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#### Abstract

The phase space dynamics generated by different orthogonal polynomial self-interactions exhibited in higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) are often less intuitive than those of cubic and quintic nonlinearities. Even for nonlinearities as simple as a cubic in NLSE, the dynamics for generic initial states shows surprising features. In this Letter, for the first time, we identify the higher-order nonlinearities in terms of orthogonal polynomials in the generalized NLSE/GPE. More pertinently, we explicate different exotic phase space structures for three specific examples: (i) Hermite, (ii) Chebyshev, and (iii) Laguerre polynomial self-interactions. For the first two selfinteractions, we exhibit that the alternating signs of the various higher-order nonlinearities are naturally embedded in these orthogonal polynomials that confirm to the experimental conditions. To simulate the phase-space dynamics that bring about by the Laguerre self-interactions, a source term should necessarily be included in the modified NLSE/GPE. Recent experiments suggest that this modified GPE captures the dynamics of self-bound quantum droplets, in the presence of external source.


By now, it is a proven fact that the interplay between dispersion and higher-order nonlinearities can give rise to several important phenomena in optical systems. It is imperative that the studies of propagating solitons in NLS models involving higher-order non-Kerr nonlinearities are much more important than the ones for the simplified NLS equation. For example, the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is widely used for descriptions of the propagation of picosecond pulses in Kerr media [1]. Nevertheless, for the propagation of a subpicosecond or femtosecond pulses, the higher-order effects should be taken into account [2] and one needs to describe the problem by various generalizations of the NLS equation. It is relevant to mention that the measurement of fifth- and seventh-order nonlinearities of several glasses has recently been achieved using a spectrally resolved two-beam coupling technique [3]. Recently there has been tremendous interest in analyzing higher-order Kerr response nonlinearities. These higher-order nonlinearities have been theoretically argued to provide the main mechanism in filament stabilization [4, 5], instead of the plasma defocusing [6]. Furthermore, higher-order nonlinearities have recently been introduced to describe the experimental observation of a saturation (and subsequent sign inversion) of the nonlinear correction to the refractive index at high optical intensities in gases [7]. Additionally, it has recently been proved that common optical media, such as air or oxygen, which can be described by focusing Kerr and higher-order nonlinearities of alternating signs, can support the propagation of new localized light structures called fermionic light solitons and liquid light states [8]. More recently, chirped femtosecond solitons in nonlinear media which exhibit not only third- and fifth-order nonlinearities but even seventh- and ninth-order nonlinearity, that find practical applications have been seriously pursued [9].

In literature, although exact solutions for the cubic-
quintic and cubic-quintic-septimal-nonic NLSE have been reported, specifically, the phase space structure of the dynamical system involving cubic-quintic-septimalnonic NLSE has not been explored, due to the hardship involved in finding the zeros of the higher order polynomials. However, in this Letter, we could successfully study the phase space structure of this dynamical system and others as we have identified the higher-order nonlinearities in terms of orthogonal polynomials-and the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials are guaranteed to be real 10].
We begin our analysis by considering the NLSE with cubic-quintic-septic-nonic nonlinearities:

$$
\begin{align*}
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}=\alpha_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{1} \psi & +\alpha_{2}|\psi|^{2} \psi+\alpha_{3}|\psi|^{4} \psi \\
& +\alpha_{4}|\psi|^{6} \psi+\alpha_{5}|\psi|^{8} \psi \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is associated with $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \psi^{*}}=0$, in which the Lagrangian density is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}=i\left(\psi \psi_{z}^{*}-\psi_{z}^{*} \psi\right) & +\alpha_{0}\left|\psi_{x}\right|^{2}+\alpha_{1}|\psi|^{2}+\alpha_{2}|\psi|^{4} \\
& +\alpha_{3}|\psi|^{6}+\alpha_{4}|\psi|^{8}+\alpha_{5}|\psi|^{10} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In order obtain the exact solitary wave solutions of Eq. (1) we consider a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, z)=f(\xi) e^{i[\chi(\xi)-\Omega z]} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=x-v z$ and $\Omega$ indicates the propagation constant and $v$ represents speed of the wave. Substituting this ansatz into Eq. (11) yields the following set of coupled equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Omega f-\chi^{\prime} f+\alpha_{0} f^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{0} f \chi^{\prime 2}+\alpha_{1} f+\alpha_{2} f^{3}+ \\
\alpha_{3} f^{5}+\alpha_{4} f^{7}+\alpha_{5} f^{9}=0 \\
-v f^{\prime}+2 \alpha_{0} f^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}+\alpha_{0} f \chi^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

Solving Eq. (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}=\frac{v}{2 \alpha_{0}}-\frac{C}{2 f^{2}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an integration constant. In order that the phase is independent of $\psi$, we put $C=0$. Then Eq. (4) takes the form, after invoking the constant chirp into it:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{0} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(\Omega+\alpha_{1}-\frac{v}{2 \alpha_{0}}-\frac{v^{2}}{4 \alpha_{0}}\right) f+\alpha_{2} f^{3}+\alpha_{3} f^{5} \\
+\alpha_{4} f^{7}+\alpha_{5} f^{9}=0 . \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

We choose the coefficients of various powers of $f$ as in the physicists version of Hermite polynomials. This identification not only represents various orders of higher order nonlinearities but also the alternating signs of them that is required for their experimental observations in systems such as response to ultrashort laser pulses of common optical media, and filament stabilization [11]. In view of this, with brevity Eq. (7) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f}{d \xi^{2}}=\mathcal{P}_{9}(f) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Herein, for $\mathcal{P}_{9}(f)$ we take the Hermite polynomial and Chebyshev polynomials as examples [12]. We describe below the phase-space dynamics of these two orthogonal polynomials. We start with Hermite polynomial selfinteraction.
Hermite self-interactions. - For this type of selfinteraction, Eq. (8) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f}{d \xi^{2}}=H_{9}(f) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{n}(f)$ is the nth-order Hermite polynomial. Finding the first energy integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{d f}{d \xi}\right)^{2}=30240 f^{2}-40320 f^{4}+16128 f^{6}-2304 f^{8} \\
+102.4 f^{10}-3024+2 \mathcal{E}_{1} \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

Equation (10) describes the evolution of the anharmonic oscillator with potential

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}(f)=-30240 f^{2} & +40320 f^{4}-16128 f^{6} \\
& +2304 f^{8}-102.4 f^{10} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (9) can be represented by two equivalent firstorder differential equations given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d f}{d \xi}=\mathcal{Q}_{1}=p_{1}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{1}\right) \\
\text { and } \quad \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{1}}{d \xi}=H_{9}(f)=q_{1}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

It is rather straightforward to show that Eqs. (12) and (13) form a Hamiltonian system, satisfying the canonical equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \xi}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{1}}{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{1}} ; \quad \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{1}}{d \xi}=-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{1}}{\partial f} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1. Phase space trajectories of Hermite self-interaction as given in Eq. (91). For a more detailed description refer to the text.
with the integration constant $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ given in Eq. (10) as the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ of the dynamical system described by Eq. (14). We obtained nine equilibrium points $\left(f_{i}^{*}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}^{*}\right)(i=1, \ldots, 9)$ of Eq. (14) by solving $\frac{d f}{d \xi}=0$ and $\frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{1}}{d \xi}=0$. These are:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(0,0), & ( \pm 0.7236,0), & ( \pm 1.4686,0) \\
& ( \pm 2.2666,0), & ( \pm 3.1910,0) . \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

Using the general criteria for the linear stability analysis, we have checked from the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix that the equilibrium point $(0,0)$ is a saddle or hyperbolic type and $( \pm 0.7236,0), \quad( \pm 1.4686,0)$, $( \pm 2.2666,0), \quad( \pm 3.1910,0)$ represent elliptic equilibrium points, also called centers. Now we display the numerically computed phase trajectories using Chebfun package [13], near the equilibrium points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-0.7236,0),(-1.4686,0),(-2.2666,0),(-3.1910,0) \\
& (0,0),(0.72356,0),(1.4686,0),(2.2666,0),(3.1910,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

in Fig. (11). The first four points correspond to centers, the fifth point signifies a saddle, and the last four points correspond to centers, respectively, as expected. As can be seen from this phase space diagram, between the external (phase curves $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ ) and internal closed orbits (curves $D_{1}$ ) there exists a phase path which joins the equilibrium points $(0,0)$ to itself [denoted by paths $C_{1}$ in Fig.(11)] and is a form of separatrix known as a homoclinic orbit. The separatrix is the curve that separates the phase space into two distinct areas. There are also well separated closed orbits [curves $E_{1}$ ] on both sides of the curves $A_{1}, B_{1}$, and $D_{1}$.

Chebyshev self-interactions. - Here we describe the phase-space dynamics that arise due to the Chebyshev self-interactions. For this type of self-interaction, Eq.
(8) takes the form
$\frac{d^{2} f}{d \xi^{2}}=-T_{9}(f)=-\left(256 f^{9}-576 f^{7}+432 f^{5}-120 f^{3}+9 f\right)$,
where $T_{9}(f)$ is the 9 th-order Chebyshev type-I polynomial. Finding the first energy integral, we obtain
$\left(\frac{d f}{d \xi}\right)^{2}=-\left(51.2 f^{9}-144.5 f^{7}+144 f^{5}-60 f^{3}+9 f+2 \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$.
Equation (17) describes the evolution of the anharmonic oscillator with potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}(f)=-\left(51.2 f^{9}-144.5 f^{7}+144 f^{5}-60 f^{3}+9 f\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (16) can be represented by two equivalent firstorder differential equations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d f}{d \xi}=\mathcal{Q}_{2}=p_{2}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{2}\right),  \tag{19}\\
& \text { and } \quad \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{2}}{d \xi}=T_{9}(f)=q_{2}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{2}\right) \text {. } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that Eqs. (19) and (20) form a Hamiltonian system, satisfying the canonical equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \xi}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{2}} ; \quad \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{2}}{d \xi}=-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{2}}{\partial f} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the integration constant $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ given in Eq. (17) as the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ of the dynamical system described by Eq. (16). We obtained nine equilibrium points $\left(f_{i}^{*}, \mathcal{Q}^{*}\right)(i=1, \ldots, 9)$ of Eq. (21) by solving $\frac{d f}{d \xi}=0$ and $\frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{2}}{d \xi}=0$. These are:

$$
\begin{array}{rr} 
& (0,0), \\
( \pm 0.6428,0), & ( \pm 0.8660,0),  \tag{22}\\
( \pm 0.9848,0)
\end{array}
$$

In much the same way, we can choose the self-interactions to be of Chebyshev type-II i.e, $U_{9}(f)$ and study the phase-space dynamics. It is very interesting to note that the dynamics that arise due to this orthogonal polynomial is quite different from the dynamics of Chebyshev type-I, as depicted in Fig. (3).

Utilizing the linear stability analysis, we have checked from the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix that the equilibrium point $(0,0)$ is a saddle or hyperbolic type and $( \pm 0.3420,0),( \pm 0.6428,0),( \pm 0.8660,0),( \pm 0.9848,0)$ represent elliptic equilibrium points, also called centers. In Fig.(2), we portray different phase trajectories that we have computed numerically using Chebfun package 13] near the following equilibrium points

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(-0.3420,0),(-0.6428,0),(-0.8660,0),(-0.9848,0) \\
(0,0),(0.3420,0),(0.6428,0),(0.8660,0),(0.9848,0)
\end{array}
$$

The first four points correspond to centers, the fifth point signifies a saddle, and the last four points correspond


FIG. 2. Phase space trajectories of Chebyshev-type I selfinteraction as given in Eq. (16). For a more detailed description refer to the text.


FIG. 3. Phase space trajectories of Chebyshev-type II selfinteraction.
to centers, respectively, as expected. The phase curve $A_{2}$ represents a well separated closed orbit. $B_{2}$ indicates a closed external orbit that encloses the internal closed orbits $C_{2}$ and $D_{2}$ which are again well separated. There is no separatrix that joins them. The same is true for the phase orbits on the right side of the phase curves $A_{2}$. Following the same methods, in Fig.(3) we display the phase space orbits corresponding to the self-interactions in the form of Chebyshev-II i.e., $U_{9}(f)$. The phase orbits $A_{3}, B_{3}$, and $C_{3}$ all are separate elliptic orbits. Here also there is no separatrix that connects these various phase orbits.

Laguerre self-interactions. - As a third example, we describe the phase-space dynamics that arise due to the Laguerre self-interactions. The fact that the Laguerre polynomial comes with a constant term in its expansion necessitates us to introduce an external source in the ensuing equation of motion. Spurred on by this fact, we start with a NLSE/GPE with an external source:

$$
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}=\alpha_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{1} \psi+\alpha_{2}|\psi| \psi
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\alpha_{3}|\psi|^{2} \psi+\alpha_{4}|\psi|^{3} \psi+\alpha_{5} e^{i \varphi(x, z)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is associated with $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \psi^{*}}=0$, in which the Lagrangian density is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}=i\left(\psi \psi_{z}^{*}-\right. & \left.\psi_{z}^{*} \psi\right)+\alpha_{0}\left|\psi_{x}\right|^{2}+\alpha_{1}|\psi|^{2}+\alpha_{2}|\psi|^{3} \\
& +\alpha_{3}|\psi|^{4}+\alpha_{4}|\psi|^{5}+\alpha_{5} e^{i \varphi(x, z)} \psi^{*} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain the exact solitary wave solutions of Eq. (23) we use the same ansatz as given in Eq. (3) that yields the following set of coupled equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Omega f-\chi^{\prime} f+\alpha_{0} f^{\prime \prime}-\alpha_{0} f \chi^{\prime 2}+\alpha_{1} f+\alpha_{2} f^{2}+\alpha_{3} f^{3} \\
+\alpha_{4} f^{4}+\alpha_{5}=0 \\
-v f^{\prime}+2 \alpha_{0} f^{\prime} \chi^{\prime}+\alpha_{0} f \chi^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

Again by solving Eq. (26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}=\frac{v}{2 \alpha_{0}}-\frac{C}{2 f^{2}}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an integration constant. In order that the phase is independent of $\psi$, we put $C=0$. Then Eq. (25) takes the form:
$\alpha_{0} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(\Omega+\alpha_{1}-\frac{v}{2 \alpha_{0}}-\frac{v^{2}}{4 \alpha_{0}}\right) f+\alpha_{2} f^{2}+\alpha_{3} f^{3}+\alpha_{4} f^{4}+\alpha_{5}=0$.
We choose the coefficients of various powers of $f$ as in the physicists version of Laguerre polynomials. This identification not only represents various higher order nonlinearities in modified GPE but also captures the dynamics of self-bound quantum droplets [14], in the presence of an external source. The external source here models the coupling of a reservoir of Bose-Einstein condensed atoms to the waveguide [15, 16]. For this type of self-interation, Eq. (28) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f}{d \xi^{2}}=L_{4}(f)=\frac{1}{24} f^{4}-\frac{2}{3} f^{3}+3 f^{2}-4 f+1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n}(f)$ is the nth-order Laguerre polynomial. Finding the first energy integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d f}{d \xi}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{60} f^{5}-\frac{1}{3} f^{4}+2 f^{3}-4 f^{2}+2 f+2 \mathcal{E}_{4} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (30) describes the evolution of the anharmonic oscillator with the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}(f)=-\frac{1}{60} f^{5}+\frac{1}{3} f^{4}-2 f^{3}+4 f^{2}-2 f \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (29) can be represented by two equivalent firstorder differential equations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d f}{d \xi}=\mathcal{Q}_{4}=p_{4}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{4}\right),  \tag{32}\\
& \text { and } \\
& \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{4}}{d \xi}=L_{4}(f)=q_{4}\left(f, \mathcal{Q}_{4}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 4. Phase space trajectories of Laguerre self-interaction as given in Eq. (29). For a more detailed description refer to the text.

It can be shown that Eqs. (32) and (33) form a Hamiltonian system, satisfying the canonical equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d f}{d \xi}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{4}}{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{4}} ; \quad \frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{4}}{d \xi}=-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{4}}{\partial f} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the integration constant $\mathcal{E}_{4}$ given in Eq. (30) as the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{4}$ of the dynamical system described by Eq. (29). We obtained four equilibrium points $\left(f_{i}^{*}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}^{*}\right)(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ of Eq. (34) by solving $\frac{d f}{d \xi}=0$ and $\frac{d \mathcal{Q}_{4}}{d \xi}=0$. These are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0.3225,0) \quad(1.7458,0) \quad(4.5366,0) \quad(9.3951,0) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again use was made of the general criteria for the linear stability analysis in order to check from the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix that the following zeros of the $L_{4}: \quad(0.3225,0) \quad(1.7458,0) \quad(4.5366,0) \quad(9.3951,0)$ to represent elliptic equilibrium points, also called centers. We have numerically integrated Eq. (29) using Chebfun package 13] and computed the phase trajectories near the equilibrium points $(0.3225,0) \quad(1.7458,0) \quad(4.5366,0) \quad(9.3951,0)$. We depict these insights in Fig.(4). All these four points correspond to centers. The external phase curve $A_{4}$ represents a separatrix-like curve in disguise. The phase curves $B_{4}$ and $C_{4}$ represent a well separated closed orbits.

Conclusion. - To conclude, we have identified the selfinteractions of NLSE/GPE signifying higher order nonlinearities in terms of Hermite, Chebyshev, and Laguerre polynomials. The fact that the zeros of these ensuing orthogonal polynomials are guaranteed to be real really propelled us to identify these higher order nonlinearities in terms of these polynomials to unravel the rich phase space structures. Additionally these identifications resulted in alternating signs of the various higher-order nonlinearities that confirm to the experimental conditions. Driven systems like NLSE/GPE with an exter-
nal source aptly model wave propagation through asymmetric twin core fibers and self-bound quantum droplets in BEC. Indeed the identification of various higher order nonlinearities emanating from Laguerre polynomials necessitated the inclusion of an external source in the equation of motion. This external source describes the coupling of a reservoir of BEC atoms to the waveguide. We hope that the present study may stimulate to model higher order self-interactions in terms of other orthogonal and exceptional orthogonal polynomials [17, 18] that may find applications in both optics and nonlinear dynamics.
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