

systems biology and neuroscience

UQSA - an R-package for uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis for biochemical reaction network models

Andrei Kramer^{1,+,*}, Federica Milinanni^{2,+}, Pierre Nyquist², Alexandra Jauhiainen^{3,o}, and Olivia Eriksson^{4,o,*}

¹*Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institute, Solna, Sweden*

²*Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.*

³*Early Biometrics and Statistical Innovation, Data Science & AI, BioPharmaceuticals R&D, AstraZeneca, Gothenburg, Sweden*

⁴*Science for Life Laboratory, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden*

**To whom correspondence should be addressed.*

+Have contributed equally to this work as first authors.

oHave contributed equally to this work as last authors.

August 11, 2023

Summary: We present an R-package developed for modeling of biochemical reaction networks that especially includes tools for uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis. Estimating parameters and quantifying their uncertainty, especially in relationship with prediction uncertainty, is required for data-driven systems biology modeling. The methods we chose require efficient sampling from high-dimensional, correlated parameter distributions. We have developed UQSA (Uncertainty Quantification and Sensitivity Analysis) to be fast for the problem class and work well with other tools for modelling. We aim for simplicity, and part of that is our use of the SBtab format for the unified storage of model and data. Our tool-set is modular enough, that parts can be replaced. We use intermediate formats that are not hidden from the user to make this feasible. UQ is performed through Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling in an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) setting. This can be followed by a variance-decomposition based global sensitivity analysis. If needed, complex parameter distributions can be described, evaluated, and sampled from, with the help of Vine-copulas that are available in R. This approach is especially useful when new experimental data become available, and a previously calibrated model needs to be updated. An additional feature is that complicated experimental input data can be easily fitted to corresponding model functions. This is important for e.g. neuroscience applications, where transient time dependent input (spike-trains) are common.

Availability and Implementation: The main part of the code is written in R, as well as the user facing functions. R is a high-level language and allows the use of sophisticated statistical methods. The ode solver is written in C in order to be computationally efficient (from the GSL, odeiv2). We use the SBtab table format for Systems Biology projects [20] for the model description as well as the calibration data and an event system to be able to model complicated transient input. The code has been tested on a one node 256 core computer cluster, but smaller examples are included that can be run on a laptop. The source code is hosted on github.com/icpm-kth/uqsa.

1 Introduction

UQSA has the goal to combine the preexisting statistics tools of R with functions specific to the modeling of biological reaction networks. This R package is well suited for *systems biology* projects as it uses the SBtab table format [20] for the model description and experimental data. It also has functionalities to represent complicated transient input, like spike trains within neuroscience. With *uncertainty quantification* (UQ) we refer to any method that characterizes the full region of the parameter space that result in a good fit to experimental data. While (global) *sensitivity analysis* (SA) refers to the attribution of the uncertainty in outputs to the uncertainty in the parameters over their entire (joint) probability distribution. In the first case (UQ) quantitative experimental data is of utmost importance, in the second case, experimental data is not necessarily needed.

Biochemical reaction network models within systems biology, in neuroscience and elsewhere, have a tendency to be over-parameterized¹, see also [11]. We are confronted with large possible parameter regions, rather than a unique optimal point. In such cases many points allow equally valid best fits to experimental data [15, 24]. There is also measurement noise, as well as intrinsic stochasticity of these systems to consider. Thus, it is important to include the parameter uncertainty in model based predictions. It is also of interest to assess where the uncertainty in the prediction stems from to guide new experiments. It is therefore we include tools for both uncertainty quantification and global sensitivity analysis (GSA) in this package [11] (Figure 1).

The uncertainty of the parameter estimates are quantified directly during the parameter estimation process through a Bayesian approach. The aim is to get a representative sample of the parameter region (the posterior distribution) which allow a good fit to data (Figure 1). This done through Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling [13] and an Approximate Bayesian Com-

putation (ABC) [21] framework. The standard Bayesian framework is likelihood-based (see e.g. [18]), but it is common to use Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) [21] when the likelihood is intractable or complex, as for stochastic models. For practical reasons we use ABC for all our models, both stochastic and deterministic ODE models (were a likelihood exist), with the aim to also implement likelihood based methods in the future. The use of ABC with deterministic ordinary differential equation (ODE) models (see e.g. [27]) have been discussed recently [1] with the recommendation to use likelihood-based Bayesian methods for these, when possible, because of computational efficiency. It has also been emphasized that the measurement noise has to be accounted for if ABC methods are used with deterministic ODE models, either directly when the model is simulated or through *probabilistic acceptance criteria* ([1, 26, 28]).

Bayesian methods provide a good framework for uncertainty analysis and MCMC is a good method to use the Bayes theorem for this problem class in practice; but, MCMC and ABC² have costly disadvantages. Sampling (using either method) means to solve the model many thousands of times (forward), accepting and rejecting moves; this is computationally costly and forces us to use fast and efficient ODE solvers. Sampling explores the volume of the parameter space within the limits of acceptable fits and thus scales exponentially with increasing numbers of parameters³. The samples have intrinsic (integrated) auto-correlation; every MCMC sample has an *effective sample size*, which is lower if the auto-correlation is higher, see [2]. Bad MCMC configurations (transition kernel choice, etc.) have large auto-correlations. Furthermore, MCMC methods typically need a good initial location to begin sampling and have a convergence phase, where the target distribution is approached. Many implementations have been developed specifically to solve these difficulties generally: parallel tempering [10], Hamiltonian Monte Carlo [4], Fisher information as metric tensors for movement [14],

¹for good reason, in mechanistic models the parameters have meaning by themselves, so in this case the data sets are too sparse rather than the model too large

²both are sampling methods

³this is worse than with optimization - a big optimization problem differs from a big sampling problem by many orders of magnitude

and automatic tuning of acceptance rates [6]. However, for some model classes⁴ these solutions can further increase the computational costs dramatically; the urgent need for numerically efficient implementations remains, regardless of the chosen algorithm. Other approaches, such as the profile likelihood method [19], address the scaling problem directly by exploring the likelihood’s shape⁵ rather than sampling from a target distribution. Our package aims to offer a use-friendly tool with good sampling methods specifically for models in biology.

In previous work, we introduced the use of Vine-copulas to model complex multivariate probability distributions between sampling runs, for use in model calibration [11]. Vine copulas are flexible tools to model high-dimensional probability distributions [3]. By separating the dependency structure from the marginal distributions and using bivariate copulas with Vines, complex distributions can be described. We recommend using Vine copulas especially in the setting when an already calibrated model needs to be adapted to new experimental data sets. As new data become available, the posterior distribution of the parameters from the previous model calibration can be approximated via Vine copulas and used as the prior for the fitting of the new data sets, avoiding the need to re-calibrate the model with all available data sets.

In [11] we also introduced the possibility perform a global sensitivity analysis [25] on the posterior distribution from an uncertainty quantification run (see Figure 1). This could, for example, be done in order to find out which parameter that if it was known, would reduce the uncertainty of the predictions the most; to guide further experiments. This required adaptations to standard global sensitivity analysis algorithms were the input factors (e.g. model parameters) are assumed to be orthogonal, in order to handle the non-orthogonal inputs [25, 11] resulting from a uncertainty quantification run.

SBtab (table format for Systems Biology) is designed to support automated data integration and model building [20]. The format can be adapted to new types of data and can be used

⁴including ordinary differential equation models

⁵in profile

⁶we use the solvers in the GNU Scientific Library

for data exchange in Systems Biology. SBtab relies on the structure of spreadsheets and includes predefined table types for experimental data and SBML-compliant model constituents. SBtab is well suited to deal with model calibration projects as the model, the experimental data, and the prior assumptions made on the possible parameter distributions can be defined within SBtab. These prior assumptions (prior distribution) can for example correspond to ranges for all parameters taken from previous knowledge, or to known physical constraints.

The UQSA tool allows the user to chose whether to simulate the model as a stochastic or deterministic system. Several other implementations of model fitting via MCMC and/or ABC in R exist (e.g. [16], [9]), however, the UQSA tool is more comprehensive, offering a full framework for UQSA on biochemical network models.

2 Implementation

In our implementation, functionality that can be more broadly useful, has been turned into a standalone package when feasible. We use these packages as dependencies, if needed, especially in examples. But, they use standard R variable types (no special classes), and can be replaced with alternative methods to achieve the same effect. The packages we use in our examples can be found in the same GitHub account; we consider them a part of the UQSA tool-set.

2.1 Features of the UQSA tool-set

- An easy to use, human, and machine readable format for reaction based models and calibration data in the form of SBtab.
- Functions for converting models and experimental data from SBtab spreadsheets into R variables (`icpm-kth/SBtabVFGEN`). While parsing a model, these functions also create R and C files⁶ that contain functions with the ODE vector field and its Jacobian, as well as other functions related to the model, [12, 17].




Figure 1: Full model calibration requires the uncertainty of the parameter estimates to be quantified through a Bayesian approach and that this uncertainty (the posterior distribution) is propagated to the predictions made from the model. Finally a global sensitivity analysis can be performed on the posterior distribution to guide further experiments. Figure modified from [11]

- Functions⁷ for simulating the model either as an ODE system in R or C [12, 17] or as a stochastic model using the GillespieSSA2 package [7].
- An MCMC algorithm to sample from the posterior distribution using an ABC scheme. For ODE models measurement noise is modeled.
- An ABC distance function in the form of weighted euclidean distance, with weights given by (the reciprocals of) the experimental measurement errors (if available) [23]. The ABC distance function can be also user-defined.
- Interfacing a Vine copula based approach to sample from a non-trivial, non-orthogonal prior, most relevant for sequential fitting of data sets to a model.
- Functionality for global sensitivity analysis on orthogonal and non-orthogonal input factors.
- An approach to model simulation that is oriented around *experiments*; one experiment may be composed of several simulations.
- An event system for scheduled events within an experiment, to model sudden activation (or other changes) within an experimental protocol.

2.2 Modelling examples

We provide a range of different models and corresponding experimental data to demonstrate the use of the UQSA software. These examples are named: AKAR4, AKAP79, CaMKII after some important species of the models. The AKAR4 model is the smallest example and available as a Jupyter notebook in the format of a tutorial.

- AKAR4 [8], a small model with two simulation backends
 - ODE model with stochastic noise, also provided as a Jupyter notebook.
 - stochastic model, available as an R-script, using a Gillespie solver.
- AKAP79 [5, 8], larger ODE model example provided as an R-script. The experimental data correspond to time-series.

⁷interfaces

- CaMKII [22, 11], larger ODE model example provided as an R-script. The experimental data consist of dose-response curves.

3 Conclusion and outlook

The UQSA tool addresses a need for better validation of biochemical network models occurring for example within neuroscience or systems biology projects. With this package the uncertainty of parameter estimates can be provided at the same time as the parameter estimation is performed. This uncertainty can also be propagated to the predictions made by the model and a global sensitivity analysis can be performed to guide further experiments (Figure 1). Other tools for uncertainty quantification exist (see e.g. pyABC [26]), the advantages with this toolbox is that it is tailored for biochemical network models (through the use of SBtab) and the ability to perform uncertainty quantification *as well as* global sensitivity analysis. The users get a choice between different numerical solvers for the simulations,

in R and C. This means that you can run your model either as an ODE or a stochastic system. It is also fast and should be relatively easy to use.

Apart from being necessary in order to falsify a specific model structure, uncertainty quantification is also important for the reproducibility of the model calibration process. As the aim is to provide a representative sample of all statistically viable parameter sets, different calibration results can be compared. In comparison, one (locally) optimal value can differ between different optimization runs (depending on method, or starting locations); the parameters may also be unidentifiable (even structurally) making reproducibility more difficult in the case of optimization.

It is however important to note that when using UQSA only the parameter uncertainty is quantified. The uncertainty of the model structure is not investigated.

This toolbox is under further development and our aims are to provide a likelihood based MCMC algorithm, parallel tempering, as well as improve user-friendliness.

Acknowledgements

The computations were enabled by resources provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at PDC Centre for High Performance Computing partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreements no. 2022-06725 and no. 2018-05973.

Funding

This work has been supported by The Swedish e-Science Research centre (SeRC) and the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme (945539 (The Human Brain Project))

References

- [1] Amani A Alahmadi, Jennifer A Flegg, Davis G Cochrane, Christopher C Drovandi, and Jonathan M Keith. A comparison of approximate versus exact techniques for bayesian parameter inference in nonlinear ordinary differential equation models. *Royal Society open science*, 7(3):191315, 2020.
- [2] Benjamin Ballnus, Sabine Hug, Kathrin Hatz, Linus Görlitz, Jan Hasenauer, and Fabian J Theis. Comprehensive benchmarking of markov chain monte carlo methods for dynamical systems. *BMC Systems Biology*, 11(1):1–18, 2017.
- [3] Tim Bedford and Roger M Cooke. Vines—a new graphical model for dependent random variables. *The Annals of Statistics*, 30(4):1031–1068, 2002.

- [4] Michael Betancourt, Simon Byrne, Sam Livingstone, and Mark Girolami. The geometric foundations of Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. *Bernoulli*, 23(4A):2257 – 2298, 2017.
- [5] Joseph Daniel Buxbaum and Yadin Dudai. A quantitative model for the kinetics of camp-dependent protein kinase (type ii) activity: Long-term activation of the kinase and its possible relevance to learning and memory. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 264(16):9344–9351, 1989.
- [6] Mylène Bédard. Optimal acceptance rates for metropolis algorithms: Moving beyond 0.234. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 118(12):2198–2222, 2008.
- [7] Robrecht Cannoodt, Wouter Saelens, Louise Deconinck, and Yvan Saeys. Spearheading future omics analyses using dyngen, a multi-modal simulator of single cells. *Nature Communications*, 2021.
- [8] Timothy W Church, Parul Tewatia, Saad Hannan, João Antunes, Olivia Eriksson, Trevor G Smart, Jeanette Hellgren Kotaleski, and Matthew G Gold. Akap79 enables calcineurin to directly suppress protein kinase a activity. *Elife*, 10:e68164, 2021.
- [9] Katalin Csilléry, Olivier François, and Michael GB Blum. abc: an r package for approximate bayesian computation (abc). *Methods in ecology and evolution*, 3(3):475–479, 2012.
- [10] David J. Earl and Michael W. Deem. Parallel tempering: Theory, applications, and new perspectives. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 7:3910–3916, 2005.
- [11] Olivia Eriksson, Alexandra Jauhiainen, Sara Maad Sasane, Andrei Kramer, Anu G Nair, Carolina Sartorius, and Jeanette Hellgren Kotaleski. Uncertainty quantification, propagation and characterization by bayesian analysis combined with global sensitivity analysis applied to dynamical intracellular pathway models. *Bioinformatics*, 35(2):284–292, 2019.
- [12] Mark Galassi, Jim Davies, James Theiler, Brian Gough, Gerard Jungman, Patrick Alken, Michael Booth, and Fabrice Rossi. Gnu scientific library. *No. Release*, 2, 1996.
- [13] Andrew Gelman, John B Carlin, Hal S Stern, and Donald B Rubin. *Bayesian data analysis*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1995.
- [14] Mark Girolami and Ben Calderhead. Riemann Manifold Langevin and Hamiltonian Monte Carlo Methods. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 73(2):123–214, 03 2011.
- [15] Ryan N Gutenkunst, Joshua J Waterfall, Fergal P Casey, Kevin S Brown, Christopher R Myers, and James P Sethna. Universally sloppy parameter sensitivities in systems biology models. *PLoS computational biology*, 3(10):e189, 2007.
- [16] Franck Jabot, Thierry Faure, and Nicolas Dumoulin. Easy abc: performing efficient approximate bayesian computation sampling schemes using r. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(7):684–687, 2013.
- [17] Karline Soetaert, Thomas Petzoldt, and R. Woodrow Setzer. Solving differential equations in R: Package deSolve. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 33(9):1–25, 2010.
- [18] Andrei Kramer, Jan Hasenauer, Frank Allgöwer, and Nicole Radde. Computation of the posterior entropy in a bayesian framework for parameter estimation in biological networks. In *2010 IEEE International Conference on Control Applications*, pages 493–498. IEEE, 2010.
- [19] Clemens Kreutz, Andreas Raue, Daniel Kaschek, and Jens Timmer. Profile likelihood in systems biology. *The FEBS Journal*, 280(11):2564–2571, 2013.

- [20] Timo Lubitz, Jens Hahn, Frank T. Bergmann, Elad Noor, Edda Klipp, and Wolfram Liebermeister. SBtab: a flexible table format for data exchange in systems biology. *Bioinformatics*, 32(16):2559–2561, 2016.
- [21] Paul Marjoram, John Molitor, Vincent Plagnol, and Simon Tavaré. Markov chain monte carlo without likelihoods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(26):15324–15328, 2003.
- [22] Anu G Nair, Omar Gutierrez-Arenas, Olivia Eriksson, Alexandra Jauhiainen, Kim T Blackwell, and Jeanette H Kotaleski. Modeling intracellular signaling underlying striatal function in health and disease. *Progress in molecular biology and translational science*, 123:277–304, 2014.
- [23] Dennis Prangle. Adapting the ABC Distance Function. *Bayesian Analysis*, 12(1):289 – 309, 2017.
- [24] Andreas Raue, Clemens Kreutz, Thomas Maiwald, Julie Bachmann, Marcel Schilling, Ursula Klingmüller, and Jens Timmer. Structural and practical identifiability analysis of partially observed dynamical models by exploiting the profile likelihood. *Bioinformatics*, 25(15):1923–1929, 2009.
- [25] Andrea Saltelli, Marco Ratto, Terry Andres, Francesca Campolongo, Jessica Cariboni, Debora Gatelli, Michaela Saisana, and Stefano Tarantola. *Global sensitivity analysis: the primer*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [26] Yannik Schälte, Emmanuel Klinger, Emad Alamoudi, and Jan Hasenauer. pyabc: Efficient and robust easy-to-use approximate bayesian computation. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(74):4304, 2022.
- [27] Tina Toni, David Welch, Natalja Strelkowa, Andreas Ipsen, and Michael PH Stumpf. Approximate bayesian computation scheme for parameter inference and model selection in dynamical systems. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 6(31):187–202, 2009.
- [28] Richard David Wilkinson. Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) gives exact results under the assumption of model error. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 12(2):129–141, 2013.