

A mild Girsanov formula

Giuseppe Da Prato
Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

Enrico Priola
University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Luciano Tubaro
University of Trento, Trento, Italy

6 August 2023

Abstract

We consider a well posed SPDE: $dZ = (AZ + b(Z))dt + dW(t)$, $Z_0 = x$, on a separable Hilbert space H , where $A: H \rightarrow H$ is self-adjoint, negative and such that $A^{-1+\beta}$ is of trace class for some $\beta > 0$, $b: H \rightarrow H$ is Lipschitz continuous and W is a cylindrical Wiener process on H . We denote by $W_A(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW(s)$, $t \in [0, T]$, the stochastic convolution. We prove, with the help of a formula for nonlinear transformations of Gaussian integrals due to R. Ramer [15], the following identity

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_X \Phi(h + e^{\cdot A}x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + I(\gamma_x)(h) \right\} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh),$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ is the law of W_A in $C([0, T], H)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ its Cameron–Martin space,

$$[\gamma_x(k)](t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(k(s) + e^{sA}x) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad k \in C([0, T], H)$$

and $I(\gamma_x)$ is the Itô integral of γ_x . Some applications are discussed; in particular, when b is dissipative we provide an explicit formula for the law of the stationary process and the invariant measure ν of the Markov semigroup (P_t) . Some concluding remarks are devoted to a similar problem with colored noise.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification AMS: 60H15, 60H07, 60H30.

Key words: Mild stochastic equation, Girsanov formula, Malliavin Calculus, Gaussian divergence.

Contents

1	Introduction and setting of the problem	2
2	The Cameron–Martin space	5
2.1	Brownian Motion	8

3	Proof of the mild Girsanov formula	9
3.1	The Ramer identity	9
3.2	Some estimates	11
4	Invariant measure	12
4.1	Preliminaries on Gaussian measures on locally convex spaces	12
4.2	Existence of invariant measure	14
4.3	Law of $W_{A,-\infty}$ on $E_{-\infty}$	16
4.4	Representation formula for the invariant measure	17
5	Colored noise	19
A	Maximal regularity for linear evolution equations	21
B	A disintegration theorem	22

1 Introduction and setting of the problem

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space, (norm $|\cdot|_H$, scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$). We are concerned with the following stochastic differential equation on H ,

$$\begin{cases} dZ_x(t) = (AZ_x(t) + b(Z_x(t))dt + dW(t), & t \geq 0, \\ Z_x(0) = x \in H, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

under the following assumptions.

Hypothesis 1. (i) $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a self-adjoint operator and there is $\omega > 0$ such that $\|e^{tA}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq e^{-\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$. Moreover, $(-A)^{-1+\beta}$ is of trace class for some $\beta > 0$.

(ii) $b: H \rightarrow H$ is Lipschitz continuous.

(iii) W is an H -valued cylindrical Wiener process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

We shall denote by W_A the *stochastic convolution*

$$W_A(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW(s), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Thanks to Hypothesis 1(i), W_A is a continuous process, see, e.g., [4, Theorem 2.6].

We fix $T > 0$ and set $E_{[0,T]} = C([0,T]; H)$, the space of all continuous mapping $[0, T] \rightarrow H$ endowed with the sup norm; we shall denote by $\mathcal{B}(E_{[0,T]})$ the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of $E_{[0,T]}$. Moreover, $B_b(E_{[0,T]})$ will represent the space of all mappings $E_{[0,T]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are Borel and bounded.

The law of W_A in $E_{[0,T]}$ is Gaussian $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, that is, it has mean 0 and covariance \mathbb{Q}_T ; it will be described in Section 2 below.

The goal of this paper is to determine the law of Z_x on $E_{[0,T]}$ for all $x \in H$ represented as a special integral with respect to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, that we shall call a *mild Girsanov* formula, and to deduce some consequences from this identity.

We shall proceed as follows. Setting $Z_x(t) - e^{tA}x =: K_x(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, equation (1.1) becomes

$$K_x(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(K_x(s) + e^{sA}x) ds + W_A(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.3)$$

Then we associate with the stochastic equation (1.3) the following deterministic one,

$$k_x(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(k_x(s) + e^{sA}x) ds + h(t), \quad h \in E_{[0,T]}, t \in [0, T], \quad (1.4)$$

that, by a standard fixed point arguments, has a unique solution in $E_{[0,T]}$ that we denote by $k_x(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$. Next, for every $x \in H$ we consider the mapping

$$F_x: E_{[0,T]} \rightarrow E_{[0,T]}, \quad h \rightarrow F_x(h) = k_x. \quad (1.5)$$

We shall denote by $G_x: E_{[0,T]} \rightarrow E_{[0,T]}$ the inverse mapping of F_x , which reads as follows

$$[G_x(h)](t) = h(t) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(h(s) + e^{sA}x) ds, \quad h \in E_{[0,T]}. \quad (1.6)$$

Since b is Lipschitz continuous, both F_x and G_x are continuous; therefore they are homeomorphisms from $E_{[0,T]}$ onto $E_{[0,T]}$.

A key role will be played in what follows by the mapping $\gamma_x(h) = h - G_x(h)$, $h \in E_{[0,T]}$, (see e.g. identity (1.9)),

$$[\gamma_x(k)](t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(k(s) + e^{sA}x) ds, \quad k \in E_{[0,T]}, t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.7)$$

Now the solution $K_x(\cdot)$ of (1.3) can be written as $K_x(\cdot) = F_x(W_A(\cdot))$. So, the law of Z_x on $E_{[0,T]}$ is given by

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Z_x(\cdot))] = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(K_x(\cdot) + e^{\cdot A}x)] = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(F_x(W_A(\cdot)) + e^{\cdot A}x)], \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(\mathbb{E}_{[0,T]}).$$

Therefore, by a change of variables, it results

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \Phi(F_x(h(\cdot)) + e^{\cdot A}x) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh), \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}). \quad (1.8)$$

This formula is usual when dealing with stochastic equations with additive noise, see e.g. [9]. But we look for a formula involving G_x rather than F_x because G_x is explicit while F_x is not.

So, we set $F_x(h) = k$, and obtain

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \Phi(k + e^{\cdot A}x) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T} \circ G_x)(dk), \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}). \quad (1.9)$$

But now we need to show that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}_T} \circ G_x \ll N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}. \quad (1.10)$$

In fact, using an identity due to R. Ramer, [15](see Section 3.1 below) we show that

$$\frac{d(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T} \circ G_x)}{d\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}(h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + [I(\gamma_x)](h) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T} - a.s. \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ denotes the Cameron–Martin space of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ and $I(\gamma_x)$ the Itô integral of γ_x , which results to be adapted.

So, we end up with, the identity

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \Phi(h + e^{\cdot A} x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + I(\gamma_x)(h) \right\} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh), \quad (1.12)$$

which it will be proved in Theorem 3.2 below.

As a consequence of (1.12) we obtain an expression for the transition semigroup P_t , $t \geq 0$, corresponding to the process Z_x , see Corollary 3.3,

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Z_x(t))] = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \varphi(k(t) + e^{tA} x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(k)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + I(\gamma_x)(k) \right\} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dk), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.13)$$

Remark 1.1. When $A = 0$ identity (1.12) follows from the classical Girsanov formula, see e.g. [2].

We end this section with some comments about identities (1.12) and (1.13). First we note that the classical Girsanov formula describes the law of Z_x in terms of the Wiener measure on $C([0, T]; H)$, and so, does not provide any information about the asymptotic behaviour of Z_x . Instead the mild Girsanov formula allows to guess the explicit form of the invariant measure ν of P_t , $t \geq 0$ in case this measure exists.

To give an idea: consider the problem

$$Z_{x,-n}(t) = e^{(t+n)A} x + \int_{-n}^t e^{(t-r)A} b(Z_{x,-n}(r)) dr + W_{A,-n}(t), \quad t \leq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.14)$$

where $W_{A,-n}(t)$ denotes the modified *stochastic convolution*

$$W_{A,-n}(t) = \int_{-n}^t e^{(t-r)A} dW(r),$$

where W is extended to \mathbb{R} in the usual way. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we are able to obtain an explicit formula for the invariant measure, see Theorem 4.3.

In Section 4 we will examine the case when b is Lipschitz continuous and dissipative. In this case it is known that there is a unique invariant measure ν , see [8]. We shall prove explicit representation formulas both for ν and for its density with respect to the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process that we

obtain setting $b = 0$. A final result of this theorem yields a representation formula for the law of the stationary process corresponding to (1.1).

Let us explain briefly the content of the paper.

The application of the Ramer formula requires some preliminaries as: the Cameron–Martin space of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, determined in Section 2 as well the Malliavin derivative and the Gaussian divergence operator. The mild Girsanov formula, Theorem 3.2, is proven in Section 3.

Moreover, Section 4 is devoted to an explicit formula for the law of the stationary process of P_t on $(-\infty, 0]$ (and consequently of the invariant measure) when b is dissipative. Here a difficulty arises because the stationary process lives on the space of continuous functions on $(-\infty, 0]$, not necessarily bounded, which is a separable Fréchet space. Then, as far as the Malliavin calculus is concerned on locally convex spaces, we refer to the monograph [2]. In Section 5 we consider stochastic equations with an additive colored noise. Finally, Appendix A is devoted to recall some maximal regularity results for abstract evolution equations, which are needed in what follows.

2 The Cameron–Martin space

Since

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |W_A(t)|_H^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \text{Tr} [(-A)^{-1} (1 - e^{2tA})] dt < \infty,$$

we can extend the measure $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ from $E_{[0,T]}$ to $\mathbb{X} := L^2(0, T; H)$ which we shall denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}$ is Gaussian, with mean 0 and covariance $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T$ given by

$$(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T h)(t) = \int_0^T K(t, s) h(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad h \in \mathbb{X}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$K(t, s) = \int_0^{\min\{t, s\}} e^{(t+s-2r)A} dr \quad t, s \in [0, T], \quad (2.2)$$

see e.g. [8, Theorem 5.4]. The measure $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}$ is concentrated on $E_{[0,T]}$ and its Cameron–Martin space $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T^{-1/2}(\mathbb{X})$ coincides with that of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, see e.g. [8, Proposition 2.10].

The following lemma is well known; we give a sketch of its proof, however, for the reader convenience.

Lemma 2.1. *Setting $\mathbb{A}_T = -\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T^{-1}$, it results*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A}_T f = f''(t) - A^2 f(t), & \forall f \in D(\mathbb{A}_T) \\ D(\mathbb{A}_T) = \{f \in L^2(0, T; D(A^2)) \cap W^{2,2}(0, T; H) : f(0) = 0, f'(T) = Af(T)\}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Given $h \in \mathbb{X}$ set $\overline{Q_T} h = f$ and write

$$\int_0^t K(t, s) h(s) ds + \int_t^T K(t, s) h(s) ds = f(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

So,

$$\int_0^t \left(\int_0^s e^{(t+s-2r)A} dr \right) h(s) ds + \int_t^T \left(\int_0^t e^{(t+s-2r)A} dr \right) h(s) ds = f(t). \quad (2.4)$$

Note that $f(0) = 0$. Introducing $Q_t = \int_0^t e^{2sA} ds = (-2A)^{-1}(I - e^{2tA})$, $t \geq 0$, and differentiating (2.4) with respect to t yields

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t h(t) + A \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s e^{(t+s-2r)A} dr \right) h(s) ds \\ - Q_t h(t) + \int_t^T e^{(s-t)A} h(s) ds + A \int_t^T \left(\int_0^t e^{(t+s-2r)A} dr \right) h(s) ds = f'(t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$A f(t) + \int_t^T e^{(s-t)A} h(s) ds = f'(t), \quad (2.5)$$

which implies $f'(T) = A f(T)$. Now, differentiating (2.5) with respect to t , yields

$$A f'(t) - h(t) - A \int_t^T e^{(s-t)A} h(s) ds = f''(t). \quad (2.6)$$

Taking into account (2.5), we deduce

$$f''(t) - A^2 f(t) = -h(t). \quad (2.7)$$

Since $h = -\mathbb{A}_T f$, we have

$$\mathbb{A}_T f = f''(t) - A^2 f(t),$$

as required. \square

Now we can determine the *Cameron–Martin space* of $\mathcal{N}_{\overline{Q_T}}$ which, as remarked earlier, coincides with that of \mathcal{N}_{Q_T} .

Proposition 2.2. *It results, see Appendix A,*

$$\mathcal{H}_{Q_T} = \mathcal{H}_{\overline{Q_T}} = \{u \in L^2(0, T; D(A)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; H) : u(0) = 0\} := \Gamma_A(H).$$

Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\overline{Q_T}}$, we have

$$|u|_{\mathcal{H}_{\overline{Q_T}}}^2 := |\overline{Q_T}^{-1/2} u|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 = |(-A)^{1/2} u(T)|_H^2 + \int_0^T (|u'(t)|_H^2 + |Au(t)|_H^2) dt. \quad (2.8)$$

Remark 2.3. Note that by interpolation it results, see e.g. [12],

$$\{u \in L^2(0, T; D(A)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; H) : u(0) = 0\} \subset C([0, T]; D(-A)^{1/2}), \quad (2.9)$$

so that the term $|(-A)^{1/2} u(T)|_H$ in (2.8) is meaningful.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. It is enough to assume $f \in D(\mathbb{A}_T)$, since $D(\mathbb{A}_T)$ is dense in $D((-\mathbb{A}_T)^{1/2})$. In this case we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \mathbb{A}f(t), f(t) \rangle_H dt &= \int_0^T \langle f''(t), f(t) \rangle_H dt - \int_0^T \langle A^2 f(t), f(t) \rangle_H dt \\ &= \langle f'(T), f(T) \rangle_H - \int_0^T |f'(t)|_H^2 dt - \int_0^T |Af(t)|_H^2 dt \\ &= -|(-A)^{1/2} f(T)|_H^2 - \int_0^T |f'(t)|_H^2 dt - \int_0^T |Af(t)|_H^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.8) follows. □

We now prove a result which provides an useful information on the support of $N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume Hypothesis 1. Then it results*

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |(-A)^{\beta/2} h|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}^{\leftarrow}(dh) \leq \frac{T}{2} \text{Tr} [(-A)^{\beta-1}] < \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |(-A)^{\beta/2} h|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}^{\leftarrow}(dh) &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |(-A)^{\beta/2} W_A(t)|_H^2 dt \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T dt \left| \int_0^t (-A)^{\beta/2} e^{(t-s)A} dW(s) \right|_H^2 \\ &= \int_0^T dt \int_0^t \text{Tr} [(-A)^{\beta} e^{2(t-s)A}] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \text{Tr} [(-A)^{\beta-1} (I - e^{2tA})] dt \leq \frac{T}{2} \text{Tr} [(-A)^{\beta-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows. □

Remark 2.5. By Lemma 2.4 it follows that \mathbb{Q}_T is concentrated on $D((-A)^{\beta/2})$, where $\beta > 0$ is given in Hypothesis 1.

2.1 Brownian Motion

We are going to define the Brownian motion on \mathbb{X} and $E_{[0,T]}$. To this purpose we first introduce the *white noise* function. Let (ψ_j) be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{X} of eigenfunctions of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T$ and let (λ_j) be the sequence of the corresponding eigenvalues,

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T \psi_j = \lambda_j \psi_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For all $f \in \mathbb{X}$ we set

$$W_f(h) = \langle \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T^{-1/2} h, f \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-1/2} \langle h, \psi_j \rangle_{\mathbb{X}} \langle f, \psi_j^s \rangle_{\mathbb{X}}, \quad h \in \mathbb{X}, a.e.$$

Finally, we define a sequence of Brownian motions in \mathbb{X} by proceeding as in [5]

$$B^\alpha(t) = [W_{\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} e^\alpha}], \quad t \in [0, T], \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.11)$$

where (e_α) is an orthonormal basis on H . As proved in [5], B^α is continuous for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that $\gamma_x \in L^2(E_{[0,T]} \times [0, T]; H) \sim L^2(E_{[0,T]}; \mathbb{X})$

The following result is standard. We only point out that $\mathcal{M}^*(\gamma_x) = \delta(\gamma_x)$ according to the notation of [2] and [13].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $x \in H$ then $\gamma_x \in D(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and it results*

$$[M^*(\gamma_x)](h) = \int_0^T \langle [\gamma_x(h)](s), d[B(s)](h) \rangle_H = \int_0^T \int_0^s \langle e^{(s-r)A} b(h(r) + e^{rA} x) \rangle_H dr, d[B(s)](h) \rangle_H. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} |[M^* \gamma_x](h)|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}(dh) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_0^T |[\gamma_x(h)](s)|_H^2 ds \mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}(dh). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. First note that γ_x is adapted to the natural filtration, so that the conclusion follows from the standard properties of the Itô integral. □

In the following we shall write sometime for brevity

$$M^*(\gamma_x) = \int_0^T \langle [\gamma_x(\cdot)](s), dB(s) \rangle_H.$$

3 Proof of the mild Girsanov formula

3.1 The Ramer identity

Here we recall the Ramer identity (or Kusuoka-Ramer theorem, [11]). Such theorem has been also applied to study stochastic boundary value problems (see [14], [3] and the references therein).

The following version is an extension to complete separable locally convex spaces (or separable Fréchet spaces) of a result given by D. Nualart [13, pag. 240] (see also Section 6.6 in Bogachev [2] for a corresponding result in general locally convex spaces). In this section we apply this identity in the space of continuous functions, but we will need such extension in Section 4.

We write $\phi \in D(\mathcal{M})$ to indicate that ϕ is differentiable along the directions of the Cameron-Martin space or Malliavin differentiable (cf. [2] and [13]). Moreover $\mathcal{M}^* = \delta$

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathbb{Y} be a complete separable locally convex space and Λ a homeomorphism of \mathbb{Y} onto \mathbb{Y} . Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}}$ be a Gaussian measure on \mathbb{Y} of mean 0, covariance \mathbb{U} and Cameron–Martin space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{U}}$. Set $\phi(h) = h - \Lambda^{-1}h$, for all $h \in \mathbb{Y}$, and assume that $\phi \in D(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}}}$, where \mathcal{M} is the Malliavin derivative in \mathbb{Y} .*

Then it results $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}} \circ \Lambda^{-1} \ll \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}}$ and

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}} \circ \Lambda^{-1}}{d\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{U}}}(h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\phi(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{U}}}^2 + \mathcal{M}^* \phi(h) \right\} \det_2(I - \mathcal{M} \phi(h)), \quad (3.1)$$

where the determinant is intended in the sense of Carleman–Fredholm, see [10].

Now we are ready to show the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume Hypothesis 1, let $x \in H$, $h \in E_{[0,T]}$ and set*

$$[\gamma_x(h)](t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(h(s) + e^{sA}x) ds, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

Then,

- (i) $\gamma_x(h)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ for $h \in E_{[0,T]}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ -a.e..
- (ii) The vector field γ_x belongs to $D(\mathcal{M}) \cap D(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and it is Itô integrable.
- (iii) $\det_2(I - \mathcal{M}(\gamma_x)(h)) = 1$ for $h \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ -a.e. ⁽¹⁾
- (iv) The law of Z_x on $E_{[0,T]}$ is given, for all $\Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]})$, by

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \Phi(h + e^{\cdot A}x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + I(\gamma_x)(h) \right\} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh).$$

⁽¹⁾ \det_2 represents the determinant of Carleman-Fredholm.

Proof. We start from the identity

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ Z_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{E_{[0,T]}} \Phi(h + e^{\cdot A}x) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T} \circ G_x)(dh), \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]})$$

and apply Proposition 3.1, with $\mathbb{Y} = E_{[0,T]}$, $\Lambda = G_x$, $\phi = \gamma_x$ given by (3.2), $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{Q}_T$ and moreover proving that $\det_2(I - \mathcal{M}(\gamma_x))(h) = 1$.

We proceed in different steps.

Step 1. $\gamma_x(h) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, a.e.

In fact, it results

$$\gamma_x(h) = e^{\cdot A} * b(h + e^{\cdot A}x), \quad a.e. h \in \mathbb{X}.$$

So, taking into account (2.8) yields

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^2 = |u|_{\Gamma_A(H)}^2 + |((-A)^{1/2}u(T))|_H \leq CT|u|_{\Gamma_A(H)}^2.$$

Now Step 1 follows from (A.5) and (A.7) using the Lipschitz assumption on b .

Step 2. γ_x belongs to $D(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and it is Itô integrable.

First note that γ_x belongs to $D(\mathcal{M})$. In fact, due to Hypothesis 1, the mapping

$$h \in E_{[0,T]} \rightarrow \gamma_x(h) \in E_{[0,T]},$$

is Lipschitz, so that γ_x belongs to the domain of \mathcal{M} by a well known result, see e.g. [2]. Now it follows that $\gamma_x \in D(\mathcal{M}^*)$ for all $x \in H$, see again [2], where \mathcal{M}^* is indicated by δ . Moreover, as we notice, γ_x is adapted to the natural filtration of the Brownian motion, so that it is Itô integrable. Step 2 is proved.

To prove the last step let us first recall that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ is Hilbert–Schmidt with real eigenvalues (k_n) , then

$$\det_2(I - T) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - k_n)e^{-k_n}.$$

If, in addition, T is *quasi-nilpotent*, it results

$$\det_2(I - T) = 1,$$

because in this case all k_n are zero.

Step 3. $\gamma_x(k)$ is *quasi-nilpotent* for any $k \in \mathbb{X}$, so that

$$\det_2(I - [\mathcal{M}(\gamma_x)](h)) = 1, \quad h \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Assume for a moment that b is C^1 . Then we have

$$[\mathcal{M}\gamma_x(k) \cdot h](\cdot) = (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T)^{1/2} \int_0^{\cdot} e^{(\cdot-s)A} b'(k(r) + e^{rA}x) \cdot h(r) dr.$$

It follows by recurrence that,

$$\|\mathcal{M}\gamma_x(k)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})}^n \leq \frac{1}{n!} \|\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})}^{n/2} \|b'\|_{\infty}^n T^n,$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\mathcal{M}\gamma_x(k))^n\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})} = 0, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{X}, x \in H,$$

which implies that $\mathcal{M}\gamma_x(k)$ is *quasi-nilpotent* for all $k \in \mathbb{X}$ as required. If b is not C^1 we proceed by approximation.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Let P_t , $t \geq 0$, be the transition semigroup corresponding to the process Z_x ,

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Z_x(t))], \quad \varphi \in B_b(H).$$

By (1.13) it follows

Corollary 3.3. *It results*

$$P_T\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Z_x(T))] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi(k(T) + e^{TA}x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}}^2 + [I(\gamma_x)](h) \right\} N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dk), \quad \varphi \in B_b(H). \quad (3.3)$$

3.2 Some estimates

Here we will not distinguish between $N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ and $N_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_T}$. Moreover, in this section we assume, besides Hypothesis 1, that b is bounded. Obviously $\rho(x, k) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T})$ for all $x \in H$ but we do not know whether

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho^n(x, k) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dk) < \infty, \quad n \geq 2,$$

or not. An estimate for $\int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho^n(x, h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh)$ is provided by the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume Hypothesis 1 and let $x \in H$ and $n > 1$. Then it results*

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho^n(x, h) N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) \leq \exp \left\{ (n^2 - n) \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Obviously we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho(x, h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

To estimate ρ^n write

$$\rho^n(x, h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^2 + n[I(\gamma_x)](h) \right\},$$

then setting

$$\tilde{\rho}(x, h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{n^2}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^2 + n[I(\gamma_x)](h) \right\},$$

we see that $\tilde{\rho}$ is obtained by ρ replacing b with nb , so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \tilde{\rho}(x, h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, taking into account that

$$\rho^n(x, h) = \exp \left\{ \frac{n^2 - n}{2} |\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^2 \right\} \tilde{\rho}(x, h),$$

and that

$$|\gamma_x(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_T}^2 = |\mathbb{Q}_T^{-1/2} \gamma_x(h)|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \leq 2|b(k(\cdot) + e^{\cdot A}x)|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \leq 2\|b\|_{\infty}^2, \quad (3.7)$$

we find

$$\rho^n(x, k) \leq \exp \left\{ (n^2 - n) \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \right\} \tilde{\rho}(x, k).$$

Integrating with respect to \mathbb{X} and taking into account (3.6), yields the conclusion. \square

Remark 3.5. By Proposition 3.4 and (2.13) we can find an estimate of $|I(\gamma_x)(h)|_{L^2(\mathbb{X})}^2$ as follows. Write

$$\begin{aligned} |I(\gamma_x)(h)|_{L^2(\mathbb{X})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \left| \int_0^T \langle [\gamma_x(h)](t), dB(t) \rangle_H \right|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_0^T |[\gamma_x(h)](t)|_H^2 dt \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t e^{(t-r)A} b(h(r) + e^{rA}x) dr \right|_H^2 dt \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh) \\ &\leq \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-2\omega r} dr dt = \frac{1}{2\omega} \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \int_0^T (1 - e^{-\omega t}) dt \leq \frac{T}{2\omega} \|b\|_{\infty}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

4 Invariant measure

4.1 Preliminaries on Gaussian measures on locally convex spaces

We follow here [2]. We are given a complete, separable, locally convex space E . We denote by E^* the topological dual of E and by $\mathcal{B}(E)$ the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of E .

A probability measure μ on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ is *Gaussian* if and only for any $F \in E^*$ the law $\mu \circ F^{-1}$ of F is Gaussian on \mathbb{R} .

Let μ be a Gaussian measure on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$. Then the *mean* of μ is defined as the linear functional $m : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by,

$$m(F) = \int_E F(h) \mu(dh), \quad F \in E^*. \quad (4.1)$$

The *covariance* of μ is the mapping $Q \in \mathcal{L}(E^*, E)$ defined as

$$Q(F) = \int_E (F(h) - m(F))(h - m(F)) \mu(dh), \quad F \in E^*.$$

It follows that

$$G(Q(F)) = \int_E (F(h) - m(F))(G(h) - m(F)) \mu(dh), \quad F, G \in E^*. \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, we have

$$F(Q(F)) = \int_E (F(h) - m(F))^2 \mu(dh), \quad F \in E^*. \quad (4.3)$$

We note that the definitions of m and Q are meaningful thanks to the Fernique Theorem, see [2, Theorem 2.8.5].

We denote by $\mathcal{N}_{m,Q}$ the Gaussian measure with mean m and covariance Q . If $m = 0$, μ is said to be *symmetric* and is denoted by \mathcal{N}_Q . All considered Gaussian measures considered in what follows are symmetric. In this case it results

$$F(Q(F)) = \int_E (F(h))^2 \mu(dh), \quad F \in E^*$$

and

$$G(Q(F)) = \int_E F(h) G(h) \mu(dh), \quad F, G \in E^*.$$

E^* , endowed with the inner product

$$(F, G)_\mu = G(Q(F)), \quad F, G \in E^*,$$

is a pre-Hilbert space. We denote by E_μ^* its completion.

Note that Q is extendible to E_μ^* , setting.

$$Q(\psi) = \int_E \psi(k) k \mu(dk), \quad \psi \in L^2(E^*, \mu) = E_\mu^*.$$

We define the *Cameron-Martin space* \mathcal{H}_μ of μ as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \left\{ h \in E : \int_E |F(k)|^2 \mu(dk) \leq 1 \Rightarrow F(h) < +\infty \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

If $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ we set

$$|h|_{\mathcal{H}(\mu)} = \sup\{F(h) : F(Q(F)) \leq 1\}. \quad (4.5)$$

It useful to notice that $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ if and only if there exists $\psi \in E_\mu^*$ such that $h = Q(\psi)$. See [2, Lemma 2.4.1]

4.2 Existence of invariant measure

We shall assume, besides Hypothesis 1 that

Hypothesis 2. $b: H \rightarrow H$ is dissipative.

We start from problem (1.1) whose solution we denote by Z_x whereas the corresponding transition semigroup will be denoted by

$$P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Z_x(t))], \quad \varphi \in B_b(H).$$

It is convenient to modify problem (1.1) by taking into account a generic initial time $-n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} dZ(t) = (AZ(t) + b(Z(t)))dt + dW(t), & t \geq -n, \\ Z(x, -n) = x \in H, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

where W is an H -valued cylindrical Wiener process defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ in the usual way.

Under Hypothesis 1 and the dissipativity of b , there is a unique solution $Z_{x,-n}$ of the mild equation

$$Z_{x,-n}(t) = e^{(t+n)A}x + \int_{-n}^t e^{(t-r)A} b(Z_{x,-n}(r))dr + W_{A,-n}(t), \quad t \geq -n, \quad (4.7)$$

where $W_{A,-n}(t)$ denotes the modified *stochastic convolution*

$$W_{A,-n}(t) = \int_{-n}^t e^{(t-r)A} dW(r).$$

Moreover, there exists the limit

$$Z_{-\infty}(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_{x,-n}(t)$$

and we know by [8] that $Z_{-\infty}$ is the unique, in law, stationary solution of problem (1.1). As it is well known, for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ the law of $Z_{-\infty}(t)$ coincides with the unique invariant measure of Z_x that we denote by ν .

The stationary process $Z_{-\infty}$ is the solution to the mild limit equation

$$Z_{-\infty}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-r)A} b(Z_{-\infty}(r))dr + W_{A,-\infty}(t), \quad t \in (-\infty, 0], \quad (4.8)$$

where $W_{A,-\infty}$ denotes the modified *stochastic convolution*

$$W_{A,-\infty}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-r)A} dW(r).$$

As we said in the introduction, $W_{A,-\infty}$ does not live in $C_b((-\infty, 0]; H)$ but in $E_{-\infty} := C((-\infty, 0]; H)$, the space of all continuous functions $[-\infty, 0] \rightarrow H$.

$E_{-\infty}$ is a separable Fréchet space endowed with the set of seminorms

$$p_{-n}(h) = \sup_{t \in [-n, 0]} |h(t)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, h \in E_{-\infty}$$

Let us also consider the deterministic equation

$$k_{-\infty}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-s)A} b(k_{-\infty}(s)) ds + h(t), \quad t \leq 0, h \in E_{-\infty}, \quad (4.9)$$

which has a unique solution $k_{-\infty}$ by a standard argument. We have $k_{-\infty} = F_{-\infty}(h)$, where

$$F_{-\infty} : E_{-\infty} \rightarrow E_{-\infty}, \quad h \rightarrow k_{-\infty}.$$

$F_{-\infty}$ is a homeomorphism of $E_{-\infty}$ onto itself. We denote by $G_{-\infty}$ its inverse, so that

$$G_{-\infty}(h) = k - \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-s)A} b(h(s)) ds.$$

Finally, we define

$$[\gamma_{-\infty}(k)](t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-r)A} b(k(r)) dr, \quad k \in E_{-\infty}, t \in (-\infty, 0]. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore the solution of (4.7) is given by

$$Z_{-\infty} = F_{-\infty}(W_{A, -\infty})$$

and for all $\Phi \in C_b(E_{-\infty})$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(Z_{-\infty})] = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(F_{-\infty}(W_{A, -\infty}))].$$

Now, let us consider the transformation

$$E_{-\infty} \rightarrow E_{-\infty}, \quad h \rightarrow W_{A, -\infty}(h),$$

which, using the change of variables formula, yields

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\xi)] = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \Phi(F_{-\infty}(h)) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh), \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}$ is the law of $W_{A, -\infty}$ on the Fréchet space $E_{-\infty}$ which is Gaussian with mean zero and covariance $\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}$, given in Proposition 4.1 below.

4.3 Law of $W_{A,-\infty}$ on $E_{-\infty}$

We recall that the dual $E_{-\infty}^*$ of $E_{-\infty}$ coincides with the space of all functions from $BV(-\infty, 0; H)$ with a compact support. If $F \in BV((-\infty, 0]; H)$ we shall write

$$F(h) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle h(t), dF(t) \rangle_H, \quad \forall h \in E_{-\infty}.$$

where the integral is intended in the sense of Stieltjes.

Let $\Phi : E_{-\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and Borel. Then we have

$$(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}} \circ \Phi^{-1}) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(W_{A,-\infty})] = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \Phi(h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh).$$

Now for any $F \in E_{-\infty}^*$, setting

$$\Phi(h) = |F(h)|^2$$

it results

$$F(\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}(F)) = \int_{E_{-\infty}} |F(h)|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh) = \mathbb{E}[|F(W_{A,-\infty})|^2].$$

Let $F \in E_{-\infty}^*$, let us identify F with a BV function with a compact support, say $F \in BV([-n, 0]; H)$. Then we have

$$F(\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}(F)) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{-n}^0 \int_{-\infty}^t \langle e^{(t-r)A} dW(r), dF(t) \rangle dt \right)^2 \right].$$

By a straightforward computation it follows that

Proposition 4.1. *The law of $W_{A,-\infty}$ in $E_{-\infty}$ is a Gaussian measure with mean 0 and covariance $\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}$ given by*

$$F(\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}(F)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle (-A)^{-1} e^{|t-t_1|A} dF(t), dF(t_1) \rangle_H, \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{E}_{-\infty}^*. \quad (4.12)$$

We shall denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}$ the corresponding Cameron–Martin space.

Proposition 4.2. *It results*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}} = \{u \in L^2(-\infty, 0; D(A)) \cap W^{1,2}(-\infty, 0; H) : u(0) = 0\}.$$

Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}$, we have

$$|u|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}}^2 = |(-A)^{1/2} u(0)|_H^2 + \int_{-\infty}^0 (|u'(t)|_H^2 + |Au(t)|_H^2) dt. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. It easily follows from (4.4) and (4.5). □

Consider finally the transformation

$$E_{-\infty} \rightarrow E_{-\infty}, \quad h \rightarrow F_{-\infty}(h)$$

then by (4.11) it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(Z_{-\infty})] = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \Phi(h) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}} \circ G_{-\infty})(dh). \quad (4.14)$$

Now, we shall proceed as in Theorem 3.1 proving, with the help of the Ramer identity, that

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}} \circ G_{-\infty} \ll \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}},$$

where $G_{-\infty} = F_{-\infty}^{-1}$ and there exists $\rho_{-\infty} \in L^1(E_{-\infty}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}})$ such that

$$\rho_{-\infty}(h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_{-\infty}(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}}^2 + [\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^*(\gamma_{-\infty})](h) \right\}. \quad (4.15)$$

Here $\gamma_{-\infty}$ is defined by (4.10) and $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^*(\gamma_{-\infty})$ is the Skorokhod integral with changed sign.

Recall that as for the Malliavin calculus is concerned we refer to [2] where this subject is developed on general locally convex spaces.

4.4 Representation formula for the invariant measure

It is convenient to consider also the case $b = 0$ and the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process

$$Y_x(t) = e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW(s), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.16)$$

its corresponding stationary process $Y_{-\infty}$ reads as follows

$$Y_{-\infty}(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(t-s)A} dW(s), \quad t \leq 0. \quad (4.17)$$

For any $t \leq 0$ the invariant measure of $Y_{-\infty}(t)$ is $\mu = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{2}(-A)^{-1}}$. Also the law of $Y_{-\infty} = Y_{-\infty}(0)$ on $E_{-\infty}$ is given by

$$[\mathbb{P} \circ Y_{-\infty}^{-1}](\Phi) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_{-\infty})] = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \Phi(h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh), \quad \Phi \in B_b(E_{-\infty}). \quad (4.18)$$

Theorem 4.3. *Set*

$$\rho_{-\infty}(h) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_{-\infty}(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}}^2 + [\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^*(\gamma_{-\infty})](h) \right\}, \quad h \in E_{-\infty}. \quad (4.19)$$

Assume that Hypothesis 1 is fulfilled and that b is dissipative. Then the following statements hold.

(i) *The law of $Z_{-\infty}$ in $E_{-\infty}$ is given by*

$$[\mathbb{P} \circ Z_{-\infty}^{-1}](\Phi) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Z_{-\infty})] = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \Phi(h) \rho_{-\infty}(h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh), \quad \Phi \in B_b(E_{-\infty}), \quad (4.20)$$

where $\gamma_{-\infty}$ is defined by (4.10) and $[\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^*(\gamma_{-\infty})]$ is the Skorokhod integral

(ii) It results $\mathbb{P} \circ Z_{-\infty}^{-1} \ll \mathbb{P} \circ Y_{-\infty}^{-1}$.

(iii) The invariant measure ν of Z_x is given by

$$\int_H \varphi d\nu = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \varphi(h(0)) \rho_{-\infty}(h) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh), \quad \varphi \in B_b(H), \quad (4.21)$$

(iv) The invariant measure μ of Y_x is given by

$$\int_H \varphi d\mu = \int_{E_{-\infty}} \varphi(h(0)) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}(dh), \quad \varphi \in B_b(H). \quad (4.22)$$

(v) It results $\nu \ll \mu$. Moreover, we have an explicit formula for $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$.

Proof. (i) First note that γ_{∞} is Lipschitz so that γ_{∞} belongs to the domain of the Malliavin derivative \mathcal{M} . Consequently γ_{∞} also belongs to the domain of \mathcal{M}^* . Then (4.20) follows by a similar proof as Theorem 4.3.

(ii) follows by comparing (4.20) with (4.17). Let us show (iii). Since $\nu = \mathbb{P} \circ Z_{\infty}$, setting $\Phi(h) = h(t_0)$, then by (4.20) we deduce (4.21). The proof of (iv) is similar. Finally, the first part of (v) follows by comparing (4.21) with (4.22). To get an explicit formula for $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ we use a disintegration argument (see Theorem B.1).

To this purpose using the notation of Theorem B.1 we have $\lambda = N_{\mathbb{Q}_{-\infty}}$, $E = E_{-\infty}$ and

$$p : E \rightarrow H, \quad p(h) = h(0), \quad h \in E.$$

By (4.22) we know that $\mu = \lambda \circ p^{-1}$. Introducing

$$p^{-1}(x) = \{h \in E : h(0) = x\}, \quad x \in H,$$

we have that there exists a family of Borel measures $(m_x)_{x \in H}$ in $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ such that the support of m_x is included in $p^{-1}(x)$, for μ -almost all $x \in H$, and

$$\int_H \varphi(x) \nu(dx) = \int_E \varphi(h(0)) \rho_{-\infty}(h) \lambda(dh) = \int_H \varphi(x) \left(\int_{p^{-1}(x)} \rho_{-\infty}(h) m_x(dh) \right) \mu(dx),$$

for any $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Borel and bounded. Setting

$$\psi(x) = \int_{p^{-1}(x)} \rho_{-\infty}(h) m_x(dh)$$

we find that $\psi = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$, μ -a.s. □

5 Colored noise

We are here concerned with the following stochastic differential equation on H ,

$$\begin{cases} dV(t) = (Av(t) + b(V(t))dt + (-A)^{-\epsilon/2} dW(t), & t \geq 0 \\ V(0) = x \in H, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

assuming, the following

Hypothesis 3. (i) $\epsilon > 0$.

(ii) Hypothesis 1(i)-(iii) are fulfilled.

(iii) $(-A)^\epsilon b$ is Lipschitz in H .

Proceeding as in Section 1, we see that problem (5.1) has a unique mild solution V_x which is a continuous adapted process,

$$V_x(t) = e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} b(V_x(s))ds + (-A)^{-\epsilon/2} W_A(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

where W_A still denote the *stochastic convolution*

$$W_A(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

We again fix $T > 0$ and set $E_{[0,T]} = C([0,T]; H)$. As we have seen in Section 2, the law of W_A in $E_{[0,T]}$ is Gaussian $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$, described on Section 2 above.

We are going to determine the law of V_x on $E_{[0,T]}$ for all $x \in H$, by proceeding as in Section 1. Setting $V_x(t) - e^{tA}x = L_x(t)$, equation (5.2) becomes

$$L_x(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} (b(L_x(s) + e^{sA}x))ds + (-A)^{-\epsilon/2} W_A(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, for every $x \in H$ we consider some mappings defined in §1 as k_x, F_x, G_x, γ_x defined in Section 1 above, see (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) respectively.

Now the solution $K_x(\cdot)$ of (5.3) is given by

$$L_x(\cdot) = F_x((-A)^{-\epsilon/2} W_A(\cdot)) \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore we have

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ V_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(F_x((-A)^{-\epsilon/2} W_A(\cdot)) + e^{\cdot A}x)], \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}). \quad (5.5)$$

By the change of variables $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, $\omega \rightarrow W_A(\cdot)(\omega)$ we obtain

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ V_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi \left[F_x((-A)^{-\epsilon/2} h(\cdot)) + e^{\cdot A}x \right] N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}(dh), \quad \varphi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}), t \geq 0, \quad (5.6)$$

where $N_{\mathbb{Q}_T}$ is the law of $W_A(\cdot)$ in \mathbb{C} . Setting $(-A)^{-\epsilon/2}h = k$, yields

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ V_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi [F_x(k(\cdot)) + e^{\cdot A}x] N_{\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon}(dk), \quad \varphi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}), \quad (5.7)$$

where $\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon = (-A)^{-\epsilon} \mathbb{Q}_T$. Note that \mathbb{Q}_T^ϵ is obviously symmetric and of trace class.

Moreover, by the change of variables $F_x(h) = k$, we obtain

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ V_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \Phi(k(\cdot) + e^{\cdot A}x) (N_{\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon} \circ G_x)(dk), \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}). \quad (5.8)$$

We shall denote by \mathcal{H}_T^ϵ the Cameron–Martin space of $N_{\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon}$, endowed with its natural norm $|h|_{\mathcal{H}_T^\epsilon}$. Arguing as in §2 we see that it is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_T^\epsilon := L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{1+\epsilon/2}) \cap W_0^{1,2}(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})), \quad (5.9)$$

Moreover

$$|u|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon}^\epsilon}^2 := |\overline{Q}_T^\epsilon|^{-1/2} u|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 = |(-A)^{1/2+\epsilon} u(T)|_{D_A(\epsilon,2)}^2 + \int_0^T (|u'(t)|_{D(-A)^{\epsilon/2}}^2 + |Au(t)|_{D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})}^2) dt. \quad (5.10)$$

Hypothesis 3 ensures that $\gamma_x(k) \in \mathcal{H}_T^\epsilon$ ⁽²⁾ because

$$b(h + e^{\cdot A}x) \in L^2(0, T, D((-A)^{-\epsilon/2}).$$

Now, by proceeding as in Section 3 we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *The law of V_x on $E_{[0,T]}$ is given by*

$$(\mathbb{P} \circ V_x^{-1})(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \Phi(h + e^{\cdot A}x), \rho_\epsilon(x, k) N_{\mathbb{Q}_T^\epsilon}(dk), \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(E_{[0,T]}), \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\rho_\epsilon(x, k) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} |\gamma_x(k)|_{\mathcal{H}_T^\epsilon}^2 + I(\gamma_x)(k) \right\} \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\gamma_x(k) = \int_0^{\cdot} e^{(\cdot-s)A} b(k(s) + e^{sA}x) ds, \quad x \in H, k \in E_{[0,T]}. \quad (5.13)$$

Remark 5.2. Larger is $\epsilon > 0$ stronger becomes Hypothesis 2(ii) and moreover narrow is the Cameron–Martin space. The Hypothesis 2(ii) becomes stronger and the Cameron–Martin space narrower as $\epsilon > 0$ grows larger.

⁽²⁾which is defined by (5.9)

A Maximal regularity for linear evolution equations

Let us consider an abstract evolution equation,

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

on a Hilbert space H , where $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is self-adjoint negative and $f \in L^2(0, T; H)$.

The following result is well known, see e.g. [12], we recall the easy proof, however, for the reader convenience.

Proposition A.1. *Let*

$$u(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} f(s) ds = (e^{\cdot A} * f)(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then $u \in W^{1,2}(0, T, H) \cap L^2(0, T; D(A))$ and fulfils (A.1). Moreover, it result

$$|u'|_{L^2(0, T; H)} \leq 2|f|_{L^2(0, T; H)}, \quad |Au|_{L^2(0, T; H)} \leq |f|_{L^2(0, T; H)}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. Denote by $\hat{f}(k)$, $k \in H$, the Fourier transform of f and by $\hat{u}(k)$, $k \in H$, the Fourier transform of u . (f and u are extended by 0 outside $[0, T]$). Taking the Fourier transform on both sides of (A.2) yields

$$\hat{u}(k) = A(k - A)^{-1} \hat{f}(k), \quad k \in H.$$

Since $\|A(k - A)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq 1$ we have $|\hat{u}(k)| \leq |\hat{f}(k)|$ for all $k \in H$ and the conclusion follows. \square

Let us define the maximal regularity space $\Gamma_A(H)$ by setting

$$\Gamma_A(H) = L^2(0, T; D(A)) \cap \{u \in W^{1,2}(0, T; H) : u(0) = 0\}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Then $\Gamma_A(H)$, endowed with the norm:

$$|u|_{\Gamma_A(H)}^2 = \int_0^T (|u'(t)|_H^2 + |Au(t)|_H^2) dt,$$

is a Hilbert space.

If $u = e^{\cdot A} * f$ we have

$$|e^{\cdot A} * f|_{\Gamma_A(H)} \leq c_1 |f|_{\mathbb{X}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Moreover, since it results

$$\Gamma_A(H) \subset C([0, T; D((-A)^{1/2}), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

with continuous inclusion, see [1] and [12], there is $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$|((-A)^{1/2}u(T))|_H \leq c_2 |f|_{\mathbb{X}}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Corollary A.2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2}))$. Then*

$$u \in L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{1+\epsilon/2}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Moreover, the following continuous inclusion holds

$$L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{1+\epsilon/2}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})) \subset C([0, T; (-A)^{\epsilon/2})). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Finally,

$$|Au|_{L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})} \leq |f|_{L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})}, \quad |u'|_{L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})} \leq |f|_{L^2(0, T; D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Proposition A.1 replacing H with $D((-A)^{\epsilon/2})$. \square

B A disintegration theorem

For the proof of the next result see, for instance, the appendix in [6].

Theorem B.1. *Let E be a Polish space, $p: E \rightarrow H$ Borel, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ and $\mu = \lambda \circ p^{-1}$ the law of p . There exists a family of Borel measures $(m_x)_{x \in H}$ in $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ such that*

$$\int_E \varphi(h) \lambda(dh) = \int_H \left(\int_E \varphi(h) m_x(dh) \right) (\lambda \circ p^{-1})(dx), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

for all $\varphi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded and Borel.

Moreover the support of m_x is included in $p^{-1}(x)$ for μ -almost all $x \in H$, so that we can write (B.1) as

$$\int_E \varphi(h) \lambda(dh) = \int_H \left(\int_{p^{-1}(x)} \varphi(h) m_x(dh) \right) \mu(dx). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Vladimir Bogachev, Arnaud Debussche and Giorgio Metafunne for useful discussions. Enrico Priola is a member of GNAMPA (INDAM).

References

- [1] A. Bensoussan, G. Da Prato, M. Delfour and S.K. Mitter, *Representation and Control of Infinite Dimensional Systems*, Birkhäuser, Second Edition, 2006.
- [2] V.I. Bogachev, *Gaussian Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1998.
- [3] A. Capietto, E. Priola, *Uniqueness in Law for Stochastic Boundary Value Problems*, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, **23**, No. 3, 613-648, 2011.
- [4] G.Da Prato, *Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs*, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [5] G. Da Prato, *Introduction to Stochastic Analysis and Malliavin Calculus*, Edizioni della Normale, 2013.
- [6] G.Da Prato, A. Lunardi and L.Tubaro, *Surface measures in infinite dimension*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl, **25**, 309-330, 2014.
- [7] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 2002
- [8] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2014.
- [9] M. I. Friedlin and A. Wentzel, *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*, Springer–Verlag, 2012.
- [10] I. G. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of the linear of nonselfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1969.
- [11] S. Kusuoka, *The nonlinear transformation of Gaussian measures in Banach spaces and its absolute continuity I, II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. 1A, **29**, 1982, no 3, 567–597; **30**, 1983, no. 1, 199–220.
- [12] A. Lunardi, *Interpolation Theory*. Third edition. Edizioni della Normale, 2018.
- [13] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*, Second edition Springer, 2006.
- [14] D. Nualart, E. Pardoux, *Second order stochastic differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Stoch. Process. Appl. **39**, 1-24, 1991.
- [15] R. Ramer, *On Nonlinear Transformations of Gaussian Measures*, J. Funtional Analysis, **15**, 166–1 87, 1974.