

On Upper Bounds for the Depth of some Classes of Polyhedra

Mojtaba Mohareri* and Behrooz Mashayekhy^{† ‡}

Abstract

In this paper, we present upper bounds for the depth of some classes of polyhedra, including: polyhedra with finite fundamental group, polyhedra P with abelian or free $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, 2-dimensional polyhedra with abelian or free fundamental group, and 2-dimensional polyhedra with elementary amenable fundamental group G with finite cohomological dimension $cd(G)$. Furthermore, we provide some examples to show that some of these bounds are sharp.

Keywords: Homotopy domination, Homotopy type, Polyhedron, CW-complex.

2000 AMS Classification: 55P15, 55P55, 55P20, 54E30, 55Q20.

1. Introduction and Motivation

In 1979, at the International Topological Conference in Moscow, K. Borsuk introduced the capacity and depth in the shape category of compacta, together with some open questions [2] (see [3, 7, 15] for basic notions and results of shape theory).

Recall that a *domination* in a given category \mathcal{C} is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $X, Y \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$, for which there exists a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$. Then we say that Y is dominated by X , and we write $Y \leq X$ or $X \geq Y$. Moreover, $X < Y$ will denote that $X \leq Y$ holds but $Y \leq X$ fails (see, for example, [13]).

In the following, \mathcal{C} is the homotopy category of CW-complexes and homotopy classes of cellular maps between them or the shape category of compacta (pointed or unpointed).

Following K. Borsuk (cf. [2]), define the capacity $C(A)$ of an $A \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$ as the cardinality of the class of isomorphism classes of all the $X \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$ such that $X \leq A$.

A system $X_k < \dots < X_1 < X_0 = A$, where $X_i \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, is called a chain of length k for $A \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$. The depth $D(A)$ of A is the least upper bound of the lengths of all chains for A . If this upper bound is infinite, we write $D(A) = \mathcal{N}_0$ (cf. [2]).

It is clear that $D(A) \leq C(A)$ for each $A \in \text{Obj}\mathcal{C}$.

In the following, every polyhedron and CW-complex P is assumed to be finite and connected. Also, every map between two CW-complexes is assumed to be cellular. Since every polyhedron is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex

*Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran, Email: m.mohareri@stu.um.ac.ir ORCID:0000-0002-6725-2160

[†]Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran, Email: bmashf@um.ac.ir ORCID:0000-0001-5243-0641

[‡]Corresponding Author.

of the same dimension, and vice versa, we use the terms “polyhedron” and “finite CW-complex” interchangeably. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions and facts of homotopy theory.

In 1979, K. Borsuk stated the question: Is there a compactum with infinite capacity, but finite depth? (see, for example, [[2], Question 8]).

In the above problem the notions of shape and shape domination can be replaced by the notions homotopy type and homotopy domination, respectively. Indeed, by the known results in shape theory (from [8, 9], [7, Theorems 2.2.6, and 2.1.6]) we get that, for each polyhedron P , there is a 1-1 functorial correspondence between the shapes of compacta shape dominated by P and the homotopy types of CW-complexes (not necessarily finite) homotopy dominated by P (in both pointed and unpointed cases).

Recall that each space homotopy dominated by a polyhedron has the homotopy type of a CW-complex, not necessarily finite (see [19]). Thus the Borsuk problem is equivalent to: Is there a polyhedra with infinite capacity, but finite depth?

In this paper we consider dominations of a polyhedron in the category of CW-complexes and homotopy classes of cellular maps between them.

In [11] D. Kołodziejczyk showed that the answer to the Borsuk question is positive: For every non-abelian poly- \mathbb{Z} -group G and an integer $n \geq 3$, there exists a polyhedron P such that $\pi_1(P) \cong G$, $\dim P = n$, $C(P)$ is infinite, but $D(P)$ is finite. Thus, there exist polyhedra with polycyclic or nilpotent fundamental groups with this property. She then proved in [13] that every polyhedron with virtually polycyclic fundamental group has finite depth. Recently, in [14], Kołodziejczyk showed the same for all 2-dimensional polyhedra whose fundamental groups are elementary amenable with finite cohomological dimension or limit groups.

When it comes to computation of the capacity and depth of polyhedra, we refer to [16, 17, 1]. In [16], it is proved that the capacity and depth of $\bigvee_{n \in I} (\bigvee_{r_n} S^n)$ is equal to $\prod_{n \in I} (r_n + 1)$ and $\sum_{n \in I} r_n$, respectively, where $\bigvee_{r_n} S^n$ denotes the wedge sum of r_n copies of S^n , I is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} and $r_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In [17], it is shown that for $n, m \geq 1$, the capacity of $S^n \times S^m$ is equal to 4 if $n \neq m$ and it is equal to 3 if $n = m$ but $D(S^n \times S^m) = 2$ in general. Also, we investigated the capacity and depth of lens spaces and \mathbb{Z}_n -complexes, i.e., connected finite 2-dimensional CW-complexes with finite cyclic fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_n . Finally, in [1] it is shown that the capacity and depth of every compact orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$ is equal to $g + 2$ and the capacity and depth of a compact non-orientable surface of genus $g > 0$ is $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor + 2$.

In the present paper, we give an upper bound for the depth of each of the following classes of polyhedra: polyhedra with finite fundamental group, polyhedra P with abelian or free $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, where \tilde{P} is the universal covering space of P , 2-dimensional polyhedra with abelian or free fundamental group, and 2-dimensional polyhedra with elementary amenable fundamental group G with $cd(G) < \infty$. Furthermore, we provide some examples to show that some of such bounds are sharp. As we mentioned above, it has been shown in [12, 13, 14] that the depth of each of the following classes of polyhedra is finite: polyhedra with finite fundamental group, polyhedra P with abelian or free $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P}; \mathbb{Z})$, where \tilde{P} is the universal covering space of P , 2-dimensional

polyhedra with abelian or free fundamental group, and 2-dimensional polyhedra with elementary amenable fundamental group G with $cd(G) < \infty$. It should be noted that the presented proofs are not in such a way one can use to compute their depth. In the present paper, we prove the same facts in a different approach which is significant from two points of view: first, our proofs are explicit and straightforward, and second, they are such that one can derive upper bounds for the depth.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some definitions.

A homomorphism $g : G \rightarrow H$ of groups is an r -homomorphism if there exists a homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow G$ such that $g \circ f = id_H$. Then H is called an r -image of G .

Let H be a subgroup of a group G . Then a homomorphism $r : G \rightarrow H$ is said to be a *retraction* if the inclusion homomorphism $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ is a right inverse of r , i.e. $r(x) = x$ for all elements $x \in H$. Then H is called a *retract* of G . By a *proper retract* of G , we mean a retract H of G such that $H \neq G$.

The following fact follows from the definition.

2.1. Lemma. *If H is an r -image of G , then $\text{im}(f)$ is a retract of G .*

Suppose that $N \trianglelefteq G$ and there is a subgroup H such that $G = HN$ and $H \cap N = 1$; then G is said to be the (*internal*) *semidirect product* of N and H and denoted by $G = H \rtimes N$ or $G = N \rtimes H$.

The lemma below can be easily deduced from the definition.

2.2. Lemma. *Let G be a group. Then a subgroup H of G is a retract of G if and only if $G = H \rtimes N$ for a normal subgroup N of G .*

Let H be a subgroup of a group G . Then a subgroup K is called a *complement* of H in G if $G = HK$ and $H \cap K = 1$. By Lemma 2.2, a subgroup H of G is a retract of G if and only if it has a normal complement in G .

Recall that a group G is called *Hopfian* if every epimorphism $f : G \rightarrow G$ is an automorphism (equivalently, $N = 1$ is the only normal subgroup for which $G/N \cong G$). Finitely generated abelian groups and free groups of finite rank are examples of Hopfian groups.

Elementary amenable groups is the smallest class of groups that contains all abelian and all finite groups, and is closed under extensions and directed unions (see [4, p. 223]). The *Hirsch length*, $h(G)$, of an elementary amenable group is finite and equal to $n \geq 0$, if G has a series $1 = H_0 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_r = G$ in which the factors are either locally finite or infinite cyclic, and exactly n factors are infinite cyclic. In all other cases, $h(G) = \infty$ (see [4, p. 223]). Recall that a group is *locally finite* if all its finitely generated subgroups are finite.

By a *cohomological dimension* of a group G we mean (see [5])

$$cd(G) = \sup\{n : H^n(G, M) \neq 0; \text{for some } \mathbb{Z}G\text{-module } M\}.$$

A *series* of a group G is a chain of subgroups $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_k = 1$. If each G_i is normal in G , it is called a *normal series* of G . The length of the series is the number of strict inclusions.

3. Splitting normal series

In this section, we introduce a special normal series of a group called splitting normal series and determine the maximum length of such series of some well-known groups.

3.1. Definition. Let G be a group.

- (1) By a *splitting normal series* of G we mean a normal series $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_k = 1$ of G in which each G_i has a complement in G . We define $n_1(G)$ to be the maximum length of a splitting normal series of G .
- (2) By a *retract series* of G we mean a series $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_k = 1$ of G in which each G_i is a retract of G . We define $n_2(G)$ to be the maximum length of a retract series of G .
- (3) We define $n_3(G)$ inductively: $n_3(1) = 0$ and $n_3(G) = 1 + \sup\{n_3(H) : H \text{ is a proper retract of } G\}$.

In the following, we state relationships between $n_i(G)$'s for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

3.2. Proposition. *Let G be a group. Then $n_1(G) = n_2(G)$. Moreover, if $n_1(G) < \infty$, then $n_1(G) = n_2(G) = n_3(G)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that a splitting normal series gives rise to a retract series, so we get $n_1(G) \leq n_2(G)$.

Assume that $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_k = 1$ is a retract series of G . Let N_i be a normal complement of G_i in G . Since $G_2 < G_1$ and $G = G_2 N_2$, we get $G_1 = G_2(N_2 \cap G_1)$. Then $N_1(N_2 \cap G_1)$ is also a normal complement of G_2 in G , so we can redefine $N_2 = N_1(N_2 \cap G_1)$, etc. This leads to the splitting normal series $G = N_k > \cdots > N_1 > N_0 = 1$ of G (note that $N_1 = N_2$ implies that $N_2 \cap G_1 \subseteq N_1 \cap G_1 = 1$ which implies that $G_1 = G_2$ which is a contradiction). Hence $n_2(G) \leq n_1(G)$ and we have $n_1(G) = n_2(G)$ as claimed.

Now assume that $n_1(G) < \infty$. It can be easily seen that $n_3(G)$ provides the smallest possible function of G , say $n(G)$, with this property: if H is a proper retract of G , then $n(H) \leq n(G)$. Hence, $n_3(G) \leq n_1(G)$ for every group G . Moreover, since $n_1(G) < \infty$, there exists a splitting normal series $G = G_{n_1(G)} > \cdots > G_1 > G_0 = 1$ of G with the maximum length. Trivially, we have $n_3(G_1) = 1$. Then $n_3(G_2) \geq 1 + n_3(G_1) = 2$. Similarly, $n_3(G_3) \geq 1 + n_3(G_2) \geq 3$. By continuing this process, we see that $n_3(G) = n_3(G_{n_1(G)}) \geq 1 + n_3(G_{n_1(G)-1}) \geq 1 + n_1(G) - 1 = n_1(G)$. So $n_3(G) \geq n_1(G)$, hence $n_1(G) = n_3(G)$. \square

By the above proposition, when $n_1(G) < \infty$, we have $n_1(G) = n_2(G) = n_3(G)$. We denote this number by $sl(G)$, i.e., *splitting length* of G .

3.3. Lemma. *Let G be a group with $sl(G) < \infty$. If H is a retract of G , then $sl(H) \leq sl(G)$. Moreover, if H is proper retract, then $sl(H) \leq sl(G)$.*

Proof. Assume that $H = H_0 > H_1 > \cdots > H_{sl(H)} = 1$ is a splitting normal series of H with the maximum length. Since H is a retract of G , it has a normal complement N in G . If K_i is a complement of H_i in H , then K_i is a complement NH_i in G because $NH_i K_i = NH = G$ and $NH_i \cap K_i \subseteq H_i \cap K_i = 1$. So $G = NH_0 > NH_1 > \cdots > NH_{sl(H)} = N$ is a splitting normal series of G . Then $sl(G) \geq sl(H)$. Now let H be a proper retract of G . Then N is nontrivial, and

so $G = NH_0 > NH_1 > \cdots > NH_{sl(H)} = N > 1$ is a splitting normal series of G which implies that $sl(G) \geq sl(H) + 1$. Thus, $sl(H) \not\leq sl(G)$. \square

The following observation comes from the definition.

3.4. Lemma. *Let G and H be two groups. Then*

- (1) $sl(G) = 0$ if and only if $G = 1$.
- (2) If $G \cong H$, then $sl(G) = sl(H)$.

3.5. Proposition. *Let G be a group.*

- (1) If G is a free group of finite rank, then $sl(G) = rank(G)$.
- (2) If G is a finitely generated abelian group, then $sl(G)$ is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of G .
- (3) If G is a countable elementary amenable group with $cd(G) < \infty$, then $sl(G) = h(G)$, where $h(G)$ is the Hirsch length of G .

Proof. (1) Assume that $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_{i-1} > G_i > \cdots$ is an arbitrary retract series of G . Since each G_i is a retract of G , each G_i is a proper retract of G_{i-1} . Then there is an epimorphism $r : G_{i-1} \rightarrow G_i$ such that $r \circ i = id_{G_i}$, where $i : G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i-1}$ is the inclusion homomorphism. We claim that $rank(G_i) \not\leq rank(G_{i-1})$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $rank(G_{i-1}) = rank(G_i)$. This implies that $G_{i-1} \cong G_i$. Now by the fact that an epimorphism between isomorphic Hopfian groups is an isomorphism, we get that $r : G_{i-1} \rightarrow G_i$ is an isomorphism. Then $i : G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i-1}$ is an isomorphism which is a contradiction to the fact that G_i is a proper retract of G_{i-1} . Hence $rank(G_i) \not\leq rank(G_{i-1})$ as claimed. This implies that $G_{rank(G)} = 1$. Thus, $sl(G) = rank(G)$.

(2) It is easily concluded from the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups.

(3) Let G be a countable elementary amenable group with $cd(G) < \infty$. Since the class of elementary amenable groups is closed under taking subgroups, each retract of G is also countable elementary amenable. Since $cd(G) < \infty$, then $h(G) < \infty$ (see [10, Theorem 5]). It is known that $H \subseteq G$ implies that $cd(H) \leq cd(G)$ (see [5]). Thus, we have $cd(H) < \infty$, hence $h(H) < \infty$, also for each retract H of G .

Assume that $G = G_0 > G_1 > \cdots > G_{i-1} > G_i > \cdots$ is an arbitrary retract series of G . Since each G_i is a retract of G , each G_i is a proper retract of G_{i-1} . Then there is an epimorphism $r : G_{i-1} \rightarrow G_i$ such that $r \circ i = id_{G_i}$, where $i : G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i-1}$ is the inclusion homomorphism. We claim that $h(G_i) \not\leq h(G_{i-1})$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $h(G_{i-1}) = h(G_i)$. Let N_i be the kernel of the retraction r , i.e., $N_i \triangleleft G_{i-1}$ satisfies $G_{i-1} = G_i N_i$ and $G_i \cap N_i = 1$. Then we have $h(G_{i-1}) = h(N_i) + h(G_i)$ which implies that $h(N_i) = 0$. Hence $N_i = 1$ (see [14, Lemma 3]), and so $G_{i-1} = G_i$ which is a contradiction to the fact that G_i is a proper retract of G_{i-1} . Hence $h(G_i) \not\leq h(G_{i-1})$ as claimed. This implies that $G_{h(G)} = 1$. Thus, $sl(G) = h(G)$. \square

3.6. Remark. In [10, Ch. I, Theorem 5] the assumption that G is countable can be omitted (see remarks in [10, Ch. I]). Hence, it could also be omitted in Proposition 3.5. Anyway, in our applications we consider only finitely presented groups, which are always countable.

4. Main results

For a polyhedron P , let $X \leq P$ denote that X is homotopy dominated by P . Writing this we will have in mind a fixed domination $d_X : P \rightarrow X$ of P over X , and a fixed inverse map $u_X : X \rightarrow P$ (i.e. $d_X u_X \simeq \text{id}_X$). It is easily seen that the map $k_X = u_X d_X : P \rightarrow P$ is an idempotent in the homotopy category of CW-complexes and homotopy classes of maps between them. From now on “dominated” will always mean “homotopy dominated”.

In what follows, \tilde{X} will denote, as usual notation, the universal covering space of X . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a cellular map of CW-complexes such that $f(x) = y$, for vertices $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Choose $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$, $\tilde{y} \in q^{-1}(y)$, where $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $q : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ denote the covering maps. Then it is well-known that there exists a unique map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ such that $q\tilde{f} = fp$ and $f(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{y}$.

Note that if $X \leq P$, where P is a polyhedron, then $\tilde{X} \leq \tilde{P}$. As a result, $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_X))$, $\text{im}(H_i(u_X))$ and $\text{im}(H_i(\tilde{u}_X))$ are retracts of $\pi_1(P)$, $H_i(P)$ and $H_i(\tilde{P})$, respectively, for all i , by Lemma 2.1.

We now prove our first main result.

4.1. Theorem. *Let P be a polyhedron of dimension n . If $sl(\pi_1(P)) < \infty$ and $sl(H_i(\tilde{P})) < \infty$ for $i \geq 2$, then $D(P) \leq sl(\pi_1(P)) + \sum_{i=2}^n sl(H_i(\tilde{P}))$.*

Proof. Consider the following chain of CW-complexes:

$$\cdots < X_{j+1} < X_j < \cdots < X_3 < X_2 < X_1 < X_0 = P.$$

Let $d_{X_{j+1}} : X_j \rightarrow X_{j+1}$ and $u_{X_{j+1}} : X_{j+1} \rightarrow X_j$ be the domination of X_j over X_{j+1} and the converse map, i.e., $d_{X_{j+1}} u_{X_{j+1}} \simeq \text{id}_{X_{j+1}}$. Then $\pi_1(d_{X_{j+1}}) \pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}}) = \text{id}_{\pi_1(X_{j+1})}$ and $H_i(\tilde{d}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}) H_i(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}) = \text{id}_{H_i(\tilde{X}_{j+1})}$, for $2 \leq i \leq n$ (note that since $X_j \leq P$, we have $\tilde{X}_j \leq \tilde{P}$. But $\dim(\tilde{P}) = \dim(P)$, and so $H_i(\tilde{P}) = 0$ for $i > n$. Accordingly, $H_i(\tilde{X}_j) = 0$ for $i > n$). As a result, $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}}))$ and $\text{im}(H_i(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}))$ are retracts of $\pi_1(X_j)$ and $H_i(\tilde{X}_j)$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, respectively.

Assume that $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}})) = \pi_1(X_j)$ and $\text{im}(H_i(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}})) = H_i(\tilde{X}_j)$, for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}})$ and $H_i(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}})$ are isomorphisms, for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Accordingly, $\pi_1(d_{X_{j+1}})$ and $H_i(\tilde{d}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}})$ are isomorphisms, for $2 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $d_{X_{j+1}} : X_j \rightarrow X_{j+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence by the Whitehead Theorem (if X and Y are CW-complexes and there exists a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that f induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(f) : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ and isomorphisms $H_i(\tilde{f}) : H_i(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H_i(\tilde{Y})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then f is a homotopy equivalence) which is a contradiction to $X_{j+1} < X_j$. Therefore, either $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}}))$ is a proper retract of $\pi_1(X_j)$ or $\text{im}(H_{i_0}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}))$ is a proper retract of $H_{i_0}(\tilde{X}_j)$, for some $2 \leq i_0 \leq n$. So by Lemma 3.3, either $sl(\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}}))) < sl(\pi_1(X_j))$ or $sl(\text{im}(H_{i_0}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}))) < sl(H_{i_0}(\tilde{X}_j))$ for some $2 \leq i_0 \leq n$. Since $\pi_1(X_{j+1}) \cong \text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{j+1}}))$ and $H_{i_0}(\tilde{X}_{j+1}) \cong$

$\text{im}(H_{i_0}(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{X}_{j+1}}))$, we get by Lemma 3.4 that either $sl(\pi_1(X_{j+1})) < sl(\pi_1(X_j))$ or $sl(H_{i_0}(\tilde{X}_{j+1})) < sl(H_{i_0}(\tilde{X}_j))$, for some $2 \leq i_0 \leq n$. Note that by Lemma 3.3, in general we have $sl(\pi_1(X_j)) \leq sl(\pi_1(P)) < \infty$ and $sl(H_i(\tilde{X}_j)) \leq sl(H_i(\tilde{P})) < \infty$ for all i . Then for $j_0 := sl(\pi_1(P)) + \sum_{i=2}^n sl(H_i(\tilde{P}))$ we have $sl(\pi_1(X_{j_0})) = 0$ and $sl(H_i(\tilde{X}_{j_0})) = 0$ for all i . Hence by Lemma 3.4, $\pi_1(X_{j_0}) = 1$ and $H_i(\tilde{X}_{j_0}) = 0$ for all i . Thus X_{j_0} is homotopically trivial, and so the proof is finished. \square

4.2. Lemma. *Let G be a finite group and M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then M is a finitely generated abelian group.*

Proof. Note that if $\{m_1, \dots, m_k\} \subset M$ is a finite generating set for M as a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, then by enumerating $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_L\}$, $\{g_l \cdot m_k \mid l = 1, \dots, L, k = 1, \dots, k\}$ is a finite generating set for M as an abelian group. \square

Kołodziejczyk in [12] showed that polyhedra with finite fundamental group have finite capacity, and hence finite depth. Next corollary presents an upper bound for the depth of such polyhedra.

4.3. Corollary. *Let P be a polyhedron of dimension n with finite fundamental group. Then $D(P) \leq sl(\pi_1(P)) + \sum_{i=2}^n n_i$, where n_i is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $H_i(\tilde{P})$.*

Proof. Recall that for every finite CW-complex L , the k -chains in the cellular complex of the universal cover \tilde{L} , $C_k(\tilde{L})$, have a structure of a finitely generated module over $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L)$ with the basis corresponding to the k -cells of L (see [20] or [6, Chapter 2, p. 28]). Since the k -cycles, $Z_k(\tilde{L})$, is a submodule of $C_k(\tilde{L})$, then $Z_k(\tilde{L})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L)$ -module. Thus $H_k(\tilde{L})$, a quotient module of $Z_k(\tilde{L})$, is also a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L)$ -module.

Therefore the $H_i(\tilde{P})$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are all finitely generated as $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(P)$ -module. So by the hypothesis and Lemma 4.2, $H_i(\tilde{P})$'s are all finitely generated abelian group for $i \geq 2$. Thus the proof is complete by Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.1. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above result.

4.4. Corollary. *If P is a simply connected polyhedron of dimension n , then $D(P) \leq \sum_{i=2}^n n_i$, where n_i is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $H_i(P)$.*

In the next three corollaries, we provide upper bounds for the depth of some classes of finite polyhedra with abelian, free or elementary amenable fundamental group. Their proofs are deduced from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.1.

Recall that if P is a connected and finite polyhedron, then $\pi_1(P)$ is a finitely presented group (see [18, Corollary 7.37]).

4.5. Corollary. *Let P be a polyhedron of dimension n with abelian $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P})$, for $i \geq 2$. Then $D(P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n n_i$, where n_1 and n_i ($i \geq 2$) are the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $\pi_1(P)$ and $H_i(\tilde{P})$, respectively.*

4.6. Corollary. *Let P be a polyhedron of dimension n with free $\pi_1(P)$ and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P})$, for $i \geq 2$. Then $D(P) \leq \text{rank}(\pi_1(P)) + \sum_{i=2}^n n_i$, where n_i is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $H_i(\tilde{P})$.*

4.7. Corollary. *Let P be a polyhedron of dimension n with elementary amenable $\pi_1(P)$ of finite cohomological dimension and finitely generated $H_i(\tilde{P})$, for $i \geq 2$. Then $D(P) \leq h(\pi_1(P)) + \sum_{i=2}^n n_i$, where $h(\pi_1(P))$ is the Hirsch length of $\pi_1(P)$ and n_i is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $H_i(\tilde{P})$.*

Recently, in [14], Kołodziejczyk proved that 2-dimensional polyhedra whose fundamental groups are elementary amenable with finite cohomological dimension have finite depth. In the sequel, we are going to present upper bounds for such polyhedra.

Recall that if P is a polyhedron of dimension n , then $H_n(P)$ is free abelian (see, for example, [18, Theorem 7.24]). Now we state our second main result.

4.8. Theorem. *If P is a 2-dimensional polyhedron and $sl(\pi_1(P)) < \infty$, then $D(P) \leq sl(\pi_1(P)) + \text{rank}(H_2(P))$.*

Proof. Consider the following chain of CW-complexes:

$$\cdots < X_{i+1} < X_i < \cdots < X_3 < X_2 < X_1 < X_0 = P.$$

Let $d_{X_{i+1}} : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ and $u_{X_{i+1}} : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ be the domination of X_i over X_{i+1} and the converse map, i.e., $d_{X_{i+1}} u_{X_{i+1}} \simeq id_{X_{i+1}}$. Then $\pi_1(d_{X_{i+1}}) \pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}}) = id_{\pi_1(X_{i+1})}$ and $H_2(d_{X_{i+1}}) H_2(u_{X_{i+1}}) = id_{H_2(X_{i+1})}$. As a result, $\text{im} \pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}})$ and $\text{im} H_2(u_{X_{i+1}})$ are retracts of $\pi_1(X_i)$ and $H_2(X_i)$.

Assume that $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}})) = \pi_1(X_i)$ and $\text{im}(H_2(u_{X_{i+1}})) = H_2(X_i)$. Then $\pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}})$ and $H_2(u_{X_{i+1}})$ are isomorphisms. Accordingly, $\pi_1(d_{X_{i+1}})$ is an isomorphism and $H_2(X_i) \cong H_2(X_{i+1})$. Since $\pi_1(X_i) \cong \pi_1(X_{i+1})$, we have $H_1(X_i) \cong H_1(X_{i+1})$ by the Hurewicz Theorem (see [18, Theorem 4.29]). This fact and $H_2(X_i) \cong H_2(X_{i+1})$ imply that $\chi(X_i) = \chi(X_{i+1})$, where $\chi(X)$ denotes the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of polyhedron X of dimension m . Now since $d_{X_{i+1}} : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ is a homotopy domination between X_i and X_{i+1} that induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups, it is a homotopy equivalence (by [14, Theorems 2]) which contradicts $X_{i+1} < X_i$. Therefore, either $\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}}))$ is a proper retract of $\pi_1(X_i)$ or $\text{im}(H_2(u_{X_{i+1}}))$ is a proper retract of $H_2(X_i)$. So by Lemma 3.3, $sl(\text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}}))) < sl(\pi_1(X_i))$ or $rank(\text{im}(H_2(u_{X_{i+1}}))) < rank(H_2(X_i))$. Since $\pi_1(X_{i+1}) \cong \text{im}(\pi_1(u_{X_{i+1}}))$ and $H_2(X_{i+1}) \cong \text{im}(H_2(u_{X_{i+1}}))$, we have by Lemma 3.4 that $sl(\pi_1(X_{i+1})) < sl(\pi_1(X_i))$ or $rank(H_2(X_{i+1})) < rank(H_2(X_i))$. Thus for $i_0 := sl(\pi_1(P)) + rank(H_2(P))$, we have $sl(\pi_1(X_{i_0})) = 0$ and $rank(H_2(X_{i_0})) = 0$ which means by Lemma 3.4 that $\pi_1(X_{i_0}) = 1$ and $H_2(X_{i_0}) = 0$. Hence, X_{i_0} is homotopically trivial and so the proof is finished. \square

In the next three corollaries, we present upper bounds for the depth of 2-dimensional polyhedra with abelian, free or elementary amenable fundamental group of finite cohomological dimension. Their proofs are deduced from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.8.

4.9. Corollary. *If P is a 2-dimensional polyhedron with elementary amenable fundamental group of finite cohomological dimension, then $D(P) \leq h(\pi_1(P)) + \text{rank}(H_2(P))$.*

4.10. Corollary. *If P is a 2-dimensional polyhedron with abelian fundamental group, then $D(P) \leq n + \text{rank}(H_2(P))$, where n is the number of nonzero direct summands in the canonical form of $\pi_1(P)$.*

Kołodziejczyk [14] showed that 2-dimensional polyhedra whose fundamental groups are limit groups have finite depth (see [14, Corollary 3]). The class of limit groups which is a generalization of the class of free groups are always finitely presented. In the following, we present an upper bound for 2-dimensional polyhedra with free fundamental group.

4.11. Corollary. *If P is a 2-dimensional polyhedron with free fundamental group, then $D(P) \leq \text{rank}(\pi_1(P)) + \text{rank}(H_2(P))$.*

5. Examples

In this section, we provide some examples to show that some of upper bounds presented in the previous section are sharp.

5.1. Example. Consider $P = \bigvee_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{r_i} S^i)$, where $\bigvee_{r_i} S^i$ denotes the wedge sum of r_i copies of S^i , I is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then P is a simply connected polyhedron with $H_i(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_i}$, for $i \geq 2$. Hence, $n_i = r_i$ for $i \geq 2$, and so by Corollary 4.4, $D(P) \leq \sum_{i \in I} r_i$.

Note that by [16, Theorems 3.3], every topological space homotopy dominated by $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{r_i} S^i)$ has the same homotopy type of a CW-complex of the form $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{s_i} S^i)$, where $0 \leq s_i \leq r_i$. This implies that $D(P) = \sum_{i \in I} r_i$ since the system

$$1 < S^2 < S^2 \vee S^2 < \dots < \bigvee_{r_2} S^2 < \bigvee_{r_2} S^2 \vee S^3 < \dots < \bigvee_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{r_i} S^i) = P$$

is a chain of length $\sum_{i \in I} r_i$ for P . This fact demonstrates that the upper bound presented in Corollary 4.3 (also Corollary 4.4) is sharp.

5.2. Example. Let $P = \prod_{n \in I} (\prod_{r_n} S^n)$, where $\prod_{r_n} S^n$ denotes the product of r_n copies of S^n , I is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} and $r_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then P is a finite polyhedron with free abelian $\pi_1(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_1}$ and that $\tilde{P} = \prod_{n \in I \setminus \{1\}} (\prod_{r_n} S^n) \times \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \simeq \prod_{n \in I \setminus \{1\}} (\prod_{r_n} S^n)$. By the Künneth formula, each $H_i(\tilde{P})$ is a free abelian group, so we only need to keep track of the rank. In general, the rank of $H_i(\tilde{P})$ (which is n_i) is the coefficient of x^i in the Poincaré polynomial $\prod_{n \in I \setminus \{1\}} (1 + x^n)^{r_n}$ of \tilde{P} , for $i \geq 2$. Hence, by Corollary 4.5 we have $D(P) \leq r_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\dim(P)} n_i$, where n_i is the coefficient of x^i in $\prod_{n \in I \setminus \{1\}} (1 + x^n)^{r_n}$, for $i \geq 2$.

For instance, take $P = S^1 \times S^n$, for $n \geq 2$. On the one hand, by the above argument, $D(P) \leq 2$. On the other hand, by [17, Theorems 3.6], $1, S^1, S^n$, and $S^1 \times S^n$ are the only spaces homotopy dominated by P , and so $D(P) = 2$. This shows that the upper bounds presented in Corollaries 4.3 and 4.5 are sharp.

5.3. Example. Consider 2-dimensional polyhedra $P_1 = S^1 \vee S^2$ and $P_2 = S^1 \vee S^1 \vee S^2$. We have $\pi_1(P_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_1(P_2) \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_2(P_1) \cong H_2(P_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Corollaries 4.10 and 4.11, respectively, we have $D(P_1) \leq 2$ and $D(P_2) \leq 3$. Moreover, from [16, Theorems 3.3] we have $D(P_1) = 2$ and $D(P_2) = 3$ which shows that the upper bounds given in Corollaries 4.10 and 4.11 are sharp. Note that $H_2(\tilde{P}_1)$ and $H_2(\tilde{P}_2)$ are infinitely generated abelian groups, and so we could not apply Corollaries 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 for P_1 and P_2 , respectively.

6. Statements and Declarations

Conflict of Interest All authors state no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analysed.

References

- [1] Abbasi, M. and Mashayekhy, B. *On the capacity and depth of compcat surfaces*, Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures, **15** (2), 301-308, 2020.
- [2] Borsuk, K. *Some problems in the theory of shape of compacta*, Russian Math. Surveys **34** (6), 24-26, 1979.
- [3] Borsuk, K. *Theory of Shape*, Monograf. Mat. 59, Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1975.
- [4] Bridson, M.R. and Kropholler, P.H. *Dimension of elementary amenable groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **699**, 217-243, 2015.
- [5] Brown, K. *Cohomology of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [6] Cohen, M. *A Course in Simple Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [7] Dydak, J. and Segal, J. *Shape Theory: An Introduction*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 688, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [8] Edwards, D.A. and Geoghegan, R. *Shapes of complexes, ends of manifolds, homotopy limits and the Wall obstruction*, Ann. of Math. **101** (2), 521-535, 1975.
- [9] Hastings, H.M. and Heller, A. *Homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **85** (4), 619-622, 1982.
- [10] Hillman, J.A. *The Algebraic Characterization of Geometric 4-Manifolds*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [11] Kołodziejczyk, D. *Homotopy dominations within polyhedra*, Fund. Math. **178**, 189-202, 2003.
- [12] Kołodziejczyk, D. *Polyhedra with finite fundamental group dominate only finitely many different homotopy types*, Fund. Math. **180**, 1-9, 2003.
- [13] Kołodziejczyk, D. *Polyhedra with virtually polycyclic fundamental group have finite depth*, Fund. Math. **197**, 229-238, 2007.
- [14] Kołodziejczyk, D. *2-dimensional polyhedra with finite depth*, Topology Appl., **241**, 50-61, 2018.
- [15] Mardešić, S. and Segal, J. *Shape Theory, The Inverse System Approach*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 26, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [16] M. Mohareri, B. Mashayekhy and H. Mirebrahimi, *The Capacity of Wedge Sum of Spheres of Different Dimensions*, Topology Appl. **246**, 1-8, 2018.
- [17] M. Mohareri, B. Mashayekhy and H. Mirebrahimi, *The Capacity of some classes of polyhedra*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **49** (1), 96-105, 2020.
- [18] Rotman, J.J. *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, G.T.M. 119, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [19] Wall, C.T.C. *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, Ann. of Math. **81**, 56-69, 1965.
- [20] Whitehead, J.H.C. *Combinatorial homotopy I (and II)*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949) 213-245, 453-496.