

# An Algorithm for the Longest Common Subsequence and Substring Problem

Rao Li

Dept. of Computer Science, Engineering, and Mathematics  
University of South Carolina Aiken  
Aiken, SC 29801  
USA

*Email: raol@usca.edu*

Jyotishmoy Deka

Dept. of Electrical Engineering  
Tezpur University  
Tezpur, Assam 784028  
India

*Email: jyotishmoydeka62@gmail.com*

Kaushik Deka

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering  
National Institute of Technology Silchar  
Cachar, Assam 788010  
India

*Email: jagatdeka20@gmail.com*

July 18, 2023

## Abstract

In this note, we first introduce a new problem called the longest common subsequence and substring problem. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two strings over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . The longest common subsequence and substring problem for  $X$  and  $Y$  is to find the longest string which is a subsequence of  $X$  and a substring of  $Y$ . We propose an algorithm to solve the problem.

*Keywords : Algorithm, the longest common subsequence,  
the longest common substring*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet and  $S$  a string over  $\Sigma$ . A subsequence of a string  $S$  is obtained by deleting zero or more letters of  $S$ . A substring of a string  $S$  is a subsequence of  $S$  consists of consecutive letters in  $S$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two strings over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . The longest common subsequence problem for  $X$  and  $Y$  is to find the longest string which is a subsequence of both  $X$  and  $Y$ . The longest common substring problem for  $X$  and  $Y$  is to find the longest string which is a substring of both  $X$  and  $Y$ . Both the longest common subsequence problem and the longest common substring problem have been well-studied in last several decades. They have applications in different fields, for example, in molecular biology, the lengths of the longest common subsequence and the longest common substring are the suitable measurements for the similarity between two biological sequences. More details on the algorithms for the first problem can be found in [1], [2], [4], [5], [7], and [8] and the second problem can be found in [3] and [9]. Motivated by the two problems above, we introduce a new problem called the longest common subsequence and substring problem. The longest common subsequence and substring problem for  $X$  and  $Y$  is to find the longest string which is a subsequence of  $X$  and a substring of  $Y$ . In this note, we propose an algorithm to solve this problem.

## 2. The Foundations of the Algorithm

In order to present our algorithm, we need to prove some facts which are the foundations for our algorithm. Before proving the facts, we need some

notations as follows. For a given string  $S = s_1s_2\dots s_l$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , the size of  $S$ , denoted  $|S|$ , is defined as the number of letters in  $S$ . The  $i$  prefix of  $S$  is defined as  $S_i = s_1s_2\dots s_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Conventionally,  $S_0$  is defined as an empty string. The  $l$  suffixes of  $S$  are the strings of  $s_1s_2\dots s_l$ ,  $s_2s_3\dots s_l$ , ...,  $s_{l-1}s_l$ , and  $s_l$ . Let  $X = x_1x_2\dots x_m$  and  $Y = y_1y_2\dots y_n$  be two strings. We define  $Z[i, j]$  as a string satisfying the following conditions, where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

- (1) It is a subsequence of  $X_i$ .
- (2) It is a suffix of  $Y_j$ .
- (3) Under (1) and (2), its length is as large as possible.

**Fact 1.** Let  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_r$  be a longest string which is a subsequence of  $X$  and substring of  $Y$ . Then  $r = \max\{|Z[i, j]| : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

**Proof of Fact 1.** For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and each  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we, from the definition of  $Z[i, j]$ , have that  $Z[i, j]$  is a subsequence of  $X$  and substring of  $Y$ . By the definition of  $U$ , we have that  $|Z[i, j]| \leq |U| = r$ . Thus  $\max\{|Z[i, j]| : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \leq r$ .

Since  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_r$  is a longest string which is a subsequence of  $X$  and a substring of  $Y$ , there is an index  $s$  and an index  $t$  such that  $u_r = x_s$  and  $u_r = y_t$  such that  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_r$  is a subsequence of  $X_s$  and a suffix of  $Y_t$ . From the definition of  $Z[i, j]$ , we have that  $r \leq |Z[s, t]| \leq \max\{|Z[i, j]| : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Hence  $r = \max\{|Z[i, j]| : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and the proof of Fact 1 is complete.

**Fact 2.** Suppose that  $X_i = x_1x_2\dots x_i$  and  $Y_j = y_1y_2\dots y_j$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $Z[i, j] = z_1z_2\dots z_a$  is a string satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) above. Then we have

[1]. If  $x_i = y_j$ , then  $a = 1 +$  the length of a longest string which is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_{j-1}$ .

[2]. If  $x_i \neq y_j$ , then  $a =$  the length of the longest string which is a sub-

quence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ .

**Proof of [1] in Fact 2.** Suppose  $W = w_1w_2\dots w_b$  is a string satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) It is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$ .
- (2) It is a suffix of  $Y_{j-1}$ .
- (3) Under (1) and (2), its length is as large as possible.

Since  $W = w_1w_2\dots w_b$  is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$ , a suffix of  $Y_{j-1}$ , and  $x_i = y_j$ ,  $W = w_1w_2\dots w_bx_i$  is a subsequence of  $X_i$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ . From the definition of  $Z[i, j]$ , we have  $|W| + 1 = b + 1 \leq |Z[i, j]| = a$ .

Since  $Z[i, j] = z_1z_2\dots z_a$  is a string satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) above, we have that  $z_a = y_j = x_i$ . We further have that  $z_1z_2\dots z_{a-1}$  is a string which is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_{j-1}$ . From the definition of  $W = w_1w_2\dots w_b$ , we have that  $a - 1 \leq b$ . Thus  $a = 1 + b$  and  $a = 1 +$  the length of a longest string which is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_{j-1}$ .

**Proof of [2] in Fact 2.** Suppose  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_c$  is a string satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) It is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$ .
- (2) It is a suffix of  $Y_j$ .
- (3) Under (1) and (2), its length is as large as possible.

Since  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_c$  is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ ,  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_c$  is a subsequence of  $X_i$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ . From the definition of  $Z[i, j]$ , we have  $|U| = c \leq |Z[i, j]| = a$ .

Since  $Z[i, j] = z_1z_2\dots z_a$  is a string satisfying conditions (1), (2), and (3) above, we have that  $z_a = y_j \neq x_i$ . Thus  $z_1z_2\dots z_a$  is a string which is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ . From the definition of  $U = u_1u_2\dots u_c$ , we have that  $a \leq c$ . Thus  $a = c$  and  $a =$  the length of a longest string which is a subsequence of  $X_{i-1}$  and a suffix of  $Y_j$ .

Hence the proof of Fact 2 is complete.

### 3. An Algorithm for the Longest Common Subsequence and Substring Problem

Based on the Fact 1 and Fact 2 in Section 2, we can design an algorithm for the longest common subsequence and substring problem. Once again, we assume that  $X = x_1x_2\dots x_m$  and  $Y = y_1y_2\dots y_n$  are two strings over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . In the following Algorithm A,  $W$  is an  $(m + 1) \times (n + 1)$  array and the cells  $W(i, j)$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , store the lengths of strings such that each of them satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) It is a subsequence of  $X_i$ .
- (2) It is a suffix of  $Y_j$ .
- (3) Under (1) and (2), its length is as large as possible.

*ALG A*( $X, Y, m, n, W$ )

1. Initialization:  $W(i, 0) \leftarrow 0$ , where  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$   
 $W(0, j) \leftarrow 0$ , where  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$   
 $maxLength = 0$   
 $lastIndexOnY = n$
2. **for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $m$
3.     **for**  $j \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $n$ 
  - if**  $x_i = y_j$   $W(i, j) \leftarrow W(i - 1, j - 1) + 1$
  - else**  $W(i, j) \leftarrow W(i - 1, j)$
  - if**  $W(i, j) > maxLength$   
       $maxLength = W(i, j)$   
       $lastIndexOnY = j$
4. **return** *A substring of Y between ( $lastIndexOnY - maxLength$ ) and  $lastIndexOnY$*

Because of the Fact 1 and Fact 2 in Section 2, it is clear that Algorithm A is correct. Obviously, the time complexity of Algorithm A is  $O(mn)$  and the space complexity of Algorithm A is also  $O(mn)$ .

We implemented Algorithm A in Java and the program can be found at “<https://sciences.usca.edu/math/~mathdept/rli/LCSSeqSStr/LCSS.pdf>”.

## References

- [1] A. Apostolico, String editing and longest common subsequences, in: G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa (Eds.), *Linear Modeling: Background and Application*, in: *Handbook of Formal Languages*, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [2] A. Apostolico, Chapter 13: General pattern matching, in: M. J. Atallah (Ed.), *Handbook of Algorithms and Theory of Computation*, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1998.
- [3] D. Gusfield, II: Suffix Trees and Their Uses, *Algorithms on Strings, Trees, and Sequences: Computer Science and Computational Biology*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [4] L. Bergroth, H. Hakonen, and T. Raita, A survey of longest common subsequence algorithms, in: *SPIRE*, A Coruña, Spain, 2000.
- [5] T. Cormen, C. Leiserson, R. Rivest, and C. Stein, Section 15.4: Longest common subsequence, *Introduction to Algorithms (second edition)*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2001.
- [6] D. Hirschberg, A linear space algorithm for computing maximal common subsequences, *Comm. ACM* 18 (June 1975) 341-343.
- [7] D. Hirschberg, Serial computations of Levenshtein distances, in: A. Apostolico and Z. Galil (Eds.), *Pattern Matching Algorithms*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [8] C. Rick, New algorithms for the longest common subsequence problem, *Research Report No. 85123-CS*, University of Bonn, 1994.
- [9] P. Weiner, Linear pattern matching algorithms. In: *14th Annual Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory*, Iowa City, Iowa, USA, October 15-17, 1973, pp. 111 (1973).