A_1^*, \dots, A_d^* : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are linear ‘adjoint’ differential operators of order one and  $L^* : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a linear ‘adjoint’ differential operator of order two (see (2.4)).

The question of uniqueness of solutions of the SPDE and the solutions of the PDE obtained as above however was left open in [28]. In [6] the uniqueness was established when the initial conditions were smooth by proving the ‘monotonicity inequality’ (see [21]) in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for the pair of operators  $(L^*, A^*)$  given as in [28]. The use of this inequality to prove existence and uniqueness of SPDEs was earlier studied in [4, 5, 15–17, 19, 25, 26].

In this paper we adopt a new approach to prove the Monotonicity inequality. Since the operators  $(L^*, A^*)$  involve multiplication by functions and since these are somewhat difficult to handle in the spaces  $(\mathcal{S}_p, \lll \cdot, \cdot \ggg_p)$ , we use a different but ‘equivalent’ inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  on  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (the corresponding norms are equivalent, see Proposition 2.1). We prove the Monotonicity inequality in this set up and prove a stronger version of uniqueness, namely, uniqueness in  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), p > 0$ . Our approach looks at a more general linear SPDE with coefficients  $(L, A)$  as in (2.2) and of the form

$$(1.2) \quad dY_t = L(Y_t) dt + A(Y_t) \cdot dB_t, t > 0$$

where  $Y_0$  is an  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued  $\mathcal{F}_0$  measurable random variable and derives the SPDE associated with the forward equation with  $(L^*, A^*)$  as a special case.

The organization of this paper is as follows. We first recall necessary facts about the topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in Subsection 2.1 and discuss the main results in the remaining part of Section 2. Proofs of the results have been discussed in Section 3.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. Countably Hilbertian Nuclear topology on the Schwartz space.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d := \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) : \alpha_i \text{ non-negative integers}\}$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , we define  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$ . The topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is given by a family of seminorms  $p_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$  (see [13, 18]) where

$$p_n(f) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[ (1 + |x|)^n \max_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq n} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}} f(x) \right| \right], \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where  $|x|$  denotes the usual Euclidean norm for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We shall adopt the short-hand notation  $\partial^\alpha$  and  $\partial_j$  for  $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ , respectively.

For  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider the increasing family of norms  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , defined by the inner products

$$(2.1) \quad \lll f, g \ggg_p := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} (2|n| + d)^{2p} \langle f, h_n \rangle_0 \langle g, h_n \rangle_0, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In the above equation,  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  given by the Hermite functions and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  is the usual inner product in  $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The Hermite-Sobolev spaces  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), p \in \mathbb{R}$  are defined as the completion of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Note that the dual space  $\mathcal{S}'_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is isometrically isomorphic with  $\mathcal{S}_{-p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $p \geq 0$ . For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write the duality action by  $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle$ . We also have  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcap_p (\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_p), \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcup_{p>0} (\mathcal{S}_{-p}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{-p})$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The following basic relations hold for the  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  spaces: for  $0 < q < p$ ,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}_{-q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}_{-p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  given by the norms  $\|\cdot\|_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is the same as the usual topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see [24, Proposition 1.1]).

We now recall another way to describe the same topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , define for  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_p := \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi(x) x^\alpha \partial^\beta \psi(x) dx,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  in the above sum. We denote the corresponding norms by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . It is known that the topology on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  generated by  $\|\cdot\|_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is the same as the usual topology. We recall the next result from [27, Proposition 3.3] (also see [18, Remark 1.3.1]).

**Proposition 2.1.** *For all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , there exist constants  $C_1 = C_1(d, p) > 0$  and  $C_2 = C_2(d, p) > 0$  such that*

$$\|\phi\|_p \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_p \leq C_2 \|\phi\|_p, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Consequently, for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , completing  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the inner-product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  gives us the same Hermite-Sobolev spaces  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Unless stated otherwise, for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  we shall work with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

Consider the derivative map denoted by  $\partial_j : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . We can extend this map by duality to  $\partial_j : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), j = 1, 2, \dots, d$  as follows: for  $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\langle \partial \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle := -\langle \psi_1, \partial \psi_2 \rangle, \forall \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It is well-known that  $\partial_j : \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\partial_{i_j}^2 : \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are bounded linear operators, for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

In our arguments, we use the following fact. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that its derivatives are bounded. In particular,  $f$  has linear growth. In this case, we have the multiplication map  $M_f : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by  $M_f(\phi) := f\phi, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This map extends by duality to  $M_f : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as follows:

$$\langle M_f \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle := \langle \psi_1, M_f \psi_2 \rangle, \forall \psi_1 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We also use the following multiplication by ‘monomials’ of the form  $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$  given by  $x^\alpha : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by  $(x^\alpha \phi)(y) := y^\alpha \phi(y), \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This map is extended by duality to  $x^\alpha : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as follows:

$$\langle x^\alpha \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle := \langle \psi_1, x^\alpha \psi_2 \rangle, \forall \psi_1 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

**2.2. Main results.** Consider the following operators on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A\phi &:= (A_1\phi, A_2\phi, \dots, A_d\phi), \\ A_i\phi &:= \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{ji} \partial_j \phi + h_i \phi, i = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ L\phi &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma \sigma^t)_{ij} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi + \sum_{j=1}^d f_j \partial_j \phi + g\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g, h_j, \sigma_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i, j = 1, \dots, d$  are bounded functions with bounded derivatives, and  $f_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, d$  have bounded derivatives. We write  $\sigma := (\sigma_{ij})_{d \times d}$  with  $\sigma^t$  denoting the transpose of the matrix  $\sigma$  of functions. We shall also refer to the functions  $f, h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with component functions given by  $f_j$ 's and  $h_j$ 's, respectively.

Note that  $L\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $A_i\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  whenever  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The next result describes the boundedness properties for these operators in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . This is an analogue of [28, Proposition 3.2], where  $L$  and  $A$  were taken in an adjoint form.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $g, h_j, f_j$  and  $\sigma_{ij}$  be as above. For any positive integer  $p$ , the linear operators  $L : \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{p-1}$  and  $A_i : \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{p-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$  are bounded.*

*Proof.* Fix multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2(p-1)$ . Now, for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\|x^\alpha \partial^\beta ((\sigma \sigma^t)_{ij} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi)\|_0^2 \leq C(\sigma, d) \sum_{|\alpha|+|\gamma| \leq 2p} \|x^\alpha \partial^\gamma \phi\|_0^2 = C(\sigma, d) \|\phi\|_p^2,$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{p-1}$ . Here, we use the fact that  $\sigma_{ij}$  and its derivatives are bounded and  $C(\sigma, d)$  denotes a positive constant depending on  $\sigma$  and  $d$ . Again,  $f_j$  has bounded derivatives and in particular  $|f_j(x)| \leq C(f)(1 + |x|)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $C(f)$  is some positive constant depending on  $f$ . Consequently,

$$\|x^\alpha \partial^\beta (f_j \partial_j \phi)\|_0^2 \leq C(f, d) \sum_{|\alpha|+|\gamma| \leq 2p} \|x^\alpha \partial^\gamma \phi\|_0^2 = C(f, d) \|\phi\|_p^2,$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_{p-1}$ . Here,  $C(f, d)$  denotes a positive constant depending on  $f$  and  $d$ .

Arguing in a similar manner, the necessary bounds on the remaining terms in  $L$  and  $A_i$  follow.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3** (Monotonicity inequality). *Fix  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We say that the pair of operators  $(L, A)$  satisfy the Monotonicity inequality in  $\|\cdot\|_p$  if we have*

$$(2.3) \quad 2 \langle \phi, L\phi \rangle_p + \|A\phi\|_{HS(p)}^2 \leq C \|\phi\|_p^2, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where  $C = C(d, p, \sigma, f, g, h) > 0$  is a positive constant not depending on  $\phi$  and  $\|A\phi\|_{HS(p)}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^d \|A_i\phi\|_p^2$ .

To the best of our knowledge, explicit verification of this inequality has been attempted only with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  replaced by  $\lll \cdot, \cdot \ggg_p$  and  $\lll \cdot \ggg_p$ , respectively. This inequality for the constant coefficient case was first proved in [16, Theorem 2.1]. Using an identification of the adjoint of the derivative operator on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , a more conceptual proof was given in [5, Theorems 2.1 and 3.1]. This identification lead to the proof of the inequality when  $(L, A)$  are in the following adjoint form:

for  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$ , we define

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A^* \phi &:= (A_1^* \phi, A_2^* \phi, \dots, A_d^* \phi), \\ A_i^* \phi &:= - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j (\sigma_{ji} \phi), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ L^* \phi &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{ij}^2 ((\sigma \sigma^t)_{ij} \phi) - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j (b_j \phi), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{d \times d}$  being a constant matrix and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$  with each  $b_i$  being an affine function. We use ‘\*’ especially to highlight the adjoint form. In [6, Theorem 4.4], this inequality was proved for  $(L^*, A^*)$  when  $p = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}$ ’s are bounded smooth functions with bounded derivatives and  $b_i$ ’s are smooth functions with bounded derivatives.

In this article, we work with the Monotonicity inequality involving  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  and  $\| \cdot \|_p$ , as stated in Definition 2.3. The following is the main result of this article.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Consider the operators  $L$  and  $A$  as in (2.2), where  $g, h_j, \sigma_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i, j = 1, \dots, d$  are bounded functions with bounded derivatives, and  $f_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, d$  have bounded derivatives. In particular,  $f_j$ ’s satisfy the linear growth condition. Then the pair  $(L, A)$  satisfies the Monotonicity inequality for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .*

The method of proof of Theorem 2.4 has been discussed in Subsection 2.3 below. We also provide some applications of this result to Kolmogorov’s forward equation in Subsection 2.4, where the operators  $L$  and  $A$  are in the adjoint form mentioned in (2.4). Consequently, we also discuss about probabilistic representations of associated PDEs in Subsection 2.5.

**2.3. Methodology.** The proof of Theorem 2.4 hinges on the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function with bounded derivatives. Let  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$  be a multi-index such that for some  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , we have  $k_j \geq 1$  and  $|k - e_j|$  is odd. Here,  $e_j$  denotes the standard basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $j$ -th entry equal to 1 and all other entries being 0. Then for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have*

$$\left\langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+(k-e_j)} \phi \right\rangle_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0 < r \leq k-e_j} \binom{k-e_j}{r} \left\langle \partial^r f \partial^{\beta+(k-e_j)-r} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0.$$

Here,  $\beta \leq \alpha$  for multi-indices mean that  $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j, \forall j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{j=1}^d \binom{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}$ .

In particular, for dimension one the result reads as follows. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function with bounded derivatives. Then for any positive integer  $k$ , we have

$$\left\langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)} \phi \right\rangle_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \left\langle \partial^r f \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)-r} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

**Remark 2.6.** In dimension one, for  $k = 1$ , Lemma 2.5 implies

$$\left\langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+1} \phi \right\rangle_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \partial f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}),$$

for any smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with bounded derivatives. In this regard, we may view Lemma 2.5 as a generalization of [5, equations (3.2) and (4.11)].

We prove Lemma 2.5 in Section 3. Using Lemma 2.5, we obtain an analogue of [5, Lemma 2.4] in the following form.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions with bounded derivatives. Fix  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and assume that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with

$$(2.5) \quad |\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| + |\alpha_2| + |\beta_2| + 1_{\{0\}}(|K_1|) + 1_{\{0\}}(|K_2|) \leq 4p.$$

Then, the following real valued bilinear form on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \left\langle (\partial^{K_1} f_1) x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, (\partial^{K_2} f_2) x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \right\rangle_0$$

is bounded in  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

When  $|K_1| > 0$  for some multi-index  $K_1$ , then  $\partial^{K_1} f_1$  is bounded as per the assumptions of Lemma 2.7. When  $|K_1| = 0$ , then  $\partial^{K_1} f_1 = f_1$  has linear growth. We take into account both these cases in (2.5). We prove Lemma 2.7 in Section 3. Motivated by this result, we make the following definition.

**Definition 2.8** (Good terms and FSGT). Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions with bounded derivatives and let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Assume that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  such that (2.5) holds. In this case, we refer to terms of the form  $\left\langle (\partial^{K_1} f_1) x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, (\partial^{K_2} f_2) x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \right\rangle_0$  as good terms. Moreover, a finite sum of good terms shall be abbreviated to ‘FSGT’.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.4, we show that the left hand side of (2.3) is an FSGT and hence the proof of Theorem 2.4 follows from Lemma 2.7.

**2.4. Application to Stochastic PDEs.** Let the operators  $L$  and  $A$  be as stated in (2.2). Choose positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  with  $q \leq p - 1$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $L, A_1, \dots, A_d : \mathcal{S}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_q$  are bounded linear operators. Then, using density arguments, we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $p, q, L$  and  $A$  be as stated above. Then*

$$(2.6) \quad 2 \langle \phi, L\phi \rangle_q + \|A\phi\|_{HS(q)}^2 \leq C \|\phi\|_q^2, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where  $C = C(d, p, q, \sigma, f_1, f_0, h_0) > 0$  is a positive constant not depending on  $\phi$ .

On a given filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t, \mathbb{P})$  satisfying the usual conditions, consider the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions of the following linear SPDE

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} dY_t = LY_t dt + AY_t \cdot dB_t \\ Y_0 = \phi \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued  $\mathcal{F}_0$  measurable random variable and  $AY_t \cdot dB_t = \sum_{i=1}^d A_i Y_t \cdot dB_t$ . Using Corollary 2.9 and [15, Theorem 1], we get the next result.

**Theorem 2.10.** *The SPDE (2.7) has an  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued strong solution  $\{Y_t\}_t$  such that the equality a.s.*

$$Y_t = \phi + \int_0^t LY_s ds + \int_0^t AY_s \cdot dB_s, \forall t \geq 0$$

holds in  $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The solution is pathwise unique. Moreover, if  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued, then so is  $\{Y_t\}_t$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.10 is discussed in Section 3.

In particular, consider  $(L, A)$  replaced by the adjoint form  $(L^*, A^*)$  as in (2.4). Note that  $(L^*, A^*)$  can be written in the form of  $(L, A)$  by replacing  $\sigma$  by  $-\sigma$  and taking

$$\begin{aligned} h_j &= \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i \sigma_{ij}, \\ f_j &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \{ \partial_i ((\sigma \sigma^t)_{ij}) + \partial_i ((\sigma \sigma^t)_{ji}) \} - \sum_{j=1}^d b_j, \\ g &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{ij}^2 ((\sigma \sigma^t)_{ij}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j b_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as a corollary to Theorem 2.10, we have the next result.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $\sigma_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i, j = 1, \dots, d$  be bounded functions with bounded derivatives, and  $b_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, d$  have bounded derivatives. Let  $L^*$  and  $A^*$  be given by (2.4). Consider*



the linear SPDE

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} dY_t = L^*Y_t dt + A^*Y_t \cdot dB_t \\ Y_0 = \phi \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi$  is an  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued  $\mathcal{F}_0$  measurable random variable. This SPDE has an  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued strong solution  $\{Y_t\}_t$  such that the equality a.s.

$$Y_t = \phi + \int_0^t L^*Y_s ds + \int_0^t A^*Y_s \cdot dB_s, \forall t \geq 0$$

holds in  $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The solution is pathwise unique. Moreover, if  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued, then so is  $\{Y_t\}_t$ .

When the initial condition  $\phi$  is a compactly supported distribution, the existence of strong solutions for the SPDE (2.8) was proved in [28, Theorem 3.3].

**Remark 2.12** (Finite Dimensional Realization (see [32], see also [28])). *Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, by [6, Example 2.3], the unique solution  $\{Y_t\}_t$  in Corollary 2.11 has a representation in terms of  $\{X_t^x\}_t$ , the strong solution of an associated finite dimensional stochastic differential equation*

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t) \cdot dB_t + b(X_t) dt, t > 0, \quad X_0 = x.$$

Here,  $Y_t$  is given by  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \delta_{X_t^x} dx$ , since for any  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\langle Y_t, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \psi(X_t^x) dx.$$

**2.5. Application to PDEs.** We continue with  $L$  and  $p$  as in Subsection 2.4. Along the lines of [28, Section 4], we can obtain probabilistic representation of solutions to the PDEs of the form

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} du_t = Lu_t dt, t > 0 \\ u_0 = \phi \end{cases}$$

with deterministic initial condition  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $\{Y_t\}_t$  be the solution to the SPDE (2.7) obtained in Theorem 2.10 with*

- (i) *the operator  $A$  is given by  $\sigma$  the same as in  $L$  and some  $h_0$  a bounded smooth function with bounded derivatives, and*
- (ii)  *$\phi$  the same as in (2.9).*

Then  $u_t = \mathbb{E}Y_t, \forall t \geq 0$  is the unique  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued solution to the PDE (2.9) in the sense that the equality

$$u_t = \phi + \int_0^t Lu_s ds, \forall t \geq 0$$

holds in  $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In particular, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $u_t \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \forall t \geq 0$ .

Now, we consider the special case where the operator  $L$  is in the adjoint form as in (2.4), denoted by  $L^*$ . In this case, Corollary 2.13 has the following version.

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $\{Y_t\}_t$  be the solution to the SPDE (2.8) obtained in Corollary 2.11 with the operator  $A^*$  is given by  $\sigma$  the same as in  $L^*$ . Consider a PDE of the form*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} du_t = L^* u_t dt, t > 0 \\ u_0 = \phi \end{cases}$$

with deterministic initial condition  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then  $u_t = \mathbb{E}Y_t, \forall t \geq 0$  is the unique  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  valued solution to the PDE (2.10) in the sense that the equality

$$u_t = \phi + \int_0^t L^* u_s ds, \forall t \geq 0$$

holds in  $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In particular, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $u_t \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \forall t \geq 0$ .

When the initial condition  $\phi$  is a compactly supported distribution and the operator  $L$  is in the adjoint form as in (2.4), the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the PDE (2.9) was proved in [28, Theorems 4.3 and 4.4]. The proof of Corollary 2.13 is given in Section 3. Corollary 2.14 follows from Corollary 2.10 and we do not provide a separate proof for brevity.

### 3. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

*Proof of Lemma 2.5.* We discuss a proof for the one dimension case only. The general  $d$ -dimensional proof follows along similar lines with notational changes. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)} \phi \rangle_0 &= (-1)^{2k-1} \langle \partial^{2k-1} (f \partial^\beta \phi), \partial^\beta \phi \rangle_0 \\ &= - \left\langle \sum_{r=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \partial^r f \partial^{2k-1-r} (\partial^\beta \phi), \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0 \\ &= - \sum_{r=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \langle \partial^r f \partial^{2k-1-r} (\partial^\beta \phi), \partial^\beta \phi \rangle_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \left\langle f \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0 - \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \left\langle \partial^r f \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)-r} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0 \\
&= - \left\langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)} \phi \right\rangle_0 - \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \left\langle \partial^r f \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)-r} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\langle f \partial^\beta \phi, \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)} \phi \right\rangle_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{r} \left\langle \partial^r f \partial^{\beta+(2k-1)-r} \phi, \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle_0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.7.* We discuss a proof for the one dimension case only. The general  $d$ -dimensional proof follows along similar lines with notational changes.

First, we consider the case that  $\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1_{\{0\}}(K_i) \leq 2p \forall i = 1, 2$ . In this proof, we shall refer to this condition as case I. This condition implies (2.5). Observe that

$$\left| \left\langle \partial^{K_1} f_1 \cdot x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \right\rangle_0 \right| \leq \|\partial^{K_1} f_1 \cdot x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi\|_0 \|\partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi\|_0.$$

Now, suppose  $K_i > 0$  for some  $i$ . Then, we have  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \leq 2p$ . Using the boundedness of the derivatives of  $f_i$ , we conclude

$$\|\partial^{K_i} f_i \cdot x^{\alpha_i} \partial^{\beta_i} \phi\|_0 \leq \sup |\partial^{K_i} f_i| \|x^{\alpha_i} \partial^{\beta_i} \phi\|_0 \leq C_f \|\phi\|_p,$$

for some constant  $C_f > 0$  depending on  $f$ .

If  $K_i = 0$ , then  $\partial^{K_i} f_i = f_i$  has linear growth. In this case, we have  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \leq 2p - 1$  and hence

$$\|\partial^{K_i} f_i \cdot x^{\alpha_i} \partial^{\beta_i} \phi\|_0 \leq C_f \|(1 + |x|) x^{\alpha_i} \partial^{\beta_i} \phi\|_0 \leq 2C_f \|\phi\|_p,$$

for some constant  $C_f > 0$  depending on  $f$ .

Therefore, the condition  $\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1_{\{0\}}(K_i) \leq 2p \forall i = 1, 2$  implies

$$\left| \left\langle \partial^{K_1} f_1 \cdot x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \right\rangle_0 \right| \leq C \|\phi\|_p \|\psi\|_p$$

Now, we consider the remaining case when (2.5) holds. We have  $\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1_{\{0\}}(K_i) > 2p$ , for either  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ , but not both at the same time. In this proof, we refer to this case as case II. Without loss of generality, we take  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1_{\{0\}}(K_1) > 2p$ . There exists non-negative integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  less or equal to  $\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1_{\{0\}}(k_1)$ , respectively, such that  $(\alpha_1 - n_1) + (\beta_1 - n_2) + (1_{\{0\}}(k_1) - n_3) = 2p$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \left| \langle \partial^{K_1} f_1 \cdot x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \rangle_0 \right| \\
&= \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, \partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \rangle_0 \right| \\
&= \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{n_2} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&= \left| \left\langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \sum_{0 \leq r_1 \leq n_2} \binom{n_2}{r_1} \partial^{r_1} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2) \partial^{n_2 - r_1} (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \right\rangle_0 \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{0 \leq r_1 \leq n_2} \binom{n_2}{r_1} \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{r_1} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2) \partial^{n_2 - r_1} (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{0 \leq r_1 \leq n_2} \binom{n_2}{r_1} \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{r_1} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2) \partial^{n_2 - r_1} (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{n_2 - r_1} \binom{n_2}{r_1} \binom{n_2 - r_1}{r_2} \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{r_1} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2) \partial^{r_2} (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \partial^{n_2 - r_1 - r_2} (\partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{n_2 - r_1} \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{r_1} (\partial^{K_1} f_1 \partial^{K_2} f_2) \partial^{r_2} (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}) \partial^{n_2 - r_1 - r_2} (\partial^{\beta_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{r_1=0}^{n_2} \sum_{r_2=0}^{n_2 - r_1} \sum_{r_3=0}^{r_1} \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{K_1 + r_3} f_1 \partial^{K_2 + r_1 - r_3} f_2 (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - r_2}) (\partial^{\beta_2 + n_2 - r_1 - r_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for each fixed  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$  as considered in the above sum, we note that the term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \langle \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, \partial^{K_1 + r_3} \sigma_1 \partial^{K_2 + r_1 - r_3} \sigma_2 (x^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - r_2}) (\partial^{\beta_2 + n_2 - r_1 - r_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right| \\
&= \left| \langle x^{\alpha_1 - n_1} (\partial^{K_1 + r_3} \sigma_1)^{1 - n_3} \partial^{\beta_1 - n_2} \phi, x^{n_1 + \alpha_2 - r_2} (\partial^{K_1 + r_3} \sigma_1)^{n_3} \partial^{K_2 + r_1 - r_3} \sigma_2 (\partial^{\beta_2 + n_2 - r_1 - r_2} \psi) \rangle_0 \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, this term falls in case I for which we already have the required bound. Then, from (3.1), we have

$$\left| \langle \partial^{K_1} f_1 \cdot x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{\beta_1} \phi, \partial^{K_2} f_2 \cdot x^{\alpha_2} \partial^{\beta_2} \psi \rangle_0 \right| \leq C \|\phi\|_p \|\psi\|_p$$

even in case II. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* we have

$$\|A\phi\|_{HS(p)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \|A_i \phi\|_p^2$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \langle \sigma_{ik} \partial_i \phi, \sigma_{jk} \partial_j \phi \rangle_p + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle \sigma_{ji} \partial_j \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle h_i \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p$$

and

$$\langle \phi, L\phi \rangle_p = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \langle \phi, \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi \rangle_p + \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \phi, f_i \partial_i \phi \rangle_p + \langle \phi, g\phi \rangle_p.$$

Then,

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, L\phi \rangle_p + \|A\phi\|_{HS(p)}^2 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \left( \langle \phi, \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi \rangle_p + \langle \sigma_{ik} \partial_i \phi, \sigma_{jk} \partial_j \phi \rangle_p \right) + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle \sigma_{ji} \partial_j \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \langle \phi, f_i \partial_i \phi \rangle_p + \langle h_i \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p \right) + \langle \phi, g\phi \rangle_p. \end{aligned}$$

We now look at the terms on the right hand side of (3.2) separately. First, we look at the terms involving derivatives of order two.

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi, \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi \rangle_p \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{ij}^2 \phi) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^r (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-r} \phi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j} \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial_q (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r \leq \beta, |r|=2} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^r (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-r} \phi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j} \phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \left( -\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_{qj}^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_{qi}^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_q^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-2e_q} \phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r \leq \beta, |r|=2} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^r (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-r} \phi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The other term with a cumulative order of the derivatives being two is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \sigma_{ik} \partial_i \phi, \sigma_{jk} \partial_j \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\sigma_{ik} \partial_i \phi), x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\sigma_{jk} \partial_j \phi) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r_1, r_2 \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{r_1} \binom{\beta}{r_2} \langle x^\alpha \partial^{r_1} \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-r_1} \phi, x^\alpha \partial^{r_2} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-r_2} \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i} \phi, x^\alpha \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle x^\alpha \partial_q \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi, x^\alpha \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i} \phi, x^\alpha \partial_q \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r_1 \leq \beta, |r_1|=2} \binom{\beta}{r_1} \langle x^\alpha \partial^{r_1} \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-r_1} \phi, x^\alpha \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r_2 \leq \beta, |r_2|=2} \binom{\beta}{r_2} \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i} \phi, x^\alpha \partial^{r_2} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-r_2} \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \left( - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j+e_i} \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_{ij}^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle \right) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \left( - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_q^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-2e_q} \phi \rangle \right) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \left( - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_q^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-2e_q} \phi \rangle \right) \\
&+ \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
&- \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \sum_{r_2 \leq \beta, |r_2|=2} \binom{\beta}{r_2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial^r (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-r} \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 2p} \left( - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j+e_i} \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_{ij}^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \left( -\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle - \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_q^2 (\sigma_{jk} \sigma_{ik}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-2e_q} \phi \rangle \right) \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d -\frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \frac{\beta_q}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
& - \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{r_2 \leq \beta, |r|=2} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial^r (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^{\beta+e_i+e_j-r} \phi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We now look at the terms involving only derivatives of the first order. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \sigma_{ji} \partial_j \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta (\sigma_{ji} \partial_j \phi), x^\alpha \partial^\beta (h_i \phi) \rangle \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{r_1 \leq \beta, r_2 \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{r_1} \binom{\beta}{r_2} \langle x^\alpha \partial^{r_1} \sigma_{ji} \partial^{\beta+e_j-r_1} \phi, x^\alpha \partial^{r_2} h_i \partial^{\beta-r_2} \phi \rangle \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ji} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi, x^\alpha h_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle x^\alpha \partial_q \sigma_{ji} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi, x^\alpha h_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ji} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi, x^\alpha \partial_q h_i \partial^{\beta-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{r \leq \beta, |r|=2} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle x^\alpha \sigma_{ji} \partial^{\beta+e_j} \phi, x^\alpha \partial^r h_i \partial^{\beta-r_2} \phi \rangle + FSGT \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} -\frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_j (\sigma_{ji} h_i) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \langle \partial^\beta \phi, 2\alpha_j x^{2\alpha-e_j} \sigma_{ji} h_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle \right) \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} (h_i \partial_q \sigma_{ji} - \sigma_{ji} \partial_q h_i) \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle + FSGT
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \phi, f_i \partial_i \phi \rangle_p \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^\beta (f_i \partial_i \phi) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{r\leq\beta} \binom{\beta}{r} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^r f_i \partial^{\beta+e_i-r} \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} f_i \partial^{\beta+e_i} \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_q f_i \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle + FSGT \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} -\frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_i f_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_q f_i \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle + FSGT
\end{aligned}$$

We also have terms which do not involve any derivative on  $\phi$ . First,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h_i \phi, h_i \phi \rangle_p &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta (h_i \phi), x^\alpha \partial^\beta (h_i \phi) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{r_1\leq\beta, r_2\leq\beta} \binom{\beta}{r_1} \binom{\beta}{r_2} \langle x^\alpha \partial^{r_1} h_i \partial^{\beta-r_1} \phi, x^\alpha \partial^{r_2} h_i \partial^{\beta-r_2} \phi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} h_i^2 \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + FSGT
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining term is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi, g\phi \rangle_p &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle x^\alpha \partial^\beta \phi, x^\alpha \partial^\beta (g\phi) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} g \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + FSGT
\end{aligned}$$

Using all these intermediate expressions, from (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \phi, L\phi \rangle_p + \|A\phi\|_{HS(p)}^2 \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_{ij}^2 (\sigma_{ik} \sigma_{jk}) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d -\beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{ik} \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d -\beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{jk} \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} -\frac{1}{2} (\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_j (\sigma_{ji} h_i) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \langle \partial^\beta \phi, 2\alpha_j x^{2\alpha-e_j} \sigma_{ji} h_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle) \\
&+ 2 \sum_{i,j} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} (h_i \partial_q \sigma_{ji} - \sigma_{ji} \partial_q h_i) \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} -\frac{1}{2} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_i f_i \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{q=1}^d \beta_q \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \partial_q f_i \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \rangle \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} h_i^2 \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} g \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + FSGT \\
& = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \left\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \partial_{i,j} (\sigma_{jk} \sigma_{ik}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_j (\sigma_{ji} h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^d \left( -\frac{1}{2} \partial_i f_i + h_i^2 \right) + g \right) \partial^\beta \phi \right\rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{j,q=1}^d \beta_q \left\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \left( - \sum_{i,k=1}^d \sigma_{ik} \partial_{qi}^2 \sigma_{jk} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d (h_i \partial_q \sigma_{ji} - \sigma_{ji} \partial_q h_i) \right) \partial^{\beta+e_j-e_q} \phi \right\rangle \\
& + \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2p} \sum_{j,q=1}^d \beta_q \left\langle \partial^\beta \phi, x^{2\alpha} \left( - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \sigma_{jk} \partial_{qj}^2 \sigma_{ik} + \partial_q f_i \right) \partial^{\beta+e_i-e_q} \phi \right\rangle \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle \partial^\beta \phi, 2\alpha_j x^{2\alpha-e_j} (\sigma_{ji} h_i) \partial^\beta \phi \rangle + FSGT
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the Monotonicity inequality holds.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.10.* First, assume that  $\mathbb{E}\|\phi\|_p^2 < \infty$ . By Corollary 2.9, we have the following Monotonicity inequality (2.6)

$$2 \langle \psi, L\psi \rangle_q + \|A\psi\|_{HS(q)}^2 \leq C \|\psi\|_q^2, \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

As an application of [15, Theorem 1], we get the required existence and pathwise uniqueness in this case. Extension to arbitrary initial condition  $\phi$  can be done as in [26].

If  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued, then it is  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued for all  $p \geq 0$  and consequently, the corresponding solution  $\{Y_t\}_t$  to (2.7) is also  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued for all  $p \geq 0$ . In this case,  $\{Y_t\}_t$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.13.* Since  $\phi$  is deterministic, from [15, Theorem 1], the solution  $\{Y_t\}_t$  to the SPDE (2.7) has the property that  $\mathbb{E}\|Y_t\|_p^2 < \infty, \forall t \geq 0$ . The fact that  $u_t = \mathbb{E}Y_t, \forall t \geq 0$  solves the PDE (2.9) follows from the linearity of  $L$ .

To prove the uniqueness, let  $\tilde{u}_t, t \geq 0$  be a solution to the PDE (2.9). Then,  $u_t - \tilde{u}_t = \int_0^t L(u_s - \tilde{u}_s) ds$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_t - \tilde{u}_t\|_q^2 & = 2 \int_0^t \langle u_s - \tilde{u}_s, L(u_s - \tilde{u}_s) \rangle_q ds \\
& \leq \int_0^t \left[ 2 \langle u_s - \tilde{u}_s, L(u_s - \tilde{u}_s) \rangle_q + \|A(u_s - \tilde{u}_s)\|_{HS(q)}^2 \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness then follows by Corollary 2.9 and the Gronwall's inequality.

If  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued, then it is  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued for all  $p \geq 0$  and consequently, the corresponding solution  $\{u_t\}_t$  to (2.9) is also  $\mathcal{S}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued for all  $p \geq 0$ . In this case,  $\{Y_t\}_t$  is  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** Arvind Kumar Nath acknowledges the support by the University Grants Commission (Government of India) Ph.D research Fellowship. Suprio Bhar acknowledges the support by the Matrics grant MTR/2021/000517 from the Science and Engineering Research Board (Department of Science & Technology, Government of India).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Nacira Agram and Bernt Øksendal. Stochastic Fokker-Planck equations for conditional McKean-Vlasov jump diffusions and applications to optimal control. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 61(3):1472–1493, 2023.
- [2] Viorel Barbu and Michael Röckner. Uniqueness for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations and for McKean-Vlasov SDEs: the degenerate case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 285(4):Paper No. 109980, 37, 2023.
- [3] Richard F. Bass. *Probabilistic techniques in analysis*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [4] Suprio Bhar, Rajeev Bhaskaran, and Barun Sarkar. Erratum to ‘Solutions of SPDE’s associated with a stochastic flow’. *Potential Anal.*, 58(4):785–786, 2023.
- [5] Suprio Bhar and B. Rajeev. Differential operators on Hermite Sobolev spaces. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 125(1):113–125, 2015.
- [6] Suprio Bhar, Bhaskaran Rajeev, and Barun Sarkar. Solutions of SPDE’s associated with a stochastic flow. *Potential Anal.*, 53(1):203–221, 2020.
- [7] Vladimir Bogachev, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Existence and uniqueness of solutions for Fokker-Planck equations on Hilbert spaces. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 10(3):487–509, 2010.
- [8] Vladimir Bogachev, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Existence results for Fokker-Planck equations in Hilbert spaces. In *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VI*, volume 63 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 23–35. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
- [9] Vladimir Bogachev, Giuseppe Da Prato, and Michael Röckner. Uniqueness for solutions of Fokker-Planck equations on infinite dimensional spaces. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 36(6):925–939, 2011.
- [10] Giuseppe Da Prato. *Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
- [11] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. *Second order partial differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, volume 293 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [12] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, volume 152 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2014.
- [13] Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

- [14] Avner Friedman. *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. Two volumes bound as one, Reprint of the 1975 and 1976 original published in two volumes.
- [15] L. Gawarecki, V. Mandrekar, and B. Rajeev. Linear stochastic differential equations in the dual of a multi-Hilbertian space. *Theory Stoch. Process.*, 14(2):28–34, 2008.
- [16] L. Gawarecki, V. Mandrekar, and B. Rajeev. The monotonicity inequality for linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 12(4):575–591, 2009.
- [17] Leszek Gawarecki and Vidyadhar Mandrekar. *Stochastic differential equations in infinite dimensions with applications to stochastic partial differential equations*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [18] Kiyosi Itô. *Foundations of stochastic differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces*, volume 47 of *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1984.
- [19] Gopinath Kallianpur and Jie Xiong. *Stochastic differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces*, volume 26 of *Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA, 1995. Expanded version of the lectures delivered as part of the 1993 Barrett Lectures at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, March 25–27, 1993, With a foreword by Balram S. Rajput and Jan Rosinski.
- [20] Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [21] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskiĭ. Stochastic evolution equations. In *Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 14 (Russian)*, pages 71–147, 256. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii, Moscow, 1979.
- [22] Hiroshi Kunita. *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations*, volume 24 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Reprint of the 1990 original.
- [23] Philip E. Protter. *Stochastic integration and differential equations*, volume 21 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004. Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability.
- [24] B. Rajeev. From Tanaka’s formula to Ito’s formula: distributions, tensor products and local times. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXV*, volume 1755 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 371–389. Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [25] B. Rajeev. Translation invariant diffusion in the space of tempered distributions. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44(2):231–258, 2013.
- [26] B. Rajeev. Translation invariant diffusions and stochastic partial differential equations in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . *arXiv:1901.00277v2 [math.PR]*, pages 1–56, 2019.
- [27] B. Rajeev and S. Thangavelu. Probabilistic representations of solutions to the heat equation. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 113(3):321–332, 2003.
- [28] B. Rajeev and S. Thangavelu. Probabilistic representations of solutions of the forward equations. *Potential Anal.*, 28(2):139–162, 2008.
- [29] Walter Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.
- [30] Daniel W. Stroock. *Partial differential equations for probabilists*, volume 112 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. Paperback edition of the 2008 original.

- [31] Daniel W. Stroock and S. R. Srinivasa Varadhan. *Multidimensional diffusion processes*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [32] Stefan Tappe. Affine realizations with affine state processes for stochastic partial differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 126(7):2062–2091, 2016.
- [33] François Trèves. *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006. Unabridged republication of the 1967 original.
- [34] John B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In *École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984*, volume 1180 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 265–439. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

SUPRIO BHAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, KALYANPUR, KANPUR - 208016, INDIA.

*Email address:* `suprio@iitk.ac.in`

RAJEEV BHASKARAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CAMPUS, MARUTHAMALA P. O, VITHURA, KERALA - 695551, INDIA.

*Email address:* `brajeev@iisertvm.ac.in`

ARVIND KUMAR NATH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR, KALYANPUR, KANPUR - 208016, INDIA.

*Email address:* `yarvind@iitk.ac.in`