

HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA AND POSET VERSIONS OF THE GARLAND METHOD

ERIC BABSON AND VOLKMAR WELKER

ABSTRACT. Garland introduced a vanishing criterion for characteristic zero cohomology groups of locally finite and locally connected simplicial complexes based on the spectral gaps of the graph Laplacians of face links which has turned out to be effective in a wide range of examples. In this work we provide a homological algebra version of this method and define a class of posets which provide examples including Garland's original vanishing theorem in the simplicial setting. The cubical case is analyzed in some detail where it provides a cohomological structure rather than vanishing theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [5] Garland introduced a local to global method to establish characteristic zero cohomological vanishing for locally finite locally connected simplicial complexes from sufficiently large spectral gaps for the Laplacians of link graphs. This has turned out to be effective in a wide range of examples in fields including geometric group theory (see e.g., [1, 14, 12]) and geometric combinatorics (see e.g., [6, 8]).

In this note we generalize this approach to an exactness criterion for 3-term complexes of (real) Hilbert-spaces in Theorem 2.2. In Section §6 we expand on examples of simplicial and cubical complexes where the global property becomes a geometric restriction on cohomology classes and the local one involves both (in the cubical case) geometric link and transversal graphs. We then analyze models for random simplicial and cubical complexes with different cohomological behavior.

Garland structures and Garland posets are introduced in §2 and §3 respectively. These give a homological algebra setting for the method and a collection of combinatorial examples (Proposition 3.4) including locally finite simplicial Corollary 4.1 and cubical Corollary 4.2 complexes which are expanded on in §4. Details of proofs are in §5 and §6

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while the second author was in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, USA.

contains a random cubical example. Garland posets also appear under a different name in the theory of high dimensional expanders (see e.g., [9]).

2. GARLAND STRUCTURES

Write \mathfrak{b}^* for the adjoint of a map \mathfrak{b} of Hilbert spaces and \mathfrak{p}_X for the orthogonal projection to a subspace X . We call a pair (A, \mathfrak{a}) a 3-term complex if

$$A : A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_0} A^0 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_1} A^1$$

with \mathfrak{a}_0 and \mathfrak{a}_1 bounded linear maps of Hilbert spaces such that $\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_0 = 0$. The map $\mathcal{L}_A^+ = \mathfrak{a}_1^*\mathfrak{a}_1$ is the positive Laplacian of (A, \mathfrak{a}) , $H^0(A) = \frac{\ker(\mathfrak{a}_1)}{\text{im}(\mathfrak{a}_0)} \cong \ker(\mathfrak{a}_0^*) \cap \ker(\mathfrak{a}_1)$ is its homology and the complex is called exact if this homology vanishes.

Definition 2.1. A Garland structure $G = (A, B, \mathfrak{a})$ is a pair of 3-term complexes (A, \mathfrak{a}) and (B, \mathfrak{b}) with A exact, $B^i \subseteq A^i$, $B^{-1} = A^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{p}_{B^i}\mathfrak{a}_i$.

If $A : A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_0} A^0 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}_1} A^1$ is a three term complex set

$$\alpha_A = \inf_{\substack{\phi \in \ker(\mathfrak{a}_0^*) \\ \|\phi\|=1}} \|\mathfrak{a}_1(\phi)\|^2.$$

If $G = (A, B, \mathfrak{a})$ is a Garland structure set

$$\alpha_G = \alpha_A \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_G = \sup_{\substack{\phi \in \ker(\mathfrak{b}_1) \\ \|\phi\|=1}} \|\mathfrak{a}_1(\phi)\|^2.$$

As usual the infimum and supremum over the empty set are $\pm\infty$. Note that for a Garland structure α_G is the spectral gap of \mathcal{L}_A^+ .

Theorem 2.2. *If a Garland structure has $\beta_G < \alpha_G$ then B is exact.*

Proof. If $H^0(B) \neq \{0\}$ there is $\phi \in \ker(\mathfrak{b}_0^*) \cap \ker(\mathfrak{b}_1)$ with $\|\phi\| = 1$. Since $B^{-1} = A^{-1}$ we have $\ker(\mathfrak{b}_0^*) \subseteq \ker(\mathfrak{a}_0^*)$. It then follows that $\alpha_G \leq \|\mathfrak{a}_1(\phi)\|^2 \leq \beta_G < \alpha_G$. \square

Note that if a group acts by automorphisms on a Garland structure G then it induces decompositions into direct sums of Garland structures and the theorem can be applied to each summand separately.

3. GARLAND POSETS

In this section we set define Garland posets to which we associate Garland structures. In §4 these are shown to include posets associated to simplicial and cubical complexes for which the above theorem gives cohomological restrictions. Proofs are sketched here and expanded in §5.

For a poset P and a subposet Q we write $Q_{>x}$ ($Q_{<x}$) for the subposet of elements of Q greater (less) than $x \in P$. Analogously defined are $Q_{\leq p}$ and $Q_{\geq p}$. An order relation $x < y$ in P is called a cover relation if there is no $z \in P$ with $x < z < y$. By $\text{Cov}(P) \subseteq P \times P$ we denote the set of all (x, y) such that $x < y$ is a cover relation.

Definition 3.1. A Garland poset $\mathcal{S} = (S, \leq, w, n, \rho)$ is a poset (S, \leq) together with a (cover preserving) poset map $\rho : S \rightarrow \{-1 < 0 < 1\}$, an orientation $w : \text{Cov}(S) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$, $(x, y) \mapsto w_{xy}$, and numbers n_0 , n_1 and n_{010} so that for $S^i = \rho^{-1}(i)$ we have:

- (P1) if $b \in S^0$ then $|S_{<b}| = n_0$,
- (P2) if $c \in S^1$ then $|S_{<c}^{-1}| = n_1$,
- (P3) if $S^0 \ni b < c > b' \in S^0 - \{b\}$ then $|S_{<b} \cap S_{<b'}| = n_{010}$,
- (P4) if $a \in S^{-1}$ then $S_{>a}$ is finite and connected,
- (P5) if $S^{-1} \ni a < c \in S^1$ then $S_{>a} \cap S_{<c} = \{b, b'\}$ with

$$(1) \quad w_{ab}w_{bc} = -w_{ab'}w_{b'c}.$$

In our examples of Garland posets we usually define the mutually disjoint sets S^i directly, rendering ρ superfluous. Given a Garland poset \mathcal{S} and a choice of i consider the Hilbert space with orthonormal basis

$$\{z_{axc} \mid S^{-1} \ni a \leq x \leq c \in S^1, x \in S^i\}.$$

By (P4) the closed subspaces A^i and B^i generated respectively by

$$\left\{ z_{ax} = \sum_{c \in S_{\geq x}^1} z_{axc} \mid S^{-1} \ni a \leq x \in S^i \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ z_x = \sum_{a \in S_{\leq x}^{-1}} z_{ax} \mid x \in S^i \right\}$$

are well defined. Note that the two generating sets are orthogonal but not normalized bases of the respective spaces.

Lemma 3.2. *The maps*

$$\mathbf{a}_i(z_{ax}) = \sum_{y \in S_{>x}^i} w_{xy} z_{ay}$$

extend to complexes

$$A_{\mathcal{S}} : A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_0} A^0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} A^1$$

and

$$B_{\mathcal{S}} : B^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{b}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_{B^0} \mathfrak{a}_0} B^0 \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_{B^1} \mathfrak{a}_1} B^1$$

of bounded linear maps.

The proof of this is a simple calculation and can be found in §5. For $a \in S^{-1}$ we write A_a for the three term subcomplex of (A, \mathfrak{a}) with A_a^i generated by $\{z_{ax} \mid x \in S_{\geq a}^i\}$. Note that by (P4) A_a is of finite dimension. The following lemma is a direct consequence of our construction and again is proved in §5.

Lemma 3.3. *If \mathcal{S} is a Garland poset then $A_{\mathcal{S}} = \overline{\bigoplus_{a \in S^{-1}} A_a}$ is the closure of the internal orthogonal sum of complexes. Moreover, each A_a is exact and hence $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is exact.*

By (P5) for a Garland poset \mathcal{S} and $a \in S^{-1}$ we can consider $S_{>a}$ as a graph, called the link graph at a , with vertices $S_{>a}^0$ and edges $S_{>a}^1$. The disjoint union $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ of the graphs $S_{>a}$ for $a \in S^{-1}$ is called the link graph of \mathcal{S} . The positive Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{A_a}^+$ of the complex A_a is then the left normalized graph Laplacian (in the sense of [3]) of the link graph at a . The normalization is induced by the fact that the basis elements z_{ab} of A_a^0 have norm the degree of b in the link graph at a . Note that if $\alpha_{A_a} \neq 0$ the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A_a}^+$ contains 0 with multiplicity one and α_{A_a} and is contained in $\{0\} \cup [\alpha_{A_a}, 2]$. In particular, α_{A_a} is the spectral gap of $\mathcal{L}_{A_a}^+$. In the formulation of the following proposition we call a Garland structure $H = (A_H, B_H, \mathfrak{a}^H)$ a substructure of the Garland structure $G = (A, B, \mathfrak{a})$ if A_H is a subcomplex of A , B_H a subcomplex of B and \mathfrak{a}^H is the restriction of \mathfrak{a} .

Proposition 3.4. *If \mathcal{S} is a Garland poset then $G = (A_{\mathcal{S}}, B_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathfrak{a})$ is a Garland structure with:*

- (i) $\alpha_G = \inf_{a \in S^{-1}} \alpha_{A_a}$ and
- (ii) $\beta_H = \frac{n_0 - n_{010}}{n_0}$ if H is any Garland substructure of G with $\ker \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap B_H^0$ nonzero.

In particular, $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ is acyclic if $\frac{n_0 - n_{010}}{n_0} < \alpha_{A_a}$ (the spectral gap) for every $a \in S^{-1}$.

Sketch of proof (For a full proof see §5): The fact that $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{S}}$ are complexes is proved in Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.3 $A_{\mathcal{S}}$ is exact. Assertion (i) again follows from Lemma 3.3.

For (ii) note that if $\ker \mathfrak{b}_1^H \neq 0$ then

$$(2) \quad \beta_H \leq \sup_{0 \neq \phi \in B_H^0} \frac{\|\mathfrak{a}_1^H(\phi)\|^2 - \kappa \|\mathfrak{b}_1^H(\phi)\|^2}{\|\phi\|^2}$$

for any $\kappa > 0$ since β_H is a supremum over the kernel of \mathfrak{b}_1^H . Choose $\kappa = \frac{n_{010}n_1}{n_0^2}$ and show that for every choice of ϕ the expression after the supremum is $\frac{n_0 - n_{010}}{n_0}$.

The final assertion is a direct consequence of (i), (ii), Theorem 2.2 and the previous paragraph. \square

4. APPLICATION TO SIMPLICIAL AND CUBICAL COMPLEXES

Garland's original work treated the case of locally finite simplicial complexes with connected links. Our approach, among other examples, also treats locally finite cubical complexes and disconnected links. In the case of simplicial complexes with connected links this is exactly Garland's vanishing criterion but in the cases of cubical complexes or simplicial ones with disconnected links it yields a weaker restriction on the generators of cohomology.

4.1. Simplicial. Let P be a locally finite simplicial poset (that is, every lower interval is Boolean and every upper interval is finite). Equivalently, P is the face poset of a locally finite Δ -complex Δ_P (see [7]). We write P^k for the elements of P covering $k + 1$ elements, $P^{k,k+1}$ for $P^k \cup P^{k+1}$ and $P^{k-1,k,k+1}$ for $P^{k-1} \cup P^k \cup P^{k+1}$.

For each dimension $k \geq 1$ we construct a Garland poset $\mathcal{S}_k P$ with $S^1 = P^{k+1}$, $S^0 = P^k$ and S^{-1} a set of representatives of the connected components of $P_{>p}^{k+1,k}$ for all $p \in P^{k-1}$ (so $S^{-1} = P^{k-1}$ in the case of connected links) with the partial order on $S^1 \cup S^0$ induced from P and $S^{-1} \ni a < b \in S^0$ if b is a vertex in the component represented by a . Note that here the link graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ is the disjoint union of the (geometric) link graphs of the $(k - 1)$ -faces in Δ_P .

If $n_0 = k + 1$, $n_1 = \binom{k+2}{2}$ and $n_{010} = 1$ then $\mathcal{S}_k P$ clearly satisfies (P1), (P2), (P3) and (P4) in the definition of Garland posets. The coefficients from the simplicial differential of Δ_P yield an orientation w on the cover relations of P , whose lift to S is easily seen to satisfy (P5). Thus $\mathcal{S}_k P = (S, \leq, w, n, \rho)$ is a Garland poset.

We write $C^{k-1,k,k+1}$ for the degree $k - 1$, k , and $k + 1$ three term simplicial cochain complex for Δ_P with real coefficients. The poset S comes with a surjective poset map $\pi : S \rightarrow P^{k-1,k,k+1}$. The map π induces an injective map of three term cochain complexes $\pi^* : C^{k-1,k,k+1} \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ with cokernel $B_{\mathcal{S}_k P}^{-1}/C^{k-1}$ supported only in one degree and isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{p \in P^{k-1}} \tilde{H}^0(\Delta_{P_{\geq p}})$. For $p \in P^{k-1}$ write $\lambda_p : \tilde{H}^0(\Delta_{P_{\geq p}}) \rightarrow H^k(\Delta_P)$

for the connecting homomorphism in the induced long exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{p \in P^{k-1}} \tilde{H}^0(\Delta_{P_{\geq p}}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^k(\Delta_P) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^0(B_{\mathcal{S}_k P}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Write $L_{k,P}$ for the span of the images of the λ_p for all p . Thus by Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 2.2:

Corollary 4.1. *If P is a locally finite simplicial poset and the spectral gap of every connected component of the link graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ is greater than $\frac{k}{k+1}$ then $\tilde{H}^k(\Delta_P) = L_{k,P}$.*

If all $P_{\geq p}$ are connected and P is the face poset of a locally finite simplicial complex then $L = 0$ and this is the original Garland result. Note that the gap condition for an $a \in S^{-1}$ means that the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{A_a}^+$ is contained in $\{0\} \cup (\frac{k}{k+1}, 2]$. Also note that if the link graph is regular of degree d and has diameter at least four then the Alon-Boppona bound (see [10, 11]) implies that this spectral bound can not be satisfied unless $d \geq k^2$.

4.2. Cubical. Let P be a locally finite cubical poset which means that if $p \in P$ then $P_{\leq p}$ is isomorphic to a product of copies of the three element poset $\wedge = \{x < z > y\}$ and $P_{\geq p}$ is finite. Equivalently, P is the face poset (excluding the empty face) of a locally finite complex \square_P of cubes, with a restriction analogous to that for Δ -complexes in the simplicial case. Here we write P^k for the elements of P covering $2k$ elements, $P^{k,k+1}$ for $P^k \cup P^{k+1}$ and $P^{k-1,k,k+1}$ for $P^{k-1} \cup P^k \cup P^{k+1}$.

The construction of a Garland poset $\mathcal{S}_k P$ is similar to the simplicial case except that S^{-1} is more complicated and along with the geometric link graphs, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ also contains a new class of transversal link graphs. Again take $S^0 = P^k$ and $S^1 = P^{k+1}$ with the order induced from P . S^{-1} will index the connected components of the graph Γ which is constructed next. Let φ be an additional element smaller than any element in $P^k = S^0$. Consider the graph Γ with vertex set

$$\{ (p, b) \mid \{\varphi\} \cup P^{k-1} \ni p < b \in S^0 \}$$

and edge set

$$\{ (\{b, b'\}, c) \in S^0 \times S^1 \times S^0 \mid b < c > b', b \neq b' \}$$

with $(\{b, b'\}, c)$ connecting the vertices $(b \bowtie b', b)$ and $(b \bowtie b', b')$, where $b \bowtie b'$ is the infimum of b and b' as elements of P if there is an infimum in P^{k-1} and φ otherwise. Let S^{-1} index the set of connected components of Γ . For $a \in S^{-1}$ and $b \in S^0$ set $a < b$ if a vertex (p, b) of Γ lies in the component indexed by a .

For $n_0 = 2k + 1$, $n_1 = \binom{2k+2}{2}$ and $n_{010} = 1$ it is now straightforward to check that (S, \leq, n, ρ) satisfies (P1), (P2), (P3) and (P4) in the definition of a Garland poset. The definitions also imply that Γ is isomorphic to the link graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ with an isomorphism mapping the edge $(\{b, b'\}, c)$ of Γ to the copy of $c \in P^{k+1}$ in the link graph of the $a \in S^{-1}$ representing its connected component.

As in the simplicial case there is a surjective poset map $\pi : S \rightarrow P^{k-1, k, k+1} \cup \{\varphi\}$ which is the identity on S^0 and S^1 and for $a \in S^{-1}$ then $\pi(a) = b$ if there is a vertex (p, b) of Γ in the component indexed by a . Call a connected component of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ associated to $a \in S^{-1}$ geometric if $\pi(a) \in P^{k-1}$ in which case it is a component of the link graph of $\pi(a)$ in \square_P and transversal if $\pi(a) = \varphi$ (see §6 for examples). We call P transversally finite if all transversal components are finite and in this case they will turn out to be another source of classes in $\widetilde{H}^k(\square_P)$ not arising in the simplicial case.

If $a \in S^{-1}$ indexes a transversal component of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ with edges $E \subseteq P_{>a}^{k+1}$ write $P(a) = \{p \in P \mid \exists c \in E \cap P_{\geq p}\}$ and we say P is k -monodromy-free if $P(a)$ is isomorphic to $S_{>a} \times \bigwedge^k$ for every $a \in \pi^{-1}(\varphi)$ (see §6 for examples). If P is k -monodromy-free it is straightforward to construct an orientation w so that $\mathcal{S}_k P = (S, \leq, w, n, \rho)$ satisfies (P5) and hence is a Garland poset. For cover relations not involving $a \in \pi^{-1}(\varphi)$, one can for example use the coefficients from the cellular differential for \square_P as in the simplicial case. Monodromy freeness – and again using a cellular model – then allows the extension of this w to all cover relations in S .

We adopt the notations for chain complexes and homology from the simplicial case. Note that π again induces an injective map of three term cochain complexes $\pi^* : C^{k-1, k, k+1} \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ with cokernel $B_{\mathcal{S}_k P}^{-1} / C^{k-1}$ supported only in one degree. This time the cokernel is generated by both the image $L_{k, P}$ of the geometric link terms and the image $T_{k, P}$ of classes of transversal components of Γ_S under the connecting homomorphism. Thus by Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 2.2:

Corollary 4.2. *If P is a locally and transversally finite k -monodromy free cubical poset and the spectral gap of every connected component of the link graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ is greater than $\frac{2k}{2k+1}$ then $\widetilde{H}^k(\square_P) = L_{k, P} + T_{k, P}$.*

If P has k -monodromy there is a similar statement using the same Laplacian for geometric links but one on a larger local system for the transversal links.

The gap condition means that both the connected components of the geometric link graph and the transversal link graph have positive

Laplacian spectra contained in $\{0\} \cup (\frac{2k}{2k+1}, 2]$. Note that if the link graph is regular of degree d and has diameter at least four then the Alon-Boppona bound implies that this spectral bound can not be satisfied unless $d \geq 4k^2$.

Example 4.3. A 2-dimensional torus gives somewhat misleading but easy to draw examples of related simplicial and cubical complexes for which one can compare the above criteria.

Write T^\square for the standard cubical subdivision of the 2-dimensional torus with 16 vertices and T^Δ for its simplicial refinement also with 16 vertices and with all vertex degrees six. The former is the cellular product and the latter a simplicial product of two four cycles. Write P^\square and P^Δ for their face posets. Take $k = 1$ and note that the associated Garland poset link graphs $\Gamma_{S_1 P^\square}$ and $\Gamma_{S_1 P^\Delta}$ are the disjoint union of 24 squares and 16 hexagons respectively. The squares include 16 arising as the links of the 16 vertices and 4 transversal components in each of the two directions. The hexagons are just the links of the 16 vertices.

Note, that the vertex links here are dual to cocycles representing classes in L_{1, P^\square} and L_{1, P^Δ} which are both trivial but the transversals are dual to nontrivial ones in T_{1, P^\square} .

Write $\Lambda_G = I_{VG} - \frac{1}{deg} Adj_G$ the identity minus the adjacency matrix divided by the vertex degrees for the normalized positive graph Laplacian in the case that G is regular and note that $\Lambda_G \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ so $\{0\} \subseteq \text{Spec}(\Lambda_G) \subseteq [0, 2]$ and in this case Λ_{C_4} and Λ_{C_6} are both tridiagonal matrices with entries 0, 1 and $\frac{-1}{2}$ and $\text{Spec}(\Lambda_{C_4}) = \text{Spec}(\Lambda_{\Gamma_{S_1 P^\square}}) = \{0, 1, 2\}$ while $\text{Spec}(\Lambda_{C_6}) = \text{Spec}(\Lambda_{\Gamma_{S_1 P^\Delta}}) = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2\}$, so the relevant spectral gaps are 1 for the cubical case and $\frac{1}{2}$ for the simplicial one.

For the cubical case the hypotheses of Corollary 4.2 hold since $1 > 1 - \frac{1}{2+1} = \frac{2}{3}$. Hence in this case the conclusion also holds and $\tilde{H}^1(T^\square) = \mathbb{Q}^2 = T_{1, P^\square}$ which is generated by various pairs of the eight transversal classes.

For the simplicial case the hypotheses of Corollary 4.1 fail since $\frac{1}{2} \not\geq 1 - \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case the conclusion also fails since $\tilde{H}^1(T^\Delta) = \mathbb{Q}^2 \neq \{0\} = L_{1, P^\Delta}$.

5. PROOFS


Computations involving Garland structures arising from posets are clarified with a diagrammatic notation. Such a diagram is a 3-level poset with nodes in levels from bottom to top corresponding to elements in S^{-1} , S^0 and S^1 . Each node corresponds to a summation over the elements of the corresponding S^i . Any type of edge between nodes

indicates that the summations are coupled by an order relation. Nodes are maps $S^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. When denoted by \mathfrak{a} for $i = -1$ or \mathfrak{b} for $i = 0$ they define ℓ_2 -cochains. We use " \bullet " to denote the constant map 1 and a node marked with $a \in S^{-1}$, $b \in S^0$ or $c \in S^1$ to denote the characteristic function of that element. Cover relations indicated by double edges are weighted by w . At most one node per level can also have single or double parentheses. This indicates that the summation index of this node is used as a subscript of the variable z , the full subscript is obtained by reading the subscripts from bottom to top, duplicating doubly parenthesized indices.

Example 5.1. The formula

$$\sum_{c \in S^1} \sum_{b \in S^0_{<c}} \sum_{a \in S^{-1}_{<b}} z_c w_{bc} \mathfrak{b}(b) = \sum_{c \in S^1} \sum_{b \in S^0_{<c}} \sum_{a \in S^{-1}_{<b}} \sum_{a' \in S^{-1}_{<c}} z_{a'cc} w_{bc} \mathfrak{b}(b)$$

in B^1 becomes



Note that for every pair of disjoint diagrams \star and $*$ the identity

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \star \\ \parallel \\ \bullet \\ \parallel \\ * \end{array} = 0$$

holds by the orientation condition (1) of (P5).

Proof of Lemma 3.2. A_S is a sequence of bounded maps: Since the z_{ax} form orthogonal bases of the respective spaces for boundedness of the maps in A_S it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{a}_i(z_{az})$ is uniformly bounded by a constant times $\|z_{az}\|$.

If z_{aa} is a basis element of A^{-1} then by definition $\|z_{aa}\|^2$ is the number of $c \in S^1$ with $a < c$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{a}_0(z_{aa})\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{b \in S^0_{>a}} w_{ab} z_{ab} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{b \in S^0_{>a}} w_{ab} \sum_{c \in S^1_{>b}} z_{abc} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{c \in S^1_{>a}} \sum_{b \in S^0_{>a, <c}} \|z_{abc}\|^2 \stackrel{(P5)}{\leq} 2\|z_{aa}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If z_{ab} is a basis element of A^0 then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{a}_1(z_{ab})\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{c \in S^1_{>b}} w_{bc} z_{ac} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{c \in S^1_{>b}} \|w_{bc} z_{ac}\|^2 = |S^1_{>b}| = \|z_{ab}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{a}_0(z_{aa})) &= \mathbf{a}_1\left(\sum_{b \in S^0_{>a}} w_{ab} z_{ab}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b \in S^0_{>a}} w_{ab} \sum_{c \in S^1_{>b}} w_{bc} z_{ac} \\ &= \sum_{c \in S^1_{>a}} z_{ac} \sum_{b \in S^0_{>a, <c}} w_{ab} w_{bc} \stackrel{(P5)}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$A_S : A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_0} A^0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} A^1$ is a complex.

B_S is a sequence of bounded maps since both \mathbf{b}^i are a composition of an orthogonal projection to a closed subspace and a bounded map.

To show that B_S is a complex we first need to consider the two projection maps \mathbf{p}_{B^i} . For \mathbf{p}_{B^0} we need to check that $\frac{(z_{ab'}, z_b)}{(z_b, z_b)}$ is $\frac{1}{n_0}$ for every $a \in S^{-1}$ and $b, b' \in S^0$. From the definition the numerator is 0 unless $b = b'$ and in this case the result follows from the below two calculations together with (P1).

For \mathbf{p}_{B^1} we need to check that $\frac{(z_{ac'}, z_c)}{(z_b, z_c)}$ is $\frac{1}{n_1}$ for every $a \in S^{-1}$ and $c, c' \in S^1$. Again from the definitions the numerator is 0 unless $c = c'$ and in this case the result follows from the same below two calculations together with (P2) and (P5).

$$(z_{ac}, z_c) = \begin{array}{c} c \\ | \\ \bullet \\ | \\ a \end{array} \quad (z_c, z_c) = \begin{array}{c} c \\ | \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

Now we can show that B_S is a complex:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}^1 \mathfrak{b}^0 \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) &= \mathfrak{p}_{B^1} \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{p}_{B^0} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ \parallel \\ (\mathfrak{a}) \end{array} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n_0} \mathfrak{p}_{B^1} \mathfrak{a}_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ / \\ (\bullet) \parallel \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{n_0} \mathfrak{p}_{B^1} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ \parallel \\ (\bullet) / \mathfrak{a} \end{array} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1 n_0} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ \parallel \\ \bullet / \mathfrak{a} \parallel (\bullet) \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{n_1} \left(\begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ \parallel \\ \bullet \mathfrak{a} \parallel (\bullet) \end{array} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The first and third equalities follow immediately from the definitions, the second and fourth equalities use the calculations of the projection maps. The fifth equality uses (P1) and the last equality follows from (3).

□

Proof of Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.2 we know that A_S is a complex. Since each A_a is closed under the two maps \mathfrak{a}_i it follows that these are subcomplexes. For the exactness of each A_a note that $A_a^{-1} \ni z_{aa}$ is one-dimensional with w_{ab} the coefficient of z_{ab} in $\mathfrak{a}_0(z_{aa})$. By (P4) $S_{>a}$ is connected. If $S_{>a}^1 = \emptyset$ then $S_{>a} = \{b\}$ or \emptyset and we are done. For $c \in S_{>a}^1$ we have by (P5) that $S_{>a} \cap S_{<c} = \{b, b'\}$ with $b \neq b'$. Assume there is $\phi \in \ker(\mathfrak{a}_1) \cap A_a^0$ which is not a boundary. We may choose ϕ such that the number of $b \in S_{>a}^0$ is minimal for cycles which

are not boundaries. For the coefficients $v_{ab}, v_{ab'}$ of z_{ab} and $z_{ab'}$ in ϕ we then have $0 = w_{bc}v_{ab} + w_{b'c}v_{ab'}$. By (P5) this implies that $v_{ab} = w_{ab}v'$ and $v_{ab'} = w_{ab'}v'$ for some v' . It follows that $\phi - \mathbf{a}_0(v' z_{aa})$ is a cycle supported on fewer terms. This shows that each A_a is exact.

By the construction of A_a^i the given orthogonal basis for A^i is the disjoint union over a of the given orthogonal bases of the A_a^i . Hence for each i the sum over a of the A_a^i is an orthogonal sum which contains a basis of A^i . It follows that A_S is the closure of the sum over a of the exact complexes A_a and hence A_S is an exact complex. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.4.

By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we have that G is a Garland structure.

(i): Take $\phi \in A^0$ of norm one and write $\phi = \sum_{a \in S'} \phi_a \in A^0$ with $0 \neq \phi_a \in A_a^0$ and $S' \subseteq S^{-1}$. The ϕ_a and their images under \mathbf{a}_1 are mutually orthogonal and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{a}_1(\phi)\|^2 &= \sum_{a \in S'} \|\mathbf{a}_1(\phi_a)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{a \in S'} \|\phi_a\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{a}_1\left(\frac{1}{\|\phi_a\|} \phi_a\right)\|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{a \in S'} \|\phi_a\|^2 \cdot \alpha_{A_a} \\ &\geq \inf_{a \in S^{-1}} \alpha_{A_a} \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\alpha_{A_S} \geq \inf_{a \in S^{-1}} \alpha_{A_a}$. The other inequality is immediate.

(ii): Assume $\ker \mathbf{b}'_1 \neq 0$. We want to evaluate the right hand side of (2) for $\kappa = \frac{n_0 n_1}{n_0^2}$. First, we compute the two terms in the numerator of the right hand side of (2). Consider $\phi = \sum_{b \in S^0} \mathfrak{t}(b) z_b \in B^0$. Note that in our notation $\phi = (\mathfrak{t})$. Compute:

$$\|(\mathfrak{t})\|^2 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} (\bullet) \\ | \\ (\mathfrak{t}) \\ | \\ (\bullet) \end{array} \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \mathfrak{t}^2 \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\|^2 = n_0 \left\| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \mathfrak{t}^2 \end{array} \right\|^2$$

$$\| \mathbf{a}_1(\ell) \|^2 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} ((\bullet)) \\ \parallel \\ \ell \\ | \\ (\bullet) \end{array} \right\|^2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \ell \quad \ell \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \quad \underline{\underline{(P3)}}$$

$$n_{010} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \ell \quad \ell \end{array} + (n_0 - n_{010}) \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \ell^2 \end{array}$$

$$\| \mathbf{b}^1(\ell) \|^2 = \left\| \frac{1}{n_1} \begin{array}{c} ((\bullet)) \\ \parallel \\ \ell \\ | \\ (\bullet) \end{array} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{n_1^2} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \ell \quad \ell \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{n_0^2}{n_1} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \parallel \quad \parallel \\ \ell \quad \ell \end{array}$$

Thus the right hand side of (2) evaluates to

$$\frac{\| \mathbf{a}_1(\ell) \|^2 - \frac{n_{010} n_1}{n_0^2} \| \mathbf{b}^1(\ell) \|^2}{\| \ell \|^2} = \frac{n_0 - n_{010}}{n_0}.$$

□

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we discuss how examples of simplicial and cubical complexes can be constructed to which Corollary 4.2 applies.

6.1. Moment angle complexes and branched coverings. For every simplicial complex there is an associated moment angle cubical complex as described below. The Garland posets associated to these have geometric links which are 1-skeleta of links of the initial simplicial complex. The transversal links will be 1-skeleta of cubes and hence have small spectral gap. Taking branched covers will yield link graphs which are covers of the original and do not increase the spectral gaps. Finally a correspondence of branched covers will produce link graphs

which are quotients of a cover of the original. If the original link graph is regular this yields a fairly arbitrary regular link graph.

If $K \subseteq 2^V$ is a simplicial complex then the associated moment angle subcomplex X_K of $[0, 1]^V$ is

$$X_K = \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in K \\ \omega, \nu}} [0, 1]^\sigma \times \{0\}^\omega \times \{1\}^\nu$$

where σ , ω and ν partition V . Note that since X_K is a subcomplex of a cube for each k its level k Garland poset S is k -monodromy-free. It has link graph

$$\Gamma_S = \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{\sigma \in K^{k-2} \\ \omega \subseteq V \setminus \sigma}} \text{link}_K^1(\sigma)}_{\text{geometric link graph}} \amalg \underbrace{\prod_{\substack{\tau \in K^{k-1} \\ \omega \subseteq V \setminus \tau}} Q_{|\text{link}_K^0(\tau)|}}_{\text{transversal link graph}}$$

where K^i is the set of i -faces of K , $\text{link}_K^i(\sigma)$ is the i -skeleton of the simplicial link of σ in K and Q_i is the 1-skeleton of an i -dimensional cube.

Note that for $r \geq 1$ the spectral gap for Q_r is only $\frac{2}{r}$ so while the spectral gaps for the geometric link components may be large, those of the transversal ones are not. Indeed, the hypotheses of Corollary 4.2 for the transversal graph can only hold if $r \leq 2 + \frac{1}{k}$. Hence the k -skeleton of K is a pseudomanifold with boundary so the geometric link graphs are paths or cycles. A computation shows that for the spectral gap to be more than $\frac{2k}{2k+1}$ there are at most three vertices in the components of the geometric link graph which are paths and at most four in the components which are cycles (unless $k = 1$ where a pentagon is also allowed). Hence Corollary 4.2 applies to the torus which is the moment angle complex of the boundary of a cross-polytope but very few others.

Next we discuss if and how branched covers can change the situation. We start with a purely poset theoretic definition of branched covers.

Definition 6.1. A map $f : P \rightarrow Q$ of cubical posets is a k -branched cover if for any $q \in Q$ with rank k every restriction of $f : f^{-1}(Q_{\geq q}) \rightarrow Q_{\geq q}$ to a connected component of the domain is an isomorphism. If the number of connected components is the same for every choice of q call this number the degree of f .

Geometrically this corresponds to the following. Consider the space $X_K^{\geq k}$ arising from X_K by removing all cubes of dimension $\leq k - 1$. The cubical structure of $X_K^{\geq k}$ induces a natural structure of a gluing (colimit) of cubes of dimension $\geq k$ with some faces deleted on any

topological cover Z of $X_K^{\geq k}$. Replace each partial cube in Z with a closed cube and take the same gluing. Let Y_K be the resulting space. The induced map of the face poset P of Y_K to the face poset Q of X_K is then a k -branched cover. Note that if Y is the universal cover of $X_K^{\geq k}$ then the graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ of the Garland poset of P is a forest covering the graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k Q}$ (see Figure 3) of the Garland poset of Q .




FIGURE 1. Legend for Figure 2 and Figure 3




FIGURE 2. Two pieces of moment-angle complexes $X_K^{\geq 1}$




FIGURE 3. Universal cover of $X_K^{\geq 1}$ for the pieces from Figure 2

As mentioned above the link graph of a level k Garland poset of a k -branched cover of a cubical poset Q is a cover of the link graph of Q . Hence the spectrum of the former contains that of the latter and the spectral hypothesis of Corollary 4.2 applies to the cover only if it

does to Q . We conjecture a possible remedy for that after we discuss k -monodromy freeness of k -branched covers.

We claim that k -monodromy freeness can be achieved with a k -branched cover of degree at most the order $2^{k+1} (k+1)!$ of the automorphism group of a $(k+1)$ -cube. Consider for a cubical complex X with face poset Q the bipartite graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_0^{k+1} = Q^{k+1}, \Lambda_0^k = Q^k, \Lambda_1 = \{(q^{k+1} > q^k)\} \subseteq Q^{k+1} \times Q^k)$ of the rank selected poset $Q^{k+1,k}$. There is a natural connection on this graph which chooses an isomorphism of $(k+1)$ -cubes for any path in Λ between vertices in Λ_0^k and hence if a basepoint $p \in \Lambda_0^{k+1}$ is fixed a map β from loops in Λ based at p to the automorphism group B_{k+1} of the $(k+1)$ -cube. There is also the standard map π from such loops to $\pi_1(X^{\geq k})$ and a group homomorphism $\nu : \pi_1(X^{\geq k}) \rightarrow B_{k+1}$ with $\nu \circ \pi = \beta$. For any subgroup τ of $\pi_1(X^{\geq k})$ there is an associated k -branched cover of Q with degree the index of τ in $\pi_1(X^{\geq k})$ which is k -monodromy-free exactly if τ is contained in the kernel of ν .

Conjecture 6.2. *For every $k > 0$ there is d so that if Q is a finite cubical poset of dimension $k+1$ and every k -face is contained in at least d facets then there is a pair of k -branched covers $Q \leftarrow P \rightarrow R$ so that the Garland poset of R satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 4.2.*

Note that the Alon-Boppona bound suggests that $d = \Omega(k^2)$ and its asymptotic sharpness that $d = \Theta(k^2)$.

6.2. Random simplicial and cubical complexes. We define and consider random regular simplicial complexes $Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta$ of dimension $k-1$ and two related random cubical complexes $Y_{h,d,k}^\square$ and $Z_{h,d,k}^\square$ of dimension k by taking hd facets and gluing the facets of their boundaries by choosing independent random groupings into sets of size d . More notationally:

Definition 6.3. Write

$$Q_{h,d,k}^\Delta = \{ q : [hd] \times [k] \rightarrow [h] \times [d] \mid \text{for all } K \in [k] \\ q_K : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [hd] \rightarrow [h] \times [d] \\ F \mapsto q_K(F) = q(F, K) \end{array} \right. \text{ is bijective} \}$$

and similarly

$$Q_{h,d,k}^\square = \{ q : [hd] \times [k] \times \{0,1\} \rightarrow [h] \times [d] \mid \text{for all } (K, E) \in [k] \times \{0,1\} \\ q_{(K,E)} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [hd] \rightarrow [h] \times [d] \\ F \mapsto q_{(K,E)}(F) = q(F, K, E) \end{array} \right. \text{ is bijective} \}$$

Write $\square^k = [0, 1]^k$ for the standard k -cube and

$$\Delta^{k-1} = \left\{ t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in [0, 1]^k = \square^k \mid \sum_{K=1}^k t_K = 1 \right\}$$

for the standard $(k-1)$ -simplex.

- If $q \in Q_{h,d,k}^\Delta$ write $X_q^\Delta = (\Delta^{k-1} \times [hd]) / \sim_q$ where for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \Delta^{k-1}$ we set $(t, F) \sim_q (t, F')$ if there is $K \in [k]$ with $t_K = 0$ and $\pi \circ q(F, K) = \pi \circ q(F', K)$, where $\pi(H, D) = H$.
- If $q \in Q_{h,d,k}^\square$ write $X_q^\square = (\square^k \times [hd]) / \sim_q$ where $(t, F) \sim_q (t, F')$ if there is $K \in [k]$ with $t_K = E \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\pi \circ q(F, K, E) = \pi \circ q(F', K, E)$, where $\pi(H, D) = H$.

Write $Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta$ for the uniform measure on the set $\{X_q^\Delta \mid q \in Q_{h,d,k}^\Delta\}$ and $Y_{h,d,k}^\square$ similarly using Q^\square . Finally, $Z_{h,d,k}^\square$ is the measure obtained by uniformly sampling from $Q_{2h,d,k}^\square$ conditioned on the event that opposite facets of the same cube are never identified.

Note that, the event that opposite facets are never identified happens with probability approaching e^{-d} which is positive and independent of h . The following two corollaries use the simplicial and the cubical Garland method together with spectral results by Pinsker [13] (see [4]).

Corollary 6.4.

(i) If $d \geq 4(k+1)^2$ then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Pr_{X \in Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta} (\tilde{H}^k | X| = \{0\}) = 1.$$

(ii) If $d \geq 4(2k+1)^2$ then

(a)

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Pr_{X \in Y_{h,d,k}^\square} (\tilde{H}^k(X) = \{0\}) = 1,$$

(b)

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \Pr_{X \in Z_{h,d,k}^\square} (\tilde{H}^k(X) = \mathbb{Q}^k) = 1.$$

Proof. If X is drawn from either $Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta$ or $Y_{h,d,k}^\square$ with face poset P then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ is a disjoint union of $\binom{k}{2}$ or $k + 4\binom{k}{2}$ (numbers independent of h) random d -regular bipartite graphs with $2h$ vertices. By Pinsker's result [13] their spectral gaps are aas in h larger than $\frac{k}{k+1}$ and $\frac{2k}{2k+1}$ respectively.

For the $Z_{h,d,k}^\square$ case the geometric link part of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_k P}$ is sampled from $4\binom{k}{2}$ copies of the same distribution. The transversal part consists of k random d -regular graphs but this time only loopless and not bipartite.

The required aas in h spectral gap was conjectured by Alon and shown by Friedman [4].

For the cubical cases the k -monodromy free property is immediate by construction.

Together these imply that Corollary 4.1 applies in the $Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta$ case and Corollary 4.2 applies for the two cubical ones.

Note that the spectral conditions imply also that the associated graphs are connected so the result holds for $Y_{h,d,k}^\Delta$.

It remains to show that in the $Y_{h,d,k}^\square$ case the image $T_{k,P}$ of the transversal classes is trivial while in the $Z_{h,d,k}^\square$ case they classes of the k components are non-trivial and independent. The former follows since these classes factor through the obvious map to a single cube. For the latter consider the analogous obvious map τ to the torus obtained by identifying opposite faces of a single cube. It remains to check that that the induced map is injective.

Let $\pi_K : Q_{2h,d,k}^\square \rightarrow Q_{2h,d,k-1}^\square$ be the projection in the k^{th} coordinate direction. For each $K \in [k]$ and $\pi_K(q) \in Q_{2h,d,k-1}^\square$ we choose an orientation class $\omega \in \tilde{H}_{k-1}(X_{\pi_K(q)}^\square)$ with maximal support in the cube basis. Note that with high probability the size of the support grows without bound as a function of h . For each q let i be the map from $X_{\pi_K(q)}$ to X_q embedding $X_{\pi_K(q)}$ as a $(k-1)$ -cube orthogonal to the K^{th} coordinate direction. We can pair the image $i_*(\omega)$ of ω under i with the pull back $\tau^*(\gamma_K)$, where γ_K is the cohomology class of the torus in direction K . By symmetry the signs of the coefficients of this pairing on cubes in the support of $i_*(\omega)$ are uniformly distributed. It follows that aas the sum of the coefficients is non-zero and hence the pull back is non-trivial. \square

In analogy with $Y_{h,d,k}^\square$ and the cube, or $Z_{h,d,k}^\square$ and the torus, define for any pure $(k+1)$ -dimensional cubical complex T the uniform measure $T_{h,d}^\square$ on $(k+1)$ -dimensional and d -regular cubical complexes with a cubical map τ to T which is generically hd to 1.

Conjecture 6.5. *If $d \geq 4(2k+1)^2$ then in h aas the induced map τ^* on the k th cohomology groups an isomorphism to $\tilde{H}^k(T)$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author thanks Tali Kaufman for an interesting discussion about the potential uses of the results from this paper. We also thank Izhar Oppenheim for pointing out the need for transversal finiteness in Corollary 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Werner Ballmann, Jacek Świątkowski, On L2-cohomology and property (T) for automorphism groups of polyhedral cell complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997) 615–645.
- [2] Gerandy Brito, Ioana Dumitriu, Kameron Decker Harris, Spectral gap in random bipartite biregular graphs and its applications, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **31** (2022) 229–267.
- [3] Anirban Banerjee, Jürgen Jost, On the spectrum of the normalized graph Laplacian, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **428** (2008) 3015–3022.
- [4] Joel Friedman, A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **195**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.
- [5] Howard Garland, p -adic curvature and the cohomology of discrete subgroups of p -adic groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **97** (1973) 375–423.
- [6] Anna Gundert, Uli, Wagner, On eigenvalues of random complexes, *Isr. J. Math.* **216** (2016) 545–583.
- [7] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [8] Christopher Hoffman, Matthew Kahle, Elliot Paquette, The threshold for integer homology in random d -complexes, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **57** (2017) 810–823.
- [9] Tali Kaufman, Ran Tessler, Garland’s Technique for posets and high dimensional Grassmannian expanders, 14th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, Paper No. 78, 22 pp. *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, 251 Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Wadern, 2023.
- [10] Alexander Lubotzky, Ralph Phillips, Peter Sarnak, Ramanujan graphs, *Combinatorica* **8** (1988) 261–277.
- [11] Adam Marcus, Daniel A. Spielman, Nikhil Srivastava, Interlacing families I: Bipartite Ramanujan graphs of all degrees, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **182** (2015) 307–325.
- [12] Izhar Oppenheim, Garland’s method with Banach coefficients, *Analysis & PDE* **16** (2023) 861–890.
- [13] Mark S. Pinsker, On the complexity of a concentrator. In 7th International Teletraffic Conference, Volume 4, 1973.
- [14] Andrzej Żuk, La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes agissant sur les polyédres, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **323** (1996) 453–458.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC DAVIS, ONE SHIELDS AVE, DAVIS, CA 95616, USA

Email address: babson@math.usdavis.edu

PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT MARBURG, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, 35032 MARBURG, GERMANY

Email address: welker@mathematik.uni-marburg.de