

**EXPECTED EULER CHARACTERISTIC METHOD FOR THE
LARGEST EIGENVALUE:
(SKEW-)ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIAL APPROACH**

SATOSHI KURIKI

ABSTRACT. The expected Euler characteristic (EEC) method is an integral-geometric method used to approximate the tail probability of the maximum of a random field on a manifold. Noting that the largest eigenvalue of a real-symmetric or Hermitian matrix is the maximum of the quadratic form of a unit vector, we provide EEC approximation formulas for the tail probability of the largest eigenvalue of orthogonally invariant random matrices of a large class. For this purpose, we propose a version of a skew-orthogonal polynomial by adding a side condition such that it is uniquely defined, and describe the EEC formulas in terms of the (skew-)orthogonal polynomials. In addition, for the classical random matrices (Gaussian, Wishart, and multivariate beta matrices), we analyze the limiting behavior of the EEC approximation as the matrix size goes to infinity under the so-called edge-asymptotic normalization. It is shown that the limit of the EEC formula approximates well the Tracy-Widom distributions in the upper tail area, as does the EEC formula when the matrix size is finite.

1. INTRODUCTION

The set of $n \times n$ real symmetric or Hermitian matrices are denoted by $\text{Sym}(n)$ or $\text{Herm}(n)$, respectively. In this study, we deal with random matrices $A \in \text{Sym}(n)$ or $\text{Herm}(n)$ with probability density function with respect to dA of the form

$$(1.1) \quad p_n(A) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n w(\lambda_i),$$

where $w(x)$ is a nonnegative function, $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ are the ordered eigenvalues of A , and dA is the Lebesgue measure of $\text{Sym}(n)$ or $\text{Herm}(n)$ identified with $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ or \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . It is assumed that $w(x)$ has a moment of arbitrary order. The measure dA is invariant under the orthogonal transformation $\text{Sym}(n) \ni A \mapsto P^\top A P$ ($P \in O(n)$) or $\text{Herm}(n) \ni A \mapsto P^* A P$ ($P \in U(n)$), where P^\top and P^* denote the transpose and the complex conjugate of P , respectively. This implies that the distribution of A is orthogonally invariant, and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A are independently distributed. The joint density of the eigenvalues with respect to $\prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i$ is $q_{n,\beta}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\}}$, where

$$(1.2) \quad q_{n,\beta}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = d_{n,\beta} \prod_{i=1}^n w(\lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^\beta$$

with $\beta = 1$ ($A \in \text{Sym}(n)$) and $\beta = 2$ ($A \in \text{Herm}(n)$). We do not need explicit forms of the normalizing constant $d_{n,\beta}$.

The density function (1.1) covers major orthogonally invariant random matrices: the standard symmetric Gaussian matrix (Gaussian orthogonal ensemble, GOE), the Wishart

Key words and phrases. Airy function, Painlevé II, Pfaffian, p -value, skew-orthogonal polynomial, tail probability, Tracy-Widom distribution.

matrix, the multivariate beta matrix (MANOVA matrix), and their Hermitian counterparts (see (4.1) and (4.2) for the probability densities). However, these are other random matrices with a probability density (1.1). For example, the positive definite conditional GOE matrix in Section 4.2 is included in this class.

In this paper, we discuss the approximation of the distribution of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}(A) = \lambda_n(A)$ of A . In statistics, the distribution of $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ appears as a null distribution of the test statistic in multivariate analysis. For example, the largest eigenvalue of the Wishart matrix is used to test the independence in the correspondence analysis ([Hab81],[Kat14]), and the largest eigenvalue of the multivariate beta matrix is Roy's test statistic in multivariate analysis of variance ([Roy53],[Mui82]). A complex Wishart matrix appears in the analysis of MIMO in wireless communication. In this context, the upper tail probability corresponds to the p -value of the test statistic. Because of this importance, numerical calculations or approximations of these distribution functions have been extensively studied in statistics.

One characterization of the largest eigenvalue is the maximum of a quadratic form:

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_{\max}(A) = \max_{\|h\|=1} f(h), \quad \text{where } f(h) = h^\top Ah \text{ or } h^* Ah.$$

This is interpreted as the maximum of the random field $\{f(h)\}_{h \in M}$ on the unit sphere $M = \{h \mid \|h\|^2 = 1\}$. To approximate the distribution of the maximum of such a random field on a manifold, an integral-geometric method, referred to as the expected Euler characteristic (EEC) method, was developed ([Adl81],[Wor95],[AT07]). The set

$$M_x = \{h \in M \mid f(h) \geq x\} = f^{-1}([x, \infty))$$

is referred to as the excursion set. If the maximum of $f(h)$ is attained at a point with probability one, when the threshold x is large, we would expect that the set M_x is a contractable set (homotopy equivalent to a point) unless it is empty. This means that

$$\mathbb{1}(M_x \neq \emptyset) \approx \chi(M_x) \quad \text{when } x \text{ is large.}$$

Then, by taking the expectations, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{h \in M} f(h) > x\right) \approx \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] \quad \text{when } x \text{ is large.}$$

This approximation is referred to as the expected Euler characteristic method. [TK02] pointed out that the volume-of-tube method having been developed for the same purpose is equivalent to the expected Euler characteristic method. As we will see later in detail, in the particular case of (1.3), the index set M is redundant in the sense that $f(h) = f(-h)$ or $f(h) = f(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}h)$. We need to redefine M using the equivalence class $M := M/\sim$ such that the maximum of $f(h)$ is attained at a point of the index set.

Based on this idea, [KT01],[KT08] demonstrated that the expected Euler characteristic method provides simple and accurate approximation formulas for the tail probabilities of the largest eigenvalues for real symmetric random matrices. (See also [TJKZ20], [KTT22].) The expected Euler characteristic method for the real Wishart matrix coincides with an approximation formula developed by [HT68], which is known in practice to be an accurate formula for the tail area. The primary purpose of this study is to derive the expected Euler characteristics for random matrices with an arbitrary weight function $w(x)$.

For a real symmetric random matrix A , we show that the approximation formula includes the expected eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)]$. It is known that the skew-orthogonal polynomial is useful for evaluating the integral of the density function of real symmetric

random matrices ([NW91, AFNvM00, Meh04, Gho09]). The skew-orthogonal polynomial is not uniquely determined by the conventional definition. In this paper, we propose an additional condition such that the skew-orthogonal polynomial with respect to arbitrary weight function $w(x)$ uniquely exists, and then provide a simple formula to express the expected eigenpolynomial using the proposed skew-orthogonal polynomial. For the Hermitian matrix case, the expectation of the squared eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)^2]$ is needed, which is known as a one-point correlation function in random matrix theory and is expressed through orthogonal polynomials.

For the major classical random matrices, the corresponding (skew-)orthogonal polynomials are known. Hence, it is possible to express the expected Euler characteristics $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ in (1.4) explicitly. This enables us to analyze the limiting behavior when the matrix size n goes to infinity. It is well-known that the limiting distribution of $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ when the matrix size n goes to infinity is the Tracy-Widom distribution ([TW94],[TW96]). We express the limiting formulas of the expected Euler characteristic using the Airy function, and show that it approximates accurately the upper tail probability of the Tracy-Widom distribution. Although the Euler characteristic method provides an accurate approximation in upper tail area in general, this result is not obvious. When the matrix size is infinite, the index set of the random field is inflated, and the regularity conditions of the expected Euler characteristic formula do not hold.

The remainder of this paper is constructed as follows. In Section 2, we obtain the Euler characteristics of the quadratic random fields of real symmetric and Hermitian matrices. In Section 3, we propose a version of a skew-orthogonal polynomial and provide the formulas for the expected Euler characteristics for a real symmetric matrix with an arbitrary weight function $w(x)$. The corresponding results for Hermitian matrix are also given. In Section 4, we obtain the expected Euler characteristic for several classical random matrices (including Gaussian, Wishart, multivariate beta, and conditional Gaussian matrices). In Section 5, we discuss the asymptotic behavior of the expected Euler characteristic when the sample size n goes to infinity. Some proofs are provided in Section 6. The basic integral formulas of the density functions, which are required in the proofs, are briefly summarized in Sections 6.2 and 6.3. In Appendix A, we analyze the exponential asymptotic structure of the Painlevé II solution, which is used in the analysis in Section 5.

2. EULER CHARACTERISTICS FOR QUADRATIC-FORM RANDOM FIELDS

In this section, we formalize the expected Euler characteristics method for the random fields $f(h)$ defined in (1.3). We summarize the results from [KT08] first, and extend them to the Hermitian case. The proofs are summarized in Section 6.

2.1. Real symmetric case. Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let $S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}) = \{h \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \mid h^\top h = 1\}$ be the set of unit column vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . In addition, let

$$M = \{hh^\top \mid h \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})\},$$

the set of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices of rank 1. M is isomorphic to $S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})/\sim$, where $h \sim -h$. This quotient space is referred to as the real projective space \mathbb{RP}^{n-1} . Then, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ of A is the maximum of the random field

$$f(U) = \text{tr}(UA), \quad U \in M.$$

The maximum of $f(U)$ is attained at a point in M with probability one. Let

$$(2.1) \quad M_x = \{U \in M \mid \operatorname{tr}(UA) \geq x\}$$

be the excursion set, and let $\chi(M_x)$ be its Euler characteristic. The Euler characteristic $\chi(M_x)$ is calculated using Morse's theorem:

$$\chi(M_x) = \sum_{\text{critical point}} \mathbb{1}(f(U^*) \geq x) \operatorname{sgn} \det(-\nabla \nabla f(U^*)),$$

where U^* is a critical point such that the gradient $\nabla f(U)$ vanishes, and $\nabla \nabla f(U^*)$ is the Hesse matrix evaluated at the critical point. This works if $f(U)$ is a Morse function.

Lemma 2.1 (Real symmetric case [KT08]). *Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). With probability one,*

$$(2.2) \quad \chi(M_x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \mathbb{1}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x).$$

The details of the proof are summarized in Section 6.1. By letting $x \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain a well-known formula $\chi(M) = \chi(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = (1 - (-1)^n)/2$.

By taking the expectation for both sides of (2.2), we have the expected Euler characteristic

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x).$$

The expected Euler characteristic method uses it as an approximation formula to the upper tail probability of the largest eigenvalue:

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq x) \approx \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] \quad \text{when } x \text{ is large.}$$

Because $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x)$ increases in k , $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq x) \geq \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ holds (i.e., $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ is a liberal bound).

The expectation of the Euler characteristic is evaluated in the following lemma. A proof is provided in Section 6.1. Although the Euler characteristic method provides a recipe for taking the expectation of $\chi(M_x)$ (which is the advantage of the expected Euler characteristic method), we prove it through direct calculations using the density function (1.2) of the eigenvalues.

Lemma 2.2 (Real symmetric case [KT08]).

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \frac{d_{n,1}}{d_{n-1,1}} \int_x^\infty \mathbb{E}[\det(\lambda I_{n-1} - B)] w(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where B is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_{n-1} in (1.1), and $d_{n,1}$ is the normalizing constant in (1.2) when $\beta = 1$.

2.2. Hermitian case. Let A be an $n \times n$ Hermitian random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let

$$M = \{hh^* \mid h \in S(\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1})\}, \quad S(\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}) = \{h \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1} \mid h^*h = 1\},$$

be the set of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices of complex-rank 1. The largest eigenvalue is the maximum of the random field

$$f(U) = \operatorname{tr}(U^*A), \quad U \in M.$$

Because $U = hh^* = (e^{i\theta}h)(e^{i\theta}h)^*$, M is isomorphic to $S(\mathbb{C}^{n \times 1})/\sim$, where $h \sim h'$ means $h' = e^{i\theta}h$ for some θ . This quotient space is referred to as the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Hermitian case). *Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ Hermitian random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). With probability one,*

$$\chi(M_x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x).$$

By letting $x \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain a well-known formula $\chi(M) = \chi(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = n$.

The expected Euler characteristic method uses

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x)$$

to approximate $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq x)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq x) \leq \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ (i.e., $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ is a conservative bound).

A proof of the following lemma is provided in Section 6.1.

Lemma 2.4 (Hermitian case).

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \frac{d_{n,2}}{d_{n-1,2}} \int_x^\infty \mathbb{E}[\det(\lambda I_{n-1} - B)^2] w(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where B is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ Hermitian random matrix with density p_{n-1} in (1.1), and $d_{n,2}$ is the normalizing constant in (1.2) when $\beta = 2$.

3. EXPECTED EULER CHARACTERISTIC IN TERMS OF (SKEW-)ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

In the previous section, we observed that the expected Euler characteristic $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ has the integral forms of $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI - A)]$ or $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI - A)^2]$. In this section, we evaluate them using the (skew-)orthogonal polynomials.

3.1. Orthogonal and skew-orthogonal polynomials. We introduce orthogonal and skew-orthogonal polynomials on \mathbb{R} associated with the weight function $w(x)$. It was assumed that $w(x)$ has a moment of arbitrary order.

First, we quickly review the orthogonal polynomials. The orthogonal polynomial associated with the weight function $w(x)$ is a monic polynomial system $\phi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, such that $\deg \phi_i(x) = i$,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_i(x) \phi_j(x) w(x) dx = h_i \delta_{ij}, \quad h_i > 0,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Let

$$M_n = (m_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}, \quad m_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{i+j} w(x) dx,$$

be the moment matrix. Under the assumptions on $w(x)$, M_n is positive definite. Hence, using the Gram-Schmidt normalization, there exists a unique $n \times n$ upper triangle matrix T_n with unit diagonal elements such that $T_n^\top M_n T_n = \text{diag}(h_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}$, where $h_i > 0$. The orthogonal polynomial system is then constructed as

$$(\phi_0(x), \dots, \phi_{n-1}(x)) = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}) T_n.$$

The sequence $\phi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, is determined independently of the choice of n .

Lemma 3.1. *The normalizing constant in (1.2) when $\beta = 2$ is*

$$1/d_{n,2} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i.$$

The skew-orthogonal polynomial is introduced in a similar manner.

Definition 3.2. *A monic polynomial system $\varphi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, is said to be a skew-orthogonal polynomial associated with the weight function $w(x)$ if $\deg \varphi_i(x) = i$,*

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy = \begin{cases} \sigma_k & (i, j) = (2k, 2k + 1), \\ -\sigma_k & (i, j) = (2k + 1, 2k), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

$\sigma_k > 0$, $k \geq 0$, and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_i(x) w(x) dx = \begin{cases} \gamma_i > 0 & (i : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (i : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

Define γ_i for an odd i by

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_{2k+1} = \sigma_k / \gamma_{2k} > 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

In the conventional definition (e.g., [NW91]), the skew-orthogonal polynomial does not require the condition (3.3) and is not uniquely determined (Remark 3.7). We will show the existence and uniqueness of the skew-orthogonal polynomial under Definition 3.2.

Let

$$(3.5) \quad S_n = (s_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}, \quad s_{ij} = \left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) x^i y^j w(x) w(y) dx dy,$$

be the skew-moment matrix, and let

$$(3.6) \quad (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-1}), \quad \mu_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i w(x) dx,$$

be the moment vector.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a unique $n \times n$ upper triangle matrix U_n with unit diagonal elements such that*

$$(3.7) \quad U_n^\top S_n U_n = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{n/2-1} J) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{(n-1)/2-1} J, 0) & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_k > 0,$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-1}) U_n = \begin{cases} (\gamma_0, 0, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-2}, 0) & (n : \text{even}), \\ (\gamma_0, 0, \gamma_2, \dots, 0, \gamma_{n-1}) & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad \gamma_{2k} > 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is provided in Section 6. Once the matrix U_n satisfying (3.7) and (3.8) is obtained, we immediately obtain the skew-orthogonal polynomial.

Proposition 3.4. *The skew-orthogonal polynomial system $\varphi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, satisfying $\deg \varphi_i(x) = i$, (3.2) and (3.3) uniquely exists.*

Proof. Let

$$(3.9) \quad (\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_{n-1}(x)) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}) U_n.$$

Here, $\varphi_i(x)$'s are defined independently of the choice of n . □

Lemma 3.5. *The normalizing constant in (1.2) when $\beta = 1$ is*

$$1/d_{n,1} = \begin{cases} \prod_{k=0}^{n/2-1} \sigma_k & (n : \text{even}), \\ \prod_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2-1} \sigma_k \times \gamma_{n-1} & (n : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

3.2. Expectation of the eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)]$: Real symmetric case.
Now, we have the one of the main results.

Lemma 3.6. *Let A_n be an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let $\varphi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, be a monic skew-orthogonal polynomial system associated with the weight function $w(x)$ satisfying (3.2) and (3.3). Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)] = \widehat{\varphi}_n(x),$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \widehat{\varphi}_n(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(x) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \varphi_n(x) + \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{n-2}}\varphi_{n-2}(x) + \cdots + \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_3}\varphi_3(x) + \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_1}\varphi_1(x) & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases}$$

in which γ_i is defined in (3.4) in Definition 3.2.

Conversely, the skew-orthogonal polynomial is expressed as

$$\varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\varphi}_n(x) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \widehat{\varphi}_n(x) - \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_{n-2}}\widehat{\varphi}_{n-2}(x) & (n : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.7. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence. The system*

$$\varphi_n(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi_n(x) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \varphi_n(x) - u_n\varphi_{n-1}(x) & (n : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

satisfies (3.2), the conventional definition of the skew-orthogonal polynomial, but does not satisfy (3.10) unless $u_n \equiv 0$.

By combining Lemmas 2.2, 3.5, and 3.6, we have the expected Euler characteristic formula for real symmetric random matrices.

Theorem 3.8. *Let A be an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let M_x be the excursion set in (2.1). The expected Euler characteristic of M_x is*

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-1}} \int_x^\infty \widehat{\varphi}_{n-1}(\lambda)w(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where $\widehat{\varphi}_{n-1}(x)$ is defined in (3.10), and γ_{n-1} is defined in (3.3) and (3.4).

The two lemmas below are crucial in proving Lemma 3.6, and are of interest in themselves.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\varphi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, be a set of arbitrary monic polynomials of the indexed degree. Suppose that*

$$(3.12) \quad x\varphi_i(x) = \varphi_{i+1}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^i t_{ij}\varphi_j(x).$$

In matrix form, (3.12) for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ is written as

$$(3.13) \quad x\Phi_n(x) = L_n\Phi_n(x) + \mathbf{e}_n\varphi_n(x),$$

where

$$\Phi_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_0(x) \\ \varphi_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{n-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_n = \begin{pmatrix} t_{00} & 1 & & 0 \\ t_{10} & t_{11} & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ t_{n-1,0} & t_{n-1,1} & \cdots & t_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\varphi_i(x) = \det(xI_i - L_i), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.9. It is easy to verify that $\det(xI_i - L_i)$ satisfies (3.12). \square

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that A_n is an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Then,*

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A_n)]w(x)dx = \begin{cases} 1/\gamma_n & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Using the density function $q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in (1.2), the left-hand side of (3.14) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x)dx \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i \\ &= d_{n,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x)dx \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n w(\lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i \\ &= d_{n,1} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{\substack{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_k < x, \\ x < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots < \lambda_n}} w(x) \prod_{i=1}^n w(\lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) dx \prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i \\ &= d_{n,1} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \times \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} w(\lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} d\lambda_i \\ &= (d_{n,1}/d_{n+1,1}) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \times \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}} q_{n+1,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} d\lambda_i \\ &= \begin{cases} d_{n,1}/d_{n+1,1} = 1/\gamma_n & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.5. \square

3.3. Expectation of the squared eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)^2]$: Hermitian case. We move on to the Hermitian case. Suppose that an $n \times n$ Hermitian random matrix A is distributed with density p_n in (1.1). The quantity $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)^2]w(x) = \mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i(A))^2]w(x)$ is proportional to the marginal density function of an unordered eigenvalue (referred to as the one-point correlation function) of the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Hermitian random matrix A_{n+1} with density p_{n+1} and has been well studied (e.g., [Meh04, Section 5.7]).

Lemma 3.11. *Let A_n be an $n \times n$ Hermitian random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let $\phi_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, be the monic orthogonal polynomial system associated with the weight function $w(x)$ satisfying (3.1). Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A_n)^2] = \widehat{\phi}_n(x),$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad \widehat{\phi}_n(x) = \phi_n(x)\phi'_{n+1}(x) - \phi_{n+1}(x)\phi'_n(x), \quad \phi'_i(x) = \frac{d\phi_i(x)}{dx}.$$

By combining Lemmas 2.4, 3.1, and 3.11, we have the expected Euler characteristic formula for Hermitian random matrices.

Theorem 3.12. *Let A be a Hermitian random matrix with density p_n in (1.1). Let M_x be the excursion set in (2.1). The expected Euler characteristic of M_x is*

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_x^\infty \widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(\lambda)w(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where $\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}(x)$ is defined in (3.15), and h_{n-1} is the orthonormalizing constant (3.1).

4. EXPECTED EULER CHARACTERISTIC FOR CLASSICAL RANDOM MATRICES

4.1. Gaussian, Wishart, and multivariate beta matrices. Recall that the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble GOE_n , the Wishart matrix $W_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$, and the multivariate beta (MANOVA) matrix $B_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$ have densities $p_n(A)$ proportional to

$$(4.1) \quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{tr} A^2}, \quad \det(A)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{tr} A} \mathbf{1}_{\{A \succ 0\}}, \quad \det(A)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \det(I_n - A)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \prec A \prec I\}},$$

respectively, where $A \succ B$ and $B \prec A$ indicate that $A - B$ is positive definite. Their counterparts in the Hermitian matrices are the Gaussian unitary ensemble GUE_n , the complex Wishart matrix $\text{CW}_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$, and the complex multivariate beta matrix $\text{CB}_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$ with the densities $p_n(A)$ proportional to

$$(4.2) \quad e^{-\text{tr} A^* A}, \quad \det(A)^\alpha e^{-\text{tr} A} \mathbf{1}_{\{A \succ 0\}}, \quad \det(A)^\alpha \det(I_n - A)^\beta \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \prec A \prec I\}},$$

respectively. For these random matrices, everything is written down explicitly. The weight function $w(x)$ is tabulated in Table 4.1.

TABLE 4.1. Weight functions $w(x)$

Distribution	$w(x)$
GOE_n	$e^{-x^2/2}$
$W_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$	$x^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} e^{-x/2} \mathbf{1}_{\{x > 0\}}$
$B_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$	$x^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} (1-x)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < x < 1\}}$
GUE_n	e^{-x^2}
$\text{CW}_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$	$x^\alpha e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{\{x > 0\}}$
$\text{CB}_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$	$x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < x < 1\}}$

The orthogonal polynomials $\phi_n(x)$, the orthonormalizing constants h_n in (3.1), and the derivatives of $\phi_n(x)$ are summarized in Table 4.2. Here, $H_n(x)$, $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$, and $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are conventional Hermite, Laguerre, and Jacobi polynomials associated the weights e^{-x^2} , $x^\alpha e^{-x}$, and $(1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta$, respectively [Sze75]. The monic Hermite and Laguerre

polynomials are denoted by $\bar{H}_n(x)$ and $\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$. The monic polynomial of the shifted Jacobi polynomial $(-1)^n P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2x)$ on the range $(0, 1)$ is denoted by $\bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$. We have the concrete forms of the expected Euler characteristic $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ by substituting the quantities in Table 4.2 into (3.16) in Theorem 3.12.

The skew-orthogonal polynomials $\varphi_n(x)$, the constants σ_k in (3.2) and γ_n in (3.3) and (3.4), and $\hat{\varphi}_n(x)$ in (3.10) are listed in Table 4.3. The expressions for $\varphi_n(x)$ and σ_k are found in [NW91, NF95, AFNvM00]. Although their definition does not require the condition (3.3), the formulas they provide satisfy (3.3). We have the concrete forms of the expected Euler characteristic $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ by substituting the quantities in Table 4.3 into (3.11) in Theorem 3.8.

Note that [Nag07] provides explicit skew-orthogonal formulas other than those listed in Table 4.3.

TABLE 4.2. Monic orthogonal polynomials

$w(x)$	$\phi_n(x)$	h_n in (3.1)	$\phi'_n(x)$
e^{-x^2}	$\bar{H}_n(x) = 2^{-n} H_n(x)$	$h_n = 2^{-n} \sqrt{\pi} n!$	$n \bar{H}_{n-1}(x)$
$x^\alpha e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}$	$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = (-1)^n n! L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$	$h_n^{(\alpha)} = n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)$	$n \bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$
$x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta \mathbf{1}_{\{0<x<1\}}$	$\bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = (-1)^n \times \frac{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 - 2x)$	$h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$	$n \bar{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)$

$$h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(n + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}.$$

TABLE 4.3. Monic skew-orthogonal polynomials

$w(x)$	$\varphi_n(x), n = 2k, 2k + 1$	σ_k in (3.2)	γ_n in (3.3), (3.4)	$\hat{\varphi}_n(x)$
$e^{-x^2/2}$	$\begin{cases} \bar{H}_{2k}(x) \\ \bar{H}_{2k+1}(x) - k \bar{H}_{2k-1}(x) \end{cases}$	$\sigma_k = 2^{-2k+1} \sqrt{\pi} (2k)!$	$\gamma_n = \sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})$	$\bar{H}_n(x)$
$x^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} e^{-x/2} \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}$	$\begin{cases} \bar{L}_{2k}^{(\alpha)}(x) \\ \bar{L}_{2k+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - 2k(2k + \alpha) \times \bar{L}_{2k-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) \end{cases}$	$\sigma_k^{(\alpha)} = 4(2k)! \times \Gamma(2k + \alpha + 1)$	$\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} = \frac{2^{n+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})$	$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$
$x^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} (1-x)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \times \mathbf{1}_{\{0<x<1\}}$	$\begin{cases} \bar{P}_{2k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \\ \bar{P}_{2k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) - \frac{\gamma_{2k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{\gamma_{2k-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}} \bar{P}_{2k-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \end{cases}$	$\sigma_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$	$\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$	$\bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$

$$\sigma_k^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{4\Gamma(2k + 1) \Gamma(2k + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(2k + \beta + 1) \Gamma(2k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(4k + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(4k + \alpha + \beta + 3)},$$

$$\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \frac{2^{2n+\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+\beta+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha+\beta+1}{2})}{\pi \Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)},$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{2k+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}}{\gamma_{2k-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}} = \frac{2k(2k + \alpha)(2k + \beta)(2k + \alpha + \beta)}{(4k + \alpha + \beta + 2)(4k + \alpha + \beta + 1)(4k + \alpha + \beta)(4k + \alpha + \beta - 1)}.$$

Remark 4.1. (i) In these three cases, it happens that $\widehat{\varphi}_n(x) = \phi_n(x)$ holds. That is,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)] = \begin{cases} \bar{H}_n(x), & A \sim \text{GOE}_n, \\ \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), & A \sim W_n(n + \alpha, I_n), \\ \bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x), & A \sim B_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n). \end{cases}$$

(ii) In spite of the coincidence $\widehat{\varphi}_n(x) = \phi_n(x)$ above, the expected eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)]$ for a real symmetric matrix A is not necessarily an orthogonal polynomial. A counter example is presented in the next subsection (Proposition 4.3).

(iii) Contrary to the real symmetric case, it is known that the expected eigenpolynomial $\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)]$ of a Hermitian random matrix A is an orthogonal polynomial with respect to $w(x)$ ([Dei99]).

(iv) The relations (4.3) can be proven directly. For example, in the first case $A_n \sim \text{GOE}_n$, there exists $P_n \in O(n)$ such that

$$A_n = P_n \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_1 & b_2 & & & \\ b_2 & a_2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1} & \\ & & & b_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & b_n \\ \hline & & & & b_n & a_n \end{array} \right) P_n^\top,$$

where $a_i \sim N(0, 1)$ and $b_i \sim \chi_{i-1}/\sqrt{2}$ independently ([DE02]). Substituting this into $\det(xI_n - A_n)$, and expanding at the last row/column, we obtain the three-term relation

$$\mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A_n)] = \mathbb{E}[x - a_n] \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_{n-1} - A_{n-1})] - \mathbb{E}[b_n^2] \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_{n-2} - A_{n-2})],$$

from which the first relation in (4.3) follows. This approach works for the other two cases.

Corollary 4.2. As a corollary to Lemma 3.10, we have from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n(x) e^{-x^2/2} dx &= \begin{cases} 1/\gamma_n & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \\ \int_0^{\infty} \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) x^{(\alpha-1)/2} e^{-x/2} dx &= \begin{cases} 1/\gamma_n^{(\alpha)} & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \\ \int_0^1 \bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) x^{(\alpha-1)/2} (1-x)^{(\beta-1)/2} dx &= \begin{cases} 1/\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{H}_n(x)$, $\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$, and $\bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are monic Hermite, Laguerre, and shifted Jacobi polynomials defined in Table 4.2, respectively, and γ_n , $\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}$, and $\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ are listed in Table 4.3.

These formulas were proven by [NF95, Appendix A] by direct calculations. It is not obvious that the integrals vanish when n is odd. The proof of Lemma 3.10 reveals the universal structure behind.

4.2. Positive definite conditional GOE. In this subsection, we deal with the random matrix $A \in \text{Sym}(n)$ with density proportional to

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} A^2} \mathbb{1}_{\{A > 0\}} = \prod_{i=1}^n w(\lambda_i), \quad w(\lambda) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2} \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda > 0\}},$$

where $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ are ordered eigenvalues of A . The distribution of A is GOE_n under the condition that A is positive definite, denoted by $A \sim \text{GOE}_n|_{>0}$. This distribution appears in the one-sided likelihood ratio test for the equality of two Wishart matrices [Kur93]. The orthogonal polynomial associated with the weight function $e^{-\lambda^2/2}\mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda>0\}}$ is referred to as the half-range generalized Hermite polynomials, but the explicit expression is not known ([Bal02]). Here, we derive the skew-orthogonal polynomial $\varphi_n(x)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_n(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A)]$, and the coefficients σ_k and γ_i , at up to $n = 3$ according to the procedure described in Section 3.

We first evaluate the moment μ_i in (3.6) and the skew-moment in (3.5):

$$s_{ij} = \int_0^\infty x^i e^{-x^2/2} dx \left(\int_x^\infty - \int_0^x \right) y^j e^{-y^2/2} dy.$$

The recursion formula below can be used to evaluate it:

$$s_{ij} = 2 \int_0^\infty x^{i+j-1} e^{-x^2} dx - \delta_{j,1} \int_0^\infty x^i e^{-x^2/2} dx + (j-1)s_{i,j-2}, \quad s_{ii} = 0, \quad s_{ji} = -s_{ij}.$$

- $\varphi_i(x)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_i(x)$ in (3.10):

$$\varphi_0(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_0(x) = 1$$

$$\varphi_1(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_1(x) = x - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = \widehat{\varphi}_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2} + 2}{\sqrt{\pi}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\varphi_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{(\sqrt{2} - 1)\sqrt{2\pi}}{\pi - 2\sqrt{2}}x^2 + \frac{4(\sqrt{2} + 3) - (3\sqrt{2} + 1)\pi}{\sqrt{2}(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})}x - \frac{4(\sqrt{2} + 1) - 3\pi}{\sqrt{\pi}(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi}_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{(\sqrt{2} - 1)\sqrt{2\pi}}{\pi - 2\sqrt{2}}x^2 + \frac{8\sqrt{2} - 3\pi}{2(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})}x - \frac{(-2\sqrt{2} + 3)\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})}$$

- σ_k in (3.2):

$$(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) = \left(\frac{(\sqrt{2} - 1)\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{(\sqrt{2} + 3)(-4\sqrt{2} + 7\pi - 16)}{28\sqrt{\pi}} \right)$$

- γ_i in (3.3) and (3.4):

$$(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}, \sqrt{2} - 1, \frac{(\sqrt{2} + 1)(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{(2\sqrt{2} - 1)(-4\sqrt{2} - 16 + 7\pi)}{14(\pi - 2\sqrt{2})} \right)$$

Figure 4.1 shows the upper probabilities of the largest eigenvalue of positive definite GOE matrices ($\text{GOE}_n|_{>0}$, $n = 3, 4$) and their EEC approximations provided by Theorem 3.8. The EEC approximation is liberal as we have seen in Section 2.1. The expected Euler characteristic method precisely approximates the tail distribution of the largest eigenvalue.

Proposition 4.3. $\widehat{\varphi}_i(x)$, $i \geq 0$, cannot be an orthogonal polynomial under any weight function $w(x)$.

Proof. Suppose that such weight function $w(x)$ exists. Let

$$\nu_k = \frac{\int x^k w(x) dx}{\int w(x) dx}.$$




FIGURE 4.1. Upper probabilities of the largest eigenvalue of positive definite GOE matrices.

Dashed line: positive definite GOE, Solid line: EEC approximation.

Then, the system

$$0 = \frac{\int \widehat{\varphi}_i(x)\widehat{\varphi}_j(x)w(x)dx}{\int w(x)dx} \quad (0 \leq i < j, i + j \leq 3)$$

consists of four equations with three variables ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 . It can be checked that the system has no solution. \square

5. ASYMPTOTICS WHEN THE MATRIX SIZE APPROACHES TO INFINITY

When the matrix size approaches to infinity, the largest eigenvalue of a random matrix converges to a limit after a suitable normalization. In this section we conduct an asymptotic analysis for the expected Euler characteristic. For this purpose, the edge asymptotics for the Hermite, Laguerre, and Jacobi polynomials established by I. Johnstone ([JM12, Proposition 1], [Joh01, Section 5], and [Joh08, Proposition 2]) are useful. We summarize the results in modified forms which is convenient to our purpose.

Proposition 5.1 ([JM12, Joh01, Joh08]). *Let $\text{Ai}(x)$ and $\text{Ai}'(x)$ be the Airy function of the first kind and its derivative function, respectively.*

(i) *Hermite polynomial: As $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(5.1) \quad 2 \frac{\sigma_n}{\gamma_n} w(x) \bar{H}_n(x) \Big|_{x=\mu_n+\sigma_n s}, \quad \mu_n = \sqrt{2n}, \quad \sigma_n = 2^{-1/2} n^{-1/6},$$

and its derivative with respect to s converge to $\text{Ai}(s)$ and $\text{Ai}'(s)$, respectively, uniformly on any half interval $[s_0, \infty)$, where $w(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$, and γ_n is as listed in Table 4.3.

(ii) *Laguerre polynomial: As $n, \alpha \rightarrow \infty$ with $\alpha/n \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$,*

$$(5.2) \quad 2 \frac{\sigma_n}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}} w^{(\alpha)}(x) \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \Big|_{x=\mu_n+\sigma_n s}, \quad \mu_n = (1+\sqrt{1+\bar{\alpha}})^2 n, \quad \sigma_n = \frac{(1+\sqrt{1+\bar{\alpha}})^{4/3}}{(1+\bar{\alpha})^{1/6}} n^{1/3},$$

and its derivative with respect to s converge to $\text{Ai}(s)$ and $\text{Ai}'(s)$, respectively, uniformly on any half interval $[s_0, \infty)$, where $w^{(\alpha)}(x) = x^{(\alpha-1)/2} e^{-x/2}$, and $\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}$ is as listed in Table 4.3.

(iii) *Jacobi polynomial: As $n, \alpha, \beta \rightarrow \infty$ with $\alpha/n \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$, $\beta/n \rightarrow \bar{\beta}$,*

$$(5.3) \quad 2 \frac{\sigma_n}{\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}} w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \bar{P}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \Big|_{x=\mu_n+\sigma_n s},$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad \mu_n = \frac{1 - \cos(\varphi + \gamma)}{2}, \quad \sigma_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2 \sin^4(\varphi + \gamma)}{(2 + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})^2 \sin \varphi \sin \gamma} \right)^{1/3} n^{-2/3},$$

with $\cos \varphi = (-\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})/(2 + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})$, $\cos \gamma = (\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})/(2 + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})$, and the derivative of (5.3) with respect to s converge to $\text{Ai}(s)$ and $\text{Ai}'(s)$, respectively, uniformly on any half interval on $[s_0, 1)$ ($s_0 > 0$), where $w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = x^{(\alpha-1)/2}(1-x)^{(\beta-1)/2}$, and $\gamma_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is as listed in Table 4.3.

Applying these properties orthogonal polynomials, we evaluate the limiting behavior of the expected Euler characteristic method. A proof is provided in Section 6.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ be the expected Euler characteristic of the excursion set (2.1), where A is a real symmetric random matrix $A \sim \text{GOE}_n$, $W_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$, $B_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$, or a Hermitian random matrix $A \sim \text{GUE}_n$, $CW_n(n + \alpha, I_n)$, $CB_n(n + \alpha, n + \beta, I_n)$. Let μ_n and σ_n be defined in (5.1) for GOE/GUE , (5.2) for a real/complex Wishart, and (5.4) for real/complex multivariate beta, respectively. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\alpha/n \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$, $\beta/n \rightarrow \bar{\beta}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] \Big|_{x=\mu_{n-1}+\sigma_{n-1}s} \rightarrow \widehat{F}_1(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_s^\infty \text{Ai}(x) dx$$

when A is real symmetric, and

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] \Big|_{x=\mu_{n-1}+\sigma_{n-1}s} \rightarrow \widehat{F}_2(s) = \int_s^\infty (\text{Ai}'(x)^2 - x \text{Ai}(x)^2) dx$$

when A is Hermitian.

Remark 5.3. *Using the same scaling factors μ_n and σ_n , it holds that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_n(A) > x) \Big|_{x=\mu_n+\sigma_n s} &\rightarrow F_{\text{TW}_1}(s) \quad (\text{real symmetric}), \\ \mathbb{P}(\lambda_n(A) > x) \Big|_{x=\mu_n+\sigma_n s} &\rightarrow F_{\text{TW}_2}(s) \quad (\text{Hermitian}), \end{aligned}$$

where F_{TW_1} and F_{TW_2} are the upper probability functions of the Tracy-Widom distribution of the first and second kinds. This normalization is referred to as edge-asymptotics.

Figure 5.1 shows the upper probabilities of the largest eigenvalue of the real Wishart $W_3(4, I)$ and complex Wishart $CW_3(4, I)$, and their EEC approximations. The EEC approximation is conservative for the complex Wishart, and liberal for the real Wishart. The remarkable advantage of the expected Euler characteristic method is its accuracy. The magnitude of the relative error

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] - \mathbb{P}(\lambda_n(A) > x)}{\mathbb{P}(\lambda_n(A) > x)}$$

is in general exponentially smaller than $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_n(A) > x)$ when x is large. See [KT08] for details.

The theorem below indicates that the tail accuracy is inherited when n goes to infinity.

Theorem 5.4. *Define the limiting relative error to the Tracy-Widom distribution as follows:*

$$\Delta_\beta(s) = \frac{\widehat{F}_\beta(s) - F_{\text{TW}_\beta}(s)}{F_{\text{TW}_\beta}(s)}, \quad \beta = 1, 2.$$




FIGURE 5.1. Upper probabilities of the largest eigenvalue ($n = 3$).
Dashed line: Wishart, Solid line: EEC approximation.

Then,

$$\Delta_\beta(s) \sim \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2^5 \pi^{1/2}} \cdot s^{-\frac{9}{4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3} s^{3/2}} & (\beta = 1 : \text{real symmetric case}), \\ \frac{1}{2^{10} \pi} \cdot s^{-\frac{9}{2}} e^{-\frac{4}{3} s^{3/2}} & (\beta = 2 : \text{Hermitian case}), \end{cases}$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. It holds that $\Delta_2(s) \sim \Delta_1(s)^2$.

Proof. In Theorem A.1, we evaluate the order of the terms in the exponential asymptotic expansion of the solution of the Painlevé II differential equation. Here, F_{TW_1} and F_{TW_2} are explicitly written based on the solution [TW96]. On the other hand, the asymptotic expansions of the Airy function $\text{Ai}(x)$ and its derivative $\text{Ai}'(x)$ that make up $\widehat{F}_1(s)$ and $\widehat{F}_2(s)$ are well-known [AS64, Section 10.4]. \square

Figure 5.2 shows the upper probabilities of Tracy-Widom distributions and the limits of the EEC approximation. The limits of the EEC formulas still approximate the true distributions in the limit.




FIGURE 5.2. Upper probability of the largest eigenvalue when $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Dashed line: Tracy-Widom, Solid line: Limit of EEC approximation.

In this case, the expected Euler characteristic method behaves well as the matrix size n goes to infinity. However, this is not obvious, because $n \rightarrow \infty$ means that the dimension of the manifold M goes to infinity, and the Morse theory used to calculate the Euler

characteristic does not make sense. The expected Euler characteristic method in an infinite dimensional space will be a challenging research topic in the future.

6. PROOFS

6.1. Proofs of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. To analyze the behavior of f , we introduce the local coordinates $h = h(t) \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})$, $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$. For example, for a fixed point $h_0 \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})$, let H_0 be an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix whose first column vector is h_0 . Then,

$$h(t) = H_0 \left(\sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^2}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \right)^\top$$

is a local coordinate system of $S(\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1})$ around h_0 . In addition, $h(t)h(t)^\top$ is a local coordinate of M around $h_0h_0^\top$. Let $\partial_i = \partial/\partial t_i$, $h_i = \partial_i h$, and $h_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j h$. By taking the derivative of $h^\top h = 1$, we have $h^\top h_i = 0$ and $h^\top h_{ij} + h_i^\top h_j = 0$. Then, $\partial_i f = 2h^\top A h_i$, and $\partial_i|_{t^*} f = 0, \forall i$ ($t = t^*$ is a critical point) $\iff (A - \lambda I)h|_{t^*} = 0, \exists \lambda$ (h is an eigenvector). In addition, $\partial_i \partial_j f = 2h_i^\top A h_j + 2h^\top A h_{ij}$, and at a critical point $t = t^*$, $\partial_i \partial_j|_{t^*} f = 2(h_i^\top A h_j + h^\top A h_{ij}) = 2(h_i^\top A h_j - \lambda h_i^\top h_j)$.

When h is an eigenvector of A , A is written as

$$A = \lambda h h^\top + H B H^\top,$$

where $H = H(h)$ is an $n \times (n-1)$ matrix-valued function of h such that $(h, H) \in O(n)$. Note that $\lambda = h^\top A h$ and $B = H^\top A H$. We write $(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) = H T$, where $T \in \text{GL}(n-1)$. Then, $\partial_i \partial_j|_{t^*} f = 2T^\top (H^\top A H - (h^\top A h) I) T$. According to the Morse theory, if $f(U)$ is a Morse function,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M_x) &= \sum_{\text{critical point}} \mathbf{1}(f(U) \geq x) \text{sgn det}(-\partial_i \partial_j f) \\ &= \sum_{h: \text{eigenvector}} \mathbf{1}(h^\top A h \geq x) \text{sgn det}\left((h^\top A h) I_{n-1} - H^\top A H\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x) \text{sgn} \prod_{l \neq k} (\lambda_k(A) - \lambda_l(A)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k(A) \geq x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_k(\cdot)$ is the k th smallest eigenvalue. Here, $f(U)$ is a Morse function with probability one iff A has distinct n eigenvalues, which holds if A has a density function with respect to the Lebesgue measure dA of $\text{Sym}(n)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. We translate the problem of \mathbb{C}^n into \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The Hermitian matrix $A = B + \sqrt{-1}C \in \text{Herm}(n)$ is represented as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & C^\top \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}(2n).$$

Let

$$f(U) = \text{tr}(UA)/2, \quad U \in M = \{\phi(h) \mid h \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n \times 1})\},$$

where

$$\phi(h) = hh^\top + Jhh^\top J^\top, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, M is a class of the orthogonal projection matrix of rank 2 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Let

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta I_n & -\sin \theta I_n \\ \sin \theta I_n & \cos \theta I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct calculations, we see that $f(\phi(h)A) = \text{tr}(\phi(h)A)/2 = h^\top Ah$, $R(\theta)^\top AR(\theta) = A$, and $R(\theta)^\top \phi(h)R(\theta) = \phi(h)$ holds.

The dimension of M is $2(n-1)$. We introduce local coordinates $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{2(n-1)})$ on M . For a fixed point $\phi(h_0) \in M$, $h_0 = (p_0^\top, q_0^\top)^\top$, let $P_0 + \sqrt{-1}Q_0$ be an $n \times n$ unitary matrix whose first column vector is $p_0 + \sqrt{-1}q_0$, and let

$$h(t) = H_0 \begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ w(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} P_0 & -Q_0 \\ Q_0 & P_0 \end{pmatrix} \in O(2n),$$

where

$$v(t) = \left(\sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2(n-1)} t_i^2}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} \right)^\top, \quad w(t) = (0, t_n, \dots, t_{2(n-1)})^\top.$$

Then, $\phi(h(t)) \in M$, $h(0) = h_0$. Moreover, because

$$E_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \phi(h(t)) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2(n-1),$$

are linearly independent, this parameterization is a local coordinate system of M around h_0 .

Let $H = H(h)$ be a $2n \times (n-1)$ matrix-valued smooth function of h that satisfies $(h, Jh, H, JH) \in O(2n)$. For $h = (v^\top, w^\top)^\top$, such H is given as $H = (V^\top, W^\top)^\top$, where $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$ are matrices such that $(v + \sqrt{-1}w, V + \sqrt{-1}W)$ is $n \times n$ unitary.

Here, $U = \phi(h) \in M$ is a critical point of $f(U) = h^\top Ah$ iff $\partial_i f = h_i^\top Ah = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$. Moreover, because AJ is skew-symmetric, $(Jh)^\top Ah = 0$. Note that h is orthogonal to Jh and h_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$, and $\{Jh, h_1, \dots, h_{2(n-1)}\}$ are linearly independent around h_0 (because Jh and h_i 's are orthogonal at h_0). Thus, at a critical point, we have $(A - \lambda I)h = 0$, $\exists \lambda$, that is, h is an eigenvector of A .

Let

$$(h_1, \dots, h_{2(n-1)}) = (H, JH)T + Jhe^\top,$$

where $T \in \text{GL}(2(n-1))$ and e is a $2(n-1) \times 1$ vector. When h is an eigenvector of A , Jh is an eigenvector with the same eigenvalue, and A is written as follows:

$$A = \lambda(hh^\top + Jhh^\top J) + (H, JH)D \begin{pmatrix} H^\top \\ H^\top J^\top \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, at a critical point $t = t^*$,

$$\partial_i \partial_j |_{t^*} f = h_i^\top Ah_j + h^\top Ah_{ij} = h_i^\top Ah_j + \lambda h^\top h_{ij} = h_i^\top Ah_j - (h^\top Ah)h_i^\top h_j.$$

Because

$$(h_i^\top h_j) = T^\top \begin{pmatrix} H^\top \\ H^\top J^\top \end{pmatrix} (H, JH)T + ee^\top = T^\top T + ee^\top$$

and

$$(h_i^\top Ah_j) = T^\top \begin{pmatrix} H^\top \\ H^\top J^\top \end{pmatrix} A(H, JH)T + ee^\top (h^\top Ah),$$

the Hesse matrix $(-\partial_i \partial_j f)$ at a critical point is

$$T^\top \left((h^\top Ah)I_{2(n-1)} - \begin{pmatrix} H^\top \\ H^\top J^\top \end{pmatrix} A(H, JH) \right) T.$$

Therefore, by Morse's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M_x) &= \sum_{\text{critical point}} \mathbf{1}(f(U) \geq x) \operatorname{sgn} \det(-\partial_i \partial_j f) \\ &= \sum_{h: \text{eigenvector}} \mathbf{1}(h^\top Ah \geq x) \operatorname{sgn} \det \left((h^\top Ah)I_{2(n-1)} - \begin{pmatrix} H^\top \\ H^\top J^\top \end{pmatrix} A(H, JH) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k \geq x) \operatorname{sgn} \det \left(\lambda_k I_{2(n-1)} - \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{(k)} & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \Lambda_{(k)} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k \geq x) \operatorname{sgn} \prod_{i \neq k} (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\lambda_k \geq x), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_k is the k th smallest eigenvalue of $A = B + \sqrt{-1}C$. \square

Proof of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. We first prove Lemma 2.2. Using the joint density function $q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of the ordered eigenvalues in (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_k > x) \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^\infty d\lambda_k \int_{\substack{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{k-1} < \lambda_k, \\ \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots < \lambda_n}} q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{k-1} d\lambda_{k+1} \cdots d\lambda_n \\ &= - \int_{\substack{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{k-1} < x, \\ x < \lambda_{k+1} < \dots < \lambda_n}} q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}, x, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{k-1} d\lambda_{k+1} \cdots d\lambda_n \\ &= - \frac{d_{n,1}}{d_{n-1,1}} \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} w(x) \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda_{k-1} < x < \lambda_k\}} (-1)^{n-k} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x - \lambda_k) \\ &\quad \times q_{n-1,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda_{k-1} < x < \lambda_k\}} = 1$ a.e., we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \frac{d}{dx} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_k > x) \\ &= - \frac{d_{n,1}}{d_{n-1,1}} \int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} w(x) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x - \lambda_k) q_{n-1,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{n-1} \\ &= - \frac{d_{n,1}}{d_{n-1,1}} \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_{n-1} - B)] w(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is the differentiation of $\mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)]$ in (2.3). Combined with the boundary condition $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\chi(M_x)] = 0$, we complete the proof.

The proof for Lemma 2.4 is almost the same except for the factor $(-1)^{n-k}$. \square

6.2. An integral formula for eigenvalue densities: Hermitian case. The basic integration tool for managing the squared linkage factor $\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2$ is as follows.

Proposition 6.1 (e.g., [Meh04, Section 5.7-5.8]). *Let $\varphi_{i-1}(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary monic polynomial of degree $i - 1$. Let $g(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary function. Then,*

$$\int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 \prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i = \det(M),$$

where

$$M = \left(\int \varphi_{i-1}(x) \varphi_{j-1}(x) g(x) dx \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

This proposition follows from the Binet-Cauchy formula for a product of two rectangular matrices with the Vandermonde determinant (6.1).

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We apply Proposition 6.2 with $g(\lambda) = w(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_i(\lambda)$ as the monic orthogonal polynomial with respect to the weight function $w(\lambda)$. Then, $M = \text{diag}(h_0, \dots, h_{n-1})$ and

$$1/d_{n,2} = \det(M) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} h_k.$$

\square

6.3. Integral formula for eigenvalue densities: Real symmetric case. Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ real symmetric random matrix with probability density p_n in (1.1). The joint density of the eigenvalues of A is $q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n\}}$, where $q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is given in (1.2). The factor $\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)$ included in $q_{n,1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is called the linkage factor. The basic integration tool used to manage the linkage factor is as follows. The set of $n \times n$ real skew-symmetric matrices is denoted by $\text{Skew}(n)$.

Proposition 6.2 (e.g., [BR01, Theorem 6.1], [Meh04, Section 5.5]). *Let $\varphi_{i-1}(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary monic polynomial of degree $i - 1$. Let $g(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary function. Define an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix*

$$S = \left(\left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) \varphi_{i-1}(x) \varphi_{j-1}(y) g(x) g(y) dx dy \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \text{Skew}(n),$$

and an $n \times 1$ vector

$$\mathbf{s} = \left(\int \varphi_{i-1}(y) g(y) dy \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n g(\lambda_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \prod_{i=1}^n d\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \text{pf}(S) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} S & \mathbf{s} \\ -\mathbf{s}^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases}$$

where $\text{pf}(\cdot)$ is the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix.

This proposition follows from the Pfaffian analogue of the Binet-Cauchy formula ([IW95]) and the fact that the linkage factor is the Vandermonde determinant:

$$(6.1) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) = \det(\lambda_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \det(\varphi_{i-1}(\lambda_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Recall that the Pfaffian for an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix $S = (s_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is defined by the following:

$$\text{pf}(S) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) s_{\pi(1), \pi(2)} \cdots s_{\pi(n-1), \pi(n)} & (n : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases}$$

where Π_n is the set of permutations π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\pi(1) < \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n-1) < \pi(n), \quad \pi(1) < \pi(3) < \cdots < \pi(n-1),$$

i.e., the set of all pairings of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. For example,

$$\{(\pi(1), \pi(2), \pi(3), \pi(4)) \mid \pi \in \Pi_4\} = \{(1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2, 3)\}.$$

For a skew-symmetric matrix S and a matrix U , the identities

$$\text{pf}(S)^2 = \det(S), \quad \text{pf}(U^\top S U) = \text{pf}(S) \det(U)$$

hold. The latter assures that a Gaussian elimination (simultaneously from the left and the right) keeps the Pfaffian invariant. That is, for a skew-symmetric block matrix $(S_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ with S_{11} non-singular,

$$(6.2) \quad \text{pf} \left(\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -S_{21} S_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -S_{11}^{-1} S_{12} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22 \cdot 1} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \text{pf}(S_{11}) \text{pf}(S_{22 \cdot 1}),$$

where $S_{22 \cdot 1} = S_{22} - S_{21} S_{11}^{-1} S_{12}$.

6.4. Proofs of Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, and 3.6.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Recall first that

$$\text{pf}(S_n) = \int \cdots \int_{x_1 < \cdots < x_n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n w(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i > 0, \quad n = 2, 4, \dots,$$

meaning that the upper-left $i \times i$ minor of S_n is non-singular for $i = 2, 4, \dots$. Then, by applying 2×2 block-wise Gaussian elimination to S_n , we find an $n \times n$ upper triangle matrix \tilde{U}_n of the form of

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{U}_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & * & \cdots & * \\ & I_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} (n : \text{even}), \quad \tilde{U}_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ & I_2 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & * & * \\ & & & I_2 & * \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} (n : \text{odd})$$

such that

$$\tilde{U}_n^\top S_n \tilde{U}_n = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{n/2-1} J) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{(n-1)/2-1} J, 0) & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, σ_k 's are positive because $\text{pf}(S_{2k}) > 0$ for all k . For example, for

$$S_5 = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & \mathbf{s}_{13} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & \mathbf{s}_{23} \\ \mathbf{s}_{31} & \mathbf{s}_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Skew}(5)$$

with $S_{11}, S_{22} \in \text{Skew}(2)$, $S_{12} = -S_{21}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, $\mathbf{s}_{13} = -\mathbf{s}_{31}^\top$, $\mathbf{s}_{23} = -\mathbf{s}_{32}^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$,

$$\tilde{U}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & -S_{11}^{-1}S_{12} & -S_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{s}_{13} \\ 0 & I_2 & -S_{22}^{-1}\mathbf{s}_{23 \cdot 1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S_{22 \cdot 1} = S_{22} - S_{21}S_{11}^{-1}S_{12}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{23 \cdot 1} = \mathbf{s}_{23} - \mathbf{s}_{21}S_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{s}_{13}$, and

$$\tilde{U}_5^\top S_5 \tilde{U}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22 \cdot 1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$(6.4) \quad (\tilde{\varphi}_0(x), \tilde{\varphi}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(x)) = (1, x, \dots, x^{n-1})\tilde{U}_n$$

and

$$(\tilde{\gamma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}) = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-1})\tilde{U}_n.$$

We will prove later that

$$(6.5) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_i = \int \tilde{\varphi}_i(x)w(x)dx > 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ even.}$$

If this is true,

$$(6.6) \quad U_n = \begin{cases} \tilde{U}_n \text{diag} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_{n-1}/\tilde{\gamma}_{n-2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \tilde{U}_n \text{diag} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_1/\tilde{\gamma}_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_{n-2}/\tilde{\gamma}_{n-3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) & (n : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

is an $n \times n$ upper triangle matrix with unit diagonal elements satisfying (3.7) and (3.8).

The uniqueness of U_n is proven as follows: Monic polynomials $\varphi_i(x)$'s satisfy the skew-orthogonality (3.7) if and only if U_n defining $\varphi_i(x)$'s by (3.9) satisfies

$$(6.7) \quad U_n^\top S_n U_n = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{n/2-1} J) & (n : \text{even}), \\ \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{(n-1)/2-1} J, 0) & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\text{UT}(n) = \begin{cases} \{\text{diag}(T(t_1), \dots, T(t_{n/2})) \mid t_i \in \mathbb{R}\} & (n : \text{even}), \\ \{\text{diag}(T(t_1), \dots, T(t_{(n-1)/2}), 1) \mid t_i \in \mathbb{R}\} & (n : \text{odd}), \end{cases} \quad T(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a subgroup of the upper triangular matrix group. If a matrix U_n^0 satisfies (6.7), then the matrices in the orbit $\{U_n^0 D \mid D \in \text{UT}(n)\}$ satisfy (6.7). This orbit contains a matrix \tilde{U}_n of the form (6.3), which is uniquely determined by the Gaussian elimination procedure. Therefore, any matrices U_n satisfying (6.7) is expressed as $\tilde{U}_n D$, $D \in \text{UT}(n)$. By posing the condition (3.8), the matrix D is determined uniquely as in (6.6).

Finally, we prove (6.5). Suppose that n is even. We will prove that for a random matrix $A_n \in \text{Sym}(n)$ with density p_n in (1.1),

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n(x) = \mathbb{E}[\det(xI_n - A_n)] \quad \text{when } n \text{ is even.}$$

If (6.8) holds, then (6.5) follows from Lemma 3.10.

Let

$$\tilde{\Phi}_n(x) = (\tilde{\varphi}_0(x), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}_{n-1}(x))^\top$$

be the $n \times 1$ column vector consisting of the monic system in (6.4), and let L_n be an $n \times n$ matrix defined by (3.13), that is,

$$x\tilde{\Phi}_n(x) = \tilde{L}_n\tilde{\Phi}_n(x) + \mathbf{e}_n\tilde{\varphi}_n(x), \quad \mathbf{e}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top.$$

Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_n(x) = \det(xI_n - \tilde{L}_n)$ by Lemma 3.9.

We use the density function $q_{n,1}$ in (1.2). By means of the integral formula in Proposition 6.2, we obtain $\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)]$ as a multiple of

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{x_1 < \dots < x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (z - x_i) w(x_i) \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int_{x_1 < \dots < x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (z - x_i) w(x_i) \prod_{i < j} (\tilde{\varphi}_j(x) - \tilde{\varphi}_i(x)) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \text{pf} \left(\left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) (z - x)(z - y) \tilde{\varphi}_i(x) \tilde{\varphi}_j(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \\ &= \text{pf} \left(\left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) (z - x)(z - y) \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \tilde{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(x) w(y) dx dy \right)_{n \times n} \\ &= \text{pf}((I_n z - \tilde{L}_n) G (I_n z - \tilde{L}_n)^\top - (I_n z - \tilde{L}_n) \mathbf{g} \mathbf{e}_n^\top + \mathbf{e}_n \mathbf{g}^\top (I_n z - \tilde{L}_n)^\top), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \tilde{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ &= \text{diag}(\sigma_0 J, \dots, \sigma_{n/2-1} J), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{g} = \left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) \tilde{\Phi}_n(x) \tilde{\varphi}_n(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy = 0$$

because $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x)$ satisfies the skew-orthogonality (3.2). Hence, (6.9) is $\det(I_n z - \tilde{L}_n) \text{pf}(G) = \tilde{\varphi}_n(z) \text{pf}(G)$, and by checking the coefficient of z^n , (6.8) follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We apply Proposition 6.2 with $g(\lambda) = w(\lambda)$ and $\varphi_i(\lambda)$ as the monic skew-orthogonal polynomial with respect to the weight function $w(\lambda)$. Let U_n be the matrix that defined in Lemma 3.3. When n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} 1/d_{n,1} = \text{pf}(S) &= \text{pf}(U_n^\top S U_n) = \text{pf} \left(\text{diag} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_0 \\ -\sigma_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{n/2-1} \\ -\sigma_{n/2-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{n/2-1} \sigma_k. \end{aligned}$$

When n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned}
1/d_{n,1} &= \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} S & \mathbf{s} \\ -\mathbf{s}^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{pf} \left(\begin{pmatrix} U_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\top \begin{pmatrix} S & \mathbf{s} \\ -\mathbf{s}^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \text{pf} \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc|c}
\boxed{\sigma_0} & & & & & & & & & \gamma_0 \\
-\sigma_0 & & & & & & & & & 0 \\
& \boxed{\sigma_1} & & & & & & & & \gamma_2 \\
& -\sigma_1 & & & & & & & & 0 \\
& & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\
& & & \boxed{\sigma_{\frac{n-3}{2}}} & & & & & & \gamma_{n-3} \\
& & & -\sigma_{\frac{n-3}{2}} & & & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & & & & 0 & \gamma_{n-1} \\
\hline
-\gamma_0 & 0 & -\gamma_2 & 0 & \cdots & -\gamma_{n-3} & 0 & -\gamma_{n-1} & & 0
\end{array} \right) \\
&= \prod_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} \sigma_k \times \gamma_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ be defined in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Note first that for i even,

$$(6.10) \quad (\gamma_i, 0) = (\tilde{\gamma}_i, \tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}/\tilde{\gamma}_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\varphi_i(x), \varphi_{i+1}(x)) = (\tilde{\varphi}_i(x), \tilde{\varphi}_{i+1}(x)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tilde{\gamma}_{i+1}/\tilde{\gamma}_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence, $\gamma_i = \tilde{\gamma}_i$ and $\varphi_i(x) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(x)$ for i even.

Suppose that n is even. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)] &= \tilde{\varphi}_n(z) \quad (\text{proof of Lemma 3.3}) \\
&= \varphi_n(z) \quad (\text{eq. (6.10)}) \\
&= \hat{\varphi}_n(z) \quad (\text{eq. (3.10)}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, suppose that n is odd, and prove that $\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)] = \hat{\varphi}_n(z)$. The transformation from $\Phi_n(x) = (\varphi_0(x), \dots, \varphi_{n-1}(x))^\top$ to $\hat{\Phi}_n(x) = (\hat{\varphi}_0(x), \dots, \hat{\varphi}_{n-1}(x))^\top$ is

$\widehat{\Phi}_n(x) = B_n^\top \Phi_n(x)$, where

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 & \gamma_3/\gamma_1 & \gamma_{n-4}/\gamma_1 & 0 & \gamma_{n-2}/\gamma_1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & \gamma_{n-4}/\gamma_3 & 0 & \gamma_{n-2}/\gamma_3 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 & \gamma_{n-2}/\gamma_{n-4} & 0 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.11) \quad & \left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) \widehat{\varphi}_i(x) \widehat{\varphi}_j(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ & = \left(B_n^\top \text{diag} \left(\sigma_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \sigma_{(n-1)/2-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right) B_n \right)_{ij} \\ & = \begin{cases} \gamma_i \gamma_j & (i < j, i : \text{even}, j : \text{odd}), \\ -\gamma_i \gamma_j & (i > j, i : \text{odd}, j : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (6.12) \quad & \int \widehat{\varphi}_i(x) w(x) dx = ((\gamma_0, 0, \gamma_2, 0, \dots, 0, \gamma_{n-1}) B_n)_i \\ & = \begin{cases} \gamma_i & (i : \text{even}), \\ 0 & (i : \text{odd}). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widehat{\varphi}_i(x)$ and γ_i are defined independently of n . Hence, (6.11) and (6.12) hold for any i, j .

Define a matrix \widehat{L}_n by

$$x \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) = \widehat{L}_n \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) + \mathbf{e}_n \widehat{\varphi}_n(x), \quad \mathbf{e}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top$$

as before. $\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)]$ is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} (6.13) \quad & \int_{x_1 < \dots < x_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (z - x_i) w(x_i) \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ & = \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) (z - x)(z - y) \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} & * \\ - \left(\int (z - y) \varphi_j(y) w(y) dy \right)_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\left(\int_{x < y} - \int_{x > y} \right) (z - x)(z - y) \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) \widehat{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(x) w(y) dx dy \right)_{n \times n} & * \\ - \left(\int (z - y) \widehat{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(y) dy \right)_{1 \times n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{x<y} - \int_{x>y} \right) (z-x)(z-y) \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) \widehat{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(x) w(y) dx dy \\ &= (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \widehat{G} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top - (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \widehat{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{e}_n^\top + \mathbf{e}_n \widehat{\mathbf{g}}^\top (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{G} = \left(\int_{x<y} - \int_{x>y} \right) \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) \widehat{\Phi}_n(y)^\top w(x) w(y) dx dy$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{g}} = \left(\int_{x<y} - \int_{x>y} \right) \widehat{\Phi}_n(x) \widehat{\varphi}_n(y) w(x) w(y) dx dy = \gamma_n \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_0, 0, \gamma_2, 0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})^\top,$$

by (6.11). Moreover,

$$\int (z-y) \widehat{\Phi}_n(y) w(y) dy = (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \int \widehat{\Phi}_n(y) w(y) dy = (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

by (6.12). Hence, (6.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \widehat{G} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top - \gamma_n (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_n^\top + \gamma_n \mathbf{e}_n \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\top (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top & (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\top (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \widehat{G} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top & \boldsymbol{\gamma} (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \\ -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\top (I_n z - \widehat{L}_n)^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{pf} \left[\begin{pmatrix} I_n z - \widehat{L}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G} & \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n z - \widehat{L}_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^\top \right] \\ &= \det(I_n z - \widehat{L}_n) \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G} & \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \widehat{\varphi}_n(z) \text{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G} & \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Gaussian elimination (6.2) is used. This means $\mathbb{E}[\det(zI_n - A_n)] = \widehat{\varphi}_n(z)$ for an odd n . The proof is completed. \square

6.5. Proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We state the proof mainly in the Gaussian case. Let

$$(6.14) \quad \psi_n(x) = \frac{\sigma_n}{\gamma_n} w(x) \bar{H}_n(x)$$

and $\psi'_n(x)$ be its derivative. Proposition 5.1 claims that

$$(6.15) \quad \psi_n(\mu_n + \sigma_n s) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Ai}(s), \quad \sigma_n \psi'_n(\mu_n + \sigma_n s) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Ai}'(s).$$

(I) Real symmetric case (GOE).

According to Proposition 5.1 (i) showing the uniform convergence, the expected Euler characteristic with the threshold $x = \mu_{n-1} + \sigma_{n-1} s$ converges as

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_{n-1}} \int_x^\infty \bar{w}(x) H_{n-1}(x) dx = \int_s^\infty \psi_{n-1}(\mu_{n-1} + \sigma_{n-1} s) ds \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_s^\infty \text{Ai}(s) ds.$$

(II) Hermitian case (GUE).

According to Proposition 5.1 (i), the expected Euler characteristic with the threshold x is

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_x^\infty w(x)^2 (\bar{H}_{n-1}(x) \bar{H}'_n(x) - \bar{H}_n(x) \bar{H}'_{n-1}(x)) dx,$$

where $w(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$, $h_{n-1} = 2^{-n+1}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n)$ (Table 4.2). Substituting $\bar{H}'_n(x) = n\bar{H}_{n-1}(x)$ and $\bar{H}_n(x) = x\bar{H}_{n-1}(x) - (1/2)\bar{H}'_{n-1}(x)$, (6.16) is expressed in terms of $\bar{H}_{n-1}(x)$ and $\bar{H}'_{n-1}(x)$. Then, by substituting

$$\bar{H}_{n-1}(x) = \frac{\psi_{n-1}(x)}{w(x)}, \quad \bar{H}'_{n-1}(x) = \frac{\psi'_{n-1}(x) - w'(x)\psi_{n-1}(x)/w(x)}{w(x)},$$

(6.16) is expressed in terms of $\psi_{n-1}(x)$ in (6.14) and $\psi'_{n-1}(x)$. Substituting $x = \mu_{n-1} + \sigma_{n-1}s$, and taking the limit using (6.15), we obtain the result.

(III) Other cases.

The proofs for the real symmetric matrices are the same as the Gaussian case.

For the complex Wishart matrix, we use the formulas:

$$(\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x))' = n\bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x)$$

and the three-term relation

$$\bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = (x - \alpha - 1)\bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x) - x(\bar{L}_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1)}(x))'$$

Then, the expected Euler characteristic formula is expressed in terms of

$$\psi_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n-1}} w^{(\alpha)}(x) \bar{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$$

($\alpha = \alpha, \alpha + 1$) and their derivatives. By arranging the terms and taking the limit, we obtain the same result. The complex beta matrix case is the same. \square

APPENDIX A. EXPONENTIAL ASYMPTOTIC STRUCTURE OF PAINLEVÉ II

Let $\text{Ai}(x)$ and $\text{Bi}(x)$ be the Airy functions of the first and second kinds, respectively. Let $q(x)$ be the solution to Painlevé II:

$$(A.1) \quad 0 = q'' - 2q^3 - xq, \quad q(x) \sim \text{Ai}(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

The Airy functions are independent solutions of $0 = f''(x) - xf(x)$. Let

$$W(x, s) = \text{Ai}(x)\text{Bi}(s) - \text{Bi}(x)\text{Ai}(s)$$

be Wronskian. It holds the identity

$$(A.2) \quad q(x) = 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x, s)q(s)^3 ds + \text{Ai}(x).$$

Theorem A.1. *Assume that the solution $q(x)$ of (A.1) uniquely exists. Then, $q(x)$ has an exponential asymptotic structure*

$$(A.3) \quad q(x) = q_0(x) + q_1(x) + \cdots + q_M(x) + r_M(x),$$

where

$$(A.4) \quad q_0(x) = \text{Ai}(x),$$

$$q_m(x) = 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x, s)f_m(s)ds, \quad f_m(x) = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+i_3=m-1, \\ i_1, i_2, i_3 \geq 0}} q_{i_1}(x)q_{i_2}(x)q_{i_3}(x),$$

and as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(A.5) \quad q_m(x) \sim \frac{1}{2^{4m+1}\pi^{(2m+1)/2}x^{(6m+1)/4}} e^{-\frac{4m+2}{3}x^{3/2}},$$

$$(A.6) \quad r_M(x) = o(q_M(x)).$$

Remark A.2. *The first two terms in (A.3) are*

$$q_0(x) = \text{Ai}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}x^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}x^{3/2}},$$

$$q_1(x) = 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x,s) \text{Ai}(s)^3 ds \sim \frac{1}{2^5\pi^{3/2}x^{7/4}} e^{-2x^{3/2}}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [AS64, eqs. (10.4.59) and (10.4.63)]).

Lemma A.3. *Suppose that $\rho(x) > 0$. For $f(x) = o(\rho(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_x^\infty W(x,s) f(s) ds = o\left(\int_x^\infty W(x,s) \rho(s) ds\right).$$

For $f(x) \sim \rho(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_x^\infty W(x,s) f(s) ds \sim \int_x^\infty W(x,s) \rho(s) ds.$$

Proof. For all sufficiently large x and $x < s$, $\text{Ai}(x) > \text{Ai}(s)$, $\text{Bi}(x) < \text{Bi}(s)$, and hence $W(x,s) > 0$. For the former case, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $-\varepsilon\rho(x) \leq f(x) \leq \varepsilon\rho(x)$ for sufficiently large x , and

$$-\varepsilon \int_x^\infty W(x,s) \rho(s) ds \leq \int_x^\infty W(x,s) f(s) ds \leq \varepsilon \int_x^\infty W(x,s) \rho(s) ds$$

follows. The same for the latter case. □

Lemma A.4. *For $a \geq 2$, $b \geq 3/4$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x,s) s^{-b} e^{-as^{3/2}} ds \sim \frac{8}{(3a+2)(3a-2)} x^{-(b+1)} e^{-ax^{3/2}}.$$

Proof of Theorem A.1. Let q_m ($0 \leq m \leq M$) be defined by (A.4) recursively, and let

$$(A.7) \quad r_M(x) = q(x) - \sum_{m=0}^M q_m(x).$$

We will prove (A.5) and (A.6).

(A.5) is proved by induction. The case $m = 0$ holds by the assumption of the existence of the solution $q(x)$. Suppose that (A.5) holds for $m = 0, \dots, m-1$. For $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = m-1$,

$$q_{i_1}(x) q_{i_2}(x) q_{i_3}(x) \sim \frac{1}{2^{4(m-1)+3} \pi^{(2(m-1)+3)/2} x^{(6(m-1)+3)/4}} e^{-\frac{4(m-1)+6}{3} x^{3/2}},$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+i_3=m-1, \\ i_1, i_2, i_3 \geq 0}} 1 = \binom{m+1}{2},$$

hence

$$f_m(x) \sim \binom{m+1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{4m-1} \pi^{(2m+1)/2} x^{(6m-3)/4}} e^{-\frac{4m+2}{3} x^{3/2}}$$

and by Lemmas A.3 and A.4,

$$q_m(x) = 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x,s) f_m(s) ds \sim \frac{1}{2^{4m+1} \pi^{(2m+1)/2} x^{(6m+1)/4}} e^{-\frac{4m+2}{3} x^{3/2}}.$$

To prove (A.6), we start from the identity (A.2). Substituting

$$\begin{aligned} q^3 &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m + r_M \right)^3 \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m \right)^3 + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m \right)^2 r_M + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m \right) r_M^2 + r_M^3 \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_m + \sum_{m=M+1}^{3M+1} f_m + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m \right)^2 r_M + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m \right) r_M^2 + r_M^3 \end{aligned}$$

into (A.2), and noting the definitions of r_M in (A.7) and q_m in (A.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A.8) \quad r_M(x) &= q(x) - \sum_{m=1}^M q_m(x) - \text{Ai}(x) \\ &= 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x, s) q(s)^3 ds - \sum_{m=1}^M q_m(x) \\ &= 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x, s) \times \left\{ \sum_{m=M+1}^{3M+1} f_m(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m(s) \right)^2 r_M(s) + 3 \left(\sum_{m=0}^M q_m(s) \right) r_M(s)^2 + r_M(s)^3 \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $q(x)$ is the solution with the boundary condition $q(x) = \text{Ai}(x)(1 + o(1))$, and $q_0(x) = \text{Ai}(x)$, and noting the order $q_m(x) = o(\text{Ai}(x))$ for $m \geq 1$ by (A.5), we have $r_M(x) = o(\text{Ai}(x)) = o(x^{-1/4}e^{-2/3x^{3/2}})$. Hence, in the inside of $\{\cdot\}$ in (A.8), the dominant term is $q_0(s)^2 r_M(s)$ (when $M > 0$), which is $o(s^{-3/4}e^{-6/3s^{3/2}})$. Therefore, by Lemmas A.3 and A.4, we have $r_M(x) = o(x^{-7/4}e^{-6/3x^{3/2}})$.

Using the new order of $r_M(x)$, we evaluate the inside of $\{\cdot\}$ in (A.8) again. The dominant term (when $M > 1$) is $q_0(s)^2 r_M(s) = o(s^{-9/4}e^{-10/3s^{3/2}})$ and by Lemmas A.3 and A.4, we have $r_M(x) = o(x^{-13/4}e^{-10/3x^{3/2}})$. This procedure terminates when $f_{M+1}(s)$ dominates $q_0(s)^2 r_M(s)$. In this last stage,

$$r_M(x) = 2\pi \int_x^\infty W(x, s) \{f_{M+1}(s) + \dots\} ds = O(q_{M+1}(x)) = o(q_M(x)).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [Adl81] Robert J. Adler, *The geometry of random fields*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1981, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.
- [AFNvM00] M. Adler, P. J. Forrester, T. Nagao, and P. van Moerbeke, *Classical skew orthogonal polynomials and random matrices*, J. Statist. Phys. **99** (2000), no. 1-2, 141–170. MR 1762659
- [AS64] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. No. 55, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964, For sale by the Superintendent of Documents. MR 167642
- [AT07] Robert J. Adler and Jonathan E. Taylor, *Random fields and geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [Bal02] James S. Ball, *Half-range generalized Hermite polynomials and the related Gaussian quadratures*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **40** (2002), no. 6, 2311–2317 (2003). MR 1974187

- [BR01] Jinho Baik and Eric M. Rains, *Algebraic aspects of increasing subsequences*, Duke Math. J. **109** (2001), no. 1, 1–65. MR 1844203
- [DE02] Ioana Dumitriu and Alan Edelman, *Matrix models for beta ensembles*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), no. 11, 5830–5847. MR 1936554
- [Dei99] P. A. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 3, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1677884
- [Gho09] Saugata Ghosh, *Skew-orthogonal polynomials and random matrix theory*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 28, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. MR 2560290
- [Hab81] Shelby J. Haberman, *Tests for independence in two-way contingency tables based on canonical correlation and on linear-by-linear interaction*, Ann. Statist. **9** (1981), no. 6, 1178–1186. MR 630101
- [HT68] R. Choudary Hanumara and W. A. Thompson, Jr., *Percentage points of the extreme roots of a Wishart matrix*, Biometrika **55** (1968), 505–512. MR 237044
- [IW95] Masao Ishikawa and Masato Wakayama, *Minor summation formula of Pfaffians*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **39** (1995), no. 3, 285–305. MR 1365449
- [JM12] Iain M. Johnstone and Zongming Ma, *Fast approach to the Tracy-Widom law at the edge of GOE and GUE*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **22** (2012), no. 5, 1962–1988. MR 3025686
- [Joh01] Iain M. Johnstone, *On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis*, Ann. Statist. **29** (2001), no. 2, 295–327. MR 1863961
- [Joh08] ———, *Multivariate analysis and Jacobi ensembles: largest eigenvalue, Tracy-Widom limits and rates of convergence*, Ann. Statist. **36** (2008), no. 6, 2638–2716. MR 2485010
- [Kat14] Maria Kateri, *Contingency table analysis*, Statistics for Industry and Technology, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2014, Methods and implementation using R. MR 3290014
- [KT01] Satoshi Kuriki and Akimichi Takemura, *Tail probabilities of the maxima of multilinear forms and their applications*, Ann. Statist. **29** (2001), no. 2, 328–371. MR 1863962
- [KT08] ———, *Euler characteristic heuristic for approximating the distribution of the largest eigenvalue of an orthogonally invariant random matrix*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference **138** (2008), no. 11, 3357–3378. MR 2450081
- [KTT22] Satoshi Kuriki, Akimichi Takemura, and Jonathan E. Taylor, *The volume-of-tube method for Gaussian random fields with inhomogeneous variance*, J. Multivariate Anal. **188** (2022), Paper No. 104819, 23. MR 4353844
- [Kur93] Satoshi Kuriki, *One-sided test for the equality of two covariance matrices*, Ann. Statist. **21** (1993), no. 3, 1379–1384. MR 1241270
- [Meh04] Madan Lal Mehta, *Random matrices*, third ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 142, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004. MR 2129906
- [Mui82] Robb J. Muirhead, *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1982, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. MR 652932
- [Nag07] Taro Nagao, *Pfaffian expressions for random matrix correlation functions*, J. Stat. Phys. **129** (2007), no. 5-6, 1137–1158. MR 2363392
- [NF95] Taro Nagao and Peter J. Forrester, *Asymptotic correlations at the spectrum edge of random matrices*, Nuclear Phys. B **435** (1995), no. 3, 401–420. MR 1313625
- [NW91] Taro Nagao and Miki Wadati, *Correlation functions of random matrix ensembles related to classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **60** (1991), no. 10, 3298–3322. MR 1142971
- [Roy53] S. N. Roy, *On a heuristic method of test construction and its use in multivariate analysis*, Ann. Math. Statistics **24** (1953), 220–238. MR 57519
- [Sze75] Gábor Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, fourth ed., American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975. MR 0372517
- [TJKZ20] Nobuki Takayama, Lin Jiu, Satoshi Kuriki, and Yi Zhang, *Computation of the expected Euler characteristic for the largest eigenvalue of a real non-central Wishart matrix*, J. Multivariate Anal. **179** (2020), 104642, 18. MR 4114967

- [TK02] Akimichi Takemura and Satoshi Kuriki, *On the equivalence of the tube and Euler characteristic methods for the distribution of the maximum of Gaussian fields over piecewise smooth domains*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **12** (2002), no. 2, 768–796.
- [TW94] Craig A. Tracy and Harold Widom, *Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), no. 1, 151–174. MR 1257246
- [TW96] ———, *On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **177** (1996), no. 3, 727–754. MR 1385083
- [Wor95] Keith J. Worsley, *Boundary corrections for the expected Euler characteristic of excursion sets of random fields, with an application to astrophysics*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. **27** (1995), no. 4, 943–959. MR 1358902

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHEMATICS, 10-3 MIDORICHO, TACHIKAWA, TOKYO 190-8562,
JAPAN

Email address: kuriki@ism.ac.jp