Undular bore theory for the modified Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation

L. F. Calazans de Brito¹ and A. M. Kamchatnov^{1, 2, 3}

 1 Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya ul., Moscow, 101000, Russia

²Institute of Spectroscopy, Russian Academy of Sciences, Troitsk, Moscow, 108840, Russia

³Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Skolkovo, Moscow, 143026, Russia

We consider nonlinear wave structures described by the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation with taking into account a small Burgers viscosity for the case of step-like initial conditions. The Whitham modulation equations are derived which include the small viscosity as a perturbation. It is shown that for long enough time of evolution this small perturbation leads to stabilization of cnoidal bores and their main characteristics are obtained. Applicability conditions of this approach are discussed. Analytical theory is compared with numerical solutions and good agreement is found.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Yv, 47.35.Fg

I. INTRODUCTION

The modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation

$$
u_t - 6\alpha u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{1}
$$

appeared first in study of the famous KdV equation

$$
u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{2}
$$

related with Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) by Miura transformation [\[1\]](#page-9-0). The existence of such a transformation allowed the pioneers of the inverse scattering transform method to discover this method [\[2,](#page-9-1) [3\]](#page-9-2) for the KdV equation, and it was extended later to many other equations including mKdV equation [\[5,](#page-9-3) [6\]](#page-9-4) (see also, e.g., books [\[7](#page-9-5)[–9\]](#page-9-6) and references therein). The mKdV equation is almost as widely used in physical applications as the KdV equation. Actually, the Gardner equation

$$
u_t + 6\beta u u_x - 6\alpha u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{3}
$$

combining nonlinear properties of the KdV and mKdV equations can be transformed to Eq. (1) by a simple change of variables. Besides that, in physical applications it often happens that the coefficient β is very small and can be neglected, so Eq. [\(3\)](#page-0-1) reduces directly to the equation. The Gardner equation and its simplified mKdV version find applications to the theory of nonlinear waves in stratified fluids, for example, for description of large amplitude internal waves [\[10–](#page-9-7)[12\]](#page-9-8).

One of the most important and universal phenomena in nonlinear physics is formation and evolution of dispersive shock waves (see, e.g., review articles [\[13,](#page-9-9) [14\]](#page-9-10) and references therein). They are called undular bores in water wave physics and they were observed in both surface and internal waves. Their theory was originated by Gurevich and Pitaevskii [\[15\]](#page-9-11) who represented such structures as modulated nonlinear periodic waves which evolution is governed by the Whitham modulation equations [\[16,](#page-9-12) [17\]](#page-9-13), and they gave two typical examples of solutions which describe dispersive shock waves—evolution of an initial discontinuity and formation of a shock after generic wave breaking for the KdV equation case.

Whitham modulation equations for the mKdV case were derived in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-9-14), however their application to the theory of dispersive shock waves turned out to be a quite difficult task even in the case of an initial discontinuity problem. The reason for this difficulty is that the mKdV equation is not genuinely nonlinear [\[19\]](#page-9-15), that is the modulus of the "nonlinear velocity" $6\alpha u^2$ has an extremal (minimal) value at $u = 0$ on the contrary to the KdV equation case where the "nonlinear velocity" 6u is everywhere a monotonous function of the wave amplitude u . As a result, in KdV case an initial discontinuity can only evolve into two different structures (rarefaction waves or cnoidal undular bores) whereas in mKdV case an initial discontinuity evolves into eight different wave structures depending on the parameters of the initial jump of u . Some particular results in this direction were obtained in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-9-16) and the full solution was given in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-9-17) in the context of the Gardner equation [\(3\)](#page-0-1).

In Gurevich-Pitaevskii theory, dispersive shock waves are expanding with time wave structures, so that in the initial discontinuity type problems the change of modulation parameters per unit length decreases with time and can become at large enough time smaller than some other physical parameters which were neglected in derivation of Eqs. (1) or (2) . For so large values of time, the neglected effects must be taken into account in the modulation theory. For example, small dissipation stops infinite expansion of undular bores and their length is stabilized at some value inverse proportional to the viscosity coefficient in accordance with early ideas of Refs. [\[22,](#page-9-18) [23\]](#page-9-19) about the structure of undular bores in water waves physics and plasma. The corresponding modified Whitham equations for the KdV theory with weak Burgers dissipation were derived in Refs. [\[24,](#page-9-20) [25\]](#page-9-21) and they were applied in these papers to description of stationary dispersive shocks whose characteristic length is defined by the small viscosity coefficient γ in the KdV-Burgers equation

$$
u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = \gamma u_{xx}.\tag{4}
$$

The extension of this theory on the mKdV-Burgers (mKdVB) equation

$$
u_t - 6\alpha u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = \gamma u_{xx} \tag{5}
$$

was discussed qualitatively in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-9-22), however the modified Whitham equations were not obtained for this case and the quantitative theory was not developed. The main aim of this paper is to derive the Whitham modulation equations for the mKdVB case [\(5\)](#page-0-3) and to apply them to the theory of undular bores. To this end, we will use the direct Whitham method [\[16,](#page-9-12) [18\]](#page-9-14) developed further for perturbed KdV equation in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-9-23). Its advantage is that it does not need development of quite involved methods of the inverse scattering transform (see Ref. [\[28\]](#page-9-24)). We obtain analytical formulas for the main characteristics of shock waves and confirm them by numerical solutions of Eq. (5) . μara d the paper is to the William modula for the William modula for the left. $\frac{1}{2}$ since the left. $\frac{1}{2}$ values of the l

II. ELEMENTARY WAVE STRUCTURES IN MKDVB EQUATION THEORY

Wave structures evolved from an initial discontinuity are typically combined from several types of elementary wave structures and at first we shall consider them briefly. For definiteness we shall confine ourselves to the case of positive coefficient $\alpha > 0$ although a similar theory can be developed for the case of negative α . Naturally, the viscosity coefficient γ is positive.

A. Rarefaction waves

First we consider situations when a wave connects two trivial solutions $u = u_-\,$ on the left and $u = u_+\,$ on the right from the initial discontinuity, and assume that during the evolution the wave remains a smooth function of x. Then we can neglect dispersive and dissipative effects proportional to higher order derivatives of x and describe such a wave in the simplest approximation with account of only nonlinear effects proportional to the first order space derivative,

$$
u_t - 6\alpha u^2 u_x = 0.\t\t(6)
$$

The boundary conditions suggest that there are two characteristic functions, one for the sound wave propagating along the plateau $u = u_-,$ which has the characteristic $x_l = -6\alpha u_-^2 t$, and the other for the sound wave propagating along the plateau u_+ , so that this edge moves according to the equation $x_r = -6\alpha u^2 + t$. Consequently, the solution consists of three parts: $u = u_+$ for $x < x_l$, $u = u_+$ for $x > x_r$, and between these two regions we have an evident self-similar solution of Eq. (6) ,

$$
u(x,t) = \begin{cases} u_{-}, & x < x_{l}, \\ \pm \sqrt{\frac{x}{-6\alpha t}}, & x_{l} < x < x_{r}, \\ u_{+}, & x > x_{r}. \end{cases}
$$
 (7)

Obviously, such a solution exists only if the boundary values u_{\pm} satisfy the conditions $0 < u_{+} < u_{-}$ or $0 >$ $u_+ > u_-.$ In both cases these rarefaction waves (RWs) propagate to the left.

FIG. 1. Periodic solutions correspond to oscillations in the interval $\nu_2 \leq u \leq \nu_3$ where $f(u) \geq 0$.

B. Periodic solutions

If the boundary values u_{\pm} do not satisfy the above conditions, then the wave breaks and undular bore forms. In Gurevich-Pitaevskii approach [\[15\]](#page-9-11) they are represented by modulated periodic solutions of Eq. [\(5\)](#page-0-3), so at first we have to describe the non-modulated solutions for zero dissipation.

We look for traveling wave solutions $u = u(\xi)$, $\xi =$ $x - Vt$, of Eq. [\(5\)](#page-0-3) with $\gamma = 0$ and after two integrations we get

$$
u_{\xi}^{2} = \alpha u^{4} + V u^{2} + 2Bu - 2A,
$$
 (8)

where A and B are constants of integration. We assume that the polynomial in the right-hand side has four real roots ν_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which are ordered according to inequalities $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \nu_3 \leq \nu_4$, so Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-1) can be rewritten in the form

$$
u_{\xi}^{2} = \alpha (u - \nu_{1})(u - \nu_{2})(u - \nu_{3})(u - \nu_{4}). \tag{9}
$$

The constants in these two equations are related by the expressions

$$
V = \alpha(\nu_1 \nu_2 + \nu_1 \nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_4 + \nu_2 \nu_3 + \nu_2 \nu_4 + \nu_3 \nu_4),
$$

\n
$$
B = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_4 + \nu_1 \nu_3 \nu_4 + \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4),
$$
 (10)
\n
$$
A = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4.
$$

and the roots ν_i are not independent of each other but connected by the formula

$$
\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 = 0. \tag{11}
$$

Periodic real solutions can only exist when u oscillates between two consecutive roots where the potential curve is positive, that is $\nu_2 \leq u \leq \nu_3$, as is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-2) Integration of Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-3) with the initial condition $u = \nu_3$ at $\xi = \xi_0$ gives

$$
\xi - \xi_0 = \int_u^{\nu_3} \frac{du}{\sqrt{\alpha (u - \nu_1)(u - \nu_2)(u - \nu_3)(u - \nu_4)}} (12)
$$

and standard calculation yields the expression

$$
u = \frac{\nu_3(\nu_4 - \nu_2) - \nu_4(\nu_3 - \nu_2)\text{sn}^2(\theta; m)}{(\nu_4 - \nu_2) - (\nu_3 - \nu_2)\text{sn}^2(\theta; m)},
$$
(13)

where $\mathrm{sn}(\theta, m)$ is the Jacobi elliptic sinus function,

$$
\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha (\nu_3 - \nu_1)(\nu_4 - \nu_2)} \xi, \tag{14}
$$

and

$$
m = \frac{(\nu_4 - \nu_1)(\nu_3 - \nu_2)}{(\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_3 - \nu_1)}.
$$
\n(15)

Expression [\(14\)](#page-2-0) allows us to define the wave number and the frequency of the periodic wave in terms of parameters ν_i :

$$
k = \sqrt{\alpha(\nu_3 - \nu_1)(\nu_4 - \nu_2)}, \quad \omega = kV, \tag{16}
$$

where V is given by Eq. [\(10\)](#page-1-4).

The cnoidal wave solution Eq. [\(13\)](#page-2-1) reduces to important particular solutions in special limits. When $\nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$, so $m \to 1$ and $\text{sn}(\theta; m) \to \tanh \theta$, we arrive at the bright soliton

$$
u(\xi) = \nu_1 + \frac{\nu_3 - \nu_1}{\cosh^2 \theta - \frac{\nu_3 - \nu_1}{\nu_4 - \nu_1} \sinh^2 \theta}.
$$
 (17)

propagating along a constant background $u = \nu_1$.

When $\nu_3 \rightarrow \nu_4$, we obtain the dark soliton solution

$$
u(\xi) = \nu_4 - \frac{\nu_4 - \nu_2}{\cosh^2 \theta - \frac{\nu_4 - \nu_2}{\nu_4 - \nu_1} \sinh^2 \theta}.
$$
 (18)

propagating along a constant background $u = \nu_4$.

When $\nu_3 \to \nu_2$, we get $m \to 0$, so that the elliptical sinus becomes the trigonometric one, $\mathrm{sn}(\theta;0) = \sin \theta$, and we obtain a harmonic wave solution oscillating with very small amplitude around $u = \nu_2$,

$$
u(\xi) = \nu_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\nu_3 - \nu_2)\cos(2\theta). \tag{19}
$$

At last, if we have simultaneously $\nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$ and $\nu_3 \rightarrow$ ν_4 , it is convenient to change the initial condition in such a way that the integral [\(12\)](#page-1-5) takes the form

$$
\xi = \int_{u}^{\nu_3} \frac{du}{\sqrt{\alpha}(u - \nu_2)(u - \nu_4)},\tag{20}
$$

and elementary integration yields

$$
u(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \nu_2 + \nu_4 \pm (\nu_2 - \nu_4) \tanh[\alpha(\nu_2 - \nu_4)\xi)] \}.
$$
 (21)

It is important that due to Eq. (11) the parameters are related by the formula $\nu_2 + \nu_4 = 0$ and therefore the left and right limiting values of u have opposite signs and their absolute values are equal to each other. It is remarkable that exact solution of this type exists for the full Eq. (5) with account of dissipation $[29]$ and we shall consider this modification of the kink solution in the next Subsection.

C. Kink

Here we shall find the kink solution of Eq. [\(5\)](#page-0-3) with $\gamma \neq 0$. As usual, we look for a traveling wave solution $u = u(\xi), \xi = x - Vt$, and assume that $u \to u$ as $\xi \to -\infty$. Then trivial integration with account of our boundary condition gives

$$
u_{\xi\xi} = \gamma u_{\xi} + V(u - u_{-}) + 2\alpha(u^{3} - u_{-}^{3}).
$$
 (22)

Let we also have $u \to u_+$ as $\xi \to +\infty$, as it should be for a kink solution. Then we get at once expression for the velocity

$$
V = -2\alpha (u_-^2 + u_- u_+ + u_+^2), \tag{23}
$$

and substitution of this expression into Eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-2) gives

$$
u_{\xi\xi} = \gamma u_{\xi} + 2\alpha (u - u_{-})(u - u_{+})(u + u_{-} + u_{+}). \quad (24)
$$

Now, following Ref. [\[29\]](#page-9-25), we assume that this equation has an integral in the form

$$
u_{\xi} = a(u - u_{-})(u - u_{+}),
$$

that is

$$
u_{\xi\xi} = \frac{du_{\xi}}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{d\xi} = a^2(2u - u_{-} - u_{+})(u - u_{-})(u - u_{+}).
$$

Substitution of these expressions into Eq. [\(24\)](#page-2-3) yields

$$
a^{2}(2u - u_{-} - u_{+}) = \gamma a + 2\alpha(u + u_{-} + u_{+}).
$$

Comparison of coefficients before u gives $a^2 = \alpha$ or

$$
a = \pm \sqrt{\alpha}.\tag{25}
$$

Then the remaining terms give

$$
u_- + u_+ = \mp \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{26}
$$

At last, elementary integration of the equation

$$
u_{\xi} = \pm \sqrt{\alpha}(u - u_{-})(u - u_{+})
$$
\n(27)

yields

$$
u = \frac{u_{-} + u_{+} \exp[\mp \sqrt{\alpha}(u_{+} - u_{-})(\xi - \xi_{0})]}{1 + \exp[\mp \sqrt{\alpha}(u_{+} - u_{-})(\xi - \xi_{0})]}.
$$
 (28)

As one can see, the upper sign corresponds to the "decreasing" kink with $u_+ < u_-, u_+ + u_- = -\gamma/(3\sqrt{\alpha})$ and the lower sign corresponds to the "growing" kink with $u_+ > u_-, u_+ + u_- = \gamma/(3\sqrt{\alpha}).$

III. WHITHAM MODULATION EQUATIONS FOR MKDVB THEORY

According to Whitham [\[16,](#page-9-12) [17\]](#page-9-13), the modulation theory can be based on averaging of the conservation laws for the equation under consideration over fast oscillations in the slightly modulated cnoidal wave. The perturbed theory of the Whitham modulation method for the mKdVB equation can be performed in the same way, as it was done for the KdVB equation [\[27\]](#page-9-23).

Due to condition (11) , in this theory there are three independent parameters which can be chosen arbitrarily from the set ν_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Therefore we have to average three conservation laws. However, it is convenient to replace one of them by the universal law of conservation of 'the number of waves' [\[16,](#page-9-12) [17\]](#page-9-13). Indeed, a slightly modulated wave can be considered locally as a uniform one with the wave number and the frequency defined by the expressions

$$
k = \theta_x, \qquad \omega = -\theta_t. \tag{29}
$$

Consequently, they satisfy the conservation law

$$
k_t + \omega_x = 0,\t\t(30)
$$

where k plays the role of 'density of waves' and ω is their 'flux'. They are still expressed in terms of local values of the modulation parameters ν_i by Eqs. [\(16\)](#page-2-4). Averaging can be performed over a wavelength due to weakness of modulations,

$$
\langle \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \phi dx = \frac{1}{L} \oint \frac{\phi(x, t)}{\sqrt{f(u)}} du, \quad (31)
$$

where $L = k^{-1}$ is the wavelength and $f(u) = u_x^2$ $\alpha \prod(u - \nu_i)$. Thus, the averaged Eq. [\(30\)](#page-3-0) can be written as

$$
\langle k \rangle_x + \langle \omega \rangle_t = 0, \tag{32}
$$

and it is easy to find two other conservation laws for the mKdVB case, so that in the averaged form they read

$$
\langle u \rangle_t + \langle -2\alpha u^3 + u_{xx} \rangle_x = \gamma \langle R \rangle, \langle u^2 \rangle_t + \langle -3\alpha u^4 + 2uu_{xx} - u_x^2 \rangle_x = 2 \langle uR \rangle, \qquad (33)
$$

where we denote by R the general form of the perturbation term in the right-hand side of the perturbed mKdV equation. Of course, for Burgers friction we have $R = \gamma u_{xx}.$

Following Refs. $[16-18, 27]$ $[16-18, 27]$ $[16-18, 27]$, we express all averaged function in terms of

$$
\mathcal{W}(A, B, V) = -\oint u_{\xi} du = -\oint \sqrt{f(u)} du
$$

$$
= -\oint \sqrt{\alpha u^4 + V u^2 + 2Bu - 2A} du,
$$
(34)

so that

$$
\mathcal{W}_A = \oint \frac{du}{\sqrt{f(u)}} = \oint dx = L = k^{-1},
$$

$$
\mathcal{W}_B = -\oint \frac{udu}{\sqrt{f(u)}},
$$

$$
\mathcal{W}_V = -\frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{u^2 du}{\sqrt{f(u)}}.
$$
 (35)

Consequently, we get

$$
\langle u \rangle = k \oint \frac{u du}{\sqrt{f(u)}} = -k \mathcal{W}_B,
$$

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{2} u^2 \right\rangle = \frac{k}{2} \oint \frac{u^2 du}{\sqrt{f(u)}} = -k \mathcal{W}_V.
$$
 (36)

In view of the relation $u_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{du}$ we have $\langle u_{xx} \rangle = 0$. After simple transformations with the use of the mKdV equation we can expressed all averaged quantities in terms of the above expressions and arrive at

$$
(-kW_B)_t + (-kVW_B + B)_x = \langle R \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
(-kW_V)_t + (-kVW_V + A)_x = \langle uR \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
(W_A)_t - V(W_A)_x = W_A V_x.
$$
 (37)

These equations can be rewritten in a more convenient way with the use of the differential operator $\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} +$ $V\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$,

$$
\frac{DW_B}{Dt} = W_A \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial x} - \langle R \rangle \right),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{DW_V}{Dt} = W_A \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x} - \langle uR \rangle \right),
$$

\n
$$
\frac{DW_A}{Dt} = W_A \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}.
$$
\n(38)

As we mentioned in Introduction, the mKdV equation is not genuinely nonlinear. Therefore, as in the case of the Gardner equation $[21]$, the relationship between physical parameters ν_i and the most convenient modulation parameters used in the Whitham equations transformed to the Riemann diagonal form is not single-valued. Correspondingly, we have to transform Whitham equations [\(38\)](#page-3-1) for two different choices of independent variables. First, we choose ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 as such variables, so that ν_4 is given by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-6) and $d\nu_4 = -(d\nu_1 + d\nu_2 + d\nu_3)$. Then differentials dV, dA , and dB of the modulation parameters used in Eqs. [\(38\)](#page-3-1) are equal to

$$
dV = \alpha[(\nu_4 - \nu_1)d\nu_1 + (\nu_4 - \nu_2)d\nu_2 + (\nu_4 - \nu_3)d\nu_3],
$$

\n
$$
dB = -\frac{\alpha}{2}[(\nu_4 - \nu_1)(\nu_2 + \nu_3) d\nu_1 + (\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_1 + \nu_3) d\nu_2 + (\nu_4 - \nu_3)(\nu_1 + \nu_2) d\nu_3],
$$

\n
$$
dA = -\frac{\alpha}{2}[\nu_2\nu_3(\nu_4 - \nu_1) d\nu_1 + \nu_1\nu_3(\nu_4 - \nu_2) d\nu_2 + \nu_1\nu_2(\nu_4 - \nu_3) d\nu_3].
$$
\n(39)

Introducing the variables $w_i = \nu_4 - \nu_i$, we write Eq [\(38\)](#page-3-1)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} W_{A,\nu_{i}} \frac{D\nu_{i}}{Dt} = \alpha W_{A} (w_{1}\nu_{1,x} + w_{2}\nu_{2,x} + w_{3}\nu_{3,x}),
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} W_{B,\nu_{i}} \frac{D\nu_{i}}{Dt} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} W_{A} [w_{1}(\nu_{2} + \nu_{3})\nu_{1,x} + w_{2}(\nu_{1} + \nu_{3})\nu_{2,x} + w_{3}(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2})\nu_{3,x}] - W_{A} \langle R \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} W_{V,\nu_{i}} \frac{D\nu_{i}}{Dt} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} W_{A} (\nu_{2}\nu_{3}w_{1}\nu_{1,x} + \nu_{1}\nu_{3}w_{2}\nu_{2,x} + \nu_{1}\nu_{2}w_{3}\nu_{3,x}) - W_{A} \langle uR \rangle.
$$

\n(40)

To diagonalize the last system, we multiply the first, second and third lines by the constant parameters p, q , and r, correspondingly, sum the resulting equations, and choose p, q, r in such a way, that the coefficient of $\nu_{1,x}$ in the right-hand side vanishes and the coefficients of $\nu_{2,x}$ and $\nu_{3,x}$ are equal to each other. These conditions determine p, q, r up to a numerical factor and we take the following values:

$$
p = -(\nu_2 + \nu_3)(\nu_1\nu_4 + \nu_2\nu_3),
$$

\n
$$
q = -2(\nu_1\nu_4 - \nu_2\nu_3),
$$

\n
$$
r = -4(\nu_2 + \nu_3).
$$
\n(41)

After elementary transformations the resulting righthand side of the sum takes the form

$$
\mathcal{W}_A \Big[\alpha(\nu_2 - \nu_1)(\nu_3 - \nu_1)(\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_4 - \nu_3) \frac{\partial(\nu_2 + \nu_3)}{\partial x} + 2(\nu_1 \nu_4 - \nu_2 \nu_3) \langle R \rangle + 4(\nu_2 + \nu_3) \langle uR \rangle \Big] \tag{42}
$$

Calculation of the coefficient before Dv_1/Dt gives

$$
K_1 = pW_{A,\nu_1} + qW_{B,\nu_1} + rW_{V,\nu_1}
$$

= $-\frac{\nu_4 - \nu_1}{2} \oint \frac{(p - qu - ru^2/2)du}{\sqrt{\alpha(u - \nu_1)^3 (u - \nu_2)(u - \nu_3)(u - \nu_4)^3}}$
= $-(\nu_4 - \nu_1) \oint \frac{d}{du} \sqrt{\frac{(u - \nu_2)(u - \nu_3)}{\alpha(u - \nu_1)(u - \nu_4)}} = 0$ (43)

Similar calculation of the coefficient before Dv_2/Dt gives

$$
K_2 = pW_{A,\nu_2} + qW_{B,\nu_2} + rW_{V,\nu_2}
$$

= $(\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_4 - \nu_3)I_1,$ (44)

where

$$
I_1 = \oint \sqrt{\frac{u - \nu_1}{\alpha (u - \nu_2)(u - \nu_3)(u - \nu_4)^3}}.
$$
 (45)

As one can see, this expression is symmetrical with respect to interchange of ν_2 and ν_3 , so $K_3 = pW_{A,\nu_3} +$ $qW_{B,\nu_3} + rW_{V,\nu_3} = K_2$. Consequently, we have obtained one of the modulation equations in the form

$$
(\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_4 - \nu_3)I_1 \left\{ \frac{\partial(\nu_2 + \nu_3)}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial(\nu_2 + \nu_3)}{\partial x} \right\}
$$

= $W_A \left[\alpha(\nu_2 - \nu_1)(\nu_3 - \nu_1)(\nu_4 - \nu_2)(\nu_4 - \nu_3) \frac{\partial(\nu_2 + \nu_3)}{\partial x} \right]$
+ $2(\nu_1\nu_4 - \nu_2\nu_3) \langle R \rangle + 4(\nu_2 + \nu_3) \langle uR \rangle \right],$ (46)

and the other two equations can be obtained by cyclic permutations of ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 .

The terms, which do not depend on R, have diagonal form with respect to derivatives, so that three values of any function of $\nu_1 + \nu_2, \nu_1 + \nu_3, \nu_2 + \nu_3$ can serve as the Riemann invariants of the resulting Whitham modulation equations. It is convenient to define them in the following way:

$$
r_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_2 + \nu_3)^2, \quad r_2 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_1 + \nu_3)^2, \quad r_3 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_1 + \nu_2)^2
$$
\n(47)

and

$$
\nu_1 = \sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}, \quad \nu_2 = -\sqrt{r_1} + \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}, \n\nu_3 = -\sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} + \sqrt{r_3}, \quad \nu_4 = \sqrt{r_1} + \sqrt{r_2} + \sqrt{r_3}.
$$
\n(48)

The Riemann invariants r_i are positive and we assume that they are ordered according to inequalities $0 < r_1 \leq$ $r_2 \leq r_3$. Then the parameters ν_i are ordered as follows:

$$
\nu_1 \le \nu_2 \le \nu_3 < 0 < \nu_4. \tag{49}
$$

The phase velocity V and elliptic modulus m reduce to

$$
V = -2\alpha (r_1 + r_2 + r_3), \quad m = \frac{r_3 - r_2}{r_3 - r_1}, \qquad (50)
$$

and the wavelength is given by the formula

$$
L = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\alpha(r_3 - r_1)}} K(m),\tag{51}
$$

 $K(m)$ being the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. The integral [\(45\)](#page-4-0) can also be expressed in terms of the Riemann invariants,

$$
I_1 = 2(\sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_1})(\sqrt{r_3} - \sqrt{r_1})\frac{\partial L}{\partial r_1},\qquad(52)
$$

and similar expressions can be obtained for its counterparts for equations derived from Eq. [\(46\)](#page-4-1) by cyclic permutations of ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 . As a result, we arrive at the following form of the Whitham equations for the perturbed mKdV theory:

$$
\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x} = \frac{L}{\partial L/\partial r_i} \frac{\sqrt{r_1 r_2 r_3} \langle R \rangle - r_i \langle uR \rangle}{\prod_{j \neq i} (r_i - r_j)}, \quad (53)
$$

where

$$
v_i = \left(1 - \frac{L}{\partial L/\partial r_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i}\right) V = V + \frac{2\alpha L}{\partial L/\partial r_i} \tag{54}
$$

are the standard Whitham velocities for the unperturbed mKdV equation [\[18,](#page-9-14) [30\]](#page-9-26).

Definitions [\(47\)](#page-4-2), [\(48\)](#page-4-3) of the Riemann invariants imply that in this case a modulated wave oscillates in the region $\nu_2 \leq u \leq \nu_3 < 0$ of its amplitude (see Eq. [\(49\)](#page-4-4)). To get modulation equations for bores with positive values of the amplitude, it is convenient to take ν_2, ν_3, ν_4 as independent modulation parameters, so that $\nu_1 = -(\nu_2 +$ $\nu_3 + \nu_4$, and to define the Riemann invariants by the formulas

$$
r_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_2 + \nu_3)^2, \quad r_2 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_2 + \nu_4)^2, \quad r_3 = \frac{1}{4}(\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2
$$
\n(55)

and

$$
\nu_1 = -\sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}, \quad \nu_2 = \sqrt{r_1} + \sqrt{r_2} - \sqrt{r_3}, \n\nu_3 = \sqrt{r_1} - \sqrt{r_2} + \sqrt{r_3}, \quad \nu_4 = -\sqrt{r_1} + \sqrt{r_2} + \sqrt{r_3}.
$$
\n(56)

For $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq r_3$ the parameters ν_i are ordered according to

$$
\nu_1 < 0 < \nu_2 \le \nu_3 \le \nu_4 \tag{57}
$$

and the variable u takes positive values in the interval

$$
0 < \nu_2 \le u \le \nu_3. \tag{58}
$$

The Whitham equations [\(53\)](#page-4-5) for this definition of the Riemann invariants remain the same. Consequently, one solution of the Whitham modulation equations describes two different modulated wave structures what is a characteristic feature of not-genuinely nonlinear wave equations (other examples of such a behavior can be found in Refs. [\[21,](#page-9-17) [31,](#page-9-27) [32\]](#page-9-28)).

IV. STATIONARY BORES IN MKDVB THEORY

As was mentioned in Introduction, after long enough time of evolution however small dissipation stops expansion of undular bores and they acquire stationary profiles. The corresponding theory for the KdV-Burgers equation was developed in Refs. [\[24,](#page-9-20) [25,](#page-9-21) [27\]](#page-9-23). Here we shall obtain similar solutions for the case of mKdVB theory following mainly to the method of Ref. [\[27\]](#page-9-23).

A stationary bore propagates with constant velocity V without change of the profile determined by the modulation variables $r_i = r_i(\xi)$, $\xi = x - Vt$. Such a stationary profile is supported by the difference of the values of the wave variable u at two infinities,

$$
u(x,0) \to \begin{cases} u_{-}, & \text{as } x \to -\infty, \\ u_{+}, & \text{as } x \to +\infty. \end{cases}
$$
 (59)

If there were no dispersion effects, we would get a jump-like viscous shock with velocity determined by the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions (see, e.g., Ref. [\[17\]](#page-9-13)). Dispersion effects transform a jump-like transition between two levels of the u-variable into an oscillatory bore, but the Rankine-Hugoniot conditions are still applicable [\[26\]](#page-9-22). Following Whitham's theory of weak shocks [\[17\]](#page-9-13), we introduce the flux function $Q = -2\alpha u^3$, so that the dispersionless limit of the mKdV equation takes the form the conservation law

$$
u_t + Q_x = 0 \tag{60}
$$

and then a shock wave propagates with velocity

$$
V = \frac{Q(u_-) - Q(u_+)}{u_- - u_+} = -2\alpha(u_-^2 + u_-u_+ + u_+^2). \tag{61}
$$

(It is worth noticing that it coincides with velocity of kinks [\(23\)](#page-2-5) calculated with account of viscosity what confirms the generality of the above argumentation). This velocity must coincide with the constant velocity V of the bore given by Eq. (50) ,

$$
V = -2\alpha(r_1 + r_2 + r_3). \tag{62}
$$

Thus, in stationary solutions the sum of three Riemann invariants is constant and Eqs. [\(53\)](#page-4-5) reduce to

$$
\frac{dr_i}{d\xi} = \frac{\sqrt{r_1 r_2 r_3} \langle R \rangle - r_i \langle uR \rangle}{2\alpha \prod_{i \neq j} (r_j - r_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{63}
$$

It is convenient to introduce symmetric functions of the Riemann invariants,

$$
\sigma_1 = r_1 + r_2 + r_3, \quad \sigma_2 = r_1 r_2 + r_1 r_3 + r_2 r_3, \quad \sigma_3 = r_1 r_2 r_3.
$$
\n(64)

It is not hard to derive equations for them,

$$
\frac{d\sigma_1}{d\xi} = 0, \quad \frac{d\sigma_2}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \langle uR \rangle, \quad \frac{d\sigma_3}{d\xi} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_3}}{2\alpha} \langle R \rangle. \quad (65)
$$

Consequently, σ_1 is an integral of motion, as it should be. The theory greatly simplifies if $\langle R \rangle = 0$. In particular, it takes place for the Burgers viscosity: $\langle u_{xx} \rangle =$ $(1/L) (u_{xx})\vert_{0}^{L} = 0$ due to periodicity of u in the main approximation. Then $\sigma_3 = \text{const}$ is also an integral of motion and we get an ordinary differential equation for a sole dependent variable σ_2 or any other variable changing along the bore. It is convenient to choose as such a variable the modulus m. The Riemann invariants can be expressed as functions of m in the following way. The first and third equations [\(64\)](#page-5-0) give r_1 and r_2 as functions of r_3 :

$$
r_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_1 - r_3 - \sqrt{(\sigma_1 - r_3)^2 - 4\sigma_3/r_3} \right],
$$

\n
$$
r_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_1 - r_3 + \sqrt{(\sigma_1 - r_3)^2 - 4\sigma_3/r_3} \right].
$$
\n(66)

Then with the use of Eq. (50) for m we find the formula

$$
m = \frac{3r_3 - \sigma_1 - \sqrt{(\sigma_1 - r_3)^2 - 4\sigma_3/r_3}}{3r_3 - \sigma_1 + \sqrt{(\sigma_1 - r_3)^2 - 4\sigma_3/r_3}}
$$
(67)

which defines in implicit form the function $r_3 = r_3(m)$, so that substitution of this function into Eqs. [\(66\)](#page-5-1) gives the functions $r_1 = r_1(m), r_2 = r_2(m)$. Differentiation of m by ξ and substitution of Eqs. [\(63\)](#page-5-2) with $\langle R \rangle = 0$ yield the equation for m:

$$
\frac{dm}{d\xi} = -\Phi(m) \tag{68}
$$

Consequently, we obtain the solution in implicit form

$$
\xi - \xi_0 = \int_m^1 \frac{dm}{\Phi(m)},\tag{69}
$$

where

$$
\Phi(m) = \frac{r_1(r_2 - r_3)^2 + r_2(r_1 - r_3)^2 + r_3(r_1 - r_2)^2}{2\alpha(r_1 - r_2)(r_1 - r_3)^3(r_2 - r_3)} \langle uR \rangle,
$$
\n(70)

and $\langle uR \rangle$ can also be expressed in terms of the Riemann invariants, that is as a function of $m(\xi_0)$ is the position of the soliton edge of the bore with $m = 1$ at the initial moment of time). This completes, in principle, solving the Whitham equations for a stationary bore. When the function $m = m(\xi)$ is found, it means that the dependence of the Riemann invariants r_1, r_2, r_3 on ξ is also known. Substitution of these functions into two sets [\(48\)](#page-4-3) and [\(56\)](#page-5-3) gives us two different dependencies of the parameters ν_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, on ξ . This means that their substitution into the solution [\(13\)](#page-2-1) yields two different modulated bores. The correct solution is distinguished by the boundary conditions. Thus, now we are in position to classify all possible wave structures supported by boundary conditions at infinities in the mKdV theory with account of small Burgers viscosity.

V. CLASSIFICATION OF WAVE STRUCTURES FOR JUMP-LIKE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In the region of applicability of the Gurevich-Pitaevskii theory based on the Whitham method of slow modulations of periodic solutions of the mKdV equation, the general diagram of possible wave structures coincides qualitatively with the diagram obtained in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-9-17) for the related Gardner equation without viscosity (see also Ref. [\[26\]](#page-9-22)). Taking viscosity into account leads to two modifications: (i) undular bores become stationary and (ii) kinks' parameters are slightly changed as it is shown in Section [II C.](#page-2-6) The resulting diagram is shown in Fig. [2](#page-6-0) and here we shall derive analytical formulas for main characteristics of the wave structures and compare them with numerical solutions of the mKdVB equation.

FIG. 2. Wave structures supported by the boundary condition $u_-\text{ as } x \to -\infty \text{ and } u_+\text{ as } x \to +\infty.$

In the regions 1 and 5 in Fig. [2](#page-6-0) we get just undular bores of different polarities. Let us consider first the region 1 where $u_{+} < u_{-} < 0$, so that u oscillates in the negative interval $\nu_2 \leq u \leq \nu_3 < 0$. Correspondingly, we have to use formulas Eqs. [\(47\)](#page-4-2), [\(48\)](#page-4-3) relating ν_i and r_j . In the small amplitude limit $x \to -\infty$ we have $\nu_2 = \nu_3 = u_+$ and $m \to 0$, that is $r_2 \to r_3$. Consequently, we get at the left edge of the bore $r_1^- = u_-^2$, $r_2^- = r_3^-$, that is

$$
\sigma_1 = u_-^2 + 2r_2^-, \quad \sigma_3 = u_-^2 (r_2^-)^2. \tag{71}
$$

At the soliton edge we have $m = 1, r_2 = r_1$, that is $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = -\sqrt{r_3} = u_+$, that is $r_3^+ = u_+^2$, so

$$
\sigma_1 = 2r_2^+ + u_+^2, \quad \sigma_3 = (r_2^+)^2 u_+^2. \tag{72}
$$

The values of these two constants of motion must be the same at both edges of the bore, so simple calculations give the limiting expressions for the Riemann invariants at the small amplitude edge,

$$
r_1^- = u_-^2, \qquad r_2^- = r_3^- = \frac{1}{2}u_+(u_+ + u_-), \tag{73}
$$

and at the soliton edge,

$$
r_1^+ = r_2^+ = \frac{1}{2}u_-(u_- + u_+), \qquad r_3^+ = u_+^2. \tag{74}
$$

Naturally, their substitution into Eq. [\(62\)](#page-5-4) reproduces the expression [\(61\)](#page-5-5) for the velocity of the bore. Besides that, we obtain the necessary expressions for the constants of motion

$$
\sigma_1 = u_-^2 + u_- u_+ + u_+^2, \quad \sigma_3 = \frac{1}{4} u_-^2 u_+^2 (u_- + u_+)^2. \tag{75}
$$

FIG. 3. Riemann invariants for the bores in regions 1 and 5 and the boundary conditions $u_- = -0.1$, $u_+ = -0.5$ in region 1 and $u_-=0.1$, $u_+=0.5$ in region 5. The parameters of the equations are equal to $\alpha = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.01$.

FIG. 4. The bore profiles for region 1 (a) and region 5 (b) found numerically (solid blue lines) and analytically (dashed red lines). In both cases the parameters of the mKdVB equation are equal to $\alpha = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.01$ and the evolution time is $t = 3000$. The boundary conditions are $u_- = -0.1$, $u_{+} = -0.5$ in region 1 and $u_{-} = 0.1$, $u_{+} = 0.5$ in region 5.

For averaging the Burgers friction term with $uR =$ $\gamma u u_{xx}$, it is convenient to make a replacement $u \rightarrow$ $2v - s_1$, where $s_1 = \sqrt{r_1} + \sqrt{r_2} + \sqrt{r_3}$. The variable v oscillates in the interval $\sqrt{r_2} \le v \le \sqrt{r_3}$, so we obtain the expression

$$
\langle uu_{xx}\rangle = -\frac{16}{L} \int_{\sqrt{r_2}}^{\sqrt{r_3}} \sqrt{Q(v)} dv \qquad (76)
$$

where $Q(v) = \alpha (v - \sqrt{r_1})(v - \sqrt{r_2})(v - \sqrt{r_3})(v - s_1)$. The integral here can be expressed in term of the Jacobi elliptic integrals, but it is convenient enough for practical calculations to keep it in this non-integrated form.

To find the criterium of applicability of our theory, we notice that it is correct as long as the length l of the whole bore is much greater than a typical local wavelength L inside it. To estimate these two parameters, we turn to the small amplitude limit $\xi \to -\infty$ where the Riemann invariants are given by the formulas [\(73\)](#page-6-1). Then Eq. [\(68\)](#page-6-2) reduces to

$$
\frac{dm}{d\xi} = 4\gamma m \quad \text{and} \quad m \propto \exp(4\gamma \xi), \tag{77}
$$

so the bore's length can be estimated as

$$
l \sim \frac{1}{4\gamma}.\tag{78}
$$

Substitution of Eqs. (73) into Eq. (51) gives according to the standard definition $L = 2\pi/k$ of the wavelength

$$
L = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{r_3 - r_1}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{\sqrt{(u_- - u_+)|u_+ + 2u_-|}}.\tag{79}
$$

Then the condition $L \ll l$ can be written in the form

$$
u_{-} - u_{+} \ll \frac{32\pi^{2}\gamma^{2}}{|u_{+} + 2u_{-}|}.
$$
 (80)

On the axis $u_-=0$ we get $-u_+ \ll u_c = 4\sqrt{2}\pi\gamma$, and for $|u_-\rangle \gg u_c$ we obtain

$$
u_{-} - u_{+} \ll \frac{u_{c}^{2}}{3|u_{+}|} \sim \frac{\gamma^{2}}{|u_{+}|}.
$$
 (81)

Thus, applicability region is separated from the line u_+ = $u_-\,$ by a narrow strip formed by the hyperbola boundary $(80).$ $(80).$

In a similar way, in the region 5 , where u oscillates in the positive interval $0 < \nu_2 \leq u \leq \nu_3$, we have to use the formulas (55) , (56) relating the Riemann invariants with the physical parameters of the wave. We obtain the same formulas [\(73\)](#page-6-1) and [\(74\)](#page-6-3) for the limiting values of the Riemann invariants, but for averaging the viscosity term we make a replacement $u = -2v + s_1$ and obtain again the same formula [\(76\)](#page-7-1).

If we take symmetrical boundary conditions in regions 1 and 5 that differ only by signs, then in both cases we get the same function $m = m(\xi)$ (see Eq. [\(69\)](#page-6-4)) and the same plots of the Riemann invariants $r_1(\xi), r_2(\xi), r_3(\xi)$ shown in Fig. [3.](#page-7-2) Their substitution into Eqs. [\(48\)](#page-4-3) or [\(56\)](#page-5-3) gives the dependencies $\nu_i = \nu_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, for the modulation parameters of the bores in regions 1 and 5, correspondingly. These functions $\nu_i = \nu_i(\xi)$ substituted into Eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-7) yield the profiles of bores in these two regions shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-3) by red dashed lines. They are compared with numerical solutions of the mKdVB equation and a quite good agreement is found, especially for the positions and amplitudes of the leading solitons. The deviations of analytical plots from numerical ones are caused by slow convergence of the wave structure to the stationary state. Velocity of the shock is equal to Eq. [\(61\)](#page-5-5) in the asymptotic state.

As was shown in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-9-17) for a similar Gardner equation, we cannot join the boundaries $u_->0$ and $u_+<0$ by a single undular bore solution because the mKdV equation is not genuinely nonlinear. In this case, the wave structure must contain a kink solution as is shown in Fig. [2](#page-6-0) for region 2 and for symmetrical region 6. In region 2 we have a "decreasing" kink joining the right boundary u_{+} < 0 with the intermediate plateau

$$
u_* = -u_+ - \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}} > u_-.
$$
 (82)

This plateau is connected with the left boundary $u_-\langle u_*\rangle$ by the 'negative' undular bore which profile can be found in the same way as above with replacement $u_+ \mapsto u_*$. In

FIG. 5. The bore profiles for region 2 (a) and region 6 (b) found numerically (solid blue lines) and analytically (dashed red lines). In both cases the parameters of the mKdVB equation are equal to $\alpha = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.01$ and the evolution times are $t = 2500$ for region 2 and $t = 4000$ for region 6. The boundary conditions are $u_-=0.1$, $u_+=-0.8$ in region 2 and $u_- = -0.3$, $u_+ = 0.6$ in region 6.

particular, velocities of the kink and the bore are equal to

$$
V_{kink} = -2\alpha (u_*^2 + u_*u_+ + u_+^2),
$$

\n
$$
V_{bore} = -2\alpha (u_-^2 + u_-u_* + u_*^2).
$$
\n(83)

For separation of these two constituents in space, the difference

$$
V_{kink} - V_{bore} = 2\alpha (u_- - u_+) \left(u_- - \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}} \right)
$$

must be positive. Hence, for realization of such a structure the left boundary must satisfy the additional condition

$$
u_{-} > \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{84}
$$

If this condition is not fulfilled, then a combined rarefaction wave matched with a kink is formed (see discussion of such situations in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-9-22).

In region 6 with $u_-\n< 0$ and $u_+\n> 0$ we get a structure with "growing" kink, so the intermediate plateau has the amplitude

$$
u_* = -u_+ + \frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}} < u_-, \tag{85}
$$

and such a structure is realized for

$$
u_- < -\frac{\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{86}
$$

We compared analytical and numerical solutions for regions 2 and 6 in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) Again quite satisfactory agreement is observed.

It is clear that when $u_-\text{ reaches the level }u_-=u_*,$ the cnoidal bore disappears and the wave structure reduces to a sole kink. After further increase of $u_$ we get into region 3 where the left boundary $u_-\$ is joined with the plateau u_* by a rarefaction wave (7) . Its left edge propagated with velocity $V_{rw}^- = -6\alpha u_-^2$ and its right edge
propagates with velocity $V_{rw}^+ = -6\alpha u_*^2$ which must be smaller than the kink's velocity. This gives the condition

$$
u_{+} < -\frac{2\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}} \quad \text{or} \quad 0 > u_{+} > -\frac{\gamma}{6\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{87}
$$

FIG. 6. The wave structures for regions 3 (a) and 7 (b) found numerically (solid blue lines) and analytically (dashed red lines). In both cases the parameters of the mKdVB equation are equal to $\alpha = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.01$ and the evolution time is $t = 2000$. The boundary conditions are $u_-=1.0$, $u_+=-0.6$ in region 3 and $u_- = -1.0$, $u_+ = 0.6$ in region 7.

FIG. 7. The wave structures for regions 4 (a) and 8 (b) found numerically (solid blue lines) and analytically (dashed red lines). In both cases the parameters of the mKdVB equation are equal to $\alpha = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.01$ and the evolution times are $t = 3000$ for region 4 and $t = 1500$ for region 8. The boundary conditions are $u_-=1.0$, $u_+=0.3$ in region 4 and $u_- = -1.0, u_+ = -0.3$ in region 8.

for realization of such a structure in region 3. A similar structure in the symmetrical region 7 realizes for

$$
u_{+} > \frac{2\gamma}{3\sqrt{\alpha}} \quad \text{or} \quad 0 < u_{+} < \frac{\gamma}{6\sqrt{\alpha}}.\tag{88}
$$

As one can see in Fig. [6,](#page-8-1) the analytical theory agrees very well with the numerical solutions for these two regions.

At last, in the regions 4 and 8 the boundary values u_+ have the same signs, so they are connected by standard rarefaction waves with negligible influence of the Burgers friction (see Fig. [7\)](#page-8-2). This completes the classification of possible wave structures supported by different boundary conditions in the theory of the mKdVB equation.

VI. CONCLUSION

The above theory confirms the general statement that weak dissipative effects stabilize expanding evolution of dispersive shock waves, so after long enough time they converge to stationary structures characterized by some finite length which is inverse proportional to the viscosity coefficient. Appearance of the new parameter leads to some limitations on applicability of the Whitham method used in the Gurevich-Pitaevskii approach to description of bores. In particular, the condition that the size of the whole shock is much greater than the typical wavelength inside the shock demands that the jump between the boundary conditions is large enough. Since the mKdV equation is not genuinely nonlinear, we get combined wave structures consisting of a kink and a cnoidal bore or a rarefaction wave. Small viscosity leads to modification of the kink solution found in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-9-25) and the condition that the two structural elements of a combined structure propagate separately from each other also leads to some limitations for boundary conditions. Although in case of small viscosity these restrictions are not essential, one should keep in mind their existence in practical applica-

- [1] R. M. Miura, Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. I. A Remarkable Explicit Nonlinear Transformation, J. Math. Phys., 9, 1202 (1968).
- [2] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Miura, Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Phys. Rev. Lett., 19, 1095 (1967).
- [3] R. M. Miura, C. S. Gardner, and M. D. Kruskal, Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. II. Existence of Conservation Laws and Constants of Motion, J. Math. Phys. 9, 1204 (1968).
- [4] P. D. Lax, Integrals of Nonlinear Equations of Evolution and Solitary Waves, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 21, 467 (1968).
- [5] M. Wadati, The Exact Solution of the Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 32, 1681 (1972).
- [6] M. Wadati, The Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 34, 1289 (1973).
- [7] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, S. P. Novikov, and L. P. Pitaevskii, The Theory of Solitons: The Inverse Scattering Method, (Nauka, Moscow, 1980) (translation: Consultants Bureau, 1984).
- [8] M. J. Ablowitz, H. Segure, Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform, (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1981).
- [9] A. C. Newell, Solitons in Mathematics and Physics, (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985).
- [10] R. Grimshaw, E. Pelinovsky, T. Talipova, The modified Korteweg-de Vries equation in the theory of largeamplitude internal waves, Nonlineae Processes Geophys., 4, 237 (1997).
- [11] K. R. Helfrich and W. K. Melville, Long nonlinear internal waves, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 38, 395 (2006).
- [12] J. R. Apel, L. A. Ostrovsky, Y. A. Stepanyants, and J. F. Lynch, Internal solitons in the ocean and their effect on underwater sound, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 121, 695 (2007).
- [13] G. A. El and M. A. Hoefer, Dispersive shock waves and modulation theory. Physica D, 333, 11 (2016).
- [14] A. M. Kamchatnov, Gurevich-Pitaevskii problem and its development, Usp. Fiz. Nauk., 191, 52-87 (2021) [Phys.– Uspekhi, 64, 48-82 (2021)].
- [15] A. V. Gurevich and L. P. Pitaevskii, Nonstationary structure of a collisionless shock wave, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 65, 590 (1973) [Sov. Phys.-JETP, 38, 291 (1974)].
- [16] G. B. Whitham, Non-linear dispersive waves, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A 283, 238 (1965).
- [17] G. B. Whitham, Linear and Nonlinear Waves, (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1974).

tion of the theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded by the research project FFUU-2021-0003 of the Institute of Spectroscopy of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Sections II, III) and by the RSF grant number 19-72-30028 (Section IV, V).

- [18] C. F. Driscoll, and T. M. O'Neil, T.M., Modulational instability of cnoidal wave solutions of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation. J. Math. Phys., 17, 1196 (1976).
- [19] Y. Kodama, V. U. Pierce, and F.-R. Tian, On the Whitham equations for the defocusing complex modified KdV equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 40, 1750 (2008).
- [20] T. R. Marchant, Undular bores and the initial-boundary value problem for the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, Wave Motion, 45, 540 (2008).
- [21] A. M. Kamchatnov, Y. H. Kuo, T.C. Lin, T.L. Horng, S. C. Gou, R. Clift, G. A. El, and R. H. Grimshaw, Undular bore theory for the Gardner equation. Phys. Rev. E, 86, 036605 (2012).
- [22] T. B. Benjamin and M. J. Lighthill, On cnoidal waves and bores, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 224, 448 (1954).
- [23] R. Z. Sagdeev, in Reviews of Plasma Physics Vol. 4 (Ed. M A Leontovich) (New York: Consultants Bureau, 1966) p. 23; Translated from Russian: in Voprosy Teorii Plazmy, Issue 4 (Ed. M A Leontovich) (Moscow: Gosatomizdat, 1964) p. 20.
- [24] A. V. Gurevich, L. P. Pitaevskii, Averaged description of waves in the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 93, 871 (1987) [Sov. Phys. JETP, 66, 490 (1987)].
- [25] V. V. Avilov, I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov, Evolution of Whitham's zone in Kortewed-de Vries theory, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 295, 345 (1987) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 32, 564 (1987)].
- [26] G. A. El, M. A. Hoefer, and M. Shearer, Dispersive and Diffusive-Dispersive Shock Waves for Nonconvex Conservation Laws, SIAM Review, 59, 3-61 (2017).
- [27] A. M. Kamchatnov, Whitham theory for perturbed Korteweg–de Vries equation, Physica D, 333, 99 (2016).
- [28] A. M. Kamchatnov, On Whitham theory for perturbed integrable equations, Physica D, 188, 247 (2004).
- [29] D. Jacobs, B. McKinney, M. Shearer, Traveling wave solutions of the modified Korteweg-deVries-Burgers equation, J. Diff. Eqs., 116, 448 (1995).
- [30] A. M. Kamchatnov, A. Spire, and V. V. Konotop, On dissipationless shock waves in a discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 37, 5547 (2004).
- [31] S. K. Ivanov and A. M. Kamchatnov, Riemann problem for the photon fluid: Self-steepening effects, Phys. Rev. A, 96, 053844 (2017).
- [32] S. K. Ivanov, A. M. Kamchatnov, T. Congy, N. Pavloff, Solution of the Riemann problem for polarization waves in a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. E. 96, 062201 (2017).