

ASYMPTOTIC RATES OF CONVERGENCE FOR COMPACT REVERSIBLE MARKOV CHAINS

YUE XU AND XIUWU ZHU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the main term governing the rate at which a compact reversible Markov chain converges to stationarity. As an application, we obtain the sharpest estimates for the corank distributions of uniform, skew centrosymmetric, symmetric, skew-symmetric, and Hermitian matrices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Studying the convergence rate of Markov chains is an important topic in probability theory and it has many important applications in [1, 2]. For an irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain, the convergence rate is exponential, which is called geometrically ergodic, if and only if it satisfies certain drift conditions [3]. If the Markov chain is reversible, the exponential rate is closely related to the non-one eigenvalue of the corresponding transition matrix with largest absolute value [4]. In this paper, we view the transition matrix as a bounded self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space. If it is further assumed that the operator is compact, we employ the spectral decomposition theorem to obtain the main term of the convergence rate. Also, the mixing time of this reversible Markov chain can be obtained.

The main results of this paper are to prove that the operators related to certain classes of Markov chains are compact and to provide all of their eigenvalues. Consequently, we can demonstrate that Markov chains related to the corank distribution of several classes of random matrices over finite fields are also compact, thus obtaining the asymptotic expressions for their distributions. These corank distributions play a significant role in the context of the Cohen-Lenstra conjecture for ideal class groups and Selmer groups [2, 7, 8].

Let P be the transition matrix of an irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain on nonnegative integers. Assume that P is reversible with respect to π . Recall that the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\pi)$ consists of the complex-valued sequences $\mu = (\mu(0), \mu(1), \dots)$ with inner product and norm defined by

$$\langle \mu, \nu \rangle_\pi := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(i)\overline{\nu(i)}}{\pi(i)}, \quad \|\mu\|_\pi := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(i)|^2}{\pi(i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then P is a bounded, self-adjoint, linear operator on $\ell^2(\pi)$ through the action $P \cdot \mu := \mu P$. Recall that the modified (without the factor $1/2$) total variation distance $\|\cdot\|_{tv}$ between $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \ell^2(\pi)$ is defined as follows:

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{tv} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\mu_1(i) - \mu_2(i)| \leq \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_\pi.$$

Date: August 7, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F17, 60J10.

Key words and phrases. reversible Markov chain, convergence rate, corank distribution, random matrix.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume further that P is a compact operator on $\ell^2(\pi)$. Let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ be all eigenvalues of P with non-increasing absolute value. Then for any $\mu \in \ell^2(\pi)$,*

$$\|\mu P^n - \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i^n \mu_i\|_{tv} = O(|\lambda_{k+1}|^n).$$

Here μ_i is the λ_i -component in the spectral decomposition of μ and the implicit constant is less than $\|\mu\|_\pi$. In particular,

$$\|\mu P^n - \mu_0\|_{tv} = \begin{cases} \|\mu_1\|_{tv} \cdot |\lambda_1|^n + O(|\lambda_2|^n), & \text{if } |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \\ (\|\mu_1 + (-1)^n \mu_2\|_{tv}) \cdot |\lambda_1|^n + O(|\lambda_3|^n), & \text{if } |\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mu_0 = (\mu \cdot \mathbf{1})\pi$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$, and the implicit constant here does not exceed $(\|\mu\|_\pi^2 - 1)^{1/2}$.

To consider the corank distribution of random matrices $\text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, it leads to the following transition matrix

$$P_m(i, j) = \begin{cases} q^{-1-2i-m}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - (1 - q^{-i})(1 - q^{-m-i}) - q^{-1-2i-m}, & \text{if } j = i \\ (1 - q^{-i})(1 - q^{-m-i}), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It's irreducible, aperiodic and reversible with a stationary distribution π_m . We may view it as a linear operator on $\ell^2(\pi_m)$.

Theorem 1.2. *P_m is compact on $\ell^2(\pi_m)$, and the set of eigenvalues is $\text{EV}(P_m) := \{q^{-k} : k \geq 0\}$.*

Remark 1.3. In the theorem, the corresponding eigenspaces for each eigenvalues can be constructed explicitly.

Remark 1.4. In general, if q and m are two real numbers with $q > 1$ and $m > -1$, the theorem still holds.

Corollary 1.5. *Let q be a prime power and $m \geq 0$ be a non-negative integer.*

$$\|\text{Prob}(n - \text{rank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{F}_q)) - \pi_m\|_{tv} = \frac{2\pi_m(0)}{(q-1)q^m} \cdot \frac{1}{q^n} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{2n}}\right),$$

where

$$\pi_m(i) = \frac{\eta_\infty(q)}{q^{i(i+m)} \eta_i(q) \eta_{i+m}(q)}, \quad \eta_k(q) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - q^{-i})$$

and the implicit constant is less than $(\pi_m(0)^{-2} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

For a finite abelian p -group G , denote $\text{rk}_p(G) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} G/pG$. Following the method in [9, Proposition 1] (or [10, Proposition 14.1]), we also obtain the cokernel distribution of $\text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let G be a finite abelian p -group and μ be the normalized Haar measure on $\text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, i.e. $\mu(\text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = 1$. For any $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, define the cokernel of M by $\text{coker } M := \mathbb{Z}_p^n / M\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+m}$. Then*

$$\mu(\{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid \text{coker } M \simeq G\}) = w_m(G) + \lambda(G)p^{-n} + O(p^{-2n}),$$

where

$$w_m(G) = \frac{\eta_\infty(p)/\eta_m(p)}{|G|^m \cdot |\text{Aut}(G)|} \text{ and } \lambda(G) = \frac{w_m(G)(p + p^{-m} - p^{\text{rk}_p(G)})}{p-1}.$$

Remark 1.7. The distribution of ideal class groups for quadratic fields can be predicted by cokernel model (for example, [7]). Our corollary suggests that the error term in the corresponding Cohen-Lenstra heuristic should be on the magnitude of Xp^{-n} where n represents the number of generators for class groups. On the other hand, based on numerical results, we conjecture the error term should be $X^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p}}$ for p odd, $X^{\frac{3}{4}}$ for $p = 2$. It suggests that $n \gg \log X$ with probability 1 (for the upper bound of n , see [16]).

Remark 1.8. Both asymptotic expansion in the above two corollary can be extended to q^{-kn} -term for $k \geq 2$.

We also obtain similar sharp results for the corank distributions of symmetric, skew symmetric, skew centrosymmetric and Hermitian matrices.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let P be a transition matrix on nonnegative integers. Assume that P is irreducible and aperiodic, that P has a unique stationary distribution denoted by π . Then by the basic limit theorem, we know that for any nonzero initial distribution μ ,

$$\|\mu P^n - \pi\|_{tv} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here the modified (without the factor $1/2$) total variation distance $\|\cdot\|_{tv}$ between two distributions μ_1, μ_2 is defined as follows:

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{tv} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\mu_1(i) - \mu_2(i)|.$$

It is natural to ask how fast μP^n converges to π . In general, if P satisfies certain drift conditions [3], then the convergence rate is exponential. Now, can we give an explicit asymptotic estimation of the convergence rate for some P ?

2.1. Reversible Markov chain. Assume further that P is **reversible** with respect to π , i.e., $\pi(j)P(j, i) = \pi(i)P(i, j)$ for any $i, j \geq 0$. Note that $\pi(i) > 0$ for all i since P is irreducible. We define the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\pi)$ of the complex-valued sequences by

$$\ell^2(\pi) = \left\{ \mu = (\mu(0), \mu(1), \dots) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(i)|^2}{\pi(i)} < \infty \right\}.$$

The inner product on $\ell^2(\pi)$ is given by

$$\langle \mu, \nu \rangle_{\pi} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(i)\overline{\nu(i)}}{\pi(i)}, \text{ and the norm is } \|\mu\|_{\pi} := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(i)|^2}{\pi(i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $\|\mu\|_{tv} \leq \|\mu\|_{\pi}$. In fact,

$$\|\mu\|_{tv}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(i)|}{\sqrt{\pi(i)}} \cdot \sqrt{\pi(i)} \right)^2 \leq \|\mu\|_{\pi}^2.$$

There is a natural action of P on $\ell^2(\pi)$ through $P \cdot \mu := \mu P$.

Usually, the following spaces are more frequently used literature (for example, [3]). For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, consider

$$\ell_{\text{old}}^p(\pi) := \left\{ f = (f(0), f(1), \dots)^T \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mid \|f\|_{\ell^p} < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$P \cdot f := Pf, \quad \|P\|_{\ell^p} := \sup_{\|f\|_{\ell^p}=1} \|Pf\|_{\ell^p}.$$

Here, $\|f\|_{\ell^p} := (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |f(i)|^p \pi(i))^{1/p}$ for $p \neq \infty$ and $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty} := \sup_i |f(i)|$. Note that both $\|P\|_{\ell^1}$ and $\|P\|_{\ell^\infty}$ are no greater than one. Then by Hölder's inequality, we have $\|P\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1$. Meanwhile, $\ell_{\text{old}}^2(\pi)$ is a Hilbert space where the inner product is given by $\langle f, g \rangle_{\ell^2} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f(i) \overline{g(i)} \pi(i)$. In particular, we have the following isomorphism between two Hilbert spaces which is also compatible with the action of P .

$$\phi : \ell^2(\pi) \rightarrow \ell_{\text{old}}^2(\pi), \quad \mu \mapsto (\cdots, \mu(i)/\pi(i), \cdots)^T.$$

Then

$$\|P\|_{\pi} := \sup_{\|\mu\|_{\pi}=1} \|\mu P\|_{\pi} = \|P\|_{\ell^2} \leq 1.$$

In other word, P is a linear contraction on $\ell^2(\pi)$.

On the other hand, the adjoint operator P^* on $\ell^2(\pi)$ is defined by

$$P^*(i, j) := \frac{P(j, i)\pi(j)}{\pi(i)}.$$

Hence, as a operator, P is also **self-adjoint** since $P^* = P$. For similar reason, we have an isomorphism between $\ell^2(\pi)$ and

$$\ell^2 := \left\{ \nu = (\nu(0), \nu(1), \cdots)^T \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mid \|\nu\| := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\nu(i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}$$

by sending μ to $\mu/\sqrt{\pi}$. Hence $\ell^2(\pi)$ is separable. In conclusion, P is a bounded, self-adjoint, linear operator on the separable space $\ell^2(\pi)$.

2.2. Spectral theory.

Definition 2.1 (The spectrum of a linear operator). *Let T be a linear operator on a complex Hilbert space X . The spectrum denoted by $\sigma(T)$ is defined by*

$$\sigma(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (\lambda I - T) \text{ is not bijective} \}.$$

The spectrum T is divided into 3 disjoint subsets.

(a) The point spectrum, or the set of all eigenvalues of T , is defined by

$$\sigma_p(T) = \text{EV}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \sigma(T) : \text{Ker}(\lambda I - T) \neq 0 \}.$$

(b) The continuous spectrum of T is the set defined by

$$\sigma_c(T) = \{ \lambda \in \sigma(T) : \text{Ker}(\lambda I - T) = 0, \text{ and } \overline{\text{Im}(\lambda I - T)} = X \}.$$

(c) The residual spectrum of T is the set defined by

$$\sigma_r(T) = \{ \lambda \in \sigma(T) : \text{Ker}(\lambda I - T) = 0 \text{ and } \overline{\text{Im}(\lambda I - T)} \subsetneq X \}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Spectral theorem). *Let T be a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space X . Then*

- (1) $\sigma(T)$ is a closed subset in $B(0, \|T\|)$;
- (2) $\sigma_r(T) = \emptyset$;
- (3) all the eigenvalues are real;
- (4) eigenvectors associated with different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Assume further that T is compact, then

- (5) all eigenspaces are finite dimensional;
- (6) For any $r > 0$, there are only finitely many eigenvalues of T with absolute value greater than r .

- (7) $\sigma(T) = \{0\} \cup \sigma_p(T)$ and at least one of $-\|T\|, \|T\|$ is an eigenvalue of T ;
(8) Arrange all eigenvalues by their absolute value: $\|T\| = |\lambda_0| \geq |\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots$. Then

$$\ell^2(\pi) = \overline{\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_{\lambda_i}},$$

where V_{λ_i} are eigenspaces associated with λ_i .

For the completeness, we add some details of proof (see [11, 12]).

Proof. (1) For $|\lambda| > \|T\|$, $\lambda I - T$ is invertible with

$$\left(I - \frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^k.$$

If $\lambda I - T$ is invertible, for any $\gamma \in B(\lambda, \|\lambda I - T\|)$, $\gamma I - T$ is also invertible since

$$\gamma I - T = (\lambda I - T)(I + (\gamma - \lambda)(\lambda I - T)^{-1}).$$

- (2) See [12, Theorem 9.2-4].
(3) For non-zero element $x \in V_\lambda$, we have $\lambda \langle x, x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, Tx \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle x, x \rangle$.
(4) For non-zero elements $x \in V_\lambda$ and $y \in V_\gamma$, we have $\lambda \langle x, y \rangle = \gamma \langle x, y \rangle$.
(5) V_λ is a closed subspace of X , hence a Hilbert space. Since $T/\lambda = I$ is compact on V_λ , so is $\|\cdot\|$. Then the unit ball in V_λ is compact, hence $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$.
(6) Suppose there are infinite eigenvalues λ_i with $|\lambda_i| \geq r > 0$. For each λ_j , take $x_j \in V_{\lambda_j}$ with $\|x_j\| = 1$. Since $\|Tx_i - Tx_j\| = \sqrt{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2} > r$, $\{Tx_i\}$ has no Cauchy subsequence. It contradict to the compactness of T .
(7) Recall the Fredholm alternative: suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator on a Banach space X , and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\lambda I - T$ is surjective if and only if it is injective. In particular, if λ is not an eigenvalue of T , and $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\lambda I - T$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, if $0 \notin \sigma(T)$, then T, T^{-1} , and $I = TT^{-1}$ are compact. This contradict to the assumption that X is infinite dimensional. Recall that,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle Tx, x \rangle \text{ or } - \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle Tx, x \rangle.$$

In the first case, there is a sequence x_n , all with norm 1, such that $\langle Tx, x \rangle \rightarrow \|T\|$, and then $Tx_n - \|T\|x_n \rightarrow 0$. There must be a limit point x such that $Tx = \|T\|x$, i.e. $\|T\|$ is an eigenvalue of T . The second case deduce that $-\|T\|$ is an eigenvalue of T .

- (8) We shall prove that $W := (\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_{\lambda_i})^\perp = \{0\}$. If not, then $\sigma(T|_W) = \{0\}$ without any eigenvalues. Hence $T|_W = 0$, i.e., $\{0\} \neq W \subset \text{Ker } T$ which means that 0 is an eigenvalue. Then it contradict to $W \perp \text{Ker } T$. □

Here we present the proof of Theorem 1.1, this theorem is a generalization of fact 3 in [1].

Proof of theorem 1.1. Since P is irreducible, there is a unique stationary distribution π . It is an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 1. Note that for any $\mu \in V_\lambda, \lambda \neq 1, \mu \mathbf{1} = 0$ since $\mu P \mathbf{1} = \mu \mathbf{1} = \lambda \mu \mathbf{1}$. Now we prove that $\lambda_1 \neq -1$. If not, we take a nonzero eigenvector $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ where $\mu_\pm > 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_+(i) = 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_-(i)$ since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(i) = 0$. Then by the basic limit theorem, $\mu = (-1)^{2n} \mu = \mu P^{2n} = \mu_+ P^{2n} - \mu_- P^{2n} \rightarrow \pi - \pi = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we know that $V_1 = \langle \pi \rangle$.

Using the spectral theorem, for any $\mu \in \ell^2(\pi)$, we write $\mu = \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu_i, \mu_i \in V_{\lambda_i}$. Note that $\mu_0 = (\mu \cdot \mathbf{1})\pi$. Now we have

$$\|\mu P^n - \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i^n \mu_i\|_{\pi}^2 = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{2n} \|\mu_i\|_{\pi}^2 = \lambda_{k+1}^{2n} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \|\mu_i\|_{\pi}^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1}} \right)^{2n} \right) \leq (\|\mu\|_{\pi}^2 - \sum_{i=0}^k \|\mu_i\|_{\pi}^2) \cdot \lambda_{k+1}^{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\|\mu P^n - \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i^n \mu_i\|_{tv} = O(|\lambda_{k+1}|^n).$$

In particular, if $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2|$, we have

$$\|\mu P^n - \pi - \lambda_1^n \mu_1\|_{tv} = O(|\lambda_2|^n).$$

Then

$$\|\mu P^n - \pi\|_{tv} = \|\mu_1\|_{tv} \cdot |\lambda_1|^n + O(|\lambda_2|^n).$$

If $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|$, we have

$$\|\mu P^n - \mu_0\|_{tv} = (\|\mu_1 + (-1)^n \mu_2\|_{tv}) \cdot |\lambda_1|^n + O(|\lambda_3|^n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

3. HILBERT-SCHMIDT MARKOV CHAINS

Definition 3.1. A bounded linear operator T on a separable Hilbert space X is Hilbert-Schmidt if there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_n : n \geq 0\}$ such that $\|T\|_{\mathcal{HS}} := (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$.

Hilbert-Schmidt operator is compact. In fact, let P_N be the projection onto the finite dimension space spanned by $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. Then $P_N T$ is compact (finite rank operator) and converge to T uniformly.

Let $\{\mu_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis consist of eigenvectors. Note that

$$1 = \|\mu_j\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle e_n, \mu_j \rangle^2 \text{ and } 1 = \|e_k\|^2 = \sum_j \langle e_k, \mu_j \rangle^2.$$

On the other hand, denoting $d_i = \dim V_{\lambda_i}$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_j \lambda_j^2 \langle e_n, \mu_j \rangle^2 = \sum_i d_i \lambda_i^2,$$

So T is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if T is compact and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \lambda_i^2 < \infty$. In particular, $\|T\|_{\mathcal{HS}}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i \lambda_i^2$.

Definition 3.2. Let P be an irreducible, aperiodic, and reversible transition matrix with respect to π . Then P is called Hilbert-Schmidt if it is Hilbert-Schmidt as an operator on $\ell^2(\pi)$.

For any two real numbers $q > 1$ and $m > -1$, consider the following transition matrix

$$P_m(i, j) = \begin{cases} q^{-1-2i-m}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - (1 - q^{-i})(1 - q^{-m-i}) - q^{-1-2i-m}, & \text{if } j = i \\ (1 - q^{-i})(1 - q^{-m-i}), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is irreducible and aperiodic since $P_m(i, i) > 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Define π_m by

$$\pi_m(i) = \frac{\theta_m(q)}{q^{i(i+m)}\eta_i(q)\prod_{j=1}^i(1-q^{-m-j})}, \text{ where } \eta_k(q) = \prod_{i=1}^k(1-q^{-i}),$$

and

$$\theta_m(q)^{-1} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i(i+m)}\eta_i(q)\prod_{j=1}^i(1-q^{-m-j})} < \infty$$

Then P_m is also reversible with respect to π_m . Now we prove that P_m is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Proof of theorem 1.2. Firstly, we prove that for any $k \geq 1$, q^{-k} is an eigenvalue. Define $\pi_m \circ q^i \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ as follows.

$$(\pi_m \circ q^i)(k) := \pi_m(k) \cdot q^{ik}.$$

It's easy to check that $\pi_m \circ q^i \in \ell^2(\pi_m)$. Now, we claim that there exists some $a_0, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \cdot (\pi_m \circ q^i)$ is a eigenvector associated with q^{-k} . If so, for any $l \geq 0$, it must have

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \cdot (\pi_m \circ q^i) P_m \right) (l) = q^{-k} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \cdot \pi_m(l) \cdot q^{il} \right)$$

By the reversibility, it is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=l-1}^{l+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^i a_i q^{ij} \right) P_m(l, j) = q^{-k} \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i q^{il} \right)$$

Since $\sum_{j=l-1}^{l+1} P_m(l, j) = 1$, we have

$$P_m(l, l-1) \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (q^{-i} - 1) q^{il} + P_m(l, l+1) \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (q^i - 1) q^{il} = (q^{-k} - 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i q^{il} \right),$$

i.e.,

$$(1 - (1 + q^{-m})q^{-l} + q^{-m-2l}) \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (q^{-i} - 1) q^{il} + q^{-1-m-2l} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (q^i - 1) q^{il} = (q^{-k} - 1) \left(\sum_{i=0}^k a_i q^{il} \right)$$

Compare the coefficient of q^{il} in two sides and denote $a_{k+1} = a_{k+2} = 0$ formally. Then

$$(q^{-i} - q^{-k})a_i - (1 + q^{-m})(q^{-1-i} - 1)a_{i+1} + (q^{-2-i-m} - q^{-m} + q^{i+1-m} - q^{-1-m})a_{i+2} = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

Hence, there are unique solution of $\{a_0, \dots, a_k\}$ up to scalar.

Now we will prove these eigenvectors can generate whole space $\ell^2(\pi_m)$.

One can find that $vP_m = \lambda v$ has only one solution up to scalar, i.e. all V_λ are 1-dimensional. Then the P -invariant subspace

$$V := \langle \pi \circ q^i, i \geq 0 \rangle = \overline{\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_{q^{-i}}}.$$

Now it is sufficient to show that $\delta_0 \in V$, where for any $i \geq 0$, $\delta_i \in \ell^2(\pi_m)$ is given by $\delta_i(k) = 1$ if $k = i$ and 0 otherwise. In fact, if $\delta_0 \in V$, δ_1 will belong to V since it's a linear combination of δ_0 and $\delta_0 P$. By induction

argument, all δ_i , generators of $\ell^2(\pi_m)$, lie in V . From the identity of q -series (due to Euler [13, eq(19)]), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i t^i := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{\prod_{j=1}^i (q^j - 1)} t^i = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-k} t).$$

Take $c_k = \frac{b_k}{\eta_{\infty}(q)\pi_m(0)}$. We claim that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N c_k (\pi_0 \circ q^k) = \delta_0 \in \ell^2(\pi_m).$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \pi_m(0) \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N c_k - \pi_m(0)^{-1} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\eta_{\infty}(q)^2 \pi_m(0)^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_m(i) \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b_k q^{ki} \right|^2 = 0.$$

By the definition of c_k , the first summand converge to 0. It suffices to show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b_k q^{ki} \right|^2 = 0.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k q^{ki} = 0$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^N b_k q^{ki} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} b_k q^{ki} \right|.$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{b_k q^{ki}}{b_{k-1} q^{(k-1)i}} \right| = \frac{q^i}{q^k - 1}.$$

According to the property of alternating series, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} b_k q^{ki} \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} b_{N+1}^2 q^{2(N+1)i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta_{\infty}(q)^2} \frac{1}{q^{(N+1)(N+2)}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} q^{2(N+1)i} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{q^{(N+1)(N+2)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} q^{2(N+1)i - mi - i^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $F(N) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{2Ni - mi - i^2}$ and $f(N) = \frac{F(N)}{q^{N(N+1)}}$. Then

$$F(N+1) = 1 + q^{2N+1-m} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{2N(i-1) - m(i-1) - (i-1)^2} = 1 + q^{2N+1-m} F(N).$$

Hence,

$$f(N+1) = \frac{1}{q^{(N+1)(N+2)}} + \frac{f(N)}{q^{m+1}}$$

and $f(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b_k q^{ki} \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2+mi}} b_N^2 q^{2Ni} \\ &\ll \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} q^{-i^2-mi+2Ni-N(N+1)} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{q^{(m+1)N}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-(i+m/2)^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\pi \circ q^i | i \geq 0\}$ form an orthogonal basis of $\ell^2(\pi_m)$ and $\|P_m\|_{\mathcal{HS}}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{-2k} = (1 - q^{-2})^{-1}$. Therefore P_m is Hilbert-Schmidt. \square

Remark 3.3. From a numerical perspective, we can study eigenvalues using the truncation method [6].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m > -1$ be a real number. If $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i z^i$ satisfying $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 q^{i^2+mi} < \infty$ and for any $k \geq 0$, $f(q^k) = 0$, then $f = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = (\dots, \mu_i, \dots)$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{q^{k(k+m)}} \ll \pi_m(k) \ll \frac{1}{q^{k(k+m)}}.$$

So $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 q^{i^2+im} < \infty$ if and only if $\mu \in \ell^2(\pi_m)$. On the other hand, $f(q^k) = 0, \forall k \geq 0$ is equivalent to $\langle \mu, \pi_m \circ q^i \rangle_{\pi_m} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\mu \in \langle \pi_m \circ q^i | i \geq 0 \rangle^{\perp} = \ell^2(\pi_m)^{\perp} = \{0\}.$$

\square

Remark 3.5. (1) It is equivalent to say that the infinite Vandermonde matrix $\text{Van}(1, q, q^2, q^3, \dots)$ is injective on $\ell^2(\pi_m)$.

- (2) Unlike the conclusion of Carlson's theorem [5] in complex analysis, the main difficulties here are that we cannot control the growth of $f(z)$ and q^k are too sparse.
- (3) If $m < -1$, the lemma is not true. Recall that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i q^i \cdot t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i q^i}{\prod_{j=1}^i (q^j - 1)} t^i = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-k} t).$$

Take $\mu_i = b_i q^i$. Then $f \neq 0$ but we always have $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 q^{i^2+im} < \infty$ for any $m < -1$.

4. CORANK DISTRIBUTION OF RANDOM MATRICES

In this section, we will investigate specific Markov chains that arise from the corank distributions of different types of matrices over finite fields. These problems have been extensively studied in many papers (see [2, 14]). After proving that these Markov chains are all Hilbert-Schmidt, we can deduce the asymptotic expressions for the corank distributions of these matrices from the earlier theorem.

4.1. Uniform case. Let q be a prime power and m a nonnegative integer. The first example we consider is chosen uniformly from all $n \times (n+m)$ matrices over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Define corank $M = n - \text{rank } M$. From [14, Section 1], we know that

$$\text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (\delta_0 P_m^n)(k),$$

and that

$$\pi_m(i) = \frac{\eta_\infty(q)}{q^{i(i+m)} \eta_i(q) \eta_{i+m}(q)}.$$

(one can verify that this π_m is the same as the one defined in section 3). According to theorems 1.2 and 1.1, we get the corollary 1.5.

Proof of corollary 1.5. By the theorem 1.2, we know that the first non-one eigenvalue of P_m is q^{-1} , and

$$\nu := \pi_m - \frac{\pi_m \circ q}{1 + q^{-m}}$$

is an associated eigenvector (unique up to scalar since $V_{q^{-1}}$ is 1-dimensional). Recall that the k -moment of π_m is defined by $M(\pi_m, k) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_m(i) q^{ki}$. It is known that (see [15, Example 6.6])

$$M(\pi_m, 0) = 1, M(\pi_m, 1) = 1 + q^{-m}, M(\pi_m, 2) = 1 + (q+1)q^{-m} + q^{-2m}.$$

Then we have

$$\langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\pi_m} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_m(i) - \frac{2}{1 + q^{-m}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_m(i) q^i + \frac{1}{(1 + q^{-m})^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \pi_m(i) q^{2i} = \frac{(q-1)q^m}{(q^m + 1)^2}$$

Thus the q^{-1} -component of δ_0 is

$$(\delta_0)_{q^{-1}} = \frac{\langle \delta_0, \nu \rangle_{\pi_m}}{\langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\pi_m}} \nu = \frac{(q^m + 1)^{-1}}{\langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\pi_m}} \nu = \frac{q^m + 1}{(q-1)q^m} \nu.$$

On the other hand, note that $\nu(0) > 0$ and $\nu(i) \leq 0$ for any $i > 0$. So $\|\nu\|_{tv} = \frac{2\pi_m(0)}{q^m + 1}$ since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(i) = 0$. Therefore

$$\|(\delta_0)_{q^{-1}}\|_{tv} = \frac{2\pi_m(0)}{(q-1)q^m}$$

□

Remark 4.1. (1) In [2], Jason Fulman and Larry Goldstein prove that (note that $\|\cdot\|_{tv} = 2\|\cdot\|_{TV}$)

$$\frac{1}{4q^{n+m+1}} \leq \|\delta_0 P_m^n - \pi_m\|_{tv} \leq \frac{6}{q^{n+m+1}}.$$

(2) Note that $M(\pi_m, k) = (\pi_m \circ q^k) \mathbf{1}$ and $a_0 \pi_m + a_1 (\pi_m \circ q) \in V_{q^{-1}}$ for some $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. According to the fact that $V_\lambda \perp \mathbf{1}$ if $\lambda \neq 1$, we can get $M(\pi_m, 1)$ and by induction, $M(\pi_m, k)$.

This distribution is closely related to the model of Cohen-Lenstra heuristic for ideal class groups [7].

Proof of corollary 1.6. Recall that the p^i -rank of G is given by

$$\text{rk}_{p^i} G = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} p^{i-1} G / p^i G.$$

By [9, Proposition 1], or [10, Proposition 14.1] (they prove the case $m = 0$ and 1 and the proof of general situation is the same),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \left(\{M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid \text{coker } M \simeq G\} \right) \\ &= \frac{w_m(G)}{\pi_m(\text{rk}_p(G))} \cdot \text{Prob}(\text{corank } \overline{M} = \text{rk}_p(G) \mid \overline{M} \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+m)}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ &= \frac{w_m(G)}{\pi_m(\text{rk}_p(G))} \cdot \left(\pi_m(\text{rk}_p(G)) + \frac{p^m + 1}{(p-1)p^m} \nu(\text{rk}_p(G)) + O(p^{-2n}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Skew centrosymmetric case. Here we assume q is odd. Consider the skew centrosymmetric matrices, i.e., $\text{Mat}_n^{\text{scs}}(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : M_{ij} = -M_{ji} = M_{n+1-j, n+1-i}\}$. It is known that the rank is even (see [2]). Actually, we have

$$\text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = 2k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{2n}^{\text{scs}}(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = 2k + 1 \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1}^{\text{scs}}(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times (n+1)}(\mathbb{F}_q))$$

Therefore, this corank distribution follows from the uniform case.

4.3. Symmetric case. Let q be a prime power. Now we discuss symmetric matrices, i.e., $\text{Mat}_n^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : M^T = M\}$. Similarly, from [14], we have

$$\text{Prob}(\text{corank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_n^{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (\delta_0 P_{\text{sym}}^n)(k).$$

Here

$$P_{\text{sym}}(i, j) = \begin{cases} q^{-i-1}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ q^{-i} - q^{-i-1}, & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 - q^{-i}, & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi_{\text{sym}}(n) = \frac{\alpha(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (q^i - 1)}, \text{ where } \alpha(q) = \prod_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{\infty} (1 - q^{-i}).$$

Theorem 4.2. P_{sym} is Hilbert-Schmidt on $\ell^2(\pi_{\text{sym}})$ and $\text{EV}(P_{\text{sym}}) = \{\pm q^{-k} : k \geq 0\}$.

Proof. Similarly, the eigenvectors associated with eigenvalue $\pm q^{-k}$ can be obtained as a linear combination of vectors $\{\pi_m \circ (\pm q^i) : i = 0, \dots, k\}$. Now it remains to prove that if $\mu \in \ell^2(\pi_{\text{sym}})$ (i.e., $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(i)^2 q^{\frac{i^2}{2}} < \infty$) such that $\mu \perp (\pi_{\text{sym}} \circ (\pm q^i))$ (i.e., $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(i)(\pm 1)^i q^{ki} = 0$), for all i , then $\mu = 0$. In other word, we have that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(2i)(q^2)^{ki} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(2i+1)(q^2)^{ki} = 0.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(2i)^2 (q^2)^{i^2} < \infty, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(2i+1)^2 (q^2)^{i^2} < \infty.$$

So by the lemma 3.4, $\mu = 0$. □

Corollary 4.3.

$$\|\delta_0 P_{\text{sym}}^n - \pi_{\text{sym}}\|_{tv} = \begin{cases} \frac{2q \cdot \alpha(q)}{q^2-1} \cdot \frac{1}{q^n} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{2n}}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{2q \cdot \alpha(q)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{q^n} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{2n}}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where the implicit constant is less than $\alpha(q)^{-1}$.

Proof. Similarly, we have

$$\nu_+ := \pi_{\text{sym}} - \frac{1}{2}(\pi_{\text{sym}} \circ q) \in V_{q^{-1}}, \quad \nu_- := \pi_{\text{sym}} \circ (-q) \in V_{-q^{-1}}.$$

For the k -moment,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\pi_{\text{sym}}, 0) &= 1, \quad M(\pi_{\text{sym}}, 1) = 2, \quad M(\pi_{\text{sym}}, 2) = 2 + 2q. \\ \langle \nu_+, \nu_+ \rangle_{\pi_{\text{sym}}} &= \frac{q-1}{2}, \quad (\delta_0)_+ = \frac{1}{q-1} \nu_+. \\ \langle \nu_-, \nu_- \rangle_{\pi_{\text{sym}}} &= 2 + 2q, \quad (\delta_0)_- = \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \nu_-. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|(\delta_0)_+ + (-1)^n (\delta_0)_-\|_{tv} = \begin{cases} \frac{2q \cdot \alpha(q)}{q^2-1}, & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ \frac{2q \cdot \alpha(q)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

4.4. Alternating case. For alternating matrices (skew symmetric matrices), i.e. the set $\text{Mat}_n^{\text{alt}}(\mathbb{F}_q) := \{M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) : M^T = -M, \text{ and the diagonal elements of } M \text{ are zero}\}$. It is well-known that the rank is even (see [2, 14]). By calculation, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{corank } M = 2j + 1 \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{2n+1}^{\text{alt}}) = (\delta_0 P_{\text{alt}}^n)(j).$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{corank } M = 2j \mid M \in \text{Mat}_{2n}^{\text{alt}}) = (\delta_0 Q_{\text{alt}}^n)(j).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{alt}}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} q^{-4i-3}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - q^{-4i-3} - (1 - q^{-2i})(1 - q^{-2i-1}), & \text{if } j = i \\ (1 - q^{-2i})(1 - q^{-2i-1}), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ Q_{\text{alt}}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} q^{-4i-1}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ 1 - q^{-4i-1} - (1 - q^{-2i})(1 - q^{-2i+1}), & \text{if } j = i \\ (1 - q^{-2i})(1 - q^{-2i+1}), & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi_{\text{alt}}(j) = \frac{\alpha(q)}{q^{2j^2+j} \eta_{2j+1}(q)}, \quad \pi'_{\text{alt}}(j) = \frac{\alpha(q)}{q^{2j^2-j} \eta_{2j}(q)}.$$

Theorem 4.4. P_{alt} (resp. Q_{alt}) is Hilbert-Schmidt on $\ell^2(\pi_{\text{alt}})$ (resp. $\ell^2(\pi'_{\text{alt}})$) and $\text{EV}(P_{\text{alt}}) = \text{EV}(Q_{\text{alt}}) = \{q^{-2k} : k \geq 0\}$.

Proof. The eigenvectors of P_{alt} associated with eigenvalue q^{-2k} can be obtained as a linear combination of vectors $\{\pi_{\text{alt}} \circ (q^i) : i = 0, \dots, k\}$. Now it remains to prove that if

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(i)^2 (q^2)^{i^2+i/2} < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(i) (q^2)^{ki} = 0,$$

then $\mu = 0$. This can be directly inferred from the lemma 3.4. The proof of Q_{alt} is similar. \square

Corollary 4.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_0 P_{\text{alt}}^n - \pi_{\text{alt}}\|_{tv} &= \frac{2\alpha(q)}{(q-1)^2(q+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{q^{2n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{4n}}\right), \\ \|\delta_0 Q_{\text{alt}}^n - \pi'_{\text{alt}}\|_{tv} &= \frac{\alpha(q)q}{(q-1)(q+1)} \frac{1}{q^{2n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{4n}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants are less than $\eta_1(q)\alpha(q)^{-1}$ and $\alpha(q)^{-1}$ respectively.

Proof. For P_{alt} , we can find $\nu := \pi_{\text{alt}} - \frac{q}{q+1}(\pi_{\text{alt}} \circ q^2) \in V_{q^{-2}}$ and

$$(1+q^2)(1+q^{-1})(\pi_{\text{alt}} - \pi_{\text{alt}} \circ q^2) + \pi_{\text{alt}} \circ q^4 \in V_{q^{-4}}$$

For the k -moment,

$$M(\pi_{\text{alt}}, 0) = 1, \quad M(\pi_{\text{alt}}, 2) = 1 + q^{-1}, \quad M(\pi_{\text{alt}}, 4) = (1+q^2)(1+q^{-1})q^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\pi_{\text{alt}}} = q(q-1)(q+1)^{-1} \text{ and } \|\nu\|_{tv} = 2\nu(0) = \frac{2\alpha(q)}{(q+1)\eta_1(q)}.$$

Then the q^{-2} -component of δ_0 is

$$(\delta_0)_{q^{-2}} = q^{-1}(q-1)^{-1}\nu$$

and

$$\|(\delta_0)_{q^{-2}}\|_{tv} = \frac{2\alpha(q)}{(q-1)^2(q+1)}.$$

For Q_{alt} , we can find $\nu' := \pi_{\text{alt}} - \frac{1}{q+1}(\pi'_{\text{alt}} \circ q^2) \in V_{q^{-2}}$ and

$$\pi'_{\text{alt}} - \frac{1}{q}(\pi'_{\text{alt}} \circ q^2) + \frac{1}{q(q+1)(q^2+1)}(\pi'_{\text{alt}} \circ q^4) \in V_{q^{-4}}.$$

For the k -moment,

$$M(\pi'_{\text{alt}}, 0) = 1, \quad M(\pi'_{\text{alt}}, 2) = q+1, \quad M(\pi'_{\text{alt}}, 4) = (q+1)(q^2+1).$$

Then

$$\langle \nu', \nu' \rangle_{\pi'_{\text{alt}}} = \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} \text{ and } \|\nu'\|_{tv} = \frac{\alpha(q)q}{q+1}.$$

Then the q^{-2} -component of δ_0 is

$$(\delta_0)_{q^{-2}} = (q-1)^{-1}\nu'$$

and

$$\|(\delta_0)_{q^{-2}}\|_{tv} = \frac{\alpha(q)q}{(q-1)(q+1)}.$$

\square

4.5. Hermitian case. Let q be a power of odd prime. Suppose that $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \theta^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, but $\theta \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ (see [2]). Then any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ can be written as $\alpha = a + b\theta$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. By the conjugate of α , we mean $\bar{\alpha} = a - b\theta$. For $M = (\alpha_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$, denote $M^* = (\bar{\alpha}_{ij})^T$. Then A is said to be Hermitian if and only if $M^* = M$. Write $\text{Mat}_n^{\text{her}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = \{M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) : M^* = M\}$

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{corank } M = k \mid M \in \text{Mat}_n^{\text{her}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})) = (\delta_0 P_{\text{her}}^n)(k).$$

Here

$$P_{\text{her}}(i, j) = \begin{cases} q^{-2i-1}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \\ q^{-2i}(1 - q^{-1}), & \text{if } j = i \\ 1 - q^{-2i}, & \text{if } j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi_{\text{her}}(j) = \frac{\beta(q)}{q^{j^2} \eta_j(q^2)}, \text{ where } \beta(q) = \prod_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{\infty} (1 + q^{-i})^{-1}.$$

Theorem 4.6. P_{her} is Hilbert-Schmidt on $\ell^2(\pi_{\text{her}})$ and $\text{EV}(P_{\text{her}}) = \{(-q)^{-k} : k \geq 0\}$.

Proof. The proof is similar. We need to show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b'_k (-q)^{ki} \right|^2 = 0, \text{ where } b'_k := \frac{(-1)^k}{\prod_{j=1}^k ((-q)^j - 1)}.$$

It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b'_k (-q)^{ki} \right|^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1, \text{even}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b'_k (-q)^{ki} \right|^2 = 0$$

When i is odd,

$$\text{sign}(b'_k (-q)^{ki}) \text{ is } \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } k \equiv 3, 4 \pmod{4} \\ < 0, & \text{if } k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{b'_k (-q)^{ki}}{b'_{k-1} (-q)^{(k-1)i}} \right| = \frac{q^i}{q^k - (-1)^k}.$$

According to the property of alternating series, we have

$$\sum_{i=1, \text{odd}}^N \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} b'_k (-q)^{ki} \right|^2 \ll \frac{1}{q^{(N+1)(N+2)}} \sum_{i=1, \text{odd}}^N \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} q^{2(N+1)i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$\sum_{i=N+1, \text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{i^2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{k=0}^N b'_k (-q)^{ki} \right|^2 \ll \frac{1}{q^N} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

The estimation for i even part is similar. □

Corollary 4.7.

$$\|\delta_0 P_{\text{her}}^n - \pi_{\text{her}}\|_{tv} = \frac{2\beta(q)}{(q+1)\alpha(q^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{q^n} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{2n}}\right),$$

where the implicit constant is less than $\beta(q)^{-1}$.

Proof. Similarly, $\nu := \pi_{\text{her}} \circ (-q)$ is an eigenvector associated with $-q^{-1}$ and $\pi_{\text{her}} - \frac{1}{q+1}(\pi_{\text{her}} \circ q^2) \in V_{q^{-2}}$. By calculation, $\langle \nu, \nu \rangle_{\pi_{\text{her}}} = q+1$ and $\|\nu\|_{tv} = \frac{2\beta(q)}{\alpha(q^2)}$. The $-q^{-1}$ -component of δ_0 is

$$(\delta_0)_{-q^{-1}} = \frac{1}{(q+1)}\nu \text{ and } \|(\delta_0)_{-q^{-1}}\|_{tv} = \frac{2\beta(q)}{(q+1)\alpha(q^2)}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J.S. Rosenthal, *Convergence rates for markov chains*. Siam Review, 37(3):387–405, 1995.
- [2] J. Fulman and L. Goldstein, *Stein’s method and the rank distribution of random matrices over finite fields*, Ann. Probab. Volume 43, Number 3 (2015), 1274–1314.
- [3] M.A. Gallegos-Herrada, D.Ledvinka, and J.S. Rosenthal, *Equivalences of Geometric Ergodicity of Markov Chains*. J Theor Probab (2023). Doi:10.1007/s10959-023-01240-1.
- [4] Yonghua Mao, and Yanhong Song, *Spectral Gap and Convergence Rate for Discrete-time Markov Chains*. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series Oct., 2013, Vol. 29, No. 10, pp. 1949–1962.
- [5] W. H. J. Fuchs, *A Generalization of Carlson’s Theorem*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1946.s1-21(2), 106–110. doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-21.2.106
- [6] K. Kumar, *Truncation method for random bounded self-adjoint operators*. Banach J. Math. Anal. 9 (2015), no. 3, 98–113. doi: 10.15352/bjma/09-3-7.
- [7] M. M. Wood, *Random integral matrices and the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics*. American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 141 no. 2, 2019, p. 383–398. Project MUSE, doi:10.1353/ajm.2019.0008.
- [8] P. Koymans, C. Pagano, *Effective convergence of coranks of random Rédei matrices*. 2020, arXiv preprint, 2005.12899.
- [9] E. Friedman, L. C. Washington, *On the distribution of divisor class groups of curves over a finite field*. In: Théorie des nombres (Québec, PQ, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 227–239 (1989).
- [10] P. Koymans, C. Pagano, *On the distribution of $Cl(K)[\ell^\infty]$ for degree ℓ cyclic fields*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 24 (2022), no. 4, pp. 1189–1283
- [11] Y. M. Berezansky, Z.G. Sheftel, and G.F. Us, *Spectral decomposition of compact self adjoint operators. Analytic functions of operators*, in Functional Analysis (Springer, 1996), pp. 355–384.
- [12] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 1978, pp. 468.
- [13] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications 35, Cambridge University Press, 1990, Foreword, pp. xiv.
- [14] F. Gerth, *Limit probabilities for coranks of matrices over $GF(q)$* . Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 19(1986), 79–93.
- [15] H. Cohen, H.W.Lenstra, *Heuristics on class groups of number fields*. Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983, volume 1068 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 33–62. Springer, Berlin (1984).
- [16] L. Grenié, and G. Molteni. *Explicit bounds for generators of the class group*. Math. Comput. 87 (2018): 2483–2511.

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 Email address: yuexu@amss.ac.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY;

YANQI LAKE BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, BEIJING 101408, CHINA.
 Email address: xwzhu@bimsa.cn