

Valeriepieris Circles for Spatial Data Analysis

Rudy Arthur

University of Exeter, Department of Computer Science,
Stocker Rd, Exeter EX4 4PY
E-mail: r.arthur@exeter.ac.uk

August 1, 2023

Abstract

The Valeriepieris circle is the smallest circle that can be drawn on the globe containing half of the world's population. The Valeriepieris (VP) circle acts as a spatial median, effectively splitting spatial data into two halves in a unique way. In this paper the idea of the VP circle is generalised and a fast algorithm and corresponding software package to compute it are described. The VP circle is compared to other measures of centre and dispersion for population distributions and is shown to reflect expected differences between countries and changes over time. By studying the VP circle as a function of the included population fraction a new way of representing population distributions is constructed, as well as a mathematical model of its expected behaviour. Finally a measure of population 'centralisation' is constructed which measures the tendency of a territory to be dominated by a single population centre or to have a more even distribution of population.

1 Introduction

Finding the 'centre' of a spatial distribution is common not only in demographics (Niedomysl et al., 2017; Hall et al., 2019), but has applications in any field that deals with spatial data, from epidemiology (El Deeb, 2021) to economics (Grether and Mathys, 2010; Quah, 2011). Rogerson (2015) gives an entertaining account of the often contentious and highly political history of geographic centres in the United States and Murray (2018) discusses various 'complexities' in defining the centre of any spatial object or distribution. Studying centres over time has been especially common in North America (Plane and Rogerson, 2015; Breau et al., 2018; Rogerson, 2021) where the location of the population or economic centre of gravity over time shows the westward drift of settler populations in those countries.

There are numerous approaches to finding the 'centre' of the world's or a region's population. One approach, taken by the US Census bureau (Geography Division U.S. Census Bureau, 2011), is to compute the **centre of population** from

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi} &= \frac{\sum_i w_i \phi_i}{w_i} \\ \hat{\lambda} &= \frac{\sum_i w_i \lambda_i \cos(\phi_i)}{w_i \cos(\phi_i)}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Where the sum is over i areas with population w_i and latitude and longitude (ϕ_i, λ_i) to yield $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\lambda})$, the latitude and longitude of the centre. Barmore (1991) notes that this gives the point at which a flat map would balance if the population was placed on a sinusoidally (Sanson–Flamsteed) projected map with central meridian at longitude $\hat{\lambda}$. Barmore (1991) suggests

the centre of population be defined instead as the balance point on a map projected using the Azimuthal Equidistant projection centred at the centre of population. This would keep distances of populations to the centre undistorted but must be computed iteratively.

The dependence of the above on the map projection has provoked alternative definitions. An influential one is given by Aboufadel and Austin (2006) who locate population mass using three dimensional vectors originating at the Earth’s centre and compute the weighted average vector

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\sum_i w_i \vec{x}_i}{\sum_i w_i} \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\hat{x}}{|\hat{x}|}$$

Here \vec{x}_i are the vectors locating each of the populated areas and \hat{x} is the population weighted average vector. Barmore (1991) and others e.g. Grether and Mathys (2010) note that the weighted average \hat{x} produces points not on the surface of the earth, though \bar{x} will be on the surface. I will refer to this point as the **3d centre of population**. In practice (Rogerson, 2021) finds that this point and Barmore (1991)’s iterative Azimuthal centre are very similar, though the 3d point is much easier to compute.

There are other definitions of centre which do not use a weighted sum of population. The intersection of the parallels of latitude and longitude that divide the target population in half is called the median centre or cross-median (Plane and Rogerson, 2015). This definition depends on the orientation of the latitude and longitude grid and the longitude median is undefined for the entire globe. The **geometric median** is another possible definition - this is the point for which the sum of distances to each individual is minimised, however it is somewhat complicated to compute (Beck and Sabach, 2015). The geographic centre is a related idea - this the point where the sum of squared distances from the center to all points in the region is minimised. Rogerson (2015) provides a method to calculate this, which is similar to the Azimuthal iterative method of Barmore (1991).

As pointed out by Barmore (1991), we want a statistic that indicates where people are, not where they could easily travel to. Likewise we want a statistic that doesn’t depend on arbitrary map constructions - including how we draw grid lines or how we project onto the plane. The centre of population fits most of these criteria, however the centre of population it is often far from where most people actually are. The centre of the US population is in southern Missouri, the global centre of population is in the Arabian desert (see the following sections for the exact coordinates). For showing trends over time the actual location of the centre may not be so important, only the relative change from year to year matters, however as a ‘representative point’ the centres above all leave something to be desired.

1.1 The Valeriepieris Circle

The Valeriepieris circle¹ is the circle of smallest radius containing half the Earth’s population. The idea gained popular attention e.g. (Fisher, 2013) and some academics, notably economist Danny Quah (Quah, 2015, 2016), discussed the idea. The Valeriepieris circle for the whole earth is centred in the north of Yunnan province, China with a radius of around 3300km. The radius is remarkably small due to the very high populations of northern India, southern China and the rest of south east Asia which together constitute half the world population.

The idea behind the Valeriepieris (hereafter VP) circle can naturally be generalised. The VP circle is the answer to the question: *What is the smallest circle containing at least a fraction f of the population of the area c ?* The original VP circle has $f = 0.5$ and c equal to the whole

¹Valeriepieris is the Reddit username of Ken Myers who first suggested the idea there: https://www.reddit.com/r/MapPorn/comments/1dqh7d/after_seeing_a_recent_post_about_the_population/

Earth, however we can use any fraction f and any populated area: Europe, England, Exeter etc. for c . I will refer to the radius of this circle as the **VP f-radius** for c , denoted by $R(f)$. Similarly call the centre of the circle the **VP f-centre**, denoted $(\phi_{VP}(f), \lambda_{VP}(f))$. I will refer to the special case with $f = 0.5$ as *the* VP circle and if the f value is not specified it is assumed to be 0.5 by default.

The VP radius can be used as a measure of population dispersion. A small radius indicates a population concentrated around a central point, a large one indicates a more uniform spread. Some other measures of population dispersion are discussed in Rogerson (2021). The simplest and only one I consider is **Bachi standard distance** (Bachi, 1963)

$$s(y) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i w_i d_i(y)^2}{w_i}}, \quad (3)$$

where d_i is the distance between the location i and the centre y .

In this paper I will argue that the VP centres and radii provide an interesting and useful summary of spatial data while avoiding some of the problems mentioned above. In Section 2 I give a method to compute VP circles for any area and compare the VP circle to some of the other centre definitions mentioned above. In Section 3 I look at the VP radius as a function of time, a popular use case for population centre statistics. Section 4 looks at VP circles as a function of f . This yields an interesting statistic that reduces spatial population distributions from two to one dimension which can be fit with a simple mathematical model. I also give an statistic which characterises patterns of population density in a novel way. I conclude in Section 5.

2 Finding Valeriepieris Circles

I will use gridded population data from SEDAC (Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University, 2018). This data is available at grid resolutions from 1 degree ($\sim 111\text{km}$) to 30 seconds ($\sim 1\text{km}$) for the years 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 and 2020. Having data on a regular grid is not necessary for finding VP circles, but makes a number of computational efficiencies possible. The algorithm below will find a VP circle, with any kind of population data, by an exhaustive search.

1. Compute the total population P of c and the target population $t = fP$. Choose a starting point (ϕ_0, λ_0) .
2. Using a binary search, grow a circle centred at (ϕ_0, λ_0) to find the minimum radius where the population within the circle is $\geq t$. Call this radius R_0 .
3. Shift the circle to the next location and calculate the population within a distance R_0 from this point.
4. If the population is $\geq t$ find the radius R_1 (again by binary search) such that R_1 is the smallest possible radius where the population is still $\geq t$.
5. After checking all the locations, the final radius $R(f)$ will be the VP f-radius and the grid points where the population within the circle is $\geq t$ will be the VP f-centres.

I refer to VP centres, plural, because in some cases, typically when f is very small and the grid is coarse, there may be multiple minima. In practice, for any reasonably fine grid and $f \gtrsim 0.05$, this doesn't happen and the VP circle found by the above process is unique.

The binary search proceeds in the obvious way: starting from , say, $(r_{min}, r_{max}) = (0, R_c)$, where R_c is the greatest distance between any two points in c , compute the population, P_0 , within a distance $r_0 = \frac{r_{min} + r_{max}}{2}$ from the centre. If $P_0 < t$ then set $r_{min} = r_0$ otherwise set $r_{max} = r_0$ and recalculate the population. The division is repeated until $r_{max} - r_{min} < \epsilon$, i.e.

Method	(Lat, Lon)	Bachi standard distance (km)
Centre of population	(22.125, 51.375)	6583
3d Centre of population	(36.625, 66.875)	6364
Geometric median	(24.625, 72.125)	6629
	$(\phi_{VP}, \lambda_{VP})$	R (km)
VP 0.5-circle	(28.375, 100.625)	3386

Table 1: Positions of the various centres and radii for the globe. All lat, lon values have been ‘snapped’ to the nearest grid point and radii are only reported to the nearest km.

we have narrowed down the radius to within some threshold ϵ . Once this happens set $R = r_{max}$. I have chosen the threshold ϵ to be 1km which is smaller than the grid resolutions I will use and means this process usually converges in fewer than 10 iterations.

When the data forms a regular grid the search can be made significantly more efficient:

- When shifting the centre to a neighbouring grid point, only a small number of population counts change. By keeping track of which grid points are on the edges of the circle we can add and remove only the necessary data.
- Using the symmetry of the sphere, distances only need to be recalculated when the latitude of the centre point changes, otherwise, at fixed latitude, the same distance matrix can be shifted and reused.
- If the VP circle is first found on a coarse grid we can narrow down the search area significantly e.g. only search within, say, ± 5 degrees of the coarse centre on the fine grid.
- Since the distance calculations are the same every time, if multiple f values are required, do all of them at the same time.

I have implemented the above algorithm in Cython (Behnel et al., 2011) and made it available as a Python package². On a single core (Intel i7 1.9 GHz) using the SEDAC 15 minute resolution data, which covers the globe with a 720×1440 grid, the VP circle takes about 16.5 seconds to compute. If the coarse grid is used first to roughly locate the centre, the whole process is significantly quicker, completing in less than 1 second. I have also implemented a number of other popular methods to calculate population centres

1. **Centre of population**, see equation 1
2. **3d Centre of population**, see equation 2
3. **Geometric median**

I calculate geometric median using Weiszfeld’s Method (Weiszfeld, 1937) modified after Vardi and Zhang (2001) to work when the centre is at a grid point.

As found in the original Reddit post, the VP circle for the entire globe is centred between India and China, see Figure 1. The exact position and radius are given in Table 1 which also gives co-ordinates of the other centres. As most of the world’s population is in south east Asia, all 4 centres cluster around there, and interestingly, all 4 centres are within 10 degrees of latitude of each other. The Centre of population, and 3d Centre of population are furthest west, influenced by sizeable populations in Africa, Europe and the Americas. The geometric median is closest to the VP centre, which is expected - the VP circle is also a kind of spatial median, half the data is inside the circle and half outside and median statistics are less influenced by the extremes of the distribution they summarise. The VP radius is about half of the Bachi standard distance.

²<https://pypi.org/project/valeriepieris/>




Figure 1: The Valeriepieris circle (small circle, solid outline, blue fill) for the entire globe. The centre is positioned roughly half-way between India and China, see Table 1 for the exact location. Other population centres are shown, as well as a circle of radius equal to the Bachi standard distance with origin at the Centre of population (large circle, dashed outline, pink fill). Using SEDAC 15 minute resolution, $\sim 30\text{km}$, data.

The VP circles for a number of countries in Europe are shown in Figure 2. Each one takes a few seconds to compute, in fact the bottleneck is usually the intersection of the polygon data (obtained from (World Bank, 2023)) with the population grid. Most country’s VP centres are close to that country’s capital/largest city. Most are quite a bit smaller than their country, some very much so e.g. Iceland’s VP radius is only 15 km. We will look at some specific countries in more detail in the next section and study the behaviour of the VP radius as a function of f in Section 4.

3 Centres of Population over Time

One of the most prominent uses of population centres has been to track the change in population in the US over time, in particular its westward movement (Rogerson, 2015; Plane and Rogerson, 2015; Rogerson, 2021). Population centres of the UK and its constituent countries have also attracted interest. Dorling and Atkins (1995) suggest that the population centre of Great Britain has been moving south at about 100 meters per year.

Figure 3 shows the VP circles, Centre of Population, 3d Centre of Population and Geometric median for the continental United States (i.e. not including Alaska and Hawai’i). First note again that the VP centre is significantly further east and closer to the main ‘mass’ of population than the others (it is near Craigsville West Virginia). The VP radius is around 950km (see Table 2 for precise values) and encompasses the major cities of the north east, great lakes and eastern sun belt. All the centres show a gradual southwest drift, though between 2015 and 2020 the VP centre makes a sudden southward jump while moving back east slightly. This is less significant in light of the growing VP radius, which increased by around 90km over two decades. The VP circle puts the centre of the US in the east and with New York at the north east boundary,




Figure 2: The Valerier circles for countries in ‘Europe’ here equivalent to a bounding box with lower left lat, lon $(34, -25)$ and upper right lat, lon $(80, 35)$. Only the portions of countries that intersect with this bounding box are used in the VP calculations (e.g. only western Russia). Each country is treated individually, so even if the VP circle crosses a border, population in the other country is not counted. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, $\sim 5\text{km}$, data.

Continental US			Great Britain		
Year	$(\phi_{VP}, \lambda_{VP})$	R (km)	Year	$(\phi_{VP}, \lambda_{VP})$	R (km)
2000	$(39.7708, -80.6875)$	863	2000	$(51.8124, -1.1458)$	138
2005	$(39.4792, -80.6042)$	878	2005	$(51.8124, -1.1458)$	136
2010	$(39.7292, -80.9375)$	901	2010	$(51.8124, -1.1458)$	135
2015	$(39.4792, -81.2708)$	923	2015	$(51.8124, -1.1458)$	134
2020	$(38.3958, -80.6875)$	949	2020	$(51.8124, -1.1458)$	132

Mongolia		
Year	$(\phi_{VP}, \lambda_{VP})$	R (km)
2000	$(47.7292, 104.4792)$	227
2005	$(48.7708, 105.7708)$	167
2010	$(48.4375, 105.6875)$	134
2015	$(48.0625, 107.4792)$	83
2020	$(47.9792, 106.8542)$	34

Table 2: VP centre and VP radius for 3 areas over time. All lat, lon values have been ‘snapped’ the the nearest grid point and radii are only reported to the nearest km.




Figure 3: Valerieris circles for the continental US between 2000 and 2020 overlaid on 2020 population data. Also shown are the other centres of population in the same period. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, $\sim 5\text{km}$, data.

Chicago at the north west and Atlanta at the south. The median American lives in this circle. The changing demographics of the US are reflected not as much by the position of the centre as by the growing VP radius. Proportionally higher population growth in the south and west means that progressively larger radii are required to ‘capture’ 50% of the population, even if the centre doesn’t move as far west.

The US is an unusual case, with heavily populated east and west coasts separated by a large expanse of sparsely populated territory. Figure 4 shows the UK over the same period. Compared to the US there is very little change over time. None of the centres move very much, in fact, the VP centre is located in the same grid cell for all dates. The radius decreases slightly, by about 2 km every 5 years, see Table 2 for precise values. This is generally in line with the rather slow evolution of the UK population in the 20th century as reported by Dorling and Atkins (1995).

The US is the country with the largest increase in VP radius from 2000 to 2020 at 86km, indicating a more even dispersal of population across the territory. At the opposite extreme, the largest reduction in VP radius, indicating population concentration, is found in Mongolia whose VP radius has reduced by 193km, see Figure 5 and Table 2 for precise values. Mongolia has experienced huge rural to urban migration in recent years (International Organization for Migration (IOM) et al., 2021) which is shown quite cleanly by reduction in VP radius. The examples of the US and Mongolia suggest that changes in VP radius over time are indicative of changing demographic patterns.

4 Valerieris Profiles

In this section I will look at how the VP f-radius changes as a function of f , the fraction of the population included in the circle. To build some intuition it will be useful to consider a toy example. Let $R(f)$ be the VP f-radius for some area. We must have $R(0) = 0$. $R(1)$ corresponds to the radius of the smallest circle that encompasses the entire population and so is determined




Figure 4: Valerieris circles for Great Britain between 2000 and 2020 overlaid on 2020 population data. Also shown are the other centres of population in the same period. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, $\sim 5\text{km}$, data.




Figure 5: Valerieris circles for Mongolia between 2000 and 2020 overlaid on 2020 population data. Also shown are the other centres of population in the same period. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, $\sim 5\text{km}$, data.




Figure 6: Left: VP 0.25-,0.5-,0.75- and 1-circles for Great Britain. Right: VP profile with best fit lines, note the log axes. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, $\sim 5\text{km}$, data.

only by the shape of the populated area. Imagine a circular island of radius R_I with a radially symmetric population density $\rho(r)$. The population at R is

$$P(R) = 2\pi \int_0^R r dr \rho(r)$$

Set

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_0 R_0^{2-a}}{(r+R_0)^{2-a}}, & \text{if } r \leq R_I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The population density equals ρ_0 at the centre, $r = 0$, and increases or decreases like a power of the radius as we move away from the center up to some max or min at the boundary of the island, R_I . We then have

$$P(R) = \rho_0 R_0^{2-a} \pi \int_0^R r (r+R_0)^{a-2} dr = \rho_0 R_0^{2-a} \pi (r+R_0)^a \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{b}{(r+R_0)(a-1)} \right) \Big|_0^R$$

The special cases of $a = 0$ and $a = 1$ have to be treated separately, where the integral gives a log. Ignoring this complication, if the total population is $P = P(R_I)$ then solving the above for R with $P(R) = fP$ gives the VP f -radius. For large R we have approximately

$$(R(f) + R_0)^a \simeq \frac{afP}{\rho_0 R_0^{2-a} \pi}$$

or even more approximately: $R \sim f^{1/a}$. It is convenient to normalise by $R(1)$ to create a function that is bounded between 0 and 1, which allows a direct comparison of places with very different areas. Define

$$\tau(f) = \frac{R(f)}{R(1)} \quad (4)$$

The special case of a uniform population density corresponds to $a = 2$ and can be solved exactly where the (normalised) VP f -radius grows like the square root of f . For $a < 2$ the radius grows more slowly, since the population is decaying more rapidly than the circle's area grows as a function of R . I will call the normalised VP f -radius, considered as a function of the population fraction, $\tau(f)$, the **VP profile** for some area c .




Figure 7: Left: VP 0.25-, 0.5-, 0.75- and 1-circles for Mongolia. Right: VP profile with best fit lines, note the log axes. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, ~ 5 km, data.




Figure 8: Left: VP 0.25-, 0.5-, 0.75- and 1-circles for Germany. Right: VP profile with best fit lines, note the log axes. Using SEDAC 2.5 minute resolution, ~ 5 km, data.

Figure 6 shows the VP profile for the United Kingdom as well as some of its VP f -circles. The global best fit corresponds to $a \sim 1$ though the graph of τ has some interesting inflection points indicating there is structure in the data not captured by the simple disc model. From $f = 0$ to $f \sim 0.15$ the VP circle is entirely contained in London and τ increases at a rate close to $a = 2$. Once f is large enough that we need to go outside of London, the slope changes abruptly to cover the gap between the densely populated areas of England in the south east and north west. Once the north west of England is reached, between $f \sim 0.33$ and $f \sim 0.8$ the radius increases more slowly until much bigger jumps are required to reach the remaining population in the north east of England and then Scotland.

Figure 7 shows Mongolia, a rather clear example, where around 50% of the population live at high densities in the capital and the rest are extremely dispersed. This results in a sharp inflection point around $f \sim 0.5$. The opposite extreme is Germany, Figure 8, which has a comparatively uniform population distribution and is lacking obvious inflection points, so the disc model does a good job fitting this data. Even in cases (e.g. UK and Mongolia) where the global fit is bad, a model where the radial decay rate a abruptly changes still fits the data well.

While the VP profile gives the most information and the slope parameter a summarises some information about the population distribution, it is useful to have a single, model-independent,

Country	Year	C_{50}
Mongolia (max)	2020	0.96
Mongolia	2000	0.73
Japan	2020	0.84
United Kingdom	2020	0.70
Global	2020	0.68
Average Nation	2020	0.62
Average Nation	2000	0.61
China	2020	0.6
Kazakhstan	2020	0.48
India	2020	0.48
Continental US	2000	0.48
Continental US	2020	0.43
Germany	2020	0.35
Sierra Leone (min)	2020	0.27

Table 3: The centralisation C_{50} metric for various countries mentioned previously as well as the max and min values for non-island nations (these have very large $R(1)$ values) found in the SEDAC 2020, 2.5 minute data.

statistic to measure this tendency of areas to spread or concentrate their population. Define the statistic

$$C_{100f} = 1 - \frac{\tau(f)}{\sqrt{f}} \quad (5)$$

as a measure of what I will call **centralisation**, I will use C_{50} for simplicity. Large values (close to 1) correspond to highly centralised countries and smaller values to more dispersed ones. A uniform population on a disc gives $C_{50} = 0$ (the factor of \sqrt{f} is to normalise this case). A population highly concentrated in the centre gives $C_{50} \simeq 1$. Table 3 gives the centralisation scores for some countries of interest. The UK score is above average and the US score is below. The average nation's C_{50} was relatively static between 2000 and 2020. As expected, Mongolia has a very high score and Germany a low one. Note that this is not simply a proxy for density, there are countries with low density e.g. Mongolia (2 people / km²) and Kazakhstan (7 people / km²) with both high and low C_{50} , 0.96 and 0.48 respectively. Likewise there are densely populated countries e.g. India (460 people / km²) and Japan (344 people / km²) with very different C_{50} , 0.48 and 0.84 respectively.

5 Discussion

The VP circle (the standard version with $f = 0.5$) is a kind of spatial median. Half of the people are inside the circle, the rest are outside. Like the one dimensional median, it is less affected by extreme values than the mean. Thus the VP centre tends to be closer to the main mass of the population, e.g. the north eastern US or south eastern UK. The VP radius provides a useful measure of population dispersion. Section 3 showed that this radius increases over time for the US likely due to disproportionate growth of population in the more sparsely populated west and south. Mongolia shows the opposite behaviour, population movement from rural to urban areas results in a rapidly decreasing radius. VP profiles, defined in Section 4, provide a simple way to reduce two dimensional population maps to a one dimensional function while retaining much of the important information. A summary statistic, C_{50} , was also defined which measures the tendency of countries to spread their populations evenly or concentrate them in a central city

or area. This seems to capture an interesting feature of population that is not measured by any other statistic of which I am aware.

Improvements and modifications to the definitions could of course be made. The algorithm described in Section 2 could be sped up using some search heuristics, though this has not been necessary for this work, all of which was performed on a very modest laptop. Faster searches however might be necessary if the VP circle is generalised e.g. the VP ellipse (the ellipse of minimum area that encloses a fraction f of the population) or the VP polygon (the polygon of minimum area what encloses a fraction f of the population). Such generalisations could help for very non-circular areas e.g. Chile, but I leave this for future work.

As well as academic, there is significant popular interest in population centres. The Wikipedia page for center of population³, gives a dozen or more examples of population centres in different countries and there are specific pages for the center of the UK⁴ and at least five discussing various centres of the US^{5,6,7,8,9}. VP circles for other statistics could also be of academic or practical interest. The VP circle of economic activity would be an area containing half the world's economic output. Following Grether and Mathys (2010) or Quah (2011) this would be interesting with regards to tracking the relative growth of India and China versus the historical centres of Europe and the US. In the study of disease, the VP circle of infected people (the minimum area containing half of the infected population) could be a useful way to locate the epicentre and geographic extent of an outbreak and give a good idea of where to concentrate resources. This paper has aimed to show that the VP circle and statistics derived from it can be of use in the analysis of spatial data.

References

- Edward Aboufadel and David Austin. A new method for computing the mean center of population of the United States. *The Professional Geographer*, 58(1):65–69, 2006.
- Roberto Bachi. Standard distance measures and related methods for spatial analysis. *Papers of the Regional Science Association*, 10(1):83–132, 1963.
- Frank E Barmore. Where are we? comments on the concept of the “center of population”. *The Wisconsin Geographer*, 7:40–50, 1991.
- Amir Beck and Shoham Sabach. Weiszfeld’s method: Old and new results. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 164:1–40, 2015.
- Stefan Behnel, Robert Bradshaw, Craig Citro, Lisandro Dalcin, Dag Sverre Seljebotn, and Kurt Smith. Cython: The best of both worlds. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 13(2):31–39, 2011.
- Sébastien Breau, Brian Toy, Mark Brown, Ryan Macdonald, and Oliver T Coomes. In the footsteps of Mackintosh and Innis: Tracking Canada’s economic centre of gravity since the great depression. *Canadian Public Policy*, 44(4):356–367, 2018.
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN - Columbia University. Gridded population of the world, version 4.11 (gpwv4): Population count, revision 11., 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.7927/H4JW8BX5>. [Online; accessed 24-July-2023].

³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Center_of_population Accessed 28/07/23

⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Centre_points_of_the_United_Kingdom Accessed 28/07/23

⁵https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_geographic_centers_of_the_United_States Accessed 28/07/23

⁶https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geographic_center_of_the_United_States Accessed 28/07/23

⁷https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_center_of_the_United_States_population Accessed 28/07/23

⁸https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Median_center_of_United_States_population Accessed 28/07/23

⁹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geographic_center_of_the_United_States Accessed 28/07/23

- Daniel Dorling and David Atkins. Population density, change and concentration in great britain 1971, 1981 and 1991. *STUDIES ON MEDICAL AND POPULATION SUBJECTS NO.58*, 1995.
- Omar El Deeb. Spatial autocorrelation and the dynamics of the mean center of covid-19 infections in lebanon. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 6:620064, 2021.
- Max Fisher. 40 maps that explain the world. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2013/08/12/40-maps-that-explain-the-world/>, 2013. [Online; accessed 24-July-2023].
- Geography Division U.S. Census Bureau. Centers of population computation for the united states 1950 - 2010, 2011.
- Jean-Marie Grether and Nicole A Mathys. Is the world's economic centre of gravity already in asia? *Area*, 42(1):47–50, 2010.
- Ola Hall, Maria Francisca Archila Bustos, Niklas Boke Olén, and Thomas Niedomysl. Population centroids of the world administrative units from nighttime lights 1992-2013. *Scientific Data*, 6(1):235, 2019.
- International Organization for Migration (IOM), National University of Mongolia Population Training, Research Centre, United Nations University Maastricht Economic, Social Research Institute on Innovation, and Technology (UNU-MERIT). *Mongolia: Migration and Employment Study*. IOM. Ulaanbaatar., 2021.
- Alan T Murray. Complexities in spatial center derivation. *Transactions in GIS*, 22(6):1335–1350, 2018.
- Thomas Niedomysl, Ola Hall, Maria Francisca Archila Bustos, and Ulf Ernstson. Using satellite data on nighttime lights intensity to estimate contemporary human migration distances. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 107(3):591–605, 2017.
- David A Plane and Peter A Rogerson. On tracking and disaggregating center points of population. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 105(5):968–986, 2015.
- Danny Quah. The global economy's shifting centre of gravity. *Global Policy*, 2(1):3–9, 2011.
- Danny Quah. The world's tightest cluster of people. <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/maths/2015/12/16/the-worlds-tightest-cluster-of-people/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 24-July-2023].
- Danny Quah. Ordering the world: Truth to power, 2016.
- Peter A Rogerson. A new method for finding geographic centers, with application to US states. *The Professional Geographer*, 67(4):686–694, 2015.
- Peter A Rogerson. Historical change in the large-scale population distribution of the United States. *Applied geography*, 136:102563, 2021.
- Yehuda Vardi and Cun-Hui Zhang. A modified Weiszfeld algorithm for the Fermat-Weber location problem. *Mathematical Programming*, 90:559–566, 2001.
- E.V. Weiszfeld. Sur le point pour lequel la somme des distances de n points donnés est minimum. *Tohoku Math J.*, 43:335–386, 1937.
- World Bank. World boundaries geojson - very high resolution. <https://datacatalog.worldbank.org/search/dataset/0038272/World-Bank-Official-Boundaries>, 2023. Data retrieved 01/07/2023.