Averages of products of characteristic polynomials and the law of real eigenvalues for the real Ginibre ensemble.

Roger Tribe Oleg Zaboronski

August 15, 2023

Abstract

An elementary derivation of the Borodin-Sinclair-Forrester-Nagao Pfaffian point process, which characterises the law of real eigenvalues for the real Ginibre ensemble in the large matrix size limit, uses the averages of products of characteristic polynomials. This derivation reveals a number of interesting structures associated with the real Ginibre ensemble such as the hidden symplectic symmetry of the statistics of real eigenvalues and an integral representation for the Kpoint correlation function for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ in terms of an asymptotically exact integral over the symmetric space U(2K)/USp(2K).

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 The real Ginibre ensemble of random matrices.

The real Ginibre ensemble, denoted by GinOE(N), is one of the classical random matrix ensembles defined as the following probability measure on the space of real $N \times N$ matrices:

$$d\mu^{(N)}(M) = (\pi)^{-\frac{N^2}{2}} e^{-TrMM^T} \prod_{i,j=1}^N dM_{ij},$$
(1)

where $\prod_{i,j=1}^{N} dM_{ij}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. This model was introduced in [16] in 1965, but, unlike its 'self-adjoint' counterparts (the models defined on spaces of symmetric, Hermitian and quaternionic self-dual matrices), the calculation of the correlation functions for the real Ginibre model took much longer. For example, the joint probability density of eigenvalues was derived by Lehman and Sommers in [25] in 1991 only, and it took another decade for the calculation of the correlation functions to be carried out by by Forrester, Nagao [14] and Borodin, Sinclair [5]. They independently discovered that the law of GinOE(N) eigenvalues is a Pfaffian point process and determined its kernel.

The statistics of real eigenvalues for the real Ginibre ensemble turns out to be particularly interesting. It has been known since the work by Edelman, Kostlan and Shub [11] that a large random Ginibre matrix has $O(\sqrt{N})$ eigenvalues. One of the results of [14], [5] is that the marginal law of real eigenvalues is also a Pfaffian point process. It turns out, that the large-Nlimit of this point process coincides (up to a Brownian rescaling) with the fixed-time law of annihilating Brownian motions on the real line [38]. It is important to stress that, unlike the link between the statistics of GUE and Dyson Brownian motions, this does not extend to multi-time statistics, see [36]. However, it does suggest that the Pfaffian point process at hand maybe universal, where the corresponding universality class contains both non-equilibrium interacting particle systems and the non-symmetric ensembles of random matrices.

We recall that the well-known results of Borodin, Sinclair, Forrester and Nagao concerning the behaviour of real eigenvalues for the real Ginibre ensemble can be obtained without a reference to Lehmann-Sommers distribution. Instead, a duality relation between GinOE(N) and GinOE(N - K) allows one to express a K point correlation function for GinOE(N) in terms of the expectation of the product of characteristic polynomials for GinOE(N -K) [36]. Here $N, K \in \mathbb{N}, K < N$. The latter is easy to calculate using the Berezin calculus of anti-commuting variables. Overall, the computation turns out to be surprisingly similar to the derivation of the fixed time law for the annihilating Brownian motions carried out in [26], [38] which relied on Markov duality between a finite and infinite systems of annihilating Brownian motions. Roughly, the method is to "linearise" the model by finding sufficiently many expectation values which (i) determine the law of real eigenvalues; (ii) can be characterised as solutions to a linear initial value problem, which are easy to write down explicitly.

Our re-derivation of the Borodin-Sinclair-Forrester-Nagao Pfaffian point process reveals a couple of interesting mathematical structures associated with the real Ginibre ensemble in the large-N limit: firstly, the K-point correlation function of the real eigenvalues is given by the density of the eigenvalue distribution for the Mehta-Pandey model interpolating between GUE(K) and GSE(K) at the anti-self-dual point [29], [30].

Secondly, the Mehta-Pandey integral representing the K-point correlation function turns out to be asymptotically exact, in the sense that the leading order term of its stationary phase approximation coincides with an exact answer. It belongs to a novel family of asymptotically exact integrals over symmetric spaces generalising the celebrated Itzykson-Zuber integrals.

The primary aim of the paper is to study the integral formulae for the multi-point correlation functions for the real Ginibre ensemble using the heat kernel method and the closely related proof of the asymptotic exactness of the integrals. To make the presentation self-contained, we review the results of [36] concerning the derivation of the law of the real eigenvalues for the bulk scaling limit of GinOE(N).

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In the rest of the introduction, we will recall the definition of the random function counting the parity of the number of real eigenvalues in a semi-infinite interval, which we refer to as 'spin variables' (subsection 1.2). The expectations of the spin variables can be related to the expectations of products of characteristic polynomials using the Householder transformation [20]. We will then state and discuss the main results (subsection 1.3). The proofs are presented in section 2.

1.2 The linearising 'spin' variables for the real Ginibre ensemble.

The spin variable associated with a real valued matrix M is the function $s(M) : \mathbb{R} \to \{\pm 1\}$:

$$s_x(M) = (-1)^{\Lambda^M(-\infty,x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2)

where $\Lambda^M(a, b)$ is the number of *real* eigenvalues of M in the interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Note an analogy between the spin variables (2) and spins in a onedimensional spin chain with real eigenvalues playing the role of domain walls. Spin variables are crucial in linearising the moment equations for annihilating random walks and/or Brownian motions, see e.g. [17], [26]. We believe they will be useful for any random matrix model with either purely real spectrum or such that the complex eigenvalues appear in conjugate pairs. Examples include both the Hermitian matrix models such as GUE(N) or GOE(N), and the non-Hermitian ones such as GinOE(N).

The following elementary remark provides a tool for computing product moments of spin variables: the spectrum of a real $N \times N$ matrix M consists of real eigenvalues and pairs of conjugated complex eigenvalues. Therefore,

$$s_x(M) = (-1)^{\#} \{ \text{ All eigenvalues of } M \text{ with real parts in } (-\infty, x) \}.$$
 (3)

Now, let us assume that $M \sim \text{GinO}E(N)$. As a pair of complex conjugated eigenvalues corresponds to a positive factor in the characteristic polynomial, (3) implies that

$$s_x(M) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\det\left(M - xI\right)\right) = \frac{\det\left(M - xI\right)}{|\det\left(M - xI\right)|} \text{ a.s.}$$
(4)

Here we used that under the law of the real Ginibre ensemble the probability that x is an eigenvalue of M is zero. We will recall that when computed with the help of Householder transformations [20], the product moments of spin variables are expressed in terms of the product moments of characteristic polynomials for the real Ginibre ensemble of a smaller size. In the large-N limit, these correlation functions will be shown to satisfy a linear parabolic partial differential equation on the Weyl chamber, thus confirming the claimed linearisation of the real Ginibre ensemble in terms of spin variables. Of course, the statistics of characteristic polynomials can be studied directly using the Lehmann-Sommers distribution, see for example [1], [32].

All multi-point (Lebesgue) densities for real eigenvalues can be restored from the moments of spin variables. Namely we have the following relation:

$$\rho^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^K \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) \mathbb{E}_N \left[\prod_{m=1}^K s_{x_m}(M) s_{x_m+y_m}(M)\right] \Big|_{y_m=0+, m=1,2\dots,K}$$
(5)

where \mathbb{E}_N is the expectation with respect to $\operatorname{GinOE}(N)$ and $\rho^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_K)$ is the correlation function of order K (the factorial density of order K) for the point process corresponding to the law of real eigenvalues of $\operatorname{GinOE}(N)$, see [38] for details of the rigorous derivation of (5). From a purely technical point of view, it is also useful to consider derivatives of moments of product spins leading us to the so-called modified correlation functions defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) := \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^K \left(\prod_{m=1}^K \partial_{x_m}\right) \mathbb{E}_N\left[\prod_{k=1}^K s_{x_k}(M)\right].$$
(6)

Equivalently, in terms of the counting measure Λ^M ,

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) \prod_{k=1}^K dx_k = \mathbb{E}_N \left[\prod_{k=1}^K s_{x_k}(M) \Lambda^M(dx_k) \right].$$
(7)

The above formula is an equality between measures acting on direct products of disjoint intervals (with dx_k on the left hand side being a standard abbreviation for the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}). The product moments of spin variables can be iteratively restored from the modified densities by a K-dimensional integration:

$$\mathbb{E}_{N}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(s_{x_{k}}(M) - 1\right)\right] = (-2)^{K} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{k}} dy_{k}\right) \tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(y_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{k}).$$
(8)

We are ready to state the main results of the paper. We use the convention that C denotes a constant, whose dependence will be indicated (for example C_K) but whose exact value is unimportant and may change form line to line. For constant whose value we wish to record we use subscripts for future reference (for example $c_1(K, N)$).

1.3 Results

We first recall is a simple relation between the modified density expressed in terms of the product moment of spin variables and moments of characteristic polynomials, which is valid for real Ginibre matrices of any size $N \leq \infty$. It can be succinctly expressed by the following duality formula:

Lemma 1 ([36]) Choose $-\infty < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{2K-1} < x_{2K} < \infty$. Then

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{2K} \partial_{x_k}\right) \mathbb{E}_N \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2K} \frac{\det(M-x_l)}{|\det(M-x_l)|}\right]$$
$$= c_1(K,N) e^{-\sum_{k=1}^K x_k^2} V(x) \mathbb{E}_{N-2K} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2K} \det(M-x_l)\right], \ 2K < N \in \mathbb{N}, (9)$$

where $c_1(K, N) > 0$ is an explicit constant and $V(x) := \prod_{i < j}^{2K} (x_j - x_i)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.

In other words, the derivatives with respect to the argument s of characteristic polynomials appear to 'cancel' the denominators in the product of ratios of characteristic polynomials in the left hand side leaving one with the expected value of the product of characteristic polynomials on the right hand side. The latter are easy to evaluate in the large-N limit using the supersymmetric formalism, see [23] for a review. Thus the formula (9) leads to an integral representation for the modified K-point density of real eigenvalues in the large N limit

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K).$$

$$(10)$$

Theorem 1 ([36]) (Ginibre ensemble and anti-self dual Gaussian symplectic ensembles.) Let K be an even natural number. Let X = Diag(x) be a diagonal $K \times K$ matrix with the diagonal entries $x \in \mathbb{R}^K$ satisfying $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_K \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the limit (10) exists and is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = C_K V(x) \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{-\frac{1}{2}Tr(H - H^R)^2}$$
(11)

where C_K is a positive constant, $H = UXU^{\dagger}$ is a Hermitian matrix, μ_H is Haar measure on the unitary group U(K), and $H^R = JH^T J$ is a symplectic involution of matrix H using J the canonical symplectic matrix.

The integral on the right hand side of (11) is a particular case of the elliptic Gaussian matrix model which interpolates between the classical GUE and GSE ensembles. This model was introduced and solved by Mehta and Pandey in [29], [30], see [28] for a review. It is remarkable that it appears in the $N = \infty$ limit of the correlation function of characteristic polynomials for the real Ginibre ensemble, which does not have any apparent symplectic symmetry.

Remark 1 Let $\mathbb{E}_{MP(K)}$ be the expectation with respect to the anti-self-dual instance of $K \times K$ Mehta-Pandey model, a matrix model defined on the space of $K \times K$ matrices by the measure $\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}Tr\left(H-H^R\right)^2\right]dH$, where dH is the Lebesgue measure. Then the statement of Theorem 1 can be re-written

as a statement of matrix model duality as defined in e.g. [15]:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} \partial_k \right) \mathbb{E}_N \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^{K} \frac{\det(M - x_\ell I)}{|\det(M - x_\ell I)|} \right] = C_K \mathbb{E}_{MP(K)} \left[\delta(\sigma_H - x) \right] V(x), (12)$$

where σ_H is the spectrum of the self-adjoint matrix H.

Mehta and Pandey use a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation to reduce the integral to the Itzykson-Zuber case. In this paper we show that the integral in the right hand side of (11) can be evaluated using the heat kernel method, the advantage of which is the possibility of a generalisation to an arbitrary symmetric space of a compact Lie group G with an involution. Namely, we have the following statement proved in Section 2.3.

Proposition 1 Define

$$I_t(X) := \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{-\frac{1}{2t}Tr(H - H^R)^2},$$
(13)

where $H = UXU^{\dagger}$ and X = Diag(x), and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$ for even K > 0. Let $\tilde{\rho}_{t}(x) = C_{K}t^{-\frac{K(K+1)}{4}}V(x)I_{t}(x)$ be a deformation of $\tilde{\rho}$, which coincides with $\tilde{\rho}$ for t = 1 and $x \in W^{(K)} := \{x : x_{1} < x_{2} < \ldots < x_{K}\}$. Then $(\tilde{\rho}_{t} : t > 0)$ is the unique distributional solution to the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \frac{1}{8}\Delta)\tilde{\rho}_t(x) = 0, t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^K \\ \tilde{\rho}_{0+}(x) = C_K \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \delta'(x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^K. \end{cases}$$
(14)

The equation (14) is easy to solve leading to a direct proof, avoiding marginalisation, of the following foundational result.

Theorem 2 (Borodin-Sinclair [5], Forrester-Nagao [14]) The bulk scaling limit of the law of real eigenvalues for GinOE(N) is a Pfaffian point process:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \rho^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = \Pr_{\substack{1 \le i, j \le K}} H(x_j - x_i), \ K \ge 1,$$
(15)

where

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -F''(x) & -F'(x) \\ F'(x) & sgn(x)F(|x|) \end{pmatrix},$$
(16)

and $F(x) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_x^\infty e^{-z^2} dz$.

Remark 2 This is Corollary 9 of [5] and can also be easily restored from the results of [14].

As a by-product of our proof of Theorem 2 one gets the following answer for the integral I_t : for all disjoint (x_i)

$$I_t(x) := \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{-\frac{1}{2t}Tr\left(H - H^R\right)^2}$$
$$= C_K \frac{\operatorname{Pfaff}_{1 \le i,j \le K} \left[\frac{(x_i - x_j)}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - x_j)^2}{t}}\right]}{\operatorname{V}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{t}}\right)}.$$
(17)

Our final result concerns the asymptotic exactness of the integral $I_{it}(x)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a result conjectured by Yan Fyodorov during an after-seminar discussion.

Theorem 3 The integral, for even K > 0,

$$I_{it}(x) := \int_{U(K)} \mu_H(dU) e^{\frac{i}{2t}Tr(H - H^R)^2}$$
(18)

is asymptotically exact. In other words the exact expression for I_{it} obtained from (17) by replacing t with it coincides with the leading term of the stationary phase expansion, for small t, of the integral in (18).

Remark 3 At the moment, the precise reason for this localisation is unclear to us. In particular, the Duistermaat-Heckmann Theorem [4] which is responsible for the exact localisation of the Itzykson-Zuber-Harish-Chandra integral is not directly applicable to our case. Due to symplectic invariance of the integrand, the integral in (17) is taken over the symmetric space U(K)/USp(K), where USp(K) is the symplectic subgroup of U(K). But dimU(K)/USp(K) = K(K-1)/2, which is even only if K is divisible by 4. So in general, U(K)/USp(K) is not even symplectic and the Duistermaat-Heckmann Theorem cannot apply.

The proof of Lemma 1 can be found in Section 2.1; Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2.2; Proposition 1 is proved in Section 2.3; Theorem 2 is proved in Section 2.4; Theorem 3 is proved in Section 2.5.

2 Proofs.

2.1 Lemma 1

Following [36], let us fix $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2K}) \in W^{(2K)}$. We will assume N to be even, which helps us avoid tracking various \pm signs. The proof for odd N is similar.

It follows from (7) and (8) that we need to evaluate

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2K}) \prod_{k=1}^{2K} dx_k = \frac{1}{4^K} \int_{R^{N^2}} dM \frac{e^{-TrMM^T}}{\pi^{N^2/2}} \prod_{k=1}^{2K} s_{x_k}(M) \Lambda^M(dx_k).$$
(19)

The integral in the right hand side can be computed recursively using the Householder transform [20]. The calculation is a direct generalisation of Edeleman's calculation of the eigenvalue density for the real Ginibre ensemble [11].

Let M be a real $N \times N$ matrix with a real eigenvalue x and the corresponding eigenvector $v \in S_{N-1}^+$, the upper half of the N-1 dimensional unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N . Consider the following change of variables:

$$M = P_v M^e P_v \tag{20}$$

where P_v is the Householder transformation [20] that reflects in the hyperplane at right angles to the vector $v - e_N$ (where e_N is the unit vector $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$), and M^e is a block matrix

$$M^e = \begin{pmatrix} M_0^e & 0\\ w^T & x \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

with M_0^e an $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ real matrix, $w \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Jacobian of the Edelman's transformation (20) is $|\det(M_0^e - xI)|$, see [11].

Let us perform the change of variables $M \to (M^e, v_{2K}, x_{2K})$ in the integral (19), where x_{2K} is the eigenvalue of M lying in dx_{2K} and v_{2K} is the corresponding eigenvector. Integrating over the half sphere S^+_{N-1} and noticing the cancellation between the denominator $|\det(M - x_{2K}I)|$ of the spin variable $S_M(x_{2K})$ and the Jacobian $|\det(M^e_0 - x_{2K}I)|$ of (20), we obtain

$$4^{K} \tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{2K}) \prod_{k=1}^{2K-1} dx_{k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} |S_{N-1}| \pi^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-x_{2K}^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[\det \left(M - x_{2K}I \right) \prod_{k=1}^{2K-1} s_{x_{k}}(M) \Lambda^{M}(dx_{k}) \right].$$
(22)

A subsequent Edelman transform about the eigenvalue lying in dx_{2K-1} yields

$$4^{K}\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{2K}) dx_{1} \dots dx_{K-2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} |S_{N-1}| |S_{N-2}| \pi^{-\frac{N-1}{2} - \frac{N-2}{2}} e^{-x_{2K}^{2} - x_{2K-1}^{2}} (x_{2K-1} - x_{2K}) \qquad (23)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[\det \left(M - x_{2K}I \right) \det \left(M - x_{2K-1}I \right) \prod_{k=1}^{2K-2} s_{x_{k}}(M) \Lambda^{M}(dx_{k}) \right].$$

An application of further (2K - 2) Edelman transforms leads to the desired expression for the modified density:

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2K}) = \frac{V(\mathbf{x})}{16^K} \prod_{k=1}^{2K} \left(|S_{N-k}| \pi^{-\frac{N-k}{2}} e^{-x_k^2} \right) \mathbb{E}_{N-K} \left[\prod_{m=1}^{2K} \det\left(M - x_m I\right) \right]. \quad (24)$$

Lemma 1 is proved with

$$c_1(N,K) = \prod_{k=1}^{2K} \left(\frac{|S_{N-k}| \pi^{-\frac{N-k}{2}}}{4} \right).$$

2.2 Theorem 1

The full proof of this theorem can be found in the appendix of [36], the main topic of which is the study of Brownian motion taking values in real matrices. Here we sketch the main steps of the proof. The integral representation for the expectation value of a product of characteristic polynomials can be derived for any Gaussian random matrix ensemble following [7], see [33] for the specific case of the real Ginibre ensemble. As a first step, the determinants are represented as Gaussian integrals over anti-commuting (Grassmann) variables. The integral with respect to the random matrix measure becomes Gaussian as well and can then be computed exactly. This leads to an integral representation for the expectation of a product of K characteristic polynomials as a Berezin integral with respect to O(KN) variables. The integrand is the exponential of a polynomial of the fourth degree in anti-commuting variables. Finally, the Berezin integral can be re-written as a bosonic integral over K(K-1)/2 complex variables using a HubbardStratonovich transformation and also computed exactly. The answer is

$$\mathbb{E}_{N}\left[\prod_{m=1}^{K} \det\left(M - x_{m}I\right)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq K} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{dz_{pq} d\overline{z}_{pq}}{\pi} e^{-|z_{pq}|^{2}}\right] Pf\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z & X\\ -X & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z^{\dagger}\end{array}\right)^{N}.$$
(25)

Here each $dz_{pq}d\overline{z}_{pq}$ is shorthand for Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 and arises from repeated use of the Hubbard-Stratonovich transform; X = Diag(x) with $x \in \mathbb{R}^K$; and Z is a skew symmetric complex $K \times K$ matrix. The right hand side of expression (25) can be re-written as a matrix integral:

$$\pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} \int_{Q^{(K)}} \lambda(dZ, dZ^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}TrZZ^{\dagger}} Pf\left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z & X\\ -X & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Z^{\dagger} \end{array}\right)^{N}, \quad (26)$$

where $Q^{(K)} = \{Z \in \mathbf{C}^{K \times K} \mid Z^T = -Z\}$ is the space of skew-symmetric complex matrices and $\lambda(dZ, dZ^{\dagger})$ is the Lebesgue measure on $Q^{(K)}$ as described above.

Note that the dimension of the integral in the right hand side of (26) is N-independent. The size of the original matrix only enters the integral as the power of the Pfaffian in the integrand. This allows one to calculate the large N-limit of (26) using the Laplace method. To facilitate the application of asymptotic methods, one rescales the integration variables $(Z, Z^{\dagger}) \rightarrow \sqrt{N}(Z, Z^{\dagger})$ to arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}_{N}\left[\prod_{m=1}^{K}\det\left(M-x_{m}\right)\right] = \pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}}2^{-\frac{NK}{2}}N^{\frac{NK}{2}}N^{\frac{K(K-1)}{2}}J_{N} \qquad (27)$$

where

$$J_N = \int_{Q^{(K)}} \lambda(dZ, dZ^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{N}{2}TrZZ^{\dagger}} Pf\left(\begin{array}{cc} Z & \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X\\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}X & Z^{\dagger} \end{array}\right)^N$$
(28)

The integrand in J_N is now of the form $\exp(NF_N(Z))$, where F_N is a slow function of N in the sense that F_N and its derivatives converge in the limit $N \to \infty$. The main contribution to (28) for $N \to \infty$ comes from the neighborhood of the points of global minimum of the function

$$F_{\infty}(Z) = TrZZ^{\dagger} - \ln \det \left(ZZ^{\dagger} \right), \ Z \in Q^{(K)}.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

The global minimum value of F_{∞} is K and it is attained on the set

$$aU(K) = \{ W \in Q^{(K)} \mid WW^{\dagger} = I \}.$$
 (30)

of the skew-symmetric unitary $K \times K$ matrices.

The set aU(K) is a smooth sub-manifold $Q^{(K)}$. It is also a non-degenerate critical set meaning that the Hessian of F_{∞} has the maximal possible rank at every point of aU(K). Therefore we can use the standard multi-dimensional Laplace Theorem [12] to calculate the asymptotic expansion of J_N . The final answer is

$$J_N = e^{-\frac{NK}{2}} (2\pi N)^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{4}} \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)} (1 + O(N^{-1})), \quad (31)$$

where $\mu(dW)$ is the Haar measure on aU(K) which can be defined as the unique probability measure invariant with respect to the following transitive action of U(K) on aU(K):

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} U(K) \times aU(K) & \longrightarrow & aU(K) \\ (U,W) & \mapsto & UWU^T. \end{array}$$
(32)

Collecting together (24), (27) and (31) we find

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = c_2(N, K) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k=1}^K e^{-x_k^2} \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)} (1 + o(1)),$$
(33)

where

$$c_{2}(N,K) = C_{K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(|S_{N-k}| \pi^{-\frac{N-k}{2}} \right) \pi^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} 2^{-\frac{(N-K)K}{2}}$$
(34)
$$(N-K)^{\frac{(N-K)K}{2}} (N-K)^{\frac{K(K-1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{(N-K)K}{2}} (2\pi(N-K))^{-\frac{K(K-1)}{4}}$$

and $C_K > 0$ denotes a K-dependent constant. It is lengthy but straightforward to check that $c_2(N, K) \to c_3(K) > 0$ as $N \to \infty$ and hence that the

limiting modified density $\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}^{(N)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K)$ exists and is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = c_3(K) \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k=1}^K e^{-x_k^2} \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)}.$$
 (35)

By the spectral theorem for skew-symmetric unitary matrices, $W \in aU(K)$ iff there is $U \in U(K)$ such that $W = UJU^T$, [27]. The pullback of the Haar measure on aU(K) is a Haar measure on U(K) under the map $U \to W$. Under this map the integral (35) coincides with (1). Theorem 1 is proved.

2.3 Proposition 1

2.3.1 Equation

Let us start with a general observation concerning solutions to the heat equation on linear spaces. Consider the canonical heat equation on a real *n*-dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} , equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \text{div grad}\right) \phi_t(x) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \to \infty} \phi_t(x) = 0.$$
(36)

Using explicit coordinates on \mathbb{V} , div grad $= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, where $(g^{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is the inverse of the matrix defining the inner product. The fundamental solution is

$$\Phi_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{\langle x, x \rangle}{2t}}.$$
(37)

Let $P : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ be an orthogonal projection operator, that is $P^2 = P$, $P = P^T$. Then it is straightforward to check that

$$\Phi_t(x \mid P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{rank(P)/2}} e^{-\frac{\langle x, Px \rangle}{2t}},$$
(38)

also solves (36). This solution can be regarded as fundamental in the space of initial conditions constant on Ker(P).

Let $\{P_g\}_{g \in \mathbf{G}}$ be a (continuous) family of projection operators parameterized by points of a compact measure space \mathbf{G} with a finite measure μ . Then, by (38) and the linearity of the heat equation, the function

$$\Phi_t(x \mid P, \mu) = \int_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\mu(dg)}{(2\pi t)^{rank(P_g)/2}} e^{-\frac{\langle x, P_g x \rangle}{2t}},\tag{39}$$

also solves (36).

Now consider an integral representation for the modified density

$$\tilde{\rho}_t(X) := C_K \left(\sqrt{t}\right)^{-\frac{K(K+1)}{2}} \mathcal{V}(X) I_t(X), \tag{40}$$

where X = Diag(x) for $x \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and

$$I_t(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} e^{-x_k^2/t} \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{\frac{1}{t}Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)}.$$
 (41)

The total power of \sqrt{t} corresponds to the diffusive rescaling of $\tilde{\rho}(x) \prod_{k=1}^{K} dx_k$ from (11). By changing variables in the above integral, $W \to UWU^T$, where U is a fixed unitary matrix,

$$I_t(X) = \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{-\frac{1}{t}Tr(-W^{\dagger}HWH^T + H^2)},$$
(42)

where $H = UXU^{\dagger}$ is a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues given by X. The integral (42) can be further re-written as

$$I_t(H) = \int_{aU(K)} \mu(dW) e^{-\frac{1}{t}Tr(HP_W(H))},$$
(43)

where

$$P_W = I + W \otimes W^{\dagger} \circ \hat{T} \tag{44}$$

is a linear operator acting in the space of $K \times K$ Hermitian matrices, \hat{T} is the operator of transposition; the action of the linear operator $A \otimes B$ is defined according to the formula

$$A \otimes B(C) = ACB^T$$

The operator P_W is proportional to a projector operator: for any Hermitian matrix H,

$$P_W^2(H) = H + 2W \otimes W^{\dagger} \circ \hat{T}(H) + W(WH^TW^{\dagger})^T W^{\dagger} = 2P_W(H), \quad (45)$$

where we used $WW^{\dagger} = I$ and $W^T = -W$. So $\frac{P_W}{2}$ is a projection operator, which is also self-adjoint, and we can apply the theory outlined in the beginning of the subsection by identifying \mathbb{V} with the real vector space of $K \times K$ Hermitian matrices with the inner product given by $(A, B) \mapsto TrAB$. As

$$rank\left(\frac{P_W}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}TrP_W = \frac{1}{2}(K^2 + K),$$

we can conclude that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{8}\Delta_H\right)t^{-\frac{K(K+1)}{4}}I_t(H) = 0$$
(46)

Recall that $I_t(H) = I_t(UXU^{\dagger}) = I_t(X)$ due to the U(K)-invariance. Therefore, the above equation reduces to the 'radial' form well used in random matrix theory,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{8}\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{V}^2(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) t^{-\frac{K(K+1)}{4}} I_t(X) = 0.$$
(47)

The same equation is satisfied by the Itzykson-Zuber integral albeit for a different choice of initial conditions. Using this equation for I_t in (40) we find the modified density $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies the canonical 'flat' heat equation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}\right) \tilde{\rho}_t(X) = 0, \ t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^K.$$
(48)

2.3.2 Initial conditions

Without loss of generality, due to the permutation symmetry of $\tilde{\rho}_t$, we can assume that $x \in W^{(K)}$. The initial condition for (48) follows from the asymptotic analysis of the integral (41) in the limit $t \downarrow 0$. Our aim is to prove that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{0+}(x) = C_K \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \delta'(x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}), \tag{49}$$

where δ' is the derivative of the Dirac's delta function understood in the distributional sense. The proof should be read after the proof of Theorem 3

in Section 2.5, where all the relevant notions used in the current section are defined.

The set of the critical points for the integral in (41) is given by (67), which is valid for any $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. But, unlike the imaginary case, the asymptotics for t > 0 is determined by the global maximum of the function $F_X : aU(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $F_X(W) = Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)$. Note that F_X is constant on each torus \mathbb{T}_{σ} , that is it does not depend on the phase Φ . We claim that the global maximum of F_X is achieved on \mathbb{T}_{π_0} for the matching $\pi_0 = (1,2)(3,4) \dots (K-1,K)$. Let us prove this claim by contradiction. Assume that the global maximum is reached at a matching $\pi_1 \neq \pi_0$,

$$\pi_1 = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_{K/2}, j_{K/2}),$$

where $i_k < i_l$ for k < l and $i_k < j_k$ for any k, l between 1 and K/2. Notice that using the (i, j) notations, the matching π_0 can be uniquely characterised by the following property: $j_k < i_l$ for any k < l. As $\pi_1 \neq \pi_0$, there exists k < l such that $j_k > i_l$, see Fig. 2.3.2 for the illustration of the corresponding matchings. Then

$$F_X(P_{\pi_1}) = F_0 + 2(x_{i_l}x_{j_l} + x_{i_k}x_{j_k}),$$

where F_0 is the part of F_X which does not depend on $x_{i_l}, x_{j_l}, x_{k_k}, x_{j_k}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}_1$ be a matching obtained from π_1 by rematching indices i_k, j_k, i_l, j_l as follows: $(i_k, j_k)(i_l, j_l) \rightarrow (i_l, i_k)(j_k, j_l)$. Then

$$F_X(P_{\tilde{\pi}_1}) = F_0 + 2(x_{i_l}x_{i_k} + x_{j_l}x_{j_k}),$$

and

$$F_X(P_{\tilde{\pi}_1}) - F_X(P_{\pi_1}) = (x_{i_l} - x_{j_k})(x_{i_k} - x_{j_l}) > 0$$
(50)

due to the ordering of x's, $x_m > x_n$ for m > n. Here we used the assumed inequality $j_k > i_l$ and the observation $i_k < i_l < j_l$. The inequality (50) contradicts our assumption that the global maximum of F_X is achieved at π_1 . We conclude that the global maximum of F_X is achieved on the critical manifold \mathbb{T}_{π_0} where it is constant with the value

$$F_{max} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{K/2} x_{2k-1} x_{2k}.$$
(51)



Figure 1: Two possible matchings with $j_k > i_l$ for k < l: (i) single crossing; (ii) double crossing.

The principal asymptotics of the integral (41) is given by the integral of the exponent of the order second expansion of the function F in the vicinity of \mathbb{T}_{π_0} over the total space of the normal bundle $N(\mathbb{T}_{\pi_0})$. The fiber of the normal bundle at $W \in \mathbb{T}_{\pi_0}$ is defined by the orthogonal decomposition $T_W(aU(K)) = T_W(\mathbb{T}_{\pi_0}) \oplus N_W(\mathbb{T}_{\pi_0})$ using the Hermitian inner product $tr(A^{\dagger}B)$ inherited from the space of all skew-symmetric complex matrices. This part of the proof is completely analogous to the computation carried out in Section 2.5.

Expanding the exponent F near a point of the global maximum $W_c = P_{\pi_0} e^i \Phi$, we find

$$F_X(P_{\pi_0} + \xi) = F_{max} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{K} |\xi_{mn}|^2 (X_m - X_{\pi_0(n)}) (X_n - X_{\pi_0(m)}) + O(\xi^3).$$
(52)

The above quadratic form is degenerate due to the degeneracy of the critical manifold \mathbb{T}_{π_0} . It follows from the characterisation (66) of the tangent space at W_c that for $\pi_0 = (12)(24) \dots (K-1, K)$, the normal space $N_{W_c}(\mathbb{T}_{\pi_0})$ is parameterised by complex co-ordinates

$$(\xi_{2k-1,2l-1},\xi_{2k-1,2l}))_{1 \le k < l \le K/2}$$

In terms of these co-ordinates, the restriction of the quadratic form (69) to $N_{W_c}(T_{\pi_0})$ is negatively definite:

$$F_X(P_{\pi_0} + \xi) = F_{max} + 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l < K/2} |\xi_{2k-1,2l-1}|^2 (X_{2k-1} - X_{2l}) (X_{2l-1} - X_{2k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l < K/2} |\xi_{2k-1,2l}|^2 (X_{2k-1} - X_{2l-1}) (X_{2l} - X_{2k}) + O(\xi^3).$$
(53)

In the basis described above, the matrix of second derivatives of F evaluated at the critical point is diagonal. The square root of its determinant is equal to $(w_{i}) = e^{-1}$

$$V(X) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K/2} (x_{x_{2k}} - x_{2k-1}) \right)^{-1}$$

We conclude that

$$I_t(X) \stackrel{t \to 0}{\sim} C_K \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K/2} (x_{2k} - x_{2k-1})}{\mathcal{V}(X)} e^{+\frac{2}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{K/2} x_{2k-1} x_{2k}} e^{-\frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^K x_k^2}, \qquad (54)$$

where C_K is a non-zero constant. Substituting (54) into (40) one finds that the $t \downarrow 0$ limit of exists in the distributional sense and is equal to

$$\tilde{\rho}_{0+}(x) = C_K \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \delta'(x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}).$$
(55)

2.4 Theorem 2

Using the one-dimensional heat kernel

$$g_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t/2}} e^{-\frac{2}{t}x^2}$$
(56)

the equation (14) has the unique solution

$$\tilde{\rho}_t(y) = C_K \int_{\mathbb{R}^K} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_K \prod_{k=1}^K g_t(y_k - x_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \delta'(x_{2k} - x_{2k-1}).$$
(57)

For any permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_K$ we have, due to the Vandermonde V(y) in its definition,

$$\tilde{\rho}_t(y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_K}) = \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \tilde{\rho}_t(y).$$
(58)

Thus

$$\tilde{\rho}_t(y) = \frac{C_K}{K!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^K} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_K \prod_{k=1}^K g_t(y_{\sigma_k} - x_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \delta'(x_{2k} - x_{2k-1})$$
$$= \frac{C_K}{K!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_K} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^{K/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_t(y_{\sigma_{2k}} - x) g_t(y_{\sigma_{2k-1}} - x') \delta'(x - x') dx dx'$$
$$= \frac{C_K}{K!} \operatorname{Pfaff}_{1 \le i,j \le K} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} g_{2t}(y_i - y_j) \right].$$

The derivation of the Pfaffian here is analogous to that in the de Bruijn formula [8].

Specializing to t = 1, we conclude for $x_1 < \ldots < x_K$ that

$$\tilde{\rho}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = C_K \operatorname{Pfaff}\left[(x_i - x_j) e^{-(x_i - x_j)^2} : 1 \le i < j \le K \right].$$
(59)

The correlation functions of spins can be formally computed by integrating $\tilde{\rho}$ with respect to space variables: for $x_1 < \ldots < x_K$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{x_k}(M)\right] = (-2)^K \left(\prod_{k=1}^{K} \int_{-\infty}^{x_k} dy_k\right) \tilde{\rho}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k).$$
(60)

This leads to the spin-spin correlation function: for $x_1 < \ldots < x_K$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} s_{x_k}(M)\right] = C_K \operatorname{Pfaff}\left[\int_{x_j - x_i}^{\infty} e^{-z^2} dz : 1 \le i < j \le K\right], \quad (61)$$

which coincides with the correlation function of spins in the continuous limit of the kinetic Glauber spin chain, which justifies our choice of terminology. The constants C_k can be found inductively in k by allowing $x_{2k} \downarrow x_{2k-1}$, and noting that $\mathbb{E}[s_{x_1}(M)s_{x_1}(M)] = 1$. This yields $C_K = (4/\pi)^{K/4}$.

Finally, substituting (61) into formula (5) and performing the differentiation explicitly, we find

$$\rho(x) = \underset{1 \le i, j \le K}{\operatorname{Pfaff}} \left[H(x_j - x_i) \right], \tag{62}$$

where

$$H(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -F''(z) & -F'(z) \\ F'(z) & \operatorname{sgn}(z)F(|z|) \end{pmatrix}$$
(63)

and

$$F(z) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}} dx.$$
 (64)

Here $\operatorname{sgn}(z) = 1$ for z > 0, $\operatorname{sgn}(z) = -1$ for z < 0 and $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$.

2.5 Theorem 3

Our aim is to calculate the leading term in the small-t asymptotic of

$$I_{it}(X) = \prod_{k=1}^{2K} e^{-\frac{1}{it}x_k^2} \prod_{k=1}^{2K} \int_{aU(2K)} \mu(dW) e^{+\frac{1}{it}F_X(W)},$$
(65)

where $F_X(W) = Tr(W^{\dagger}XWX)$ and X = Diag(x) with $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2K}$ and $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$. Due to the permutation invariance of F_X , we may assume that $x_i < x_j$ for i < j. The small-*t* asymptotics of the integral (65) can be found by adapting the standard multi-dimensional stationary phase method [13] as follows.

The main contribution to the integral for $t \to 0$ comes from the vicinity of the critical points of the function $F_X : aU(2K) \to \mathbb{R}$. Its calculation requires the knowledge of the set of the critical points and the expansion of F_X around this set.

To derive the critical point equation we need a parameterisation of the tangent space $T_W(aU(2K))$ at $W \in aU(2K)$:

$$T_W(aU(2K)) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{4K^2} \mid \xi^T = -\xi; W\xi^{\dagger}W = -\xi\}.$$
 (66)

In other words, the tangent space is spanned by skew-symmetric, W-anti self-dual $2K \times 2K$ complex matrices, where W-dual of a complex matrix α is $\alpha^R := W \alpha^{\dagger} W$.

The critical point condition is the vanishing of the directional derivative of F_X , $D_{\xi}F_X(W) = 0$. Explicitly this leads to

$$[X, WXW^{\dagger}] = 0.$$

As X is diagonal with distinct diagonal entries, the vanishing of the commutator $[X, WXW^{\dagger}]$ means that the matrix WXW^{\dagger} is diagonal,

$$WXW^{\dagger} = D.$$

As D is similar to X, its diagonal entries are a permutation of the diagonal entries of X. Therefore, W_0 is a critical point of F_X if

$$W_0 = P_\sigma e^{i\Phi},$$

where P_{σ} is the permutation matrix corresponding to the permutation σ , an element of the permutation group S(2K), and Φ is a diagonal real matrix. As it is easy to check, the skew-symmetry condition, $W = -W^T$ implies that:

- 1. The permutation σ is a product of two-cycles, meaning that $(P_{\sigma})_{ii} = 0$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2K$ and $P_{\sigma} = P_{\sigma}^{T}$; the elements of the set $M(2K) \subset S(2K)$ satisfying these are called matchings.
- 2. $\Phi_{\sigma(i)\sigma(i)} = \Phi_{ii} + \pi$ for any i = 1, 2, ..., 2K.

We conclude that the set of the critical points of the function F_X is

$$\mathbf{C} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in M(2K)} \mathbb{T}_{\sigma},\tag{67}$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_{\sigma} = \{ P_{\sigma} e^{i\Phi}; e^{i\Phi} \in U(1)^K : \Phi_{\sigma(i)\sigma(i)} = \Phi_{ii} + \pi \} \subset aU(2K).$$

In other words, **C** is a union of non-intersecting K-dimensional tori. The restriction of the exponent F_X to the critical manifold \mathbb{T}_{σ} is a constant equal to

$$F_X(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{2K} x_{\sigma(i)} x_i.$$
(68)

The second order Taylor expansion of F_X in the vicinity of the critical manifold is given, for $W(\sigma, \Phi) + \xi \in aU(2K)$, by

$$F_X(W(\sigma,\Phi)+\xi) = F_X(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{2K} |\xi_{mn}|^2 (x_m - x_{\sigma(n)}) (x_n - x_{\sigma(m)}) + O(\xi^3).$$
(69)

The quadratic form defining the second order term is constant on \mathbb{T}_{σ} . It is also degenerate as the set of the critical points \mathbb{T}_{σ} is not isolated. A calculation shows that $F_X(W(\sigma, \Phi) + \xi) = F_X(\sigma) + O(\xi^3)$ if $\xi \in T_W(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma}) \subset$ $T_W(aU(2K))$ for any $W \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$. The critical manifold is non-degenerate in the sense that the quadratic form entering (69) has the maximal rank, see below.

The small-*t* asymptotic of the integral $I_{it}(X)$ is given by the sum of contributions from each of the tori \mathbb{T}_{σ} . Each contribution is equal to the volume of \mathbb{T}_{σ} multiplied by a Gaussian integral over the normal space to \mathbb{T}_{σ} at any point on the torus, say P_{σ} . The normal space $N_{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma})$ is defined by the orthogonal decomposition $T_{\sigma}(aU(K)) = \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}(T_{\pi_0}) \oplus N_{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma})$ obtained using the Hermitian inner product TrAB inherited from the space of all skewsymmetric complex matrices. The volumes of different tori are equal due to the U(2K)-invariance. The geometry of the integration space is illustrated in Fig. 2.5.

As the problem is reduced to integrals over linear spaces, standard stationary phase formulae apply, see for example [13], Chapter III. So, using the results collected above, we conclude that

$$I_{it} = C_K t^{K(K-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{2K} e^{-\frac{1}{it}x_k^2} \sum_{\sigma \in M(2K)} \frac{e^{+\frac{1}{it}\sum_{i=1}^{2K} x_{\sigma(i)}x_i + \frac{i\pi}{4}\operatorname{Sig}(\partial \otimes \partial F_X(\sigma))])}}{\sqrt{|\det[\partial \otimes \partial F_X(\sigma))]|}} (1 + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}})), (70)$$

where $\partial \otimes \partial F_X(\sigma)$ is the restriction of the Hessian of F_X at σ to the normal space, and sig is its associated signature, both of which we will compute using (69). The power of \sqrt{t} is equal to the dimension of the normal space which is in turn equal to dim $aU(2K) - \dim \mathbb{T}_{\sigma} = K(2K-1) - K = 2K(K-1)$. The final step is the calculation of the determinant and the signature of the Hessian.

The expression for $\sigma \in M(2K)$ in cycle notation is

$$\sigma = (i_1 j_1)(i_2 j_2) \dots (i_k j_k),$$



Figure 2: The sketch of the integration space for the asymptotic evaluation of the integral (65).

where $i_k < j_k$, $1 \le k \le K$ and $i_k < i_l$ for $1 \le k < l \le K$. Using the characterization (66) of the tangent space at P_{σ} , the normal space $N_{P_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma})$ can be parameterised by complex co-ordinates

$$(\xi_{i_k,i_l},\xi_{i_k,j_l}))_{1\leq k< l\leq K}$$

The restriction of the quadratic form (69) to $N_{P_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma})$ takes the form

$$F_X(P_{\sigma} + \xi) - F_X(\sigma) = 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l \le K} |\xi_{i_k, i_l}|^2 (x_{i_k} - x_{j_l}) (x_{i_l} - x_{j_k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l \le K} |\xi_{i_k, j_l}|^2 (x_{i_k} - x_{i_l}) (x_{j_l} - x_{j_k}) + O(\xi^3).$$
(71)

In the basis described above, the Hessian $\partial \otimes \partial F_X(\sigma)$ is diagonal. The square root of the modulus of its determinant is equal to

$$2^{K(K-1)} \left| \prod_{k < l} (x_{j_l} - x_{i_k}) (x_{i_l} - x_{j_k}) (x_{i_l} - x_{i_k}) (x_{j_l} - x_{j_k}) \right| = \frac{2^{2K(K-1)} V(X)}{\prod_{k=1}^K (x_{j_k} - x_{i_k})}, (72)$$

where the ordering $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{2K}$ can be used to show that the r.h.s. is positive. To calculate the signature of the Hessian, notice that each summand in (71) corresponds to an eigenvalue with multiplicity two due to complexity of ξ 's. The eigenvalue $(x_{i_k} - x_{j_l})(x_{i_l} - x_{j_k})$, where k < l is positive if $j_k > i_l$. The eigenvalue $(x_{i_k} - x_{i_l})(x_{j_l} - x_{j_k})$, where k < l is positive if $j_k > j_l$. In each of these cases the eigenvalue is positive if the permutation $\pi(\sigma) := (1, 2, \ldots, 2K) \rightarrow \sigma = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_K, j_K)$ has an inversion. Let us stress that here we are treating σ as a matching, not as a permutation.

We conclude that the total number of positive eigenvalues of the Hessian is equal to twice the total number of inversions in the permutation $\pi(\sigma)$, which we denote by $inv(\pi(\sigma))$. Then

$$sign(\partial \otimes \partial)F_X(\sigma) = \#pos. eigenvalues - \#neg. eigenvalues = 2 \#pos. eigenvalues - 2K(K-1) = 4 inv(\sigma) - 2K(K-1),$$
(73)

where 2K(K-1) is the dimension of the normal space. Finally, let us notice that $\exp(i\pi \operatorname{inv}(\pi(\sigma)))) = \operatorname{sign}(\pi(\sigma))$, the sign of the permutation $\pi(\sigma)$. Using this observation and substituting (72), (73) into (70) one finds

$$I_{it} = C_{K} t^{K(K-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{2K} e^{-\frac{1}{it}x_{k}^{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in M(2K)} \operatorname{sign}(\pi(\sigma)) \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} (x_{j_{k}} - x_{i_{k}}) e^{+\frac{2}{it}x_{i_{k}}x_{j_{K}}}}{V(X)} (1 + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}))$$
$$= C_{K} \frac{\operatorname{Pfaff}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2K} [\frac{(x_{j} - x_{i})}{\sqrt{t}} \exp[-\frac{1}{it}(x_{i} - x_{j})^{2}]]}{V(X/\sqrt{t})} (1 + O(\sqrt{t})), \qquad (74)$$

where the definition of the Pfaffian was used in the last step. Comparing this answer with the exact result (17), we conclude that the untracked constant C_K must agree with the exact answer for all $K \ge 1$ and that the error term $O(\sqrt{t})$ in this stationary phase expansion is in fact identically zero. The asymptotic exactness is proved.

Acknowledgements. Our research was partially supported by EPSRC and the Leverhulme trust. We are grateful to Yan Fyodorov and Gernot Akemann for many inspiring discussions.

References

- G. Akemann, MJ Phillips, and HJ Sommers. Characteristic polynomials in real Ginibre ensembles. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(1):012001, 2008.
- [2] G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. Di Francesco, The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory, Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [3] Anderson, Greg W., Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. An introduction to random matrices. Vol. 118. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [4] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, Michele Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [5] A. Borodin and C.D. Sinclair. The Ginibre ensemble of real random matrices and its scaling limits. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 291(1):177–224, 2009.
- [6] F. Bouchet. Stochastic process of equilibrium fluctuations of a system with long-range interactions. *Physical Review E*, 70(3):036113, 2004.
- [7] Brézin, E. and Hikami, S. Characteristic polynomials of random matrices. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 214, pp.111-135, 2000.
- [8] NG De Bruijn. On some multiple integrals involving determinants. J. Indian Math. Soc, 19:133–151, 1955.
- [9] Bunder, JE and Efetov, KB and Kravtsov, VE and Yevtushenko, OM and Zirnbauer, MR. Superbosonization formula and its application to random matrix theory. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **129** No. 5-6:809– 832, 2007.
- [10] B.A. Dubrovin, A.T. Fomenko, S.P. Novikov, and R.G. Burns. Modern Geometry - Methods and Applications: Part II: The Geometry and Topology of Manifolds. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1991.
- [11] A. Edelman, E. Kostlan, M. Shub, et al. How many eigenvalues of a random matrix are real? Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 7(1):247, 1994.
- [12] A. Erdélyi. Asymptotic expansions. Number 3. Dover publications, 2010.

- [13] Mikhail V. Fedoruk. Asymptotics: Integrals and Series. Moscow, Nauka, 1984.
- [14] Peter J Forrester and Taro Nagao. Eigenvalue statistics of the real Ginibre ensemble. *Physical review letters*, 99(5):050603, 2007.
- [15] Forrester, P.J. and Rains, E.M. Matrix averages relating to Ginibre ensembles. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(38), p.385205, 2009.
- [16] J.Ginibre. Statistical ensembles of complex, quoternion and real matrices. Journal of mathematical physics, 6(3): 440-449, 1965.
- [17] R.J. Glauber. Time-dependent statistics of the Ising model. Journal of mathematical physics, 4:294, 1963.
- [18] Harish-Chandra. Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra. American Journal of Mathematics, pages 87–120, 1957.
- [19] S. Helgason. Differential geometry and symmetric spaces. Amer. Mathematical Society, 1962.
- [20] A.S. Householder. Unitary triangularization of a nonsymmetric matrix. Journal of the ACM (JACM), 5(4):339–342, 1958.
- [21] C. Itzykson and J.M. Drouffe. Statistical Field Theory: Volume 1, From Brownian Motion to Renormalization and Lattice Gauge Theory. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [22] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber. The planar approximation. II. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 21:411, 1980.
- [23] Thomas Guhr. Supersymmetry in random matrix theory. arXiv preprint arXiv:1005.0979, 2010.
- [24] Mario Kieburg and Thomas Guhr A new approach to derive Pfaffian structures for random matrix ensembles. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 135204, 2010.
- [25] N. Lehmann and H. J. Sommers. Eigenvalue statistics of real random matrices. Physical review letters, 67(8): 941-944, 1991.

- [26] Thomas O Masser and Daniel Ben-Avraham. Method of intervals for the study of diffusion-limited annihilation, $A + A \rightarrow 0$. *Physical Review* E, 63(6):066108, 2001.
- [27] M.L. Mehta. Matrix Theory: Selected topics and useful results. Editions de Physique, 1989.
- [28] M.L. Mehta. Random Matrices. Number v. 142 in Pure and Applied Mathematics - Academic Press. San Diego, 2004.
- [29] ML Mehta and A. Pandey. On some Gaussian ensembles of Hermitian matrices. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 16(12):2655, 1999.
- [30] A. Pandey and M.L. Mehta. Gaussian ensembles of random Hermitian matrices intermediate between orthogonal and unitary ones. *Communi*cations in Mathematical Physics, 87:449–468, 1983.
- [31] H.J. Sommers. Symplectic structure of the real Ginibre ensemble. Journal of physics. A, Mathematical and theoretical, 40(29), 2007.
- [32] H.J. Sommers and B.A. Khoruzhenko. Schur function averages for the real Ginibre ensemble. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 42(22):222002, 2009.
- [33] H.J. Sommers and W. Wieczorek. General eigenvalue correlations for the real Ginibre ensemble. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41(40):405003, 2008.
- [34] H.-J. Sommers, Superbosonization. Acta Physica Polonica B, 38 No. 13, p. 4105, 2007
- [35] Michael Stone. Supersymmetry and the quantum mechanics of spin. Nucl. Phys, B314, p. 557, 1989.
- [36] Tribe, R. and Zaboronski, O. The Ginibre evolution in the large-N limit. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 55(6), p.063304, 2014.
- [37] Roger Tribe, Jonathan Yip, and Oleg Zaboronski. One dimensional annihilating and coalescing particle systems as extended Pfaffian point processes. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 17:no. 40, 1–7, 2012.

- [38] Roger Tribe and Oleg Zaboronski. Pfaffian formulae for one dimensional coalescing and annihilating systems. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:no. 76, 2080–2103, 2011.
- [39] Martin Zirnbauer. Riemannian symmetric superspaces and their origin in random matrix theory. J. Math. Phys. 37 4986, 1996