

Simplified and Improved Bounds on the VC-Dimension for Elastic Distance Measures

Frederik Brüning

Department of Computer Science, University of Bonn, Germany

Anne Driemel

Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, University of Bonn, Germany

Abstract

We study range spaces, where the ground set consists of polygonal curves and the ranges are balls defined by an elastic distance measure. Such range spaces appear in various applications like classification, range counting, density estimation and clustering when the instances are trajectories or time series. The Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension (VC-dimension) plays an important role when designing algorithms for these range spaces. We show for the Fréchet distance and the Hausdorff distance that the VC-dimension is upper-bounded by $O(dk \log(km))$, where k is the complexity of the center of a ball, m is the complexity of the curve in the ground set, and d is the ambient dimension. For $d \geq 4$ this bound is tight in each of the parameters d, k and m separately. Our approach rests on an argument that was first used by Goldberg and Jerrum and later improved by Anthony and Bartlett. The idea is to interpret the ranges as combinations of sign values of polynomials and to bound the growth function via the number of connected components in an arrangement of zero sets of polynomials.

2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Computational geometry

Keywords and phrases VC-Dimension, Fréchet distance, Hausdorff distance, Dynamic Time Warping

1 Introduction

The Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension (VC-dimension) is a measure of complexity for range spaces that is named after Vladimir Vapnik and Alexey Chervonenkis, who introduced the concept in their seminal paper [20]. Knowing the VC-dimension of a range space can be used to determine sample bounds for various computational tasks. These include sample bounds on the test error of a classification model in statistical learning theory [21] or sample bounds for an ε -net [11] or an (η, ε) -approximation [10] in computational geometry. Sample bounds based on the VC-dimension have been successfully applied in the context of kernel density estimation [13], neural networks [3, 14], coresets [5, 7, 8], clustering [2, 4] and other data analysis tasks.

In this paper, we study range spaces, where the ground set consists of polygonal curves and the ranges consist of balls defined by elastic distance measures, such as the Fréchet distance and the Hausdorff distance in their discrete and continuous variants, as well as the dynamic time warping distance. Advancements in tracking technology and the resulting broader accessibility, quantity and quality of trajectory data has further fueled interest in these distance measures in recent years. The applications include GPS-data-analysis [17], full-body-motion-analysis [12], speech recognition [15, 16], optimization of energy systems [19] and forecasting of household electricity demand [18].

Previous to our work, Driemel, Nusser, Philips and Psarros [6] derived almost tight bounds on the VC-dimension in this setting. For each range space they define predicates (boolean functions) based on inclusion and intersection of simple geometric objects. These predicates depend on the vertices of a center curve and the radius that defines a ball and the vertices of a query curve. The predicates are chosen such that based on their truth values one can determine whether the query curve is in the respective ball. By bounding the number of operations needed to determine the truth values of each predicate, they bound the VC-dimension of the range space with the help of a theorem of Anthony and Bartlett which is itself a restated and improved version of a theorem of Goldberg and Jerrum [9]. In this paper, we give a simplified and improved analysis for the VC-dimension that considers each predicate as a combination of sign values of polynomials. This approach does not use the computational complexity of the distance evaluation, but instead uses the underlying structure of the range space defined by a system of polynomials directly. We show that this direct approach leads to tight asymptotic bounds in the case of the Hausdorff distance and the Fréchet distance.

1.1 Preliminaries

Let X be a set. We call a set \mathcal{R} where any $r \in \mathcal{R}$ is of the form $r \subseteq X$ a **range space** with **ground set** X . We say a subset $A \subseteq X$ is **shattered** by \mathcal{R} if for any $A' \subseteq A$ there exists an $r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $A' = r \cap A$. The **VC-dimension** of \mathcal{R} (denoted by $VCdim(\mathcal{R})$) is the maximal size of a set $A \subseteq X$ that is shattered by \mathcal{R} . In the context of VC-dimension bounds, we also need the concept of a growth function. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the **growth function** $\Pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ is defined as

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m) := \max_{A \subseteq X: |A|=m} |\{r \cap A \mid r \in \mathcal{R}\}|.$$

We define the ball with radius r and center c under the distance measure d_ρ on a set X as

$$b_\rho(c, r) = \{x \in X \mid d_\rho(x, c) \leq r\}.$$

We study range spaces with ground set $(\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rho,k} = \{b_\rho(c, r) \mid r \in \mathbb{R}_+, r > 0, c \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^k\}.$$

Let \mathcal{R} be a range space with ground set X , and F be a class of real-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times X$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\mathbf{sgn}(a) = 1$ if $a \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{sgn}(a) = 0$ if $a < 0$. We say that \mathcal{R} is a k -**combination** of $\mathbf{sgn}(F)$ if there is a boolean function $g : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in F$ such that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there is a parameter vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$r = \{x \in X \mid g(\mathbf{sgn}(f_1(y, x)), \dots, \mathbf{sgn}(f_k(y, x))) = 1\}.$$

At the heart of our approach is the following lemma which bounds the growth function via the number of connected components in an arrangement of zero sets of polynomials. The idea goes back to Goldberg and Jerrum [9]. We cite the improved version of Anthony and Bartlett [3].

► **Lemma 1** (Lemma 7.8 [3]). *Let F be a class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^d \times X$ to \mathbb{R} that is closed under addition of constant. Suppose that the functions in F are continuous in their parameters and that \mathcal{R} is a k -combination of $\mathbf{sgn}(F)$ for a boolean function $g : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in F$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$ and functions $f'_1, \dots, f'_k \in F$ such that the number of connected components of the set*

$$\mathbb{R}^d - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : f'_i(y, x_j) = 0\}$$

is at least $\Pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m)$.


Note that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{R}) < m$ if $\Pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m) < 2^m$ since in this case no set of size m can be shattered by \mathcal{R} . We include a proof of Lemma 1 for the sake of completeness. The proof is an adaptation of the proof in [3] that uses our notation.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$ be any subset of size m of X . Let further $\mathcal{R}_{|A} = \{A \cap r \mid r \in \mathcal{R}\}$ be the restriction of \mathcal{R} to A . Observe that $\Pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ is equal to $|\mathcal{R}_{|A}|$ for a set A that maximizes this quantity. Let A be such a set. We denote the arrangement of zero sets of $\mathcal{R}_{|A}$ with $S := \mathbb{R}^d - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : f_i(y, x_j) = 0\}$. Each range $r_y \in \mathcal{R}_{|A}$ is defined by a parameter $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$r_y = \{x \in A \mid g(\mathbf{sgn}(f_1(y, x)), \dots, \mathbf{sgn}(f_k(y, x))) = 1\}.$$

The elements of S can be interpreted as these parameters y . We want to show that in each connected component of S all parameters define the same range of $\mathcal{R}_{|A}$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in S$ with $r_{y_1} \neq r_{y_2}$. There exist i and j such that $f_i(y_1, x_j)$ and $f_i(y_2, x_j)$ have different signs. So on every continuous path from y_1 to y_2 there must be a y such that $f_i(y, x_j) = 0$. This follows directly from the continuity of f_i . Therefore y_1 and y_2 have to be in different connected components of S (see Figure 1 for an example in the plane). However, in general, it could happen that some ranges of $\mathcal{R}_{|A}$ can only be realized with a parameter y such that $f_i(y, x_j) = 0$ for some i and j . In this case, $y \notin S$. To prevent this, we define slightly shifted variations f'_1, \dots, f'_k of the functions f_1, \dots, f_k such that every $r \in \mathcal{R}_{|A}$ can be realized by some $y \in S'$ where $S' := \mathbb{R}^d - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : f'_i(y, x_j) = 0\}$. Let $|\mathcal{R}_{|A}| = N$ and $y_1, \dots, y_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{|A} = \{r_{y_1}, \dots, r_{y_N}\}$. Choose

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min\{|f_i(y_l, x_j)| : f_i(y_l, x_j) < 0, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq N\}$$



■ **Figure 1** Illustration for the proof of Lemma 1: In this example y_1 and y_2 differ in $\text{sgn}(f_2(\cdot, x_2))$.

and set $f'_i(x, y) = f_i(y, x) + \varepsilon$ for all i . By construction, the sign values of all functions stay the same and none of them evaluates to zero for y_1, \dots, y_N . Therefore the number of connected components of S' is at least N . ◀

By bounding the number of connected components in the arrangement of Lemma 1 by $2(\frac{2emkl}{d})^d$ for every k -combination of $\text{sgn}(F)$, the following theorem is implied using standard arguments (see [3] for details).

► **Theorem 2** (Theorem 8.3 [3]). *Let F be a class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^d \times X$ to \mathbb{R} so that, for all $x \in X$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, x)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^d of degree no more than l . Suppose that \mathcal{R} is a k -combination of $\text{sgn}(F)$. Then we have*

$$VCdim(\mathcal{R}) \leq 2d \log_2(12kl).$$

1.2 Distance Measures

In this section, we introduce the distance measures that define the range spaces that we consider. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the standard Euclidean norm. Let $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The **directed Hausdorff distance** from X to Y is defined as

$$d_{\vec{H}}(X, Y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|$$

and the **Hausdorff distance** between X and Y is defined as

$$d_H(X, Y) = \max\{d_{\vec{H}}(X, Y), d_{\vec{H}}(Y, X)\}.$$

Let $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence of vertices $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ defines a **polygonal curve** P by concatenating consecutive vertices to create the edges $\overline{p_1, p_2}, \dots, \overline{p_{m-1}, p_m}$. We may think of P as an element of $\mathbb{X}_m^d := (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ and write $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$. We may also think of P as a continuous function $P : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by fixing m values $0 = t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$, and defining $P(t) = \lambda p_{i+1} + (1 - \lambda)p_i$ where $\lambda = \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i}$ for $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$. For $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, each sequence $(1, 1) = (i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_M, j_M) = (m_1, m_2)$ such that $i_k - i_{k-1}$ and $j_k - j_{k-1}$ are either 0 or 1 for all k is a **warping path** from $(1, 1)$ to (m_1, m_2) . We denote with \mathcal{W}_{m_1, m_2} the set of all warping paths from $(1, 1)$ to (m_1, m_2) . For any two polygonal curves $P \in \mathbb{X}_{m_1}^d$ with vertices p_1, \dots, p_{m_1} and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_{m_2}^d$ with vertices q_1, \dots, q_{m_2} , we also write $\mathcal{W}_{P, Q} = \mathcal{W}_{m_1, m_2}$,

and call elements of $\mathcal{W}_{P,Q}$ warping paths between P and Q . The *dynamic time warping distance* between the polygonal curves P and Q is defined as

$$d_{DTW}(P, Q) = \min_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{P,Q}} \sum_{(i,j) \in w} \|p_i - q_j\|^2.$$

A warping path that attains the above minimum is also called an *optimal warping path* between P and Q . We denote with $\mathcal{W}_{m_1, m_2}^* \subset \mathcal{W}_{m_1, m_2}$ the set of warping paths w such that there exist polygonal curves $P \in \mathbb{X}_{m_1}^d$ and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_{m_2}^d$ with this optimum warping path w . The *discrete Fréchet distance* of two polygonal curves P and Q is defined as

$$d_{dF}(P, Q) = \min_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{P,Q}} \max_{(i,j) \in w} \|p_i - q_j\|.$$

In the continuous case, we interpret the polygonal curves P and Q as continuous functions. We define their *Fréchet distance* as

$$d_F(P, Q) = \inf_{\alpha, \beta: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|P(\alpha(t)) - Q(\beta(t))\|,$$

where α and β range over all functions that are non-decreasing, surjective and continuous. We further define their *weak Fréchet distance* as

$$d_{wF}(P, Q) = \inf_{\alpha, \beta: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|P(\alpha(t)) - Q(\beta(t))\|,$$

where α and β range over all continuous functions with $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 1$.

2 Results

We bound the VC-dimension for range spaces of the form $\mathcal{R}_{\rho,k}$ for some distance measure d_ρ with ground set \mathbb{X}_m^d using Theorem 2. To this end, we write each range as a combination of sign values of polynomials with constant degree. More precisely, we take the predicates from [6] that determine if a curve $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ is in a fixed range $r \in \mathcal{R}_{\rho,k}$ and show that each such predicate can be written as a combination of sign values of polynomials with constant degree. For the Fréchet and the Hausdorff distance, we show that the VC-dimension of $\mathcal{R}_{\rho,k}$ is bounded by $O(dk \log(km))$. Refer to Theorems 3 and 4 for the discrete variants, and to Theorems 8, 16, and 17 for the continuous variants of these distance measures. This improves upon the upper bounds of [6] in all of the considered cases. In case of the continuous Fréchet distance, the improvement is a factor of at least dk . By the lower bound $\Omega(\max(dk \log(k), \log(dm)))$ for $d \geq 4$ in [6], our new bound is tight in each of the parameters k, m and d for each of the considered distance measures. For the Dynamic time warping distance we show a bound of $O(\min(dk^2 \log(m), dkm \log(k)))$ (Theorem 5).

3 Discrete setting

In the discrete setting, we think of each curve $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ as a sequence of its vertices $(p_1, \dots, p_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ and not as a continuous function. To emphasize this, we write in this context $P \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ instead of $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$.

► **Theorem 3.** *Let $\mathcal{R}_{dH,k}$ be the range space of all balls under the Hausdorff distance centered at point sets in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^k$ with ground set $(\mathbb{R}^d)^m$. Then, we have*

$$VCdim(\mathcal{R}_{dH,k}) \leq 2(dk + 1) \log_2(24mk).$$

Proof. Let $P \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^m$ with vertices p_1, \dots, p_m and $Q \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^k$ with vertices q_1, \dots, q_k . The discrete Hausdorff distance between two point sets is uniquely defined by the distances of the points of the two sets. We therefore have that the truth value of $d_H(P, Q) \leq r$ can be determined given the truth values of $\|p - q\|^2 \leq r^2$ for all pairs $(p, q) \in \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$. We further write the points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $p = (p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(d)})$ and $q = (q_{(1)}, \dots, q_{(d)})$. Then we have that $\|p - q\|^2 \leq r^2$ is equivalent to

$$r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (p_{(i)} - q_{(i)})^2 \geq 0.$$

The term $r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (p_{(i)} - q_{(i)})^2$ is a polynomial of degree 2 in all its variables. So the truth value of $\|p - q\|^2 \leq r^2$ can be determined by the sign value of one polynomial of degree 2. There are in total mk possible choices for the pair (p, q) . Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{dk+1}$ be the vector consisting of all coordinates of the vertices q_1, \dots, q_k and of the radius r . Then $\mathcal{R}_{dH,k}$ is a mk -combination of $\text{sgn}(F)$ where F is a class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{dk+1} \times (\mathbb{R}_m)^d$ to \mathbb{R} so that, for all $P \in (\mathbb{R}_m)^d$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, P)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^d of degree no more than 2. The VC-dimension bound follows directly by applying Theorem 2. ◀

► **Theorem 4.** Let $\mathcal{R}_{dF,k}$ be the range space of all balls under the discrete Fréchet distance with ground set $(\mathbb{R}^d)^m$. Then, we have

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{R}_{dF,k}) \leq 2(dk + 1) \log_2(24mk).$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3 given the fact that the discrete Fréchet distance between two polygonal curves is uniquely defined by the distances of the vertices of the two curves. ◀

► **Theorem 5.** Let $\mathcal{R}_{DTW,k}$ be the range space of all balls under the dynamic time warping distance with ground set $(\mathbb{R}^d)^m$. Then $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{R}_{DTW,k})$ is in

$$O(\min(dk^2 \log(m), dkm \log(k))).$$

Proof. Let $P \in (\mathbb{R}_m)^d$ with vertices p_1, \dots, p_m and $Q \in (\mathbb{R}_k)^d$ with vertices q_1, \dots, q_k . The truth value of $d_{DTW}(P, Q) \leq r$ can be determined by the truth values of $\sum_{(i,j) \in w} \|p_i - q_j\|^2 \leq r$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^*$. This inequality is equivalent to

$$r - \sum_{(i,j) \in w} \sum_{t=1}^d (p_{i,t} - q_{j,t})^2 \geq 0$$

for which the left side is a polynomial of degree 2 in all its variables. We get $|\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^*| \leq \binom{m+k-2}{m-1} \leq \min\{m^{k-1}, k^{m-1}\}$ by counting all possible optimal warping path. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{dk+1}$ be the vector consisting of all coordinates of the vertices q_1, \dots, q_k and of the radius r . Then $\mathcal{R}_{DTW,k}$ is a $\min\{m^{k-1}, k^{m-1}\}$ -combination of $\text{sgn}(F)$ where F is a class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{dk+1} \times (\mathbb{R}_m)^d$ to \mathbb{R} so that, for all $P \in (\mathbb{R}_m)^d$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, P)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^d of constant degree. The VC-dimension bound follows directly by the application of Theorem 2. ◀

4 Hausdorff distance

Following [6], we define the following *basic geometric objects* which we use to represent ranges as combinations of simple predicates. Let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be two point, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be

the radius and d_p be the euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^d . We denote the ball $b_p(s, r)$ also with $B_r(s) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|x - s\| \leq r\}$. We further denote with $\ell(\overline{st})$ the line supporting \overline{st} . We define the stadium, cylinder and capped cylinder centered at \overline{st} with radius r as $D_r(\overline{st}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists p \in \overline{st}, \|p - x\| \leq r\}$, $C_r(\overline{st}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists p \in \ell(\overline{st}), \|p - x\| \leq r\}$ and $R_r(\overline{st}) = \{p + u \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid p \in \overline{st} \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ s.t. } \|u\| \leq r, \text{ and } \langle t - s, u \rangle = 0\}$. We define the hyperplane through s with normal vector \overline{st} as $P(\overline{st}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x - s, s - t \rangle = 0\}$. Let $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{X}_2^d$ be two edges.

We define the double stadium of the edges e_1 and e_2 with radius r as

$$D_{r,2}(e_1, e_2) = D_r(e_1) \cap D_r(e_2).$$

Let $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ with vertices p_1, \dots, p_m and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_k^d$ with vertices q_1, \dots, q_k be two polygonal curves. Let further $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By [6] the Hausdorff distance query $d_H(P, Q) \leq r$ is uniquely determined by the following predicates:

- (\mathcal{P}_1) (Vertex-edge (horizontal)):
Given an edge of P , $\overline{p_j p_{j+1}}$, and a vertex q_i of Q , this predicate returns true iff there exists a point $p \in \overline{p_j p_{j+1}}$, such that $\|p - q_i\| \leq r$.
- (\mathcal{P}_2) (Vertex-edge (vertical)):
Given an edge of Q , $\overline{q_i q_{i+1}}$, and a vertex p_j of P , this predicate returns true iff there exists a point $q \in \overline{q_i q_{i+1}}$, such that $\|q - p_j\| \leq r$.
- (\mathcal{P}_3) (double-stadium-line (horizontal)):
Given an edge of Q , $\overline{q_i q_{i+1}}$, and two edges of P , $\{e_1, e_2\} \subset E(P)$, this predicate is equal to $\ell(\overline{q_i q_{i+1}}) \cap D_{r,2}(e_1, e_2) \neq \emptyset$.
- (\mathcal{P}_4) (double-stadium-line (vertical)):
Given an edge of P , $\overline{p_j p_{j+1}}$, and two edges of Q , $\{e_1, e_2\} \subset E(Q)$, this predicate is equal to $\ell(\overline{p_j p_{j+1}}) \cap D_{r,2}(e_1, e_2) \neq \emptyset$.

► **Lemma 6** (Lemma 7.1, [6]). *For any two polygonal curves P, Q , given the truth values of the predicates $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_4$ one can determine whether $d_H(P, Q) \leq r$.*

In the next section, we show that each predicate of the form $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ or \mathcal{P}_4 can be determined by constantly many predicates that are only sign values of polynomials with constant degree. More specifically, we show the following lemma.

► **Lemma 7.** *Let $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_k^d$ be two polygonal curves. Let further $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let \mathcal{P} be a predicate of the form $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ or \mathcal{P}_4 with fixed i, j, e_1, e_2 . Let F be the class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{dk+1} \times \mathbb{X}_m^d$ to \mathbb{R} , so that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, x)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^{dk+1} of constant degree. There exists a subset $G \subset F$ with $|G|$ in $O(1)$, such that the truth value of \mathcal{P} can be determined by the sign values of the functions in G , when choosing $x = P$ and y as the vector consisting of all coordinates of the vertices of Q and the radius r .*

The combination of Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and Theorem 2 yields the following result.

► **Theorem 8.** *Let $\mathcal{R}_{H,k}$ be the range space of all balls, under the Hausdorff distance with ground set \mathbb{X}_m^d . Then $VCdim(\mathcal{R}_{H,k})$ is in $O(dk \log(mk))$.*

Proof. There are in total only $O(m^2 k^2)$ predicates of the form $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ or \mathcal{P}_4 for curves $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_k^d$. By Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, there therefore exists a \tilde{k} in $O(m^2 k^2)$ and a constant \tilde{l} such that $\mathcal{R}_{H,k}$ is a \tilde{k} -combination of $sgn(F)$ where F is a class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{dk+1} \times \mathbb{X}_m^d$ to \mathbb{R} so that, for all $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, P)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^d of degree no more than \tilde{l} . Applying Theorem 2 directly results in the claimed bound on the VC-dimension. ◀

4.1 Proof of Lemma 7

To prove Lemma 7, we divide it into two lemmas, one for \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 and one for \mathcal{P}_3 and \mathcal{P}_4 .

► **Lemma 9.** *Lemma 7 holds for each Predicate \mathcal{P} of the form \mathcal{P}_1 or \mathcal{P}_2 .*

Proof. The truth value of the predicate \mathcal{P} can be determined by checking if a vertex v is in the stadium centered at an edge \overline{st} with radius r . For $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1$, we have $v = q_i$ and $\overline{st} = \overline{p_j p_{j+1}}$ and for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_2$, we have $v = p_j$ and $\overline{st} = \overline{q_i q_{i+1}}$.

To check, if v is in the stadium $D_r(\overline{st})$, it suffices to check if v is in at least one of $B_r(s)$, $B_r(t)$ and $R_r(\overline{st})$. The truth value of $v \in B_r(s)$ is equivalent to the truth value of

$$\|v - s\|^2 \leq r^2 \iff r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (v_i - s_i)^2 \geq 0.$$

Since the term $r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (v_i - s_i)^2$ is a polynomial of degree 2 in all its variables, the truth value of $v \in B_r(s)$ can be determined by the sign value of only one function in F . The same holds analogously for the truth value of $v \in B_r(t)$. It remains to analyze the truth value of $v \in R_r(\overline{st})$. Let c be the closest point to v on the line $\ell(\overline{st})$. The truth value of

$$r^2 - \|c - v\|^2 \geq 0$$

uniquely determines if v is in the cylinder centered at \overline{st} . The truth values of

$$\|s - t\|^2 - \|c - s\|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|s - t\|^2 - \|c - t\|^2 \geq 0$$

further determine if c is on the edge \overline{st} . So the truth values of all three inequalities determine the truth value of $v \in R_r(\overline{st})$. The closest point to v on the line $\ell(\overline{st})$ is

$$c = t + \frac{(s - t)\langle (s - t), v \rangle}{\|s - t\|^2}.$$

For each coordinate of c , we have

$$c_j = t_j + (s_j - t_j) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - t_i) v_i}{\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - t_i)^2}.$$

So, if we multiply any of the three inequalities above on both sides with $(\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - t_i)^2)^2$, we get a polynomial of constant degree (in all its variables) on the left side of the inequality. Therefore the truth value of $v \in R_r(\overline{st})$ can be determined by the sign value of only three functions in F . In total, we need 5 functions of F to determine the truth value of \mathcal{P} . ◀

To prove the next lemma, we need the help of the following two technical lemmas.

► **Lemma 10.** *Let F be the class of all polynomials of constant degree mapping from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} . Let $g(x)$ be a linear combination of constantly many rational functions of constant degree. The truth value of the inequality $g(x) \geq 0$ can be determined by the sign value of only one function in F .*

Proof. If we multiply both sides of the inequality $g(x) \geq 0$ by the square of the product of all denominators of the rational functions in $g(x)$, then we get an equivalent inequality that only consists of a polynomial of constant degree on the left side and 0 on the right side. ◀

► **Lemma 11.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $a(x), b(x), c(x)$ and $d(x)$ be linear combinations of constantly many rational functions of constant degree. Let $T_1(x)$ be the truth value of the inequality*

$$a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$$

and $T_2(x)$ be the truth value of the inequality

$$a(x) - \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}.$$

Let F be the class of all polynomials of constant degree mapping from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} . There exists a subset $G \subset F$ with $|G|$ in $O(1)$, such that the truth value of $T_1(x)$ and $T_2(x)$ can be determined by the sign values of the functions in G for each x with $b(x) > 0$ and $d(x) > 0$.

Note that the inequality $a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$ is equivalent to $a(x) - \sqrt{d(x)} \leq c(x) - \sqrt{b(x)}$. So we can also use Lemma 11 if we are given the latter inequality.

Proof. With the help of Lemma 10, we show the statement of the lemma for $a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$. Consider the inequality $(c(x) - a(x)) \geq 0$. By Lemma 10, its truth value can be determined by the sign value of only one function in F . We only discuss the case that it is true here, since the other case is analogous. In that case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} &\leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ \sqrt{b(x)} &\leq (c(x) - a(x)) + \sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ b(x) &\leq (c(x) - a(x))^2 + d(x) + 2(c(x) - a(x))\sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ b(x) - (c(x) - a(x))^2 - d(x) &\leq 2(c(x) - a(x))\sqrt{d(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10, we can determine the truth value of

$$b(x) - (c(x) - a(x))^2 - d(x) \leq 0$$

with only one function in F . If it is true, we know that the inequality $a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$ holds. If it is not, we know that the inequality is equivalent to

$$(b(x) - (c(x) - a(x))^2 - d(x))^2 - 4(c(x) - a(x))^2 d(x) \leq 0.$$

By Lemma 10, also this inequality can be checked with the sign value of only one function in F . Since we as well need two functions in the case $(c(x) - a(x)) < 0$, we need a total of five functions in F to check the inequality $a(x) + \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$.

It remains to show, how the truth value of $a(x) - \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$ can be determined by sign values. If $c(x) - a(x) \geq 0$, we know that the inequality holds. This was already checked before, so we only consider the case $c(x) - a(x) < 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) - \sqrt{b(x)} &\leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ (a(x) - c(x)) &\leq \sqrt{b(x)} + \sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ (a(x) - c(x))^2 &\leq b(x) + d(x) + 2\sqrt{b(x)}\sqrt{d(x)} && \iff \\ (a(x) - c(x))^2 - b(x) - d(x) &\leq 2\sqrt{b(x)}\sqrt{d(x)} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10, we can check if

$$(a(x) - c(x))^2 - b(x) - d(x) \leq 0$$

holds with one function in F and if it is false, we get

$$((a(x) - c(x))^2 - b(x) - d(x))^2 - 4b(x)d(x) \leq 0.$$

This can also be checked with one function. So, we only need the sign values of two more functions in F to also determine the truth value of $a(x) - \sqrt{b(x)} \leq c(x) + \sqrt{d(x)}$. \blacktriangleleft

► **Lemma 12.** *Lemma 7 holds for each Predicate \mathcal{P} of the form \mathcal{P}_3 or \mathcal{P}_4 .*

Proof. The truth value of the predicate \mathcal{P} can be determined by checking if a line $\ell(\overline{st})$ intersects a double-stadium $D_{r,2}(\overline{ab}, \overline{cd})$. For $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_3$, we have $\overline{st} = \overline{q_i, q_{i+1}}$ and for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_4$, we have $\overline{st} = \overline{p_j, p_{j+1}}$. In both cases, we have $\overline{ab} = e_1$ and $\overline{cd} = e_2$. The truth value of $\ell(\overline{st}) \cap D_{r,2}(\overline{ab}, \overline{cd}) \neq \emptyset$ can be determined with the help of the intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $B_r(a), B_r(b), B_r(c), B_r(d), R_r(\overline{ab})$ and $R_r(\overline{cd})$. If and only if there is an overlap of the intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with any of these geometric objects belonging to the first stadium and the intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with any of these geometric objects belonging to the second stadium, then the predicate is true. But how can we test, if such an overlap exists? Let us first consider, how the intersections look like.

Any point on $\ell(\overline{st})$ can be written as $s + (t - s)x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $B_r(a)$ is implicitly given by an interval $[x_1, x_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ where all $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ fulfill

$$\begin{aligned} \|s + (t - s)x - a\|^2 &\leq r^2 && \iff \\ \sum_{i=1}^d (s_i + (t_i - s_i)x - a_i)^2 &\leq r^2 && \iff \\ \sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)^2 + x \sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)(t_i - s_i) + x^2 \sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)^2 &\leq r^2 \end{aligned}$$

The inequality is equivalent to a quadratic equation of the form $x^2 + px + q \leq 0$, where

$$p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)(t_i - s_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)^2 - r^2}{\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)^2}.$$

We therefore have $x_{1,2} = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - q}$ where p and q are rational functions of constant degree (in all their variables) as long as $\frac{p^2}{4} - q \geq 0$. If we have $\frac{p^2}{4} - q < 0$ then the intersection is empty. We can multiply both sides of this inequality by $\left(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)^2\right)^2$ to get polynomials. So the truth value can be determined with the sign value of only one function in F .

The intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $R_r(\overline{ab})$ is also implicitly given by an interval $[y_1, y_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$. To determine the interval, we have to consider 3 different intersections of $\ell(\overline{st})$: The intersection with the infinite cylinder $C_r(\overline{ab})$ and the intersections with the two limiting hyperplanes $P(\overline{ab})$ and $P(\overline{ba})$. The intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $C_r(\overline{ab})$ is implicitly given by an interval $[z_1, z_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ where z_1, z_2 are the solutions for z of the equation

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^d 2g_i(z)(b_i - a_i)\right)^2 - 4\left(\sum_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)^2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^d g_i(z)^2\right) - r^2 = 0$$

where $g_i(z) = s_i + (t_i - s_i)z - a_i$. For a direct derivation of this equation, see the proof of Lemma 7.2 in [6]. The equation is equivalent to a quadratic equation of the form $z^2 + pz + q = 0$,

where

$$p = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)(b_i - a_i) - 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (s_i - a_i)^2 \right) - r^2}{c}$$

and

$$q = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)(b_i - a_i) - 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)(s_i - a_i) \right)}{c}$$

with

$$c = 4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (b_i - a_i)^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (t_i - s_i)^2 \right).$$

We therefore have $z_{1,2} = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - q}$ where p and q are rational functions of constant degree (in all their variables) as long as $\frac{p^2}{4} - q \geq 0$. If we have $\frac{p^2}{4} - q < 0$, then the intersection is empty. Similar to before, we can multiply both sides of this inequality with c^2 to get polynomials. Then the truth value can be determined with the sign value of only one function in F .

The intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $P(\overline{ab})$ is given by all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle s + (t - s)z - a, b - a \rangle = 0.$$

It is possible that either the whole line intersects the plane, there is no intersection or the intersection is only one point. The truth value of $\langle t - s, b - a \rangle = 0$ tells us, if the line $\ell(\overline{st})$ is parallel to the plane $P(\overline{ab})$ and if that is the case, the truth value of $\langle s - a, b - a \rangle = 0$ tells us if it lies on the plane. Since we have polynomials of constant degree (in all their variables) each of the truth values can be determined by the sign value of only one function in F . If the intersection is a unique point, then it is the point $s + (t - s)z_3$ where

$$z_3 = -\frac{(s - a)(b - a)}{(t - s)(b - a)}.$$

The intersection with $P(\overline{ba})$ is analogous and we get in the case of a unique point $s + (t - s)z_4$ that

$$z_4 = -\frac{(s - b)(b - a)}{(t - s)(b - a)}.$$

In case that the intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $R_r(\overline{ab})$ is not trivial, we have 4 values z_1, \dots, z_4 that could be the boundaries of the intersection interval $[y_1, y_2]$. To determine if the intersection is empty or which of the values define the boundary, we have to compare the values to each other. The pairwise comparison of the values z_1, \dots, z_4 and the already described additional checks then uniquely determine the values y_1 and y_2 or decide that the intersection is empty. By Lemma 11, the comparison of each of the values can be uniquely determined with the sign values of constantly many functions of F .

The intersection intervals for $B_r(b)$, $B_r(c)$, $B_r(d)$ and $R_r(\overline{cd})$ can be determined analogous to $B_r(a)$ and $R_r(\overline{ab})$. It remains to analyse, how we can decide if two intersection intervals $[x_1, x_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2]$ overlap in the case that none of them is empty. If we know the values of x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 , then we can compare each x_i with each y_j for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ to decide if an overlap exists. We have already shown that there is only a constant number of possible choices for

the values of x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 (only one choice for a boundary of a line-ball intersection and multiple choices for a boundary of a line-capped-cylinder intersection). Which of the choices defines the real boundary is determined by the previously described sign values of functions in F and not known in advance. But, we do not need to know it in advance, since we can compare each possible choice for x_i with each possible choice for y_j and still need only a constant number of comparisons. By Lemma 11 each such comparison can be determined with the sign values of constantly many functions of F .

In total, the question, if the intersection with one geometrical object belonging to the first stadium overlaps with one geometrical object belonging to the second stadium can therefore be decided with the sign values of constantly many functions of F . Since there are only a constant number of geometrical objects belonging to each stadium, we can determine the truth value of \mathcal{P} with the sign values of constantly many functions of F . ◀

5 Fréchet distance

Let $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ with vertices p_1, \dots, p_m and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_k^d$ with vertices q_1, \dots, q_k be two polygonal curves. Let further $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By [6] the Fréchet distance query $d_F(P, Q) \leq r$ is uniquely determined by the predicates (\mathcal{P}_1) , (\mathcal{P}_2) (defined in Section 4) and the following predicates:

- (\mathcal{P}_5) (Endpoints (start)): This predicate returns true if and only if $\|p_1 - q_1\| \leq r$.
- (\mathcal{P}_6) (Endpoints (end)): This predicate returns true if and only if $\|p_m - q_k\| \leq r$.
- (\mathcal{P}_7) (Monotonicity (horizontal)): Given two vertices of P , p_j and p_t with $j < t$ and an edge of Q , $\overline{q_i q_{i+1}}$, this predicate returns true if there exist two points a_1 and a_2 on the line supporting the directed edge, such that a_1 appears before a_2 on this line, and such that $\|a_1 - p_j\| \leq r$ and $\|a_2 - p_t\| \leq r$.
- (\mathcal{P}_8) (Monotonicity (vertical)): Given two vertices of Q , q_i and q_t with $i < t$ and an edge of P , $\overline{p_j p_{j+1}}$, this predicate returns true if there exist two points a_1 and a_2 on the line supporting the directed edge, such that a_1 appears before a_2 on this line, and such that $\|a_1 - q_i\| \leq r$ and $\|a_2 - q_t\| \leq r$.

► **Lemma 13** (Lemma 9, [1]). *For any two polygonal curves P, Q , given the truth values of the predicates $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6, \mathcal{P}_7, \mathcal{P}_8$ one can determine whether $d_F(P, Q) \leq r$.*

► **Lemma 14** (Lemma 8.2, [6]). *For any two polygonal curves P, Q , given the truth values of the predicates $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6$ one can determine whether $d_{wF}(P, Q) \leq r$.*

In the next section, we show that each predicate of the form $\mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6, \mathcal{P}_7$ or \mathcal{P}_8 can be determined by constantly many predicates that are only sign values of polynomials with constant degree. More specifically, we show the following lemma.

► **Lemma 15.** *Let $P \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $Q \in \mathbb{X}_k^d$ be two polygonal curves. Let further $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let \mathcal{P} be a predicate of the form $\mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6, \mathcal{P}_7$ or \mathcal{P}_8 with fixed i, j, t . Let F be the class of functions mapping from $\mathbb{R}^{dk+1} \times \mathbb{X}_m^d$ to \mathbb{R} , so that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}_m^d$ and $f \in F$ the function $y \rightarrow f(y, x)$ is a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^{dk+1} of constant degree. There exists a subset $G \subset F$ with $|G|$ in $O(1)$, such that the truth value of \mathcal{P} can be determined by the sign values of the functions in G , when choosing $x = P$ and y as the vector consisting of all coordinates of the vertices of Q and the radius r .*

We then get the following results analogous to the proof of Theorem 8.

► **Theorem 16.** *Let $\mathcal{R}_{F,k}$ be the range space of all balls, under the Fréchet distance with ground set \mathbb{X}_m^d . Then $VCdim(\mathcal{R}_{F,k})$ is in $O(dk \log(mk))$.*

► **Theorem 17.** *Let $\mathcal{R}_{wF,k}$ be the range space of all balls, under the weak Fréchet distance with ground set \mathbb{X}_m^d . Then $VCdim(\mathcal{R}_{wF,k})$ is in $O(dk \log(mk))$.*

5.1 Proof of Lemma 15

To prove Lemma 15, we divide it into two lemmas, one for \mathcal{P}_5 , \mathcal{P}_6 and one for \mathcal{P}_7 , \mathcal{P}_8 .

► **Lemma 18.** *Lemma 15 holds for each Predicate \mathcal{P} of the form \mathcal{P}_5 or \mathcal{P}_6 .*

Proof. We prove the lemma for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_5$. The proof for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_6$ is analogous. Let $p = p_1$ and $q = q_1$. We further write $p = (p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(d)})$ and $q = (q_{(1)}, \dots, q_{(d)})$. Then we have that $\|p - q\|^2 \leq r^2$ is equivalent to

$$r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (p_{(i)} - q_{(i)})^2 \leq 0.$$

The term $r^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d (p_{(i)} - q_{(i)})^2$ is a polynomial of degree 2 in all its variables. So the truth value of $\|p - q\|^2 \leq r^2$ can be determined by the sign value of only one function in F . ◀

► **Lemma 19.** *Lemma 15 holds for each Predicate \mathcal{P} of the form \mathcal{P}_7 or \mathcal{P}_8 .*

Proof. The truth value of the predicate \mathcal{P} can be determined by checking if there is an intersections of a line segment \overline{st} with the intersection of two balls $B_r(a)$ and $B_r(b)$. For $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_7$, we have $\overline{st} = \overline{q_i, q_{i+1}}$, $a = p_j$ and $b = p_t$. For $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_8$, we have $\overline{st} = \overline{p_j, p_{j+1}}$, $a = q_i$ and $b = q_t$. To answer the predicate, one could compute the intersections of the line $\ell(\overline{st})$ with each of the balls $B_r(a)$ and $B_r(b)$ and then check if they overlap. Each point on $\ell(\overline{st})$ can be written as $s + (t - s)x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The intersection of $\ell(\overline{st})$ with $B_r(a)$ is implicitly given by an interval $[x_1, x_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ where all $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ fulfill

$$\|s + (t - s)x - a\|^2 \leq r^2$$

In the proof of Lemma 12, we have already shown that either $x_{1,2} = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - q}$ where p and q are rational functions of constant degree (in all their variables) or the intersection is empty (if $\frac{p^2}{4} - q \geq 0$). It was also shown that the truth value of the inequality $\frac{p^2}{4} - q \geq 0$ can be determined with the sign value of only one function in F . Similar to the proof of Lemma 12, the overlap of the intersection intervals can be decided by pairwise comparison of the borders of the intersection intervals. Note that \overline{st} intersects $\ell(\overline{st})$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. By Lemma 11 each comparison can be determined with the sign values of constantly many functions of F . So in total, we can determine the truth value of \mathcal{P} with the sign values of constantly many functions of F . ◀

References

- 1 Peyman Afshani and Anne Driemel. On the complexity of range searching among curves. *CoRR*, arXiv:1707.04789v1, 2017.
- 2 Hugo Akitaya, Frederik Brünig, Erin Chambers, and Anne Driemel. Subtrajectory Clustering: Finding Set Covers for Set Systems of Subcurves. *Computing in Geometry and Topology*, 2(1):1:1–1:48, Feb. 2023.
- 3 Martin Anthony and Peter L. Bartlett. *Neural Network Learning: Theoretical Foundations*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

- 4 Frederik Brüning, Jacobus Conradi, and Anne Driemel. Faster Approximate Covering of Subcurves Under the Fréchet Distance. In Shiri Chechik, Gonzalo Navarro, Eva Rotenberg, and Grzegorz Herman, editors, *30th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA 2022)*, volume 244 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 28:1–28:16, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2022. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- 5 Maike Buchin and Dennis Rohde. Coresets for (k, ℓ) -Median Clustering Under the Fréchet Distance. In Niranjan Balachandran and R. Inkulu, editors, *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics*, pages 167–180, Cham, 2022. Springer International Publishing.
- 6 Anne Driemel, André Nusser, Jeff M. Phillips, and Ioannis Psarros. The VC Dimension of Metric Balls under Fréchet and Hausdorff Distances. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 66(4):1351–1381, 2021.
- 7 Dan Feldman. Introduction to Core-sets: an Updated Survey. *ArXiv*, abs/2011.09384, 2020.
- 8 Dan Feldman and Michael Langberg. A Unified Framework for Approximating and Clustering Data. In *Proceedings of the Forty-Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '11, page 569–578, New York, NY, USA, 2011. Association for Computing Machinery.
- 9 Paul W. Goldberg and Mark R. Jerrum. Bounding the Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension of concept classes parameterized by real numbers. *Machine Learning*, 18:131–148, 1995.
- 10 Sarel Har-Peled and Micha Sharir. Relative (p, ϵ) -Approximations in Geometry. *Discret. Comput. Geom.*, 45(3):462–496, 2011.
- 11 David Haussler and Emo Welzl. Epsilon-nets and simplex range queries. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 2(2):127–151, 1987.
- 12 Catalin Ionescu, Dragos Papava, Vlad Olaru, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Human3.6m: Large scale datasets and predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 36(7):1325–1339, 2013.
- 13 Sarang Joshi, Raj Varma Kommaraji, Jeff M. Phillips, and Suresh Venkatasubramanian. Comparing Distributions and Shapes Using the Kernel Distance. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*, SoCG '11, page 47–56, New York, NY, USA, 2011. Association for Computing Machinery.
- 14 Marek Karpinski and Angus Macintyre. Polynomial bounds for VC dimension of sigmoidal neural networks. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, STOC '95, page 200–208, New York, NY, USA, 1995. Association for Computing Machinery.
- 15 Hiroaki Sakoe and Seibi Chiba. A Dynamic Programming Approach to Continuous Speech Recognition. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress on Acoustics, Budapest*, volume 3, pages 65–69, Budapest, 1971. Akadémiai Kiadó.
- 16 Hiroaki Sakoe and Seibi Chiba. Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken word recognition. *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ACOUSTICS, SPEECH, AND SIGNAL PROCESSING*, 26:43–49, 1978.
- 17 Roniel S. De Sousa, Azzedine Boukerche, and Antonio A. F. Loureiro. Vehicle Trajectory Similarity: Models, Methods, and Applications. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 53(5), September 2020.
- 18 Thanchanok Teeraratkul, Daniel O'Neill, and Sanjay Lall. Shape-based approach to household electric load curve clustering and prediction. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 9(5):5196–5206, 2017.
- 19 Holger Teichgraber and Adam R Brandt. Clustering methods to find representative periods for the optimization of energy systems: An initial framework and comparison. *Applied energy*, 239:1283–1293, 2019.
- 20 V. N. Vapnik and A. Ya. Chervonenkis. On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 16(2):264–280, 1971.
- 21 Vladimir N. Vapnik. *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*. Springer New York, NY, 2000.